STATE OF ILLINOIS AUG. 26, 1818

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OF THE

1985 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OF THE

ILLINOIS COURTS

1985 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

SUPR

CE OF ILLINOIS UG. 26, 1818

STA

12/12/12/20



SUPREME COURT BUILDING Springfield, Illinois

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSAUTTAL FROM WILLIAM AN MADDEN	10
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL FROM WILLIAM M. MADDEN	10
THE ILLINOIS JUDICIAL SYSTEM	11
JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS	12
	13
THE SUPREME COURT	14
Jurisdiction and Organization	14
Administrative and Supervisory Authority	14
1985 Supreme Court Caseload Summary	14
Clerk of the Supreme Court	14
Supreme Court Marshal	14
Reporter of Decisions	15
The Administrative Director, Hon. Roy O. Gulley, Retires	15
Supreme Court Rules Committee	16
New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Supreme Court	16
Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court	18
Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service	18
1985 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly	19
THE APPELLATE COURT	31
Jurisdiction and Organization	31
1985 Appellate Court Caseload Summary Annual Meeting of the Illinois Appellate Court	31 32
	32
Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court	32
Supreme Court Assignment of Judges to the Appellate Court	32
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	34 ~
Jurisdiction and Organization	34
Conference of Chief Circuit Judges	35
Out-of-Circuit Assignments Rule 295 Assignments	35
JUDICIAL ELECTIONS	35 ~
Judicial Retention Election	36 -
THE IUDICIAL CONFERENCE	39
1985 Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference	39
1985 Associate Judge Seminar	40
1965 Associate Judge Seminar	40
1985 Regional Seminar Programs	40
1985 Study Committee Reports	41
THE COURTS COMMISSION	42
THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	44
Introduction	44
Personnel	44 -
Accounting Division	44
Probation Division	58
Judicial Management Information Services	61
Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports	65
Administration of Supreme Court Rules	65
Rule 39 — Appointment of Associate Judges	65
Nule 55 — Appointment of Associate Judges	0.5

Rule 215(d) — Impartial Medical Experts	66
Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students	69
Administration of Official Court Reporters	70
Secretariat	70
Educational and Informational Functions	70
Trial Court Administration Conference	70
Circuit Clerk Training Program	71
Distribution of Supreme Court Opinion and Legislative Summaries	71
Publications of the Administrative Office	71
Membership in Organizations	72
LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS	73
1985 CASELOADS, STATISTICAL RECORDS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS	
SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURT DISTRICTS	74
THE SUPREME COURT	76
Justices of the Supreme Court	76
Number of Cases Decided with Full Opinions 1964-1985	77
Petitions for Leave to Appeal 1964-1985	78
Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court During 1985	79
Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court After Allowance of Petitions	
for Leave to Appeal, Motions for Direct Appeals & Motions	
in Original Action Cases During 1985	80
Trend of All Cases Filed & Disposed of in the Supreme Court During 1984	80
THE APPELLATE COURT	81
Judges of the Appellate Court	81
Cases Disposed of by Opinion or Rule 23 Order 1964-1985	82
Trend of All Cases in the Appellate Court During 1985	83
Trend of Cases in the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate	
Court During 1985	83
All Cases Disposed of in the Appellate Court During 1985	84
All Cases Disposed of Without Opinion or Order Pursuant to Supreme	
Court Rule 23 During 1985	85
Time Lapse Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition for All	
Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1985	86
Time Lapse Between Date Briefs Were Filed and Date of Disposition	
for Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1985	87
Abstract Summary of the Number of Opinions and Rule 23 Orders	
Written by Judges of the Appellate Court During 1985	88
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	89
The Judicial Circuits	89
Circuit Court Judicial Officers	90
Cases Filed, Reinstated and Disposed of 1964-1985	99
Ratio of Filings Per Judge in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1985	100
Trend of All Cases in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1985	101
Summary Report on Law Cases Disposed of in the Circuit Courts of	
Illinois During 1985	144
Summary Report on Law Cases Terminated by Verdict	144
Statistical Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed of During 1985	145
Dispositions in 1985 of Defendants Charged With a Felony	148
Sentences Imposed on Defendants Charged and Convicted of	
Felonies During 1985	152
Fiscal Year 1985 Total Financial Activity as Reported by the Clerks	
of the Circuit Courts	160
Fiscal Year 1985 Fines, Add-on Penalties, Assessments, and Certain	
Fees Distributed by Clerks of the Circuit Courts	161
1985 Select Characteristics of Illinois Probation Departments	164

1985 Select Characteristics on Juvenile Cases	167
1985 Adult and Juvenile Probation and Conditional Discharge Violation Summary	173
THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY	176
Organizational Chart	176
Trend of Cases in the Circuit Court of Cook County	177
Trend of Cases in the Municipal Department, Circuit Court of Cook	
County During 1985	178
In the Law Division, County Department, Statistical Report on Law	
Cases During 1985	180
In the Municipal Department, Average Time Interval Between Date of	
Filing and Date of Disposition of Law Jury Cases During 1985	181
Number of Law Jury Cases Pending in the Cook County Law Division	
at the End of Each Month from January 1971 through December 1985	182
Average Age of Law Jury Cases Disposed of Each Month from January	
1971 through December 1985 in the Law Division	183
Statistical Report on Cases Filed in the Law Division During 1979 - 1985	184
In the Law Division, Statistical Report on Law Cases During January	
through December 1985	185
In the Law Division, Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Section, Statistical	
Report on Tax, Condemnation and Miscellaneous Remedy Cases	
Disposed of During 1981 through 1985	186
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Jury and Non-Jury	
Cases (\$15,000 or less) Filed During 1979-1985	187
In the Municipal Department, Age of Pending Law Cases As of December 31, 1985	189
Number of Law Jury Cases Pending in the Cook County Municipal	
Department at the End of Each Month from January 1971 through	
December 1985	191
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Cases (\$15,000	
or less) During January through December 1985	192
In the Chancery Division, Statistics on Chancery Cases During 1980-1985	194
Analysis of Chancery Cases for 1973 through 1985	194
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Housing Cases	
During 1985	195
In the Domestic Relations Division, Cases Heard and Disposed of	
During 1985	196
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Housing Paternity Cases	
During 1985	197
Monies Held and Collections Made for Distribution to Others — In	
the Support Section, Domestic Relations Division, Fiscal	
Year 1981-1985	198
Trend of all Cases in the County Division During 1985	199
In the County Division, Mental Health Cases Disposed of During 1985	199
In the Probate Division, Analysis of Probate Cases for 1973-1985	200
Movement of Cases, Inventories Filed and Value Thereof in 1985	200
In the Law Division — Tax Section, County Division — Tax Section, and	
Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Tax Cases During 1985	201
In the Surety Section, Bond Certificate Authorizations and Civil	
Forfeitures During 1985	202
In the Juvenile Division, Initial Action on Cases Referred to the	
Division, Cases Adjusted, and Petition Recommended Cases	
Referred to the Division During 1985	203
Table of Offenses Commenced by Juvenile Petition During 1985	204
Dispositions of Juvenile Cases During 1985	208
In the Municipal Department, Nature of Dispositions of Felony	
Preliminary Hearings During 1985	209

5

In the Criminal Division and Municipal Department, Trend of Cases	
Charging Defendants With Offenses During 1985	210
In the Criminal Division and Municipal Department, Age of Pending	
Felony Cases on December 31, 1985	211
In the Criminal Division, Table of Felony Offenses Commenced by	
Indictment and Information During 1985	212
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony	
Cases During 1985	217
Number of Writs and Petitions Filed and Summary of Fines	
Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony Cases During 1985	218
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Types of	
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1985	219
In the Municipal Department, District One, Table of Felony Offenses	
Commenced by Information During 1985	221
Guilty Pleas Accepted at Preliminary Hearings (Informations)	
by Type of Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1985	223
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	
Cases During 1985	224
In the Municipal Department, District Two, Table of Felony Offenses	
Commenced by Information During 1985	225
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony	
Cases During 1985	227
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	
Cases During 1985	228
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of	220
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1985	228
In the Municipal Department, District Three, Table of Felony Offenses	220
Commenced by Information During 1985	229
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	225
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony	
Cases During 1985	233
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	235
Cases During 1985	234
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of	234
	224
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1985	234
In the Municipal Department, District Four, Table of Felony Offenses	226
Commenced by Information During 1985	236
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on Felony	227
Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony Cases During 1985	237
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	220
Cases During 1985	238
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of	000
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1985	238
In the Municipal Department, District Five, Table of Felony Offenses	244
Commenced by Information During 1985	241
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	0.10
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony Cases During 1985	242
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	
Cases During 1985	242
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court by Type of Felony and	0.10
Sentences Imposed During 1985	243
In the Municipal Department, District Six, Table of Felony Offenses	
Commenced by Information During 1985	244

Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony Cases	
During 1985	244
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	
Cases During 1985	246
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of Felony	
and Sentences Imposed During 1985	247
In the Municipal Department, Comparison of New Criminal Complaints	
Filed With New Charges Filed During 1985	250
In the Municipal Department, Nature and Number of Dispositions of	
Misdemeanor, Ordinance and Conservation Violations During 1985	250
In the Municipal Department, Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution	
Ordered With Collection Rates in Misdemeanor, Ordinance and	
Conservation Violation Cases During 1985	251
In the Municipal Department, Nature and Number of Dispositions of	
Traffic Violation Cases During 1985	252
Appendix A — Constitution of 1970: Article VI — The Judiciary	253
Appendix B — Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts — Historical Development	256
Appendix C — Judicial Salary Structure	256
Appendix D – Genealogy of Judges of the Illinois Supreme Court (1818 – date)	257

REPORT OF THE ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR WILLIAM M. MADDEN

Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

WILLIAM M. MADDEN ACTING DIRECTOR SUPREME COURT BUILDING SPRINGFIELD 62706 217/782-7770

30 North Michigan Avenue Chicago 60602 312/793-3250

To: The Honorable Chief Justice and Justices of the Supreme Court:

I tender herewith the annual report of the Administrative Office for calendar year 1985. This year's report presents information and statistics on new developments in all three levels of the Illinois court system.

Judge Roy O. Gulley, long the director of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, retired in 1985. This year's report features a biographical tribute to Judge Gulley.

In 1985 the Supreme Court handed down 211 full opinions and ruled on 1,471 petitions for leave to appeal. Also, cases on the Court's miscellaneous docket and miscellaneous record are now tabulated.

There were 7,383 new filings (a 6.8% increase from 1984) in the Appellate Court in 1985 and 6,961 dispositions (a 1% increase from 1984).

In the Circuit Court, 3,743,798 cases were filed in 1985 (a 2% decrease from 1984) and 4,728,061 cases were disposed of (a 4% increase from 1984).

This office continues to discharge its constitutional responsibility to assist the Court in exercising "general administrative and supervisory authority over all courts." Among the continued functions assumed by our office this year were the Official Court Reporters Seminar, six regional judicial seminars held throughout the state, and the annual Associate Judge Seminar and Illinois Judicial Conference.

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Madden Acting Director

WMM/iv



IN MEMORIAM

Appellate Court Judges

Henry Dieringer, First District (Retired) Phillip Romiti, First District

Circuit Judges

Victor N. Cardosi, 12th Circuit (Retired) James Condon, Cook County (Retired) William V. Daley, Cook County (Retired) W.H. Erlinborn, 11th Circuit (Retired) John Gannon, Cook County (Retired) Richard Harewood, Cook County (Retired) John Krause, 16th Circuit (Retired) Gene McWorter, 14th Circuit Thomas O'Donnel, 20th Circuit John E. Paulik, Cook County (Retired) Thomas Walsh, Cook County (Retired)

Associate Judges

Emil M. Caliendo, Cook County (Retired) Benjamin DiGiacomo, Cook County (Retired) Hellen Kelleher, Cook County (Retired) Norman Kinder, Jr., 3rd Circuit Edward S. Macie, Cook County (Retired) September 1, 1985 May 1, 1985

September 2, 1985 April 13, 1985 September 8, 1985 January 11, 1985 January 23, 1985 November 16, 1985 April 9, 1985 May 20, 1985 February 16, 1985 October 1, 1985 August 18, 1985

October 25, 1985 June 25, 1985 September 18, 1985 June 6, 1985 October 17, 1985

JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS

During 1985, a total of 26 Illinois judges left the judicial system.

Appellate Court Judges

Thomas A. McGloon, 1st District September 15, 1985

James J. Mejda, 1st District September 30, 1985

Richard Mills, 4th District August 26, 1985 Maurice Perlin, 1st District

November 30, 1985

Circuit Judges

Edwin L. Douglas, 18th Circuit December 25, 1985

Brian B. Duff, Cook County October 25, 1985

Roy O. Gulley, Director — Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts December 22, 1985

A. Hanby Jones, 2nd Circuit November 30, 1985

John A. Krause, 16th Circuit February 28, 1985

Francis E. Maxwell, 20th Circuit December 1, 1985

John P. Meyer, 5th Circuit December 26, 1985

Conway L. Spanton, 14th Circuit December 30, 1985

Vincent W. Tondryk, Jr., Cook County December 27, 1985

James M. Walton, Cook County December 16, 1985

Ivan Yontz, 10th Circuit November 1, 1985

Associate Judges

Thomas M. Daley, 20th Circuit February 11, 1985

Louis K. Fontenot, 12th Circuit November 30, 1985

Thomas E. Hildebrand, 3rd Circuit April 15, 1985

Ivan D. Johnson, 11th Circuit July 31, 1985

Dexter A. Knowlton, 15th Circuit September 30, 1985

William J. McGah, Jr., Cook County October 21, 1985

Dwight McKay, Cook County December 14, 1985

Joseph Mioduski, Cook County December 24, 1985

Arthur D. Nicol, 6th District June 30, 1985

Bernard A. Polikoff, Cook County December 22, 1985

Emanuel A. Rissman, Cook County October 31, 1985

THE SUPREME COURT

Jurisdiction and Organization

The Illinois Supreme Court is the highest court in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is primarily appellate, but it has original jurisdiction in several categories of cases listed in the 1970 Constitution. It hears appeals from decisions both of the Appellate Court and of the Circuit Courts. Its appellate caseload consists of discretionary appeals and appeals as of right. For a more detailed description of the Court's jurisdiction, see sections 4 and 9 of article VI of the Constitution of 1970, in Appendix A.

Three of the seven Justices of the Court are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four judicial districts. Justices are elected for 10 year terms. Four Justices constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 2, 3 and 10.

The Court is in session in Springfield for five terms each year during the months of January, March, May, September and November. At each term, the Court issues opinions, holds conferences, hears oral arguments, rules on motions, considers modifications to Supreme Court rules and meets with the Administrative Director to consider administrative and budgetary matters.

Administrative and Supervisory Authority

General administrative and supervisory authority over the unified Illinois judicial system is vested by the Constitution in the Supreme Court. Acting in accordance with the Court's rules, the Chief Justice, who is selected for a three year term, exercises this authority. The Court appoints an Administrative Director and staff to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16.) In addition to the general grant of administrative authority contained in section 16 of article VI, the Constitution also identifies specific administrative powers which the Court shall or may exercise. These powers include:

- Prescribing the number of appellate divisions in each judicial district;
- (2) Assignment of judges to appellate divisions;
- Prescribing the time and place for appellate divisions to sit;
- (4) Providing for the manner of appointing associate judges;
- (5) Providing for matters assignable to associate judges;
- (6) In the absence of a law, filling judicial vacancies by appointment;
- (7) Prescribing rules of conduct for judges;
- (8) Assignment of retired judges to judicial service;
- (9) Appointment of an Administrative Director and staff;

- (10) Temporary assignment of judges;
- Providing for an annual Judicial Conference and reporting thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly;
- (12) Appointment of the Supreme Court Clerk and other nonjudicial officers of the Court.

To complement these enumerated duties, the Court possesses other administrative functions pursuant to statute or which are inherent in the operation of the Court. The annual judicial budget prepared by the Administrative Director is approved by the Court. The Court employs three law clerks for each Justice as well as staff attorneys and other research department personnel. It selects a Marshal and Supreme Court Librarian. The Court also appoints the State Appellate Defender and two persons to the Appellate Defender Commission; a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Illinois Defender Project, and judicial members of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority and the Board of Trustees of the Judges' Retirement System. From time to time, the Court appoints committees, as the need arises, to study and suggest amendments in substantive and procedural law, Supreme Court rules, and other matters affecting the administration of justice.

Clerk of the Supreme Court

Since July 1982, Juleann Hornyak has served as Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court. In general, the duties of the Clerk include the receipt and processing of filings and the maintenance of dockets, records, files and statistics on the activities of the Court. During 1985, the Clerk's staff consisted of 13 full-time employees and 2 part-time employees.

The Supreme Court Marshal

Since February 1976, the Supreme Court's Marshal has been Mr. Louie F. Dean. The Marshal attends each term of the Court and performs such other duties, at the direction of the Court, which are usually performed by the county sheriff in the Circuit Courts.

Reporter of Decisions

The Supreme Court appointed Stephen D. Porter to serve as Reporter of Decisions for the Supreme and Appellate Courts effective January 1, 1976. The Reporter's office is located in Bloomington and is responsible for the editing and printing of the official reports of Supreme and Appellate Court opinions. Each year the Reporter supervises the publication of 25 paperback advance sheets and approximately 12 to 14 hard-bound volumes of the official reports. The Reporter's office also prepares the headnotes and index for the Supreme Court opinions, including the 10-volume indexes that appear in volumes 100 III. 2d, 110 III. 2d and 120 III. 2d.

The Administrative Director, Judge Roy O. Gulley, Retires

On December 22, 1985, Judge Roy O. Gulley retired as Director of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. Judge Gulley, only the fifth director the administrative office has known since its creation in 1959, joins in retirement a succession of highly qualified and distinguished lawyers who have served as administrative director: the late Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the Federal court system; the late Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; John C. Fitzgerald, former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University (Chicago) and now a retired circuit judge; the late John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad.

Judge Gulley was appointed administrative director by the Supreme Court on January 1, 1968. Immediately prior thereto he was a circuit judge of the Second Judicial Circuit (1957-67) where he was also the chief judge. He was the county judge of Franklin County (1950-54), and practiced law in Benton, Illinois, from 1949 until 1957 when he became a circuit judge. He received his undergraduate and law degrees from the University of Illinois, and was admitted to the Illinois Bar in 1948. Judge Gulley served as president of the Franklin County Bar Association and, while a circuit judge, was appointed by the Supreme Court as a member of the executive committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1963-67).

The wealth of legal and judicial experience that Judge Gulley brought to the administrative office was an indispensable ingredient in the successful operation of the office during his nearly 18 years as administrative director. The achievements of the office during Judge Gulley's tenure are chronicled in this report and in the annual reports of the administrative office to the Illinois Supreme Court for the years 1968 through 1984. They will not be repeated here. However, the increase in responsibilities taken on and assigned to the administrative office by the Supreme Court and legislature, during Judge Gulley's directorship, is exemplified by the following:

- The State judicial budget which the administrative office administers increased from less than \$20 million to more than \$125 million.
- The number of staff in the administrative office increased from less than 20 to nearly 80 employees.
- Filings in the appellate court increased from 1,500 to over 6,500, and filings in the circuit courts increased from 2¹/₂ million to over 7¹/₂ million.

- A new Illinois Constitution was adopted in 1970, necessitating modifications in the judicial system.
- The clerks of the supreme, appellate, and circuit courts embarked on programs to automate their offices through the use of word processors and computers.

As administrative director, Judge Gulley served as a member of a host of Illinois commissions and committees, e.g., Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, and was active in several national court organizations, e.g., chairman of the executive committee of the Conference of State Court Administrators, and member of the board of directors of the American Judicature Society.

During his 18 years in the judicial administration hierarchy, Judge Gulley vastly strengthened the administrative component in the court system. The legacy of his tenure as administrative director is an administrative office which effectively and efficiently serves the Supreme Court in discharging its constitutional duty to administer and supervise the Illinois court and judicial systems.

Supreme Court Rules Committee

The Supreme Court has a standing committee on rules. This Committee was first organized in 1963 in anticipation of the increased responsibility of the Supreme Court in the area of role making under the 1964 constitutional amendment. During the calendar year 1985 the Committee was composed of the following numbers:

Professor Jo Desha Lucas, University of Chicago School of Law, Chairman

Murray R. Conzelman, Esq. Lawrence Gunnels, Esq. Hon. Harold L. Jensen William J. Jovan, Esq. Watts C. Johnson, Esq. Sidney Karasik, Esq. Fred Lambruschi, Esq. Carl W. Lee, Esq. Hon. Richard Mills Hon. William R. Quinlan Hon. Dom Rizzi Peter M. Sfikas, Esq. Hon. John E. Sype Robert L. Stern, Esq.

Justice Thomas J. Moran of the Supreme Court of Illinois was the Supreme Court's Liaison to the Rules Committee during calendar year 1985. William M. Madden of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts served as secretary to the Committee.

Except when extraordinary matters must be considered, the Supreme Court Rules Committee meets in Chicago on the last Fridary of February, April, June, October and December. The staggered meeting dates are intended to facilitate attendance by the Supreme Court's liaison justice. During 1985, the Committee considered many proposals for changes in the Supreme Court Rules. Those proposals which were adopted by the Supreme Court are summarized in the following section. These matters were a small portion of the recommendations for change discussed at the Committee's meetings. Recommendations come from various sources. In some instances, the Supreme Court agrees upon a rule in principle and refers the proposal to the Committee to be put into proper form. In other instances, proposals are prompted by court decisions, actions by Congress or the State General Assembly, or communications from the organized bar, law professors, individual attorneys for the public at large.

New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Illinois Supreme Court

In the exercise of its inherent power to adopt rules governing practice and procedure, supplemented by constitutional directives to exercise that authority in specific areas (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 16 and 17), the Illinois Supreme Court adopted, amended or repealed certain Supreme Court Rules in 1985.

Particularly significant changes in the Supreme Court Rules are summarized below:

Rule 61(c)(24)

Allows photographing, broadcasting and televising (extended coverage) of court proceedings as permitted by order of the supreme court. Supreme court order (MR 2634) *allows* extended coverage of proceedings in the supreme and appellate courts, but *prohibits* such coverage in the circuit courts.

Rule 106

Adds to procedure for notice of petitions for relief from judgments petitions for revival of judgment and for release of judgment (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 110, pars. 2-1601, 12-183(g)).

Rule 204

Provides discovery depositions of physicians and surgeons who are deposed in their professional capacity may be taken only with deponent's consent or court-ordered subpoena. Allows "a reasonable professional fee" for time physician or surgeon testifies at deposition.

Rule 206

Prohibits taking of depositions on Saturday, Sunday, or court holidays unless otherwise provided by agreement of parties or court order. Provides for taking of depositions by audio-visual recording device without court order, sets forth extensive procedures for taking videotaped depositions, and requires videotaped evidence deposition to be filed with court clerk.

Rule 216(e)

Adds that any admission made by party pursuant to request is for purpose of "any action commenced pursuant to the authority of [III. Rev. Stat., ch. 110, par. 13-217 (reversal or dismissal)]."

Rule 219(c)

Adds that notwithstanding entry of voluntary or involuntary dismissal judgement or order, trial court retains jurisdiction to enforce any order imposing monetary sanctions, including such orders entered on motions pending hereunder prior to filing of notice or motion seeking dismissal judgment or order.

Rule 222

New rule providing for limited and simplified discovery in tort, contract, and tax collection cases \$15,000 or less, but excluding small claims and actions seeking exemplary damages or equitable relief. Provides for automatic disclosure and updating discovery.

Rule 284(a)

Changes fee to be paid to clerk for mail service in small claims cases to "\$2, plus the cost of mailing."

Rule 324

Creates form to be used by circuit clerk when certifying record on appeal.

Rule 325

Creates form for certificate in lieu of record to be used by circuit clerk.

Rule 327

Provides that copy of notice of filing record to be filed with circuit clerk who shall forward it and the record to reviewing court.

Rule 373

Provides that time of filing records, briefs or other papers required to be filed within a specified time is the date they are actually received by reviewing court clerk, but if received after due date, time of mailing is deemed time of filing.

Rule 756(a)

Increases annual lawyer registration fee payable to Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission as follows: admitted 1-3 years, \$42.50 (was \$30); admitted over 3 years, \$85 (was \$60); admitted over 1 year but neither practices, resides nor is employed in Illinois \$25 (was \$15).

Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court

Article VI, section 12 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides that, in the absence of a law providing for the filling of vacancies in the office of Supreme Court Justice, appellate or circuit judge, such vacancies may be filled by appointment of the Supreme Court. Exercising this authority, the Supreme Court, during 1984, made the following appointments of attorneys and sitting judges (an asterisk (*) after a judge's name indicates that he or she was a sitting judge who was elevated to higher judicial office):

> Richard B. Berland, Cook County Effective, May 17

- Bruce W. Black, 10th Circuit Effective, December 15
- Richard H. Brummer, 4th Circuit Effective, January 11
- Martin E. Conway, Jr., 14th Circuit Effective, October 14
- Edward C. Eberspacher, III, 4th Circuit Effective, February 1
 - Richard A. Hudlin, IV, 12th Circuit Effective, May 1

Aaron Jaffe, Cook County Effective, January, 1985

- Sidney A. Jones, III, Cook County Effective, January 2
- Thomas M. Magdich, 15th Circuit Effective, March 1
- Mary Ann McMorrow*, 1st Judicial District Effective, July 1
- Donald W. Morthland*, 4th Judicial District Effective, March 20
 - William R. Nash*, 2nd Judicial District Effective, December 1
 - William R. Quinlan*, 1st Judicial District Effective, September 16

Ellis E. Reid, Cook County Effective, February 25

Anthony Scariano, 1st Judicial Circuit Effective, October 1

- Paul W. Schnake*, Second Judicial District Effective, September 1
 - Joseph R. Spitz*, 4th Judicial District Effective, October 21

George W. Timberlake, 2nd Judicial Circuit Effective, February 1

Robert L. Thornton*, 11th Judicial Circuit Effective, February 1

Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service

Article VI, section 15(a) of the Illinois Constitution allow the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge to active service, with his or her consent. In 1985, a retired appellate judge and 13 retired circuit judges were assigned to active judicial service. Those judges and their assignments were:

Harry D. Strouse, Jr. Second

Second District (12/1-12/1)

Norman Eiger Irving Eiserman Hyman Feldman Herbert R. Friedlund James A. Geroulis Benjamin J. Kanter John McGury Benjamin Nelson Harry S. Stark Alfred B. Teton Raymond Trafelet Eugene Wachowski

Circuit Court Cook County (6/30-12/31) Cook County (10/1 - 12/31)Cook County (6/30-12/31) Cook County (6/30-12/31) Cook County (6/30-12/31) Cook County (6/30-12/31) Cook County (6/30-12/31)

Honorable Philip J. Rock, President Senate of the State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

Honorable Michael J. Madigan, Speaker House of Representatives State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

Gentlemen:

The following report is submitted in accordance with section 17 of article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 which provides: "The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly***."

In making the suggestions contained in this and in prior reports, the Supreme Court is fully cognizant of the respective roles of the General Assembly and the courts, and does not intend to intrude upon the prerogatives of the General Assembly in determining what legislation should be enacted. It is gratifying, however, to note that the General Assembly over the years, especially last year, has acted to implement many of the suggestions made by the Court. I respectfully submit that the attached suggestions merit the consideration of the General Assembly.

Respectfully,

William G. Clark Chief Justice

cc: Members of the General Assembly

The State Should Fully Fund the Operations of the Circuit Courts

The dream of a constitutionally unified State court system materialized in Illinois on January 1, 1964, when the amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Constitution, adopted in 1962, took effect. (III. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964).) The court system created then was of course refined with the adoption of the 1970 Constitution (III. Const. 1970, art. VI) but the basic court structure established by the 1962 judicial article amendment remains intact. The Illinois court system is universally acknowledged by legal scholars and practitioners as the model system in court structure and organization because the Constitution creates a supreme court having general administrative and supervisory authority over all courts, one appellate court, and a single trial court - the circuit courts - having original jurisdiction of virtually all justiciable matters. Yet, in one major respect, the realized dream is a mirage - full State funding of the operations of the circuit courts has not appeared.

Presently the State fully funds the entire operation of the supreme and appellate courts: the salaries of judicial and nonjudicial personnel, the operating expenses of those courts and their clerks' offices, costs associated with capital improvements and maintaining courthouses and judicial chambers, and so forth. However, when it comes to funding the circuit courts, the counties, not the State, must bear the major burden of financing the operations of those courts. It is true that some circuit court expenses are paid directly or indirectly out of State appropriations: for example, virtually all of the salaries of circuit and associate judges (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 53, pars. 3.2, 3.3), the salary of the chief circuit judge's administrative assistant (Pub. Act. 84-998, effective October 29, 1985), the salaries of official court reporters (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 37, par. 658), and a subsidy to counties for the operation of probation and court services departments (Pub. Act. 84-823, effective generally April 1, 1986); but the balance of the expenses to operate the circuit courts is a responsibility of the counties (see generally Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 34, par. 432). Such expenses borne by the counties range from pencil and paper purchases to courthouse construction and circuit-clerk office operational costs. We note parenthetically that chief judges, who are constitutionally responsible for administering their circuit courts (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)), have had disputes with county boards concerning adequate funding of their courts. See, e.g., Knuepfer v. Fawell (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 284, and People ex rel. Bier v. Scholz (1979), 77 Ill. 2d 12.

The annual fiscal year budget of this State is approaching \$20 billion, yet over the years less than 1% of the State budget has been appropriated to the Supreme Court for the operations of all courts. The courts of Illinois, including the circuit courts, are State courts and the funding for their operations should come from appropriations made by the General Assembly. To be sure, full State funding of the circuit courts would be an additional expense to the State, but the cost would be spread among all of the citizens of Illinois, rather than just placing severe fiscal burdens on local taxpayers in the counties.

The Supreme Court is deeply cognizant that the exact cost of operating the circuit courts of Illinois is presently not fully known, principally because of the intricacies of county budgets, but some of the costs are known. For example, it is known, albeit based on admittedly incomplete reports, that the operational expenses of the 102 circuit clerks' offices are nearly \$60 million. (See 1983 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 172.) In some 27 States the State has assumed the primary responsibility for funding the trial courts, and the Supreme Court believes that it is time the State of Illinois seriously studies full State funding of our circuit courts. See generally Stout, "Planning for Unified Court Budgeting," 69 Judicature 205 (December-January 1986), where the author describes implementation of unified court budgeting in the State of New York.

Too, we should be mindful that

"The imposition upon the state of the obligation for all judicial salaries and expenses gives explicit constitutional sanction to the principle that judicial service, whatever may be the geographic areas from which judges are elected, is a state service. The administration of justice thus assumes coordinate status with constitutional state officers in Executive and Legislative Departments of government.***" Braden and Cohn, "The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis" 372 (1969).

The Supreme Court recommends that the General Assembly study State funding of the operations of the circuit courts with a view toward making the administration of justice in the circuit courts a State fiscal obligation, thereby realizing the dream of a constitutionally unified State court system in all respects.

Clerks of the Circuit Courts Should Be Appointed

The clerks of the circuit courts of Illinois are not county officials, but are nonjudicial members of the judicial branch of State government (*Drury v. County of McLean* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 417), and, like the clerks of the supreme and appellate courts, they should be appointed.

The Supreme Court Committee on Clerks of Court in its final report to this Court recommended that clerks of the circuit courts be appointed by the circuit court judges.

"While circuit clerks perform myriad duties requiring intelligence, discretion, good judgment and management talents, they are not responsible for formulating policy. Their principal responsibility is to faithfully execute polices set forth in statutes, rules, or order of court — regardless of the reaction of the local electorate, not in response to it. The idea that a clerk could frustrate the policy objectives of the court he serves on the grounds that he is elected, and therefore 'responsible to the people,' is intolerable. Our Constitution vests general administrative authority over the circuit courts in the Chief Judge, subject only to the general administrative and supervisory power of the Supreme Court. The clerk is an integral part of the judicial team, as are court reporters, for example, and that he should be elected rather than appointed is a historical and political anomaly having little, if anything, to do with promoting the efficiency or effectiveness of his office. The committee, therefore, recommends that circuit clerks become appointed non-judicial officers of the state court system." Report of Committee on Clerks of Court (January 1974), p. 17.

The Supreme Court recognizes that the power to provide for either the election or the appointment of clerks of the circuit court is a matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the General Assembly (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(b)). (Too, we observe that the Constitution provides that the General Assembly shall determine how the circuit court clerks' offices shall be funded (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(c)), and we note that the 83rd General Assembly adopted, in 1983, Senate Joint Resolution 54, which created a broad-based circuit court finance and budget committee to study and recommend "ways of [adequately] financing the office of Circuit Clerk in each county of the State;" however, no funds were appropriated for the committee's operation.) Nevertheless, the Supreme Court concurs with its committee's recommendation that clerks of the circuit courts should be appointed by the circuit judges of the respective circuits and again urges the General Assembly to consider changing the law in that respect.

The State Should Pay The Expenses Of Operating The Chief Circuit Judges' Office In Multi-County Circuits

The Illinois Constitution of 1970 places broad administrative authority in the chief circuit judge. To properly execute that authority, the chief judge needs personnel, office equipment, supplies and other items traditionally associated with management. In some multi-county circuits, the county boards contribute to a common fund to defray those expenses; in others they do not. In those circuits in which all counties do not contribute, an individual county board is reluctant to assume the full responsibility for paying the expenses of a chief judge's office which serves the management needs of counties within the circuit other than the chief judge's county of residence. Understandably, the county boards believe they cannot justify spending their county's taxpayers' funds for the expenses of the office of a chief judge who has circuit-wide management responsibilities. Most chief judges in multi-county circuits estimate the cost of operating their office to be modest.

The State pays the salary and travel expenses of each chief judge's administrative assistant (Pub. Act 84-998 (effective October 29, 1985) to be codified at III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 72.4-1; III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, pars. 72.4-2), but none of the other expenses associated with the chief judge's office is borne by the State. The Supreme Court believes that the expenses of the office of the chief judge in multi-county circuits

should be paid out of State appropriations.

Many multi-county circuits present complex problems of administration which cannot be met with the scarce resources presently available to most chief circuit judges. Some of the larger counties (including two single-county circuits — Cook County and DuPage County — and possibly the newly created third single-county circuit — Will County) do provide some administrative support over and above the administrative assistant who is paid by the State, but by-and-large the chief judges must get along in an increasingly hostile economic environment with only the meager tools offered by the State.

The Supreme Court is aware that its recommendation made on this subject last year was favorably received and handily passed by the General Assembly but vetoed by the Governor (see 1985 Final Legis. Synop. & Dig. 938-39 (House Bill 131)); however, we again recommend the adoption of a trial court administration program under which selected multi-county circuits, designated by the Supreme Court, could receive essential, Statesupported administrative personnel, equipment and supplies to assist the chief judge to fulfill his constitutional mandate to exercise "general administrative authority over his court ***" (III. Const. art. VI, 7(c)).

Statute Requiring Judicial Note on Certain Legislation Should be Followed by General Assembly

Statutes requiring that so-called impact notes be requested for certain types of legislation being considered by the General Assembly are beneficial to the legislative process: such statutes assist legislators in weighing the cost — fiscal and otherwise — against the benefits expected to be derived from the legislation, should it become law, by requiring specified State agencies to submit data on the impact of the legislation.

Perhaps no statute requiring an "impact note" is ignored or overlooked more often than "An Act requiring certain types of bills *** have provided a note indicating the effect thereof on the judicial system ***" (Judicial Note Act) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*). The essence of the Judicial Note Act is found in sections 1, 2, and 7 which in substance provide that every bill or amendment to a bill, "the purpose and effect of which is to increase or decrease the number of [judges], either directly or indirectly, shall have prepared for it" by the Supreme Court's administrative office, when the bill's sponsor presents the bill to that office, a judicial note "of the need of a change in the number of judges." See III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 63, pars. 42.61, 42.62, 42.67.

This Court has recently been presented with situations which demonstrate the wisdom of the Judicial Note Act and the consequences when it is ignored. Chief circuit judges have asked the Supreme Court to allocate to their circuits additional associate judges from the limited "pool" of such judgeships the General Assembly has given to the Court (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, par. 160.2-1) because, in the main, their judgeship needs have been substantially increased by the following laws, none of which had a judicial note:

- Public Act 83-1517 (1984 III. Laws 4088, 4100-02 (effective July 1, 1985)) adding to section 4-2 of the Juvenile Court Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985 Supp., ch. 37, par. 704-2) a "speedy adjudicatory hearing" provision. The effective date was delayed until April 1, 1986, by Public Act 84-12 (effective July 2, 1985).
- Public Act 84-7 (effective August 15, 1985) extensively amending the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 110, par. 1-101 et seq.) by providing new judicial procedures in cases of "healing art malpractice." For example, the amendment requires that a circuit judge be a member of and "preside over" a review panel in medical malpractice cases. The review panel is a procedure, not heretofore required, which necessitates additional judicial services.
- Public Act 84-272 (effective January 1, 1986) amending the Illinois Vehicle Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 95½, par. 1-100 et seq.) by requiring new judicial procedures in cases alleging a "drunk driving" offense. For example, in such cases a judicial hearing may be held to determine whether or not a "judicial driving permit" should be issued.
- Public Act 84-696 (effective September 20, 1985) amending the Illinois Domestic Violence Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 40, par. 2301-1 et seq.) by allowing a petitioner, when court is closed, to file a petition "before any available circuit judge or associate judge." In most instances, a "duty judge" will need to be available for such cases.

The Supreme Court is deeply concerned about the additional legislatively imposed responsibilities upon judges, without an assessment of the impact upon the judiciary as a whole, and urges the General Assembly to invoke the Judicial Note Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*) whenever the purpose or effect of a bill or bill amendment is to directly or indirectly increase, or decrease, the number of judges in Illinois.

Judges' Pension Benefits And Funding Need Re-Examination

The Supreme Court believes that in two respects article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code, commonly called the Judges Pension System, needs to be re-examined: the method of computing a judge's annuity and the absence of a provision allowing a "cost of living" increase for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity. The Court believes, too, that the level of State contributions to the System requires re-evaluation.

Section 18-125 of the Code, as amended by Public Act 82-768 (1982 III. Laws 152, 159 (effective January 1, 1983)), provides in relevant part that as of July 1, 1982, the retirement annuity "for any [judge] in service on or after [July 1, 1982] shall be the average salary for the final year of service as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 108½, par. 18-125(b).)

Immediately prior to the enactment of that amendatory act, section 18-125 provided in relevant part that the annuity was based upon a judge's salary "on the last day of employment as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 108½, par. 18-125(d).) The validity of the amendment was challenged in *Felt v. Board* of *Trustees* (1985), 107 III. 2d 158, and the Court held the amendment unconstitutional as applied to judges in service on or before January 1, 1983. The Supreme Court believes that section 18-125, as amended by Public Act 82-768, is in need of reconsideration, and the Court again suggests that consideration be given to returning section 18-125 to its former state that a judge's retirement annuity be based upon his salary "on the last day of employment as a judge."

Under the existing statutes a surviving spouse of a judge who contributed to the survivor's annuity benefit is entitled to an annuity in an amount scheduled by law. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 1081/2, pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-128.01, 18-133.) If the judge-annuitant at the time of his or her death was receiving the "cost of living" allowance (automatic increase in retirement annuity) (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 1081/2, par. 18-125.1), then the survivor's annuity will be based upon the annuity that the judge-annuitant "was receiving immediately prior to his or her death, inclusive of annual increases in the retirement annuity to the date of death" (emphasis added) (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 108¹/₂, par. 18-128.01(a)), but there is no provision in the statutes for future "cost of living" increases in the survivor's annuity. (In the case of a surviving spouse of a sitting judge the survivor's annuity is solely based on the judge's last salary or the annuity the judge would have been entitled to on the date of death. See III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 1081/2, par. 18-128.01(b).) The ravage of inflation is common knowledge, and its devastating effect on persons on fixed-incomes is well known. The survivors of a judge who had faithfully served in public office at a financial sacrifice should not have to wholly suffer the adverse economic consequences of inflationary spirals by seeing their static annuity being diminished for reasons beyond their control. The General Assembly has provided a one-time "cost of living" allowance in the survivor's benefits provided by other State retirement systems. (See, e.g., III. Rev. Stat. 1984 supp., ch. 1081/2, par. 16-143.1.) Our Court again urges that consideration be given to establishing a "cost of living" allowance for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity.

By law the State of Illinois is required to make contributions to the Judges Pension System through annual appropriations in amounts based upon a statutory formula (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 108½, par. 18-131), and payments of the "required State contributions *** are the obligations of the State ***." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 108½, par. 18-132). The Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System of Illinois, which is responsible for administering the System, has recently submitted its "Forty-Fourth Annual Report" for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1985. The report paints a dim picture, turning darker and darker as each year passes, concerning the actuarial soundness of the System, for the reason that the State has not appropriated its contributions at the level required by law. The report points out that, while the Board of Trustees has requested the level of appropriations necessary to adequately fund the System, as it is required by law to do (see III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-140), its appropriation requests "have been arbitrarily reduced *** below the amounts specifically mandated *** and required" by law; e.g., for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1985 and 1986, the Board requested \$18.6 and \$20.8 million, respectively, but less than 50% of the amounts requested was appropriated (\$8.2 million for FY 85 and \$9 million for FY 86). "In fact," states the report at pages 8-9, "for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1985, State contributions were substantially below the actual benefit payouts." (Emphasis added.) (See, generally, pages 6-9 of report.) The report notes further that the actuarially accepted rate of funding ("security ratio") for public pensions is 662/3 %, but as of June 30, 1985 the security ratio for the Judges Pension System stood at 22.9%, "the lowest of any public employee retirement system in the State of Illinois." (See page 7 and, generally, page 12 of report.) The report concludes at pages 36 and 37 that the 22.9% rate of funding is "extremely low" and "indicates that considerably larger appropriations by the State of Illinois *** must be made to meet the System's accrued and accruing pension liabilities." (The deep concern of the Board of Trustees is echoed by the Comptroller of this State who reports that the Illinois public pension systems, including the Judges Pension System, "may be headed for financial trouble unless state appropriations are returned to a higher level." See Comptroller's news-release attached to his "State of Illinois Fiscal Condition Report" (November 27, 1985).) The Supreme Court concurs with the report of the Board of Trustees, and we note that the Board has determined that the required appropriations for the next fiscal year total nearly \$22 million. The Court again urges the General Assembly to appropriate the State contributions in an amount sufficient to restore the fiscal health of the Judges Pension System.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to reexamine article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code and recent amendments thereto (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 108¹/₂, par. 18-101 *et seq.*; Ill. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 108¹/₂, par. 18-103 *et seq.*) and consider providing therein that a judge's annuity shall be based upon the judge's salary on the last day of judicial service and that the survivor's annuity be increased by a "cost of living" allowance, and the Court recommends that the Judges Pension System be adequately funded out of State appropriations.

Obsolete Statute Providing for Election and Terms of Appellate Judges Should Be Repealed

In 1963, in anticipation of the effective date, January 1, 1964, of the 1962 amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Illinois Constitution (Ill. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964)), section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and terms of judges of the Appellate Court" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 46, par. 555) was enacted into

law. (See III. Ann. Stat., ch. 46, par. 555, Historical Note, at 403 (Smith-Hurd 1965).) The Act, of course, implemented that part of the newly adopted judicial article which created the appellate court by establishing the number of appellate judges to be elected in 1964 and the length of their terms.

Section 1 of the Act was implemented when candidates were elected to the appellate court in the 1964 general election. Accordingly, the statute has served the purpose for which it was enacted and is now obsolete. Furthermore, section 1 of "An Act in relation to the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, par. 25) establishes the number of appellate judges to be elected in each judicial district, and the 1970 Illinois Constitution establishes judges' terms of office (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10).

The Supreme Court therefore recommends that the General Assembly repeal, as it has been long implemented and is now obsolete, section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and terms of judges of the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 46, par. 555).

Financial Resources Should Be Provided For The Operation Of Pretrial Services Agencies At The Circuit Court Level

In 1976 the Illinois Judicial Conference undertook a comprehensive evaluation of the administration of bail in Illinois with the express purpose of developing recommendations for the improvement of the pretrial release system. The Study Committee on Bail Procedures comprised of judges from throughout the State was created in the fall of 1976, and produced three reports: Illinois Pretrial Release Manual (July 1, 1977); Final Report (March 1978); and a supplemental report, Performance Standards for Illinois Pretrial Services Agencies (December 1980).

The 1978 Final Report was the result of the study committee's personal visits to other jurisdictions, where the committee examined bail projects, and numerous regional hearings in Illinois. That report set forth detailed recommendations for court rules and legislative action, and this Court forwarded some of those recommendations to the General Assembly in our January 31, 1979, annual report. (See reprint of our 1979 annual report in 1978 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 17-18.) And the General Assembly responded favorably. See, e.g., 1981 III. Laws 2434.

Most recently the General Assembly again considered the administration of bail, and a number of recommendations contained in the study committee's 1978 report were enacted into law. (See Public Act 84-945, effective September 25, 1985.) However, while Illinois bail procedures have been measurably improved in recent years, one of the study committee's major recommendations has not been implemented. In this Court's January 31, 1982, annual report to the General Assembly (reprinted in 1981 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 27-28), we noted that the study committee's 1978 report recommended the creation of effective pretrial services, and that in its 1980 supplemental report on Performance Standards for Illinois Pretrial Services Agencies the study committee recommended specific guidelines for each judicial circuit to provide informational and supervisory assistance to trial judges in determining the appropriate conditions for pretrial release.

We are all acutely aware of the importance of a well-informed determination of the basis for pretrial release. There must be a meaningful balancing of the rights of the accused and the security of society. Many today would agree with the observation made nearly 60 years ago:

"***the present system, in too many instances, neither guarantees security to society nor safeguards the rights of the accused. The system is lax with those with whom it should be stringent and stringent with those with whom it could safely be less severe." Beeley, *The Bail System in Chicago* (1927; reprinted 1966), 160, cited in Performance Standards for Illinois Pretrial Services Agencies, p. 5.

Though the judiciary has the responsibility to decide pretrial release issues, reliable and timely resource information upon which to base a knowledgeable decision is often lacking. Public Act 84-945, the so-called bail reform act, recognizes the importance of reliable and timely information in amended section 110-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (to be codified at Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 110-5(a)), by setting forth specified matters "the court shall, on the basis of available information, take into account" in determining the amount of monetary bail or conditions of release to be imposed upon the accused. and by requiring the court to consider "reliable information." But who will supply to the trial judge information which is both accurate and timely? The study committee's 1980 supplemental report recommends the creation of an agency that can interview the accused in advance of trial, verify the information gathered, and supply additional information as a result of record checks and other sources which will assist the trial judge in arriving at informed conditions for pretrial release. After release the agency will monitor the accused's compliance with the release conditions and provide the court with notice of any violations.

Though funding requirements for pretrial services agencies may be substantial, the benefits to the citizens of this State and the administration of justice are obvious. The criminal justice system has perhaps slowly come to realize that the period between arrest and trial is every bit as important to the accused and the general public in assuring informed judicial determinations as is the imposition of sentence following trial. Judges would be unable to properly perform their sentencing responsibilities in serious cases without the verified information made available to them by probation departments in the presentence investigation report. Similarly the trial judge should be provided with verified information upon which to rely in determining the conditions of release of an accused into the community prior to trial. The Supreme Court commends to the General Assembly's attention the need for pretrial services agencies and the basis for funding such operations.

The Legislative Scheme Allowing State's Attorney Fees Should Be Re-Examined

The criminal costs statute provides that a defendant who is convicted of an offense must pay the costs of his prosecution. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 180-3.) Section 8(a) of "An Act concerning fees and salaries ***" (see Pub. Act 84-312 (effective September 14, 1985) and Pub. Act 84-774 (effective September 21, 1985) to be codified at III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) establishes a schedule of State's Attorney fees, applicable principally to criminal prosecutions, which requires his fees to be "taxed as costs and to be collected from the defendant, if possible, upon conviction." Section 8(a) also states that a State's Attorney is entitled to appeal fees, which are to be assessed as costs when he successfully defends an appeal brought by a convicted criminal defendant. See also III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 110, par. 5-120.

In People v. Nicholls (1978), 71 Ill. 2d 166, this Court considered the above-cited statutes and other statutory provisions governing fees and costs, and we said that the allowance and recovery of costs, being unknown at common law, is wholly grounded in statutory law. We referred to the defendant's contention that section 8 (now section 8(a)) is obsolete because it was originally enacted to provide compensation to State's Attorneys but now the office of State's Attorney is a salaried position (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985 Supp., ch. 53, par. 7 (amended by Pub. Act 84-241 (effective January 1, 1986)); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 53, par. 22a). We then stated, and have since reiterated in In re W.W. (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 53, 58, that "[i]n light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures, the provisions of section 8[(a)] [citation] relating to State's Attorney fees may appear to be a relic of another era which might well merit the attention of the legislature." (71 Ill. 2d 166, 179.) Too, the administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court has recommended, and this Court agrees, elimination of the State's Attorney appeal and per diem fees in section 8(a) which are taxed as costs against an unsuccessful criminal appellant (see Nicholls and People v. Agnew (1985), 105 Ill. 2d 275). See also People v. Crete (1985), 133 Ill. App. 3d 24, 34, leave to appeal allowed (S. Ct. Doc. 62091), where the court noted that such fees are "considered obsolete in view of present day procedures."

Although section 8 was recently amended to allow a prosecution fee to a municipality for certain traffic convictions prosecuted by the municipal attorney (Public Acts 84-312, 84-774), the Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to re-examine section 8(a) (to be codified at III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) "in light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures" and to consider abolishing the State's Attorney trial and appeal fees provided therein.

Section 5-6-4(h) Of The Unified Code Of Corrections Should Be Amended To Prohibit Automatic Crediting Of Time Spent On Probation

Section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) states that where a defendant is resentenced after revocation of his probation, conditional discharge or supervision, the "[t]ime served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall be credited by the court against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983. ch. 38. par. 1005-6-4-(h); see also III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4.1(h).) In People v. Hollingsworth (1982), 89 III. 2d 466, defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The sentencing judge's order was ambiguous in that the order credited against defendant's sentence of imprisonment the time he served in custody after his arrest for the probation violation but did not expressly say anything about time served on probation. Relying on People v. Hills (1980), 78 Ill. 2d 500, we held that "[i]f the court decides to deny credit for probation time, it should say so; the point should not be left to inference or interpretation. If the court does not expressly deny credit, the defendant is entitled to it under section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections [citation], which contemplates that credit will usually be allowed." (89 III. 2d 466, 468.) Thus, if the order revoking probation, conditional discharge or supervision and sentencing defendant to imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is silent or ambiguous concerning unconfined probation time credit (see People v. Scheib (1979), 76 Ill. 2d 244), the time served while on probation will be automatically credited against the sentence of imprisonment. See also People v. Goodman (1984), 102 III. 2d 18, which permits credit under section 5-6-4(h) during the period probation, conditional discharge or supervision is tolled pursuant to section 5-6-4(a)(3) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(a)(3)).

This Court believes the "automatic credit" provision of the Code would better serve the administration of justice if it were amended. As section 5-6-4(h) now stands, if, upon revoking defendant's probation, the judge sentences the defendant to short-term imprisonment, for example, and the sentencing order does not expressly say anything about probation time credit, or ambiguously says it, probation time credit will be given, even though such credit could make the sentence of imprisonment meaningless. Such an anomaly would defeat the purpose of the judge's sentence. Indeed, such a fact situation has recently been considered by the Illinois Appellate Court in several decisions. (See People v. Tarter (1985), 131 Ill. App. 3d 703, where after revoking the defendant's conditional discharge the trial judge resentenced him to 14 days in jail which was rendered meaningless, a "most lugubrious" result, because the time he had already spent on conditional discharge exceeded 14 days, and People v. Austin (1983), 116 III. App. 3d 95, where defendant's sentence to 120 days in jail following probation revocation was rendered meaningless because time spent on probation had exceeded 120 days; see also People v. Weatherall (1985), 131 Ill. App. 3d 867, 870.

The Supreme Court, therefore, again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h)) to provide that, unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall *not* be credited against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment.

Trial Judge Should Determine Matters To Be Included In Presentence Report In Minor Offenses

Section 5-3-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) requires, unless the "parties agree to the imposition of a specific sentence." that a defendant convicted of a felony shall not be sentenced without the sentencing judge first considering "a written presentence report of investigation;" however, in other criminal cases the sentencing judge "may order" a presentence report. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-1.) While such a report is mandatory in sentencing for a felony (People v. Youngbey (1980), 82 III. 2d 556; see also People v. Harris (1985), 105 III. 2d 290 (report required before resentencing in felony probation revocation cases)), it is not, by the terms of section 5-3-1, required in minor offense cases (People v. Williams (1977), 45 Ill. App. 3d 287). Section 5-3-2(a) of the Code sets forth the matters which the presentence report "shall" contain, including, generally, the defendant's criminal history, his family background, special resources in the community that might be available to assist in the defendant's rehabilitation, the impact of the offense upon the victim, defendant's status since arrest, etc. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-2(a).) It is the content of the presentence report ordered by the sentencing judge, in his discretion, in minor offense cases, such as misdemeanors or traffic offenses, that causes some concern.

Probation officers, who are responsible for preparing the presentence report, and perhaps trial judges, view section 5-3-2(a) as requiring that the content of the report include all of the matters specified in the statute when the sentencing judge orders, in his discretion, a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Arguably that view is supported by case law. (People v. Young (1977), 52 Ill. App. 3d 671.) However, it is well recognized in this State, despite a recent trend to upgrade probation departments, that there is an insufficient number of probation officers and resources. Given these circumstances, probation officers devote most of their time and effort supervising felony probationers and preparing written presentence reports of investigation of defendants convicted of a felony, as required by section 5-3-1. The time and effort it takes a probation officer to prepare a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense, which includes all of the matters specified in section 5-3-2(a), obviously will lessen his or her availability to prepare presentence reports of defendants convicted of a felony. The sentencing judge would appear to be in the best position to know what matters he needs in a presentence report before

sentencing a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Presumably, in most cases, such a report need not contain all of the matters required by section 5-3-2(a), and accordingly less time would be needed by a probation officer to prepare the report, allowing him or her to supervise, and prepare presentence reports, of felons.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly continue to consider (see, e.g., House Bill 2355) providing that presentence reports, when ordered in minor offense cases, shall contain only the matters that the sentencing judge directs be included.

Persons Convicted Of A Minor Offense Should Be Allowed Under Certain Conditions, To Expunge Their Criminal Records

In *People v. Bushnell* (1984), 101 III. 2d 261, our Court decided the question of whether a person, who led a law-abiding life for the 20 years following her conviction for a misdemeanor, could have her conviction record expunged. In *Bushnell* the defendant, in 1961, had been convicted of obtaining money under false pretenses, a misdemeanor, and was placed on probation for one year. She successfully completed probation. Then, in 1981, defendant petitioned the Circuit Court for an order to vacate her 1961 conviction so that she could then seek a court order to expunge her record of arrest and conviction. The Circuit Court considered that defendant had led a law-abiding life since her conviction and, in the interest of justice, granted her petition to vacate the conviction.

Our Court examined prior decisions of this Court and relevant statutory provisions, including section 5 of "An Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation" (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 38, par. 206-5). Section 5, of course, refers to expungement of arrest and arrest-related records of a person not convicted; it does not permit expungement of judgments of conviction. We therefore concluded that a court does not have jurisdiction to expunge a record containing a judgment of conviction. But we went on to say that " 'there are obvious advantages in purging oneself of the stigma and disabilities which attend a criminal conviction' [citation]. In addition, we find merit to [the] argument that a person who has led a law-abiding life for 20 years after a certain misdemeanor conviction should be able to rid himself of the criminal record. However, since there is no statutory authority nor a common law or constitutional basis to grant such relief, the issue should more appropriately be addressed to the legislature." 101 III. 2d 261, 268

The Supreme Court is aware that the General Assembly is considering legislation to allow expungement of criminal records of persons convicted of misdemeanor offenses (see e.g., House Bill 2321), and the Court again urges continued legislative deliberation to provide statutory relief to persons who, having been convicted of certain minor offenses and having led a long law-abiding life thereafter, seek to expunge their criminal records.

Inaccurate Terminology In Speedy Trial Statute Should Be Corrected

Section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, the so-called speedy trial statute, in several paragraphs refers to "an examination for competency ordered pursuant to Section 104-2 of this Act," "competency," and "incompetency." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, pars. 103-5(a), (b), (e).) Section 104-2 of the Code, however, was repealed, effective January 1, 1973, some 13 years ago, and was ultimately replaced by section 104-10 *et seq.* (III. Rev. Stat. 1983), ch. 38, pars. 104-10 *et seq.*). (See III. Ann. Stat., ch. 38, pars. 104-1 — 104-3, Historical Note, at 210 (Smith-Hurd 1980).) Furthermore, the relevant terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* refers to examinations to determine "fitness" or "unfitness," rather than "competency" or "incompetency" as formerly provided in repealed sections 104-1 — 104-3.

Our appellate court has recently noted that the present standard of "fitness" must be equated with "incompetence" for purposes of the speedy trial statute and that "[u]nfortunately, as a result of legislative oversight the reference to 'Section 104-2' and an examination for 'competency' [in section 103-5] was never changed to correspond to the present statutory provision nor was this section amended to reflect the new terminology of 'fitness' instead of 'competency.' "*People v. Sonntag* (1984), 128 III. App. 3d 548, 555, and cases cited therein; see also dissenting opinion in *People v. Williams* (1985), 137 III. App. 3d 816, 820-21 (Welch, J., dissenting).

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's assessment, and recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 103-5) so that it refers to the appropriate provision and incorporates the proper terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* of the Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 104-10 *et seq.*).

The Eavesdropping Statute Should Be Re-Examined

Article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 provides that a State's Attorney may secure approval from a "circuit judge" for an order authorizing or approving the use of an eavesdropping device. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*) However, experience has shown that on occasion no circuit judge will be available to rule on an application for use of such devices. For example, all of the circuit judges might be attending the constitutionally mandated annual meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference. (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 17.) In such situations, a hardship is worked on the State's Attorney who, it would seem, must wait for the return of a circuit judge in order to secure approval for the use of an eavesdrop.

To be noted, though, are pertinent provisions of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. Section 9 of article VI provides in part that "Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters ***." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 9.) The judges, who sit in the Circuit Court and possess and exercise its original jurisdiction, are of course the circuit judges and associate judges. Section 8 of article VI, however, provides that the Supreme Court "shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 8.) Our Rule 295 permits a chief judge to assign an associate judge to preside in any matters except the trial of felony cases. The rule then provides: "Upon a showing of need presented to the supreme court by the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year."

Considering the constitutional grant to the Circuit Courts of "original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters" which is exercised by both circuit and associate judges, the constitutional authority placed in this Court to determine matters assignable to associate judges, and our Rule 295, the Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq..).

There is another aspect of article 108A which is troublesome. Section 108A-11 requires circuit judges and State's Attorneys to file with the Supreme Court's administrative office certain reports concerning the use of eavesdropping devices, and further requires our administrative office to file an annual eavesdropping report with the General Assembly. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-11; see also Pub. Act 84-1100, sec. 2, effective December 9, 1985, which amends section 108A-11(c) by reducing the amount of data the administrative office is required to include in its annual eavesdropping report.) These reports, however, arise from "the investigation of any felony" by law enforcement officials or agencies (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-1) and are related to the prosecution of criminal offenses. It would therefore appear appropriate that the reporting and reportcollection responsibilities are better reposed in an executive branch law enforcement agency rather than in our administrative office, a judicial branch agency. Too, we note, as we did in our January 31, 1983, annual report to the General Assembly (reprinted in 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 32), that " 'the proper relationship between the legislature and the court is one of cooperation and assistance' [citation] in matters concerning the administration of justice and functioning of our court and judicial system, but our constitutional duty to administer and supervise the courts, through the chief justice with the assistance of our administrative office [III. Const. art. VI, sec. 16], is greatly hindered when the General Assembly [mandates] that the administrative office perform functions as determined by the legislature."

For these reasons the Supreme Court suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining the reporting and reportcollection requirements in section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-11).

Statutory Provisions Relating To The Selection Of Jurors Should Be Uniform

As a result of this Court's decision in *People v. Jackson* (1977), 69 III. 2d 252, the General Assembly amended section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code). That section now reads: "After examination by the court the jurors may be examined, passed upon, accepted and tendered by opposing counsel as provided by Supreme Court rules." (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 38, par. 115-4(f).) The Supreme Court, in 1982, adopted Rule 434, now Rule 434(a), which provides: "In criminal cases the parties shall pass upon and accept the jury in panels of four, commencing with the State, unless the court, in its discretion, directs otherwise ***." (103 III. 2d R. 434(a).) See *People v. Moss* (1985), 108 III. 2d 270,274.

However, similar and related sections in "An Act concerning jurors ***" (Jurors Act) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 78, pars. 21, 23) were not amended and, accordingly, do not appear to be in complete harmony with section 115-4(f) of the Code and Supreme Court Rule 434(a). Section 21 of the Jurors Act provides for the examination of prospective jurors and for their selection in panels of four. Section 23 makes the provisions of section 21 applicable to "both civil and criminal cases." Thus, there appears to exist a conflict between sections 21 and 23 of the Jurors Act and section 115-4(f) of the Code.

In addition, the procedure for jury selection in criminal cases, as provided in section 115-4(f) and Rule 434(a), is sound and consideration should be given to adopting that procedure in civil cases.

The Court again urges the General Assembly to consider amending sections 21 and 23 of the Jurors Act to conform with section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to make the jury selection procedure in civil cases "as provided by Supreme Court rules."

Applicability of the Unemployment Insurance Act To Closely Held Family Corporations Should Be Studied

Whether an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation, for whom the corporation made contributions to the unemployment trust fund, and who is otherwise eligible for unemployment benefits, is ineligible to receive unemployment compensation is a question this Court addressed in *Garland v. Department of Labor* (1984), 104 III. 2d 383. In *Garland* the Department of Labor denied unemployment benefits to plaintiffs, for the period they claimed unemployment, merely because during the period claimed they retained the status of corporate officers. Each plaintiff was an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation engaged in the construction business. Plaintiffs, as employees of the corporations, became unemployed solely because they were laid off as a result of the seasonal nature of the business, but they retained their status as corporate officers. Except for the retention of the corporate officer positions, there was no question that plaintiffs were eligible for benefits under the Unemployment Insurance Act (Act). Ill Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq*.

The Court examined the Act in sections 100 (declaration of public policy), 206 (definition of employment), 234 (definition of wages), 239 (definition of unemployed individual), 1400 (payment of employer's contributions), and 2100 (handling of funds) (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, pars. 300, 316, 344, 349, 550, and Ill. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 48, par. 660), and concluded that plaintiffs were "unemployed individuals" and entitled to unemployment benefits. The Court specifically observed that the Act "contains no exclusionary provision which would deny benefits to an otherwise eligible claimant merely because he is an officer of a corporation." (104 III. 2d 383, 389.) However, in response to the contention that disallowing benefits to plaintiffs would "prevent such business owners and operators from manipulating their own employment status in order to subsidize the family (corporate) income with unemployment benefits," we noted that the Appellate Court (Carland v. Department of Labor (1984), 121 III. App. 3d 562, and Scott v. Board of Review (1984), 123 III. App. 3d 187) "considered this argument and concluded that the potential for abuse can only be eliminated by legislative action. We agree." (104 III. 2d 383, 391-92.) Furthermore, the concurring opinion commented that "the unemployment compensation system in its existing form is subject to manipulation and abuse by unscrupulous corporate owners and officers," that the Act is "not intended as a means of supplementing the income of corporate stockholders and officers in the form of unemployment benefits," and that the General Assembly should "reconsider the provisions of the Act as they pertain to assessments upon salaries of officer-employees in light of the potential for abuse." 104 III. 2d 383, 393 (Underwood, J., concurring, joined by Ryan, C.J.).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to study the provisions of the Unemployment Insurance Act (III. Rev. Stat., 1983, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq.*) as they apply to officer-employees of closely held family corporations.

Procedures For Notice By Publication In Corporate Dissolution Cases Brought By The Attorney General Should Be Uniform

An action may be brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act of 1983 to dissolve a corporation (1) if the corporation's certificate of incorporation is obtained through fraud, (2) if the corporation has exceeded or abused its authority, or (3) if the corporation, its officers or directors have falsely or incompletely answered interrogatories propounded to them by the Secretary of State. (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 32, par. 12.50 (a).) If the Attorney General seeks to dissolve a

corporation for abandonment of its corporate franchise, however, the action is brought under "An Act providing for the dissolution of corporations in certain cases" (Corporation Dissolution Act). III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 32, par. 190 et seq.

In proceedings under both acts, the circuit clerk's office causes the issuance of a summons as in other civil cases (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 32, par. 12.60(a); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 32, par. 192). In a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Corporation Dissolution Act, if process is returned not found, then service by publication is made by the circuit clerk. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 32, par. 192.) But, if process is returned not found in a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act, service by publication is made in an entirely different manner. (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 32, par. 12.60(b).) First, "the Attorney General shall cause publication to be made," rather than the circuit clerk's office. Second, the Attorney General "may include in one notice the names of any number of corporations against which actions are then pending in the same court." Finally, notice is published at least once a week for two consecutive weeks, rather than for the three weeks specified in the Corporation Dissolution Act.

The existence of two separate methods of service by publication in corporate dissolution cases brought by the Attorney General's office causes needless confusion in circuit clerks' offices. The clerk must ascertain the statutory basis for a complaint in order to determine whether notice should conform to the Corporation Dissolution Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 32, par. 192) or whether the notice procedures of the Business Corporation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 32, par. 12.60(b)) must be followed. The General Assembly should examine the statutory provisions governing service by publication in corporate dissolution cases with an eye toward establishing a single notice procedure to be followed by circuit clerks' offices in these cases.

The Election Code Provisions Governing Modification Of Boundaries Of Election Precincts By County Boards Should Be Clarified

The proper scope of authority granted to certain county boards for modifying the number and size of election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 46, pars. 11-1, 11-2) has been the subject of confusion and debate for a number of years. Our Court suggests that the General Assembly review sections 11-1 and 11-2 and provide a clarification of legislative intent, especially as to the permissible modification of election precinct boundaries and population by county boards.

The relationship between section 11-1 and section 11-2 of the Election Code has allowed for conflict of interpretation for many years. The issue of whether those two statutes allow for the county board to consolidate precincts has been a major point of controversy. The permissible limits of modification under

these two statutory provisions have been the subject of at least two conflicting formal opinions of the Attorney General's office. (See 1976 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 139 and 1979 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 60.) Too, the Illinois Appellate Court has been called upon to interpret the consolidation issue of precincts under sections 11-1 and 11-2. (See *Town of Naples v. County of Scott* (1982), 111 III. App. 3d 186.) In concluding that the county board does not have the power to consolidate precincts under the Election Code, the majority opinion stated that the legislature should "reevaluate the relevant statutes for purposes of clarification and possible amendment, authorizing consolidation of election precincts ***" (111 III. App. 3d 186, 192), and the specially concurring opinion said, "The statutory language is confusing and *** urgently requires legislative clarification" (111 III. App. 3d 186, 194 (Green, J., specially concurring)).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to review and, where necessary, to clarify the authority given to certain county boards to modify election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code.

Illinois Commerce Commission, Not Circuit Court, Should Determine Rates Charged By Municipal Utility To Consumers Outside Of Municipality

Should the Circuit Court, in absence of agreement between the parties, fix and determine the rates to be charged to consumers outside of a municipality's corporate limits for water pumped to them by a municipally owned and operated water utility? The Illinois Appellate Court thought not (see *Inland Real Estate Corp. v. Village of Palatine* (1982), 107 III. App. 3d 279, 284), and this Court agrees.

Two statutory provisions are implicated: section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Code) and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (Act). Section 11-117-4 of the Code provides in part that a municipality may sell water to consumers or users outside its corporate limits from a water plant owned and operated by the municipality, and for that purpose it may lay water mains, construct and operate pumping stations, etc., in which case, to allow the municipality a fair return to cover financing, construction, etc., the municipality and the party representing the consumers may enter into a contract for water rates to be charged; however, if the rates cannot be agreed upon, then "such rates shall be fixed and determined by the Circuit Court of the county in which the municipality which has financed, constructed, operated and maintained the improved [water] facilities is located." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4.) Section 3-105 of the Act defines "public utility" and specifically excludes from the definition "public utilities that are owned and operated by any *** municipal corporation of this State ***." (See Pub. Act 84-617 (effective January 1, 1986) to be codified at III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111²/₃, par. 3-105.) The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), of course, has general supervision over all public utilities, unless otherwise provided, including rate-making. See, generally, Pub. Act 84-617 to be codified at III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. $111\frac{1}{3}$, par. 4-101 et seq.

In Inland Real Estate Corp., the Appellate Court ruled that section 3-105's predecessor, section 10.3 of the Act, eliminates from the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction and review municipally owned public utilities, and that no other language of the Act "manifests an intention of the legislature to provide otherwise or *** distinguishes municipal ownership of a utility within its corporate limits from ownership beyond its territorial boundaries." (107 III. App. 3d 279, 282.) The court said that section 10.3 (now section 3-105) is plain and unambiguous, and "[i]f the General Assembly had intended to create an exception for utilities owned by a municipality but located and serving customers outside its corporate limits, it has not so stated ***. Although we believe that such utilities should come within the authority of the ICC, we are of the opinion that any expansion of its jurisdiction to include municipally owned utilities beyond their corporate limits must come through the legislative process." 107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 284.

The Supreme Court concurs with the Appellate Court, and we add that the fixing and determination of utility rates, as provided in section 11-117-4 of the Code, is a responsibility better reposed in an executive or legislative agency which possesses special expertise, such as the Illinois Commerce Commission, rather than in the Circuit Court. The Court again invites the General Assembly to continue to consider (see, e.g., House Bill 2366) removing from section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4) the nonjudicial function that the Circuit Court shall fix and determine water utility rates, and, to the extent necessary, amending section 11-117-4 of the Code and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (to be codified at Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111²/₃, par. 3-105) by placing such function in the Illinois Commerce Commission.

The Reference To Supreme Court Rule 302(a) In Workers' Compensation And Occupational Diseases Acts Should Be Deleted

Section 19(f) (2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (Acts) (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (2), 172.54(f) (2)) provides that appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission "shall be taken to the Supreme Court in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 302(a)."

Prior to Februrary 1, 1984, Rule 302(a) provided: "Appeals from final judgments of circuit courts shall be taken directly to the Supreme Court *** (2) *in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission* ***." (Emphasis added.) Effective February 1, 1984, however, Rule 302(a) was amended by deleting from subparagraph (2) the language emphasized above, and Rule 22 was amended to provide that such appeals be taken to the Industrial Commission division of the Illinois Appellate Court. (94 III. 2d Rules 22(g), 302(a); *Yellow Cab Co. v. Jones* (1985), 108 III. 2d 330.) Thus, as provided in Supreme Court Rule 22(g), appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission are now taken to the appellate court's Industrial Commission division, not to the Supreme Court. Obviously, the reference to our Court and Rule 302(a) in both Acts is now incorrect and misleading.

The Supreme Court suggests that the General Assembly consider removing the reference to "Supreme Court" and "Supreme Court Rule 302(a)" presently contained in section 19(f) (2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (2), 172.54(f) (2)).

Section 7(a) Of The Workers' Compensation Act Should Be Reconsidered

In Interlake, Inc. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 95 Ill. 2d 181, this Court considered whether the surviving spouse of a fatally injured employee, who, with her minor children, received compensation benefits under section 7(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act), should continue to receive the benefits notwithstanding her remarriage. Section 7(a) of the Act provides in part that the surviving spouse of a fatally injured employee shall be paid workers' compensation benefits during her lifetime and if there is any surviving child (children), the benefits are payable "until the death of the [surviving spouse] or until the youngest child shall reach the age of 18, whichever shall come later." But section 7(a) goes on to say that should the surviving spouse remarry and if the deceased employee "did not leave surviving any child or children, who, at the time of such remarriage, are entitled to compensation benefits under this Act, the surviving spouse shall be paid a lump sum equal to 2 years compensation and all further rights of such [surviving spouse] shall be extinguished," and that if the deceased employee leaves a surviving child (children) under 18 years of age who at the time of the employee's death is entitled to section 7(a) benefits, "the weekly compensation payments herein provided for such child or children shall in any event continue for a period of not less than 6 years." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.7(a).

In Interlake the deceased employee left surviving his wife and eight children, two of whom were under 18 years of age at the time of their father's death. The Industrial Commission awarded the surviving spouse lifetime benefits for her use and for the care of her two minor children. Thereafter, the surviving spouse remarried, at which time the two children were still minors and entitled to section 7(a) benefits. This Court held that the surviving spouse did not forfeit her "share" by remarriage and her "share" did not pass to her minor children, who could have collected compensation until they attained 18 years of age. We ruled that the plain language of section 7(a) of the Act "provides for the payment of death benefits until the [surviving spouse] dies, or until the children reach 18, whichever is later. If, however, the [surviving spouse] remarries when *none* of the [surviving] children [is] entitled to compensation, she is to receive a lump sum *** and then her rights are extinguished. Under the language of the section, [the surviving spouse] is entitled to benefits until she dies, because she did not remarry at a time when none of the [surviving] children [was] entitled to support. There simply is no provision in the statute for terminating a [surviving spouse's] benefits upon remarriage when there remain minor children entitled to support." (95 III. 2d 181, 191.) We further stated that the language of section 7(a) is clear and that the "legislature could have included a provision terminating a [surviving spouse's] benefits in a case where she remarries with children entitled to support, but it did not." (95 III. 2d 181, 193.) See also *Stewart v. Industrial Com.* (1985), 135 III. App. 3d 661, leave to appeal allowed (S. Ct. Doc. 62345).

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to reconsider section 7(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.7(a)) as it applies to continuation of the surviving spouse's compensation benefits where at the time of remarriage the surviving spouse has minor children entitled to support.

Penalty Provisions Of The Workers' Compensation Act Are In Need Of Clarification

In Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, and Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, a majority of the Court in each decision ruled that the Industrial Commission's penalty awards to the injured employee for unreasonable delay in payment of compensation by the employer under sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act) were not contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence. However, as pointed out in the dissenting opinion in each decision, the penalty provisions of the Act, sections 19(k) and 19(l), should be re-examined. See dissenting opinion in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, 14 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting, joined by Underwood and Moran, JJ.), and in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, 26 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting).

Section 19(k) of the Act states in relevant part that "where there has been any unreasonable or vexatious delay of payment. *** of compensation ***, then the Commission may award compensation additional to that otherwise payable under this Act equal to 50% of the amount payable at the time of such award. Failure to pay compensation in accordance with [section 8(b)] shall be considered unreasonable delay." (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 48, par. 138.19(k).) Section 19(l) of the Act provides in pertinent part that where "the employer *** shall without good and just cause fail, neglect, refuse or unreasonably delay the payment of weekly compensation benefits *** during the period of temporary total disability *** the Commission shall allow to the employee additional compensation in the sum of \$10 per day for each day that a weekly compensation payment has been so withheld or refused, provided that such additional compensation shall not exceed the sum of \$2,500." (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 48, par. 138.19(l).) In the dissenting opinion in

each *Board of Education* decision, it was observed that it appeared the penalties for failure to pay compensation for temporary total disability were assessed under both section 19(k) and section 19(l) for the same alleged delay or default of the employer (93 III. 2d 1, 15, 93 III. 2d 20, 26), and in *Board of Education v. Industrial Com.* (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, 28, it was noted the Industrial Commission has with increasing frequency been awarding penalties under sections 19(k) and 19(l). (See also, e.g., *Continental Distributing Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1983), 98 III. 2d 407.) In the dissenting opinions, it was further observed that sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Act "appear to be overlapping and confusing, and are in need of clarification by the General Assembly" (93 III. 2d 1, 14), and that "it is imperative that the legislature reconsider the various penalty provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act and clarify their applicability" (93 III. 2d 20, 27).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to reexamine sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1984 Supp., ch. 48, pars. 138.19(k), 138.19(l)) and clarify when penalties may be assessed thereunder.

Legislative Guidelines Are Needed For Rehabilitation Programs Ordered Under The Workers' Compensation Act

In several cases that have come before our Court, we have considered the rehabilitation provision of section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act). (See, e.g., Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 91 III. 2d 278, Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 86 III. 2d 489, and Kropp Forge Co. v. Industrial Com. (1981), 85 III. 2d 226.) In pertinent part section 8(a) requires that the employer pay for a work-related injured employee's necessary medical, surgical and hospital expenses, and further requires that the "employer shall also pay for treatment, instruction and training necessary for the physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation of the employee, including all maintenance costs and expenses incidental thereto. If as a result of the injury the employee is unable to be self-sufficient the employer shall further pay for such maintenance or institutional care as shall be required." III. Rev. Stat. 1985 Supp., ch. 48, par. 138.8(a).

In *Hunter* the Industrial Commission, without taking evidence, ordered under section 8(a) of the Act the employer to provide all necessary medical expenses, treatment, instruction, and training necessary for the injured employee's physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation, including all maintenance costs and expenses, and necessary tuition costs and expenses to attend a university. This Court pointed out that, unlike workers' compensation statutes in other States, section 8(a) of the Illinois Act does not set forth a detailed scheme on the question of vocational rehabilitation but rather only states that the employer "shall also pay" for rehabilitative efforts when "necessary." The Court stated further that States, such as Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New Hampshire, have established procedures under which the injured employee is examined and evaluated by a public or local rehabilitation agency or by trained medical personnel of

the State's compensation board, which then makes a recommendation as to whether rehabilitation assistance is necessary, and, if so, what it should be. We then stated that the "value of such a procedure is obvious. A court, rather than being compelled to gauge the necessity and value of a proposed rehabilitation program itself, is able to receive recommendations from trained rehabilitation personnel, which it can review." (86 III. 2d 489, 498.) We further stated that since Illinois does not have such a procedure, the nature and form of rehabilitation requested appears to be based on the claimant's wish unless, of course, he has received rehabilitation counseling through a public or private agency. To the same effect is our observation in *Zenith* where in paraphrasing *Hunter*, we said section 8(a) does not provide for "any statutory procedures to govern proposed rehabilitation programs." 91 III. 2d 278, 287.

The Supreme Court believes that the lack of legislative procedures to assist the courts and Commission in determining the extent of necessary vocational rehabilitation is a continuing concern. (See Power and North, Rehabilitation In Illinois, 73 Ill. B. J. 323 (1985), 325, where the authors note that our Court "has repeatedly stated the need for *** guidance" and that it is "imperative that [legislative] procedures be instituted to initiate, conduct and terminate rehabilitation programs, both for the benefit of employers and employees.") Cases in which the issue is raised continue to be appealed. (See, e.g., National Tea Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 424, C.D. Turner & Sons, Inc. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 231, and McLean Trucking Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 213.) In National Tea Co. we said, after quoting section 8(a): "The legislature has failed to set forth any procedures or standards to aid the Commission in determining the extent to which rehabilitation is 'necessary.' In view of the frequency with which this issue arises, it seems evident that some flexible guidelines should be established." (97 III. 2d 424, 431.) We then observed that the Commission has by rule taken a step in that direction but that the rule appeared to be applicable in limited situations. (97 III. 2d 424, 431; see also Gianforte, Industrial Rehabilitation In Illinois - An Evolving Process, 71 Ill. B.J. 668 (1983).) And we noted, as we did in Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 91 III. 2d 278, that in Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com. (1981), 86 III. 2d 489, we observed that other States by statute "require employees seeking rehabilitation to be evaluated by State medical personnel or by a rehabilitation agency. The examiner then recommends whether, and what form of, rehabilitation assistance is necessary. [Citation.] This procedure *** could prove invaluable in assessing the feasibility of a program in which the claimant wishes to participate. It will also alleviate the concerns that rehabilitation costs will be 'routinely' awarded [citation], or based solely upon the claimant's wishes. [Citation.]" 97 III. 2d 424, 432.

In this Court's most recent decision dealing with this subject, we once again pointed out the lack of statutory guidelines for determining the need for rehabilitation and the development of individualized rehabilitation programs, and we noted that generalized rehabilitation awards were incomplete decisions of the Commission and therefore not final determinations. (International Paper Co. v. Industrial Com. (1984), 99 Ill. 2d 458, 464-66.) The Court then said:

"We view, with concern, what appears to be a growing practice of the Commission to routinely order employers to pay for *** rehabilitation of employees before sufficient evidence is presented to enable the Commission to order a specific plan of rehabilitation. Determination of the specific program *** requires further deliberation by either the litigants or the arbitrator. If judicial review is allowed before this determination is made, the courts will invariably be faced with piecemeal review of such cases, as litigants dissatisfied with the [ordered] rehabilitation program repeat the entire administrative and judicial review process. It is not unusual, in [workers'] compensation cases, for five years to pass between the time of injury and final judicial determination. *** The piece-by-piece review process *** can only exacerbate what is already an intolerably long delay. We hold *** that decisions of the Industrial Commission which include generalized rehabilitation awards that require further determination as to the extent and nature of such rehabilitation are interlocutory and, therefore, not reviewable by the circuit court." 99 Ill. 2d 458, 466.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly examine whether rehabilitation counseling and procedures through public or private agencies should be provided for to assist the Industrial Commission and the courts where rehabilitation is contemplated under section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985 Supp., ch. 48, par. 138.8(a)).

Statute Governing Modification Of Child Custody Judgments Should Be Re-Examined

Section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Act) controls the modification of child custody judgements and, where there was once certainty, there is now some confusion because of a recent amendment to section 610.

Prior to July 1, 1982, section 610(b) of the Act provided the standards to be used by the trial judge in proceedings to determine whether a prior child custody judgment should be modified. The standards applied to all modification proceedings, whether or not the prior custody judgment was made less than (section 610(a)) or more than (section 610(b)) two years before, although a motion to modify could be made in "emergency" situations within two years following the prior custody judgment but the section 610(b) standards applied at the hearing in which the modification question was ultimately determined. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1979, ch. 40, pars. 610(a), (b).) Effective July 1, 1982, however, section 610 was amended by Public Act 82-715 (1981 III. Laws 3813, 3814-15) to make the standards revised thereby applicable only to proceedings in which the custody judgment sought to be modified was made more than two years before. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 40, par. 610(b).) In short, by prefacing section 610(b) with the amendatory phrase "After the expiration of the 2 year period following a custody judgment specified in [section 610(a)]," the legislature has removed from section 610(a) the standards in section 610(b) which, prior to the 1982 amendment, had applied to section 610(a) custody modification proceedings where the prior judgment was made less than two years before. Now there are no express statutory standards to guide trial judges in making modification decisions under section 610(a). But see III. Ann. Stat., ch. 40, par. 610, Supp. to Historical and Practice Notes, at 42 (Smith-Hurd 1985 (pocket part)) where it is intimated that the section 610(b) standards apply to section 610(a) modification hearings.

The Illinois Appellate Court discussed the effect of the 1982 amendment in *In re Custody of Carter* (1985), 137 Ill. App. 3d 439, and concluded that "through legislative oversight" the legislature "inadvertently failed to amend [section 610(a)] to state what standards to apply for *** motions filed within two years." (137 III. App. 3d 439, 442.) The Supreme Court suggests the General Assembly re-examine section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 40, par. 610) with a view toward expressly providing that the revised standards in section 610(b) apply to all modification proceedings commenced under section 610.

Further Consideration Should Be Given To A Statewide Law For Minimum Habitability Standards In Leased Residential Housing

This Court recently decided whether an implied warranty of habitability applies to leases of residential property located in areas which have not enacted housing or building codes. In *Clascoe v. Trinkle* (1985), 107 III. 2d 1, we observed that the implied warranty of habitability requires that a dwelling be fit for its intended use, that is, habitable and fit for living, and we said, after analyzing our prior decisions and those from other jurisdictions, that "the absence of a statewide housing or building code in Illinois and the absence of such a code in [a municipality] does not preclude the application of the implied warranty of habitability ***." (107 III. 2d 1, 12.) We concluded that the implied warranty "applies to all leases of residential real estate regardless of the existence of housing or building codes." 107 III. 2d 1, 10.

The Court noted that in areas of Illinois where no municipal housing code is in force, "there is no statewide law that specifies minimum standards for residential housing," and that whether there should be certain minimum habitability standards for housing with which residential property must comply before it can be rented "is a matter of policy to be determined by the appropriate legislative body." (107 III. 2d 1, 12.) We observed, too, that legislation to establish minimum standards for residential housing units is pending in this General Assembly. 107 III. 2d 1, 12-13.

The Supreme Court urges that the General Assembly continue to consider (see, e.g., House Bills 329 and 2227, both assigned to an interim study calendar) whether this State should have a uniform law establishing minimum habitability standards for residential housing units which are rented.

THE APPELLATE COURT

Jurisdiction and Organization

The Appellate Court is the intermediate court of review in the Illinois judicial system. Jurisdiction is conferred upon it by article VI, section 6 of the Constitution, which is included in Appendix A. Generally, appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court may be taken as a matter of right to Appellate Court, except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. The Appellate Court may also exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. Acting pursuant to article VI, section 6, the General Assembly has provided that "final orders or determinations" of the Pollution Control Board (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 1111/2, par. 1041), "judgments" of the State Board of Elections concerning disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 46, par. 9-22), and final orders of the Illinois State Labor Relations Board, the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board and the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 48, pars. 1611, 1716) may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court.

Appellate Court judges are elected for 10 year terms (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10). Exercising its authority under article VI, section 5, the General Assembly has mandated the election of 18 Appellate Court judges from the First District and 4 judges from each of the other four districts. Article VI, section 5 requires the Supreme Court to establish the organization of the Appellate Court. It has done so in its Rule 22.

According to that rule, the First District of the court sits in Chicago, the Second District in Elgin, and Third District in Ottawa, the Fourth District in Springfield and the Fifth District in Mt. Vernon. The Supreme Court prescribes by order the number of divisions in each district and for the assignment of judges to divisions. The presiding judge of each division, who is chosen for a one year term, designates judges of the division to sit in panels of three, and such a panel constitutes the division for purposes of rendering a decision in a case. Concurrence of two of those three judges is necessary to a decision.

Judges of each district appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 18(a).) As of December 31, 1985, the Appellate Court clerks were Gilbert S. Marchman, First District; Loren J. Strotz, Second District; Joseph Fennessey, Third District; Darryl Pratscher, Fourth District; and Walter T. Simmons, Fifth District. As required by Supreme Court Rule 24, each district maintains a research department supervised by a director of research and staffed by the number of research attorneys designated by the Supreme Court.

1985 Appellate Court Caseload Summary

For the seventh consecutive year, the number of new cases docketed in the Appellate Court exceeded the number filed in any previous year. The 7,383 new filings in 1985 is an increase of 6.8% from the previous year while dispositions, which totaled 6,961 cases, is an increase of only 1% over 1984. The 6,394 cases pending at the end of the year represents an increase of 11.3% over the 5,744 pending at the start of the year. Of the 6,961 cases disposed of, 1,602 or 23% were disposed of by opinion while 2,917 or 42% were disposed of with a Rule 23 Order.

Included in these numbers are the figures of the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court of 86 new cases filed, 85 cases disposed of and 69 cases pending at the end of the year. Of the 85 cases disposed of, 25 were by opinion and 30 were by order, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23.

Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Appellate Court

Supreme Court Rule 22(e) creates an executive committee of the Appellate Court and provides for meetings of all judges of that court. Traditionally, the Appellate Court holds an annual meeting during the latter part of the year.

On December 5, 1985, the Appellate Court held its annual meeting, with Judge Allen Hartman (1st District) presiding as chairman. In attendance were 31 appellate judges, a recently retired appellate judge, Supreme Court Chief Justice William G. Clark, and four other Supreme Court judges.

Matters considered at the meeting included:

- Introduction of appellate judges newly appointed or assigned to the Appellate Court: Judges McMorrow, Morthland, Quinlan, Scariano, and Spitz.
- (2) Remarks of Supreme Court Judge Ben Miller, who compared and contrasted his service on the Appellate Court with that on the Supreme Court.
- (3) A report from Judge Anthony Scariano (1st District) in which he analyzed three recent legislative enactments affecting the appellate court and its judges.
- (4) Appointment of Appellate Court members to the Illinois Courts Commission. Appointed to the commission as members were Judges Francis S. Lorenz (1st District) and Charles E. Jones (5th District), and as alternates Judges Helen F. McGillicuddy (1st District) and Allan Stouder (3rd District).

Judge William Nash (2nd District) was selected as the next chairman of the Illinois Appellate Court.

Administrative Committee Of The Illinois Appellate Court

The administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court, created by order of the Supreme Court, studies and recommends methods by which the Appellate Court might improve the processing of appeals. The Administrative Office is the secretary to the committee.

As of December 31, 1985, the members of the administrative committee were:

Hon. Tobias Barry (3rd District), Chairman Hon. Calvin C. Campbell (1st District) Hon. Frederick S. Green (4th District) Hon. Charles E. Jones (5th District) Hon. Daniel J. McNamara (1st District) Hon. Philip G. Reinhard (2nd District) Hon. John J. Sullivan (1st District)

Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh (Supreme Court liaison)

During 1985 the administrative committee held one meeting and considered these matters:

- (1) Discussed Uniform Appellate Rule 8 (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 908) requiring counsel to supply copies of opinions from foreign jurisdictions when they are cited during oral argument, and agreed to draft a clarifying amendment thereto.
- (2) Urged the Administrative Office to accelerate the purchase and installation of word processors for appellate court judges.
- (3) Discussed the high expense of using computerized legal research services.
- (4) Extensively discussed Supreme Court Rule 23, noting that it provides an effective and fair means of disposing of appeals which are not precedential, and expressed concern that a proposed bar association study of Rule 23's utilization will not consider all relevant factors.

Supreme Court Assignment Of Judges To The Appellate Court

Article VI, sections 15 and 16, of the 1970 Illinois Constitution allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge, with his consent, to judicial service, and to assign temporarily a sitting judge to any court, except that an associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge.

During 1985, the Supreme Court made these assignments to the Illinois Appellate Court:

First District-

Hon. Mary Ann McMorrow, Cook County Circuit Judge (July 1 and until further order)

Hon. William R. Quinlan, Cook County Circuit Judge (September 16 and until further order)

Hon. Anthony Scariano, (October 1 until December 1, 1986) Second District-

William R. Nash, 17th Circuit, (December 1 and until December 1, 1986)

Paul W. Schnake, 11th Circuit (September 1 and until December 1, 1986)

Harry D. Strouse, Jr., 19th Circuit (December 1 and until December 1, 1986)

Fourth District— Hon. Donald W. Morthland, 6th Circuit

(March 20, and until further order)

Hon. Joseph R. Spitz, 5th Circuit (October 21, and until further order)

THE CIRCUIT COURTS

Jurisdiction and Organization

The trial level court of general jurisdiction in Illinois is known as the Circuit Court. It has original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters, except: (1) in matters relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office; (2) where the Supreme Court exercises its discretionary original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus; and (3) by statute, the review of orders of the Pollution Control Board and certain orders of the State Board of Elections. There are no courts of special or limited jurisdiction in Illinois. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4 and 5.) No judge of the Circuit Court has the power to review the decision of another and there are no trials *de novo*.

Under the provisions of Public Act 84-1030, effective November 20, 1985, the Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Iroquois, Kankakee and Will Counties) was re-apportioned. The new Twelfth Judicial Circuit is now a single county circuit, Will County. The new Twenty-First Judicial Circuit is a two-county circuit, Iroquois and Kankakee Counties. Illinois now has 22 judicial circuits: numbered circuits 1 through 21 and the unnumbered Cook County Judicial Circuit.

Three circuits, Cook County, the 18th Circuit, and the 12th Judicial Circuit, consist of a single county. The other 19 judicial circuits are composed of two or more contiguous counties as provided by law. Each judicial circuit has but one, unified Circuit Court.

There are two categories of judges in the Circuit Courts: (1) circuit judges and (2) associate judges. All judges must be licenced attorneys. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 11.) Circuit judges are initially elected, either on a circuit-wide basis or from the county where they reside. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, pars. 72.2, 72.42-1.) They serve for 6 year terms. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10.) In the Cook County Circuit, circuit judges are elected from the City of Chicago, from the entire county or from the area outside Chicago. III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.42.

The circuit judges in each circuit select by secret ballot a chief judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the chief judge has general administrative authority over his court. Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 7.

Associate judges are appointed on a merit basis for four year terms by the circuit judges in their respective circuits. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs, 8, 10.) Like circuit judges, associate judges may exercise the full constitutional jurisdiction of the Circuit Court. However, Article VI, section 8 of the Constitution directs the Supreme Court to provide by rule for matters to be assigned to associate judges. The Court discharges this responsibility through Supreme Court Rule 295, discussed below.

Conference Of Chief Circuit Judges

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, a Conference of Chief Circuit Judges meets regularly to consider problems relating to the administration of the circuit courts and such other matters as may, from time to time, be referred to the Conference by the Supreme Court. As of December 31, 1985, the chief circuit judges were:

1st Circuit – Hon. William A. Lewis
2nd Circuit – Hon. Henry Lewis
3rd Circuit — Hon. Philip J. Rarick
4th Circuit — Hon. Ronald A. Niemann
5th Circuit — Hon. Ralph S. Pearman
6th Circuit – Hon. Rodney A. Scott
7th Circuit — Hon. Richard J. Cadagin
8th Circuit – Hon. Edward B. Dittmeyer
9th Circuit — Hon. William Randolph
10th Circuit — Hon. Peter J. Paolucci
11th Circuit — Hon. Luther H. Dearborn
12th Circuit – Hon. Charles P. Connor
13th Circuit — Hon. Alexander T. Bower
14th Circuit — Hon. David DeDoncker
15th Circuit — Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr.
16th Circuit — Hon. Marvin Dunn
17th Circuit — Hon. Harris H. Agnew
18th Circuit — Hon. Carl F. J. Henninger
19th Circuit – Hon. William Block
20th Circuit — Hon. Patrick J. Fleming
Cook County – Hon. Harry G. Comerford

Hon. Ben Miller was the liaison justice from the Supreme Court during calendar year 1985. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 42, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is the secretary of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges. The Conference met in January, February, March, April, May, June, September, October and December 1985.

Out-of-Circuit Assignments

During 1985, the Administrative Director of the Illinois Courts, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 312 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to the Circuit Court of Cook County on a temporary basis. Usually, each order assigns a judge to the Circuit Court of Cook County for a one or two week period. Through this assignment process, a total of 429 additional judge work weeks were obtained to assist in processing Cook County cases. This is the equivalent of the work of approximately 8 or 9 additional full-time judges.

In 1985, the Administrative Director also approved 59 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to downstate circuits other than their home circuits.

Rule 295 Assignments

Article VI, section 8 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 authorizes the Supreme Court to "provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." In implementing this authority, the Supreme Court has provided in Rule 295 that an associate judge may hear any matter except the trial of criminal cases in which a defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. However, upon a showing of need, the Supreme Court may authorize the chief judge of a circuit to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of those criminal cases. In 1985, the Administrative Director, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 194 requests from the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County to assign individual associate judges to hear criminal cases in which the defendant may be punished by imprisonment for more than one year. Such authorization, generally, was requested for a period of six months.

The Administrative Director also approved 165 requests from downstate chief judges for permission to assign associate judges to these criminal cases. These requests were made for a total of 781 judge-months, with approximately half of the requests, 84 of them, made for six month periods.
THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

The Illinois Constitution provides, in section 17 of article VI, that there shall be "an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice." Supreme Court Rule 41 implements section 17 by establishing membership in the Conference, creating an Executive Committee to assist the Court in conducting the Conference, and appointing the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts as secretary of the Conference.

The Judicial Conference membership includes the Supreme Court Justices, Appellate Court judges and all Circuit Court judges. The Supreme Court appoints six judges from Cook County and six judges from outside Cook County to serve three year terms on the Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee meets monthly to plan and supervise the organization of the annual meeting of the Conference, annual Associate Judge Seminar, regional seminars and the activities of the various Judicial Conference study committees. In addition, the Executive Committee considers recommendations relating to the improvement of the administration of justice which arise as a result of the Conference, seminars and committee activities. Those recommendations, if approved, are submitted to the Supreme Court for its consideration.

As of December 31, 1985, the Executive Committee members were:

Hon. Charles J. Durham, Chairman
Hon. Anthony M. Peccarelli, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Michael C. Close
Hon. Charles P. Connor
Hon. William Cousins, Jr.
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham
Hon. Marvin D. Dunn
Hon. Joseph Schneider
Hon. Joseph Schneider
Hon. Wayne C. Townley, Jr.
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh, Liaison

During 1985, the Executive Committee:

- (1) Selected the site, topics and faculty for the 1985 annual program of the Illinois Judicial Conference.
- (2) Monitored the work of the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee in planning the annual Associate Judge Seminar.
- (3) Monitored the work of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education in planning the 1983-84 Regional Seminar Series.
- (4) Recommended that the Supreme Court establish a Study Committee on Protracted Litigation.

- (5) Approved the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee's appointment of a Study Committee on Adoption Law, and recommended that the Supreme Court authorize the appointment of that committee, which it did in the May Term.
- (6) Recommended that the Supreme Court establish a Study Committee to update the Judicial Conference's Juvenile Forms Handbooks, which it did in the November Term.
- (7) Discharged the Study Committee on Dispute Resolution upon the successful completion of its assigned tasks.
- (8) Declined to reactivate the Study Committee on Contempt to consider the question of whether an indigent defendant has the right to appointment of counsel in civil contempt proceedings.
- (9) Approved the recommendations of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education for the topics and faculty for the 1985-86 Regional Seminar Series beginning in October, 1985.

1985 Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Judicial Conference

The 32nd Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference was conducted on Wednesday-Friday, September 4-6, 1985 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. Four hundred nineteen of the four hundred twenty-six judges of the circuit and reviewing courts were present.

Chief Justice William G. Clark presented a "state of the judiciary" address at the dinner session on the opening evening. Justice Howard C. Ryan presided at the Thursday luncheon program honoring recently retired judges.

Five $2\frac{1}{2}$ hour elective seminar topics were offered on all three days of the program. The topics were:

Administrative Review Comparative Negligence/Contribution Criminal Law Evidence Judicial Ethics and Conduct

Each of the elective topics was presented by committees comprised of judges assisted by professor-reporters from Illinois law schools. Attendance at the Judicial Ethics program was mandatory for all attendants.

1985 Associate Judge Seminar

The Annual Associate Judge Seminar program is prepared by a twelve-member committee appointed by the Executive Committee with the approval of the Supreme Court. The Coordinating Committee for the 1985 program consisted of the following judges:

Hon. Robert L. Carter, Chairman
Hon. Francis Barth, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Lester A. Bonaguro
Hon. Everette A. Braden
Hon. Harry E. Clem
Hon. Thomas P. Durkin
Hon. Jerry D. Flynn
Hon. John W. Gustafson
Hon. Blanche M. Manning
Hon. Michael R. Morrison
Hon. Jane D. Waller
Hon. W. Charles Witte

The Associate Judge Seminar was presented at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago on Wednesday-Friday, March 20-22, 1985. Three hundred seventeen of the three hundred thirty-two associate judges were present.

At the opening session on Wednesday afternoon, Dr. Andrew S. Watson, Professor of Law and Psychiatry at the University of Michigan, lectured the judges on "Stress Management and Judicial Burnout." Supreme Court Justice Ben K. Miller addressed the attendants at the Wednesday dinner. Judge Calvin C. Campbell of the First District of the Appellate Court spoke at the Thursday luncheon program.

Each seminar attendant elected to attend three of the following six elective topics prepared by judges and law professors:

Civil Law Criminal Law Domestic Relations Enforcement of Judgments Evidence Traffic Law

1985 New Judge Seminar

Under the direction of Justice Seymour Simon, the Supreme Court liason to the new judge educational programs, the Subcommittee on Judicial Education prepared and presented the New Judge Seminar on July 24-26, 1985 at the Ambassador West Hotel in Chicago. The entire program was presented by judicial faculty.

Forty-six of the forty-seven judges who assumed judicial office since the date of the last New Judge Seminar (December 10-12, 1984) attended the program. Circuit and associate judges were in attendance.

At the opening session program Justice Simon offered introductory remarks and Judge Wayne C. Townley, Jr., then chairman of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, described the general operation of the continuing education programs of the Conference. Judge Gulley gave an overview of the evolution and structure of the Illinois judicial system. Judge Dom Rizzi of the First District Appellate Court analyzed the Supreme Court Rules.

At the luncheon on the first day of the program, Justice Ben Miller reflected on some of the common concerns of the new judge. After lunch, Judges Warren Wolfson and Stewart Spitzer discussed the topic of high volume courts, and this was followed by a discussion on the law of contempt by Judges Alan Cargerman and John Shonkwiler. Judge Allen Hartman of the First District Appellate Court then summarized the law and procedure governing motion practice. Following dinner a panel of experienced judges presented a session of judicial ethics.

On the second day of the program three hours were dedicated to a session on trial practice at which video-tape materials prepared by the faculty members were used to create actual courtroom situations. The faculty members for the session were:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson Hon. Robert J. Steigmann Hon. Lawrence D. Inglis Hon. Donald P. O'Connell

At the luncheon program on the second day, Judge Carl F.J. Henninger, Chief Judge of the 18th Judicial Circuit, addressed the attendants.

Sessions on judgments and orders, instructions, and criminal law were offered for the remainder of the second day and the morning of the third day. Judge Charles E. Jones of the Fifth District Appellate Court presented the session on judgments and orders. Judges Thomas R. Fitzgerald and Carl F.J. Henninger discussed instructions, including an enactment of an actual conference on instructions in a criminal case. The criminal law session was presented by Judge Brian L. Crowe, Judge Harold L. Jensen and Judge Roger Kiley.

1985 Regional Seminar Programs

In 1985, the Judicial Conference conducted five programs on the 2½ day format instituted in 1976. The regional programs were selected, planned, and monitored by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education, which consisted of:

Hon. Allen Hartman, Chairman Hon. Robert L. Carter Hon. Brian L. Crowe Hon. Charles E. Jones Hon. Harry D. Strouse, Jr. Hon. George W. Unverzagt Hon. Warren D. Wolfson The five programs were:

DATE	TOPIC	SITE	ATTENDANCE
March 7-9	Judicial Management	Urbana	82
	of a Civil Case Trial		
April 11-13	Law and Medicine	Chicago	95
May 9-11	Judicial Management	Rockford	80
	of a Civil Case Trial		
October 31-	Domestic Relations	Mt. Vernor	48
November 2			
November	Civil Procedure in	Decatur	61
21-23	Illinois		
			6 6: 16

The two seminars on Judicial Management of a Civil Case Trial were presented by:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson, Chairman Hon. Robert H. Howerton Hon. Alan E. Morill Hon. Harry D. Strouse, Jr. Prof. Charles R. Purcell

These seminars enabled the attendants to follow a civil case on videotape from the pre-trial stages to the taking of the verdict. At many points, the videotape was stopped and the audience and panelists critiqued the rulings offered by the judge.

The Law and Medicine seminar was the first interdisciplinary seminar sponsored by the Judicial Conference. It brought together judicial, academic and medical faculty members. They explored such medical-legal doctrines as *res ipsa loquitur*, informed consent, medical expert testimony and statutes of limitation. They also introduced the attendants to the disciplines of pathology, pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology, and hospital administration. On the final day, the ethical and moral implications of law and medicine were examined.

The judicial members of the faculty were:

Hon. Brian L. Crowe, Chairman Hon. Walter B. Bieschke Hon. William E. Black Hon. Charles W. Chapman Hon. William R. Quinlan

The academic members of the faculty were:

Prof. James P. Carey Prof. Michael J. Polelle Prof. Walter Wadlington

The speakers on medical disciplines were:

Robert Bouer, M.D., J.D. Ira Goldberg Harry Hetz, M.D. Carron M. Maxwell Diane Woo, M.D. The seminar on Domestic Relations was offered with the following faculty:

Hon. Carl A. Lund, Chairman Hon. Everette A. Braden Hon. Robert L. Carter Hon. Melvin E. Dunn Hon. Susan S. Ruffolo Prof. John E. Corkery Prof. James M. Forkins

In the first day of the seminar, a videotape of a property disposition hearing was used to raise issues in maintenance and property valuation and distribution. For the remaining days of the seminar, the topics of tax consequences of divorce, bankruptcy, child support and custody, injunctive relief and jurisdiction were among the items presented.

The seminar on Civil Procedure in Illinois was given by the following faculty:

Hon. Myron T. Gomberg, Chairman Hon. Richard P. Goldenhersh Hon. Robert S. Hill Hon. Thomas J. O'Brien Prof. Richard A. Michael Prof. Charles R. Purcell

The broad topics of motions, sufficiency of pleadings and regulation of discovery were treated in this program, which was given in a traditional lecture format. The motions portion of the program considered motions to dismiss and amend pleadings, motions for summary judgment and motions to vacate and open judgments, among others. In the pleadings sessions, the elements of pleadings for economic loss, retaliatory discharge, willful and wanton conduct, contribution and other actions were discussed. The final day was devoted to the Supreme Court Rules governing discovery, along with the discovery sanctions.

1985 Study Committees

No study committee reports were reviewed by the Executive Committee in 1985

(The Illinois Judicial Conference is summarized under separate cover in the 1984-85 report. Specific information on the various Conference programs is offered in greater detail in that report.)

THE COURTS COMMISSION

Since July 1, 1971, disciplinary proceedings against judicial officers in Illinois have been bifurcated. The Judicial Inquiry Board, composed of lay persons, lawyers and judges, conducts investigations against judges, files formal voted complaints against judges with the Courts Commission, and prosecutes those complaints before the Commission. The five judges who comprise the Commission hear those complaints, make findings, and enter dispositive orders of dismissal or of imposition of sanctions. A judge may be disciplined by removal from office, suspension with or without pay, retirement, censure or reprimand. Pursuant to rule of the Commission, the Administrative Director is the Commission Secretary. For further information on the history of judicial discipline in Illinois, see the 1975 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois, pages 60-65 and the Prefatory Note, 1 Ill. Cts. Com., pages ix-xxii.

The judicial officers who have been appointed as members of the judicial disciplinary entities are, as of December 31, 1985:

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Judicial Inquiry Board: Circuit Judge Philip B. Benefiel, Second Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Edward H. Marsalek, Cook County

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Courts Commission: *Supreme Court Judge Thomas J. Moran (chairman)

- *Circuit Judge James C. Murray, Cook County
- *Circuit Judge Rodney A. Scott, Sixth Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Arthur L. Dunne, Cook County (alternate) Circuit Judge John E. Sype, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (alternate)

Appointed by the Appellate Court to the Courts Commission: *Appellate Court Judge Francis S. Lorenz, First Judicial District

*Appellate Court Judge Charles E. Jones, Fifth Judicial District Appellate Court Judge Helen F. McGillicuddy, First Judicial District (alternate)

Appellate Court Judge Allan L. Stouder, Third Judicial District (alternate)

*Present members of the Courts Commission.

During the period July 1, 1971 through December 31, 1985, the Judicial Inquiry Board had filed 39 formal complaints with the Courts Commission. The dispositions of the complaints by the Commission were as follows:

- 3
- 9
- 3
- 8
-15
- 1
- 0

During 1985, no formal complaints were filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board with the Courts Commission. However, five complaints filed in 1984 were decided in 1985. Those complaints and their disposition were:

(1) Complaint 84-CC-1 charged an associate judge of the Cook County Circuit Court with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that he was seen during the mid-afternoon drinking beer in a branch office of the Cook County State's Attorney; shortly thereafter he was asked by an assistant prosecutor to attest a complaint alleging that a 17-year-old girl and her parents were being harassed by the girl's ex-boyfriend; he then entered the conference room where the girl and her parents were, and his appearance and manner indicated that he was intoxicated and confused; and he questioned the girl in an insulting and demeaning manner, asking her questions about her sexual experiences. The complaint charged the respondent with violating Supreme Court Rules 61(c) (2), (4), (5), and (8).

On January 29, 1985, the Commission held that the allegations that the judge violated the above-cited rules were proved by clear and convincing evidence, and ordered the judge suspended without pay for one month. See *In re Butler* (1985), 2 III. Cts. Com. 62.

(2) Complaint 84-CC-2 charged a circuit judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that, during 1982 and 1983, he solemnized more than 200 marriages and received \$4,665 in fees with respect to 93 of them, and he stated on his Federal and State income tax returns that he received \$5,320 in fees for solemnizing marriages. The complaint alleged that court personnel in the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit referred persons seeking to have their marriages solemnized outside of the circuit's marriage division to certain judges who regularly officiated at weddings outside the marriage division's regular session, and that the respondent was one of those judges; that the judge or his representative would arrange for a judge to perform the ceremony at a specific place and time, and the judge would charge a fee, generally from \$50 to \$100, for the ceremony; that a statute authorizes judges and retired judges to solemnize marriages; that Supreme Court Rule 40 authorizes the creation of a marriage division within a circuit court and the setting of an amount not to exceed \$10 for each marriage but no other fee or gratuity is permitted; that no other fee for the performance of a marriage by a judge is permitted; and that the respondent's conduct in accepting the fees was in derogation of Supreme Court Rule 40 and article VI, sections 13(b) and 14, of the Illinois Constitution, and violated Supreme Court Rule 65.

Before a hearing could be held on the complaint the judge's term of office expired on December 3, 1984. Accordingly, on April 12, 1985, the Commission entered an order dismissing the complaint. See *In re Fawell* (1985), 2 III. Cts. Com. 73.

(3) Complaint 84-CC-3 charged an associate judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with the same allegations, conduct and violations charged in complaint 84-CC-2, except that, during 1982 and 1983, the respondent solemnized more than 225 marriages and received \$5,055 in fees with respect to 109 of them, and he stated on his Federal and State income tax returns that he received \$4,900 in fees for solemnizing marriages.

On June 25, 1985, The Commission ruled that, while the allegation that the judge engaged in willful misconduct was not proved, the judge's "acceptance of fees or gratuities violated the letter and spirit of Supreme Court Rules 40 and 65, prejudiced the administration of justice and tended to bring the judicial office into disrepute." The Commission concluded that under the circumstances a reprimand should be imposed. See *In re Morgan* (1985), 2 III. Cts. Com. 75.

(4) Complaint 84-CC-4 charged an associate judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with the same allegations, conduct and violations as charged in complaint 84-CC-2, except that, during 1982 and 1983, the respondent solemnized more than 200 marriages in addition to those he performed for the marriage division and received \$2,685 in fees with respect to 68 of them, and he stated on his 1983 Federal income tax return that he received \$2,050 as other income for "contracted services."

On June 25, 1985, the Commission ruled that, while the allegation that the judge engaged in willful misconduct was not proved, the judge's "acceptance of fees or gratuities violated the letter and spirit of Supreme Court Rules

40 and 65, prejudiced the administration of justice and tended to bring the judicial office into disrepute." The Commission concluded that under the circumstances a reprimand should be imposed. See *In re Walter* (1985), 2 Ill. Cts. Com. 83.

(5) Complaint 84-CC-5 (later amended) charged an associate judge of the Cook County Circuit Court with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that he, in March or April of 1983, was advised that an attorney left an envelope for him which, although he believed it contained money intended to influence his official actions, he instructed that it be returned to the attorney without taking any "official action concerning this attempted bribe"; he was approached in April of 1983 by an attorney who said he had a case coming before the respondent and wished to compensate the respondent for an anticipated ruling in favor of the attorney's client and, although the respondent believed the attorney was offering a bribe, he took no "official action concerning this attempted bribe"; and he was approached in December of 1981 and thereafter, and in February of 1982, by an attorney who represented the defendants in two criminal cases and engaged in ex parte communications with the attorney about the merits and/or disposition of the cases, and he did not report the discussions to any other party to the proceedings or take any action to discourage such ex parte communications. The complaint alleged the respondent's conduct violated Supreme Court Rules 61(c) (4), (10), (15), and (16).

The Judicial Inquiry Board and the judge entered into and presented to the Commission a joint stipulation of agreed facts wherein it was agreed that the judge's conduct was prejudicial to the administration of justice and violated Supreme Court Rules 61(c) (4), 61(c) (10), and 61(c) (16). As well, the parties entered into and presented to the Commission a joint stipulation regarding a sanction. On May 15, 1985, the Commission, noting the joint stipulation, ordered the judge suspended without pay for one month. See *In re Laurie* (1985), 2 III. Cts. Com. 91.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Introduction

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (see Appendix B for historical development) is established pursuant to article VI, section 16 of the Constitution of 1970, to assist the Chief Justice to carry out his duties in exercising the administrative and supervisory authority of the Supreme Court over all the courts. As that authority encompasses every aspect of the judicial system, the functions of the Administrative Office cannot be exhaustively delineated. However, these functions generally include personnel and fiscal management, continuing judicial education, maintenance of records and statistics, service as secretariat to committees and other organizations, liaison activity with the legislative and executive branches, management of court facilities and equipment, administration of programs under several Supreme Court Rules, research and planning. Within each of these categories fall the specific duties of the Administrative Office which are reported in greater detail in this chapter.

Other functions of the Administrative Office are not explained separately below. The office is charged by Supreme Court Rule 21(d) with keeping filed copies of Appellate Court and Circuit Court rules. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 68, the Administrative Director is the custodian of verified statements of economic interest which are filed annually by Illinois judges. Sealed statements filed under this rule may be opened only by the Supreme Court or by the Illinois Courts Commission when specifically authorized by the Supreme Court for use in proceedings of the Commission. Parties to an action may request from the Director information concerning unsealed lists of businesses in which a judge or members of his immediate family have a financial interest.

The Director and his staff appear before the appropriation committees of the General Assembly to testify concerning the State judicial budget, and they are frequently called upon to advise the judiciary committees on proposed legislation affecting the courts. They also address civic groups, bar associations, legislative commissions and court reform groups concerning court administration and the structure and operation of Illinois' unified court system. The educational responsibilities of the office additionally include answering telephone and mail inquiries from the general public about the court system.

Citizens, judges, lawyers, court administrators from other states, and persons from foreign nations visit the Administrative Office and the Illinois courts. An important task of the Administrative Office is to explain the Illinois court system to them and arrange visits to courthouses and with judges.

The work of the Administrative Office has been greatly expanded in the last decade with the addition of two new departments. In 1978, a Probation Division was created to establish standards and provide salary subsidies for probation officers. The Supreme Court approved the addition of Judicial Management Information Services to the Administrative Office in late 1980. This staff plans and coordinates the installation of automated recordkeeping systems throughout the court system. The activities of these branches are detailed further in this chapter.

Accounting Division

Over two decades have elapsed since the unified court system of Illinois, the Judicial Article, became effective January 1, 1964. Enactment of the Article provided the potential for centralizing the fiscal management of the judiciary within the Administrative Office, and specifically in the Accounting Division.

Prior to 1964, certain judicial costs were paid either by the County or the Auditor of Public Accounts, State of Illinois. As the unification of the judicial system occurred, fiscal management became centralized within the Accounting Division. The charts which follow graphically demonstrate how a statewide fiscal management system gradually replaced the independent and uncoordinated methods of payment.

SUPREME COURT

	Supreme Court Expenditures	Supreme Court Clerk's Expend.	Supreme Court Research's Expend.
1964 ¹			
1965	752,161.		
1966 ¹			
1967	864,905.		
1968 ¹			
1969	1,029,221.		
1970	652,144.		
1971	696,418. ²		
1972	873,750.		
1973	996,899.		
1974	1,026,765.		
1975	1,136,733.	201,599. ³	
1976	1,399,888.	206,870.	
1977	1,512,528.	220,437.	
1978	1,625,387.	246,681.	
1979	1,910,933.	250,538.	
1980	2,029,322.	267,937.	
1981	2,191,376.	285,366.	63,305.4
1982	2,557,692.	295,057.	93,914.
1983	2,480,703.	352,882.	128,624.
1984	2,282,005.	365,127.	147,333.
1985	2,881,038.	368,383.	157,467.
1986	2,938,135.	395,657.	199,816.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Includes \$12,159 special bill for Reporter of Decisions.

³Operational costs of the Clerk's Office were assumed by the Accounting Division in 1975.

⁴Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1981.

	Administrative Office Expenditures	Judicial Management Information System	Judicial Conference
1964 ¹			
1965	287,273.		87,715.1
1966			
1967	432,165.		134,080.
1968			
1969	484,693.		146,495.
1970	354,156.		127,903.
1971	399,549.		117,188.
1972	447,501.		92,324.
1973	453,018.		59,974.
1974	510,092.		112,233.
1975	534,045.		159,172.
1976	584,890.		170,608.
1977	625,536.		200,215.
1978	687,024.		189,147.
1979	712,448.		224,754.
1980	802,694.		241,215.
1981	926,726.		277,708.
1982	926,029.	493,646.2	328,730.
1983	1,070,888.	806,050.	323,412.
1984	1,154,801.	1,461,062.	340,304.
1985	1,283,349.	1,785,898.	372,896.
1986	1,452,244.	2,915,852.	401,875.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Judicial Management Information System established July 1, 1982.

	Probation-Operation Expenditures	Probation Training	Probation Subsidy	Probation Grants/Aid	Probation D.U.I.
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1	1	1
1974	1	1	1	1	1
1975	1	1	1	1	1
1976	1	1	1	1	1
1977	1	1	1	1	1
1978	1	1	1	1	1
1979	91,689. ²	48,838.2	3,115,735.2	1	4
1980	155,630.	266,374.	6,030,091.	1	4
1981	178,199.	309,334.	6,131,901.	1	4
1982	204,622.	401,528.	6,266,929.	1	4
1983	199,129.	422,098.	6,394,400.	1	4
1984	373,572.	458,333.	6,691,643.	1,231,091. ³	4
1985	582,313.	469,333.	7,241,818.	7,177,197.	4
1986	672,132.	479,569.	9,247,377.	8,064,450.	99,528. ⁴

¹Probation Division was not under the auspices of the Supreme Court.

²Probation Operation expenditures, training and subsidy legislation became effective July 1, 1979.

³Legislation to provide Probation Grants-in-Aid became effective July 1, 1984.

⁴Legislation to provide Probation D.U.I. became effective FY 86.

Miscellaneous Accounts

	Travel-Cir. Judges Expenditures	Travel — Shorthand Reporters	Transcription Fees
1964 ¹		1	1
1965	172,150.	61,623.	207,471.
1966			
1967	247,836.	80,206.	235,396.
1968			
1969	366,001.	90,390.	349,406.
1970	179,815.	51,193.	223,474.
1971	214,979.	55,746.	278,634.
1972	204,390.	50,113.	357,114.
1973	215,465.	53,311.	399,889.
1974	235,418.	55,828.	507,106.
1975	274,981.	64,935.	574,964.
1976	310,759.	79,953.	812,882.
1977	278,528.	-73,630.	780,674.
1978	235,034.	78,609.	1,067,552.
1979	322,023.	72,373.	1,066,562.
1980	454,200.	92,640.	1,286,069.
1981	448,505.	94,040.	1,424,900.
1982	488,923.	114,003.	1,496,414.
1983	494,390.	113,889.	1,561,916.
1984	464,514.	110,183.	1,456,692.
1985	528,779.	112,509.	1,308,767.
1986	518,354.	115,641.	1,391,584.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

	Out-of-State Education Programs	Court Reporters Recruitment	Appellate Co-Ordinator	Circ. Clerk Training	Circ. Clk. Stipend Additional Duties
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1	1	1
1974	1	1	1	1	1
1975	1	2,626.3	1	1	1
1976	1	10,000.	1	1	1
1977	1	9,994.	1	1	1
1978	1	19,146.	1	1	1
1979	1	23,859.	1	1	1
1980	1	24,608.	1	1	1
1981	1	25,483.	1	1	1
1982	10,548. ²	31,067.	62,577.4	1	1
1983	17,598.	34,979.	66,137.	1	1
1984	18,463.	32,564.	10,607.	1	1
1985	23,371.	34,008.	4	36,086.5	176,750. ⁵
1986	16,795.	35,342.	4	5,700.	353,500.

¹Services not established during this period.

²Appropriations authorized July 1, 1982 for Out-of-State Education Programs.

³Court Reporters' Recruitment inaugurated July 1, 1975.

⁴Appellate Co-ordinator appointed by Supreme Court July 1, 1982 on a pilot basis.

⁵Circuit Clerk Training & Circuit Clerk Stipend for Additional Duties established in 1985.

	Illinois Courts Commission	Impartial Medical	III. Jury (Pattern) Instruction
1964	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1
1969	1	7,722.3	1
1970	1	4,355.	3,244.4
1971	5,698.2	4,767.	1,193.
1972	873.	6,181.	151.
1973	2,841.	15,791.	-0-
1974	8,981.	14,477.	1,992.
1975	6,073.	19,966.	3,960.
1976	3,004.	18,140.	9,527.
1977	7,171.	8,012.	3,502.
1978	1,139.	11,619.	4,222.
1979	1,102.	9,022.	9,288.
1980	11,951.	9,662.	6,304.
1981	5,896.	9,608.	9,439.
1982	2,980.	6 <mark>,1</mark> 06.	8,405.
1983	1,898.	6,125.	6,715.
1984	5,224.	5,089.	7,510.
1985	2,965.	4,694.	13,127.
1986	-0-	2,178.	13,167.

¹Services not established during this period.

²Illinois Courts Commission created by Constitutional Amendment effective July 1, 1971.

³Impartial Medical legislation effective July 1, 1969.

⁴III. Jury Instruction appropriation legislated July 1, 1970.

Appellate Court - First District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	836,447.1		
1968			
1969	957,233.		
1970	512,296.		
1971	608,368.		
1972	623,233.		
1973	741,860.		
1974	637,771.		
1975	941,718.	358,860.2	158,425.2
1976	1,222,205.	397,629.	197,965.
1977	1,212,142.	386,667.	180,229.
1978	1,234,358.	413,921.	210,516.
1979	1,298,080.	417,076.	208,770.
1980	1,487,668.	413,013.	226,541.
1981	1,623,868.	441,441.	275,689.
1982	1,723,072.	430,694.	312,482.
1983	1,768,842.	443,970.	353,195.
1984	1,857,066.	468,109.	375,884.
1985	1,942,327.	468,708.	434,115.
1986	2,189,087.	546,976.	468,674.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's and Research's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

Appellate Court – Second District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965	-		
1966			
1967	86,458.1		
1968			
1969	106,873.		
1970	64,861.		
1971	66,044.		
1972	79,024.		
1973	82,493.		
1974	88,218.		
1975	124,119.	268,324.2	
1976	152,150.	287,506.	30,461. ³
1977	141,934.	309,874.	37,451.
1978	173,874.	317,982.	41,989.
1979	175,119.	375,267.	79,248.
1980	205,755.	246,542.	159,170.
1981	231,540.	288,564.	191,397.
1982	238,547.	303,559.	202,916.
1983	245,567.	357,693.	221,522.
1984	281,720.	419,705.	227,569.
1985	315,337.	365,170.	236,451.
1986	342,375.	388,354.	249,991.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1976.

Appellate Court - Third District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	84,170.1		
1968			
1969	80,129.		
1970	46,812.		
1971	43,940.		
1972	57,293.		
1973	61,278.		
1974	71,525.		
1975	84,818.	131,607.2	
1976	101,180.	151,068.	
1977	109,943.	138,521.	
1978	121,066.	175,536.	
1979	124,554.	177,782.	23,741. ³
1980	141,323.	180,585.	49,032.
1981	176,123.	236,182.	94,800.
1982	201,229.	226,183.	119,265.
1983	209,796.	232,874.	123,418.
1984	187,206.	241,589.	137,248.
1985	221,530.	320,972.	159,792.
1986	255,445.	322,063.	168,402.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1979.

Appellate Court - Fourth District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	47,627.1		
1968			
1969	53,162.		
1970	29,200.		4
1971	31,660.		
1972	57,794.		
1973	44,809.		
1974	48,326.		
1975	77,791.	136,401.2	
1976	105,672.	145,666.	
1977	103,684.	144,683.	50,236. ³
1978	142,588.	137,982.	66,820.
1979	125,219.	144,914.	60,779.
1980	156,079.	145,973.	117,968.
1981	163,272.	157,872.	139,366.
1982	179,046.	177,658.	155,025.
1983	192,402.	191,016.	172,758.
1984	210,107.	193,966.	194,514.
1985	220,297.	204,962.	203,409.
1986	220,372.	213,049.	170,573.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

Appellate Court - Fifth District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	91,669.1		
1968			
1969	83,452.		
1970	47,317.		
1971	46,679.		-
1972	56,406.		
1973	57,783.		
1974	59,205.		
1975	79,174.	167,905. ²	
1976	97,303.	175,418.	
1977	89,065.	162,764.	43,061. ³
1978	103,518.	201,095.	52,505.
1979	132,597.	191,484.	75,305.
1980	142,943.	184,100.	95,330.
1981	176,032.	194,218.	105,756.
1982	168,807.	297,047.	114,365.
1983	182,085.	275,642.	108,122.
1984	190,866.	309,909.	130,651.
1985	197,398.	285,914.	145,110.
1986	212,300.	300,352.	155,525.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

JUDICIAL PAYROLL

	Supreme Ct. Judges	Supreme Ct. Clerk	Appellate Judges	Clerk – 1st District	Clerk — Other 4 Dist.	Circuit Judges (C)	Circuit Judges (A)	Court Reporters	Assoc. Judges (M)	Ret. Judges Recalled	Admin. Secretaries	Law Clerks — Appl. Judges	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (Cook)	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (DuPage)	Cir. Judge Assigned
1964									-						
1965	315,000. ¹	15,000.	340,322.	26,250.	75,766.	4,166,746.	4,780,534.		3,823,152.		114,750.	258,008.			
1966									-						
1967	465,286.	20,000.	1,193,896.	35,000.	120,000.	5,523,274.	6,645,522.	Reg. 3,195,329	4,557,332.	2,746.	186,462.	364,151.			
968								P.D. Reg.	-						
1969	525,000.	24,512.	1,671,909.	36,458.	126,386.	6,704,912.	7,294,813.	P.D. 69,261 Reg. 4,338,498	6,939,236.	31,765.	254,535.	426,806.			3,125.4
1970	266,088.	20,000.	897,020.	20,000.	72,000.	3,794,794.	4,610,756.	P.D. 76,160	4,365,147.	46,323.	128,028.	310,250.			
1971	280,000.	20,000.	922,024.	20,000.	72,000.	3,873,121.	4,643,743.	P.D. 98,443	4,329,842.	68,942.	126,101.	345,806.	27,025. ²		16,250.
1971	280,000.	20,000.	962,841.	20,000.	72,000.	4,053,720.	5,285,251.	P.D. 55,327	4,499,272.	92,485.	136,564.	727,281.	34,333.		25,000.
1973	287,291.	22,083.	1,058,728.	21,250.	76,315.	4,190,919.	5,406,694.	Reg. 3,701,794 P.D. 72,550 Reg. 4,074,062	5,971,579.	62,162.	145,077.	879,366.	45,463.		36,288.
1974	297,499.	25,000.	1,199,999.	23,000.	84,000.	4,433,739.	5,720,602.	Reg. 4,074,082 P.D. 106,547 Reg. 4,624,713	6,064,487.	32,429.	148,826.	1,028,824.	46,201.		55,000.
1975	297,499.	25,000.	1,293.635.	25,000.	92,000.	4,405,687.	5,690,143.	Reg. 4,024,713 P.D. 109,999 Reg. 5,217,363	6,204,587.	106,650.	202,266.	1,092,311.	48,803.		45,833.
1976	348,188.	27,500.	1,507,190.	27,000.	100,000.	5,629,494.	7,478,888.	P.D. 124,999	9,328,098.	91,687.	207,579.	1,277,890.	43,816.		7,505.
1977	349,999.	27,500.	1,486,215.	27,000.	100,000.	5,749,217.	7,761,743.	Reg. 5,637,411 P.D. 159,983 Reg. 6,177,448	9,539,429.	92,563.	193,935.	1,275,823.	44,140.		10.915
1978	344,293.	27,500.	1,481,761.	27,000.	100,000.	6,270,974.	8,047,685.	Reg. 6,177,440 P.D. 43,284 Reg. 7,929,516	9,858,032.	81,875.	276,972.	1,443,714.	110,211.	9,933. ³	15,937.
1979	382,666.	29,958.	1,622,159.	29,458.	109,500.	7,455,716.	9,289,410.	P.D. 65,908	11,364,089.	148,537.	308,707.	1,471,972.	154,436.	14,900.	
1980	405,999.	30,950.	1,643,218.	30,408.	112,667.	8,464,932.	10,373,391.	P.D. 172,987	12,767,997.	319,432.	324,998.	1,595,704.	159,652.	18,500.	16,280.
1981	405,999.	32,792.	1,677,528.	32,192.	128,766.	8,454,295.	10,315,686.	P.D. 228,890	12,791,881.	581,406.	349,999.	1,761,244.	241,069.	20,437.	17,889.
1982	405,999.	33,630.	1,748,997.	34,008.	136,033.	8,503,691.	10,465,200.	Reg. 11,060,781 P.D. 234,111 Reg. 11,787,083	13,362,527.	590,736.	335,821.	1,924,703.	269,773.	20,437.	13,432
1983	465,499.	35,500.	2.064.707.	35,000.	140,000.	9,659,598.	12,039,148.	P.D. 228,552	16,414,030.	706,499.	350,300.	2,085,302.			
	,							Reg. 13,723,449 P.D. 252,937 P. 15,652,332					292,070.	24,279.	18,375.
1984	525,000.	35,500.	2,369,346.	35,000.	140,000.	11,060,963.	13,547,210.	Reg. 15,658,328 P.D. 264,739	19,876,852.	803,910.	360,000.	2,159,097.	400,834.	30,000.	22,768.
1985	525,000.	50,000.	2,259,459.	45,000.	180,000.	10,963,752.	13,508,278.	Reg. 17,140,677 P.D. 305,054	20,002,002.	989,677.	360,000.	2,159,972.	466,640.	33,000.	26,768.
1986	595,000.	50,000.	2,301,960.	45,000.	180,000.	12,343,797.	14,173,797.	Reg. 18,404,717	23,381,041.	1,191,249.	453,666.	2,287,903.	457,107.	30,250.	62,468.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

²Establishment of Court Reporters' office — Cook County effective July 1, 1971. ³Establishment of Court Reporters' office — DuPage County effective July 1, 197

³Establishment of Court Reporters' office — DuPage County effective July 1, 1978. ⁴Circuit Judges Assigned to Appellate Judgeships legislation effective July 1, 1969.

Charts

The preceding charts are intended to provide selective fiscal data on actual expenditures of the respective departments which are under the auspices of the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois. The charts reflect a period from January 1, 1964 through June 30, 1986.

By: Jeanne Meeks Supervisor of the Accounting Division

PROBATION DIVISION

1985 represented the first full year of implementation of Public Act 83-982, which significantly increased the responsibility and funding role of the Supreme Court in relation to circuit court based probation departments.

Illinois Adult Probation Classification System

The Illinois Adult Classification System differentiates the supervision level of probationers by measuring their inclination toward criminal behavior and their need for rehabilitative services. The system provides a means of supervising offenders differentially as well as a management tool for staff deployment and accountability, budgeting and research, and resource development and coordination. This system brings structure and consistency to decision making at all levels of adult probation case management. The Illinois system sets a uniform standard for state funding and defines the proper allocation of local probation department resources among adult probationers. Each level of supervision requires a specific number and type of case contact. The system also has explicit standards for case intake, assessment of offender risks and needs, and supervisory review of probation officer application of the system.

During 1985, the staff of the Probation Division developed, field tested, and began to use a structured classification system monitoring process. This effort involves interviews with probation officers, supervisors and managers, review of case files, and verification of departmental recordkeeping procedures. Each department is required to develop a corrective action plan in response to the evaluation findings.

Intensive Probation Supervision

The purpose of the Intensive Probation Supervision program is to create specialized probation units to provide intensive surveillance and services to a limited caseload of high risk, nonviolent felony offenders. IPS is an alternative to prison for offenders who meet the selection criteria and who are acceptable to the court.

During 1985, 396 additional adult felons were sentenced to the IPS programs throughout the state. The ten adult programs are listed below by county with the number in the caseload at the end of the year:

Champaign	26	Cook	88
Kane	21	Lake	51
Macon	34	Madison	43
McLean	23	Peoria	35
St. Clair	19		
Williamson,	Jackson,	Saline 26	

183 cases were in Phase I, 122 in Phase II, and 61 in Phase III. Caseload population data indicate that 89% of the cases have been convicted previously and 42% have previous incarceration histories.

The original concept of this program was to divert Class III and IV felons from the state prison system. Judicial sentencing has also led to a substantial number of Class I and II felons being in the program. At the end of the year the caseload was divided by felony class as follows:

The staff of the Probation Division designed a field monitoring procedure which was used in every IPS program in the state. Much like the adult classification evaluation procedures, this process involved interviews with IPS officers, managers, and judges. A general summary of the findings of the evaluations include problems on case selection process, adequate volume of intake, documentation of officer activity, and probation officer security issues.

Based largely on evaluation findings, a statewide program for training of all levels of intensive probation staff was designed and delivered by the Probation Division. A group of nationally recognized consultants was retained for this purpose both in designing and delivering the training.

Because several of the departments had met or exceeded the original caseload capacity, additional officers were allocated to selected counties in mid 1985. Division policy for allocation of IPS personnel has been determined to be more appropriately based on the rate of intake and caseload size over time.

The University of Illinois at Chicago received appropriations from the General Assembly to conduct an independent evaluation of the effectiveness of the IPS Program. By the end of 1985, this evaluation effort had just begun.

Fiscal Auditing

During 1985, the field auditor of the Probation Division continued to conduct field audits of county clerk, county treasurer, and probation department records. Such audits were conducted in 47 departments in the state. Their purpose is to document and verify the accuracy of reimbursements to counties for approved expenditures on probation services. A systematic method of determining proper reimbursement for workmen's compensation, unemployment compensation, county retirement, and medical benefits was developed. Written documentation of expenditures is required on each employee, and the field auditor maintains detailed records on the compensation and personnel policies of each department. The Probation Division's auditing process also includes technical assistance to probation departments and county treasurers on the proper completion of reimbursement vouchers and supporting materials. During 1985, an extensive fiscal review was added as a component of the annual plan review process prior to the counties being eligible for reimbursement.

Training

The Probation Division continued to rely on two training contractors for the delivery of most training of probration officers in the state. A limited amount of training is provided by Probation Division staff on selected topics and programs.

Sangamon State University is contracted to train all probation personnel outside of Cook County. This training includes basic training of all new officers and advanced training of officers on specialized professional subjects. These programs are residential in nature. For the State's fiscal year which ended July 30, 1985, Sangamon State University's contract provided \$337,440 for the training of 665 probation officers. Six basic training programs and 22 advanced programs were conducted by the university.

Training for probation officers in Cook County was arranged through the Cook County Department of Personnel. This training is on advanced topics because the three probation departments in Cook County conduct their own basic pre-service training of staff. Training under the contract in Cook County is nonresidential in nature. The Cook County training contract for the period ending June 30, 1985 provided \$135,680 for the training of 723 Cook County probation officers. Thirty-six advanced training programs were conducted.

In addition to the intensive probation supervision training mentioned previously, the Probation Division arranged for training of administrative assistants to probation directors in subjects suitable to their responsibilities in their departments. A specialized program was offered for new chief managing officers. The curriculum for this program included topics related to the management responsibilities of these newly promoted or appointed administrators as well as an orientation on the State's role in various aspects of their department's function. A training program was also developed for small probation offices which have experienced a proportionately large increase in staff due to the new state funding under recent legislation. This training was designed to respond to the need for these departments to handle the new responsibilities of supervising staff for the first time, as well as helping them relate more effectively to their county boards regarding budgeting and provision of logistical support for their expanded departments.

Interstate Compact

Since July 1, 1979, the Probation Division has been responsible for the administration of the adult probation portion of the Interstate Compact for supervision of parolees and probationers. (III. Rev. Stat., Ch. 38, Par. 1003-3-11 et. seq.) On August 11, 1984, the Division also assumed responsibility for the Interstate Compact for juvenile probationers. Between January 1, 1985 and December 31, 1985, the Division received and processed 35,316 requests for information, supervision requests, and progress reports. Of these, 3,119 involved juvenile offenders.

No significant change took place during 1985 in the number of

interstate compact cases under supervision. As of December 31, 1984 there were 1,258 Illinois adult and 253 juvenile probationers under supervision in other states and 1,524 adult and 109 juvenile probationers from other states under probation supervision in Illinois.

New Probation Legislation

During 1985, Illinois took another major step forward in providing a comprehensive and uniform system of probation and court services in the various circuits. Public Act 84-823 (House Bill 777) represents a state and local partnership and promotes the development of a coordinated community based justice system. Except for Item 1 in the summary all provisions of this enactment amend the Probation Officers Act.

Summary

- 1. Amends the Juvenile Court Act:
 - (a) juvenile probation services subject to all standards promulgated by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (AOIC).
 - (b) incorporates by reference the state reimbursement provisions of the Probation Officers Act.
- Clarifies the role and authority of the Chief Circuit Judge in the organization and administration of probation and court services.
- Defines "Probation Officers" as judicial employees designated on a circuit or county basis and compensated by the county board. Includes detention staff.
- 4. Expands the authority of and specifies the functions and duties of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, Probation Division, in developing and monitoring standards and programs for probation and court services. Provides for reimbursement to counties as follows:
 - (a) 100% of salary of chief managing officers.
 - (b) 100% of salary of probation officer and supervisor positions approved by AOIC after 4-1-84 to meet "Basic Services". Such services are limited to adult and juvenile workload personnel, and staff for intensive probation supervision, public services employment, intake services and home detention.
 - (c) \$1,000 per month for salaries for remaining Basic Services positions and for staff approved by AOIC for "New or Expanded Services". Such services include pretrial, victim and restitution and community resource coordination programs, psychological services, drunk driving programs and specialized caseloads.
 - (d) 100% of travel expenses for all probation positions approved under (b) above.

- (e) supplemental reimbursement to county if total of (a) through (d) above is less than total of current reimbursement from AOIC.
- 5. All reimbursement is contingent on submission and approval of Annual Probation Plan including compliance with the following:
 - (a) all probation staff to receive a minimum salary of \$17,000.
 - (b) each department has a compensation schedule approved by AOIC.
 - (c) number of probation officers must be maintained at least at current levels to avoid reduction in reimbursement.
 - (d) AOIC standards for Basic Services and for necessary and essential support personnel and services must be met before reimbursement for New and Expanded services can be approved.
- Provision is made for reduction in reimbursement for noncompliance with AOIC standards.
- 7. Counties shall be responsible for capital and space costs, fringe benefits, clerical costs, equipment, telecommunications, postage, commodities and printing.
- 8. Preserves the "peace officer" provision of the current Act.
- 9. Individualized Services and Programs.
 - (a) a county or group of counties may apply as part of the Annual Probation Plan for reimbursement for individualized purchase of service costs for adult and juvenile offenders.
 - (b) AOIC standards for Basic Services must be met before such reimbursement can be approved.
 - (c) services and programs may include direct offender services, non-residential rehabilitation programs, short-term emergency shelter and assessment and evaluation services.
 - (d) allocations to counties to be proportionate to total of other AOIC reimbursements for probation.
- Reimbursement under this Act for "Basic Services" and "New or Expanded Services" to begin April 1, 1986, and for "Individualized Services and Programs" to begin April 1, 1987.

Development of Guidelines

With the intent to improve and professionalize probation services in Illinois and to develop a mechanism for determining an approved level of costs for probation services, the Probation Division developed a chief managing officer salary schedule. The schedule was based on research throughout the country and within Illinois regarding the current salaries and scope of responsibilities of probation administrators. A formula was devised comprised of three elements: number of staff in the department; number of functions of the department, i.e., adult field services, juvenile field services, and juvenile detention; and, the number of counties served by the department. The schedule involved a series of twenty-two pay grades, each containing a minimum, a midpoint, and a maximum within a range. Each chief managing officer who was a department head was placed on a grade determined by the total number of points accumulated in the formula described.

A more comprehensive set of compensation schedule guidelines was promulgated late in calendar year 1985 to permit the probation departments and the county boards to have AOIC approved compensation schedules in place by April 1, 1986. The guidelines require that each compensation schedule include salary ranges with necessary increments to compensate each employee. The increments within the salary ranges must be based on such factors as bonafide occupational qualifications, performance, and length of service. Each position in the department must be placed on the compensation schedule according to the job duties and responsibilies of each position. The policies and procedures of the compensation schedule must be made available to each employee. The staff of the Probation Division worked closely with Chief Circuit Judges, probation administrators, and county boards in designing and implementing approved compensation schedules.

Because there was no juvenile classification system appropriate for statewide application in Illinois, an alternative juvenile workload system was established. The Probation Division worked with juvenile probation administrators to develop a quantified measure of investigative and case supervision activities within juvenile probation departments. The system is designed in such a way as to be compatible with the adult classification system workload unit measures. Each active juvenile case is given the same weight as a maximum adult case (three hours peer month), and the various types of juvenile investigations are given appropriate weights based on a statewide study of the time required to complete these investigations.

During the latter part of 1985, work was begun on the development of standards for the appropriate provision by county boards of office space, equipment, supplies, support staff, and travel expenditures for probation services. A set of program guidelines for public service employment programs was also given preliminary attention.

Annual Plan Process

The annual probation plan must be submitted by each probation department to demonstrate the manner in which probation and court services will be delivered consistent with Supreme Court requirements. The annual plan is primarily a fiscal and statistical tool for planning, funding, and allocation purposes. Based on the new legislation enacted in 1985, the annual plan was modified to be consistent with new requirements. At the same time, the annual plan period was modified to coincide with the fiscal year of each county in the state. Most counties are on a fiscal year beginning December 1, with a few beginning September 1, and two others with different periods. The state fiscal year begins July 1st. It was found to be much more appropriate for planning and program purposes of county governments for the Division to design the plans to coincide with the county fiscal year. This change was well received both by probation departments and county boards. Regional meetings and on-site technical assistance were provided by staff of the Probation Division to probation administrators and county boards in the completion of their annual probation plans.

In anticipation of newly appropriated positions, allocations were made in the Fall of 1985 for funding in the Spring of 1986. This funding included 50 new adult and juvenile workload positions to meet minimum investigative and supervision standards as well as 40 new DUI positions. (A statewide summary of positions approved for reimbursement appears at the end of this Section). The DUI funding was obtained to coincide with the effective date of a major statutory reform in Illinois of DUI penalties and procedures. Each DUI officer is limited to a small caseload of individuals who are repeat offenders and who score at the highest level in the professional assessment of their degree of alcohol or drug abuse. Special statistics were gathered to provide proper data for allocation of these new positions. In order to facilitate the effectiveness of the DUI officers as well as the implementation of the new DUI law throughout the state, the staff of the Probation Division worked closely with the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse and other appropriate organizations and agencies to coordinate the flow of information and to provide advice on standard setting for DUI services.

Technical Assistance

In addition to technical assistance related to funding and planning, the Probation Division also provided a number of other specialized services. These included a special study at the request of a Chief Circuit Judge of a private for profit agency seeking to provide diversion and supervision services for probationers. Another effort involved responding to a statewide need for a resource directory as a sentencing tool for judges. The staff of the Probation Division began working closely with probation professionals in the state to design a format whereby each probation department will have its own directory of appropriate resources available within that jurisdiction.

Division staff also served on the following advisory board and committees during 1985.

- Treatment and Alternatives to Street Crime, Professional Advisory Board
- -Lutheran Child and Family Services of Sangamon County
- Citizens Advisory Committee on Juvenile Court Services of Sangamon County
- -Illinois Department of Children and Family Services, Task Force on Delinquency

- -Permanency Planning Task Force
- -Crime Victims Task Force

Professional Probation Division Organizational Memberships

- -American Judicature Society
- -American Correctional Association
- -National Council on Crime and Delinquency
- -American Probation and Parole Association
- -National Association of Interstate Compact Administrators
- -Illinois Correctional Association
- -Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- -National Association of Probation Executives

Public Information And Education

The staff of the Division are frequently called upon to address civic groups, legislative committees, professional associations, and public forums. Organizations addressed during 1985 include:

- -Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
- -Southern States Correctional Association
- -Illinois Correctional Association
- -Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- -League of Women Voters of Illinois
- -Judicial Management Advisory Committee
- -Illinois Circuit Clerks Association

Statistics

In November, 1985 the division published and distributed to Chief Judges, probation departments, and interested state and private agencies an 88 page comprehensive statistical report on Illinois Probation and Court Services in Illinois for calendar year 1984.

This report revealed that there were 1,369 professional probation staff persons and 488 clerical personnel employed in Illinois probation departments at the end of calendar year 1984. Illinois juvenile detention, excluding Cook County, employed 240 professional staff and 37 support staff.

Probation and court services budgets, excluding juvenile detention and child care totaled \$47,000,913 for county fiscal year 1984-85. Juvenile detention budgets, excluding Cook County, for the same period totaled \$6,515,240. Total statewide child care budgets were \$3,821,042. The grand total of probation, child care, and detention budgets for County FY '84-85 was \$57,337,195. For the nearest state fiscal year (FY 1985), total state reimbursements to counties were \$14,417,017.

Illinois probation officers completed 14,769 adult presentence investigations and 6,997 other investigations during 1984. In

addition, 13,846 juvenile social history investigations were completed along with 7,247 other juvenile investigations.

The adult probation caseload in Illinois totaled 64,791 cases on December 31, 1984. The December, 1984 caseload was comprised of 25,574 felons, 6,396 misdemeanants, 5,002 DUI cases, and 2,348 traffic cases as well as 208 supervised pre-trial release cases. The balance of 16,159 cases are administrative.

The juvenile caseload totaled 28,154 on December 31, 1984. This included 14,760 administrative cases. The bulk of these administrative cases are dependent/neglect wards of the Department of Children and Family Services. Their status with that department is monitored by probation officers on an administrative rather than an active basis.

Adult probation violation statistics indicated that 10,783 violations were reported by probation departments to State's Attorneys through 1984. Of these, 6,654 were technical violations and 4,142 were new offense violations. A finding of probation violation by the court occurred in 3,596 cases. Juvenile probation violations were reported in 3,388 cases, and a finding of violation was entered in 1,465 cases. All probation violations reported exclude Cook County.

Illinois Probation and Court Services Departments reported restitution collections of \$3,714,292 for 1984. Adult probation collections amounted to \$3,176,578, while juvenile collections totaled \$290,383. Illinois courts ordered restitution payments on 7,906 adult offenders and 1,830 juvenile offenders. Through 1984, public service employment was ordered as a condition of probation or supervision for 13,283 offenders. 11,531 of these offenders were adult and 1,752 were juvenile. During calendar year 1984, 512,893 hours of public service were completed in Illinois. Of these hours, 450,369 were completed by adult offenders and 62,524 were completed by juvenile offenders.

POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS -**PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT**

Circuit	County	(1) CMO	(2) WI	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	PROBATION	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED POSITIONS
1st	Alexander	1	1		201	onnoeno	onnormo			
151	Jackson	1	3			4		1		5
	Johnson	1	5			4		1		5
	Massac	1								
	Pulaski	1								
	Saline	1	2			2				2
	Union	1								
	Williamson	1	4	3	1	4				4
2nd	Crawford	1	1							
	Wayne	1	2							
	Franklin	1	2			1				1
	Jefferson	1	1							
	White	1	3							
3rd	Bond	1								
	Madison	5	10	6	2	13	18	1		32
4th	Christian	1				1				1
	Clay	1								
	Clinton	1	2							
	Effingham	1	1			1				1
	Fayette	1								
	Jasper	1								
	Marion	1	3		1	1				1
	Montgomery	1								
	Shelby	1								
5th	Coles	1				5		1		6
	Clark	1								
	Edgar	1				1				1
	Vermillion	1	5		1	9		1		10
6th	Champaign	3	2	3		17	9	1		27
	DeWitt	1				1				1
	Douglas	1								
	Macon	1	4	3		6		1		7
	Moultrie	1								
	Piatt	1		_		1				1
7th	Greene	1								
	Jersey	1								
	Macoupin	1	2		1	2				2
	Morgan	1	1			3		1		4
	Sangamon Adult	1	2		2	8		1		9
	Sangamon Juvenile	4				5	25	1		31
8th	Adams	2				8	7	1		16
	Cass	1	1			1				1
	Mason	1				1				1
	Menard	1								
	Pike	1	1							
	Schuyler	1								

KEY

CMO - CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL-ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES IPS - INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL. POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF \$12,000 ANNUALLY.

COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

60

POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS -**PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT (Continued)**

Circuit	County	(1) СМО	(2) WL	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION OFFICERS	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED POSITIONS
9th	Fulton	1				1				1
	Hancock	1								
	Knox	2				2	10	1		13
	McDonough Juvenile	1				1				1
	Ninth Adult	1	6			4		1		5
	Warren	1				1				1
10th	Marshall	1								
	Peoria Juvenile	1				9			2	11
	Peoria Adult	1	5	6		11				11
	Peoria Detention	1					12			12
	Tazewell	1	2			10				10
11th	Ford	1								
	Livingston	1	1			3				3
	Logan	1	2			1				1
	McLean	1	3	3		10		1		11
	Woodford	1	1			1				1
12th	Will	2	6		1	9				9
13th	LaSalle	4	1		1	9	13			22
14th	Henry	1				7				7
	Mercer	1				3				3
	Rock Island	3	5		1	19		1		20
	Whiteside	1	1			7		1		8
15th	Carroll	1				1				1
	JoDaviess	1				1				1
	Lee	1	2			3				3
	Ogle	1	1			6				6
1	Stephenson	1	1			7				7
16th	DeKalb/Kane/Kendall	6		2		47	22	2		71
17th	Boone	1				2				2
	Winnebago	5	4			27	13	1	2	43
18th	DuPage	7	7		4	56	20			76
19th	Lake	7	7	6	3	41	18			59
	McHenry	3	2		1	21		1	1	23
20th	St. Clair	4	8	2	1	16	18			34
21st	Iroquois	1				1				1
	Kankakee	1	5			4		1	0	5
Cook	Cook Adult	10		12		253				253
Cook	Cook Juvenile	23	0	12		293				293
Cook	Cook Social Service	7	4		14	85		1		86
Cook	Cook-Circuit Court	1								
	STATEWIDE TOTALS	166	136	58	34	1,067	185	21	5	1,278

KEY

CMO - CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL-ADULT or Juvenile probation officers for investigative and supervision duties IPS - intensive probation supervisor officer

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL. POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF \$12,000 ANNUALLY. COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Processing more cases more efficiently has become an absolute necessity in the Illinois court system. Although the court system is constantly improving its case processing procedures and the level of judicial effort, new solutions to case recordkeeping and management need to be developed. In 1975, under the direction of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Director, the court system began to detail realistic plans for the future management and automation of court records.

Experiences from other states and within Illinois have indicated that the best way to approach court automation is to allow the people who will use the system - judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators and agencies receiving information from the courts - and the people who will finance the system - legislators and county board members - to design the system through their individual input regarding ongoing activities, needs and problems. Comprehensive input of this nature can be translated into the technological specifications required for equipment procurement, system design and management recommendations for operational procedures. The Supreme Court adopted this participatory format as the foundation for building a judicial management information system in Illinois by, in 1978, creating a Judicial Management Advisory Committee to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic management and automation approach.

In February, 1980, after almost five years of studying automated systems in Illinois and other states, the Administrative Office supplemented the input provided by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee regarding the development of a state judicial information system plan by approving the contractual retainer of Arthur Young & Company to perform a Judicial Management Information System Study.

The Judicial Management Information System Study again followed a participatory format. In the course of the study, Arthur Young & Company interviewed 1,500 persons during visits to all of the twenty-one Judicial Circuits, the five Appellate Districts, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, the Supreme Court of Illinois and related state agencies. Reviewed by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee at every stage of development, the product of this statewide collaboration and subsequent distillation of several alternative approaches was the Judicial Management Information System Plan.

The activities proposed in the Judicial Management Information System Plan were presented to the Supreme Court by the Administrative Director in the form of a four-year planning and implementation schedule which included equipment procurement, software development, studies and staff expansion. The plan included the recommendation that a unified Judicial Management Information System should begin with the Supreme and Appellate Courts, with subsequent implementation of a similar network at the circuit court level. In December, 1980, the Supreme Court approved the development of an information services component of the Administrative Office.

Judicial Management Information Services

Between January and March, 1981, five people were hired — one management analyst, three data processing specialists and one secretary/trainer — to assist the project director and management analyst already on the staff of the Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs. Another secretary/ trainer was hired in May. Under the supervision of the Project Director, the staff was split into two teams.

The technical team, based in Springfield, assisted in developing a Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP, issued on February 17, 1981, specified for vendors the scope of the Appellate Information System. Installation of prototype case recordkeeping and management systems in the First and Fourth Appellate Court Districts was specified as the first stage for system development. Case management was defined as including docket information on case records and events, operational lists and notices, management reports and statistics, financial accounts and administrative reports and transmittals.

Interested vendors were informed that a software package (PROMIS) developed by the Institute for Law and Social Research (INSLAW) had been selected to accomplish the case recordkeeping and management function. Vendors also were informed that the case recordkeeping and management system, after being tested in the First and Fourth Districts, would be expanded to the remaining three appellate districts. Additional functions were enumerated as possibilities for system development, including word processing, issues indexing, electronic mail, photocomposition, Illinois legal research and national legal research.

By March 19, 1981, participating vendors submitted proposals for addressing the various functions. The technical team, in conjunction with a technical review committee, evaluated each proposal and submitted findings to an Appellate Review and Evaluation Committee. On April 2, 1981, the Supreme Court Committee announced that, contingent upon successful contract negotiations, the award had been made to International Business Machines (IBM).

Contract negotiations were conducted during the months of April and May, resulting in a signed master contract on June 15, 1981. The IBM hardware that would support operations in the Fourth District was installed in Springfield at 840 South Spring Street on July 30. Less than two weeks later, the IBM hardware for the First District was installed in the Daley Center in Chicago.

Actual system implementation has resulted in the anticipated expansion of equipment and staff. By the end of 1985, Judicial Management Information Services maintained thirty-eight positions. The court network contains two processing centers controlled by different operating systems, one being remotely operated by the other. The statewide communication network supports terminal-to-terminal, terminal-to-computer, and computer-to-computer traffic involving one hundred seventyeight terminals, printers and stand-alone word processors.

Appellate Information System

During the computer selection and installation period, the management team, based in Chicago, had been given the task of identifying Appellate Court procedures, documents and information requirements, specifically in the areas of records processing and maintenance, operational and statistical reports, and finance. While only operations in the First and Fourth Districts were to be automated in the first phase, the team had to verify that the proposed system for those two districts would be compatible with existing manual systems in the remaining districts. The verification was necessary to assure that, if approval were given, expansion of the projects into the other districts would be possible.

The study was divided into two parts. In the offices of the First and Fourth District Appellate Court Clerks, the team conducted an intensive review and documentation of procedures and paperflow. In the Second, Third and Fifth District offices, the team reviewed the procedures and paperflow to verify that projected expansion of the project was practicable. By June 30th, the team had spoken with virtually every employee in each of the five offices of the Appellate Court and had documented every event affecting a case from point of filing to disposition, except for the adjudicative process itself. By the conclusion of this exhaustive review, the team had confirmed that procedural variations among the districts were minor and, in no instance, did they present an obstacle in designing a single automated system for use by all the districts.

In September, 1981, the management and technical teams jointly began the process of tailoring the PROMIS software package to conform with design specifications. An initial system was designed and, in December, representatives from all five districts of the Appellate Court met in Chicago to participate in a one-day system demonstration. Suggestions made during the demonstration subsequently were incorporated into system revisions.

Staff began training clerk personnel in the First and Fourth Districts during February, 1982. The training expanded into the Second, Third and Fifth Districts in September 1982, November 1982 and February 1983, respectively. Training has been conducted on an individual basis, beginning with keyboard instruction and continuing with the entry and retrieval of docket information.

Since the automated system was designed to parallel the existing manual system, staff members had only to learn a new way of recording information rather than relearning their jobs. Within a short time of beginning training, staff members themselves were recommending minor design changes. These changes were added to the system, with further system changes to be held temporarily in suspension. In March, 1982, clerk personnel from the First and Fourth Districts began entering assigned cases onto the operational system as part of their training and practice exercises. The Second District began the same process in November, followed by the Third District in December, 1982, and the Fifth District in April, 1983. Staff remained present in each office to assist in answering questions and to perform a quality review of information entered into the system.

The First and Fourth Districts now have an automated docket record for each 1982 case, and all districts have automated dockets for all cases filed after January 1, 1983. All districts maintained both manual and automated systems until such time as the judges and clerks were satisfied that the automated system functioned properly and that the people operating the system were comfortable with it. Following this test period, manual records gradually were retired until all case activities are posted solely on the automated system. The Fourth District discontinued creating new manual dockets beginning with the first Notice of Appeal filed in 1983. The Fifth District stopped creating new manual docket sheets in September, 1983. In January, 1984, the Third District stopped initiating new manual docket sheets and the First District followed suit in July, 1984. Only the Fifth District, however, reached (in January, 1984) the critical stage wherein the records for all pending cases are maintained solely on the automated system.

Since 1982, Appellate Court staff have been submitting suggestions for system change. Some suggestions have been withdrawn and some proposals have been implemented. Recommendations requiring significant system change were deferred until such time as all districts had retired their manual dockets and operated in a completely automated environment. In preparation for the planned system revision, representatives from each district met together in June, 1983, and November, 1984, and February, June, and October, 1985, to jointly review progress and proposed system developments. These meetings are the first step in the iterative process necessary to identify uniform changes which will meet the combined needs of all five districts.

Supreme Court Information System

The implementation of the Supreme Court Information System began in September, 1983, with the installation of standalone word processing equipment in the offices of each Supreme Court Justice, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. Personnel in each office received individual training in the operation of the word processor. After the personnel become comfortable with word processing, installations and training were expanded to include dial-up capabilities linking each location together with point-to-point electronic mail. By the end of 1983, opinions and memoranda created and revised with the aid of word processing were being transferred electronically from one office to another.

Simultaneously with installing the word processing/electronic mail equipment, staff began the detailed documentation and

analysis of the activities performed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Based on the information gathered, an automated docket system for the Supreme Court was designed during the summer of 1984. Training and initial data entry began in August and, commencing in December, 1984, records of all new petitions for leave to appeal were maintained solely on an automated docket. The word processing equipment previously installed now can function as terminal devices for dial-up docket inquiry.

Circuit Information System Project

Over the last twenty years, partially through the use of grant funds, more than twenty counties established various automated data processing systems or applications to support court operations. Predictably, each of these systems developed along a separate path, using different consultants, equipment and programs. In view of these developments, the Supreme Court, on March 28, 1978, adopted the Judicial Management Information System Standards. These Standards are premised on the same considerations, such as uniformity, accuracy and reliability in recordkeeping and reporting, that prompted the development and adoption of the Supreme Court Administrative Order on Recordkeeping.

The Standards provide that any circuit plans for initiating or significantly modifying a judicial management information system must be approved by the Administrative Office. This provision was included to insure compliance with the Standards and establish a mechanism which can determine whether existing or proposed systems meet the information requirements of the circuit and the Administrative Office. The expectations of the Administrative Office are reflected in the Circuit Court Coding and Procedures Manuals and the disposition reporting project.

The Circuit Court Coding Manual will provide codes, definitions and formats necessary to data administration. Initially, the Supreme Court Committee used grant funds to contract with SEARCH Group, Inc. for the development of the Coding Manual. The criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual was completed in 1980 and the civil segment (excluding juvenile) was finished in 1981. To ensure that the Coding Manual will be comprehensive, realistic and valid, each item contained in it has been reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee. Modifications have been and will continue to be added as changes occur in statute, rule, or practice — or in instances where alterations would enhance the entire system.

Regularization of information gathering and dissemination procedures in the circuit courts, whether in manual or automated environments, is a necessary step toward the eventual goal of developing a comprehensive judicial management information system. Although standard codes and forms are critical for recordkeeping uniformity, the design of a statewide judicial management information system requires detail documentation of each step taken to record and process all official court events. A procedures manual, written in detail, would provide data processing technicians with the information necessary to begin automation of court applications while furnishing circuit clerks with a document useful in staff training or office operation.

The Circuit Court Procedures Manual is being developed by Administrative Office staff in cooperation with selected counties and circuits throughout the State of Illinois. In 1981, staff began visiting cooperating counties in order to learn about existing procedures and practices. Procedures and forms were evaluated for effectiveness and footnoted with relevant statutory and Supreme Court Rule references. Documentation linking each procedure and form with the data elements contained in the Coding Manual was developed, as was a glossary of terms. Drafts of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual have been reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee and a combined Procedures/Coding Manual for criminal case processing was made available for Statewide review in April, 1983. In September, 1983, staff began to work on the draft civil segment, a project which will take approximately three years to complete.

The adoption of the criminal and guasi-criminal segment of the Procedures/Coding Manual forms the basis for the reporting of case dispositions by Circuit Clerks to the Department of Law Enforcement, the Secretary of State and the Department of Corrections using the information system capabilities of the Administrative Office. During 1983, the Secretary of State and the Director of the Department of Law Enforcement signed agreements with the Administrative Office indicating their willingness to establish automated disposition reporting. In October, 1983, the Nineteenth Circuit produced the first test tape for processing by the Administrative Office for transmission to the State Police. Problems were identified and solved, allowing the electronic transfer of information from the Nineteenth Circuit through the Administrative Office to the State Police to go into production during February, 1984. In August, 1984, test tapes from St. Clair County were processed by the Administrative Office and transmitted to the Secretary of State. By November, 1984, automated disposition reporting to the Secretary of State also became a production system. Peoria went into production in November, 1985, and both DuPage and Lake Counties have started to forward test tapes. Staff members have been working closely with each of the automated circuits so that, once the formats and procedures have been established, implementation can proceed with other jurisdictions and agencies.

Judicial Management Advisory Committee

Established by the adoption of the Judicial Management Information System Standards, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee has been working since 1978 to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic information management and automation approach. Membership in the Judicial Management Advisory Committee is determined through appointments made by the Chief Judge of each Judicial Circuit. The Chief Judges, in making appointments, have been careful to insure that divergent views and different groups integral to the functioning of the court system are represented. As a consequence, committee membership includes judges, court administrators, circuit court clerks, administrative assistants, directors of court services, data processing managers and state's attorneys. The composition of the committee has helped foster communication, understanding and consensus on issues related to judicial management information systems.

The committee met in Macon, Jefferson, Lake, Knox, Winnebago and Cook Counties during the 1985 calendar year. At these meetings, the committee concentrated on a detailed review and supervision of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual and the development of a Circuit Clerk Training Program. In addition, the committee continued to explore some of the technological, financial, educational, interface and auditing questions which will be involved in the development of a statewide judicial management information system. Topics focused on information systems education targeted to help circuit judges and clerks who are interested in proceeding independently avoid some of the major pitfalls involved in system development and procurement. In this process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee involved state agencies and local officials which use court information or support court operation.

Development and Maintenance of Uniform Recordkeeping Procedures

Using standard forms and methods prescribed by the Director of the Administrative Office pursuant to the provisions of the Supreme Court's General Administrative Order on Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts, the clerks of the trial courts in certain counties have implemented uniform procedures for maintaining, either manually or automated, the case files and records of their respective courts. The remaining counties in the State fall into two categories: Several more populous counties which have not yet been ordered to implement the Recordkeeping Order have established in varied degrees some automated data processing incorporating therein some of the provisions, standards and procedures prescribed in the Supreme Court's Administrative Order on Recordkeeping. The remaining counties which have not yet been ordered to implement the Recordkeeping System are: DeWitt, Henry, Kankakee, Marshall, Mercer, Moultrie, Piatt, and Tazewell. Some of these counties have already adopted certain procedures and forms prescribed by the Manual on Recordkeeping on their own initiative. Douglas County is preparing to fully implement the uniform procedures prescribed by the Court's Administrative Order beginning January 1, 1985.

Age of Pending Cases Reports

Since June 30, 1979, the Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office, has required chief circuit judges, individual trial judges and circuit clerks to submit the following semi-annual reports on pending cases:

Chief Judges — Summary age of pending cases report for each county, which includes (1) number of untried felony cases pending; (2) number of untried felony cases more than 180 days old (over 5 years old in Cook County); (3) steps taken or to be taken to insure the prompt disposition of such cases; (4) number of cases dismissed under the "speedy trial statute," III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 103-5; (5) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending; (6) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) more than 2 years old (over 7 years old in Cook County); (7) a report on any category of cases in which there is unusual delay noted; and (8) number of complaints from attorneys or citizens concerning delay in processing cases.

Trial Judges — (1) Individual reports on untried felony cases pending over 180 days (over 5 years old in Cook County); and (2) Individual reports on untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending over 2 years (over 7 years old in Cook County).

Clerks — Composite age of pending cases report for all cases by category, except traffic, ordinance and conservation violations.

Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports

Section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (III. Rev. Stat., ch 38, par. 108A-11) requires the Administrative Office to collect information about orders entered under Illinois' eavesdropping statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*) and report that information to the General Assembly. Within 30 days of the expiration of an eavesdropping order, or within 30 days of the denial of an application for an order, the issuing or denying judge must report the following information to the Administrative Office: (1) whether any order, extension or subsequent approval of an emergency use of an eavesdropping device was requested; (2) the action taken on the request; (3) the period authorized in any order entered; (4) the felony specified in the order or application; (5) the identity of the requesting law enforcement agency and approving State's Attorney; and (6) the facilities where the eavesdropping device was to be used.

In January of each year, the State's Attorney of each Illinois county must make a composite report on all eavesdropping orders entered in the circuit court of that county. That report must include (1) the information transmitted by judges in their reports; (2) the number of conversations and individuals overheard; (3) the cost and manpower required by use of an authorized eavesdropping device; (4) the number of arrests and trials resulting from those uses; (5) the number of motions to suppress the fruits of overheard conversations and the disposition of those motions; and (6) the number of convictions resulting from those uses. The Administrative Office compiles this information and transmits a report on it to the General Assembly each April.

In 1985, State's Attorneys and judges filed with the Administrative Office notices of 383 orders authorizing eavesdropping. Of these orders, 323 were original and 60 were extensions or modifications. As a result of this activity 69 individuals were convicted of an offense in 1985, with many cases still pending.

Authorized eavesdropping devices are typically used in conjunction with controlled substances offenses, although a wide variety of other felonies were investigated through eavesdropping this year. The most common devices employed were telephone wiretaps and body microphones on undercover agents. Residences, business premises and law enforcement offices were frequent locations of eavesdropping activity.

Administration of Supreme Court Rule 39 – Appointment of Associate Judges

The number of associate judges in each circuit is determined both by population (one associate judge for every 35,000 inhabitants or fraction thereof) and by need. Chief judges in circuits where litigation is particularly heavy may file with the Director of the Administrative Office a statement supporting the circuit's need for additional associate judges. The Director then makes a recommendation to the Supreme Court which may allocate additional "permissive" associate judgeships to the circuit.

Once an opening exists in the office of associate judge, the circuit judges must fill the vacancy by election. The elective procedure is contained in Supreme Court Rule 39. This process is somewhat different in circuits with a population over 500,000 and in less populous circuits, but the basic selection mechanism is the same. The chief judge certifies to the Director the names of the candidates. The Director places those names on ballots which he distributes to the circuit judges. He then tabulates the results and certifies them to the chief judge, maintaining the secrecy of the ballots.

In 1985, the Director certified that the following attorneys were appointed as associate judges:

Circuit	Associate Judge
3rd	George Gitchoff
4th	Robert F.A. Stocke
6th	Jeffrey B. Ford
11th	David L. Coogan William M. Dalton
12th	Shelia M. O'Brien
15th	John E. Payne Barry R. Anderson
16th	Robert P. Grometer
19th	Raymond J. McKoski Thomas A. Schmerhorn Stephen Walter

Ronald F. Bartkowicz Cook Janice L. Bierman Harry R. Buoscio Donna L. Cervini Carl J. Cipolla Sheldon C. Garber Miriam Ellen Harrison J. Patrick Morse James V. Murphy, II Michael J. Murphy Stuart A. Nudelman Marcia B. Orr Charles E. Porcellino Robert R. Retke Marvin H. Ruttenberg Howard T. Savage Robert M. Smierciak Cyril Watson

Administration of Supreme Court Rule 215(d) – Impartial Medical Experts

The Administrative Director is charged with the responsibility of administering Supreme Court Rule 215(d), which allows a court to order an impartial medical examination of a party whose mental or physical condition is in issue. The examination must be made by a member or members of a panel of physicians chosen for their special qualifications by the Illinois State Medical Society. The examiner submits a report for the use of the court and the parties, and if the examiner's testimony is required at trial, it will be given without cost to the parties.

The charts which follow provide a profile of the use of Rule 215(d), both cumulative since its inception and for 1985 only. The 1985 statistical summary is divided into the categories of "orders," "examinations" and "costs," which refer to those entered, performed or charged in that year. No orders were entered and no examinations were performed outside Cook County in 1985.

IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS — SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d) 1985 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

				ORI	DERS			Totals			
Orders Entered During 1985			nstate 3			11					
ACTION			njury 5			11					
Specialties Required	Orthopedics 4	Hema		Cardiology 1	Internal Medicine 1	Rheumatology 1	04528				
				2	*In 1	case 2 specialists were re	equired				
Frequency of Use of Rule 215(d) By Judges	11 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 1 Case										
Disposition of Orders Entered During 1985	All Examinations in the Case were Performed 11										
				EXAMIN	NATIONS						
IME Examinations Scheduled and Performed in 1985			nstate 3			20					
Specialties Required Exams Actually Performed	Cardiology 1	Rheum		Orthopedics 4	Hematology 1	Psychiatry 12	Internal Medicine 1	20			
Number of Exams Performed by Individual IME — Frequency of Use Of Panelists	8 I.M. Experts Performed 1 Exam	Experts Experts Performed Performed		Experts Performed	1 I.M. Expert Performed 3 Exams	1 I.M. Expert Performed 6 Exams		12 I.M. Exper Performed a Total of 20 Exams			
	COST										
Average Cost Per 1985 Case	Downstate Cook County										
Average Cost Per 1985 Exam		Dowr	nstate								
Total Cost Per 1985 Cases		Dowr	nstate			Cook County					
					was Required at Trial 10.00			\$200.00			

CUMULATIVE STATISTICAL SUMMARY January 1970 — December 1985

TOTAL ORDERS ENTERED - 724 TOTAL EXAMINATIONS PERFORMED - 1299

Orders Entered By Jurisdiction		nstate 18	Attorney	Registration 4	Judges Retire	ement System 2	Cook County 620		
Orders Entered By Nature Of Action	Mental Health 4	Probate 4	Juvenile 3	Adoption 4	Criminal 29	Divorce Child Custody 491	Paternity 1	Civil Personal Injury 197	
Results of Scheduled Examinations	Cases Settled Before Trial			Examinations		ns Performed	Testimony Required at Trial		
Specialties	Rheumatology 2	Hematology 2	Obstetrics 2	Cardiology 5	General Practice 8	Plastic Surgery 1	Pediatrics 3	Geriatrics 1	
Required Examinations Performed	Urology 3	Ophthalmology 10	Otolaryngology 7	Internal Medicine 31	Neurology 55	Orthopedics 84	Allergies 1	Psychiatry 1086	
	Radiology 1		Av	erage Cost Per Exam Pe	erformed Including An	cillary Cost and Testim	ony		

Administration of Supreme Court Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 711 provides for the temporary licensing of law students to appear in court, prepare pleadings or briefs, counsel with clients, negotiate in the settlement of claims and prepare and draft legal instruments. These services may be performed only for qualified agencies such as legal assistance programs, public defender offices and governmental offices, and the student must be supervised by a member of the Illinois bar. To be eligible for licensing, a student must be certified by his or her dean as having received credit for at least two-thirds of the hourly credits required for graduation and as being in good academic standing and eligible under the school's criteria to undertake the activities authorized by the rule.

In 1985, 570 licenses were issued. Since the adoption of Rule 711 in May, 1969, a total of 8,016 senior law students have participated in this legal internship program. The comparative chart below indicates the use of Rule 711 in the last five years.

Year	Law Students
1985	570
1984	551
1983	551
1982	479
1981	477

Administration of Official Court Reporters

As required by statute, the Administrative Office several times each year administers an Official Court Reporters' Proficiency Examination to determine the qualifications of applicants for the position of official court reporter. To date 2,218 persons have attempted to qualify for appointment as official court reporters or for advancement to a higher pay level within the official court reporter ranks.

The Official Court Reporter Proficiency Examination consists of two voice question and answer (Q & A) sections and a legal opinion section. Each test is dictated by professional readers.

Candidates who pass both parts of the Proficiency Examination may, if a vacancy exists, be appointed to the post of official court reporter by any chief judge of any circuit court.

By statute, the Supreme Court determines the number of official court reporters that each circuit may appoint. The Court may increase or decrease the number of court reporters in any circuit after considering various factors provided for in the statute (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 653). As of December 31, 1985 there were 637 official court reporters in Illinois, 23 of whom were part-time. During 1985 six official court reporter proficiency examinations were offered, two in Chicago, three in Normal, and one at Triton Community College in River Grove. Of 300 applicants, 232 actually sat for the test, and 111 passed.

Each year the Administrative Office organizes and presents an Official Court Reporter Development Seminar to which all official court reporters are invited. The 1985 seminar was held on Friday-Saturday, June 21-22, 1985 at the Hyatt Regency O'Hare Hotel in Rosemont, Illinois. On Friday afternoon, Administrative Director Roy O. Gulley answered questions from reporters. This was followed by four small group presentations from various institutions and individuals:

 "Fingerprint Identification" — MacCormac Junior College
 "Technology to Become a Better Reporter" — Chicago College of Commerce
 "Stress Workshop" — Triton Community College
 CAT Workshop

Presented by various computer companies

On Saturday morning, Professor Lucille Horstmeister of Chicago College of Commerce gave an English workshop. Then, reporter Jerry Miller spoke on an indigent transcript project. In the afternoon, a panel of several reporters considered diverse topics, such as a CSR's obligations under the law, supplemental requests for reports of proceedings, and computer-aided transcription.

Secretariat

The Administrative Office serves as secretary to many judicial organizations and committees. In addition to arranging meetings, recording minutes and keeping records, the office acts as a fact finding body, does research, conducts surveys and apprises judges of recent developments in procedural and substantive law. Among the bodies served by the Administrative Office in a secretariat capacity are: (1) the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference and its constituent committees, including the standing Subcommittee on Judicial Education, the New Judge Seminar Planning Committee, the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee and various study and seminar committees; (2) the Conference of Chief Judges; (3) the Courts Commission; (4) the Supreme Court Rules Committee; (5) the Judicial Management Advisory Committee; and (6) other study and advisory committees which may be appointed by the Supreme Court from time to time. The activities of these organizations are explained in greater detail in other sections of this report.

Distribution of Supreme Court Opinions and Legislative Summaries

Since April of 1975, the Administrative Office has regularly prepared and distributed synopses of select opinions filed by the Illinois Supreme Court. These summaries are distributed to each of the State's judicial officers within 7 to 10 days of the filing of the opinions by the Court. In 1985, 36 Supreme Court opinions were summarized.

The office also analyzes legislation affecting court personnel, the operation of the court system and substantive and procedural law. Digests of 103 Public Acts which became law during 1985 were sent to Illinois judges.

Publications of the Administrative Office

The Administrative Office publishes and/or distributes several books or pamphlets which are available to the public. These publications can be obtained by contacting the Springfield or Chicago office:

- (1) A Short History of the Illinois Judicial System;
- (2) Manual on Recordkeeping;
- (3) Annual Report of the Administrative Office;
- (4) Annual and Biennial Reports of the Judicial Conference;
- (5) Handbooks for jurors in grand jury proceedings, and in criminal and civil cases;
- (6) A pamphlet on the history of the Supreme Court Building in Springfield;
- (7) Interim Report: Experimental Video-taping of Courtroom Proceedings;
- (8) Rules of Procedure of the Illinois Courts Commission;
- (9) Chief Circuit Judge's Manual on Guidelines for the Administration of Circuit Courts (draft form only);
- (10) Benchbook (Criminal Cases) for Illinois Judges;
- (11) Reading and Reference Materials used at seminars and conferences sponsored by the Judicial Conference;
- (12) Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Video-taping Court Proceedings;
- (13) Administrative Regulations Governing Court Reporters in the Illinois Courts;
- (14) Illinois Courtrooms, Bohn, William G., Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs (1972);
- (15) Benchbook for Use in Juvenile Proceedings;
- (16) Administrative Regulations Governing Minimum Qualifications for Illinois Probation Personnel;
- (17) Administrative Policy Statements Governing Eligibility of Illinois Probation Personnel for State Subsidy and Related Matters;

- (18) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase One Summary Report;
- (19) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase Two Summary Report;
- (20) Report of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1978);
- (21) Judicial Management Information System Standards;
- (22) Supplemental Report of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures (1980).

Membership in Organizations

The Director, Deputy Director, Assistant Directors and Staff Attorneys are members of a number of organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice. Current memberships include:

- Conference of State Court Administrators (The Director has served as Chairman of the Conference's Executive Committee and is currently a member of its National Court Statistics Project Committee.)
- (2) The American Judicature Society (The Director was a member of the Board of Directors until August 1981.)
- Illinois State Bar Association (and various committees and sections.)
- (4) American Bar Association
- (5) Chicago Bar Association
- (6) Chicago Council of Lawyers
- (7) Illinois Defender Project (Board of Commissioners.)
- (8) Council of State Governments
- (9) National Association of Trial Court Administrators
- (10) Institute of Judicial Administration
- (11) Women's Bar Association of Illinois
- (12) Justinian Society
- (13) Appellate Lawyers Association
- (14) Catholic Lawyers Guild
LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS

During 1985, numerous bills affecting various areas of procedural and substantive law were passed by the General Assembly. Those bills having a direct and particular impact on the operation of the court system and court personnel are summarized below. References are to III. Rev. Stat., ch. _____, par. _____.

Circuit Courts

P.A. 84-1030 reapportions 12th Judicial Circuit (ch. 37, par. 72.1). EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 20, 1985. Removes Iroquois and Kankakee counties from 12th Circuit, leaving Will County as the single county 12th Circuit, and puts Iroquois and Kankakee counties in the newly created two-county 21st Circuit.

Code of Civil Procedure

P.A. 84-844 adds new sections regarding mandatory arbitration to Code of Civil Procedure (ch. 110, pars. 2-1001A—2-1006A). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1986. Authorizes supreme court to establish mandatory arbitration of civil cases with claim of \$15,000 or less or where judge determines no greater amount in genuine controversy; supreme court to adopt procedural rules for mandatory arbitration, including rules relating to qualification, appointment and compensation of arbitrators, and arbitration hearing procedures; arbitrators to sit in panels of three unless lesser number stipulated by parties; provisions made for rejection or nonrejection of arbitration decision (if rejected, right to bench/jury trial preserved); Uniform Arbitration Act imapplicable.

P.A. 84-316 amends summary judgment provision in Code of Civil Procedure (ch. 110, par. 2-1005). EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 14, 1985. Adds new par. 2-1005(d) providing for summary determination of major issues. Adds new par. 2-1005(g) requiring court to allow pleadings to be amended upon just and reasonable terms before or after summary judgment.

Dramshop Act

P.A. 84-271 amends Dramshop Act (ch. 43, par. 135). EFFEC-TIVE SEPTEMBER 12, 1985. Provides that for causes of action involving persons injured, killed, or incurring property damage after September 12, 1985, judgment or recovery to the person or property of any person shall not exceed \$30,000 (was \$15,000) for each person incurring damages, and recovery for loss of means of support resulting from death or injury shall not exceed \$40,000 (was \$20,000). Person may make separate claims which aggregate in excess of any one limit where person incurs more than one type of compensable damage, but "all persons claiming loss to means of support shall be limited to an aggregate recovery not to exceed the single limitation *** for the death or injury of each person from whom support is claimed." There is no cause of action for injuries to the person or property of the intoxicated person himself, nor for loss of means of support on the intoxicated person himself or on any person claiming to be supported by such person.

DWI, Vehicle Code & Related Law

P.A. 84-272 amends various statutes concerning DWI. EFFEC-TIVE JANUARY 1, 1986. The comprehensive and detailed provisions of this Public Act necessitate a summary of highlights only here.

Ill. Vehicle Code (ch. 951/2, amended pars. 6-118, 6-201, 6-204, 6-206, 6-303, 11-401, 11-501, 11-501.1, 11-502, and new pars. 1-203.1, 2-118.1, 6-203.1, 6-206.1, 6-208.1, 6-304.1, 11-500, 11-501.3). Amended par. 11-501.1 retains subpars. (a), (b) (implied consent) but provisions following totally rewritten: arresting officer to warn person that refusal to take test will result in summary suspension of his driver's license and that if he takes test which shows at least 0.10 alcohol concentration, summary suspension will be imposed; officer to immediately submit sworn report to circuit court and Secretary of State certifying person refused to take test or took test which showed 0.10 alcohol; upon receipt of sworn report Secretary to enter summary suspension; officer on behalf of court shall serve immediate notice of summary suspension on person and suspension to be effective on 46th day (31st day after January 1, 1987) following notice of suspension (but if test shows at least 0.10 alcohol, notice of suspension to be mailed to person), and officer to confiscate driver's license, issue receipt, and forward license to court with sworn report; when sworn report received court shall confirm summary suspension by mailing notice of suspension's effective date to person and Secretary. New par. 6-208.1 provides periods of summary suspension of license - 6 months for test refusal, 3 months if test shows at least 0.10 alcohol, 1 year if prior offender - and court to restore driving privileges (some exceptions) following suspension period, provided reinstatement of license fees paid to court and forwarded to Secretary; provides that court following at least 30 days from effective date of suspension may issue judicial driving permit to first offender. New par. 2-118.1 provides person may request judicial hearing on summary suspension; scope of hearing limited to whether person arrested, officer had reasonable grounds, and person refused test after warnings or person took test which showed at least 0.10 alcohol; court to notify Secretary of hearing conclusions; hearing and request therefor shall not delay or stay summary suspension. New par. 6-206.1 provides that first offender whose license is summarily suspended may petition court for a judicial driving permit (JDP) to relieve undue hardship. Amended par. 6-204 provides that present requirement that clerks report certain convictions to Secretary is expanded to include "all violations stated

in [pars. 6-204(a)1, 2] when the individual has been adjudicated under the Juvenile Court Act" and further such reporting requirements apply to individuals adjudicated under Juvenile Court Act who have committed DWI or reckless homicide offenses: DWI and reckless homicide juvenile reports are privileged and available only to Secretary, courts and police. Amended par. 6-303 adds that person convicted of a second or subsequent violation of driving on suspended or revoked license is guilty of Class 4 felony under certain circumstances. New par. 6-304.1 creates Class A misdemeanor where person permits DWI driver to operate vehicle. New par. 11-500 defines DWI "first offender." Amended par. 11-501 adds provision that before court disposition of DWI charge person must undergo a "professional evaluation" to determine whether alcohol or other drug abuse problem exists and extent of problem, and that such evaluation programs must be licensed by Dept. of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse.

Juvenile Court Act (ch. 37, pars. 702-7, 702-9). Amended par. 702-7 (criminal prosecutions limited) provides that traffic violation for which minor convicted and punished without reference to Act's procedures includes reckless homicide and DWI. Amended par. 702-9 (confidentiality of juvenile court records) incorporates limited exception of access to juvenile court records as specified in amended par. 6-204 of ch. 951/2.

Criminal Code (ch. 38, par. 9-3). Further defines reckless homicide related to DWI; provides for prima facie evidence; raises reckless homicide from Class 4 to Class 3 felony.

Code of Criminal Procedure (ch. 38, par. 111-1). Provides that upon commencement of DWI or reckless homicide prosecution victim has all rights provided in "Bill of Rights for Victims *** Act," and defines "victim."

Unified Code of Corrections (ch. 38, pars. 1005-4-1, 1005-5-3). Amended par. 1005-4-1 provides that before court sentences on DWI person must undergo professional evaluation for alcohol or other drug abuse problem conducted by licensed programs, affords DWI victim opportunity to make a statement, and in reckless homicide cases affords victim's family members, etc. opportunity to make a statement; in imposing sentence for offense of operating or being in control of vehicle while under alcohol influence, when offense resulted in personal injury to non-defendant, court to specify on record factors that led to sentencing determination. Amended par. 1005-5-3 provides that when person sentenced for DWI and professional evaluation recommends remedial/rehabilitative treatment/education, "neither the treatment nor the education shall be the sole disposition and either or both may be imposed only in conjunction with another disposition. The court shall monitor compliance with any remedial education or treatment recommendations contained in the professional evaluation."

Bill of Rights for Victims *** Act (ch. 38, par. 1403). Amended par. 1403 additionally defines "victim" and "violent crime" to include DWI and reckless homicide offenses.

Dramshop Act (ch. 43, par. 131(a)). Liquor licensee or his agent who sells, etc. liquor to person under 21 years of age or to intoxicated person is guilty of Class A misdemeanor.

Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Act (ch. 111¹/₂, par. 6328). Provides that DWI evaluation or remedial education programs must be licensed by Dept. of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse.

P.A. 84-510 amends ch. 95½, par. 6-113 (III. Vehicle Code). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1986. Authorizes Secretary of State in new par. 6-113(c) to issue "a probationary license to a person whose driving privileges have been suspended pursuant to [ch. 95½, par. 6-206(a)(2) (3 moving traffic convictions)]" pursuant to Secretary's rules governing issuance and cancellation of such licenses.

Family Law

P.A. 84-758 amends various statutes concerning income withholding to secure support payments. EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1. 1985. Makes certain common amendments to Public Aid Code (ch. 23, pars. 10-16.2, 10-16.3), Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (ch. 40, pars. 706.1, 706.2), Non-Support Act (ch. 40, par. 1107.1), URESA (ch. 40, par. 1226.1), and Parentage Act (ch. 40, par. 2520), which essentially provide that separate withholding order for support may take effect earlier than presently provided if obligor so requests; that obligor may execute written waiver regarding notice of delinquency and service of withholding order; that payor may combine all amounts withheld into single payment and send it with list of obligors; that income withholding shall "be given priority on a first come first served basis." New pars. 10-16.3 (ch. 23), 706.2 (ch. 40) grant discretion to court to require responsible relative/parent to post security, bond or guarantee to secure payment of support due. Adds new par. 12-501A in ch. 110 (Code of Civil Procedure): "Administrative support orders issued by [Dept. of Public Aid under ch. 23, par. 10-1 et seq.] may be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court and, upon filing, such orders shall have the full force and effect of a judgment or any other order of the Circuit Court." New par. 10-17.1 in ch. 23 provides further for registration of court/administrative support orders.

P.A. 84-795 amends joint custody provisions of Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1986. Repeals par. 603.1 (joint custody) in ch. 40 and adds new par. 602.1 providing that award of joint custody may be on application/motion of one or both parents, or court; that such custody means custody determined pursuant to a Joint Parenting Agreement or Order; that such agreement/order must include certain specified provisions; that in determining joint custody award court to consider child's best interests and to take "into account" specified factors; that child's physical residence in joint custody situation to be determined by enumerated factors. Par. 602 in ch. 40 amended by adding subpar. (c): "The court shall presume that the maximum involvement and cooperation of both parents regarding the physical, mental, moral, and emotional well-being of their child is in the best interest of the child. However, such presumption shall not be construed as a presumption that an order awarding joint custody is in the best interests of the child." Par. 610(b) in ch. 40 amended by adding, "The court shall state in its decision specific findings of fact in support of its modification or termination of joint custody if either parent opposes the modification or termination."

P.A. 84-827 amends Adoption Act (ch. 40, par. 1519.1). EFFEC-TIVE JANUARY 1, 1986. Rewrites second par., now pars. 1519.1(b), (c), (d), by providing that final decision of guardian with power to consent to adoption "shall be based on the welfare and best interest of the child" and in arriving at such decision guardian to consider "all relevant factors" including 8 enumerated factors; court shall base its adoption decision on child's welfare and best interest including factors aforementioned; court may deny or grant adoption with or without guardian's consent where guardian has consented to adoption in violation of child's welfare and best interest.

P.A. 84-888, EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1985, and P.A. 84-979, EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 25, 1985, amend various statutes relating to amount of maintenance and child support. Both Public Acts appear to make the same amendments in reference to support. The key amendment, which in some substantial measure returns the practical application of support law to its former state, is in ch. 40, par. 505 (Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act): retains guidelines for minimum support amount, formerly used in cases involving child support alone, based upon schedule of number of children and percent of supporting party's net income; deletes guideline schedule for cases involving child support and maintenance; retained guidelines to be applied unless court, "after considering evidence presented on all relevant factors, finds a reason for" deviation; relevant factors set forth; "net income" defined. In par. 504 of ch. 40 (Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act), deletes everything relating to maintenance and child support guidelines following subpar. (b)(7). In ch. 40, pars. 10-10 (Public Aid Code), 1106 and 1107 (Non-Support Act), and 1224 (URESA), all minimum support guideline schedules deleted and in lieu thereof reference to guidelines and standards in ch. 40, pars. 504, 505 as amended herein is incorporated. Par. 2514(a) in ch. 40 (Parentage Act) amended to incorporate reference to guidelines and standards in ch. 40, pars. 504, 505. P.A. 84-979 also amends ch. 40, par. 401(a) (2) by adding "At any time after the parties cease to cohabit, any period of cohabitation under written agreement of the parties to attempt to reconcile shall be included in the period of separation."

Judges

P.A. 84-851 amends Criminal Justice Information Act (ch. 38, par. 210-4). EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1985. Deletes provision providing that supreme court judge, downstate circuit judge, and Cook County chief judge are members of the Criminal Justice Information Authority.

P.A. 84-387 amends ch. 127, par. 1302 concerning legal representation and indemnification of judges. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 16, 1985. Adds new par. 1302(d) providing that "representation and indemnification of a judge *** shall be provided in any case where the plaintiff seeks damages or any equitable relief as a result of any decision, ruling or order of a judge made in the course of his or her judicial or administrative duties, without regard to [plaintiff's] theory of recovery. Indemnification shall be for all damages awarded and all court costs, attorney fees and litigation expenses assessed against the judge." Where judge convicted of crime resulting from intentional judicial misconduct in a trial, judge not entitled to indemnification and representation when party brings suit for damages or equitable relief arising as direct result of judge's misconduct.

Juvenile Court Act and Related Matters

P.A. 84-12 amends Juvenile Court Act (ch. 37, par. 704-2) and Unified Code of Corrections (ch. 38, par. 1003-10-7). EFFECTIVE JULY 2, 1985. The effective date of the "speedy adjudicatory hearing" provision in Juvenile Court Act (P.A. 83-1517; ch. 37, par. 704-2) is changed from July 1, 1985, to April 1, 1986. Unified Code of Corrections provision (ch. 38, par. 1003-10-7) dealing with transfer from Juvenile Division to Adult Division in Department of Corrections of minor committed to Department is amended as follows: in par. 1003-10-7(a) adds that transfer applies to minor prosecuted under criminal law and sentenced under ch. 37, par. 702-7, and that minor shall be served with notice of date of court transfer hearing, shall be present at hearing (subject to waiver), and has right to counsel; in par. 1003-10-7(c) deletes old criteria and substitutes new standards, including space availability in Department, that court is to consider in transfer hearing; in new par. 1003-10-7(d) permits emergency transfer to Adult Division by Department of minor 17 years or older who was prosecuted, sentenced and committed as described in par. 1003-10-7(a), subject to post-transfer notice to sentencing court and discretionary later court hearing; in new par. 1003-10-7(e) permits permanent transfer to Adult Division by Department of person 18 years or older who was prosecuted, sentenced and committed as described in par. 1003-10-7(a), and provides standards Department is to use in determining transfer.

Medicine Malpractice Act

P.A. 84-7, the so-called medical malpractice reform legislation, amends Code of Civil Procedure (ch. 110, par. 1-101 *et seq.*) EFFECTIVE AUGUST 15, 1985 AND APPLICABLE TO CASES FILED ON OR AFTER THAT DATE.

Pleading (new pars. 2-611.1, 2-622). Party pleading and/or attorney subject to payment of reasonable expenses actually incurred by other party and reasonable attorney fees for allegations and denials made without reasonable cause and found to be untrue. Plaintiff's attorney or *pro se* plaintiff to file affidavit with com-

plaint that affiant has consulted with a health professional, who in a written report to be attached to the affidavit, concludes there is a reasonable and meritorious cause for filing action, and that affiant has concluded based on health professional's review that there is a reasonable and meritorious cause for filing action.

Pretrial (new pars. 2-1010, 2-1012-2-1020). Each medical malpractice case is to be assigned to a review panel, convened by court order, for hearing and determination. Panel composed of a circuit judge, a health professional, and a practicing attorney, each of whom is to be selected on a rotation basis from a roster of circuit judges, practicing health professionals, and practicing attorneys maintained by the chief judge of each judicial circuit. Circuit judge panelist to preside over all proceedings of panel and determine questions of law, including evidentiary matters. Panel to file written opinion with court clerk and judgment may be entered thereon where parties agree to be bound by decision or where parties accept or do not reject unanimous panel decision. Panel members, except judge, to be compensated as established by Supreme Court rule, and panel's compensation and expenses to be paid by Administrative Office of Illinois Courts. Costs and attorney fees in connection with trial and review panel to be taxed, upon motion, against party who rejected unanimous panel decision and who did not prevail on issue of liability at trial, provided both parties did not reject panel decision. Supreme Court may adopt rules not inconsistent with above-stated procedures regarding selection of panels and rosters, and panel hearings and decisions.

Trial (amended par. 2-1109, new pars. 2-1114, 2-1115). Verdict for economic loss to be specially itemized in medical malpractice cases. Attorney contingent fee in medical malpractice cases not to exceed, absent special circumstances, scheduled percentages. No punitive damages allowed in medical or legal malpractice cases.

Post-trial (amended par. 2-1205). Formula changed to determine reduction in amount of recovery by reason of collateral source payments.

Healing Art Malpractice (new pars. 2-1701 – 2-1719). Procedures for determining "future damages," calculating equivalent lump sum value and periodic installment payments.

Inspection of Hospital Records (amended pars. 8-2001, 8-2003). Hospital and physician records to be submitted by hospital or physician for examination within 60 days of receipt of request and failure to comply with court order in connection therewith subjects denying party to expenses and attorney fees.

Expert Witness Standards (new par. 8-250). Where standard of medical care is at issue court must apply enumerated criteria to determine whether witness qualifies as an expert witness.

Malicious Prosecution (new par. 2-114). In malicious prosecution action arising out of medical malpractice proceeding, plaintiff need not plead or prove special injury but no punitive damages allowed.

1985

CASE LOADS

AND

STATISTICAL RECORDS

JUDICIAL OFFICERS

OF THE

STATE OF ILLINOIS

JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1985)

FIRST DISTRICT ·

Daniel P. Ward Chicago William G. Clark* Chicago Seymour Simon Chicago

SECOND DISTRICT

Thomas J. Moran Waukegan

THIRD DISTRICT

Howard C. Ryan Tonica

FOURTH DISTRICT

Ben K. Miller Springfield

FIFTH DISTRICT

Joseph H. Goldenhersh Belleville

*Chief Justice (effective January 1, 1985).

TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1985

People's Cases General Docket	Pending at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (+)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	173	741	NA	773	141	- 32
Petitions Allowed	27	NA	44	50	21	-6
Motions for Direct Appeal	5	9	NA	12	2	- 3
Motions Allowed	5	NA	2	3	4	-1
Original Action Motions	1	22	NA	16	7	+6
Motions Allowed	1	NA	1	1	1	-
Motions for Supervisory Orders	1	8	NA	7	2	+1
Motions Allowed	0	NA	1	1	0	_
Direct Appeal — Statute Invalid	8	8	NA	9	7	-1
Direct Appeal – Capital	47	20	NA	13	54	+ 7
Direct Appeal — Capital P.C. R651	0	3	NA	0	3	+ 3
Disciplinary Cases	13	16	NA	20	9	- 4
Certificates of Importance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous	14	14	NA	28	0	-14
Total People's Cases	295	841	48	933	251	- 44
Civil Cases General Docket Petitions for Leave to Appeal	at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of 750	at End 157	Increase (+) Decrease (+) - 35
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	192	715	NA	750	157	- 35
Petitions Allowed	62	NA	104	116	50	-12
Motions for Direct Appeal	19	17	NA	29	7	-12
Motions Allowed	8	NA	10	13	5	- 3
Original Action Motions	2	22	NA	23	1	-1
Motions Allowed	2	NA	1	1	2	_
Motions for Supervisory Orders	0	25	NA	22	3	+ 3
Motions Allowed	0	NA	2	2	0	
Direct Appeal – Statute Invalid	8	11	NA	8	11	+ 3
Certificate of Importance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous	7	6	NA	13	0	-7
Total Civil Cases	300	796	117	977	236	- 64
Miscellaneous Cases]					
Miscellaneous Record	64	326	NA	344	46	-18
	16	109	NA	102	23	+7
Miscellaenous Docket	10					

Total All Cases	675	2072	165	2356	556	-119
-----------------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------

THE JUDICIAL DISTRICTS OF ILLINOIS SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURTS



80

JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1984)

FIRST DISTRICT

First Division

Robert C. Buckley Calvin C. Campbell John M. O-Connor, Jr. William R. Quinlan*

Second Division

Michael A. Bilandic Allen Hartman Anthony Scariano John J. Stamos

Third Division

Helen F. McGillicuddy Daniel J. McNamara Dom J. Rizzi William S. White

Fourth Division

Mel R. Jiganti Glenn T. Johnson David Linn Mary Ann McMorrow*

Fifth Division

Francis S. Lorenz John J. Sullivan R. Eugene Pincham

SECOND DISTRICT

William V. Hopf George W. Lindberg William R. Nash Philip G. Reinhard Paul W. Schnake* Harry D. Strouse, Jr. George W. Unverzagt

THIRD DISTRICT

Tobias Barry James D. Heiple Albert Scott Allan Stouder William B. Wombacher

FOURTH DISTRICT

Frederick S. Green John T. McCullough Donald Morthland Joseph R. Spitz Harold F. Trapp Albert G. Webber, III

FIFTH DISTRICT

Moses W. Harrison, II Charles E. Jones John M. Karns, Jr. George W. Kasserman, Jr.* Thomas M. Welch

*Serving By Assignment.

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION DIVISION

District	Member	Alternate
First	Daniel J. McNamara	John J. Sullivan
Second	George W. Lindberg	William R. Nash
Third	Tobias Barry	James D. Heiple
Fourth	Albert G. Webber, III	Richard H. Mills
Fifth	George W. Kasserman, Jr.	Charles E. Jones

TREND OF CASES IN THE APPELLATE COURT 1985

Appellate District		Number of Cases Pending January 1, 1985	Number of Cases Filed During Year	Cases	Number of Cases Disposed of	Disposed of	Disposed of	Number of Cases Pending December 31, 1985	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
-	Civil	1375 (23)	1838 (35)	39	1675 (27)	437 (9)	424 (4)	1577 (31)	+ 210
First	Criminal	1532	1890	159	1618	170	996	1963	+ 431
Second	Civil	580 (10)	627 (4)	14	636 (9)	163 (3)	220 (3)	585 (5)	0
Second	Criminal	420	433	3	420	122	206	436	+16
T L:1	Civil	316 (13)	444 (15)	6	462 (19)	156 (6)	161 (9)	304 (9)	-16
Third	Criminal	239	354	3	296	85	136	300	+61
E d	Civil	308 (15)	543 (18)	2 (1)	574 (23)	163 (5)	205 (12)	279 (11)	- 33
Fourth	Criminal	189	333		299	71	190	223	+ 34
Fifth	Civil	429 (6)	542 (14)	1	591 (7)	148 (2)	166 (2)	381 (13)	- 41
FIIUI	Criminal	289	293		305	62	183	277	-12
Totals	Civil	3008 (67)	3994 (86)	62 (1)	3938 (85)	1067 (25)	1176 (30)	3126 (69)	+120
Totals	Criminal	2669	3303	165	2938	510	1711	3199	+ 530

Industrial Commission Division cases are shown in parenthesis ()

*Year beginning pending Civil case figures adjusted in First District due to discrepancy in '84 of 6 cases which should have been reported as Industrial Commission Division filings and 1 case which should have been identified as an Industrial Commission Division disposition.

CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE APPELLATE COURT 1985

								METHO	d of d	ISPOSITIC	N			ιψ.			
		Affir	Affirmed Devended		and	Affirmed in Part Reversed and/or and Reversed in Part Remanded Modified			ified	Vacated and/or Remanded		Dism	issed	Disposed Of Without	TOTALS		
Appellate District	Type of Case	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	Opinion Or Order	TOTALS
First	Civil	244	274	32	- 34	65	25	89	64	8	6	0	0	8	25	828	1702
FIRST	Criminal	89	818	7	26	22	69	38	59	13	21	0	0	1	3	452	1618
Second	Civil	76	121	15	18	29	29	41	33	0	0	2	0	3	22	256	645
Second	Criminal	76	154	2	6	20	15	23	21	0	0	1	6	0	4	92	420
Third	Civil	94	115	13	9	16	16	34	20	0	0	2	1	3	9	149	481
I hird	Criminal	41	97	10	5	14	25	19	7	0	0	1	2	0	0	75	296
Fourth	Civil	87	142	8	19	26	22	37	22	0	0	3	7	7	5	212	597
Fourth	Criminal	38	145	6	5	16	27	10	8	0	0	1	4	0	1	38	299
Fifth	Civil	76	110	13	15	15	16	39	21	1	2	3	2	3	2	280	598
Fifth	Criminal	30	124	4	4	16	32	9	13	0	4	1	5	2	1	60	305
Total	Civil	577	762	81	95	151	108	240	160	9	8	10	10	24	63	1725	4023
Total	Criminal	274	1338	29	46	88	168	99	108	13	25	4	17	3	9	717	2938

*Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23, as amended.

83

CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE 23

							METHOD	OF DISPOSI	TION WITHO	UT OPINION OR	ORDER					
			Dismissed		Dismissed	on Court's Ow	n Motion		Motion ^b							
Appellate District	Type of Case	Motion of Appellant	Motion of Appellee	Stipulation of Parties	Failure to Comply With Rules/Orders	Lack of Juris- diction/ No Final Appeal- able Order	Other	Leave ^a to Appeal Denied	for Leave to File Late Notice of Appeal Denied	Remanded With Direction For Further Proceeding	Dismissed in the Trial Court	Bail Order Entered	Confession of Error	Trans- ferred to Proper Court	Other Disposi- tions	Totals
F 1-2	Civil	143	109	55	401	0	25	74	3	0	12	0	0	6	0	828
First	Criminal	55	5	0	324	0	6	0	3	0	9	34	11	5	0	452
	Civil	67	35	13	117	0	3	12	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	256
Second	Criminal	25	3	0	51	1	2	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	4	92
	Civil	47	21	15	54	0	2	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	149
Third	Criminal	29	1	0	19	0	2	1	1	·13	4	1	0	0	4	75
r 4	Civil	66	20	23	59	11	5	18	1	0	3	0	0	1	5	212
Fourth	Criminal	14	1	0	17	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	38
	Civil	67	17	33	57	31	4	46	3	3	16	0	0	0	3	280
Fifth	Criminal	17	6	0	10	8	4	0	1	3	6	4	0	1	0	60
-	Civil	390	202	139	688	42	39	155	9	3	35	0	0	7	16	1725
Total	Criminal	140	16	0	421	9	17	2	9	16	21	40	11	7	8	717

^aIncludes Denial of Permissive Interlocutory.

^bIncludes Denial of Motion to File Late Record.

84

TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR ALL CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1985

				Time Ela	psed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1 ½ -2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
First	Civil	607	645	296	103	46	5	1702
First	Criminal	287	747	340	141	89	14	1618
Casand	Civil	235	207	202	5	1	0	650*
Second	Criminal	73	140	138	39	23	2	<mark>4</mark> 15*
T L:1	Civil	138	293	40	9	1	0	481
Third	Criminal	85	170	31	8	2	0	296
F 1	Civil	226	332	32	6	0	1	597
Fourth	Criminal	43	233	20	3	0	0	299
F '(1)	Civil	161	272	127	27	9	2	598
Fifth	Criminal	53	66	109	43	31	3	305
T. (.)	Civil	1367	1749	697	150	57	8	4028
Total	Criminal	541	1356	638	234	145	19	2933
GRAND TOTAL		1908	3105	1335	384	202	27	6961

*When compared with figures of number of cases disposed of on "Trend of Cases" table, there is a transposition of 5 cases from Criminal to Civil.

TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE BRIEFS WERE FILED AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1985

				Time Ela	psed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
First	Civil	456	312	74	30	2	0	874
First	Criminal	922	188	<mark>45</mark>	8	3	0	1166
Casand	Civil	141	272	7	0	1	0	421
Second	Criminal	188	113	0	0	0	0	301
Think	Civil	226	103	7	1	0	0	337
Third	Criminal	160	49	2	1	0	0	212
F th	Civil	345	53	5	1	1	0	405
Fourth	Criminal	247	17	0	0	0	0	264
E:(4)	Civil	248	125	7	0	0	0	380
Fifth	Criminal	155	64	11	0	0	0	230
T	Civil	1416	865	100	32	4	0	2417
Total	Criminal	1672	431	58	9	3	0	2173
GRAND TOTAL		3088	1296	158	41	7	0	4600

ABSTRACT SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND RULE 23 ORDERS WRITTEN BY JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1985

			Type of	Opinion			
Appellate District	Majority	Per Curiam	Specially Concurring	Dissenting	Supplemental	Total	Rule 23 Orders
First	551 (9)	0	3	32	1	587 (9)	1371 13 Supplmntls (4) (1) Dissent
Second	266 (3)	0	2	7	3	278 (3)	415 1 Sp. Concur 3 Dissents (3)
Third	216 (6)	0	7	29 (4)	7	259 (10)	284 2 Dissents (9)
Fourth	209 (5)	0	5	20 (2)	1	235 (7)	383 1 Sp. Concur 8 Dissents (11) 1 Dissent
Fifth	199 (2)	0	3	28 (1)	0	230 (3)	335 3 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (2)
Total	1441 (25)	0	20	116 (7)	12	1589 (32)	2788 2 Sp. Concur 16 Dissents 14 Supplmntls (29) (2) Dissents

Industrial Commission Division figures are shown in parenthesis ()

*Includes 2 specially concurring opinions, 18 dissenting opinions



NOTE: Effective November 20, 1985 the Twelfth Judicial Circuit became a single county circuit, Will County and a Twenty-First Judicial Circuit, a two-county circuit for Iroquois and Kankakee was created. However, all statistics for this report list Will, Iroquois and Kankakee as the Twelfth Judicial Circuit.

CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF ILLINOIS (December 31, 1985)

COOK COUNTY

Circuit Judges

Harry G. Comerford, Chief Judge

Thomas E. Flanagan Lester D. Foreman Allen A. Freeman Charles E. Freeman Marion W. Garnett Lawrence I. Genesen James A. Geocaris James A. Geroulis (retired recalled) Paul F. Gerrity L. Michael Getty Louis J. Giliberto Kenneth L. Gillis Francis W. Glowacki Myron T. Gomberg Leonard R. Grazian Albert Green Charles I. Grupp Sophia H. Hall Arthur N. Hamilton John F. Hechinger Jacques F. Heilingoetter Thomas A. Hett James J. Heyda Lawrence P. Hickey George A. Higgins Edward C. Hofert Reginald J. Holzer Mary Heftel Hooton John N. Hourihane Louis J. Hyde Aaron Jaffe Thomas J. Janczy Mel R. Jiganti (assigned to Appellate Court - 1st District) Eddie C. Johnson Sidney A. Jones, III Michael S. Jordan Richard H. Jorzak Donald E. Joyce Aubrey F. Kaplan Daniel J. Kelley Roger J. Kiley, Jr. Herman Knell Marilyn R. Komosa Walter J. Kowalski Franklin I. Kral

Rosemary Duschene LaPorta Willard J. Lassers Jerome Lerner Leonard L. Levin Daniel J. Lynch Robert G. Mackey Benjamin S. Mackoff Francis J. Mahon Thomas J. Maloney George M. Marovich Edward H. Marsalek John H. McCollom Carl McCormick Lester D. McCurrie John J. McDonnell John P. McGury (retired recalled) John A. McElligott Mary Ann G. McMorrow Jill K. McNulty Howard M. Miller Angelo D. Mistretta Anthony S. Montelione Gerald S. Murphy James E. Murphy James C. Murray Benjamin Nelson (retired recalled) Odas Nicholson Irving R. Norman Benjamin Novoselsky Thomas J. O'Brien Donald P. O'Connell Paul A. O'Malley Frank Orlando John J. O'Toole Romie J. Palmer Lawrence A. Passarella William E. Peterson Frank R. Petrone R. Eugene Pincham (assigned to Appellate Court - 1st District) Albert S. Porter William P. Prendergast James S. Quinlan, Jr. William R. Quinlan Thomas R. Rakowski Ellis E. Reid

Wayne R. Andersen Earl Arkiss James M. Bailey Peter Bakakos Ronald J.P. Banks Frank W. Barbaro Vincent Bentivenga Christy S. Berkos Richard B. Berland Edwin M. Berman Walter B. Bieschke Robert V. Boharic Anthony J. Bosco John M. Breen, Jr. Martin F. Brodkin Clarence Bryant Henry A. Budzinski Jerome T. Burke Philip J. Carey Thomas R. Casey, Jr. Thomas P. Cawley David Cerda Arthur J. Cieslik Michael C. Close Irwin Cohen William Cousins, Jr. Ronald J. Crane John W. Crilly Brian L. Crowe John J. Crown Richard L. Curry Robert E. Cusack Michael F. Czaja Robert J. Dempsey Cornelius F. Dore, Jr. Arthur L. Dunne Charles J. Durham Norman N. Eiger (retired recalled) Irving W. Eiserman (retired recalled) Morton C. Elden (retired recalled) Glynn J. Elliott, Jr. Paul F. Elward Robert D. Ericsson Richard J. Fitzgerald Thomas R. Fitzgerald

Monica D. Reynolds John W. Rogers Allen F. Rosin Daniel J. Ryan Frank V. Salerno Joseph A. Salerno Richard L. Samuels Gerald L. Sbarboro Stephen A. Schiller Joseph Schneider Anthony J. Scotillo David J. Shields Harold A. Siegan Frank M. Siracusa Robert L. Sklodowski Dean J. Sodaro

John J. Ahern Charles A. Alfano Harry B. Aron Charles I. Barish Francis Barth Ronald R. Bartkowicz Robert P. Bastone John J. Beatty Samuel S. Berger Janice L. Bierman , Michael B. Bolan Lester A. Bonaguro John E. Bowe Everette A. Braden Harry R. Buoscio Edward R. Burr Francis P. Butler Robert P. Cahill **Eugene Campion** Brent F. Carlson Lawrence W. Carroll Joseph N. Casciato Donna L. Cervini James J. Chrastka George Z. Chrones Carl J. Cipalla Kenneth J. Cohen Mary M. Conrad Peter F. Costa Rosaland M. Crandell Ronald S. Davis Barbara J. Disko John J. Divane Gino L. DiVito James G. Donegan Loretta C. Douglas Richard E. Dowdle

Raymond C. Sodini Pasquale A. Sorrentino Harry S. Stark (retired recalled) Jack G. Stein Adam N. Stillo Earl E. Strayhorn Frank G. Sulewski Harold W. Sullivan James E. Sullivan Robert J. Sulski Fred G. Suria, Jr. Alfred B. Teton (retired recalled) Lucia T. Thomas Vincent W. Tondryk, Jr. Michael P. Toomin Dean M. Trafelet

Associate Judges

Robert J. Downey Thomas P. Durkin Ben Edelstein Chauncey Eskridge Edward M. Fiala, Jr. Howard L. Fink William F. Fitzpatrick John M. Flaherty Glenn C. Fowlkes Paul T. Foxgrover Nello P. Gamberdino Sheldon C. Garber lerome Garoon Marvin E. Gavin Francis A. Gembala Will E. Gierach Daniel P. Glecier Rene Goier Francis X. Golniewicz Patrick S. Grossi John W. Gustafson Calvin H. Hall Joseph W. Handy James L. Harris Miriam E. Harrison Ronald A. Himel Earl B. Hoffenberg Thomas E. Hoffman Martin F. Hogan, Jr. Dennis M. Horan Cornelius J. Houtsma, Jr. Michael J. Howlett, Jr. Arthur L. Janura, Jr. Evelyn F. Johnson Benjamin J. Kanter (retired recalled) Themis N. Karnezis

Raymond E. Trafelet (retired recalled) James Traina John P. Tully John V. Virgilio Eugene L. Wachowski (retired recalled) Alfred T. Walsh James M. Walton Claude E. Whitaker Daniel J. White Willie Mae Whiting Warren D. Wolfson Joseph M. Wosik James A. Zafiratos George J. Zimmerman Michael F. Zlatnik

Howard R. Kaufman Richard A. Kavitt John T. Keleher Richard S. Kelly William A. Kelly Thaddeus L. Kowalski Richard A. LaCien John G. Laurie Charles C. Leary Mitchell Leikin Clarence S. Lipnick Charles M. Loverde Joseph M. Macellaio John K. Madden Ronald E. Magnes Blanche M. Manning John J. Mannion Wendell P. Marbly James P. McCourt Martin E. McDonough William J. McGah, Jr. Dwight McKay Michael E. McNulty James J. Meehan Frank W. Meekins Joseph W. Mioduski Matthew J. Moran Alan E. Morrill John E. Morrissey Patrick I. Morse James V. Murphy, II Michael J. Murphy Robert F. Nix Iulia M. Nowicki Stuart A. Nudleman

Daniel J. O'Brien John T. O'Donnell Ronald W. Olson Marcia B. Orr Donald D. Panarese Saul A. Perdomo Arthur C. Perivolidis Bernard A. Polikoff Nicholas T. Pomaro Charles E. Porcellino Simon S. Porter Paul P. Preston Francis J. Reilly Robert Retke Ralph Revna Wayne D. Rhine Edwin J. Richardson D. Adolphus Rivers Gerald T. Rohrer

Donnie D. Bigler Bill F. Green Thomas W. Haney Michael J. Henshaw Louis G. Horman

Arlie O. Boswell, Jr.

Laurence L. Arnold Larry O. Baker Philip B. Benefiel Don A. Foster Donald E. Garrison

Roland J. DeMarco

Joseph H. Romano Arthur Rosenblum George W. Rothschild Susan S. Ruffolo Marvin H. Ruttenberg John R. Ryan Richard A. Salzman Norman Sands William B. Saracco Harvard T. Savage James M. Schreier Harry A. Schrier Joseph R. Schwaba Anne O'Laughlin-Scott Thomas W. Sherard Philip M. Sheridan Robert M. Smierciak John M. Sorrentino Stewart D. Spitzer

Marjan P. Staniec Bruno I. Tassone Mary Jane Wendt-Theis Morris Topol Joseph J. Urso Anton J. Valukas Eugene R. Ward Cyril J. Watson Jack A. Welfeld Gene Wilens Gerald T. Winiecki William S. Wood Robert R. Wooldridge Leo F. Wrenn Thomas I. Wynn Stephen R. Yates Nicholas S. Zagone

FIRST CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William A. Lewis, Chief Judge

Snyder Howell Robert H. Howerton Donald Lowery George M. Oros

Associate Judges

Terry J. Foster C. David Nelson Richard E. Richman William H. South Stephen L. Spomer James R. Williamson

Davis W. Watt, Jr.

SECOND CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Henry Lewis, Chief Judge

Robert S. Hill Terrence J. Hopkins A. Hanby Jones Robert M. Keenan, Jr. Lehman D. Krause Loren P. Lewis George W. Timberlake David L. Underwood Robert W. Whitmer

Associate Judges

Leo T. Desmond

Bruce D. Irish

Horace L. Calvo Charles W. Chapman John L. Delaurenti

Nicholas G. Byron E. Wendell Durr Edward C. Ferguson George Filcoff, Jr.

THIRD CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Philip J. Rarick, Chief Judge

William E. Johnson George J. Moran, Jr.

Associate Judges

John Gitchoff Jonathan Isbell A. Andreas Matoesian Paul J. O'Neill

Lola P. Maddox P.E. Riley Charles V. Romani, Jr. Clayton R. Williams

FOURTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Ronald A. Niemann, Chief Judge

Dennis M. Huber William D. Kelley Vernon L. Plummer, II

Associate Judges

Richard G. Hodson Mark M. Joy Rolland F. Tipsword W. R. Todd Michael R. Weber

David W. Slater Robert F.A. Stocke

FIFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Ralph S. Pearman, Chief Judge

John P. Meyer James Kent Robinson Joseph R. Spitz

Associate Judges

Richard E. Scott Joseph P. Skowronski William J. Sunderman James R. Watson Paul M. Wright

Ashton C. Waller, Jr.

Richard H. Brummer Edward C. Eberspacher Joseph L. Fribley Arthur G. Henken

Don E. Beane, Jr.

Caslon K. Bennett Paul C. Komada Carl A. Lund

Rita M. Garman Joseph Cleve Moore, II William C. Calvin John L. Davis Harold J. Jensen Worthy B. Kranz

Harry E. Clem John R. DeLaMar Scott B. Diamond Jeffery B. Ford SIXTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Rodney A. Scott, Chief Judge

Frank W. Lincoln George S. Miller Donald W. Morthland Jerry L. Patton

Associate Judges

Paul M. Francis James A. Hendrian Donald R. Parkinson John P. Shonkwiler Robert J. Steigmann Creed D. Tucker

Arthur F. Powers, Jr. Warren A. Sappington John G. Townsend

SEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Richard J. Cadagin, Chief Judge

Joseph P. Koval Richard E. Mann Jerry S. Rhodes Thomas G. Roady, Jr. John W. Russell Gordon D. Seator Raymond L. Terrell

Associate Judges

Thomas G. Russell Philip E. Schickedanz Dennis L. Schwartz Jeanne E. Scott Stuart H. Shiffman

EIGHTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Edward B. Dittmeyer, Chief Judge

Carson D. Klitz Lyle E. Lipe Alfred L. Pezman Fred W. Reither David K. Slocum Robert Louis Welch Howard S. White

Associate Judges

Arthur R. Strong Virgil W. Timpe

John C. Wooleyhan

Richard J. Cadagin C. Joseph Cavanagh Claude J. Davis Simon L. Friedman

J. David Bone John B. Crain James P. Fox

Cecil J. Burrows Dennis K. Cashman Robert W. Cook Edward B. Dittmeyer

Paul A. Kolodziej

U.S. Collins Stephen G. Evans Scott I. Klukos

Kenneth L. Bath Harry C. Bulkeley William D. Henderson

Bruce W. Black James M. Bumgarner Robert J. Cashen

C. Brett Bode Charles A. Covey Thomas G. Ebel Arthur H. Gross

Richard M. Baner William T. Caisley Keith E. Campbell

David L. Coogan W. Mark Dalton William D. DeCardy

NINTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William L. Randolph, Chief Judge

Stephen C. Mather Francis P. Murphy Daniel J. Roberts

Associate Judges

Lewis D. Murphy Richard A. Porter Albert Scott (assigned to Appellate Court — 3rd District) Max B. Stewart

Richard C. Ripple Charles H. Wilhelm

TENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Peter J. Paolucci, Chief Judge

Donald C. Courson Stephen J. Covey Richard E. Eagleton

Associate Judges

Joe Billy McDade Jackson P. Newlin Charles J. Perrin William J. Reardon John A. Gorman Robert E. Manning, Jr. Calvin R. Stone

John D. Sullivan John A. Whitney William H. Young

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Luther H. Dearborn, Chief Judge

Charles E. Glennon James A. Knecht William M. Roberts Robert L. Thornton Wayne C. Townley, Jr.

Associate Judges

Charles H. Frank John P. Freese Joseph H. Kelley Robert Leo Thornton W. Charles Witte Robert R. Buchar Patrick M. Burns Robert L. Dannehl

Roger A. Benson Vincent J. Cerri John F. Cirricione Thomas M. Ewert Bruce Falk Thomas P. Faulkner

TWELFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Charles P. Connor, Chief Judge

Wayne P. Dyer Herman S. Haase John F. Michela

Associate Judges

Daniel W. Gould	Edward A. McIntire
Edwin B. Grabiec	William R. Penn
Rodney B. Lechwar	Edward D. Smith
Michael H. Lyons	John Verklan
Dwight W. McGrew	Thomas W. Vinson

THIRTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Alexander T. Bower, Chief Judge

Louis J. Perona C. Howard Wampler Robert G. Wren Frank X. Yackley

Michael A. Orenic

Angelo F. Pistilli

Associate Judges

Fred P. Wagner Richard R. Wilder James J. Wimbiscus John D. Zwanzig

FOURTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

David DeDoncker, Chief Judge

Susan B. Gende Wilbur S. Johnson Edward Keefe Jeffrey W. O'Connor John Donald O'Shea Conway L. Spanton John M. Telleen

Associate Judges

Dennis A. DePorter Ivan Lovaas William K. O'Connor Frederick P. Patton Timothy J. Slavin Ronald C. Taber

William P. Denny Thomas R. Flood

Robert L. Carter Donald E. Norton

Joseph F. Beatty Robert W. Castendyck Martin E. Conway, Jr. L. E. Ellison

Clark C. Barnes Thomas C. Berglund Michael P. Brinn John B. Cunningham Thomas E. Hornsby F. Lawrence Lenz Thomas Magdich

Barry Anderson Alan W. Cargerman

Wilson D. Burnell Patrick J. Dixon John A. Leifheit Joseph M. McCarthy

James W. Cadwell Michael J. Colwell Melvin E. Dunn William H. Ellsworth

David A. Englund Robert C. Gill John C. Layng

Robert J. French Frederick J. Kapala Paul A. Logli Galyn W. Moehring

FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John W. Rapp, Jr., Chief Judge

Francis X. Mahoney John L. Moore Harold D. Nagel Lawrence A. Smith, Jr.

Associate Judges

Eric S. DeMar Richard E. DeMoss Martin D. Hill John E. Payne

SIXTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Marvin D. Dunn, Chief Judge

Rex F. Meilinger John L. Nickels Michael F. O'Brien James F. Quetsch

Associate Judges

Robert P. Grometer Thomas E. Hogan Richard D. Larson James K. Marshall Paul W. Schnake (assigned to Appellate Court — 2nd District) Richard Weiler

Gene Louis Nattalini John L. Petersen Barry E. Puklin James M. Wilson

SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Harris H. Agnew, Chief Judge

William R. Nash (assigned to Appellate Court – 2nd District) David F. Smith John E. Sype

Associate Judges

Michael R. Morrison John W. Nielsen Alford R. Penniman K. Craig Peterson Bradner C. Riggs Richard W. Vidal William E. Black John J. Bowman Edwin L. Douglas

Edmund P. Bart Margaret O. Coffin Kevin P. Connelly Robert A. Cox Philip J.R. Equi Francis W. Faris, Jr. Michael R. Galasso

Henry L. Cowlin Bernard E. Drew, Jr. Fred A. Geiger

Ward S. Arnold Terrence J. Brady Richard C. Christian Conrad F. Floeter John R. Goshgarian Harry D. Hartel, Jr.

Robert Bastien Carl H. Becker Joseph F. Cunningham, Jr. Richard P. Goldenhersh

Robert L. Craig James K. Donovan Jan V. Fiss

EIGHTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Carl F. Henninger, Chief Judge

Helen C. Kinney Edward W. Kowal Robert D. McLaren

Associate Judges

Charles A. Hayton Donald J. Hennessy Fredrick Henzi James W. Jerz S. Keith Lewis Richard A. Lucas Ronald B. Mehling

NINETEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William D. Block, Chief Judge

Roland A. Herrmann Jack Hoogasian John L. Hughes

Associate Judges

William F. Homer Susan F. Hutchinson E. Thomas Lang Raymond J. McKoski Gary Neddenriep George W. Pease Haskell M. Pitluck

TWENTIETH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Patrick J. Fleming, Chief Judge

John J. Hoban Richard A. Hudlin, IV Dennis J. Jacobsen

Associate Judges

Jerry D. Flynn Michael J. O'Malley Robert J. Saunders Robert A. Nolan Anthony M. Peccarelli John S. Teschner

Lewis V. Morgan, Jr. John J. Nelligan Maryellen Provenzale Charles E. Ruth S. Bruce Scidmore Charles W. Spencer Duane G. Walter

Lawrence D. Inglis Charles F. Scott Michael J. Sullivan

Emilio V. Santi Thomas A. Schermerhorm Alvin I. Singer Henry C. Tonigan, III Jane D. Waller Stephen E. Walter Alphonse F. Witt

Stephen M. Kernan Francis E. Maxwell William B. Starnes

Roger M. Scrivner C. Glenn Stevens Milton Wharton

RATIO OF FILINGS PER JUDGE IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1985

	Number of	Population 1980 Census	Land Area	Total Number of Cases Filed	Nur	nber of Judge	es*	Number of Cases Filed
Circuit	Counties	(Official Count)	(Square Miles)	During 1985	Circuit	Associate	Total	Per Judge
1st	9	212,393	3,242	52,284	14	4	18	2,905
2nd	12	215,509	4,796	42,718	15	3	18	2,373
3rd	2	263,895	1,114	62,669	8	10	18	3,482
4th	9	247,907	5,425	49,470	11	5	16	3,092
5th	5	197,914	2,885	45,034	10	5	15	3,002
6th	6	368,776	3,178	79,962	12	10	22	3,635
7th	6	306,316	3,485	75,986	12	8	20	3,799
8th	8	156,437	3,918	28,329	12	4	16	2,008
9th	6	197,464	3,904	36,212	9	7	16	2,263
10th	5	360,497	2,129	80,590	10	11	21	3,945
11th	5	240,917	3,863	61,119	9	8	17	3,827
12th***	3	460,362	2,647	122,235	9	16	25	5,042
13th	3	178,835	2,453	35,125	7	6	13	3,090
14th	4	309,192	2,492	68,327	12	10	22	3,246
15th	5	174,501	3,136	37,578	8	6	14	2,916
16th	3	390,231	1,472	113,294	11	12	23	5,053
17th	2	279,514	803	79,774	7	10	17	4,990
18th	1	658,177	331	193,447	10	21	31	6,401
19th	2	588,096	1,068	190,294	10	20	30	6,557
20th	5	358,338	2,652	87,521	11	9	20	4,704
Downstate Total	101	6,165,271	54,993	1,541,968	207	185	392	3,946
Cook County	1	5,253,190	954	2,201,830**	183	163	346	6,364
State Total	102	11,418,461	55,947	3,743,798**	390	348	738	5,073

*Count taken on December 31, 1985.

**Does not include Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

***Will, Iroquois and Kankakee Counties are listed as the Twelfth Judicial Circuit.

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1985

Circuit	Pending at Start*	Filed	Reinstated	Total Added	Disposed Of	Pending at End*	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
1st	7,422	52,284	103	52,387	51,626	7,632*	+ 210
2nd	11,948	42,718	79	42,797	40,952	12,450*	+ 502
3rd	17,130	62,669	183	62,852	59,189	17,537*	+ 407
4th	13,445	49,470	10	49,480	43,150	12,071*	-1,374
5th	11,969	45,034	263	45,297	46,434	11,849*	-120
6th	16,460	79,962	153	80,115	75,124	18,055*	+1,595
7th	17,260	75,986	146	76,132	70,521	17,826*	+ 566
8th	5,381	28,329	89	28,418	26,927	6,872	+1,491
9th	7,025	36,212	41	36,253	35,832	7,018*	- 7
10th	16,734	80,590	541	81,131	82,077	16,410*	- 324
11th	8,763	61,119	2,028	63,147	57,725	8,804*	+ 41
12th	16,595	122,235	3,209	125,444	122,414	17,039*	+ 444
13th	6,676	35,125	207	35,332	34,107	6,648*	- 28
14th	14,463	68,327	1,583	69,910	67,725	15,324*	+ 861
15th	6,000	37,578	47	37,625	35,642	6,270*	+ 270
16th	14,151	113,294	483	113,777	114,625	12,733*	-1,418
17th	17,472	79,774	183	79,957	69,615	22,048*	+ 4,576
18th	15,216	193,447	302	193,749	184,119	17,851*	+ 2,635
19th	14,487	190,294	1,476	191,770	182,254	16,793*	+ 2,306
20th	21,145	87,521	220	87,741	76,548	25,553*	+ 4,408
Downstate Total	259,742	1,541,968	11,346	1,553,314	1,476,606	275,790*	+16,048
Cook County	509,586	5,909,421 ^a	92,862	6,002,283 ^a	3,622,885	564,773*	+ 55,187
State Total	769,328	7,451,389	104,208	7,555,597	5,099,491	840,563*	+ 71,235

*Figure adjusted by reason of physical inventory.

(a) Includes District One (Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

													-
			100 M 10 10 10 1	Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	ery	aneous edy	ıt Nain		Municipal Corporations	ţ	ition of riage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	⁻Nôn- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municif Corp	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
1st	Alexander	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	29 4 0 4 22 15 60%	11 22 0 0 22 21 14 36%	1 1 0 1 1 1 1 100%	44 77 0 0 77 78 46 11%	25 22 0 0 22 8 38 53%	17 19 0 19 20 15 37%		19 3 0 3 0 24 88%	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 50%		52 84 5 0 89 108 31 81%
		Inventory (+ or -)	-14	+ 3	0	+ 2	+13	- 2	0	+ 5	+ 2	0	- 21
1st	Jackson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	126 92 0 +4 96 80 142 42%	60 54 0 4 50 40 70 47%	5 6 0 +1 7 8 4 0	123 210 0 -1 209 222 110	62 85 0 85 66 81 23%	14 51 0 51 35 30 20%	5 10 0 10 1 14 21%	39 16 0 16 36 19 58%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	131 273 0 273 272 132 29%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+16	+10	-1	-13	+ 19	+16	+ 9	- 20	0	0	+1
1st	Johnson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	8 6 0 +1 7 2 13 54% +5	$ \begin{array}{r} 16\\ 25\\ 0\\ -1\\ 24\\ 21\\ 19\\ 47\%\\ +3\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 50\% \\ +2 \\ \end{array} $	22 28 0 -2 26 31 17 47% -5	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 23 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 23 \\ 13 \\ 27 \\ 37\% \\ +10 \\ \end{array} $	4 23 0 23 21 6 33% +2		$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \end{array} $	1 0 0 0 1 100% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 95 \\ 75 \\ 39 \\ 15\% \\ + 20 \\ \end{array} $
1st	Massac	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	17 14 0 +2 16 16 17 29% 0	16 7 0 -2 5 13 8 63% -8	2 1 0 +1 2 0 3 3 33% +1	22 33 0 -1 32 42 16 31% -6	30 32 0 0 32 21 41 39% +11	18 21 0 0 21 26 13 62% -5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 23 0 0 23 23 18 0 0	3 1 0 0 1 2 2 2 100% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 144 0 0 144 141 35 17% +3
1st	Pope	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	3 3 0 0 3 0 6 50% +3	0 8 0 8 1 7 0 +7	1 0 0 1 0 -1	2 9 0 9 5 6 6 0 +4	8 3 0 3 4 7 57% -1	1 9 0 9 9 1 1 0 0		5 2 0 2 5 2 5 2 5 0% - 3		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 20 0 20 17 12 17% +3
1st	Pulaski	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	17 7 0 7 11 13 46% -4	3 3 0 3 4 2 50% -1	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	15 27 0 27 30 12 33% -3	8 13 1 0 14 7 15 27% +7	6 18 0 18 15 9 44% +3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 0 6 5 7 57% +1	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	22 53 1 0 54 57 19 68% - 3

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1985

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
31 96 0 96 59 72 26% + 41	24 41 1 0 42 25 38 53% +14	82 113 8 4 117 177 22 23% 60	118 233 0 +4 237 217 114 15% -4	47 112 2 0 114 131 18 72% - 29	150 41 0 41 27 189 81% + 39	- 0 0 0 0 0 - -	2,405 0 2,405 2,412 		650 3,388 16 0 3,404 3,437 639 54% -11	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Alexander	1st
116 112 0 112 91 137 66% +21	54 83 0 83 62 75 48% + 21	175 435 0 -2 433 377 231 12% +56	254 553 0 +2 455 530 279 28% +25	190 930 0 930 872 246 2% +56	295 127 0 127 69 350 69% +55	1,782 0 0 1,782 1,752 — —			1,649 16,094 0 16,094 15,447 1,920 35% + 271	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Jackson	1st
9 18 0 18 23 4 0 -5	3 31 0 31 21 13 0 +10	26 77 8 	15 135 5 +18 158 135 37 5% +22	12 97 0 97 89 20 0 + 8	40 30 0 30 24 46 54% +6			45 0 45 51 	192 2,610 13 0 2,623 2,485 274 27% + 82	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Johnson	1st
25 19 0 19 25 19 42% -6	24 20 0 20 18 27 44% + 3	20 95 1 -25 71 70 21 5% +1	50 159 2 + 25 186 208 28 4% - 22	83 129 0 129 183 29 14% - 54	145 27 0 27 73 99 78% - 46	25 0 0 25 32 	2,864 0 2,864 2,731 -		505 3,673 3 0 3,676 3,667 376 40% - 129	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Massac	1st
5 18 0 18 20 3 0 - 2	4 8 0 8 5 7 0 +3	19 59 0 -17 42 35 26 27% +7	28 99 0 +17 116 114 30 30% +2	7 33 1 0 34 30 11 9% +4	40 11 0 0 11 7 44 77% +4	1 0 0 1 2	343 0 0 343 311 -	34 0 0 34 15 	132 660 1 0 661 581 162 38% + 30	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Роре	1st
20 36 0 36 27 29 59% +9	10 11 0 11 13 8 88% -2	22 33 -25 11 22 11 0 -11	33 86 0 +25 111 117 27 37% -6	63 96 0 96 141 18 39% - 45	85 28 1 0 29 19 93 70% + 8	- 1 0 1 1 - -	2,418 0 2,418 2,393 	25 0 25 21 	313 2,861 6 0 2,867 2,884 265 54% - 48	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Pulaski	1st

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

					1				1		1	1	1
				Over 5,000		515,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chi	Mis R	Emi	Tax	Mul	Mei	Dis
1st	Saline	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	44 36 0 36 16 64	43 38 0 0 38 32 49	4 2 0 2 2 4	103 115 0 115 130 88	67 60 0 0 60 74 53	44 42 0 42 24 62	0 1 0 1 1 0	50 29 0 29 47 32	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	76 244 0 0 244 251 69
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	52% +20	47% +6	25% 0	22% -15	38% -14	48% +18	0 0	16% - 18	0 0	0 0	20% -7
1st	Union	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	34 34 0 +2 36 17 50 36% +16	9 15 0 -2 13 9 13 46% +4	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 67\% \\ -1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 36 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 34 \\ 42 \\ 24 \\ 50\% \\ -6 \\ \end{array} $	27 16 0 0 16 4 39 59% + 12	31 30 0 30 18 41 71% +10		33 10 0 10 32 10 70% -23		$ \begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 478 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 478 \\ 471 \\ 12 \\ 0 \\ +7 \\ \end{array} $	35 105 0 105 103 36 25% +1
1st	Williamson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	149 145 0 + 37 182 99 232 37% + 83	116 92 0 - 37 55 77 86 38% - 30	12 4 0 4 2 14 71% +2	111 168 0 168 186 88 20% - 23	121 103 0 0 103 109 114 38% -7	36 39 0 0 39 24 51 65% +15	2 0 0 0 0 0 2 100% 0	23 2 3 0 0 3 3 2 50% 0	4 0 0 0 0 0 4 100% 0	2 0 0 0 1 1 100% -1	104 402 0 402 355 151 14% +47
1st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	427 341 0 + 46 387 263 552 41% + 125	274 264 0 - 46 218 218 268 43% - 6	30 15 0 +6 21 17 31 55% +1	$ \begin{array}{r} 472 \\ 703 \\ 0 \\ -6 \\ 697 \\ 766 \\ 407 \\ 22\% \\ -65 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 365\\ 357\\ 1\\ 0\\ 358\\ 306\\ 415\\ 41\%\\ +50\\ \end{array}$	171 252 0 0 252 192 228 52% + 57	7 11 0 0 11 2 16 31% +9	172 97 0 97 154 116 43% - 56	9 1 0 1 2 10 90% +1	8 478 0 0 478 472 14 14% +6	480 1,420 6 0 1,426 1,379 524 25% + 44
2nd	Crawford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	44 20 0 +1 21 23 42 71% -2	66 24 0 -1 23 27 62 74% -4	4 1 0 +1 2 1 5 80% +1	114 88 0 -1 87 65 136 72% +22	113 69 0 69 59 123 57% +10	43 27 0 27 27 43 56% 0	0 7 0 7 2 5 5 4 7 2 5 5	27 14 0 0 14 9 32 59% +5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 0	178 181 0 0 181 229 130 71% -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48 -48
2nd	Edwards	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	6 1 0 1 3 4 75% -2	26 16 0 16 10 32 66% +6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	65 31 0 0 31 42 54 70% -11	68 19 0 0 19 10 77 81% +9	13 3 0 0 3 1 15 80% +2	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 100% 0	4 1 0 0 1 0 5 80% +1	11 0 0 0 0 0 11 100% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38 44 0 0 44 57 25 56% -13

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1985

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
1st	Saline	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,109 5,612 0 5,612 5,337 1164 33% + 55	28 0 0 28 30 			187 81 0 81 108 164 60% - 23	$ \begin{array}{r} 193 \\ 690 \\ 0 \\ 690 \\ 662 \\ 221 \\ 10\% \\ + 28 \\ \end{array} $	70 195 0 +20 215 158 127 35% +57	117 193 0 - 20 173 202 88 0 - 29	60 38 0 38 41 57 54% - 3	51 72 0 72 37 86 47% + 35
1st	Union	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	893 3,593 1 0 3,594 3,220 1,008 53% +115		2,105 0 2,105 1,863 —	- 1 0 1 0 -	395 95 0 95 34 451 81% +56	125 222 0 0 222 262 89 30% - 36	79 190 0 + 25 215 177 116 35% + 37	61 136 1 - 25 112 92 83 22% + 22	12 26 0 26 20 19 37% +7	13 42 0 42 33 22 50% +9
1st	Williamson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,979 13,793 63 0 13,856 14,568 1,805 34% -174				383 139 0 139 170 364 67% - 19	297 856 0 856 942 211 3% - 86	210 744 13 + 33 790 715 285 19% + 25	156 290 50 - 33 307 335 128 20% - 28	29 45 0 45 67 7 14% - 22	245 202 0 202 382 65 37% - 180
1st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	7,422 52,284 103 0 52,387 51,626 7,632 39% + 210	 662 0 662 671 		2,530 0 2,530 2,512 - -	1,720 579 1 0 580 531 1,800 76% + 80	1,017 3,165 3 0 3,168 3,312 863 10% - 154	857 2,394 20 +169 2,583 2,371 1,043 25% +186	$\begin{array}{c} 678 \\ 1,431 \\ 71 \\ -169 \\ 1,333 \\ 1,351 \\ 657 \\ 13\% \\ -21 \end{array}$	220 303 1 0 304 272 251 45% + 31	515 615 0 615 697 437 48% - 78
2nd	Crawford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	1,642 3,668 0 3,668 3,624 1,633 65% -9	 15 0 15 27 			491 114 0 0 114 154 451 80% - 40	300 573 0 0 573 555 318 49% + 18	112 208 0 +15 223 212 123 58% +11	40 69 0 -15 54 55 39 21% -1	27 36 0 36 31 32 69% +5	83 44 0 44 35 92 66% +9
2nd	Edwards	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	633 1,188 0 1,188 1,115 616 69% - 17	- 13 0 0 13 10 -		- 6 0 6 6 -	121 33 0 0 33 28 126 75% +5	140 158 0 0 158 188 110 53% - 30	72 79 0 +3 82 67 88 66% +16	16 25 0 -3 22 23 14 21% -2	28 10 0 0 10 11 27 81% -1	24 11 0 11 8 27 81% + 3

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

		1								-	1		1
				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Cha	MiseR	Emi	Тах	Mui	Mer	Diss N
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	131 103 0 103 62 172 35%	38 42 0 0 42 32 48 40%	11 2 0 2 6 7 86%	141 114 0 0 114 69 186 56%	130 96 0 96 49 177 60%	33 26 0 26 18 41 51%	1 0 0 0 1 0 0	18 0 0 0 2 16 100%	3 4 0 4 1 6 17%	4 0 0 0 2 2 100%	163 406 1 0 407 432 138 30%
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	35% + 41	+10	- 4	56% +45	60% + 47	+ 8	-1	- 2	+ 3	- 2	30%
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	32 7 0 0 7 16 23 78% -9	5 5 0 5 4 6 83%	3 1 0 1 1 3 100%	54 14 0 0 14 13 55 87% +1	24 14 0 0 14 7 31 71%	2 9 0 9 9 2 100% 0		27 13 0 0 13 12 28 61%		1 0 0 0 1 0	42 35 0 0 35 51 26 73%
2nd	Hamilton	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	9 15 5 0 +2 7 7 15 67%	+1 27 14 0 -2 12 25 14 57%	5 2 0 2 3 3 33%	+1 12 26 0 0 26 24 15 27%	+7 31 38 0 0 38 34 35 34%	0 13 12 0 0 12 15 10 80%		+1 11 0 0 0 0 11 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0	-1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
2nd	Hardin	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	0 13 3 0 0 3 3 13 69% 0	-13 10 1 0 0 1 1 10 90% 0	-2 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	+3 8 0 0 0 0 3 4 75% -4	+4 16 6 0 0 6 16 75% 0	-3 8 7 0 0 7 3 12 75% +4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-11 9 14 0 0 14 5 17 29% +8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 +1	+2 12 49 0 0 0 49 36 21 29% +9
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)		71 47 0 8 39 30 80 59% +9	8 1 0 +2 3 2 9 67% +1	$\begin{array}{c} 212\\ 228\\ 0\\ -2\\ 226\\ 156\\ 282\\ 65\%\\ +70\\ \end{array}$	79 61 0 61 35 105 52% + 26	20 50 0 50 40 30 57% +10	8 3 0 3 3 8 63% 0	42 6 0 6 4 44 91% + 2	2 15 0 15 13 4 50% +2	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{array} $	89 321 0 321 298 112 45% +23
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	34 12 0 +1 13 13 34 65%	32 18 0 -1 17 9 40 75%	3 1 0 +1 2 3 100%	50 41 0 -1 40 23 67 $61%$	82 26 0 26 35 73 70%	27 37 0 0 37 31 33 45%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	20 12 0 12 6 26 62%	1 1 0 0 1 2 0	0 1 0 1 1 0	70 126 0 126 112 84 60%
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	65% 0	75% +8	100% 0	61% +17	70% -9	45% +6	100% 0	62% +6	0 -1	0 0	60% +14

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1985

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	1,672 7,391 3 0 7,394 7,322 1,688 44%		4,951 0 4,951 4,867 —	 17 0 17 24 	528 148 0 148 280 408 70%	143 541 0 541 560 124 11%	76 514 0 +15 529 477 121 0	82 143 1 -15 129 135 83 6%	61 46 0 46 77 30	
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+16	-	-	-	- 120	- 19	+ 45	+1	- 31	
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	760 1,471 8 0 1,479 1,668 675 73%	14 0 0 14 10 -	886 0 886 979 —	99 0 0 99 114 	250 30 0 30 54 226 88%	37 122 0 0 122 116 43 33%	174 145 2 + 9 156 162 168 72%	35 39 6 -9 36 46 25 8%	18 8 0 8 7 19 74%	
2nd	Hamilton	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	- 85 354 1,416 0 0 1,416 1,471 304 38% - 50	 14 0 14 13 	879 0 879 887 -		- 24 63 33 0 0 33 22 74 61% + 11	+6 77 211 0 0 211 216 70 21% -7	6 24 57 0 +2 59 62 21 24% -3	-10 42 52 0 -2 50 72 20 5% -22	+1 9 10 0 10 12 7 14% -2	6 1 6 0 6 3 4 6 7
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	242 509 0 509 451 288 46% + 46	7 0 7 13 -	233 0 0 233 228 -	3 0 0 3 3 	42 21 0 21 21 42 50%	50 68 0 68 44 72 44% +22	13 54 0 +5 59 44 26 8% +13	14 23 0 -5 18 14 15 13% +1	29 3 0 3 13 19 89% -10	
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	2,136 8,386 0 8,386 7,428 2,420 59%	 75 0 75 50 	5,677 0 5,677 5,122 —	211 0 211 202 -	509 125 0 125 97 452 78%	559 618 0 618 512 665 75%	234 386 0 + 25 411 386 259 21%	91 249 0 - 25 224 233 82 1%	67 105 0 105 100 72 39%	3 1))) 1 5
and	Laurance	Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 284	-	-	-	- 57	+ 106	+ 25	-9	+ 5	3
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	1,211 2,926 2 0 2,928 2,657 1,417		1,724 0 1,724 1,654	 79 0 79 88 	533 73 0 73 42 564	141 263 0 263 204 200	94 356 0 +19 375 311 158	26 65 2 -19 48 38 36	24 25 0 25 28 21	3 7 0 0 7 7 3 7
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	70% +206	_	_	-	90% + 31	58% + 59	32% +64	8% +10	86% - 3	87% +4

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000		15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ıt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ ti	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic	Mental Health	Dissolu Mari
2nd	Richland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	31 18 0 +1 19 4 46 61%	58 31 0 -1 -30 19 69 70%	8 0 0 0 4 4 100%	91 88 0 0 88 86 93 53%	67 31 0 31 43 55 55%	14 10 0 10 9 15 80%	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 6 0 6 4 10 50%	10 0 0 0 7 3 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	51 134 1 0 135 134 52 29%
2nd	Wabash	Inventory (+ or -)	+15	+11 20	-4	+ 2	-12	+1	0	+2	-7	0	+1
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	14 0 0 14 4 20 25%	23 2 0 25 31 14 64%	7 0 0 7 1 11 27%	51 1 0 52 52 26 19%	50 1 0 51 25 54 33%	17 0 0 17 19 13 62%	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 8 0 8 2 8	1 0 1 7 0	1 0 1 1 1 0	123 31 0 154 136 62 65%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+10	-6	+6	0	+ 26	-2	0	+6	-6	0	+18
2nd	Wayne	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	29 17 0 17 17 30 53% +1	25 43 0 43 26 40 53% +15	6 1 0 1 2 5 80% -1	77 98 0 98 66 94 61% +17	$ \begin{array}{r} 60\\ 74\\ 0\\ 74\\ 41\\ 92\\ 51\%\\ +32\\ \end{array} $	12 15 0 15 16 11 82% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 1 0 1 2 4 100% -1	1 1 0 1 0 2 50% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38 103 0 103 117 39 21% +1
2nd	White	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	30 11 0 +1 12 12 30 67% 0	27 28 0 -1 27 12 42 62% +15	5 0 +1 1 2 4 100% -1	54 67 0 -1 66 52 68 47% +14	70 46 0 46 40 76 57% +6	7 13 0 13 9 11 64% +4	11 0 0 0 1 1 10 100% -1	13 1 0 0 1 0 14 93% +1	3 2 0 2 2 3 100% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	56 147 0 147 140 63 27% +7
2nd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	455 275 0 +14 289 211 534 41% +79	405 292 2 	59 16 0 +5 21 24 55 71% -4	904 846 1 - 5 842 651 1,080 62% + 176	768 530 1 0 531 384 914 56% +146	207 226 0 226 197 236 62% + 29	22 10 0 10 7 25 88% + 3	186 68 8 0 76 57 204 60% + 18	37 25 0 0 25 26 29 72% - 8	7 5 0 0 5 9 3 67% -4	795 1,715 33 0 1,748 1,786 768 46% - 27
3rd	Bond	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	26 11 0 11 18 27 59% +1	15 11 0 0 11 4 18 44% +3	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 0 0	28 32 0 32 34 11 18% - 17	15 21 0 0 21 15 17 65% + 2	2 10 0 10 6 4 25% +2		17 6 0 6 16 6 100% -11	0 1 0 1 1 0 - 0	0 17 0 0 17 17 0 	34 83 0 0 83 80 25 28% -9

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1985

Circuit	2nd	2nd	2nd	2nd	2nd								
County	Richland	Wabash	Wayne	White	Circuit Total								
	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)								
Total	1,039 4,874 3 0 4,877 4,977 983 45% - 56	579 3,163 56 0 3,219 2,762 737 43% +158	860 3,625 0 3,625 3,509 907 56% + 47	820 4,101 13 0 4,114 3,975 782 48% - 38	$ \begin{array}{r} 11,948 \\ 42,718 \\ 79 \\ 0 \\ 42,797 \\ 40,952 \\ 12,450 \\ 56\% \\ + 502 \\ \end{array} $								
Conservation Violations			 26 0 26 35 	24 0 0 24 28 									
Traffic Violations	3,231 0 3,231 3,317 — —	1,953 0 1,953 1,715 — — —	2,371 0 2,371 2,317 - - -	2,798 0 2,798 2,594 — — —	 27,433 0 27,433 26,298 								
Ordinance Violations		95 0 95 63 -			945 0 945 864 								
Probate	336 82 0 82 201 217 69% - 119	$ \begin{array}{r} 168 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 52 \\ 30 \\ 184 \\ 76\% \\ +16 \\ \end{array} $	113 77 0 0 77 35 149 62% + 36	288 93 0 93 172 209 66% - 79	3,442 881 0 881 1,136 3,102 77% - 340								
Small Claims	169 447 0 447 434 182 31% +13	52 313 0 0 313 270 95 20% + 43	383 458 0 458 516 313 65% - 70	121 402 0 402 392 131 13% +10	2,172 4,174 0 0 4,174 4,007 2,323 51% + 151								
Misdemeanors	109 418 0 +16 434 426 117 11% +8	118 293 0 + 17 310 300 128 18% + 10	43 160 0 +1 161 159 51 16% +8	25 241 7 +12 260 249 36 6% +11	1,094 2,911 9 +149 3,069 2,855 1,296 29% + 202								
Felony	23 120 1 -16 105 89 39 5% +16	22 71 2 - 17 56 56 22 0 -	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 59 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 58 \\ 52 \\ 23 \\ 0 \\ +6 \\ \end{array} $	38 87 6 - 12 81 103 18 22% - 20	446 1,002 12 - 149 865 916 416 7% - 30								
Juvenile	19 17 0 17 14 22 41% + 3	25 47 0 47 23 49 35% +24	15 44 0 44 41 13 46% -2	31 17 0 17 16 32 66% +1	353 368 0 368 373 343 52% - 10								
Family	45 66 1 0 67 53 59 32% + 14	38 16 11 0 27 14 51 55% +13	36 46 0 46 41 41 73% +5	41 62 0 62 68 35 54% -6	596 612 13 0 625 556 665 57% + 69								
				Over ,000	Law \$ or		ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	lith -	Dissolution of Marriage
---------	---------------	--	--	---	--	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	---
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissolt Mar
3rd	Madison	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	1,795 523 33 + 379 935 811 1,918	583 774 17 - 379 412 306 690	291 56 8 + 84 148 211 228	545 586 16 - 84 518 506 557	368 362 4 0 366 321 413	284 337 3 0 340 333 291	53 59 0 59 30 82			18 562 0 562 566 14	1,079 1,709 0 1,709 1,710 1,078
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	62% +123	47% +107	57% -63	34% +12	50% +45	54% +7	33% +29	0	0	100% -4	54% -1
3rd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	1,821 534 33 + 379 946 829 1,945 62%	598 785 17 - 379 423 310 708 47%	293 58 8 + 84 150 213 230 60%	573 618 16 - 84 550 540 568 34%	383 383 4 0 387 336 430 50%	286 347 3 0 350 339 295 54%	53 59 0 59 30 82 33%	17 6 0 6 16 6 100%	0 1 0 1 1 0	18 579 0 579 583 14 100%	1,113 1,792 0 1,792 1,790 1,103 53%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+124	+110	-63	- 5	+ 47	+9	+ 29	-11	0	- 4	- 10
4th	Christian	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 51 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 53 \\ 32 \\ 61 \\ 34\% \\ +21 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 36 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 34 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 45 \\ -6 \\ \end{array} $	7 3 0 +2 5 8 4 50% -3	185 114 6 - 2 118 217 86 47% - 99	107 57 0 57 46 118 62% +11	22 20 0 20 16 26 54% +4	6 1 0 1 1 6 100% 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 10\\ 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 12\\ 92\%\\ +2\\ \end{array} $	35 0 0 0 0 35 100% 0	38 7 0 7 0 45 82% +7	186 188 0 188 282 92 18% - 94
4th	Clay	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	16 18 0 18 13 21 43% +5	22 21 0 0 21 22 21 57% -1	2 4 0 4 3 3 3 3 % +1	65 41 0 41 81 25 40% - 40	83 23 0 23 38 68 71% -15	10 19 0 19 24 5 0 -5	2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	11 35 0 0 35 12 34 24% + 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	73 106 0 106 144 35 6% - 38
4th	Clinton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	48 30 0 30 29 38 47% -10	25 36 0 36 29 34 24% +9	3 5 0 5 4 3 33% 0	111 91 0 91 71 133 62% + 22	45 39 0 0 39 30 54 59% +9	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 30 \\ 26 \\ 6 \\ 33\% \\ -4 \end{array} $	3 0 0 0 0 0 3 100% 0	19 13 0 0 13 5 27 70% +8	4 0 0 0 0 0 4 100% 0	0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	149 103 0 0 103 183 66 38% - 83
4th	Effingham	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	50 44 0 0 44 21 73	32 30 0 0 30 28 34	4 5 0 5 1 8	64 117 0 0 117 102 79	28 39 0 39 40 27	17 56 0 56 58 15	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 6 0 0 6 4 5	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
			47% +23	50% + 2	50% +4	30% +15	30% -1	40% - 2	0	0 + 2	100% 0	0	

Circuit	3rd	3rd	4th	4th	4th
County	Madison	Circuit Total	Christian	Clay	, , Clinton
	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)
Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 16,364\\60,293\\183\\0\\60,476\\56,728\\16,964\\51\%\\+600\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 17,130 \\ 62,669 \\ 183 \\ 0 \\ 62,852 \\ 59,189 \\ 17,537 \\ 51\% \\ +407 \\ \end{array} $	1,883 6,544 7 0 6,551 6,852 1,671 62% - 212	907 2,056 0 2,056 2,035 826 57% - 81	2,025 5,527 3 0 5,530 5,814 1,992 72% - 33
Conservation Violations	142 0 142 180				
Traffic Violations		37,557 0 37,557 34,121 — — —	4,762 0 4,762 4,813 —		
Ordinance Violations	4,187 0 4,187 4,424 –	4,201 0 4,201 4,430 —	28 0 0 28 46 		19 0 0 19 12
Probate	2,835 728 3 0 731 867 2,699 76% - 136	2,972 788 3 0 791 920 2,833 76% - 139	590 158 0 158 104 638 77% + 48	285 83 0 83 82 280 76% - 5	780 135 0 135 107 810 86% + 30
Small Claims	2,003 5,962 0 5,962 5,719 2,295 12% + 292	2,358 6,320 0 6,320 6,290 2,441 13% +83	236 482 0 482 448 270 68% + 34	173 293 0 0 293 218 248 61% +75	517 433 0 433 316 584 77% +67
Misdemeanors	3,560 5,226 0 + 126 5,352 5,279 3,602 40% + 42	3,630 5,370 0 + 129 5,382 3,707 40% + 77	96 288 0 +9 297 293 100 37% +4	99 146 0 +12 158 209 48 13% -51	142 507 0 +10 517 512 142 54%
Felony	288 910 99 - 126 883 888 283 5% - 5	304 987 99 - 129 957 937 327 5% + 23		43 60 0 -12 48 69 22 14% -21	39 101 3 -10 94 92 31 3% -8
Juvenile	382 690 0 690 587 485 44% +103	394 717 0 717 593 500 43% +106	84 109 0 109 121 72 32% -12	7 14 0 0 14 13 8 13% +1	24 47 0 0 47 56 13 23% -11
Family	2,280 1,357 0 1,357 1,308 2,329 79% + 49	2,317 1,393 0 1,393 1,326 2,348 78% + 31	128 72 0 72 164 41 41% - 87	16 25 0 25 33 8 25% - 8	106 61 0 61 120 43 28% - 63

				Over ,000 Non-		15,000 less Non-	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Jury	Jury	Jury	C	Σ	E E	19	X	2	Di
4th	Fayette	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	23 23 0 0 23 9 37	39 46 0 0 46 48 37	9 0 0 0 0 5 4	154 61 0 0 61 156 59	123 48 0 0 48 59 112	50 36 0 0 36 26 60	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	28 8 0 0 8 10 26	8 2 0 0 2 1 9	2 0 0 0 0 1 1	129 133 0 0 133 122 140
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	30% +14	19% -2	100% - 5	49% - 95	69% - 11	63% +10	100% 0	77% -2	89% +1	100% -1	64% +11
4th	Jasper	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	15 9 0 +4 13 10 18 50% +3	25 9 0 -2 7 20 12 67% -13	4 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 -2	45 32 0 -2 30 26 49 76% +4	83 34 0 34 30 87 63% +4	6 8 0 8 8 6 6 7% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 1 0 1 4 3 67% -3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	52 32 0 0 32 57 27 37% - 25
4th	Marion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	118 74 0 +4 78 107 87 30% -31	90 59 -4 55 24 121 63% +31	8 10 0 +1 11 18 39% +10	130 122 0 -1 121 194 57 33% -73	$ \begin{array}{r} 113\\59\\0\\0\\59\\35\\137\\57\%\\+24\end{array} $	50 35 0 35 36 49 73% -1	4 1 0 1 2 3 66% -1	76 6 0 6 22 60 90% - 10	5 0 0 0 3 2 100% - 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	168 304 0 304 249 223 48% +55
4th	Montgomery	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	42 28 0 28 18 56 64% +14	60 54 0 54 44 57 40% - 3	9 4 0 4 10 6 50% - 3	55 86 0 86 51 83 40% + 28	51 38 0 0 38 28 61 51% +10	32 56 0 56 57 31 35% -1	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	481 64 0 64 504 34 35% - 447	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	0 9 0 9 9 9 0 0 0	50 170 0 170 145 75 16% + 25
4th	Shelby	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	33 15 0 +3 18 22 29 55% -4	17 18 0 -3 15 16 16 16 0 -1	1 1 0 +1 2 3 0 0 -1	66 41 0 -1 40 78 28 28 43% -38	65 19 0 0 19 46 38 58% - 27	84 18 0 0 18 5 97 82% +13	4 1 0 1 1 4 100% 0	10 8 0 0 8 5 13 54% + 3	5 1 0 0 1 0 6 83% +1	1 3 0 3 1 3 33% +2	48 112 0 0 112 127 33 33% -15
4th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	385 292 0 + 13 305 261 420 41% + 35	356 309 0 -11 298 271 338 46% -18	47 32 0 +4 36 37 48 44% +1	875 705 6 - 6 705 976 599 46% - 276	698 356 0 356 352 702 49% + 4	281 278 0 0 278 256 295 65% + 14	20 4 0 4 6 18 83% -2	644 143 0 0 143 566 214 53% - 400	59 4 0 4 4 59 95% 0	41 22 0 0 22 13 50 78% +9	942 1,293 0 0 1,293 1,483 749 37% - 193

_												
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
73 46 0 46 34 85 81%	12 56 0 56 57 11 9%	21 80 0 -6 74 72 23 9%	102 241 0 +6 247 222 127 46%	335 451 0 451 429 357 63%	324 117 0 117 167 269 63%	 38 0 38 46 			1,433 5,243 0 5,243 5,118 1,358 60%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Fayette	4th
+ 12 32 17 0 0 17 31	-1 17 37 0 0 37 22	+2 13 51 0 -10 41 34	+ 25 63 156 0 + 10 166 189	+ 22 127 195 0 0 195 233	- 55 124 50 0 0 50 94			 36 0 36 51	- 75 612 2,443 0 0 2,443 2,677	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of	Jasper	4th
18 50% 14	32 78% +15	20 0 + 7	40 3% -23	89 52% - 38	80 50% - 44	-		_	483 51% - 129	Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Marian	44b
318 214 0 214 159 373 81%	35 140 0 140 108 67 27%	112 170 0 - 23 147 168 91 33%	233 428 0 + 23 451 437 247 55%	150 637 0 637 529 154 16%	1,155 150 0 150 292 1,013 89%	127 0 0 127 108 -	8,049 0 8,049 5,865 —	 23 0 23 16 	2,765 10,608 0 10,608 8,355 2,702 67%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Marion	4th
61% +55 71 89 0 0 89 98 59 61% -12	2% $+$ $321434003439922% 5$	-21 40 94 0 -5 89 77 52 4% +12	+14 196 281 0 +5 286 389 97 10% -99	$ \begin{array}{r} 1037 \\ +4 \\ 132 \\ 692 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 692 \\ 640 \\ 184 \\ 10\% \\ +52 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -142 \\ 380 \\ 152 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 152 \\ 123 \\ 409 \\ 66\% \\ +29 \\ \end{array} $	21 0 0 21 25 	 5,112 0 5,112 4,776 		-63 1,613 7,034 0 0 7,034 7,078 1,215 40% -398	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Montgomery	4th
87 62 0 62 105 44 43% - 43	27 27 0 27 20 34 56% +7	5 53 0 -10 43 26 22 0 +17	49 238 0 +10 248 242 55 27% +6	$ \begin{array}{r} 113\\ 241\\ 0\\ 241\\ 206\\ 148\\ 65\%\\ +35\\ \end{array} $	388 96 0 96 203 280 76% - 108	3 0 3 4 	1,346 0 1,346 1,270 —	224 0 224 195 -	1,000 2,527 0 2,527 2,575 850 61% - 153	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Shelby	4th
912 666 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 839 737 69% - 175	243 511 0 0 511 495 257 37% +14	386 827 4 -99 732 774 334 10% -52	1,167 2,826 0 + 99 2,925 3,073 1,018 33% - 149	2,033 3,918 0 0 3,918 3,660 2,137 49% +104	4,356 1,050 0 1,050 1,294 4,096 72% - 260			 547 0 0 547 469 	13,445 49,470 10 0 49,480 43,150 12,071 566% - 1374	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	4th

78,

				Over 6,000		15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	lth -	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissoli Mar
5th	Clark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	13 17 0 0 17 12 18	19 30 0 30 22 27	3 0 0 0 1 2	30 42 0 42 41 31	35 31 0 31 27 39	11 10 0 10 10 11		10 6 0 6 2 14		0 1 0 1 0 1	60 133 0 133 143 50
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	28% +5	33% +8	50% -1	55% +1	33% +4	91% 0	0 0	64% +4	0 0	0 +1	32% -10
5th	Coles	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	147 107 0 107 81 173 45%	116 36 0 36 28 124 76%	5 3 0 3 5 3 0	222 153 0 0 153 182 193 62%	99 42 0 42 43 98 79%	103 51 0 51 38 116 75%	6 0 0 1 5 100%	47 25 0 25 16 56 79%	4 0 0 0 0 4 100%	12 0 0 0 0 0 12 100%	125 358 0 358 313 170 31%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 26	+ 8	-2	- 29	-1	+13	-1	+9	0	0	+ 45
5th	Cumberland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	8 4 0 4 4 8 50% 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 20 \\ 70\% \\ +4 \\ \end{array} $	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	26 31 0 31 37 20 95% -6	27 11 0 0 11 4 34 74% +	2 2 0 2 4 0 0 - 2	1 0 0 0 1 100% 0	4 0 0 0 0 4 100% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	41 70 0 70 54 57 63% +16
5th	Edgar	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	34 26 0 +2 28 20 41 46% +7	15 24 0 -2 22 14 24 33% +9	2 2 0 +1 3 2 3 67% +1	67 59 0 -1 58 41 84 73% +17	23 24 0 24 12 35 51% +12	20 11 0 11 12 19 79% -1	2 4 0 4 3 3 33% +1	4 1 0 1 0 5 80% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 3 0 3 1 6 67% +2	56 128 0 128 126 58 59% + 2
5th	Vermilion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	254 158 2 +9 169 149 269 49%	74 76 0 8 68 60 86 40%	12 11 0 +10 21 4 29 34% +17	451 548 24 - 11 561 760 252 26% - 199	107 106 0 106 91 122 51%	68 89 0 89 69 91 54%	31 35 0 0 35 24 42 45% +11	45 35 0 35 34 46 70%		166 58 0 58 40 184 87% +18	439 629 0 629 653 415 50% -24
5th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	+ 15 456 312 2 + 11 325 266 509	+ 12 240 173 0 - 10 163 127 281	+17 23 16 0 +11 27 12 38		+ 15 291 214 0 0 214 177 328	+ 23 204 163 0 0 163 133 237	+ 11 40 39 0 0 39 28 51	+1 110 67 0 0 67 52 125	0 4 0 0 0 0 0 4	+18 182 62 0 0 62 41 203	-24 721 1,318 0 1,318 1,289 750
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	47% +53	57% +41	34% +15	49% - 216	59% + 37	68% +33	51% +11	67% +15	100% 0	87% +21	46% + 29

	Laftic Tattic Tattic Tattic Conservation Conservation Conservation Conservation Conservation Filed Conservation Conservation Filed Conservation	- - 941 Pending at Start 16 5,353 45 6,515 Filed	335 - - 941 Pending at Start 96 16 5,353 45 6,515 Filed	243 335 - - - 941 Pending at Start 317 96 16 5,353 45 6,515 Filed	124 243 335 - - - 941 Pending at Start 253 317 96 16 5,353 45 6,515 Filed	23 124 243 335 - - - 941 Pending at Start 63 253 317 96 16 5,353 45 6,515	7 23 124 243 335 - - - 941 Pending at Start 44 63 253 317 96 16 5,353 45 6,515
Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End 6 Pending More Than 12 mos ventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos nventory (+ or -)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	335 $ -$ 96 16 5,353 45 0 0 0 0 96 16 5,353 45 65 12 5,124 37 366 - - - 76% - - - +31 - - - 845 - - - 76% - - - 845 - - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 158 939 7,137 191 855 - - - 47 0 1,546 19 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 136 0 1,336	243 335 $ -$ 317 96 16 5,353 45 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 152 366 - - - 46% 76% - - - -91 +31 - - - 463 845 - - - -91 +31 - - - 463 845 - - - 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,007 158 939 7,137 191 540 855 - - - 1,007 158 0 1,336 11 0 0	124 243 335 $ 124$ 243 337 96 16 5.353 45 0 0 0 0 0 0 117 96 16 5.353 45 239 408 65 12 5.124 37 139 152 366 $ 44%$ $46%$ $76%$ $ 44%$ $46%$ $76%$ $ 626$ 1.084 169 993 7.325 231 11007 158 939 7.325 231 139 540 855 $ 0$ $44%$ $84%$ $ 139$ 540 855 $ 0$ 0 0	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 23 124 243 335 $$ $ -$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 63 253 317 96 16 5,353 45 64 254 317 96 16 5,353 45 37 139 152 366 $ -$ 3% 44% 46% 76% $ -$ 157 626 1,084 169 993 7,325 231 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 129 654 1,084 169 993 7,325 231 152 611 1,007 158 939 7,137 191 42 139 540 855 $ -$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
941 6,515 0 6,515 6,271 944 533% +3 2,586 11,644 0 0,11,644 11,212 2,735 60% + 149 389 2,063 0 0,063 0,07 2,063 1,683 559 58% + 170 1,384 3,753 3,634 1,506 655% + 122 6,669 21,053 259 0 21,312 23,634 6,105 60% -564 11,969 45,034 263 0 45,297 46,434 11,849 60%	5,353 0 0 5,353 5,124 - - - 7,325 7,137 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	335 - - 96 16 5,353 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 96 16 5,353 65 12 5,124 366 - - 76% - - +31 - - 845 - - 169 993 7,325 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 169 993 7,325 0 0 0 0 0 0 169 993 7,325 158 939 7,137 855 - - 47 0 1,546 0 0 0 0 0 0 104 - - 1531 - - <	243 335 - - 317 96 16 5,353 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 152 366 - - 463 845 - - -91 +31 - - 463 845 - - 1,084 169 993 7,325 1,007 158 939 7,137 540 855 - - 44% 84% - - 1,007 158 939 7,137 540 855 - - 139 47 0 1,546 117 36 0 1,336 50 104 - - 203 531	124 243 335 253 317 96 16 5,353 0 0 0 0 0 244% 317 96 16 5,353 239 408 65 12 5,124 139 152 366 44% 46% 76% 44% 46% 76% 44% 46% 76% 96 463 845 96 463 845 96 408 84% 97 7,325 0 0 0 0 139 540 855 - 139 540 855 - - - 104 139 47 0 1,546 0 0<	- $ -$ 23 124 243 335 $ -$ 63 253 317 96 16 5,353 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 +1 0 0 0 0 0 63 254 317 96 16 5,353 48 239 408 65 12 5,124 37 139 152 366 $ -$ - 0 0 0 0 0 -28 +28 0 0 0 0 -28 +28 0 0 0 0 0 152 611 1,007 158 939 7,325 152 611 1,004 199 93 7,325 154 0 0 0 0 0 0	$$ $$ $$ $$ 7 23 124 243 335 $$ 44 63 253 317 96 16 5,353 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 44 62 254 317 96 16 5,353 9 48 239 408 65 12 5,124 12 37 139 152 366 8% 3%6 44% 46% 76% - - 59 65 96 463 845 - - 59 65 42 1084 169 993 7,325 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65 42 139 540 855 - - - 65 42 139 540<
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	243 335 $-$ 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 317 96 16 408 65 12 152 366 $-$ 463 845 $-$ 1,084 169 993 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,084 169 993 1,007 158 939 540 855 $-$ 44% 84% $-$ +77 +10 $-$ 28 85 $-$ 139 47 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 117 36 0 50 104 <td< td=""><td>124 243 335 $-$ 253 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 144 0 0 0 254 317 96 16 239 408 65 12 139 152 366 $-$ 44% 46% 76% $-$ 44% 46% 76% $-$ 66 1,084 169 993 0 0 0 0 654 1,084 169 993 611 1,007 158 939 139 540 855 $-$ 0 44% 84% $-$ 104 139 47 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 104 139 47 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>23$124$$243$$335$$23$$124$$243$$335$$63$$253$$317$$96$$16$$0$$0$$0$$0$$-1$$+11$$0$$0$$48$$229$$408$$65$$37$$139$$152$$366$$37$$139$$152$$366$$-114$$+15$$-91$$+31$$-129$$654$$1.084$$169$$993$$0$$0$$0$$-28$$+28$$0$$0$$-28$$+28$$0$$0$$129$$654$$1.084$$169$$993$$540$$855$$0$$0$$44%$$84%$$-23$$+43$$+77$$+10$$-11$$85$$28$$85$$43$$104$$139$$47$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$43$$104$$139$$47$$0$$11$$85$$28$$85$$2%$$57%$$56%$$63%$$2%$$57%$$56%$$63%$$-24$$4$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$$0$<t< td=""><td>- $-$ 7 23 124 243 335 $-$ 44 63 253 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 44 62 254 317 96 16 39 48 239 408 65 12 12 37 139 152 366 $-$ 8% 3%6 44%6 46%6 76% $-$ 87 157 626 1.084 169 993 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65 42 139 540 855 $-$ 65% 0 0 44% 84% $-$ 46 -23 +43 +77 +10 $-$ 13 43 104 139 47 0 14 16 1177<</td></t<></td></td<>	124 243 335 $-$ 253 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 144 0 0 0 254 317 96 16 239 408 65 12 139 152 366 $-$ 44% 46% 76% $-$ 44% 46% 76% $-$ 66 1,084 169 993 0 0 0 0 654 1,084 169 993 611 1,007 158 939 139 540 855 $-$ 0 44% 84% $-$ 104 139 47 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 104 139 47 0 0 0 0 0	23 124 243 335 $ 23$ 124 243 335 $ 63$ 253 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 -1 $+11$ 0 0 48 229 408 65 37 139 152 366 37 139 152 366 -114 $+15$ -91 $+31$ -129 654 1.084 169 993 0 0 0 -28 $+28$ 0 0 -28 $+28$ 0 0 129 654 1.084 169 993 540 855 $ 0$ 0 $44%$ $84%$ -23 $+43$ $+77$ $+10$ -11 85 28 85 43 104 139 47 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 43 104 139 47 0 11 85 28 85 $ 2%$ $57%$ $56%$ $63%$ $ 2%$ $57%$ $56%$ $63%$ -24 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>- $-$ 7 23 124 243 335 $-$ 44 63 253 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 44 62 254 317 96 16 39 48 239 408 65 12 12 37 139 152 366 $-$ 8% 3%6 44%6 46%6 76% $-$ 87 157 626 1.084 169 993 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65 42 139 540 855 $-$ 65% 0 0 44% 84% $-$ 46 -23 +43 +77 +10 $-$ 13 43 104 139 47 0 14 16 1177<</td></t<>	- $ -$ 7 23 124 243 335 $-$ 44 63 253 317 96 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 44 62 254 317 96 16 39 48 239 408 65 12 12 37 139 152 366 $-$ 8% 3%6 44%6 46%6 76% $-$ 87 157 626 1.084 169 993 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65 42 139 540 855 $-$ 65% 0 0 44% 84% $-$ 46 -23 +43 +77 +10 $-$ 13 43 104 139 47 0 14 16 1177<

				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic	Mental Health	Dissolt Mar
6th	Champaign	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	463 321 10 + 23 354 294 523	203 164 1 - 23 142 151 194	25 11 0 +8 19 18 26	373 404 5 8 401 367 407	183 171 0 0 171 186 168	106 121 0 0 121 139 88	17 4 0 4 1 20	6 9 0 9 4 11	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	33 79 0 79 62 50	503 1,016 0 1,016 921 598
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	44% +60	48% -9	42% +1	53% +34	46% - 15	60% 	80% + 3	36% +5	100% 0	66% +17	33% +95
6th	DeWitt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	19 16 0 16 20 15 20%	9 19 1 0 20 14 15 33%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 100 3 0 103 104 18 11%	10 26 0 26 26 26 10 30%	7 20 0 20 15 12 17%	5 9 7 0 16 14 7 57%	0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 106 0 106 94 23 0
6th	Douglas	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of	-4 28 24 0 +1 25 28	+6 24 16 0 -1 15 18	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{array} $	-1 49 44 0 -2 42 34	0 38 25 0 0 25 21	+5 14 10 0 0 10 8	+ 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 1	+12 71 92 0 0 92 83
		Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	28 29% —	19 74% -5	3 33% -1	56 71% +7	32 66% -6	15 73% +1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	82 55% +11
6th	Macon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	289 204 2 0 206 171 324 49%	140 166 0 166 147 156 42%	7 6 0 6 2 9 78%	633 840 0 840 629 829 47%	272 276 1 0 277 290 260 29%	73 118 0 118 115 68 46%	18 19 0 19 25 12 50%	84 6 0 6 20 74 97%	67 48 0 48 62 52 35%	25 195 0 195 199 22 36%	489 859 0 859 854 502 35%
6th	Moultrie	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+ 35 29 12 0 +1 13 14 28 64% -1	+16 10 9 0 -1 8 6 12 75% +2	+2 3 0 +1 1 3 1 0 -2	$ \begin{array}{r} +196 \\ 14 \\ 52 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 52 \\ 47 \\ 19 \\ 42\% \\ +5 \\ \end{array} $	-12 23 16 0 0 16 11 28 64% +5	-5 5 10 1 0 11 7 9 44% +4	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-10 45 1 0 0 1 0 46 98% +1	-15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+13 28 74 0 0 74 72 30 33% +2
6th	Piatt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	16 8 0 8 12 10 30% -6	5 17 0 0 17 15 9 33% +4	2 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 100% -1	16 34 1 0 35 33 19 42% + 3	8 13 0 0 13 5 16 31% +8	5 10 0 10 11 4 25% -1	0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	4 6 0 6 2 8 50% +4	0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0		42 97 0 97 76 63 40% + 21

Circuit	6th	6th	6th	61	61	
County	Champaign	DeWitt	Douglas	Macon		Moultrie
	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of	Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	% Pending More Than 12 mos
Total	8,021 33,181 117 0 33,298 31,377 8,721 53%	+ 700 440 4,372 20 0 4,392 4,322 480 36%	+ 40 736 4,323 0 0 4,323 4,170 760 54% + 30	6,376 33,002 4 0 33,006	30,440 7,100 52% +724	7,100 52%
Conservation Violations		 241 0 241 173 	29 0 0 29 20 	 43 0 0 43	57 — —	-
Traffic Violations	21,713 0 21,713 20,389 	 2,982 0 0 2,982 3,016 		22,756 0 0 22,756	21,395 	21,395 — —
Ordinance Violations	1,786 0 1,786 1,889 —				808 - -	808 —
Probate	1,920 462 0 462 223 2,159 81%	+ 239 220 110 1 0 111 82 249 61%	+ 29 250 94 0 0 94 134 208 61% - 42	1,667 461 0 0 461	388 1,719 77% +52	388 1,719 77%
Small Claims	3,139 4,334 51 0 4,385 4,354 3,170 52%	+ 31 64 263 7 0 270 298 36 0	- 28 134 267 0 0 267 201 185 62% + 51	1,490 2,676 0 0 2,676	2,332 1,782 47% + 292	2,332 1,782 47%
Misdemeanors	237 1,008 17 0 1,025 949 312 0.3%	+75 38 265 1 +14 280 269 49 0	+11 71 185 0 +16 201 221 51 51 2% -20	412 1,867 0 + 165	2,032 1,797 627 27% + 215	1,797 627 27%
Felony	210 648 25 0 673 641 243 0.4%	+ 33 19 75 0 -14 61 48 27 4%	+8 33 64 0 -16 48 63 18 0 -15	205 509 1 - 165	345 437 124 2% - 81	437 124 2%
Juvenile	74 253 0 253 131 196 28%	+ 122 11 63 0 0 63 67 7 0	-4 12 8 0 0 8 3 16 69% +4	129 328 0 0	328 330 136 21% +7	328 330 136 21%
Family	528 628 8 0 636 609 555 45%	+27 14 34 0 0 34 39 12 17%	- 2 38 53 0 0 53 37 47 45% +9	376 426 0 0	426 382 404 59% +28	426 382 404 59%

								1	1	1			
				Over 5,000	Law \$1 or I	615,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	_ 1	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissoli Mar
6th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	844 585 12 + 25 622 539 928	391 391 2 - 25 368 342 405	$ \begin{array}{r} 41\\ 18\\ 0\\ +11\\ 29\\ 26\\ 40\\ \end{array} $	1,104 1,474 10 -11 1,473 1,214 1,348	534 527 1 0 528 539 514	210 289 1 0 290 295 196	40 33 7 0 40 41 39	139 27 0 27 31 139	68 49 0 49 63 53	58 275 0 0 275 262 72	1,144 2,244 0 0 2,244 2,100 1,298
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	45% +84	47% +14	50% - 1	49% +244	39% - 20	52% -14	67% -1	90% 0	36% 	57% +14	35% +154
7th	Greene	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	9 0 0 0 6 5 20%	16 57 0 57 27 46 13%	1 0 0 0 1 0 0	17 26 0 26 23 20 20%	21 28 0 28 33 16 13%	1 18 0 18 19 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 7 0 7 13 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 0 4 4 4 0	34 93 0 93 71 56 2%
	<u> </u>	Inventory (+ or –)	- 4	+ 30	-1	+ 3	- 5	-1	0	-6	0	0	+ 22
7th	Jersey	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	40 36 0 36 29 47 40%	18 35 0 0 35 14 39 18%	1 6 0 6 1 6 33%	32 78 0 78 54 36	17 23 0 23 22 18 22%	7 31 0 31 15 17 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0	22 119 0 119 105 36 11%
	ļ!	Inventory (+ or -)	+ 7	+ 21	+ 5	+ 4	+1	+ 10	0	0	0	+1	+ 14
7th	Macoupin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	74 47 0 47 35 82 56% +8	$ \begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 75 \\ 0 \\ 75 \\ 26 \\ 109 \\ 39\% \\ + 48 \\ \end{array} $	10 7 0 7 3 11 82% +1	173 143 0 0 143 50 254 61% +81	96 60 0 60 36 119 62% + 23	23 18 0 0 18 10 32 59% +9	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \end{array} $	29 17 0 0 17 8 41 59% +12	12 2 0 2 0 13 92% +1	9 1 0 1 0 4 75% -5	$ \begin{array}{r} 143\\284\\0\\0\\284\\226\\179\\49\%\\+36\end{array} $
7th	Morgan	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	$55 \\ 35 \\ 0 \\ +7 \\ 42 \\ 48 \\ 49$	26 40 0 -7 33 38 21	0 1 0 +4 5 3 2	40 161 0 - 4 157 140 57	32 41 0 0 41 44 29	10 36 0 36 41 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 2 0 0 2 20 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 13 0 0 13 13 0	63 214 0 0 214 235 42
		Than 12 mos	37% -6	33% -5	50% +2	5% +17	28% - 3	40% - 5	0	0 - 18	0 0	0	5% - 21
7th	Sangamon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	649 322 0 322 259 712	603 227 0 227 116 714	25 17 1 0 18 14 33	843 1,503 13 0 1,516 1,471 872	753 289 0 289 355 687	443 322 0 0 322 228 537	13 8 0 8 8 13	136 21 0 0 21 14 143	3 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 286 0 286 286 286 0	552 1,183 1 0 1,184 1,164 428
_		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	59% +63	72% +111	55% +8	46% + 29	68% - 66	62% +94	92% 0	90% +7	100% 0	0 0	14%

Circuit	County			Total	Conservation Violations Total	Traffic Violations Conservation Violations Total	Ordinance Violations Traffic Violations Violations Total	Probate Ordinance Violations Violations Conservation Violations Total	Small Claims Probate Violations Violations Violations Conservation Violations Total	Misdemeanors Small Claims Probate Violations Violations Violations Traffic Violations Total Total	Felony Misdemeanors Small Claims Claims Probate Violations Violations Violations Traffic Violations Total Total	Juvenile Felony Misdemeanors Small Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Conservation Violations Violations Traffic Violations Traffic Total Total
6th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos		16,460 79,962 153 0 80,115 75,124 18,055 51%	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
7th	Greene	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	59 10 0 10 94 34 49%	2,7 2,7 2,4 5	- 5 31 2,7 0 0 31 2,7 22 2,4 - 5 -	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
7th	Jersey	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)		-25 703 4,424 0 0 4,424 4,069 825 45% +122	$\begin{array}{c ccc} - & 703 \\ 200 & 4,424 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 200 & 4,424 \\ 192 & 4,069 \\ - & 825 \\ - & 45\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
7th	Macoupin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)		2,734 7,978 0 0 7,978 7,055 3,040 62% + 306	$\begin{array}{cccc} - & 2,734 \\ 43 & 7,978 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 43 & 7,978 \\ 40 & 7,055 \\ - & 3,040 \\ - & & 62\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
7th	Morgan	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,882 23 6,289 0 0 16 0 0 0 3,882 23 6,305 3,747 26 6,221 - - 907 - - 45%	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Sangamon	Pending at Start Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	6	12,142 53,674 130 0 53,804 49,902 12,345 52% + 203	- 12,142 71 53,674 0 130 0 0 71 53,804 63 49,902 - 12,345 - 529	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	, ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ıt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ 1	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolt Mari
7th	Scott	Pending at Start Filed	7 1 0 1 0 8 8 88%	9 12 0 12 13 8 50%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 12 0 12 10 10 70%	3 1 0 0 1 4 0 0	0 4 0 4 1 3 0	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	2 2 0 2 2 2 2 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	10 25 0 0 25 24 11 27%
7th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	+1 834 441 0 +7 448 377 903	-1 733 446 0 -7 439 234 937	0 37 31 +4 36 22 52	+ 2 1,113 1,923 13 - 4 1,932 1,748 1,249	-3 922 442 0 0 442 494 869	+ 3 484 429 0 0 429 314 594	+ 2 13 11 0 0 11 8 17	0 191 49 0 0 49 57 186	0 15 2 0 0 2 0 16	+1 9 307 0 0 307 304 6	+1 824 1,918 1 0 1,919 1,825 752
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	56% +69	62% + 204	58% +15	46% +136	64% - 53	59% +110	71% +4	84% - 5	94% +1	50% - 3	21% -72
8th	Adams	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	115 77 3 +3 83 80 118 41%	42 55 0 -3 52 52 42 26%	12 5 0 +10 15 13 12 17%	108 187 2 -10 179 183 106 38%	34 77 1 0 78 49 63 14%	13 86 1 0 87 79 21 29%	2 0 0 0 1 1 1 100%	12 3 1 0 4 12 4 75%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 49 0 49 23 31 16%	177 440 21 0 461 476 162 27%
8th	Brown	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	+3 4 5 0 +1 6 3 6 50%	0 3 13 0 -1 12 8 8 8 25%	0 2 0 +1 1 2 1 0	-2 24 36 0 -1 35 41 18 28%	+29 19 19 0 0 19 17 21 38%	+8 3 10 0 0 10 9 4 0	-1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+26 3 1 0 0 1 3 1 0	-15 13 22 0 0 22 26 9 0 0
8th	Calhoun	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+2 11 4 0 +3 7 10 8 38% +3	+5 7 10 0 -3 7 7 7 7 7 7 14% 0	-1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	-6 5 7 0 0 7 11 1 0 -4	+2 5 5 0 0 5 4 6 17% +1	+1 2 7 0 0 7 6 3 33% +1	-1 1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	-1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	-2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-4 5 13 0 0 13 11 7 29% +2
8th	Cass compression	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	26 19 0 19 7 38 50%	13 19 0 19 21 11 18%	1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0	19 42 0 42 41 20 40% +1	26 12 0 0 12 22 16 31% -10	10 12 0 0 12 12 12 10 20% 0		4 3 0 3 4 3 67%		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 85 0 85 71 30 20%

Juvenile Felony	Felony		Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	3 6 0 6 6 3 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 12 \\ 3 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ +9 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 51 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \\ 53 \\ 54 \\ 15 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ \end{array} $	13 65 0 0 65 60 10 40% - 3	72 42 0 0 42 24 80 63% +8		 0 0 655 570 	8 0 0 8 4 	151 911 0 911 780 175 44% + 24	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Scott	7th
01 57 0 0 57 04 52 %	449 484 1 0 485 297 607 52% +158	478 1,180 40 - 243 977 869 576 13% + 98	941 3,089 63 + 243 3,395 3,255 1,000 32% + 59	3,875 10,582 26 0 10,608 10,306 3,897 33% + 22	4,441 1,281 0 1,281 1,050 4,713 77% + 272				17,260 75,986 146 0 76,132 70,521 17,826 53% + 566	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	7th
26 (89) 4 0 (993) (25) 94 (%) 68	36 147 0 147 143 40 33% +4	153 302 1 - 91 212 241 124 15% - 29	272 611 0 +91 702 684 290 58% +18	340 1,671 0 1,671 1,478 533 22% + 193	671 336 6 0 342 281 732 61% +61		- 7,811 0 7,811 7,273 - -		2,118 14,054 40 14,094 13,273 2,473 42% + 355	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Adams	8th
	6 10 0 10 6 10 10% +4	20 47 1 -5 43 30 33 24% +13	47 81 0 +5 86 107 26 35% -21	53 78 26 0 104 99 58 33% + 5	105 36 1 0 37 47 95 62% -10	12 0 12 14 -		 0 0 22 15 	308 1,473 28 1,501 1,460 295 40% 13	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Brown	8th
3 4 0 0 4 5 2 $0%$ -1	3 7 0 7 8 2 50% - 1	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 31 \\ 2 \\ -3 \\ 30 \\ 27 \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ +3 \\ \end{array} $	20 93 1 +3 97 97 20 5% 0	8 44 7 0 51 41 18 28% +10	66 31 4 0 35 35 66 26%				150 795 14 0 809 743 157 37% +7	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Calhoun	8th
7 70 0 70 59 18 6% -11	12 22 0 0 22 32 2 2 0 -10	25 62 0 -5 57 55 27 7% +2	45 244 0 +5 249 258 36 6% -9	50 332 0 0 332 296 86 16% + 36	156 64 0 0 64 53 167 68% +11	6 14 0 0 14 12 8 0 +2	148 1,199 0 0 1,199 1,131 216 0 + 68	2 29 0 0 29 22 9 0 +7	+ 7 566 2,229 0 0 2,229 2,097 698 38% + 132	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Cass	8th

		Over ,000	and the second sec	15,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ninent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
County	Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Char	Misc Re	Eminent Domai	Tax	Mun Cc	Meni He	Disse M.
n Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	35 25 0 +1 26 30 31 42%	14 16 0 -1 15 16 13 31%	1 2 0 2 2 1	22 46 0 46 47 21 29%	43 48 0 0 48 56 35 20%	9 62 0 62 64 7 43%	0 1 0 1 1 0	5 4 0 4 9 0	2 0 0 0 0 2 100%	1 0 0 0 1 0	31 88 1 0 89 89 31 29%
I han 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	-4	-1	0	-1	-8	-2	0	- 5	0	-1	-
rd Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	9 6 0 +1 7 3 9 33%	3 2 0 -1 1 6 2 100%	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	7 19 0 -1 18 15 11 9%	11 14 0 14 7 18 50%	2 11 0 11 9 4 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 1 1 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 40 0 40 30 20 5%
Inventory (+ or –)	0	-1	-1	+ 4	+ 7	+ 2	0	0	0	0	+ 10
Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	31 13 0 13 12 32 59% +1	30 29 0 29 34 25 52% - 5	7 0 0 0 1 6 100% -1	35 53 0 53 57 31 29% -4	$ \begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 25 \\ 40 \\ 50\% \\ + 6 \end{array} $	30 26 0 26 34 22 45% -8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2 5 0 5 5 2 50% 0	10 9 0 9 12 7 86% - 3	39 91 0 91 95 35 29% - 4
yler Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	10 4 1 +1 6 6 10 60% 0	4 8 0 -1 7 5 6 33% +2	0 1 0 +2 3 1 1 1 0 +1	6 16 0 -2 14 12 9 22% +3	13 13 0 0 13 9 17 35% +4	2 1 0 1 3 0 -2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 48 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 48 \\ 46 \\ 13 \\ 31\% \\ +2 \end{array} $
it Total Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	241 153 4 +10 167 151 252 45% +11	$ \begin{array}{r} 116\\ 152\\ 0\\ -10\\ 142\\ 129\\ 95\\ 40\%\\ -21\\ \end{array} $	25 9 0 +14 23 22 22 36% -3	226 406 2 14 394 407 217 32% 9	185 219 1 0 220 189 216 34% + 31	71 215 1 0 216 216 71 31% 0	4 1 0 1 3 3 67% -1	29 22 1 0 23 35 17 41% -12	5 6 0 6 7 4 75% -1	$ \begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 59 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 59 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 31\% \\ + 20 \\ \end{array} $	302 827 22 0 849 844 307 25% + 5
n Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	36 37 0 0 37 34 39	13 33 0 0 33 29 17	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2	23 124 0 0 124 120 27	40 78 0 78 70 48	9 34 0 0 34 34 34 9	0 1 0 1 1 0	28 23 0 23 33 18	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	1 5 0 5 6 0	52 248 0 0 248 272 28 0
D Pe % Th	isposed of ending at End	isposed of	isposed of 34 29 ending at End 39 17 Pending More 33% 12%	isposed of 34 29 2 ending at End 39 17 2 Pending More 33% 12% 0	isposed of 34 29 2 120 ending at End 39 17 2 27 Pending More 33% 12% 0 15%	isposed of	isposed of 34 29 2 120 70 34 ending at End 39 17 2 27 48 9 Pending More 33% 12% 0 15% 6% 11%	isposed of 34 29 2 120 70 34 1 ending at End 39 17 2 27 48 9 0 Pending More 33% 12% 0 15% 6% 11% 0	isposed of	isposed of 34 29 2 120 70 34 1 33 1 ending at End 39 17 2 27 48 9 0 18 0 Pending More 33% 12% 0 15% 6% 11% 0 67% 0	isposed of 34 29 2 120 70 34 1 33 1 6 ending at End 39 17 2 27 48 9 0 18 0 0 Pending More 33% 12% 0 15% 6% 11% 0 67% 0 0

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Circuit	son 8th	ard 8th	ike 8th		vler 8th	
663	County	Mason	Menard	Pike	Schuyler	Circuit Total	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total	3,639 3 0 3,642 3,484 650 42%	1,487 1 0 1,488 1,413 335 41%	3,297 0 0 3,297	301 1,355 3 0 1,358 1,299 284 55% - 17	5,381	28,329 89 0 28,418 26,927 6,872 45% + 1491
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Conservation Violations	46 0 46 36 	21 0 21 20 -	64 0 0 64	 60 0 60 49 		463 0 463 339
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Traffic Violations	2,489 0 2,489 2,329 —	941 0 941 927 -	1,977 0 0 1,977	900 0 900 832 		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ordinance Violations	12 0 12 11 -	2 0 2 3 	104 0 0 104	2 0 0 2 5 		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Probate	112 0 112 84 274 64%	59 0 59 51 135 61%	122 0 0 122	120 46 1 0 47 45 122 69% +2		2,169 806 12 0 818 668 2,319 69% + 150
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Small Claims	208 0 208 195 57 12%	237 0 237 200 102 37%	412 0 0 412	38 79 1 0 80 105 13 15% - 25		657 3,061 34 0 3,095 2,791 961 24% + 322
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Misdemeanors	250 1 + 22 273 293 68 35%	44 1 +4 49 60 5 0	158 0 0 158	52 112 0 +1 113 115 50 40% -2		639 1,593 3 + 131 1,727 1,781 585 45% - 54
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} & & & & & \\ \hline 555 & 27 & & \\ 73 & 60 & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ 73 & 60 & & \\ 86 & 59 & & \\ 42 & 28 & & \\ 26\% & 11\% & & \\ -13 & +1 & & \\ \hline 226\% & 11\% & & \\ -13 & +1 & & \\ \hline 226\% & 11\% & & \\ -13 & +1 & & \\ \hline 226\% & 11\% & & \\ -13 & 21\% & 12 & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ -13 & 21\% & 12 & \\ \hline 38 & 21 & & \\ 12 & 5 & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ -13 & 21\% & 12 & \\ \hline 21 & 12 & & \\ 68 & 53 & \\ 0 & 0 & \\ -13 & 20\% & & $	Felony	97 1 - 22 76 76 40 25%	27 0 - 4 23 21 11	74 0 0 74	13 19 0 -1 18 17 14 64% +1		340 659 5 - 131 533 551 322 21% - 18
55730073864226%-1384200423812042381200+42168006857	Juvenile	60 0 60 59 28 11%	21 0 21 21 5 20%	53 0 0 53	2 25 0 25 26 1 0 -1		103 345 0 345 352 119 18% +16
	Family	73 0 0 73 86 42 26%	42 0 0 42 38 12 0	68 0 0 68	27 19 0 19 20 26 38% -1		250 569 4 0 573 493 330 44% + 80

								-					
			Law \$15,		Law \$ or		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Char	Misc Re	Emir Do	Tax	Mun C	Men	Disse M
9th	Hancock	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	23 13 0 13 12 26	22 15 0 15 21 16	$2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ +3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 5$	39 55 0 - 3 52 58 31	33 31 0 31 23 43	9 22 0 0 22 19 12	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	50 130 0 130 130 50
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	54% +3	69% -6	40% + 3	42% -8	42% +10	50% + 3	0 0	67% +1	0 0	0 0	28% 0
9th	Henderson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	6 7 0 7 4 9 33% +3	6 4 0 4 3 7 57% +1	3 1 0 0 1 3 1 100% -2	16 19 0 19 19 16 69% 0	9 12 0 12 12 12 9 33% 0	5 6 0 6 8 3 0 -2	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 33\% \\ + 2 \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 35 0 35 36 16 56% -1
9th	Knox	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	110 64 2 +6 72 63 119 54% +9	34 33 0 -6 27 17 44 50% +10	7 17 1 +7 25 11 21 79% +14	143 268 0 -7 261 229 175 50% +32	76 149 0 149 97 128 40% +52	55 124 9 0 133 107 81 48% + 26		48 39 0 0 39 38 49 92% +1		32 55 0 0 55 69 18 0 -14	191 452 7 0 459 425 225 49% + 34
9th	McDonough	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	25 19 0 19 18 26 46% +1	23 55 0 0 55 45 33 21% +10	2 4 0 4 4 2 50% 0	65 79 0 79 111 33 18% - 32	42 51 0 51 52 42 17% 0	26 56 0 0 56 63 18 22% -8	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	4 5 0 5 5 4 25% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	69 167 0 167 168 68 18% -1
9th	Warren	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	36 12 0 12 16 32 66% -4	10 25 0 25 14 21 33% +11	1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0	23 66 0 66 56 33 30% +10	25 33 0 0 33 27 31 23% +6	7 16 0 0 16 19 4 50% -3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 21 0 0 21 12 12 12 8% +9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	36 98 0 98 98 36 25% 0
9th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	236 152 2 +6 160 147 251 32% +15	108 165 0 -6 159 129 138 40% +30	17 25 1 +10 36 23 32 45% +15	309 611 0 10 601 593 315 37% +6	225 354 0 0 354 281 301 27% +76	111 258 9 0 267 250 127 30% +16	0 3 0 3 3 0 	86 93 0 93 90 90 49% + 4	1 0 0 0 1 0 - 1 -	33 62 0 0 62 76 19 0 -14	415 1,130 7 0 1,137 1,129 423 29% +8

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
9th	Hancock	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	832 3,192 6 0 3,198 3,042 927 54%		2,000 0 2,000 1,953 —		424 129 0 129 87 466 77%	91 305 0 305 291 105 29%	69 223 2 + 34 259 261 67 21%	34 109 4 - 34 79 78 35 0	6 37 0 37 15 28 7%	28 45 0 45 33 40 38%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 95	_	-	_	+ 42	+14	-2	+1	+ 22	+12
9th	Henderson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	311 1,639 0 1,639 1,642 357 53%		1,116 0 1,116 1,170 —		164 43 0 43 34 171 78%	19 128 0 128 104 43 30%	$21 \\ 106 \\ 0 \\ +15 \\ 121 \\ 111 \\ 32 \\ 0$	18 37 0 - 15 22 25 14 7%	9 17 0 17 14 12 33%	17 27 0 27 23 21 19%
0.1		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 46	_	-	_	+ 7	+ 24	+11	- 4	+ 3	+4
9th	Knox	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2,953 13,263 34 0 13,297 13,120 3,168 30% + 215	46 0 46 49 	8,117 0 8,117 8,224 — —	1,257 0 1,257 1,186 — —	1,470 270 8 0 278 243 1,505 52% +35	334 1,129 3 0 1,132 1,006 460 67% +126	243 855 0 + 8 863 968 138 59% - 105	83 198 3 - 8 193 199 77 5% - 6	$ \begin{array}{r} 10\\ 68\\ 0\\ 68\\ 63\\ 15\\ 33\%\\ +5\\ \end{array} $	116 122 1 0 123 126 113 56% - 3
9th	McDonough	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,031 7,811 1 0 7,812 7,647 1,030 23% - 1				259 146 0 146 155 250 53% - 9	220 657 0 667 664 213 6% - 7	155 578 0 +23 601 575 181 10% +26	75 163 1 - 23 141 167 49 8% - 26	20 28 0 28 32 16 6% - 4	46 196 0 196 147 95 4% + 49
9th	Warren	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	667 4,289 0 4,289 4,350 651 37%		2,717 0 2,717 2,745 —		235 98 0 98 106 227 65%	88 509 0 509 468 129 17%	89 343 0 + 35 378 412 55 5%	73 81 0 - 35 46 95 24 0	12 72 0 72 65 19 5%	29 58 0 58 61 26 35%
9th	Ciante Tatal	Banding at Start	+ 16	-	-	-	- 8	+ 41	- 34	- 49	+ 7	-3
9th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	7,025 36,212 41 0 36,253 35,832 7,018 39% -7	280 0 280 312 	21,994 0 21,994 21,819 —	2,730 0 2,730 2,561 —	3,299 886 8 0 894 1,131 3,010 57% - 289	893 3,407 3 0 3,410 3,181 1,120 27% + 227	606 2,457 2 + 115 2,574 2,677 509 17% - 97	346 703 8 - 115 596 705 229 5% - 117	79 288 0 288 191 111 16% + 32	261 614 1 0 615 533 343 28% + 82

			Law \$15		Law \$ or	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	u lith	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissol Mai
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	26 17 0 + 3 20 13 33	2 12 0 -2 10 9 3	4 1 0 +1 0 1 1	18 22 0 0 22 30 12	20 33 0 0 33 30 23	7 18 0 18 20 5	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 0 5 3 3	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	15 69 0 69 67 17
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	38% +7	50% +1	100% - 3	38% -6	40% + 3	$-\frac{0}{2}$	0 0	0 + 2	0 +1	0 0	33% +2
10th	Peoria	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$861 \\ 527 \\ 41 \\ +52 \\ 620 \\ 538 \\ 943 \\ 50\% \\ +82 \\$	192 246 22 - 52 216 200 208 42% + 16	75 28 9 +30 67 66 76 54% +1	431 898 41 - 30 909 939 401 13% - 30	377 610 8 0 618 644 351 18% - 26	170 256 14 0 270 243 197 47% + 27	29 10 7 0 17 15 31 94% + 2	119 84 4 0 88 85 122 70% + 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 502 0 502 509 12 42% -7	468 1,256 23 0 1,279 1,225 522 13% + 54
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	13 7 0 7 4 16 20% + 3	5 4 0 4 3 6 33% +1	$3 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1$	11 15 0 -1 14 16 9 55% -2	17 4 0 4 10 11 35% -6	3 2 0 2 2 3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 4 0 4 3 20 50% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 22 0 0 22 24 18 20% - 2
10th	Stark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	0 5 0 5 1 4 0 +4	1 7 0 7 4 4 4 50% + 3	0 1 0 +1 2 1 0 0 0	9 16 0 -1 15 16 9 50% 0	19 11 1 0 12 13 17 33% -2	8 5 0 5 10 3 37% -5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 6 0 6 3 15 60% +3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 28 0 28 31 6 44% - 3
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	299 161 8 +18 187 193 293 47% -6	63 95 1 - 15 81 92 52 35% - 11	23 7 0 +8 15 12 26 69% +3	183 326 1 -11 316 352 147 22% -36	224 344 4 0 348 335 237 28% +13	90 103 0 103 108 85 45% -5	3 6 0 6 4 5 40% + 2	70 30 0 30 31 69 96% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	219 779 0 779 808 192 9% - 27
10th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,199 717 49 +73 839 749 1,289 31% +90	263 364 23 - 69 318 308 273 42% + 10	105 37 9 + 39 85 82 105 45% 0	652 1,277 42 - 43 1,276 1,353 578 36% - 74	657 1,002 13 0 1,015 1,032 639 31% - 18	278 384 14 0 398 383 293 26% +15	32 16 7 0 23 19 36 27% + 4	221 129 4 0 133 125 229 55% + 8	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	19 502 0 0 502 509 12 8% -7	731 2,154 23 0 2,177 2,155 755 24% + 24

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	414 2,288 6 0 2,294 2,226 463 40% + 49				163 82 1 0 83 89 157 50% - 6	81 370 0 370 327 124 14% + 43	24 104 3 +2 109 118 15 20% -9	9 27 2 -2 27 20 16 0 +7	19 15 0 15 19 15 47% -4	25 30 0 30 17 38 50% +13
10th	Peoria	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	10,919 55,232 367 0 55,599 56,577 10,923 50% + 4	125 0 0 125 109 		3,780 0 3,780 3,710 	4,094 742 0 742 480 4,356 34% + 262	2,642 7,737 157 0 7,894 8,807 1,729 8% -913	575 2,528 0 +61 2,589 2,724 538 27% - 37	274 652 4 -61 595 732 154 8% -120	323 365 31 0 396 360 359 59% + 36	270 1,489 6 0 1,495 841 924 10% +654
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	201 570 0 570 643 168 36% - 33	- 31 0 31 35 -			72 27 0 0 27 42 57 39% - 15	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 41 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 41 \\ 48 \\ 10 \\ 50\% \\ -7 \\ \end{array} $	9 25 0 0 25 29 5 40% - 4	3 13 0 0 13 15 1 0 -2	4 2 0 2 3 3 3 % -1	5 13 0 13 11 7 43% +2
10th	Stark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	229 650 1 0 651 680 200 37% - 29	23 0 0 23 25 	351 0 351 350 	- 11 0 11 11 - -	113 33 0 0 33 54 92 57% - 21	17 73 0 0 73 80 10 24% -7	15 47 0 +8 55 45 25 27% +10	10 14 0 -8 6 14 2 0 -8	11 4 0 4 8 7 51% - 4	5 15 0 15 14 6 20% +1
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	4,971 21,850 167 0 22,017 21,951 3,943 58% -1,028				2,060 391 1 0 392 481 1,971 80% - 89	533 1,523 1 0 1,524 1,646 411 4% - 122	337 500 89 + 63 652 735 254 15% - 83	118 234 4 -63 175 195 98 3% -20	125 186 0 0 186 208 103 50% -22	624 473 0 473 414 683 79% - 59
10th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	16,734 80,590 541 0 81,131 82,077 16,410 44% - 324	303 6 0 309 308 	50,801 0 50,801 51,511 -	5,148 52 0 5,200 4,967 — —	6,502 1,275 2 0 1,277 1,146 6,663 52% +131	3,290 9,744 158 0 9,902 10,908 2,284 20% -1,006	960 3,204 92 + 134 3,430 3,651 837 26% - 123	414 940 10 -134 816 976 271 2% +143	482 572 31 0 603 598 487 48% + 5	929 2,020 6 0 2,026 1,297 1,658 40% +729

				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ıt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ 1	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolt Mar
11th	Ford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	29 21 0 +4 25 18 36	24 14 0 -4 10 8 26	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array} $	32 35 0 -1 34 35 31	16 27 0 27 24 19	9 20 0 20 18 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 2 0 0 2 3 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 1 1	24 66 0 0 66 55 35
		Than 12 mos	42% +7	48% +2	0 +1	23% -1	42% + 3	0 + 2	-0	50% - 1	0	0 0	34% +11
11th	Livingston	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	61 39 4 +6 49 33 76 24%	23 31 -6 26 27 23 27%	3 5 0 +3 8 2 12 0	35 101 2 -3 100 100 34 18%	43 49 0 49 29 63 57%	66 56 1 0 57 86 37 41%	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 4 0 4 1 6 0	4 3 0 3 7 0	0 4 0 4 1 3 0	157 225 0 225 273 109 31%
11th	Logan	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	+15	0 23	+9	-1 22	+ 20	- 29 20	0	+6	-4	+ 3	- 48 104
	LOgan	Filed Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	62 36 0 36 25 73 54% +11	23 20 0 20 11 32 46% +9	2 3 0 3 2 3 3 33% +1	22 61 0 61 54 29 38% +7	55 26 0 26 21 60 50% + 5	20 40 0 40 44 16 $38%$ -4	3 0 0 0 0 3 33% 0	3 3 0 3 2 4 50% +1	3 2 0 2 0 5 40% +2	0 1 0 1 1 0 -	104 204 0 204 185 123 10% + 19
11th	McLean	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	425 207 9 + 24 240 209 440 53% + 15	$ \begin{array}{r} 121\\ 158\\ 5\\ -23\\ 140\\ 128\\ 148\\ 46\%\\ +27\\ \end{array} $	43 12 1 +8 21 34 30 63% -13	175 450 90 -9 531 455 251 30% +76	199 180 1 0 181 126 254 52% + 55	45 118 3 0 121 107 59 32% +14	13 7 1 0 8 8 13 46% 0	15 5 0 5 4 16 69% +1	1 1 0 1 1 1 100% 0	0 46 0 46 46 46 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 175 \\ 662 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 672 \\ 673 \\ 199 \\ 2\% \\ + 24 \end{array} $
11th	Woodford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	44 24 0 0 24 33 35 32%	+ 27 14 19 0 0 19 18 15 53%	- 13 3 1 0 0 1 4 0 0	+76 17 53 0 0 53 51 19 5%	+ 35 36 59 0 0 59 38 57 19%	+ 14 3 13 0 0 13 14 2 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 0	+ 1 4 5 0 0 5 7 2 0	4 3 0 0 3 5 2 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 24 29 90 0 90 84 35 11%
14.1	Circuit Tatal	Inventory (+ or -)	-9	+1	- 3	+ 2	+ 21	-1	0	- 2	- 2	0	+ 6
11th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	621 327 13 + 34 374 318 660	205 242 6 - 33 215 192 244	52 21 1 +12 34 42 47	281 700 92 	349 341 1 0 342 238 453	143 247 4 0 251 269 126	17 8 1 0 9 9 17	27 19 0 19 17 32	12 9 0 9 13 8	1 53 0 0 53 50 4	489 1,247 10 0 1,257 1,270 501
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	20% + 39	44% + 39	48% - 5	21% +83	40% +104	22% - 17	35% 0	47% +5	25% -4	0 + 3	17% +12

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
11th	Ford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	604 2,371 0 2,371 2,289 599 36% -5	5 0 5 17 		53 0 0 53 54 	252 90 0 90 66 276 32% + 24	115 282 0 282 326 71 25% - 44	41 160 0 +4 164 171 35 17% -6	8 38 0 -4 34 35 6 33% -2	14 35 0 0 35 39 10 0 - 4	33 38 0 0 38 35 36 50% +3
11th	Livingston	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,835 8,478 38 0 8,516 8,022 1,903 32% + 68	29 0 29 21 	5,892 0 5,892 5,522 — —		993 214 0 214 187 1,020 63% + 27	110 782 21 0 803 789 125 16% +15	984670+ 39506442162149+ 64	68 227 9 - 39 197 182 83 10% + 15	46 92 0 92 104 37 32% - 9	127 88 0 88 103 112 29% -15
11th	Logan	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,525 9,729 0 9,729 7,877 1,654 36% + 129		8,223 0 8,223 6,516 -	20 0 20 20 	1,017 143 0 143 141 1,019 49% +2	69 445 0 0 445 417 97 40% + 28	$ \begin{array}{r} 60\\ 243\\ 0\\ +4\\ 247\\ 238\\ 69\\ 14\%\\ +9\\ \end{array} $	26 68 0 4 64 55 35 9% +9	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 41 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 41 \\ 39 \\ 15 \\ 54\% \\ +2 \\ \end{array} $	43 115 0 115 87 71 23% +28
11th	McLean	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	4,278 35,876 1,985 0 37,861 35,298 4,328 35% + 50	 73 4 0 77 88 	26,385 1,205 0 27,590 25,098 	1,166 13 0 1,179 1,127 —	1,109 630 0 630 646 1,093 70% - 16	592 3,053 408 0 3,461 3,487 566 4% - 26	855 1,693 124 + 19 1,836 2,008 683 7% - 172	291 505 106 - 19 592 553 332 12% + 41	51 204 1 0 205 205 48 6% - 3	168 321 4 0 325 295 195 34% + 27
11th	Woodford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	521 4,665 5 0 4,670 4,239 319 20%		3,614 3 0 3,617 3,196 —		247 127 0 127 167 0 40%	35 217 0 217 202 50 0	36 238 2 + 11 251 248 39 5%	17 86 0 -11 75 57 35 0	16 38 0 38 40 14 21%	16 47 0 47 49 14 7%
11th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	- 202 8,763 61,119 2,028 0 63,147 57,725 8,804 27% + 41		45,598 1,208 0 46,806 41,716 		- 247 3,618 1,204 0 0 1,204 1,207 3,408 60% - 210	+ 15 921 4,779 429 0 5,208 5,221 909 10% - 12	+ 3 1,090 2,801 126 + 77 3,004 3,107 988 4% - 102	+18 410 924 115 -77 962 882 491 8% +81	-2 140 410 1 0 411 427 124 18% -16	-2 387 609 4 0 613 569 428 36% + 41

				Over ,000	Law \$ or I	15,000 less	λι	Miscellaneous Remedy	it iain		Municipal Corporations	ţ	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolu Mari
12th	Iroquois	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	61 30 0 30 28 68 54%	26 27 0 27 17 36 53%	4 1 0 1 1 11 55%	49 92 0 92 67 63 46%	107 35 0 0 35 93 46 41%	47 23 0 23 14 56 80%	2 1 0 1 0 3 67%	4 3 0 3 2 5 60%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%		77 133 0 0 133 110 100 40%
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	54% +7	53% +10	55% +7	46% +14	-61	80% +9	+1	+1	0	0	40% +23
12th	Kankakee	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	331 155 0 + 44 199 127 360 48%	117 114 0 44 70 53 148 60%	$56 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ + 65 \\ 65 \\ 14 \\ 66 \\ 42\%$	190 662 0 - 65 597 693 111 18%	210 180 0 180 112 267 42%	108 186 0 186 162 80 32%	3 11 0 0 11 6 7 0	38 56 0 0 56 14 45 15%		4 80 0 80 83 1	395 577 0 0 577 457 448 20%
12th	Will	Inventory (+ or –)	+ 29	+ 31	+ 10	- 79 649	+ 57	- 28	+4	+ 7	0	-3	+ 53
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$552 \\ 42 \\ + 196 \\ 790 \\ 638 \\ 673 \\ 12\% \\ + 152$	473 10 - 196 287 170 291 6% + 117	$ \begin{array}{r} 22\\ 5\\ +69\\ 96\\ 83\\ 65\\ 42\%\\ +13\\ \end{array} $	2,755 55 - 64 2,746 2,803 592 12% - 57	865 30 0 895 974 588 18% - 79	571 6 0 577 479 220 14% + 98	34 1 0 35 16 33 6% +19	152 0 0 152 281 237 70% - 129	3 0 3 2 1 0 +1	159 0 159 160 0 	1,696 35 0 1,731 2,065 1,019 24% - 334
12th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	913 737 42 + 240 1,019 793 1,101 38% + 188	317 614 10 - 240 384 240 475 40% + 158	112 23 5 +134 162 98 142 46% +30	888 3,509 55 - 129 3,435 3,563 766 25% - 122	984 1,080 30 0 1,110 1,179 901 34% - 83	277 780 6 0 786 655 356 42% + 79	19 46 1 0 47 22 43 24% + 24	408 211 0 0 211 297 287 48% - 121	1 3 0 3 2 1 100% 0	5 239 0 0 239 243 1 0 -4	1,825 2,406 35 0 2,441 2,632 1,567 28% - 258
13th	Bureau	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	93 48 2 +7 57 51 99 42% +6	18 18 40 3 -6 37 38 17 24% -1	4 3 1 +2 6 4 6 17% +2	21 96 3 -2 97 89 29 21% +8	52 44 4 0 48 58 42 36% -10	11 46 0 46 46 11 36% 0	7 4 0 0 4 5 6 0 -1	11 11 11 0 0 11 17 5 0 -6	0 1 0 1 0 1 1 00% +1		71 194 1 0 195 204 62 32% - 9
13th	Grundy	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	$ \begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 42 \\ 0 \\ +9 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 101 \\ 62\% \end{array} $	53 37 0 -9 28 39 43 80%	$ \begin{array}{r} 30\\ 0\\ +2\\ 2\\ 30\\ 85\% \end{array} $	140 83 1 -2 82 67 48 84%	69 40 0 40 41 38 70%	28 25 0 0 25 25 29 70%	0 1 0 1 0 0 0	15 2 0 2 1 16 88%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 100%	96 235 1 0 236 232 100 26%

Circuit	12th	12th	12th	12th	13th	13th
County	Iroquois	Kankakee	·····Will	Circuit Total	Bureau	Grundy
	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated
Total	1,715 8,089 0 8,089 9,255 1,705 61% - 10	5,426 21,255 27 0 21,282 19,156 5,789 31% + 363	9,454 92,891 3,182 0 96,073 94,003 9,549 23% + 95	16,595 122,235 3,209 0 125,444 122,414 17,039 38% + 444	1,517 8,154 52 0 8,206 8,088 1,598 32% + 81	1,517 5,920 2
Conservation Violations		412 0 412 375 - -	268 4 0 272 294 —	- 713 4 0 717 696 -	 77 0 77 89 	
Traffic Violations	 6,459 0 6,459 7,616 				5,870 13 0 5,883 5,836 — —	
Ordinance Violations	19 0 19 9 		5,303 29 0 5,332 5,104 —			— 118 0
Probate	627 164 0 164 196 630 779% + 3	2,432 434 0 434 206 2,575 76% +143	2,059 501 0 501 351 2,209 72% + 150	5,118 1,099 0 1,099 753 5,414 75% + 296	981 205 2 0 207 163 1,025 20% + 44	323 109 0
Small Claims	186 494 0 0 494 441 239 51% +53	496 2,231 0 2,231 2,632 446 12% - 50	1,484 6,517 198 - 5 6,710 6,551 1,643 8% + 159	2,166 9,242 198 -5 9,435 9,624 2,328 24% +162	42 689 10 -1 698 625 115 42% +73	104 234 0
Misdemeanors	233 383 0 +6 389 407 215 59% -18	365 1,299 0 + 38 1,337 1,232 436 22% + 71	589 2,473 0 2,473 2,376 686 2% +97	1,187 4,155 0 + 44 4,199 4,015 1,337 21% + 150	72 424 2 +6 432 419 75 27% +3	310 625 0
Felony	116 51 0 -6 45 69 69 42% -47	185 435 27 - 38 424 316 260 10% + 75	516 954 101 0 1,055 853 718 18% + 202	817 1,440 128 - 44 1,524 1,524 1,047 23% + 230	48 67 5 6 66 92 22 22 36% 26	44 67 0
Juvenile	35 46 0 46 65 16 25% - 19	120 206 0 206 78 34 35% - 86	486 336 21 0 357 594 249 38% - 237	641 588 21 0 609 737 299 33% - 342	50 88 2 0 90 94 46 43% -4	65 115 0
Family	140 95 0 95 93 147 82% +7	376 581 0 581 488 505 70% + 129	401 1,179 61 0 1,240 1,316 325 23% - 76	917 1,855 61 0 1,916 1,897 974 58% +57	36 114 4 0 118 117 37 29% +1	135 79 0

							1				1	1	
				Over ,000	Law \$ or	15,000 less	2	ineous	ain		unicipal Corporations	£	tion of age
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
13th	LaSalle	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	510 284 5 + 10 299 301 500	52 61 0 -9 52 59 53	34 16 3 +16 35 28 40	106 364 26 - 17 373 346 134	94 119 2 0 121 115 100	57 150 0 150 147 60	11 2 0 2 10 3	26 45 1 0 46 41 31	1 1 0 1 2 0	0 24 0 0 24 24 24 0	176 632 10 0 642 634 184
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	51% - 10	26% +1	50% +6	8% +28	28% +6	35% +3	100% - 8	13% +5	0 -1	0 0	11% +8
13th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	705 374 7 + 26 407 404 700 52%	123 138 3 - 24 117 136 113 43%	68 19 4 + 20 43 34 76 51%	267 543 30 - 21 552 502 211 38%	215 203 6 0 209 214 180 45%	96 221 0 221 218 100 47%	18 7 0 7 15 9 33%	52 58 1 0 59 59 59 52 34%	2 2 0 2 2 2 2 100%	2 26 0 26 26 26 2 100%	343 1,061 12 0 1,073 1,070 346 23%
		Inventory (+ or -)	-5	-10	+8	- 56	- 35	+4	-9	0	0	0	+3
14th	Henry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 45 \\ 0 \\ +7 \\ 52 \\ 35 \\ 118 \\ 62\% \\ +17 \\ \end{array} $	57 68 0 -7 61 57 61 52% +4	$9 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 60\% \\ - 4$	38 124 1 -2 123 104 56 20% +18		40 60 1 0 61 61 40 53% 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ + 3 \end{array} $	1 3 0 3 2 2 50% +1			77 225 1 0 226 224 79 42% + 2
14th	Mercer	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	32 9 0 0 9 14 33 76% +1	31 37 0 0 37 31 34 59% +3	5 1 0 0 1 3 4 75% -1	$ \begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 53 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 54 \\ 33 \\ 42 \\ 40\% \\ +21 \end{array} $	31 34 0 0 34 37 28 32% -3	6 14 0 0 14 18 2 50% -4	+ 3 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 - -1	0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 - 0		0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 -	+2 39 65 0 0 65 58 33 48% -6
14th	Rock Island	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	507 249 15 +11 275 265 513 58% +6	178 154 2 -11 145 147 180 52% +2	38 9 6 + 21 36 23 50 56% + 12	451 906 18 - 21 903 711 644 49% + 193	283 384 1 0 385 382 286 34% + 3	162 233 1 0 234 249 147 52% - 15	18 43 0 43 27 34 29% +16	68 60 0 60 60 68 44% 0			548 1,145 4 0 1,149 1,223 474 39% -74
14th	Whiteside	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	141 48 0 0 48 40 149 60% +8	91 85 0 0 85 115 61 46% - 30	4 0 0 0 0 4 75% 0	93 193 0 0 193 182 104 41% +11	82 98 0 98 100 80 38% -2	26 63 0 0 63 40 49 73% +23	1 3 0 3 2 2 2 0 +1	21 17 0 0 17 10 28 75% +7		0 5 0 5 5 0 0 0	186 375 0 0 375 341 220 56% + 34

	Henry 14t	Henry 14th
ng at Start Filed Reinstated ransferred Net Added		Filed Reinstated ransferred ket Added isposed of ing at End ling More an 12 mos y (+ or -) ng at Start ceinstated ransferred ket Added isposed of ing at End ding More an 12 mos y (+ or -) ng at Start ceinstated ransferred ket Added isposed of ing at End ding More an 12 mos y (+ or -)
. Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Mercer Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos
	% Pending More % Than 12 mos 40 Inventory (+ or -)	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending More % Pending More Transferred Transferred Mercer Transferred Transferred Than 12 mos
19	11 Filed 73 Reinstated 0 Transferred 84 Net Added 34 Disposed of 15 Pending at End % Pending More % Than 12 mos	

				Over ,000	Law \$ or	15,000 less	cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	int main		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic	Mental Health	Disso Ma
14th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Then 12 more	781 351 15 + 18 384 354 813 60%	357 344 2 18 328 350 336 51 %	56 10 6 + 23 39 33 63 59%	603 1,276 19 - 22 1,273 1,030 846 44%	483 616 1 0 617 599 450 40%	234 370 2 0 372 368 238 57%	21 50 0 50 31 40 25%	90 81 0 81 73 98 53%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 13 0 13 13 0	850 1,810 5 0 1,815 1,846 806 44%
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	+ 95	- 21	+7	+ 243	40% - 33	+ 4	+ 16	+8	0	0	- 44 % - 44
15th	Carroll	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	19 13 0 13 15 16 50%	29 28 0 0 28 22 36 39%	2 1 0 1 1 2 50%	29 37 0 0 37 32 34 32%	17 29 0 29 14 32 25%	4 20 0 20 12 12 25%	2 1 0 1 0 3 0	35 19 0 19 8 46 60%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	53 89 1 90 97 46 20%
15th	Jo Davies	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start	- 3 17	+7	0	+ 5	+15	+ 8	+1	+11	0	+1	-7 24
	Jo Davies	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	13 0 13 12 18 39% +1	29 0 29 17 24 26% +11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 61 1 0 62 62 47 17% + 5		23 0 23 20 9 22% -2	2 0 0 2 2 2 2 100% 0	16 0 16 13 12 33% -1			106 0 106 98 42 10% +18
15th	Lee	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	52 28 1 +1 30 28 55 46% +3	27 49 0 -1 48 27 42 19% +15	11 0 2 0 2 8 5 0 -6	74 116 2 +1 119 101 83 22% +9	53 72 4 0 76 52 75 32%	14 35 1 0 36 48 26 32%	0 1 0 1 1 0 1	9 4 0 4 12 1 1 0 -8	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	13 24 0 24 24 24 9 22%	51 258 5 0 263 223 90 10%
15th	Ogle	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	45 37 2 +6 45 38 52 38%	28 57 2 6 53 34 47 21%	3 4 0 +3 7 2 8 13%	41 139 2 - 3 138 116 63 14%	+ 22 31 58 0 0 58 51 38 18%	+12 12 42 2 0 44 39 17 6%	+1 0 2 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0	44 26 0 26 43 27 11%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 10 0 10 10 10 0 	+ 39 101 254 0 0 254 244 111 4%
15th	Stephenson	Inventory (+ or -)	+ 7	+ 19	+5	+ 22	+7	+ 5	+ 2	+ 17	0	0	+ 10
	September 2011	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	38 31 0 + 3 34 49 58	$ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 44 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 41 \\ 25 \\ 41 \end{array} $	5 4 0 +3 7 7 8	104 157 0 - 3 154 168 71	61 38 0 0 38 74 32	41 38 0 0 38 55 29	0 9 0 9 8 2	23 11 0 0 11 27 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 8 0 0 8 7 2	135 242 0 242 248 138
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	47% 0	34% +10	38% +3	21% - 33	41 % - 29	34% - 12	0 + 2	17% - 17	0 0	0 + 1	19% +3

				_								
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
876 918 8 0 926 829 963 67% + 87	155 430 1 0 431 455 131 24% - 24	748 1,173 13 - 119 1,067 1,123 703 37% - 45	2,163 4,277 285 + 119 4,681 4,513 2,341 37% + 178	1,647 5,931 43 -1 5,973 5,632 1,937 26% + 290	5,399 1,154 0 1,154 996 5,559 82% + 160	2,349 66 0 2,415 2,367 —			14,463 68,327 1,583 0 69,910 67,725 15,324 57% + 861	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	14th
68 48 0 0 48 66 50 40% - 12	6 43 0 0 43 35 14 32% +8	27 56 0 -4 52 63 16 6% -11	83 226 1 +4 231 211 103 32% +20	99 200 0 200 219 80 32% - 19	338 82 0 0 82 54 366 74% + 28			 129 0 129 128 	812 2,787 2 0 2,789 2,715 858 39% + 46	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Carroll	15th
42 49 0 49 66 27 16% -15	66 36 0 36 56 52 48% -14	$ \begin{array}{r} 18\\59\\0\\-21\\38\\39\\21\\0\\+3\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 284\\ 0\\ +21\\ 305\\ 300\\ 88\\ 25\%\\ +27\\ \end{array} $	81 364 0 364 366 75 25% - 6	267 95 0 95 75 286 68% + 19		3,121 0 3,121 3,420 - -		705 4,824 1 0 4,825 4,982 747 29% + 42	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Jo Davies	15th
52 88 0 0 88 78 54 41% + 2	22 134 0 0 134 129 18 22% -4	82 206 3 - 36 173 188 47 4% - 35	289 910 0 + 36 946 884 297 31% + 8	270 928 5 - 1 932 783 407 10% + 137	625 133 2 0 135 151 608 72% - 17		8,463 0 8,463 7,920 -		1,645 11,561 25 0 11,586 10,737 1,819 23% + 174	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Lee	15th
48 122 0 122 155 15 15 7%	33 77 0 0 77 78 32 3%	42 75 1 -2 74 84 32 9%	181 408 0 + 2 410 424 167 6%	69 406 0 406 432 43 7%	305 115 10 0 125 148 282 53%	412 0 412 414 -	5,514 0 5,514 5,078 —		983 7,942 19 0 7,961 7,536 936 13%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Ogle	15th
- 33 245 188 0 0 188 323 105 20% - 140	1 57 142 0 0 142 133 61 8% +4	-10 74 243 0 -7 236 175 56 4% -18	-14 184 975 0 +7 982 733 180 23% -4	- 26 105 922 0 0 922 702 290 23% + 185	- 23 731 193 0 0 193 147 816 79% + 85				-47 1,855 10,464 0 10,464 9,672 1,895 47% +40	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Stephenson	15th

			Law \$15		Law \$ or			neous Jy	.5		unicipal Corporations	5	ion of age
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
15th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	191 122 3 +10 135 142 199	128 207 2 - 10 199 125 190	21 9 2 +6 17 18 23	290 510 5 - 5 510 479 313	210 236 4 0 240 230 221	82 158 3 0 161 174 93	4 15 0 0 15 10 10	124 76 0 76 103 92	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	14 43 0 43 41 12	364 949 6 0 955 910 427
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	44% +8	28% +62	20% +2	21% +23	29% +11	24% +11	20% +6	24% -32	50% 0	17% -2	13% +63
16th	DeKalb	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	158 83 2 +18 103 64 197 56%	68 92 1 18 75 70 73 51%	17 2 0 +7 9 7 19 68%	163 339 3 - 5 337 289 211 36%	104 98 1 0 99 91 112 44%	41 66 1 0 67 57 51 45%	9 0 0 0 1 8 100%	50 33 1 0 34 73 11 18%	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 18 0 18 18 18 0	213 369 3 0 372 354 231 31%
16th	Kane	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	+ 39	+ 5	+2	+ 48	+ 8	+10	-1 53	- 39	0	0 199	+18
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	669 15 + 35 719 457 1,098 46% + 154	437 7 - 35 409 338 531 43% + 26	48 4 +9 61 35 48 31% +3	3,072 103 -9 3,166 2,982 540 17% -117	558 2 0 560 547 431 27% - 115	336 1 0 337 289 158 36% -13	24 0 24 40 35 57% -18	517 2 0 519 405 241 15% +9	5 0 5 3 5 40% 0	1,013 0 0 1,013 962 55 44% - 144	2,128 0 2,128 1,967 1,123 16% - 502
16th	Kendall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	43 37 0 +8 45 34 52 29% +9	34 35 1 -8 28 32 32 24% -2	3 2 0 + 2 4 2 5 20% + 2	58 140 1 -2 139 146 51 39% -7	56 46 0 46 62 40 45% -16	33 40 0 40 63 10 50% -23	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	22 16 0 16 20 18 61% -4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 0 0 5 100%	131 138 1 0 139 160 110 28% - 21
16th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,145 789 17 + 61 867 555 1,347 44% + 202	607 564 9 61 512 440 636 39% + 29	65 52 4 +18 74 44 72 40% +7	878 3,551 107 - 16 3,642 3,417 802 31% - 76	706 702 3 0 705 700 583 39% - 123	245 442 2 0 444 409 219 44% - 26	62 25 0 0 25 41 44 52% - 18	304 566 3 0 569 498 270 31% - 34	5 5 0 5 3 5 20% 0	204 1,031 0 1,031 980 60 10% - 144	1,969 2,635 4 0 2,639 2,481 1,464 25% - 505
17th	Boone	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	36 22 1 +5 28 16 49 47%	30 38 0 -5 33 25 37 46%	7 0 0 0 2 5 100%	39 129 0 129 113 55	53 46 0 46 43 56	9 18 0 18 14 13	2 4 0 0 4 2 4	0 1 0 1 1 0		2 15 0 0 15 12 5	92 153 2 0 155 151 96
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 13	40%	- 2	29% +16	57% +3	38% +4	25% +2	0	0 0	40% + 3	27% +4

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
15th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	6,000 37,578 47 0 37,625 35,642 6,270 30% + 270		25,269 0 25,269 24,226 —	1,595 0 1,595 1,372 —	2,266 618 12 0 630 575 2,358 69% + 92	624 2,820 5 - 1 2,824 2,502 895 19% + 271	798 2,803 1 +70 2,874 2,552 835 23% +37	243 639 4 - 70 573 549 172 5% - 71	184 432 0 432 431 177 23% -7	455 495 0 495 688 251 25% - 204
16th	DeKalb	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2,124 17,240 21 0 17,261 14,051 1,776 48% - 348			584 0 584 548 	483 168 7 0 175 130 528 72% + 45	231 924 2 -2 924 895 260 22% +29	450 1,273 0 + 22 1,295 1,013 0 0	63 249 0 22 227 174 0 0	27 124 0 124 127 24 4% - 3	47 204 0 204 200 51 45% +4
16th	Kane	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	11,098 89,273 419 0 89,692 93,548 10,139 38% - 959	 96 0 96 89 			1,776 662 1 0 663 472 1,948 72% + 172	1,647 6,399 224 0 6,623 6,367 1,217 5% - 430	896 5,153 0 + 176 5,329 5,633 894 12% - 2	280 986 0 - 176 810 822 377 24% + 97	399 509 0 509 544 240 35% - 159	1,118 1,218 60 0 1,278 917 1,198 67% + 80
16th	Kendall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	929 6,781 43 0 6,824 7,026 818 39% - 111		5,113 0 5,113 5,256 -	129 0 129 92 -	122 80 1 0 81 85 118 48% -4	113 257 1 0 258 288 83 22% - 30	116 394 30 +6 430 394 149 28% +33	38 72 7 -6 73 82 32 32 22% -6	64 68 0 68 77 55 42% - 9	91 111 1 0 112 146 57 60% - 34
16th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	14,151 113,294 483 0 113,777 114,625 12,733 42%	 275 0 275 230 		4,592 0 4,592 4,765 —	2,381 910 9 0 919 687 2,594 64%	1,991 7,580 227 -2 7,805 7,550 1,560	1,462 6,820 30 + 204 7,054 7,040 1,043 13%	381 1,307 7 - 204 1,110 1,078 409	490 701 0 701 748 319 27%	1,256 1,533 61 0 1,594 1,263 1,306 57%
17th	Boone	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	-1,418 1,081 8,870 23 0 8,893 9,215 1,145 44% +64				+ 213 228 116 0 0 116 85 259 64% + 31	-431 104 382 0 0 382 339 147 10% +43	-419 253 510 0 +5 515 556 212 43% -41	+ 28 38 105 4 -5 104 106 36 11% -2	-171 116 48 16 0 64 56 124 68% +8	+50 72 95 0 0 95 120 47 32% -25

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or I		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	ž	Municipal Corporations	Menta <mark>l</mark> Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Ch	W	Em	Tax	ML	Me	Ö
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	651 386 4 + 37 427 306 772 48%	298 332 9 - 37 304 261 341 46%	53 9 4 +15 28 42 39 69% -14	1,180 2,256 27 - 15 2,268 2,064 1,384 40%	388 550 5 555 556 387 37% -1	211 156 3 0 159 185 185 50% - 26	4 6 1 0 7 2 9 44% + 5	171 200 6 0 206 309 68 44% -103	0 5 0 5 2 3 0 + 3	3 335 0 0 335 334 4 0 + 1	1,137 1,689 8 0 1,697 1,599 1,235 30% + 98
17th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+ 121 687 408 5 + 42 455 322 821 48% + 134	+ 43 328 370 9 - 42 337 286 378 46% + 50	- 14 60 9 4 +15 28 44 44 73% - 16	+ 204 1,219 2,385 27 - 15 2,397 2,177 1,439 40% + 220	441 596 5 0 601 599 443 39% + 2	220 174 3 0 177 199 198 49% - 22	+ 3 6 10 1 0 11 4 13 38% + 7	171 201 6 0 207 310 68 44% -103	+3 0 5 0 0 5 2 3 0 +3	5 350 0 350 346 9 22% +4	+ 36 1,229 1,842 10 0 1,852 1,750 1,331 30% + 102
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,231 817 81 + 88 986 808 1,416 30% + 185	589 1,330 37 - 88 1,279 1,221 636 18% + 47	86 43 9 +81 133 90 130 30% +44	1,082 4,633 88 - 81 4,640 4,326 1,394 17% + 356	1,119 1,184 20 0 1,204 1,028 1,293 44% +174	386 644 3 0 647 600 434 54% + 48	13 157 1 0 158 51 119 3% +88	731 1,862 4 0 1,866 1,710 887 70% +156	10 6 0 6 10 6 50% - 4	16 69 0 69 57 28 57% +12	1,704 3,666 49 0 3,715 3,554 1,863 18% + 159
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,231 817 81 + 88 986 808 1,416 30% + 185	589 1,330 37 - 88 1,279 1,221 636 18% + 47	86 43 9 + 81 133 90 130 30% + 44	1,082 4,633 88 - 81 4,640 4,326 1,394 17% + 356	1,119 1,184 20 0 1,204 1,028 1,293 44% +174	386 644 3 0 647 600 434 54% + 48	13 157 1 0 158 51 119 3% +88	731 1,862 4 0 1,866 1,710 887 70% +156	10 6 0 6 10 6 50% - 4	$ \begin{array}{r} 16\\ 69\\ 0\\ 0\\ 69\\ 57\\ 28\\ 57\%\\ +12\\ \end{array} $	1,704 3,666 49 0 3,715 3,554 1,863 18% + 159
19th	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,172 739 126 + 320 1,185 1,067 1,265 32%	440 801 60 - 320 541 493 498 18%	116 55 10 + 102 167 137 126 24% + 10	846 2,878 113 - 102 2,889 2,750 949 9% + 103	644 846 44 0 890 784 745 29%	161 473 11 0 484 467 177 24%	56 78 11 0 89 98 58 22%	242 14 0 0 14 16 238 95%	6 6 0 6 7 5 40%	0 32 0 0 32 32 0 0	1,172 2,555 45 0 2,600 2,537 1,227 18%
19th	McHenry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	+ 93 290 184 3 + 88 275 191 374 52%	+ 58 209 191 11 - 88 114 152 171 46%	+ 10 42 17 0 0 17 31 28 46%	+ 103 337 689 13 0 702 674 365 35%	+ 101 266 294 6 0 300 325 241 36%	+ 16 142 104 2 0 106 150 98 49%	+2 16 6 0 0 6 9 13 62%		-1 5 4 0 0 4 6 3 67%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 55 439 962 15 0 977 979 437 11%

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	16,418 70,904 160 0 71,064 60,400 20,910 47% + 4,492	0 205 0 205 180 0 0	0 46,225 0 46,225 40,269 0 0 0	0 994 0 994 911 0 0	3,458 610 0 610 279 3,589 84% + 131	4,230 5,956 0 5,956 4,333 6,055 53% +1,825	2,693 7,284 74 + 472 7,830 6,691 3,634 27% + 941	441 1,303 19 - 472 850 807 572 24% + 131	325 449 0 0 449 489 285 25% - 40	1,175 1,954 0 1,954 781 2,348 31% +1,173
17th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	17,472 79,774 183 0 79,957 69,615 22,048 47% + 4,576	0 218 0 218 193 0 0 0	0 53,224 0 53,224 47,642 0 0 0	0 1,170 0 1,170 1,099 0 0 0	3,686 726 0 726 364 3,841 83% 0	4,307 6,338 0 6,338 4,672 6,202 52% +1,868	2,946 7,794 74 + 479 8,347 7,247 3,846 28% + 900	479 1,408 23 - 479 952 913 608 23% + 129	441 497 16 0 513 545 409 38% - 32	1,247 2,049 0 2,049 901 2,395 31% +1,148
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	15,216193,4473020193,749184,11917,85126%+ 2,635	0 49 0 49 45 0 0 0	0 142,992 0 0 142,992 136,952 0 0 0	0 15,504 0 15,504 14,465 0 0 0	1,319 1,147 0 1,147 920 1,546 52% + 227	1,951 7,484 3 0 7,487 6,858 2,597 7% + 646	2,976 7,415 0 + 235 7,650 7,481 3,402 2% + 426	1,209 2,220 0 - 235 1,985 1,867 1,173 14% - 36	289 628 1 0 629 610 308 32% + 19	505 1,597 6 0 1,603 1,466 619 28% +114
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	15,216193,4473020193,749184,11917,85126%+ 2,635	0 49 0 49 45 0 0	0 142,992 0 0 142,992 136,952 0 0 0	0 15,504 0 0 15,504 14,465 0 0 0	1,319 1,147 0 1,147 920 1,546 52% + 227	1,951 7,484 3 0 7,487 6,858 2,597 7% + 646	2,976 7,415 0 + 235 7,650 7,481 3,402 2% + 426	1,209 2,220 0 - 235 1,985 1,867 1,173 14% - 36	289 628 1 0 629 610 308 32% +19	505 1,597 6 0 1,603 1,466 619 28% +114
19th	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	10,272 145,120 1,355 0 146,475 137,687 12,078 28%	0 847 0 0 847 888 0 0	0 109,185 0 0 109,185 105,039 0 0	0 13,323 0 0 13,323 10,776 0	2,114 1,008 5 0 1,013 781 2,352 69%	1,508 5,883 118 0 6,001 5,062 2,158 8%	621 2,632 392 + 335 3,359 3,283 729 6%	421 1,697 396 - 335 1,758 1,719 462 9%	91 359 11 0 370 335 126 7%	662 1,709 13 0 1,722 1,416 963 22%
19th	McHenry	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+ 1,806 4,215 45,174 121 0 45,295 44,567 4,715 35% + 500	0 201 0 201 196 0	0 33,926 0 33,926 34,283 0 0	0 2,217 0 0 2,217 1,637 0 0	+ 238 635 368 0 0 368 306 697 58%	+ 650 903 2,243 55 0 2,298 1,998 1,203 33%	+ 108 490 2,089 0 + 59 2,148 2,023 615 18%	+ 41 219 1,104 0 - 59 1,045 991 273 19%	+ 35 41 215 8 0 223 191 73 12%	+ 301 158 352 8 0 360 421 97 28%

			Law \$15,		Law \$ ⁷ or I		ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	l lth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissoli Mar
19th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	1,462 923 129 + 408 1,460 1,258 1,639	649 992 71 - 408 655 645 669	158 72 10 +102 184 168 154	1,183 3,567 126 - 102 3,591 3,424 1,314	910 1,140 50 0 1,190 1,109 986	303 577 13 0 590 617 275	72 84 11 0 95 107 71	265 22 0 0 22 20 265	11 10 0 10 13 8	0 32 0 32 32 32 0	1,611 3,517 60 0 3,577 3,516 1,664
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	37% +177	25% +20	28% -4	16% +131	31% +76	33% - 28	30% -1	93% 0	50% - 3	0	16% +53
20th	Monroe	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	51 19 0 +4 23 32 44 57%	28 26 0 - 4 22 19 29 52%	3 4 0 +1 5 4 4 50%	22 45 0 -1 44 34 32 38%	35 12 0 12 25 22 68%	13 24 0 24 28 9 78%	3 0 0 0 0 3 100%	2 10 0 10 1 1 11	1 8 0 8 7 2 0	0 1 0 1 1 0	41 91 0 91 91 41 34%
		Inventory (+ or –)	-7	+1	+1	+10	-13	-4	0	-9	+1	0	
20th	Perry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	35 24 0 24 7 52 58% +17	39 38 0 0 38 23 54 46% +15	2 1 0 1 0 4 75% +2	75 67 0 67 36 106 65% +31	47 36 0 0 36 14 69 61% +22	19 11 0 11 18 12 92% -7	1 0 0 0 1 100% 0	32 11 0 11 16 27 67% -5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	66 111 0 0 111 103 74 50% +8
20th	Randolph	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	61 35 1 +8 44 38 68 50% +7	29 51 0 -8 43 30 41 44% +12	7 3 0 0 3 3 8 63% +1	77 88 0 0 88 68 96 58% +19	49 36 0 36 27 58 66% + 9	88 49 0 49 43 94 79% +6	4 1 0 0 1 0 4 100% 0	11 10 0 10 9 13 69% +2	2 0 0 0 0 0 2 100% 0	36 267 0 267 281 22 5% -14	109 201 0 201 153 157 52% +48
20th	St. Clair	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,990 623 196 + 250 1,069 1,207 1,896 61% - 94	522 667 2 - 250 419 280 480 480 480 - 42	63 68 1 +33 102 119 141 41% +78	466 2,454 9 - 33 2,430 1,896 270 20% - 196	352 499 0 0 499 378 420 29% +68	217 236 1 0 237 154 289 42% +72	10 6 0 6 8 9 56% -1	946 191 0 0 191 30 1,161 80% +215		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	921 1,637 0 0 1,637 1,396 1,246 49% + 325
20th	Washington	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	7 9 0 9 6 10 20%	15 19 0 19 15 19 26%	3 0 0 0 1 2 100%	24 29 0 29 40 13 31%	16 19 0 19 13 22 32%	2 10 0 10 6 6 33%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 2 0 2 1 9 78%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 37 0 0 37 42 17 41%

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	
19th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	14,487190,2941,4760191,770182,25416,79330%+ 2,306	1,048 0 0 1,048 1,084 —		15,540 0 15,540 12,413 	2,749 1,376 5 0 1,381 1,087 3,049 67% + 300	2,411 8,126 173 0 8,299 7,060 3,361 17% + 950	1,111 4,721 392 + 394 5,507 5,306 1,344 11% + 233	640 2,801 396 - 394 2,803 2,710 735 13% + 95	132 574 19 0 593 526 199 9% + 67	
20th	Monroe	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	579 3,211 0 3,211 2,962 591 44% +12		 2,254 0 0 1,996 		190 92 0 92 95 187 60% - 3	70 194 0 194 186 78 31% +8	50 191 0 +7 198 191 57 18% +7	42 82 0 -7 75 76 41 22% -1	9 34 0 34 35 8 25% -1	0 9 6 0 0 6 2 3 6 4
20th	Perry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	986 3,932 0 3,932 3,598 1,143 65% +157		2,842 0 0,2,842 2,644 		363 64 0 64 45 384 85% + 21	79 254 0 254 207 121 45% + 42	46 160 0 +2 162 199 49 22% +3	38 84 0 - 2 82 82 38 3% 0	17 25 0 25 43 4 50% -13	127 56 0 56 35 148 7%
. 20th	Randolph	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,637\\ 4,732\\ 7\\ 0\\ 4,739\\ 4,136\\ 1,930\\ 66\%\\ +293\\ \end{array} $		2,838 0 0 2,838 2,557 —	39 0 0 39 21 	677 159 0 159 108 742 82% +65	125 417 2 0 419 334 210 38% + 85	95 221 0 +6 227 215 107 46% +12	27 115 3 -6 112 92 47 2% +20	15 38 0 0 38 23 30 30% +15	5 1 0 2 6 1 6
20th	St. Clair	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	17,462 72,627 213 0 72,840 62,822 21,372 51% + 3,910	93 0 93 83 -	47,647 0 0 47,647 42,480	2,587 0 2,587 2,004 —	2,831 841 2 0 843 395 3,200 77% + 369	2,211 4,770 0 4,770 3,239 2,953 34% + 742	4,381 6,592 0 + 128 6,720 6,472 5,250 44% + 869	289 997 2 - 128 871 764 256 7% - 33	807 590 0 590 848 810 73% + 3	456 129 0 129 069 991 1% 535
20th	Washington	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	481 3,019 0 3,019 3,030 516 52% +35		2,408 0 0 2,408 2,462 		245 98 0 98 78 263 68% +18	57 188 0 188 172 73 30% +16	34 93 0 +5 98 93 39 49% +5	18 45 0 -5 40 44 14 14% -4	3 14 0 0 14 1 16 38% +13	27 23 0 0 23 36 14 86% - 13

				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	2	neous dy	ui		al orations	ح	ion of age
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
20th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	2,144 710 197 + 262 1,169 1,290 2,070 60%	633 801 2 - 262 541 367 623 45%	78 76 1 + 34 111 127 159 44%	664 2,683 9 - 34 2,658 2,074 517 38%	499 602 0 602 457 591 38%	339 330 1 0 331 249 410 52%	18 7 0 7 8 17 76%	999 224 0 224 57 1,221 79%	3 8 0 0 8 7 4 50%	36 268 0 0 268 282 22 5%	1,159 2,077 0 2,077 1,785 1,535 49%
	Downstate Total	Inventory (+ or -)	- 74	-10 7,420	+44 70 + 81	- 147 14,379	+ 92	4,628	-1 481	4,966	+1	-14	+ 376
	Downstate rotate	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	9,360 611 +1,773 11,744 10,037 18,349 44%	8,943 195 -1,763 7,375 6,296 8,200 43%	591 65 + 635 1,291 1,176 1,563 47%	32,753 672 - 635 32,790 30,996 14,907 35%	11,084 141 0 11,225 10,443 11,429 41%	6,784 65 0 6,849 6,333 5,021 46%	596 29 0 625 445 670 43%	4,021 27 0 4,048 4,327 4,598 58%	137 0 0 137 156 215 50%	4,475 0 0 4,475 4,378 570 35%	37,021 283 0 37,304 36,594 18,933 30%
	Cook	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	+1,571 58,112 6,040 3,363 +15,281 24,684 23,575 59,221 72%	+ 780 17,188 23,784 2,081 - 15,281 10,584 12,484 15,286* 36%	+130 13,408 5,874 881 +5,499 12,254 10,453 15,120*	18%	+ 485 32,003 20,290 1,343 0 21,633 21,404 32,241*	42%	+ 189 291 247 21 0 268 169 409* 51%	- 368 100,904 14,726 10,580 0 25,306 40,458 85,729* 82%	86%	-107 206 6,232 0 0 6,232 6,168 270 0	-77 12,873 26,271 2,363 0 28,634 29,482 11,670* 32%
	State Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	+1,109 74,890 15,400 3,974 +17,054 36,428 33,612 77,570 58%	- 1,902 24,608 32,727 2,276 - 17,044 17,959 18,780 23,486 40%	+ 1,712 14,841 6,465 946 + 6,134 13,545 11,629 16,683 42%	+ 23,766 71,510 159,671 2,189 - 5,275 156,585 133,346 95,804 27%	+ 238 42,947 31,374 1,484 0 32,858 31,847 43,670 43%	- 635 7,480 8,199 612 0 8,811 8,817 7,238 44%	+118 772 843 50 0 893 614 1,079 47%	-15,175 105,870 18,747 10,607 0 29,354 44,785 90,327 70%	+ 17 518 165 0 0 165 167 506 68%	+64 883 10,707 0 10,707 10,546 840 35%	-1,203 31,883 63,292 2,646 0 0 65,938 66,076 30,603 31%
		Inventory (+ or -)	- 2,680	- 1,122	+ 1,842	+ 24,294	+723	- 242	+ 307	- 15,543	-12	-43	-1,280

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

#**The felony category for Cook County does not include preliminary hearings; 38,271 filed, 2,776 reinstated and 37,669 disposed. #The misdemeanor category for Cook County includes ordinance violations, conservation violations, and all misdemeanors. ##Includes "hang-on" tickets (parking violations) for District One of the Circuit Court of Cook County (City of Chicago) and other appropriate municipalities.

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,854 2,305 1 0 2,306 1,228 3,407 46% +1,553	851 701 0 701 950 868 71% + 17	414 1,323 5 - 148 1,180 1,058 396 8% - 18	4,606 7,257 0 + 148 7,405 7,170 5,502 44% + 896	2,542 5,823 2 0 5,825 4,138 3,435 35% + 893	4,306 1,254 2 0 1,256 721 4,776 77% + 470	 2,822 0 2,822 2,216 	 57,989 0 57,989 52,139 	261 0 261 225 	21,145 87,521 220 0 87,741 76,548 25,553 53% + 4,408	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	20th
18,583 23,978 206 0 24,184 20,715 22,161 48% +3,578	6,539 10,108 98 0 10,206 9,912 6,662 32% + 123	9,848 23,423 993 -3,347 21,069 20,655 9,905 14% +57	30,941 79,835 1,145 + 3,347 84,327 81,451 33,687 26% + 2,746	42,602 117,982 1,658 -10 119,630 113,485 47,006 27% +4,404	70,269 19,800 61 0 19,861 17,635 71,914 69% +1,645			8,306 16 0 8,322 7,721 —	259,742 1,541,968 11,346 0 1,553,314 1,476,606 275,790* 45% + 16,048	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Downstate Total	
22,400 30,056 35,270 0 65,326 59,799 27,775* 30% + 5,375	9,467 17,416 14 0 17,430 17,195 10,199 18% +732	9,773 19,600 5,256 0 24,856 23,832 10,710* 12% + 937	120,376 330,364 24,914 0 355,278 318,607 140,207 18% + 19,831	16,165 94,776 1,924 - 859 95,841 81,635 29,979* 26% + 13,814	21,867 11,286 3 0 11,289 11,045 22,163 81% + 296	# # # # #		# ## 	509,586* 5,909,421* 92,862 0 6,002,283 3,622,885 564,773* 40% + 55,187*	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Cook	
40,983 54,034 35,476 0 89,510 80,514 49,936 39% + 8,953	16,006 27,524 112 0 27,636 27,107 16,861 25% +1,530	19,621 43,023 6,249 - 3,347 45,925 44,487 20,615 13% + 994	151,317 410,199 26,059 + 3,347 439,605 400,058 173,894 22% + 22,577	58,767 212,758 3,582 - 869 215,471 195,120 76,985 27% + 18,218	92,136 31,086 64 0 31,150 28,680 94,077 75% +1,941			8,306 16 0 8,322 7,721 -	769,328 7,451,389 104,208 0 7,555,597 5,099,491 840,563* 43% +71,235	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	State Total	
												,

SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1985

	C	Total Law Jury ases Disposed Of	f		er of Law Jury C minated By Verdi		Average Time Elapsed in Months
Circuit	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less*	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less*	Total	For Cases Terminated By Verdict
1st	263	17	280	11	1	12	24.2
2nd	211	24	235	10	0	10	25.6
3rd	829	213	1,042	46	12	58	39.5
4th	261	37	298	20	2	22	28.4
5th	266	12	278	29	3	32	27.8
6th	539	26	565	29	3	32	26.4
7th	377	22	399	17	1	18	34.1
8th	151	22	173	11	2	13	27.3
9th	147	23	170	6	2	8	21.5
10th	749	82	831	35	2	37	25.4
11th	318	42	360	35	0	35	30.5
12th	793	98	891	59	3	62	20.0
13th	404	34	438	22	3	25	26.9
14th	354	33	387	27	3	30	20.2
15th	142	18	160	7	0	7	14.6
16th	555	44	599	40	4	44	30.6
17th	322	44	366	30	0	30	20.2
18th	808	90	898	92	3	95	20.1
19th	1,258	168	1,426	75	10	85	22.6
20th	1,290	127	1,417	39	3	42	29.8
Downstate Total	10,037	1,176	11,213	640	57	697	25.7
Cook County	23,575	10,453	34,028	653	457*	1,110	49.6
State Total	33,612	11,629	45,241	1,293	514*	1,807	37.6

*Includes 406 cases in District One for which time lapse data is not available.

SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW CASES TERMINATED BY VERDICT

	Cas	ses Terminated	By Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period		apsed Between and Date of Ve	
		Maximum	Minimum	Average
Downstate Total	697			25.7
Cook County	1,110*	108	0.8	49.6
State Total	1,807			37.6

*Does not include 406 cases from District One

			aw Jury Case Disposed Of		Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict			Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict										
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Average T Law Over \$15,000	ime Lapse (J Law \$15,000 or Less	Months) Total
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	22 80 2 16 0 11 16 17 99 263	1 8 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 17	23 88 2 16 1 12 18 19 101 280	1 2 - 1 - 2 - 5 11	0 0 1 0 0 1	1 2 2 2 5 12	0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	38.2 16.0 0 26.3 0 39.1 0 18.9 24.4	0 0 21.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21.2	38.2 16.0 0 23.8 0 0 39.1 0 18.9 24.2
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	23 3 62 16 7 3 47 13 4 4 4 4 17 12 211	1 0 6 1 3 0 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 4 1 2 2 4 4 1 2 2 2 4 4 1 1 1 2 2 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24 3 68 17 10 3 49 15 8 5 19 14 235	1 	0 0 0 0	1 5 - 2 2 2 10	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21.8 0 24.6 0 0 0 25.7 0 29.8 0 25.6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21.8 0 24.6 0 0 0 25.7 0 0 25.7 0 0 29.8 0 25.6
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	18 811 829	2 211 213	20 1,022 1,042	3 43 46	0 12 12	3 55 58	0 0 0	0 3 3	3 4 7	0 12 12	0 9 9	0 9 9	0 7 7	0 11 11	21.3 37.8 36.7	0 40.4 40.4	21.3 38.4 39.5
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	32 13 29 21 9 10 107 18 22 261	8 3 4 1 5 2 1 10 3 37	40 16 33 22 14 12 108 28 25 298	- 1 2 4 3 1 4 2 3 20	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2		0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 4	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 4	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 20.0 18.7 30.5 29.7 26.6 49.1 27.1 21.4 30.5	0 0 7.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7.1	0 20.0 12.9 30.5 29.7 26.6 49.1 27.1 21.4 28.4
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	12 81 4 20 149 266	1 5 0 2 4 12	13 86 4 22 153 278	2 8 2 3 14 29	0 0 0 3 3	2 8 2 3 17 32	0 0 0 5 5	1 1 0 1 1 4	0 2 0 0 3 5	1 0 1 0 1 3	0 4 1 0 3 8	0 1 0 1 2 4	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 1 1	21.2 28.3 30.2 33.7 27.0 27.9	0 0 0 27.0 27.0	21.2 28.3 30.2 33.7 27.0 27.8
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	294 20 28 171 14 12 539	18 0 3 2 3 0 26	312 20 31 173 17 12 565	16 1 3 8 1 29	1 0 2 0 3	17 1 3 10 - 1 32	2 0 4 0 6	2 1 2 1 0 0 6	3 0 1 0 4	1 0 4 0 5	1 0 0 0 0 1 2	4 0 1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 0 0 4	32.4 16.0 24.5 19.6 0 35.6 27.6	24.0 0 10.7 0 0 15.1	31.9 16.0 24.5 17.8 0 35.6 26.4

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1985
STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1985

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	erdict			
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Average Law Over \$15,000	Fime Lapse (Law \$15,000 or Less	Months) Total
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	6 29 35 48 259 - 377	1 1 3 14 	7 30 38 51 273 399	 17 17	- - 1 - 1	 18 18	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 0 0 35.5 0 35.5	0 0 0 9.2 0 9.2	0 0 0 34.1 0 34.1
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	80 3 10 7 30 3 12 6 151	13 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 22	93 5 11 8 32 4 13 7 173	5 0 1 2 1 - 2 - 11	1 1 0 0 - 0 - 2	6 1 2 1 - 2 - 13	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 4	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2	29.3 0 28.4 26.4 25.5 0 35.7 0 29.5	14.7 29.6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 15.5	26.8 29.6 28.4 25.5 0 35.7 0 27.3
9th	Fulton . Hancock . Henderson Knox . McDonough Warren . Circuit Total	34 12 4 63 18 16 147	2 2 3 11 4 1 23	36 14 7 74 22 17 170	2 1 1 1 1 6	0 1 1 0 0 2	2 2 1 1 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0 1 4	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20.7 0 19.8 29.0 43.1 12.6 24.3	0 0 13.5 12.7 0 0 13.1	20.7 0 16.7 20.8 43.1 12.6 21.5
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	13 538 4 1 193 749	1 66 2 1 12 82	14 604 6 2 205 831	1 26 8 35	1 1 - 0 2	2 27 8 37	1 0 0 0 0 1	1 5 0 0 2 8	0 11 0 0 4 15	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 2 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 4 0 0 1 5	16.7 26.5 0 25.3 25.9	9.6 23.8 0 0 0 16.7	13.2 26.4 0 25.3 25.4
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	18 33 25 209 33 318	0 2 2 34 4 42	18 35 27 243 37 360	2 5 1 25 2 35	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 5 1 25 2 35	0 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 2 2 5	0 1 0 4 0 5	1 2 0 3 0 6	1 1 0 6 0 8	0 0 1 3 0 4	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 3 0 3	28.4 24.8 36.9 32.7 16.1 30.5	0 0 0 0 0 0	28.4 24.8 36.9 32.7 16.1 30.5
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	28 127 638 793	1 14 83 98	29 141 721 891	2 2 55 59	0 2 1 3	2 4 56 62	0 1 29 30	0 0 14 14	1 0 7 8	1 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 1 1 2	0 1 3 4	24.4 38.5 19.2 20.0	0 25.9 3.2 18.4	24.4 32.2 18.9 20.0
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	51 52 301 404	4 2 28 34	55 54 329 438	1 3 18 22	2 0 1 3	3 3 19 25	1 1 0 2	1 0 9 10	1 1 3 5	0 0 2 2	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 4	24.1 30.0 29.1 29.0	9.9 0 14.3 11.3	14.6 30.0 28.3 26.9
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	35 14 265 40 354	7 3 23 0 33	42 17 288 40 387	2 1 22 2 27	0 1 2 0 3	2 2 24 2 30	1 0 8 0 9	0 0 7 0 7	1 2 3 1 7	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	15.2 23.0 21.2 23.7 21.0	0 21.8 8.2 0 12.8	15.2 22.4 20.2 23.7 20.2

144

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	rdict			
																Average 1	ime Lapse (Months)
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	15 12 28 38 49 142	1 0 8 2 7 18	16 12 36 40 56 160	- 1 4 2 - 7		- 1 4 2 - 7	0 1 1 0 0 2	0 0 2 1 0 3	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 10.2 14.2 17.5 0 14.6	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 10.2 14.2 17.5 0 14.6
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	64 457 34 555	7 35 2 44	71 492 36 599	7 28 5 40	0 4 0 4	7 32 5 44	0 2 2 4	0 9 0 9	2 4 1 7	1 8 0 9	1 0 1 2	0 2 1 3	0 0 0 0	3 7 0 10	36.8 30.4 23.0 30.6	0 30.8 0 30.8	36.8 30.5 23.0 30.6
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	16 306 322	2 42 44	18 348 366	3 27 30	0 0 0	3 27 30	1 8 9	0 6 6	1 3 4	0 2 2	0 3 3	0 1 1	1 3 4	0 1 1	24.9 19.6 20.2	0 0 0	24.9 19.6 20.2
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	808 808	90 90	898 898	92 92	33	95 95	24 24	23 23	20 20	15 15	8 8	3 3	1 1	1	20.5 2	7.4 0	20.1 0
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	1,067 191 1,258	137 31 168	1,204 222 1,426	68 7 75	8 2 10	76 9 85	7 0 7	20 2 22	25 4 29	15 0 15	6 0 6	1 1 2	1 0 1	1 2 3	20.9 31.1 21.8	31.0 17.5 28.3	21.9 28.0 22.6
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	32 7 38 1,207 6 1,290	4 3 119 1 127	36 7 41 1,326 7 1,417	2 — 1 34 2 39	0 0 3 0 3	2 1 37 2 42	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 7 0 7	0 0 7 0 7	1 0 6 2 9	1 0 6 0 7	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 4 0 4	29.3 0 6.7 30.2 28.2 29.4	0 0 34.2 0 34.2	29.3 0 6.7 30.5 28.2 29.8
	Downstate Total	10,037	1,176	11,213	640	57	697	113	143	137	99	70	43	31	61	25.7	24.9	25.7
	Cook County	23,575	10,453	34,028	653	457*	1,110	23	28	16	81	77	97	90	292	51.9	<u>19.6</u> Δ	49.6
	State Total	33,612	11,629	45,241	1,293	514*	1,807	136	171	153	180	147	140	121	353	38.9	22.4**	37.6

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1985

*Includes 406 cases in District One for which time lapse data is not available.

**Does not include 406 cases in District One.

 Δ Average time lapse is for total of 51 cases in Districts Two thru Six.

		1										
							NOT CONVIC	CTED				
					Reduc	ed or Disr	nissed		Trie	d But Not Co	onvicted	
						Dismiss	ed by State					
		Total		Discharged			Transfer to					
		Number of Defendants	Total Not	At Preliminary	Dismissed on Motion of		Warrant Calendar,	Reduced to	Acquitted by	Acquitted by	Convicted of An Included	Total
Circuit	County	Disposed of	Convicted	Hearing	Defendant	Motion	etc.*	Misdemeanor	Court	Jury	Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
1st	Alexander	164	82	1	12	62	0	5	0	0	2	82
	Jackson	470 83	283	20 1	0	256 22	0	2	2	3	0	187
	Johnson	101	41 61	0	3	31	0	18 25	0	0 2	0	42 40
	Pope	52	27	1	0	11	0	15	0	0	0	25
	Pulaski Saline	47 222	36 90	0	0	25 41	0	11 44	0	03	0	10 ⁽¹⁾ 132
	Union	117	82	1	3	53	0	25	0	0	0	35
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	406 1,662	224 926	0 25	0 19	183 684	0	40 185	0 2	1 9	0 2	182 735
2nd	Crawford	69	38	1	0	22	0	105	0	0	0	31
2110	Edwards	27	24	0	9	11	0	4	0	0	0	3
	Franklin	145	49	0	0	32	0	16	1	0	0	96
	Gallatin Hamilton	53 74	34 54	0	1 25	24 10	0	9 17	0 2	0	0	19 20
	Hardin	22	17	0	1	10	0	6	0	0	0	5
	Jefferson	257 60	76 41	0	3	47 21	0	24 19	0	2	0	181 19
	Richland	105	57	1	0	33	0	23	0	0	0	48
	Wabash	72	41	2	0	22	0	17	0	0	0	31
	Wayne White	53 115	20 31	1	1	8 19	0	7 12	0	2 0	1	32 84
2nd	Circuit Total	1,052	482	6	40	259	0	169	3	4	1	569
3rd	Bond	46	12	1	0	6	0	4	1	0	0	34
3rd	Madison Circuit Total	1,014 1,060	441 453	6 7	57 57	257 263	0	114 118	23	1	4	568 ⁽³⁾ 602
4th	Christian	147	52	2	0	40	0	9	0	0	1	93 ⁽²⁾
	Clay	80	31	1	1	17	0	11	0	0	1	49
	Clinton Effingham	103 110	41 74	0 7	0	21 40	0	19 26	1	0	0	62 36
	Fayette	75	24	2	1	13	0	8	0	0	0	51
	Jasper Marion	44 204	29 75	0 2	0	19 45	0	10 23	0 4	0	0	15 129
	Montgomery	97	39	0	2	21	0	14	1	1	0	58
4th	Shelby Circuit Total	39 899	16 381	0 14	05	7 223	0	9 129	0	0 2	0 2	23 516
5th	Clark	48	13	1	0	5	0	7	0	0	0	35
501	Coles	203	63	1	1	30	0	29	0	2	0	139
	Cumberland	11	8	0	1	2	0	5	0	0	0	2
	Edgar Vermilion	80 391	25 186	0	1 0	1 82	0	23 94	0	03	0	55 205
5th	Circuit Total	722	295	8	3	120	0	158	1	5	0	436
6th	Champaign	767	393	0	0	255	0	0	6	23	109	370 ⁽¹⁾
	DeWitt	64 79	49 58	1	0	23 33	0	24 25	0	1 0	0	15 21
	Macon	686	407	0	0	227	0	162	2	16	0	274
	Moultrie Piatt	37 47	7 24	0 1	0	3 14	0	4 10	0	0	0	30 23
6th	Circuit Total	1,680	938	2	0	555	0	225	8	17	109	733
7th	Greene	58	45	9	18	2	0	16	0	0	0	13
	Jersey Macoupin	97 193	49 124	1	0	23 73	0	25 48	0	0	0	48 68
	Morgan	107	43	0	0	15	0	25	2	1	0	64
	Sangamon	802	420 5	4	10 0	219 1	0	181 3	5	1	0	382 2
7th	Scott Circuit Total	1,264	5 686	17	28	333	0	298	7	3	0	577
8th	Adams	374	261	9	4	134	0	107	2	5	0	112
	Brown Calhoun	35 30	23 13	0	2 0	9 8	0	12 5	0	0	0	12 17
	Cass	60	44	0	0	31	0	13	0	0	0	16
	Mason Menard	98 24	50	1	9	18 3	0	22 4	0	0	0	48 17
	Pike	122	90	2	15	66	0	4	3	0	0	32
8th	Schuyler Circuit Total	18 761	5 493	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	13
8th	defendants found gu			12	31	272	0	168	3	5	0	267

() Denotes defendants found guilty but mentally ill.

			DIS	SPOSI	TIONS	IN 1	985	OF I	DEFE	NDA	NTS	CHA	RGE	DW	ITH	A FE	LON	Y		
		Dian of	Cuiltu			C	ONVIC		By Co	urt			C	nvictor	d By Ju	D /				
		Plea of	Guilty				Co	nvicted	ГВУСО	ourt				onvicted	и Буји	Γγ		Found Unfit to Stand		
		Cla			1.		- N	1	ass				N/	CL	-	2		Trail	Carata	Circuit
M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 3	X 29 0 0 0 1 1 3 36	1 6 0 3 2 1 1 5 0 4 22	2 18 61 14 6 8 1 ⁽¹⁾ 34 14 45 201	3 32 50 15 25 10 5 69 13 80 299	4 14 26 9 4 6 2 22 6 35 124	M 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	X 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 9	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 12	3 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 8	4 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 3 5	M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	X 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	2 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	3 0 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 4	4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	County Alexander Jackson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	Circuit 1st
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 6 0 0 4 0 1 0 0 1 12	1 0 6 0 0 3 1 1 4 2 8 26	5 1 25 3 4 0 54 5 11 10 7 23 148	16 1 37 9 8 5 49 11 18 11 10 29 204	9 1 5 5 0 59 1 15 6 9 20 145	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 2 0 1 0 5	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 4	0 0 2 0 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 1 7	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	2nd
0 1 1	4 32 36	1 26 ⁽¹⁾ 27	6 194 ⁽²⁾ 200	14 200 214	8 101 109	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 1 1	1 0 1	0 1 1	0 4 4	0 4 4	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	Bond Madison Circuit Total	3rd 3rd
0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	2 0 1 0 0 1 3 0 8	4 ⁽¹⁾ 1 2 1 2 12 12 1 0 24	28 ⁽¹⁾ 13 9 12 11 5 44 12 6 140	37 22 21 12 23 1 45 22 10 193	20 12 28 8 16 5 25 16 7 137	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 3	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	4th
0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 1 6 10	4 9 0 5 12 30	10 28 0 17 77 132	5 55 0 16 67 143	14 43 2 15 29 89	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 4 4	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 3 4	0 0 1 1 2	1 0 0 2 3	0 1 1 0 0 2	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	5th
2 0 0 0 0 0 2	9 0 2 4 2 0 18	24 ⁽¹⁾ 2 3 12 1 3 45	83 4 9 80 9 5 190	144 5 3 60 12 8 232	84 3 4 79 6 5 181	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3 0 0 4	2 0 4 0 2 8	2 0 5 0 7	1 0 2 0 0 3	6 0 3 0 9	3 0 5 0 0 8	6 0 4 0 0 10	2 0 9 0 11	2 0 3 0 0 5	3 0 5 0 0 8	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	6th
0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 9 0 12	0 0 3 5 37 0 45	2 17 27 14 102 0 162	7 21 25 25 141 2 221	2 8 13 14 75 0 112	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 6 0 6	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 1 0 1 4 0 6	0 0 3 3 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	Green Jersey Macoupin Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	7th
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 4	6 1 3 1 3 1 1 1 17	32 4 5 22 4 12 3 86	47 4 5 7 18 7 10 4 102	18 2 3 1 5 3 2 5 39	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 3	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 1 2 0 5	2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 4	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	8th

		1	USITION		5 0 . D					UIII		1
							NOT CONVIC	CTED				
					Reduc	ced or Disn	nissed		Trie	d But Not Co	onvicted	1
						Dismisse	ed by State					1
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Motion	Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.*	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
9th	Fulton	149	30	0	2	20(a)	0	8	0	0	0	119
9th	Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	144 41 173 215 130 852	77 25 71 43 50 296	1 2 1 1 0 5	14 0 1 1 0 18	16 13 55 13 21 138	0 0 0 0 0	46 10 11 23 29 127	0 0 1 0 1	0 3 4 0 7		67 16 101 172 80 555
			9	0	0	6	0	3	0	0	0	12
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	21 843 15 21 306 1,206	9 333 3 11 177 533	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 11 0 0 0 11	6 237 2 3 111 359	0 0 0 0 0	3 64 1 8 62 138	0 10 0 2 12	0 10 0 2 12	0 0 0 0 0	12 503 12 10 129 666
11th	Ford	45	33	0	1	20	0	9	0	3	0	12
11th	Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	221 61 572 67 966	123 31 310 41 538	5 0 1 1 7	4 0 24 1 30	73 19 182 19 313	0 0 0 0 0	39 12 37 18 115	0 0 0 2 2	2 0 14 0 19	0 0 52 0 52	97 ⁽¹⁾ 29 260 ⁽²⁾ 25 423
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Girguit Tatal	88 425 1,142	17 202 593 812	0 0 51	0 1 24 25	7 141 497 645	0 0 0 0	6 46 0	1 6 13 20	3 6 8 17	0 2 0 2	71 223 543 ⁽¹⁾ 837
12th	Circuit Total	1,655	812	51		645		52				100000
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	98 80 277 455	24 47 104 175	0 1 5 6	2 2 1 5	16 18 43 77	0 0 0 0	6 25 51 82	0 0 2 2	0 1 2 3	0 0 0	74 33 171 278
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	174 56 777 251 1,258	72 43 337 99 551	1 10 3 15	0 0 14 0 14	20 39 273 59 391	0 0 0 0	49 1 34 32 116	2 0 0 3 5	0 2 6 1 9	0 0 1 1	102 13 435 151 701
15th	Carroll	66	34	0	0	23	0	8	2	1	0	32
15th	Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	56 229 86 202 639	42 128 15 43 262	0 1 0 0 1	0 3 1 6 10	23 18 82 10 27 160	0 0 0 0 0	23 41 1 7 80	1 0 2 0 5	0 1 0 3 5	0 0 1 0 1	14 100 71 159 376
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall	201 1,013 78	97 436 49	7 12 4	13 5 1	51 238 36	0 0 0	23 174 7	3 1 0	0 5 1	0 1 0	104 576 ⁽¹⁾ 29
16th	Circuit Total	1,292	582	23	19	325	0	204	4	6	1	709
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	96 1,279 1,375	48 900 948	1 13 14	2 26 28	35 366 401	0 0 0	9 473 482	1 9 10	0 9 9	0 4 4	47 ⁽¹⁾ 377 424
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2,102 2,102	887 887	47 47	53 53	517(a) 517	0 0	235 235	28 28	7 7	0 0	1,210 1,210
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	2,236 1,050 3,286	1,494 877 2,371	51 298 349	7 77 84	1,027 440 1,467	0 0 0	355 59 414	16 1 17	25 2 27	13 0 13	738 173 911
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	83 82 100 995 43 1,303	53 20 34 366 30 503	0 1 1 64 0 66	0 1 0 2 1 4	37 8 16 166 8 235	0 0 0 0 0 0	15 9 15 125 20 18	0 0 1 0 1	1 0 2 8 1 12	0 1 0 0 0 1	30 62 65 626 ⁽³⁾ 12 795
	Downstate Total	25,489	12,641	676	484	7,737	0	3,679	130	179	193	12,320
	Cook County	0	0	3,892	0	0	0	668	2123	97	0	15,064
	State Total	25,489*	12,641*	4,568	484*	7,737*	0	4,347	2,253	276	193	27,384

*Does not include Cook County () Denotes defendants found guilty but mentally ill. (a) Includes 372 cases transferred to Warrant Calendar.

			DI	SPOSI	IUNS		985	OFI	JEFE	NDA	NIS	СНА	KGE		пп		LUN	T		
			C III			C	ONVIC		D.C.				6	istor	d D. L.					
		Plea of	Guilty				Co	nvicted	By Co	urt			C	onvicted	а бу ја	iry		Found Unfit		
																		to		
		Cla	ass					Cla	ass					Cla	ass			Stand Trail		
м	X	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	* * *	County	Circuit
0 0 0 0 0 0	13 1 0 4 2 20	10 1 0 6 17 4 38	27 22 5 48 50 23 175	40 31 37 45 25 181	26 12 9 51 25 125	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 1 0 3	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 1 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	9th
0 2 0 0 0 2	0 12 1 0 3 16	1 41 1 0 13 56	6 150 4 4 43 207	4 164 4 2 33 207	1 103 1 2 33 140	0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 2 0 0 1 3	0 5 0 0 1 6	0 3 1 0 0 4	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 5 0 1 2 8	0 4 0 0 0 4	0 1 0 1 0 2	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 7 0 0 0 7	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	10th
0 0 0 0 0	0 2 9 ⁽¹⁾ 1 12	3 1 4 24 1 33	2 29 9 100 ⁽¹⁾ 6 146	6 36 10 63 11 126	1 23 ⁽¹⁾ 5 35 4 68	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 8 0 8	1 0 5 1 6	0 0 3 1 4	0 0 1 0 1	0 4 0 0 0 4	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 7 0 7	0 2 0 2 0 4	0 0 1 0 1 2	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	11th
0 0 2 2	1 5 20 26	3 10 47 60	24 86 191 301	26 56 147 229	15 58 81 154	0 0 3 3	0 0 5 5	0 2 2 4	0 2 0 2	0 2 3 ⁽¹⁾ 5	0 0 3 3	0 1 10 11	0 0 7 7	1 0 2 3	1 0 10 11	0 1 9 10	0 0 1 1	0 0 5 5	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	12th
0 0 1 1	0 0 6 6	4 0 8 12	22 8 60 90	30 16 50 96	15 9 39 63	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3	0 0 2 2	0 0 2 2	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	13th
0 0 0 0	2 1 10 1 14	10 0 35 11 56	43 3 123 38 207	24 4 146 57 231	19 5 99 41 164	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 2	1 0 1 0 2	1 0 0 0 1	1 0 2 0 3	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 8 2 11	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 5 0 5	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 5 1 6	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	14th
0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 7 8	2 1 8 7 13 31	10 6 19 20 35 90	12 1 31 18 70 132	6 3 41 18 23 91	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 3 0 3	2 0 0 2 1 5	0 1 0 1 7 9	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	15th
0 1 0 1	0 23 0 31	11 35 ⁽¹⁾ 3 49	36 120 8 164	31 182 5 219	17 176 10 203	0 1 0 1	1 4 0 5	0 2 0 2	2 4 0 6	2 3 0 5	2 3 0 5	1 5 0 6	0 5 1 6	0 5 0 5	0 4 0 4	1 2 0 3	0 1 2 3	0 0 0 0	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	16th 16th
0 2 2	2 18 20	4 19 23	13 99 112	14 149 163	10 60 70	0 1 1	2 6 8	0 1 1	0 2 2	1 5 6	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 7 7	1 ⁽¹⁾ 2 3	0 2 2	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 2 2	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	17th 17th
3 3	11 11	52 52	200 200	334 334	287 287	3 3	15 15	18 18	67 67	106 106	99 99	0 0	5 5	1 1	2 2	4 4	3	5 5	DuPage Circuit Total	18th 18th
1 0 1	19 7 26	58 16 74	209 54 263	223 45 268	169 45 214	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	2 0 2	1 0 1	3 1 4	2 0 2	15 1 16	8 1 9	9 0 9	11 3 14	6 0 6	4 0 4	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	19th 19th
0 0 1 1 0 2	1 1 3 24 ⁽¹⁾ 0 29	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 42^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ 50 \end{array} $	12 15 22 178 6 233	7 24 19 261 3 314	6 16 13 99 3 137	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 1 7 0 8	0 1 0 2 0 3	1 0 1 0 2	0 1 4 0 6	1 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 1 2	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	20th
23 65	355 1,178	691 1,433	3,447 3,222	4,108 4,344	2,652 2,307	12 122	57 389	35 277	120 503	164 558	142 270	46 114	110 132	55 47	80 37	95 53	44 13	51 277	Downstate Total	
88	1,533	2,124	6,669	45,352	4,959	134	446	312	623	722	412	160	242	102	117	148	57	328	State Total	

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1985

		1			We control princip																											_
															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death	Nat					tate conment				Impr	Sta	ate ent &	Fine		(Illir	Perio mpriso nois Do f Corr	epartn	nent	(Illir	risonm nois D	odic nent & epartn rection	nent		Perio mpriso cal Co Institu	onmer rrectio			Perio risonm cal Cor Institu	ent & rrectio	
		Class	Cla	155			C	lass					Cla	ass				Cla	ass			CL	ass			Cla	ass			Cla	155	
Circuit	County	м	м	Х	м	Х	1	2	3	4	М	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	5 37 0 0 0 0 1 1 3	3 0 2 0 1 0 3 0 3	14 28 8 2 0 0 13 8 17	12 11 5 7 4 0 7 12 25	2 7 3 0 0 3 3 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 (1) 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 2 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	0	0	0 0	2 4	3 47	12	90	25 83	30	0	0	2	23	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	3	2
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 0 7 0 0 0 7 0 3 0 1 1 1 9	1 0 3 1 0 0 3 1 0 2 3 4 18	2 0 7 0 0 0 0 21 1 5 1 4 8 49	3 0 11 0 2 1 15 3 6 0 3 3 3 47	1 0 3 0 5 0 12 0 5 0 3 2 31	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 1 0 4	0 0 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 3	0 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	2 0 1 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5 5	4 36 40	0 14 14	0 86 ⁽²⁾ 86	3 54 57	0 31 31	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	2 0 2	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 2
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	2 0 1 0 0 1 5 0 10	4 1 1 1 1 6 2 0 17	9 ⁽¹⁾ 1 4 4 2 12 4 4 41	6 2 3 5 0 8 7 2 36	9 1 5 1 3 0 9 8 3 39	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	(1) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 8 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 2 0 0 3	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 3 0 1 13 17	0 4 0 1 8 13	7 7 0 4 24 42	0 24 0 3 16 43	0 9 0 3 4 16	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 0 4

150

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1985

															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death		ural fe		8		tate sonment				Impr	Sta	ent &	Fine		(Illiu	Peri mprise nois D f Corr	epartn	nent	(Illir	risonn nois-D f Corr	odic nent & epartn rection	nent	(Lo	Perio mprisc cal Coi Institu	onmen rrectic ution)		(Loo	Perio risonm cal Cor Institu	ent & rrectio ution)	
		Class		ass			С	lass					Cla	155				-	ass				ass			Cla				Cla	-	
Circuit	County	М	М	X	M	X	1	2	3	4	М	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 3 0 0 6	15 0 1 7 2 0 25	17 ⁽¹⁾ 0 3 14 1 2 37	28 2 3 40 6 0 79	38 2 29 4 2 77	23 0 2 32 3 0 60	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 5 0 0 5	0 0 4 0 0 4	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 1 5 0 0 6
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 1 0 2 12 0 15	0 0 3 5 31 0 39	0 7 16 8 51 0 82	3 4 15 7 35 0 64	0 2 4 4 16 0 26	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 0 3	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	2 1 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 5	6 0 1 1 1 0 1 10	18 1 3 2 2 3 2 2 33	9 0 2 3 2 1 2 2 21	1 1 2 1 0 1 0 2 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 0 1 3 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 2	14 1 0 4 2 22	6 1 0 3 13 4 27	11 4 2 10 14 10 51	9 7 0 2 13 11 42	11 3 0 2 12 3 31	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 1 0 1
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 20 1 1 5 27	1 35 1 0 10 47	1 54 3 3 10 71	1 49 2 2 8 62	0 45 0 2 7 54	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 6 0 10 ⁽¹⁾ 1 17	2 0 5 15 1 23	0 19 3 35 ⁽¹⁾ 1 58	2 17 0 17 3 39	1 8 ⁽¹⁾ 1 14 4 28	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1985

		1												and the set			-							the second second	-							
															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death		tural ife				tate sonment	t			Impr		ate ent &	Fine		(IIIi	Impris nois D	iodic onmer)epartr rectior	ment	(Illir	risonn 10is D	odic nent & epartr rectior	ment		Impriso cal Co				risonm	odic nent & rrectic ution)	
4		Class	CI	ass			C	lass					CL	ass				C	ass			CI	ass			CL	ass			CL	ass	_
Circuit	County	М	м	Х	м	X	1	2	3	4	М	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 13 14	1 5 32 38	3 11 31 45	6 47 72 125	2 16 60 ⁽¹⁾ 78	1 29 25 55	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 7 8	2 0 4 6	9 1 25 35	9 2 20 31	2 1 11 14	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 1 2 3	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2	0 1 1 2	0 1 5 6
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	3 1 16 3 23	6 0 26 6 38	14 0 27 8 49	10 2 36 16 64	3 2 7 15 27	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 5 0 5	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee. Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 7 9	2 3 6 8 8 27	5 2 4 10 7 28	1 0 3 1 26 31	2 0 7 3 7 19	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 2	1 1 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 3 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 2
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 6 0 7	1 30 1 32	6 35 ⁽¹⁾ 0 41	4 28 0 32	3 46 0 49	1 23 0 24	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2	1 0 0 1	1 1 1 3	1 2 0 3	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 3	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5 5	1 31 32	(1) 17 17	2 30 32	1 45 46	0 19 19	0 0 0	3 0 3	0 0 0	2 0 2	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	2 1 3
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	0 0	0 0	0 0	6 6	31 31	44 44	70 70	95 95	69 69	0 0	0 0	1 1	2 2	3 3	1 1	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	1	2 2	3 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 0 3	34 8 42	48 7 55	49 19 68	52 15 67	18 17 35	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 1
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 6 0 7	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 32^{(1)} \\ 0 \\ 38 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 31^{(1)} \\ 0 \\ 35 \end{array} $	5 3 9 41 1 59	1 5 8 67 0 81	1 4 0 25 0 30	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 1 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Downstate Total	8	0	0	74	497	565	1,180	1,113	646	0	15	10	38	30	18	2	4	9	2	0	8	9	4	3	14	25	17	5	22	31	37
	Cook County	10	0	30	265	1,549	1,040	1,610	2,039	746	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	10	32	14	2	2	12	9
	State Total	18	0	30	339	2,046	1,605	2,790	3,152	1,392	0	15	10	38	30	18	2	4	9	2	0	8	9	4	16	24	57	31	7	24	43	46

152

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1985 - continued

											SENTE	NCES								
			on or Cono n Periodic				on or Conc her Discre				on or Cond lo Discreti			F	oun <mark>d</mark> Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	ed	
			Cl	ass			Cla	ass			Cla	155				CI	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 7 0 0 0 0 0 2 0	1 4 0 6 1 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 2 0	3 0 1 0 0 0 2 0	1 30 2 4 8 1 17 6	19 36 5 11 5 6 60 1	9 17 1 2 6 2 17 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 2 5 1 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	82 187 42 40 25 11 132 35
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	0 1	2 11	4 16	04	1 7	25 94	49 192	28 85	1	0 14	4 14	4 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	182 ^a 736
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 12 3 3 0 0 0 0 19	6 0 1 0 1 1 9 4 3 1 1 0 27	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 1 6 0 2 0 28	0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 5 11	2 0 17 3 0 11 2 3 9 3 16 69	4 1 19 9 2 2 20 4 9 10 4 26 110	7 1 12 5 2 0 19 0 4 6 3 18 77	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 3 96 19 20 5 181 19 48 31 32 84 569
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	0 5 5	4 43 47	7 72 79	1 30 31	1 9 ⁽¹⁾ 10	1 68 69	3 74 77	2 41 43	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	34 571 605
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 1 0 0 5 0 0 6	17 1 4 6 1 4 21 2 0 56	26 2 15 2 1 1 25 1 2 5 1 74	5 2 5 4 0 3 6 0 0 25	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 2	3 11 4 3 6 0 11 5 2 45	5 14 3 7 12 0 9 12 6 68	6 10 18 3 10 3 9 7 4 70	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	95 49 62 36 51 15 129 58 23 518
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	2 1 0 0 1 4	1 9 0 0 8 18	2 11 0 0 15 28	3 15 0 0 0 18	2 3 0 4 4 13	1 13 0 12 48 74	3 20 0 13 37 73	9 17 2 12 27 67	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	35 139 2 55 205 437

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1985 - continued

											SENTE	NCES								
			on or Con Periodic				on or Conc her Discre				on or Conc o Discretic			F	ound Unf	it To Be Se	entenced	or Execute	d	
			CL	ass			Cla	ass			Cla	155				CI	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	Sentences
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Piatt Circuit Total	0 0 3 0 0 3	1 2 6 40 2 2 53	1 1 0 38 5 1 46	1 1 0 49 0 1 52	10 1 0 0 0 0 11	60 1 0 1 3 65	109 1 1 0 3 6 120	64 1 0 3 3 72	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	371 15 21 274 30 23 734
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 3 0 2 26 0 31	0 9 2 1 30 1 43	0 0 1 3 8 0 12	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 5 11 5 29 0 50	1 6 8 19 78 0 112	0 6 8 7 51 0 72	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 48 68 64 382 2 577
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2	8 0 1 14 1 0 0 24	10 1 0 1 7 1 2 0 22	5 0 0 0 1 1 0 2	0 1 3 0 1 0 0 0 5	8 3 2 3 6 1 9 1 33	30 4 3 4 8 5 3 1 58	13 0 2 0 4 1 1 2 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	112 12 17 16 48 17 32 13 267
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 2 0 3	2 2 2 0 12 0 18	2 12 2 0 13 0 29	0 3 1 0 10 0 14	5 0 3 2 0 10	14 11 36 22 13 97	29 6 3 30 15 14 97	15 2 7 27 23 76	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 2 4 0 9	0 5 0 5 1 0 11	0 3 0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	119 67 16 101 172 80 555
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	3 1 1 0 0 5	3 0 1 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 12 0 0 4 16	2 101 0 0 34 135	0 121 1 0 25 147	1 62 0 0 26 88	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	12 503 12 10 ^b 129 656
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 2 5 0 7	0 3 4 4 0 11	0 1 1 0 0 2	0 1 0 6 0 7	2 10 4 56 5 77	4 16 6 50 9 85	0 16 3 17 1 37	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 5 0 5	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 7 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	12 98 29 262 ^c 25 426

154

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1985 - continued

											SENT	INCES								
				ditional Di Imprisoni	0		on or Conc her Discre				on or Cone lo Discreti			F	ound Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	d	
			CL	ass			Cla	155			Cl	ass				CI	ass	,		Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	Sentences
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	0 1 5 6	5 16 24 45	3 12 11 26	2 5 6 13	1 0 15 16	14 25 105 144	21 28 88 137	12 23 54 89	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	71 223 544 838
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	2 0 0 2	9 4 6 19	21 5 7 33	9 1 6 16	0 0 2 2	3 3 22 28	2 8 20 30	3 5 16 24	0 0 1 1	0 0 3 3	0 0 3 3	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	74 33 171 278
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 6 0 6	0 0 53 0 53	0 0 54 0 54	0 2 33 0 35	5 0 2 5 12	30 3 38 27 98	15 2 59 35 111	15 1 61 24 101	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 3	0 0 0 6 6	0 0 0 3 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	102 13 435 151 701
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 3 4	7 0 3 5 3 18	10 0 5 3 14 32	2 0 3 1 2 8	0 0 1 1 2 4	0 2 11 8 23 44	1 20 14 32 68	1 29 14 12 57	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 2 1 5 8	0 0 2 0 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	32 14 100 71 159 377
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	1 2 0 3	1 50 3 54	4 48 2 54	0 15 2 17	2 4 0 6	30 41 2 73	25 80 1 106	18 123 10 151	1 1 0 2	2 8 0 10	1 9 0 10	0 18 0 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	104 577 29 700
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	2 0 2	1 15 16	3 20 23	2 9 11	3 3 6	8 58 66	10 89 99	6 32 38	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	48 377 425
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2 2	24 24	19 19	7 7	18 18	152 152	267 267	167 167	5 5	19 19	54 54	145 145	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 3	0 0	1,210 1,210
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	5 3 8	46 24 70	50 13 63	25 6 31	11 7 18	123 10 133	129 19 148	130 21 151	2 0 2	2 0 2	4 0 4	5 1 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	738 173 911
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 1 0 2 0 3	1 2 3 2 3 11	1 1 0 2 2 5	1 2 1 1 3 8	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 11^{(1)} \\ 0 \\ 14 \end{array}$	8 9 8 135 2 62	6 14 12 193 1 226	4 10 11 67 0 92	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 1 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	30 62 65 629 ^d 12 798
	Downstate Total	68	599	688	334	191	1,608	2,321	1,503	14	70	124	234	0	0	0	2	3	0	12,318
	Cook County	140	485	580	233	293	453	839	716	342	1,049	1,654	784	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,568 ^e
	State Total	208	1,084	1,268	567	484	2,061	3,160	2,219	356	1,119	1,778	1,018	0	0	0	2	3	0	19,886

^a Total sentences includes 1 defendant convicted of Class x offense sentenced to probation, etc. with other discretionary conditions.

^b Total sentences includes 2 defendants convicted of Class 2 offenses sentenced to Imprisonment (local correctional institution).

^c Total sentences includes 1 defendant convicted of Class 3 offense and 1 defendant convicted of Class 4 offense sentenced to Imprisonment (local correctional institution) and 1 defendant (corporation) sentenced "Fine only." ^d Total sentences includes 2 defendants convicted of Class 4 offenses sentenced "Fine only". ^e Total sentences do not include sentences to probation from districts one thru six.

FISCAL YEAR 1985 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of the various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial service through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. FINANCIAL ACTIVITY SUMMARY
- II. REVENUE OF CLERK'S OFFICE
- III. PERSONNEL REPORT
- IV. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS
- V. FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, AND FORFEITURES COLLECTED AND DISTRIBUTED
- VI. FEES OF OTHERS
- VII. MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS
- VIII. COUNTY PRINTOUT REVENUE A
- IX. COUNTY PRINTOUT REVENUE B
- X. COUNTY PRINTOUT PERSONNEL REPORT
- XI. COUNTY PRINTOUT MAINTENANCE AND CHILD SUPPORT
- XII. COUNTY PRINTOUT FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, AND FORFEITURES
- XIII. COUNTY PRINTOUT FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, AND FORFEITURES STATE A
- XIV. COUNTY PRINTOUT FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, AND FORFEITURES STATE B
- XV. COUNTY PRINTOUT FEES OF OTHERS A
- XVI. COUNTY PRINTOUT FEES OF OTHERS B
- XVII. COUNTY PRINTOUT MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS A
- XVIII. COUNTY PRINTOUT MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS B
- XIX. ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FORM #7

1985 FINANCIAL ACTIVITY SUMMARY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

		1983	1984	1985	% CHANGE FROM 1984
TOTAL REVENUE					
COLLECTED	Downstate	27,381,646	28,007,772	29,740,735	+ 6 %
	Cook	31,807,589	31,893,132	39,255,401	+23 %
	State Total	59,189,235	59,900,904	68,996,136	+15 %
SALARIES	Downstate	17,293,686	17,868,393	18,737,965	+ 4.8%
SALARIES	Cook	28,993,247	30,473,236	31,429,826	+ 3 %
	State Total	46,286,933	48,341,629	50,167,791	+ 3.7%
	State Iotal	40,200,933	40,341,023	50,107,791	T 3.770
OTHER COSTS	Downstate	4,409,739	4,456,515	5,054,720	+13.4%
o men coorio	Cook	8,626,408	9,672,305	9,134,025	- 5.5%
	State Total	13,036,147	14,128,820	14,188,745	+ 4 %
TOTAL OPERATING					
COST (Salaries	Downstate	21.703.425	22.324.909	23,792,685	+ 6.5%
+ Other Costs)	Cook	37.619.655	40.145.541	40,563,851	+ 1 %
(other costs)	State Total	59.323.080	62.470.450	64,356,536	+ 3 %
	State rotar	55,525,000	02,470,430	04,330,330	1 5 70
MAINTENANCE &					
CHILD SUPPORT	Downstate	120,026,153	139,699,346	155,182,855	+11 %
	Cook	27,237,043	33,837,027	42,203,385	+ 24.7%
	State Total	147,263,196	173,536,373	197,386,240	+13.7%
FINES, PENALTIES					
ASSESSMENTS, AND	Downstate	53,508,561	54,089,893	54,682,118	+ 1 %
FORFEITURES	Cook	41,994,941	47,253,719	41,338,229	-12.5%
	State Total	95,503,502	101,343,612	96,020,347	- 5.5%
FEES OF OTHERS	Downstate	8,569,538	7,787,690	8,736,176	+12.1%
TEES OF OTHERS	Cook	3,772,542	3,993,182	2,516,869	-36.9%
	State Total	12,342,080	11,780,872	11,253,045	+ 4.4%
total monies					
DISTRIBUTED FOR	Downstate	182,104,254	201,576,930	218,601,149*	+ 8.4%
OTHERS	Cook	73,004,526	85,083,928	86,058,483*	+ 1.1%
	State Total	255,108,780	286,660,858	304,659,632*	+ 6.2%

*This amount does not include "MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS"

1985 SUMMARY REVENUE OF CLERK'S OFFICE AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

	DOWNSTATE	СООК	STATE TOTAL
CLERK'S FEES AND COSTS RECEIVED	25,064,616	31,566,028	56,630,644
COURT AUTOMATION FEES	2,031,946	DOES NOT APPLY	2,031,946
INTEREST PAID ON INVESTED FUNDS	1,843,693	3,210,000	5,053,693
OTHER SOURCES	800,478	4,479,373	5,279,851
TOTAL	29,740,735	39,255,401	68,996,136

1985 PERSONNEL REPORT CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

	1983	1984	1985	% CHANGE
CLERKS SALARY (AVERAGE)	21,989	22,156	23,699	+6.9%
TOTAL OTHER SALARIES (EXCLUDES FRINGE BENEFITS)	44,043,978	46,061,574	47,750,469	+ 3.6%
FULL TIME STAFF	3,235	3,234	3,168	-2%
PART TIME STAFF	151*	145*	216	
TOTAL STAFF	3,386*	3,379*	3,384	

*Cook County part time figures not included

1985 SUMMARY DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

	DOWNSTATE	СООК	STATE TOTAL
MAINTENANCE AND CHILD SUPPORT	155,182,855	42,203,385	197,386,240
FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS AND FORFEITURES	54,682,118	41,338,229	96,020,347
FEES OF OTHERS	8,736,176	2,516,869	11,253,045
MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS	17,411,801	959,344	18,371,145
TOTAL	236,012,950	87,017,827	323,030,777

1985 CIRCUIT COURT CLERKS FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS, AND FORFEITURES COLLECTED AND DISTRIBUTED

UNIT OF	GOVERNMENT	DOWNSTATE	соок	STATE TOTAL
MUNICIP (Cities, Vi Park Distr	llages, Towns,	23,452,964	28,064,004	51,516,968
TOWNSH (Including road distr	township and	180,325	68,334	248,659
COUNTY	(a) Criminal	4,483,338	4,419,203	8,902,541
	(b) Traffic	12,306,694	1,877,230	14,183,924
STATE	(a) Conservation	304,466	8,620	313,086
	(b) Dept. of State Police	3,816,409	1,955,050	5,771,459
	(c) Toll Highway Fund	46,337	767,336	813,673
	(d) Juv. Drug Abuse	30,751	19,295	50,046
	(e) Drug Traffic Prevention	232,337	141,982	374,319
	(f) Violent Crime Victims Assist.	1,104,602	501,725	1,606,327
	(g) Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund	4,788,140	2,262,355	7,050,495
	(h) Drivers Education Fund	3,935,755	1,253,095	5,188,850
		DOWNSTATE	СООК	STATE TOTAL
TOTAL		54,682,118	41,338,229	96,020,347

1985 SUMMARY FEES OF OTHERS DISTRIBUTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

	DOWNSTATE	СООК	STATE TOTAL
STATES ATTORNEY	2,688,046	273,500	2,961,546
SHERIFF	846,101	1,560	847,661
LAW LIBRARY	1,095,276	2,241,809	3,337,085
MARRIAGE FUND	48,072	0	48,072
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	60,156	0	60,156
COUNTY FUND TO FINANCE THE COURT SYSTEM	3,805,526	DOES NOT APPLY	3,805,526
COURT APPROVED COUNSEL	174,212	0	174,212
MUNICIPAL ATTORNEY	18,787	0	18,787
	DOWNSTATE	СООК	STATE TOTAL
TOTAL	8,736,176	2,516,869	11,253,045

1985 SUMMARY MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

	DOWNSTATE	СООК	STATE TOTAL
CRIMINAL RESTITUTION	1,445,180	0	1,445,180
WORK RELEASE COMPENSATION	350,467	1,622	352,089
ABANDONED (UNCLAIMED) BAIL TO COUNTY	571,445	0	571,445
ABANDONED (UNCLAIMED) PROPERTY TO STATE	9,524	0	9,524
DEPOSITS FROM JUDICIAL SALES	3,464,431	0	3,464,431
OTHER	11,570,754	957,722	12,528,476
TOTAL	17,411,801	959,344	18,371,145

REVENUE CIRCUIT CLERKS 1985

County	Circuit	Clerks Fee	Interest Paid	Automation Fees	Other Rev	Other Rev 2
Adams	8	250521	13116.46	10808.00	0	0.00
Alexander	01	43718	2606.15	686.00	0	0.00
Bond	3	40618	0.00	3925.00	0	0.00
Boone	17	124928	8355.06	3141.00	445	0.00
Brown	8	28413	3123.11	0.00	5	0.00
Bureau	13	133637	3599.48	11781.00	0	0.00
Calhoun	8	16580	1737.56	0.00	0	0.00
Carroll	15	55489	0.00	5381.00	0	0.00
Cass	8	42545	2517.03	0.00	0	0.00
Champaign	6	535467	81192.13	58232.00	1606	27902.33
Christian	4	112589	4304.32	0.00	0	0.00
Clark	5	110853	6536.79	0.00	0	0.00
Clay	4	46260	4065.13	1396.00	2165	0.00
Clinton	4	96722	3873.40	3213.00	0	0.00
Coles	5	203346	7457.72	0.00	0	0.00
Cook	22	31566028	3210000.00	0.00	4479373	0.00
Crawford	2	78993	4150.61	5414.00	0	0.00
Cumberland	5	61178	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
DeKalb	16	251888	12127.87	27972.00	0	0.00
DeWitt	6	88477	0.00	6947.41	0	0.00
Douglas	6	73915	1964.96	8468.00	0	0.00
DuPage	18	2484902	338289.31	199592.00	0	0.00
	5	67974	2938.54	7689.00	295	0.00
Edgar Edwards	2	22124	4452.65	2849.00	0	0.00
						0.00
Effingham	4	127350 83565	8199.97	14405.10	3503 2879	0.00
Fayette			2764.85	4330.00	28/9	
Ford	11	42249	1832.24	0.00	0	0.00
Franklin	2	141230	13780.06	16586.00		
Fulton	9	108056	2062.20	2754.00	316	9651.39
Gallatin	2	26623	4166.44	0.00	0	0.00
Greene	7	40709	4918.60	0.00	0	0.00
Grundy	13	89633	8091.25	8647.00	0	0.00
Hamilton	2	28765	1844.02	765.00	0	0.00
Hancock	9	65270	2408.47	4162.00	0	0.00
Hardin	2	13488	0.00	857.00	0	0.00
Henderson	9	31067	2096.81	0.00	0	0.00
Henry	14	186657	11089.04	22075.00	0	0.00
Iroquois	21	67047	11239.08	9528.00	0	0.00
Jackson	1	237758	10032.35	21918.35	0	0.00
Jasper	4	41102	2102.80	0.00	0	0.00
Jefferson	2	141645	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Jersey	7	122692	2256.11	0.00	2060	0.00
Jo Daviess	15	74110	1824.80	8060.00	0	0.00
Johnson	1	35493	3156.01	4562.00	0	0.00
Kane	16	1292442	122615.79	140562.00	0	0.00
Kankakee	21	348884	20690.93	32641.00	0	0.00
Kendall	16	99409	4750.22	12762.00	0	0.00
Knox	9	292690	2679.16	23814.00	0	0.00
Lake	19	2017432	187077.41	226037.50	0	0.00
LaSalle	13	358011	29080.61	43018.00	0	0.00
Lawrence	2	60354	3649.61	0.00	0	0.00
Lee	15	266580	3000.62	18882.00	0	0.00

REVENUE CIRCUIT CLERKS 1985

County	Circuit	Clerks Fee	Interest Paid	Automation Fees	Other Rev	Other Rev 2
Livingston	11	142791	1829.96	17266.00	0	0.00
Logan	11	138784	15294.68	22808.00	971	0.00
Macon	6	581864	31538.97	34849.00	0	0.00
Macoupin	7	221517	10032.94	19272.00	0	0.00
Madison	3	1008822	136080.00	65640.00	117313	163799.00
Marion	4	197510	11469.10	18205.00	0	0.00
Marshall	10	46920	2000.00	5671.00	0	0.00
Mason	8	61984	1451.82	1093.00	0	0.00
Massac	1	60748	3573.79	5097.00	0	0.00
McDonough	9	122401	3820.69	8818.00	4743	0.00
McHenry	19	683703	22293.49	84310.00	0	0.00
McLean	11	503488	43611.64	23010.00	1723	0.00
Menard	8	28556	673.26	0.00	0	0.00
Mercer	14	50212	3809.00	5600.00	0	0.00
Monroe	20	53115	2408.36	0.00	0	0.00
Montgomery	4	114891	44799.27	0.00	0	0.00
Morgan	7	118485	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Moultrie	6	41659	793.07	0.00	14866	0.00
Ogle	15	141136	4674.66	15405.00	0	0.00
Peoria	10	926949	60360.00	112310.00	47915	0.00
Perry	20	82868	0.00	2528.00	0	0.00
Piatt	6	46853	2360.00	561.00	0	0.00
Pike	8	62895	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Pope	1	11964	0.00	936.00	0	0.00
Pulaski	1	44195	0.00	5097.00	0	0.00
Putnam	10	13740	2004.0177.7			(0.0000)
Randolph	20	13740	400.98	1316.00	0	0.00
Richland			2931.58	3453.00	0	0.00
	2	77760	1437.56	7807.00	0	0.00
Rock Island	14	852642	28690.93	71442.00	386236	0.00
Saline	1	107173	11803.34	11148.00	0	0.00
Sangamon	7	817164	44447.97	65665.00	0	0.00
Schuyler	8	25548	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Scott	7	14065	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Shelby	4	39826	982.26	0.00	0	0.00
St. Clair	20	1816135	171791.19	126058.00	0	0.00
Stark	10	17238	2074.96	0.00	0	0.00
Stephenson	15	145448	1966.12	16834.59	678	0.00
Tazewell	10	371695	19880.25	29300.00	0	0.00
Union	1	66893	2863.37	5871.35	0	0.00
Vermilion	5	321219	15316.03	0.00	3013	743.75
Wabash	2	58438	10084.93	4047.00	0	0.00
Warren	9	105469	5086.63	10278.90	0	0.00
Washington	20	48590	2308.21	0.00	0	0.00
Wayne	2	63054	3489.57	8172.00	0	0.00
White	2	81834	2162.73	0.00	0	0.00
Whiteside	14	324845	864.34	15823.20	0	0.00
Will	12	1320130	59336.99	135112.60	0	0.00
Williamson	1	261942	38688.36	19586.00	0	0.00
Winnebago	17	1017950	40392.51	77696.00	0	7650.37
Woodford	11	76511	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
	FT	56630642	5053693.24	2031946.65	5070105	209746.84

PERSONNEL REPORT 1985

County	Circuit	Clerks Salary	Other Salaries	Full	Part
Adams	8	25000.00	140408.00	13	0
Alexander	01	15000.00	23000.00	2	0
Bond	3	22000.00	32701.09	3	0
Boone	17	18923.10	103105.12	8	1
Brown	8	15799.02	18843.16	3	0
Bureau	13	26000.00	95347.87	7	3
Calhoun	8	14000.00	3472.02	0	1
Carroll	15	19752.00	38616.00	3	2
Cass	8	22000.00	26837.43	2	0
Champaign	6	28249.98	318839.41	23	0
Christian	4	25500.00	98615.00	8	0
Clark	5	19500.00	37900.00	4	0
Clay	4	21188.04	38278.55	3	1
Clinton	4	27250.02	60066.01	4	2
Coles	5	25000.00	88641.07	7	2
Cook	22	55000.00	31374826.00	1920	86
Crawford	2	24000.00	31948.76	4	0
Cumberland	5	16560.00	18150.68	2	0
	16			15	1
DeKalb		30000.00	206850.47		1
DeWitt	6	19500.00	63033.00	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Douglas	6	28000.00	75661.25	6	3
DuPage	18	45000.00	2585969.80	147	1
Edgar	5	23000.00	54304.02	5	0
Edwards	2	16800.00	11397.68	1	1
Effingham	4	21000.00	73661.29	6	1
Fayette	4	17500.00	44050.00	4	0
Ford	11	21500.00	23633.81	3	0
Franklin	2	24825.00	55172.70	5	1
Fulton	9	24000.00	56295.11	5	2
Gallatin	2	19000.00	14700.00	1	1
Greene	7	19500.00	43200.00	4	0
Grundy	13	25000.00	65792.25	5	1
Hamilton	2	14500.00	10807.24	1	1
Hancock	9	18000.00	37908.44	4	0
Hardin	2	16718.00	6999.53	1	0
Henderson	9	17400.00	31094.00	2	1
Henry	14	25000.00	139712.15	13	0
Iroquois	21	24500.00	87859.26	8	0
Jackson	1	26750.00	94266.70	8	1 1
lasper	4	21838.08	22096.05	1	2
Jefferson	2	21000.00	68545.34	6	ō
Jersey	7	18500.00	43473.00	4	0
Jo Daviess	15	21000.00	33650.00	3	
Johnson	1	21500.00	25938.63	3	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	35000.00	893472.71	69	0
Kane Kankakee	21	25000.00	144763.00	22	2
	16			4	3
Kendall	9	26000.00 20988.00	72527.23 156786.00	15	0
Knox					
Lake	19	42300.00	1231898.58	87	2
LaSalle	13	25000.00	305644.56	32	
Lawrence	2	19200.00	37456.67	3	1
Lee	15	27000.00	116441.56	9	1

PERSONNEL REPORT 1985

County	Circuit	Clerks Salary	Other Salaries	Full	Part
Livingston	11	28000.00	90727.19	7	3
Logan	11	22500.00	113022.80	8	1
Macon	6	34000.00	384917.00	31	5
Macoupin	7	27166.84	139119.50	10	0
Madison	3	35780.00	867294.00	57	15
Marion	4	24000.00	104556.00	8	1
Marshall	10	19000.00	40002.59	2	2
Mason	8	20280.00	39439.00	3	1
Massac	1	17802.68	42663.12	4	0
McDonough	9	21000.00	81256.00	9	1
McHenry	19	34000.00	501492.68	40	2
McLean	11	29579.93	357820.54	25	22
Menard	8	20479.25	30606.88	2	1
Mercer	14	22800.00	28147.00	2	1
Monroe	20	24818.88	41671.60	3	1
Montgomery	4	20500.00	78156.00	7	0
Morgan	7	20300.00	65083.46	3	5
Moultrie	6		47290.00	4	0
	15	21000.00	91244.87	7	2
Ogle		24500.00			
Peoria	10	32000.00	590953.00	48	3
Perry	20	25500.00	47818.00	4	0
Piatt	6	24997.44	72742.01	6	0
Pike	8	18500.00	49000.00	5	0
Pope	1	16500.00	4352.00	0	1
Pulaski	1	17000.00	20400.00	2	0
Putnam	10	17750.00	5246.05	0	1
Randolph	20	23900.00	48900.00	4	0
Richland	2	23095.81	39400.96	2	2
Rock Island	14	31250.00	325850.60	28	0
Saline	1	22124.16	48929.48	4	1
Sangamon	7	30000.00	441851.93	32	1
Schuyler	8	16500.00	19700.20	2	0
Scott	7	17200.08	10900.08	1	0
Shelby	4	16499.88	34379.46	4	0
St. Clair	20	32000.00	533761.00	48	1
Stark	10	18872.40	16302.35	1	1
Stephenson	15	23999.82	84535.76	8	2
Tazewell	10	28665.00	305487.00	26	0
Union	1	18000.00	34852.58	3	1
Vermilion	5	26499.98	209729.49	19	3
Wabash	2	19008.00	34896.00	3	0
Warren	9	22000.00	67651.13	5	1
Washington	20	20000.00	24400.00	2	o i
Wayne	2	16000.00	51100.20	5	0
White	2	23710.00	55020.00	4	0
Whiteside	14	26000.00	121148.05	11	2
Will	12	34000.08	1073618.53	75	0
Williamson	1	27000.00	102393.19	9	2
Winnebago	17	34000.00	580015.52	48	3
Woodford	11	25000.00	65966.50	40	1
11 OGUIOIG	FT		STERNE STORE STORE STORE		
	FI	2417321.47	47750469.50	3168	216

MAINTENANCE AND CHILD SUPPORT 1985

County	Circuit	Maint and Child
Adams	8	1816941
Alexander	01	128945
Bond	3	86267
Boone	17	818046
Brown	8	147840
Bureau	13	1026699
Calhoun	8	51123
Carroll	15	583986
Cass	8	362576
Champaign	6	2989348
Christian	4	1288659
Clark	5	420189
Clay	4	261902
Clinton	4	389054
Coles	5	1991794
Cook	22	42203385
Crawford	2	652674
Cumberland	5	232177
DeKalb	16	645271
DeWitt	6	771961
Douglas	6	509406
DuPage	18	17488077
Edgar	5	573581
Edwards	2	153464
Effingham	4	767050
Fayette	4	497876
Ford	11	246787
Franklin	2	
	9	1041346
Fulton		1532605
Gallatin	2 7	100305
Greene		32045
Grundy	13	934595
Hamilton	2	0
Hancock	9	622774
Hardin	2	106691
Henderson	9	266859
Henry	14	1973068
Iroquois	21	386232
Jackson	1	985851
Jasper	4	119146
Jefferson	2	872331
Jersey	7	387538
Jo Daviess	15	458231
Johnson	1	69628
Kane	16	8972566
Kankakee	21	3141494
Kendall	16	797484
Knox	9	3007857
Lake	19	5841345
LaSalle	13	3029342
Lawrence	2	423698
Lee	15	1363709

County	Circ	Maint and Child
ivingston	11	1119635
ogan	11	916433
Aacon	6	7818753
Aacoupin	7	1076940
Madison	3	5226423
Marion	4	1131781
Marshall	10	432288
Mason	8	26873
Massac	1	220360
McDonough	9	917122
AcHenry	19	2458499
McLean	11	1738342
Menard	8	183192
Mercer	14	1006840
Monroe	20	339947
Montgomery	4	605031
Morgan	7	1352041
Moultrie	6	497786
Ogle	15	1007530
Peoria	10	7659179
	20	884588
Perry Piatt	1015	
Teres .	6	889510
Pike	8	283530
Pope	1	68513
Pulaski	1	129172
Putnam	10	164574
Randolph	20	1026966
Richland	2	342963
Rock Island	14	7907048
Saline	1	717800
angamon	7	6005509
Schuyler	8	180703
cott	7	93324
helby	4	451062
St. Clair	20	2170315
Stark	10	148247
stephenson	15	1738670
azewell	10	4371544
Jnion	1	194153
/ermilion	5	545004
Wabash	2	382694
Varren	9	767558
Vashington	20	270298
ayne	2	321614
Vhite	2	348925
Vhiteside	14	2557187
Vill	12	9577274
Villiamson	1	991416
Vinnebago	17	4012116
Voodford	11	537150
	FT	197386240

FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS & FORFEIT

County	Municipalities	Townships	County Criminal	County Traffic
Adams	292295	0.00	61608.57	98819.40
Alexander	14917	0.00	21699.00	50571.00
Bond	13506	0.00	6821.11	43268.49
Boone	63356	0.00	15781.50	182584.50
Brown	4808	0.00	7032.38	29217.80
Bureau	96217	0.00	36147.53	136785.79
Calhoun	5985	0.00	9261.20	16622.00
Carroll	25736	0.00	10445.00	44301.25
Cass	20610	0.00	16107.20	19068.10
Champaign	450783	8270.00	35318.50	
Christian	75799	0.00		218700.32
Clark	22510	0.00	38311.43	118460.91
			21847.76	120089.87
Clay	28071	0.00	23892.00	34977.00
Clinton	88916	0.00	34627.82	93012.75
Coles	152955	0.00	58590.18	136897.50
Cook	28064004	68334.00	4419203.00	1877230.00
Crawford	29965	0.00	12156.92	42370.00
Cumberland	11485	0.00	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	318217	0.00	40603.70	188752.75
DeWitt	50080	0.00	15205.79	51157.29
Douglas	18042	0.00	7297.70	86048.82
DuPage	4022623	10209.00	202962.65	473579.52
Edgar	45083	587.00	22202.84	32983.71
Edwards	1750	0.00	10736.20	22422.00
Effingham	43469	0.00	60953.45	203583.00
Favette	30393	0.00	16938.42	78936.39
Ford	13649	889.00	7408.20	33463.80
Franklin	58986	0.00	141293.76	0.00
Fulton	54684	0.00	29565.00	72164.00
Gallatin	23479			
Greene		0.00	16655.40	41879.00
	17487	0.00	7504.20	36765.18
Grundy	78668	0.00	23181.50	95444.45
Hamilton	4193	0.00	9323.12	27569.00
Hancock	29677	802.00	27752.20	38806.20
Hardin	2168	0.00	11562.94	9561.04
Henderson	4726	0.00	10155.64	51964.40
Henry	146997	0.00	58184.32	191395.80
Iroquois	27831	0.00	27859.23	182534.60
Jackson	305159	0.00	106721.70	125103.50
Jasper	15351	0.00	11409.47	64359.10
Jefferson	57263	0.00	71334.20	125767.25
Jersey	45979	0.00	14849.81	94117.00
lo Daviess	55664	0.00	19435.00	71313.20
Johnson	3053	0.00	14638.86	60964.06
Kane	1014211	27792.00	116308.92	320642.07
Kankakee	234907	0.00	55711.72	164668.80
Kendall	96299	0.00	18327.00	73316.00
Knox	202216	CONTRACTOR OF CONT		
Lake	3526646	306.00	37268.40	75288.70
		5400.00	189140.13	585113.23
LaSalle	270807	0.00	53247.63	203958.96
Lawrence	31790	0.00	11583.25	50746.75
Lee	118465	0.00	46579.76	185026.40

FINES, PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS & FORFEIT

County	Municipalities	Townships	County Criminal	County Traffic
Livingston	54758	814.00	19291.28	196595.99
Logan	69878	0.00	13676.20	253293.30
Macon	530051	0.00	180941.43	182419.90
Macoupin	68155	0.00	44407.79	88814.04
Madison	1100924	0.00	64506.00	493275.00
Marion	216076	0.00	62545.05	222719.00
Marshall	14771	0.00	10635.80	29895.45
Mason	54498	0.00	14013.72	32051.80
Massac	32068	0.00	24273.10	59121.23
McDonough	121133	0.00	38573.46	187509.40
McHenry	1164114	55493.55	350087.96	0.00
McLean	626744	4042.25	54085.38	219080.06
Menard	11870	0.00	6970.60	30275.50
Mercer	13521	0.00	5294.00	34415.00
Monroe	47248	778.00	10185.00	23308.00
Montgomery	52177	0.00	48532.01	138627.90
0	73003	0.00	50923.17	71925.20
Morgan	5784	0.00		63018.00
Moultrie			19317.60	
Ogle	147143	1542.00	47075.10	186758.18
Peoria	734727	0.00	162049.00	205602.00
Perry	66169	0.00	55176.35	95239.10
Piatt	19924	0.00	20517.00	44571.00
Pike	16615	0.00	9540.00	78287.00
Pope	1486	0.00	20660.00	11010.00
Pulaski	8030	0.00	15615.50	51361.10
Putnam	4902	0.00	590.00	10258.00
Randolph	57194	0.00	24721.50	67802.00
Richland	79519	0.00	23328.70	56200.00
Rock Island	623303	0.00	50759.07	227125.66
Saline	66101	0.00	68792.87	106362.80
Sangamon	585034	0.00	81503.85	432747.71
Schuyler	2937	203.00	6842.40	24751.25
Scott	1717	0.00	2128.00	23808.50
Shelby	20206	0.00	32451.19	32602.30
St. Clair	981865	0.00	72168.87	564249.44
Stark	5837	0.00	2775.00	9952.00
Stephenson	97669	12048.22	28106.95	150750.70
Tazewell	459548	0.00	82023.61	199479.78
Union	14930	0.00	14282.80	40367.70
Vermilion	192639	7225.00	44282.60	103740.00
Wabash	54460	0.00	16303.00	30003.00
Warren	30267	0.00	88908.50	0.00
Washington	9448	0.00	9821.10	96173.60
Wayne	10832	0.00	7316.40	71114.00
White	31609	0.00	53575.42	94222.70
Whiteside	186622	114.00	64285.93	147696.00
Will	1317146	18021.40	168248.75	48083.25
Williamson	1317146	0.00	63960.77	175027.40
Winnebago	838494	25788.70	193493.15	559632.33
Woodford	47812	0.00	10225.70	97508.75
FT	51516968	248659.12	8902541.84	14183924.67

County	State	Conservation	State DLE	Toll Highway Fund	Juvenile Drug Abuse
Drug Traffic Pre					
Adams	0.00	23424	4473.20	0.00	0.00
Alexander	0.00	9197	3229.14	0.00	0.00
Bond	0.00	2395	4295.00	0.00	0.00
Boone	0.00	473	3881.00	0.00	0.00
Brown	0.00	770	1955.00	0.00	0.00
Bureau	9380.00	3389	34714.00	0.00	2173.25
Calhoun	0.00	2398	540.00	0.00	0.00
Carroll	3949.75	3003	3865.00	0.00	564.25
Cass	0.00	550	1295.00	0.00	0.00
Champaign	0.00	1174	6130.00	0.00	0.00
Christian	0.00	2741	14755.00	0.00	0.00
Clark	0.00	1340	318473.57	0.00	290.00
		90	0.00		0.00
Clay	0.00			0.00	
Clinton	0.00	3033	4535.00	0.00	0.00
Coles	0.00	6601	2420.00	0.00	0.00
Cook	141982.00	8620	1955050.00	767336.00	19295.00
Crawford	0.00	2394	1187.40	0.00	0.00
Cumberland	0.00	385	0.00	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	0.00	1641	3233.25	0.00	0.00
DeWitt	0.00	5108	1186.00	0.00	0.00
Douglas	5000.00	703	1750.00	0.00	0.00
DuPage	53949.48	0	138412.38	12776.30	0.00
Edgar	4192.00	1311	7287.66	0.00	0.00
Edwards	0.00	186	7015.00	0.00	0.00
Effingham	0.00	99	7045.00	0.00	0.00
Fayette	0.00	2409	53012.64	0.00	0.00
Ford	0.00	462	1888.50	0.00	0.00
Franklin	297.51	3268	8631.00	0.00	42.49
Fulton	0.00	2168	5929.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00		9316.63		0.00
Gallatin		630		0.00	
Greene	0.00	1530	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grundy	0.00	5368	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hamilton	6.00	828	1275.00	0.00	250.00
Hancock	1825.00	1133	1785.00	0.00	0.00
Hardin	0.00	577	8936.00	0.00	0.00
Henderson	105.00	972	515.00	0.00	15.00
Henry	0.00	3249	8140.00	0.00	0.00
Iroquois	0.00	1436	91458.90	0.00	200.00
Jackson	0.00	29800	0.00	0.00	0.00
Jasper	0.00	2107	940.00	0.00	0.00
Jefferson	1559.68	1515	9360.00	0.00	365.32
Jersey	1060.00	6436	3954.00	0.00	0.00
lo Daviess	0.00	4199	10200.00	0.00	0.00
Johnson	45.00	1355	629.50	0.00	0.00
Kane	0.00	1925	65481.90	0.00	0.00
Kankakee	0.00				
		9352	31779.50	0.00	0.00
Kendall	262.50	2937	4917.50	0.00	37.50
Knox	0.00	1435	4245.00	0.00	0.00
Lake	0.00	17915	113492.97	33561.00	0.00
LaSalle	0.00	1753	24705.00	0.00	0.00
Lawrence	0.00	913	9850.00	0.00	43.75
Lee	2757.37	2647	46225.00	0.00	1039.65

County	State	Conservation	State DLE	Toll Highway Fund	Juvenile Drug Abuse
Drug Traffic Pre					
Livingston	0.00	923	16445.00	0.00	0.00
Logan	0.00	840	4775.50	0.00	0.00
Macon	7617.85	1544	24277.00	0.00	0.00
Macoupin	0.00	646	4731.70	0.00	0.00
Madison	0.00	4787	222286.00	0.00	0.00
Marion	1159.37	1652	1903.80	0.00	984.38
Marshall	0.00	1355	2094.00	0.00	0.00
Mason	0.00	2194	7335.00	0.00	0.00
Massac	323.75	1457	0.00	0.00	80.00
McDonough	0.00	1316	4445.00	0.00	5650.40
McHenry	20078.07	3259	22850.60	0.00	3405.76
McLean	0.00	1757	90531.00	0.00	0.00
Menard	0.00	3690	3040.00	0.00	0.00
Mercer	0.00	2780	1055.00	0.00	0.00
Monroe	0.00	805	STORE TO STORE AND	0.00	0.00
			13235.00	0.00	232.80
Montgomery	0.00	2043	79407.35	0.00	953.34
Morgan	0.00	2705	7428.60		
Moultrie	0.00	4785	00.0	0.00	0.00
Ogle	3117.64	5411	6960.00	0.00	445.36
Peoria	8087.00	8310	17573.00	0.00	0.00
Perry	0.00	880	13515.00	0.00	0.00
Piatt	0.00	418	820.00	0.00	0.00
Pike	214.37	1587	8460.00	0.00	30.63
Pope	2765.69	1212	825.00	0.00	382.01
Pulaski	0.00	548	11895.00	0.00	0.00
Putnam	0.00	944	0.00	0.00	0.00
Randolph	0.00	5666	7136.70	0.00	0.00
Richland	0.00	690	135.00	0.00	0.00
Rock Island	26290.62	3129	421834.80	0.00	5368.88
Saline	0.00	554	3328.80	0.00	0.00
Sangamon	10974.22	1808	205607.00	0.00	1135.60
Schuyler	0.00	3651	362.81	0.00	343.75
Scott	0.00	220	1480.00	0.00	0.00
Shelby	0.00	6351	1023.00	0.00	450.32
St. Clair	28796.84	2099	308706.62	0.00	2379.66
Stark	0.00	280	3060.00	0.00	0.00
Stephenson	3340.36	1970	29241.00	0.00	795.35
Tazewell	3613.22	2782	21513.20	0.00	1243.38
Union	0.00	1744	1565.00	0.00	0.00
Vermilion	0.00	8121	27746.00	0.00	0.00
Wabash	215.00	1423	0.00	0.00	0.00
Warren	0.00	1904	7663.75	0.00	0.00
Washington	0.00	938	6390.00	0.00	0.00
Wayne	0.00	732	1535.00	0.00	0.00
White	23.44	798	50515.00	0.00	0.00
Whiteside	10176.88	5782	14375.00	0.00	1104.14
Will	0.00	8019	653305.57	0.00	744.38
Williamson	0.00	3335	366882.24	0.00	0.00
Winnebago	18280.39	3408	23199.20	0.00	0.00
	2873.50	490	5570.00	0.00	0.00
Woodford					50046.35
FT	374319.50	313086	5771459.88	813673.30	50040.35

County	Crime Victims A	Surcharge Fund	Driver Ed Fund
Adams	10085	58123.80	26917.00
Alexander	75	12088.25	8621.00
Bond	1739	8733.10	7440.00
Boone	8253	22003.00	22404.00
Brown	1274	5548.90	6675.00
Bureau	9258	34862.00	23032.00
Calhoun	799	3950.25	3656.25
Carroll	7017	10884.00	11103.75
Cass	3893		
		6736.00	5608.00
Champaign	40331	80310.10	79310.63
Christian	13504	30185.20	25640.75
Clark	7694	49731.01	14044.00
Clay	5650	9338.70	9805.00
Clinton	11944	25322.75	22260.00
Coles	18939	40773.52	37209.00
Cook	501725	2262355.00	1253095.00
Crawford	1610	8987.00	10566.25
Cumberland	1960	6069.25	6362.00
DeKalb	10079	36667.45	39041.00
DeWitt	7841	16428.66	18179.00
Douglas	4407		
		15397.40	18712.88
DuPage	306	337825.56	509179.88
Edgar	6134	13650.04	13791.92
Edwards	355	5158.50	4674.00
Effingham	2923	35697.00	40031.00
Fayette	6826	23090.56	20122.80
Ford	1492	4754.50	6466.00
Franklin	226	27646.10	30535.60
Fulton	4863	16785.60	12225.00
Gallatin	1962	9936.37	8272.00
Greene	1611	7021.40	5732.00
Grundy	3325	11985.30	23392.25
Hamilton	1772	4769.70	5188.00
Hancock	5672		
Hardin		11576.50	7445.00
	640	3304.21	1559.13
Henderson	2662	8284.50	4352.00
Henry	13718	49189.00	39465.70
Iroquois	0	32853.10	35520.00
Jackson	0	57686.20	56572.10
Jasper	3282	10643.50	6848.75
Jefferson	8371	30478.80	20583.00
Jersey	10630	14624.50	17002.00
Jo Daviess	5267	18330.00	11773.00
Johnson	1736	9570.05	9461.81
Kane	10521	196352.75	183947.00
Kankakee	0	61029.73	55102.60
Kendall	3193	22793.50	17624.00
	and the second se		
Knox	26744	41792.40	35112.90
Lake	140967	524249.29	351403.55
LaSalle	27261	65795.27	55001.00
Lawrence	3742	11841.00	12727.50
Lee	12891	48297.67	41819.75

County	Crime Victims A	Surcharge Fund	Driver Ed Fund
Livingston	7994	31363.90	29622.00
Logan	7635	45387.00	42960.00
Macon	5951	105154.30	70472.00
Macoupin	10574	28757.00	34925.00
Madison	59371	221727.00	111272.00
Marion	14409	57335.70	53367.00
Marshall	4222	7239.22	8010.25
Mason	6020	14002.40	13859.00
Massac	3461	14230.00	11671.62
McDonough	1040	27219.28	23417.00
McHenry	25983	179460.35	98563.50
McLean	16726	85454.42	75155.40
Menard	2202	6504.00	7195.00
Mercer	2477	7565.00	4813.00
Monroe	2070	11226.00	12015.00
Montgomery	11994	36006.69	30646.00
Morgan	11583	23817.80	15757.46
Moultrie	3198	12296.50	9988.00
Ogle	8542		
	32947	43500.20	27980.00
Peoria		112356.00	120745.00
Perry	9865	25638.50	22117.00
Piatt	5438	9418.00	10190.00
Pike	4419	8815.00	9680.00
Pope	1799	3473.50	1985.00
Pulaski	1424	10251.28	12341.25
Putname	530	2102.00	2316.00
Randolph	8345	19154.05	21359.00
Richland	695	15862.60	15058.00
Rock Island	55569	155442.18	103050.00
Saline	11389	27425.96	22032.03
Sangamon	31364	115616.77	94550.20
Schuyler	1663	4578.50	5670.94
Scott	861	3262.00	3601.00
shelby	5364	9131.70	6072.40
st. Clair	56844	240192.98	233550.77
stark	814	2474.00	2370.00
stephenson	12577	37074.70	29976.45
azewell	19540	94936.30	71404.60
Union	3434	8079.50	7141.25
/ermilion	17960	61209.62	55429.80
Vabash	6351	11615.50	12998.00
Varren	6330	18010.25	10097.20
Washington	5161	13447.00	16000.00
Vayne	5021	11891.50	13085.00
White	1771	23870.00	14286.00
Whiteside	25803	49149.30	27962.40
Vill	3267	298078.08	104823.95
	Part and the second	Contraction of the second	
Villiamson	15539	81740.38	28340.00
Vinnebago	65198	137186.99	123881.97
Woodford	6424	19257.00	12432.50
FT	1606327	7050495.84	5188850.69

County	States Attorney	Sheriff Fees	Law Library Fees	Marriage Fund Fees
Adams	24233	10625.15	14534.00	430.00
Alexander	4110	600.00	1540.00	0.00
Bond	3114	806.40	3601.00	60.00
Boone	14048	2740.20	5342.00	820.00
Brown	3095	661.40	704.00	0.00
Bureau	1240	0.00	6040.00	370.00
Calhoun	2260	670.00	690.00	0.00
Carroll	4590	5135.08	2720.00	120.00
Cass	6465	1869.50	1508.00	0.00
Champaign	43738	25542.53	26025.04	0.00
Christian	10714	11196.52	2786.00	0.00
Clark	8475	1087.20	0.00	0.00
Clay	5415	2209.80	2575.00	40.00
Clinton	12160	3138.30	5367.00	70.00
Coles	22044	2713.84	4897.00	350.00
Cook	273500	1560.00	2241809.00	0.00
Crawford	4313	1126.60	5153.00	0.00
Cumberland	1475	63.80	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	24797	470.80	14751.00	1270.00
DeWitt	1538	2969.88	1439.00	5.00
Douglas	2912	1245.00	1434.00	0.00
DuPage	450404	12381.19	152342.00	11245.00
Edgar	8457	1523.80	4176.00	0.00
Edwards	1345	343.00	814.00	0.00
Effingham	8520	2157.65	5138.00	130.00
Fayette	11098	1389.30	4538.00	40.00
Ford	2836	1934.40	1319.00	0.00
Franklin	24114	2056.95	8856.84	340.00
Fulton	4364	4738.70	4746.00	10.00
Gallatin	2966	278.40	618.00	0.00
Greene	1673	808.05	1264.00	0.00
Grundy	0	0.00	6852.00	490.00
Hamilton	5756	3849.55	2832.00	0.00
Hancock	6990	4287.50	0.00	0.00
Hardin	1237	524.00	1228.00	0.00
Henderson	4800	107.60	1239.00	0.00
Henry	10884	3073.30	10755.00	160.00
Iroquois	960	2649.01	1354.00	0.00
lackson	23991	1933.70	4413.50	1060.00
Jasper	4380	528.00	1798.00	0.00
Jefferson	26876	4531.00	4187.00	0.00
Jersey	5378	480.00	3552.00	0.00
lo Daviess	5126	2370.24	3172.00	220.00
Johnson	2065	1432.49	686.00	
				0.00
Kane	165283	948.40	70954.00	7090.00
Kankakee	18178	13193.70	21155.50	1690.00
Kendall	2595	0.00	4388.00	680.00
Knox	14642	4442.34	11409.00	0.00
Lake	42509	22543.04	103729.00	0.00
LaSalle	22919	2832.90	23219.00	0.00
Lawrence	5023	1016.60	0.00	0.00
Lee	7069	4319.20	10431.00	800.00

County	States Attorney	Sheriff Fees	Law Library Fees	Marriage Fund Fees
Livingston	8216	4400.77	4066.00	0.00
Logan	11747	4208.30	4441.00	0.00
Macon	90186	135455.80	22585.80	2280.00
Macoupin	10174	3951.30	9154.00	0.00
Madison	570877	1341.00	30641.00	0.00
Marion	20461	5114.52	7941.00	280.00
Marshall	3572	1379.60	2002.00	180.00
Mason	7546	3940.60	1634.00	0.00
Massac	2585	1450.00	1453.00	30.00
McDonough	17791	7816.06	5968.00	270.00
McHenry	27457	13736.04	39998.00	0.00
McLean	56725	115752.70	13376.00	1090.00
Menard	3244	1375.80	1715.00	0.00
Mercer	3340	576.00	4511.00	115.00
Monroe	3540	25.20	1292.00	0.00
Montgomery	20291	8780.09	4014.00	160.00
0 /	12673	2682.10	7548.00	470.00
Morgan				
Moultrie	4280	1022.95	2670.00	0.00
Ogle	13273	31503.76	8230.00	720.00
Peoria	84642	152707.00	42501.00	0.00
Perry	9683	5148.78	3373.00	0.00
Piatt	4172	4526.20	3225.25	0.00
Pike	5540	1166.00	2712.00	0.00
Pope	1335	888.80	211.00	0.00
Pulaski	4689	2138.80	1011.00	0.00
Putnam	1049	0.00	380.00	10.00
Randolph	11150	9418.06	3501.00	390.00
Richland	8731	1309.20	3212.00	0.00
Rock Island	26713	4555.74	46312.00	0.00
Saline	6506	2057.70	6270.50	230.00
Sangamon	58796	8712.58	29748.00	0.00
Schuyler	2121	849.80	1268.00	0.00
Scott	1253	331.60	729.00	0.00
Shelby	5289	1483.80	1983.00	0.00
St. Clair	34802	8559.59	28892.00	4200.00
Stark	1640	160.00	0.00	0.00
Stephenson	26407	9968.94	10890.00	690.00
Tazewell	9756	9843.22	14478.00	1630.00
Union	6100	5186.10	2514.00	150.00
Vermilion	8434	4684.33	10116.00	1890.00
Wabash	6433	3080.20	3210.00	0.00
	14154	4415.28	3270.00	0.00
Warren				
Washington	3565	187.60	0.00	0.00
Wayne	9256	6085.84	4306.00	50.00
White	7669	8352.17	2503.00	0.00
Whiteside	28746	5287.72	14217.00	0.00
Will	221362	21883.95	43518.67	867.00
Williamson	19438	3013.15	5792.00	660.00
Winnebago	50091	33540.15	56630.00	4220.00
Woodford	7372	4500.40	3234.40	0.00
FT	2941546	847661.30	3337085.50	48072.00

County	Domestic Violence	Finance Court	Court App Counsel	Municipal Attorney
Adams	0	34545.00	0.00	0.00
Alexander	0	8996.00	0.00	0.00
Bond	0	6381.00	0.00	0.00
Boone	0	27396.00	4956.15	2020.00
Brown	0	15870.00	0.00	0.00
Bureau	0	23435.00	0.00	0.00
Calhoun	0	1916.25	402.55	0.00
Carroll	0	8205.00	625.00	0.00
Cass	0	5300.00	0.00	0.00
Champaign	0	82879.00	15224.10	0.00
Christian	0	22096.40	0.00	0.00
Clark	0	23504.40	0.00	0.00
Clay	0	4960.00	0.00	0.00
Clinton	0	17555.00	0.00	0.00
Coles	0	36220.00	0.00	0.00
Cook	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Crawford	0	8391.00	380.00	0.00
Cumberland	0	6305.00	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	0	47461.00	0.00	0.00
DeWitt	0	11020.50	4457.31	0.00
	0			
Douglas		14310.00	999.50	0.00
DuPage	0	415097.00	0.00	0.00
Edgar	65	9795.00	0.00	0.00
Edwards	0	3329.00	0.00	0.00
Effingham	0	23740.00	775.00	0.00
Fayette	0	15115.00	0.00	0.00
Ford	0	7244.00	269.50	0.00
Franklin	170	18568.00	70.00	0.00
Fulton	0	15220.00	5772.50	0.00
Gallatin	0	3111.00	0.00	0.00
Greene	0	7043.25	0.00	0.00
Grundy	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hamilton	0	3850.00	0.00	0.00
Hancock	0	9046.00	0.00	0.00
Hardin	0	869.00	0.00	0.00
Henderson	0	5215.00	75.00	0.00
Henry	0	37017.50	0.00	0.00
Iroquois	0	25860.00	17526.73	0.00
Jackson	44515	40853.50	0	0.00
Jasper	0	7455.00	250.00	10.00
Jefferson	0	21641.00	695.00	0.00
Jersey	0	14478.00	0.00	0.00
Jo Daviess	0	14220.00	0.00	0.00
Johnson	0	7819.00	12196.34	0.00
Kane	0	159319.00	0.00	0.00
Kankakee	7155	44679.00	0.00	0.00
Kendall	0	18906.00	0.00	0.00
Knox	0	34046.00	3431.20	0.00
Lake	0	390857.00	0.00	305.00
LaSalle	0	51814.00	0.00	
Lawrence	0			0.00
Lee	0	7285.00	0.00	0.00
Lee	U	36211.00	0.00	0.00

County	Domestic Violence	Finance Court	Court App Counsel	Municipal Attorney
Livingston	0	25554.00	0.00	0.00
Logan	0	37425.00	0.00	0.00
Macon	0	86728.47	13302.55	0.00
Macoupin	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Madison	0	135271.00	0.00	8225.00
Marion	0	37947.00	0.00	0.00
Marshall	40	6000.00	0.00	0.00
Mason	0	11365.70	0.00	0.00
Massac	0	10580.00	0.00	0.00
McDonough	0	19426.00	2227.03	0.00
McHenry	0	139157.00	965.00	3833.00
McLean	0	97780.00	2965.60	0.00
Menard	0	4810.00	0.00	0.00
Mercer	2477	6122.00	0.00	0.00
Monroe	0	8145.00	0.00	0.00
Montgomery	0		0.00	0.00
	0	21715.00		
Morgan		15864.00	0.00	0.00
Moultrie	0	7741.00	125.00	0.00
Ogle	0	22922.00	0.00	50.00
Peoria	0	116352.00	16989.00	0.00
Perry	0	12963.00	0.00	0.00
Piatt	5724	765.00	7349.50	0.00
Pike	0	9145.00	20000.00	0.00
Pope	5	1580.00	9122.90	0.00
Pulaski	0	10445.00	0.00	0.00
Putnam	0	1795.00	0.00	0.00
Randolph	0	13245.00	0.00	0.00
Richland	0	12998.00	0.00	0.00
Rock Island	0	105177.00	0.00	110.00
Saline	0	13756.74	0.00	1099.00
Sangamon	0	133449.50	0.00	0.00
Schuyler	5	4300.00	0.00	5.00
Scott	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shelby	0	4655.00	0.00	0.00
St. Clair	0	158204.20	0.00	310.00
Stark	0	1575.00	0.00	0.00
Stephenson	0	28839.00	375.00	2820.20
Tazewell	0	66273.00	19111.87	0.00
Union	0			0.00
Vermilion	0	8000.00	0.00	
	0	50181.00	0.00	0.00
Wabash	0	8226.00	0.00	0.00
Warren	0	2016.52	0.00	0.00
Washington	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Wayne	0	9427.00	327.40	0.00
White	0	10480.00	0.00	0.00
Whiteside	0	31574.00	0.00	0.00
Will	0	228435.00	13246.23	0.00
Williamson	0	40843.00	0.00	0.00
Winnebago	0	153573.50	0.00	0.00
Woodford	0	16250.00	0.00	0.00
FT	60156	3805526.43	174212.96	18787.20

County	Criminal Restitution	Work Release Com	Abandoned Bail
Adams	73609	6560.78	0.00
Alexander	6721	0.00	0.00
Bond	0	0.00	0.00
Boone	18234	52452.75	0.00
Brown	3426	0.00	0.00
Bureau	4877	0.00	220.00
Calhoun	2995	0.00	0.00
Carroll	17	6846.33	0.00
Cass	10219	0.00	0.00
Champaign	90530	8304.00	
Christian			0.00
	3610	0.00	0.00
Clark	0	0.00	0.00
Clay	23630	0.00	0.00
Clinton	28646	0.00	0.00
Coles	0	0.00	0.00
Cook	0	1622.00	0.00
Crawford	9905	25.00	0.00
Cumberland	4016	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	0	16764.92	0.00
DeWitt	9318	0.00	0.00
Douglas	9893	0.00	0.00
DuPage	0	145820.88	32722.45
Edgar	11058	1935.87	0.00
Edwards	9259	0.00	0.00
Effingham	0	0.00	0.00
Fayette	8559	0.00	0.00
Ford	2451		
Franklin		0.00	0.00
	21209	0.00	0.00
Fulton	3782	0.00	0.00
Gallatin	2799	0.00	0.00
Greene	4727	0.00	0.00
Grundy	0	0.00	0.00
Hamilton	11224	0.00	0.00
Hancock	22836	0.00	2127.26
Hardin	2882	0.00	0.00
Henderson	4597	0.00	0.00
Henry	0	0.00	0.00
Iroquois	5985	0.00	0.00
Jackson	43230	0.00	0.00
Jasper	4768	739.09	948.05
Jefferson	25773	0.00	0.00
Jersey	13918	0.00	0.00
Jo Daviess	585	7823.53	981.30
Johnson	5280	0.00	981.30
Kane			
Kankakee	0	0.00	17392.78
	0	0.00	0.00
Kendall	14119	0.00	0.00
Knox	1648	0.00	0.00
Lake	177353	0.00	483169.50
LaSalle	21309	0.00	7720.80
Lawrence	4441	0.00	0.00
Lee	0	0.00	0.00

County	Criminal Restitution	Work Release Com	Abandoned Bail
Livingston	1239	0.00	0.00
Logan	0	0.00	0.00
Macon	54486	8930.16	0.00
Macoupin	21084	0.00	0.00
Aadison	26802	0.00	0.00
Aarion	31847	0.00	0.00
1arshall	3947	1886.50	0.00
lason	11973	0.00	0.00
lassac	5446	0.00	0.00
1cDonough	0	0.00	0.00
AcHenry	21563	9924.00	15128.50
AcLean	6320	70.00	0.00
lenard	7471	0.00	0.00
1ercer	0	0.00	0.00
Ionroe	14393	0.00	0.00
Iontgomery	17668	0.00	0.00
lorgan	28576	566.80	40.00
Aoultrie	1228	0.00	0.00
bele	11157	39061.88	0.00
eoria	76036		
erry	12446	0.00	0.00
iatt		1450.00	0.00
	13503	5855.61	0.00
ike	0	0.00	0.00
ope	1252	0.00	0.00
ulaski	7442	0.00	0.00
utnam	1630	0.00	90.00
andolph	12478	0.00	0.00
ichland	12308	0.00	0.00
ock Island	5172	0.00	1740.00
aline	30407	0.00	0.00
angamon	108936	2491.00	1150.00
chuyler	843	0.00	0.00
cott	1044	0.00	0.00
helby	8684	0.00	0.00
. Clair	25121	0.00	0.00
tark	345	0.00	0.00
tephenson	3060	985.40	0.00
azewell	4214	0.00	0.00
nion	2863	0.00	0.00
ermilion	0	0.00	0.00
/abash	5845	0.00	0.00
arren	10278	0.00	0.00
ashington	4443	7637.81	0.00
ayne	5210	2575.05	0.00
hite	11008	0.00	
hiteside	0		0.00
/ill	37746	21760.00	800.00
/illiamson		0.00	0.00
	24302	0.00	0.00
/innebago	28025	0.00	7214.98
/oodford	1901	0.00	0.00
T	1445180	352089.36	571445.62

County	Abandoned Property	Judicial Sales Disbursements	Other Misc Disbursements
Adams	0	286661.78	125804.28
Alexander	0	0.00	0.00
Bond	0	0.00	51489.16
Boone	0	0.00	26069.42
Brown	0	0.00	56145.83
Bureau	0	331606.02	24749.85
Calhoun	0	0.00	6766.99
Carroll	0	0.00	158959.13
Cass	0	43701.30	34946.83
	0	0.00	30456.78
Champaign	-		9324.55
Christian	0	0.00	
Clark	0	0.00	268622.56
Clay	0	0.00	4428.95
Clinton	0	0.00	85703.93
Coles	618	0.00	60674.18
Cook	0	0.00	957722.00
Crawford	0	0.00	7359.80
Cumberland	0	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	0	0.00	1642996.83
DeWitt	0	0.00	177110.61
Douglas	0	0.00	24966.19
DuPage	1816	79875.87	2850985.50
Edgar	0	0.00	15003.80
Edwards	0	0.00	0.00
	0	0.00	56215.52
Effingham			141983.83
Fayette	0	0.00	
Ford	0	34500.00	63820.62
Franklin	0	0.00	0.00
Fulton	0	19656.88	71945.44
Gallatin	0	0.00	5230.56
Greene	0	0.00	2570.00
Grundy	0	0.00	0.00
Hamilton	0	0.00	510.00
Hancock	0	0.00	66800.20
Hardin	0	0.00	0.00
Henderson	0	0.00	17692.59
Henry	0	0.00	0.00
Iroquois	0	0.00	0.00
Jackson	0	87383.54	5985.10
Jasper	0	0.00	5067.13
	0	0.00	3729.59
Jefferson	0	0.00	0.00
Jersey	-	19410-0120	
Jo Daviess	0	0.00	1368.94
Johnson	0	0.00	0.00
Kane	0	0.00	62521.00
Kankakee	0	0.00	76327.63
Kendall	0	0.00	10021.54
Knox	0	0.00	154901.45
Lake	0	75616.90	20408.90
LaSalle	0	101198.37	6354.00
Lawrence	0	0.00	29549.15
Lee	0	0.00	9384.00

County	Abandoned Property	Judicial Sales Disbursements	Other Misc Disbursements
Livingston	0	2382.00	107425.77
Logan	0	361894.40	91096.24
Macon	0	271037.00	131639.40
Macoupin	0	0.00	120140.19
Madison	0	0.00	0.00
Marion	0	0.00	6780.00
Marshall	0	266870.99	1266.80
Mason	0	55454.12	6589.95
Massac	0	45700.00	0.00
McDonough	0	0.00	0.00
McHenry	0	985872.18	0.00
McLean	5824	197014.12	402873.45
Menard	0	0.00	21132.60
Mercer	0	26117.00	60297.00
Monroe	0	0.00	63069.50
Montgomery	0	0.00	4356.20
Mongan	0	0.00	89854.63
Moultrie	0	0.00	
Ogle			35419.82
Peoria	698	0.00	9310.28
	0	0.00	286890.00
Perry	0	0.00	15829.42
Piatt	0	0.00	36655.96
Pike	0	0.00	0.00
Pope	0	0.00	337.94
Pulaski	18	0.00	3500.30
Putnam	0	0.00	7696.84
Randolph	0	0.00	74014.96
Richland	0	0.00	1760.00
Rock Island	0	43783.39	734234.91
Saline	0	0.00	12433.14
Sangamon	0	0.00	680.00
Schuyler	0	0.00	24004.18
Scott	0	0.00	7042.10
Shelby	0	0.00	13665.64
St. Clair	0	0.00	649200.91
Stark	0	0.00	2122.90
Stephenson	0	0.00	16797.61
Tazewell	0	0.00	200.00
Union	0	0.00	610.60
Vermilion	515	0.00	0.00
Wabash	0	0.00	3900.24
Warren	0	59158.21	7303.60
Washington	0	56000.00	37340.84
Wayne	0	0.00	130940.00
White	0	0.00	393201.34
Whiteside	0	32947.04	0.00
Will	0	0.00	
Williamson	0	0.00	801951.12
Winnebago	35		210165.75
Woodford	35	0.00	448491.92
		0.00	23578.53
FT	9524	3464431.11	12528476.94
1985

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS – PETITIONS

			Referrals Ma	ade To:			Number	of Juvenile Petitio	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
1st	Alexander	43	0	0	43	18	1	15	6	40
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	57	1	16	9	83
	Johnson	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
	Massac	5	0	6	11	4	1	ı ı	0	6
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	130	0	0	130	11	0	0	0	11
	Saline	52	0	10	62	28	1	8	1	38
	Union	46	0	7	53	18	1	7	0	26
	Union	40	0	278	278	19	2	14	7	43
1.4	Williamson		0				8		23	
1st	Circuit Total	278	0	301	579	157	8	61	23	249
2nd	Crawford	31	0	0	31	27	0	2	2	31
	Edwards	10	0	0	10	7	0	3	0	10
	Franklin	20	0	6	26	30	4	7	5	46
	Gallatin	8	0	0	8	3	0	4	1	8
	Hamilton	5	0	2	7	6	0	2	2	10
	Hardin	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	3
	Jefferson	105	0	0	105	80	7	14	4	105
	Lawrence	36	0	0	36	19	0	2	4	25
	Richland	17	0	0	17	6	3	6	2	17
	Wabash	64	0	0	64	41	1	3	2	47
	Wayne	44	0	0	44	36	0	7	1	44
	White	23	0	12	35	12	1	3	0	16
2nd	Circuit Total	366	0	20	386	267	16	56	23	362
3rd	Bond	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
and a strength of the	Madison	247	33	0	280	508	6	152	14	680
3rd	Circuit Total	247	33	0	280	508	6	152	14	680
4th	Christian	144	0	2	146	61	2	37	4	104
Tur an and share a s	Clay	31	0	2	31	12	1	1	0	14
	Clipton	52	0	0	52	38	6	5	2	52
	Clinton Effingham	47	0	0	47	28	0	3	5	37
		47	0	58	58	37	0	4	5	49
	Fayette		0	00			0	9	0	
	Jasper	40	0	0	40	20	0	5	0	25
	Marion	-	0	0	-	80	2	26	18	126
	Montgomery	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_
	Shelby	24	0	0	24	16	1	6	1	24
4th	Circuit Total	338	0	60	398	292	12	93	34	431
5th	Clark	46	0	0	46	31	1	9	2	43
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	68	0	20	88	45	1	15	7	68
	Vermilion	_	_	302	302	140	3	78	4	225
			0							336

180

1985

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS - PETITIONS

			Referrals Ma	ade To:			Number	r of Juvenile Petitio	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
6th	Champaign	_	-	163	163	163	0	_	_	163
	DeWitt	0	157	0	157	54	1	7	1	63
	Douglas	0	0	5	5	5	0	1	0	6
	Macon	611	0	188	799	232	1	86	8	327
	Moultrie	29	0	0	29	17	0	0	0	17
	Piatt	0	0	10	10	46	0	0	0	46
6th	Circuit Total	640	157	366	1,163	517	2	94	9	622
7th	Greene	22	0	0	22	12	1	7	2	22
	Jersey	19	117	0	136	22	3	16	3	44
	Macoupin	90	0	0	90	63	3	15	9	90
	Morgan	0	0	118	118	37	0	0	0	37
	Sangamon	0	923	0	923	107	0	0	0	107
	Scott	—	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
7th	Circuit Total	131	1,040	118	1,289	241	7	38	14	300
8th	Adams	0	140	0	140	96	4	41	6	147
	Brown	0	0	0	0	7	2	2	0	11
	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cass	23	0	3	26	16	0	7	0	23
	Mason	72	0	0	72	37	2	22	1	62
	Menard	20	0	0	20	12	0	7	1	20
	Pike	53	0	32	85	38	0	6	9	53
	Schuyler	27	0	0	27	20	0	6	0	26
8th	Circuit Total	195	140	35	370	226	8	91	17	342
9th	Fulton	0	0	129	129	52	2	31	5	90
	Hancock	0	0	107	107	31	0	1	0	32
	Henderson	0	0	24	24	14	0	5	0	19
	Knox	0	0	123	123	36	0	28	5	69
	McDonough	0	0	79	79	15	0	0	0	15
	Warren	0	0	241	241	56	3	12	1	72
9th	Circuit Total	0	0	703	703	204	5	77	11	297
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	467	0	0	467	360	64	138	24	586
	Putnam	0	0	3	3	2	1	0	0	3
	Stark	27	0	0	27	4	0	0	0	4
	Tazewell	63	0	148	211	102	0	0	0	102
10th	Circuit Total	557	0	151	708	468	65	138	24	695

1985

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS – PETITIONS

			Referrals Ma	ide To:			Number	of Juvenile Petitio	ons Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford	35 0 15 0 38	0 477 434 885 0	0 0 0 61	35 477 449 885 99	15 47 19 123 29	2 11 0 8 2	3 28 20 42 3	5 6 2 32 4	25 92 41 205 38
11th	Circuit Total	88	1,796	61	1,945	233	23	96	49	401
12th	Will	169	169	0	338	226	2	97	9	334
12th	Circuit Total	169	169	0	338	226	2	97	9	334
13th	Bureau	47	0	45	92	90	5	0	0	95
	Grundy	0	0	333	333	98	5	0	0	103
	LaSalle	0	0	166	166	98	0	0	0	98
	Circuit Total	47	0	544	591	286	10	0	0	296
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	130 0 338 0 468	0 48 0 429 477	130 48 338 429 945	64 29 149 57 299	1 0 2 0 3	11 0 114 0 125	2 0 8 0 10	78 29 273 57 437
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	25 36 0 140 201	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	124 19 258 492 0 893	149 55 258 492 140 1.094	32 7 91 68 98 296	1 0 4 1 0 6	9 28 38 8 42 125	0 1 1 1 0 3	42 36 134 78 140 430
16th	DeKalb	0	149	0	149	87	0	0	0	87
	Kane	656	0	0	656	269	0	0	0	269
	Kendall	68	0	0	68	66	2	26	0	94
	Circuit Total	724	149	0	873	422	2	26	0	450
17th	Boone	5	0	83	88	40	0	32	0	72
	Winnebago	620	1,339	0	1,959	304	1	196	18	519
	Circuit Total	625	1,339	83	2,047	344	1	228	18	591
18th	DuPage	377	0	499	876	452	23	127	17	619
18th	Circuit Total	377	0	499	876	452	23	127	17	619
19th	Lake	0	1,037	0	1,037	290	0	1	0	291
	McHenry	42	637	0	679	175	2	38	2	217
	Circuit Total	42	1,674	0	1,716	465	2	39	2	508

1985

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS – PETITIONS

			Referrals Ma	ide To:			Number	of Juvenile Petitio	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
20th	Monroe	30	0	0	30	28	0	2	0	30
	Perry	32	0	0	32	23	2	7	0	32
	Randolph	37	0	0	37	19	0	18	0	37
	St. Clair	513	0	0	513	349	23	121	20	513
	Washington	13	0	0	13	10	0	3	0	13
20th	Circuit Total	625	0	0	625	429	25	151	20	625
21st	Iroquois	16	0	12	28	4	0	0	11	15
	Kankakee	395	188	0	583	144	9	52	6	211
21st	Circuit Total	411	188	12	611	148	9	52	17	226
Cook	Cook Juvenile	0	0	19,628	19,628	13,117	87	4,222	0	17,426
Cook	Circuit Total	0	0	19,628	19,628	13,117	87	4,222	0	17,426
	Downstate Total	6,175	7,153	4,645	17,973	6,696	240	1,968	327	9,231
	Cook Total	0	0	19,628	19,628	13,117	87	4,222	0	17,426
	State Total	6,175	7,153	24,273	37,601	19,813	327	6,190	327	26,657

1985

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY

			N	umber of Adjudicatio	ins		Number	of Juvenile Criminal Pros	ecutions
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
1st	Alexander	9	0	14	0	23	0	0	0
	Jackson	23	0	2	1	26	0	0	0
	Johnson	2	0	ō	0	2	0	0	0
	Massac	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
	Pope	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	E E	0	0	0	E	0	0	0
	Calina	17	0	0	0	21	0	2	2
	Saline		0	4	0	21	0	2	1
	Union	12	0	6	0	18	0	1	
	Williamson	17	1	7	5	30	1	2	0
1st	Circuit Total	87	1	34	6	128	1	5	3
2nd	Crawford	16	0	2	2	20	0	0	0
	Edwards	5	0	1	0	6	0	0	0
	Franklin	12	2	2	4	20	0	0	0
	Gallatin	2	0	4	1	7	0	0	0
	Hamilton	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
	Jefferson	48	1	6	2	57	0	0	0
	Lawrence	16	3	2	- 4	25	0	0	0
	Richland	0	0	0	, ,	0	0	0	0
	Wabash	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
		4	0	0	0	19	0	0	0
	Wayne	12 9	1	0	1	13	0	0	0
2nd	White Circuit Total	125	7	30	14	176	0	0	0
3rd	Bond	_		_		_	_	_	_
JIG ELEVENERS.	Madison	178	4	36	6	224	2	0	0
her	Circuit Total	178	4	36			2	0	0
Brd		1/8	4	30	6	224	2	0	0
lth	Christian	55	2	32	4	93	0	0	0
	Clay	4	0	0	4	8	0	0	0
	Clinton	19	5	1	2	27	0	0	0
	Effingham	4	12	3	6	25	0	0	0
	Fayette	24	0	3	2	29	0	0	0
	Jasper	8	0	1	0	9	0	2	2
	Marion	46	0	11	7	64	1	0	0
	Montgomery	_	_		· ·	_	_	-	_
	Shelby	12	0	5	1	18	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	172	19	56	26	273	1	2	2
5th	Clark	19	1	8	3	31	3	0	0
NOT THE A VELOD A V	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	7	0	9	5	21	0	1	1
	Vormilion	120	2	9	5	1000000	1		0
54L	Vermilion	139 165	2	17	4	145 197		1	1
5th	Circuit Total	105	3	17	12	197	4		1 1

1985 ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY

			Nu	umber of Adjudicatio	ns		Number	of Juvenile Criminal Pros	ecutions
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
6th	Champaign	87	0	-	—	87	1	0	0
	DeWitt	50	1	4	1	56	0	1	1
	Douglas	5	0	1	0	6	0	0	0
	Macon	106	-	_	_	106	0	0	0
	Moultrie	17	0	0	0	17	0	0	0
	Piatt	37	0	0	0	37	0	0	0
6th	Circuit Total	302	1	5	1	309	1	1	1
7th	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	19	3	7	2	31	0	2	2
	Macoupin	63	3	15	9	90	0	0	0
	Morgan	37	0	0	0	37	0	0	0
	Sangamon	38	1	0	0	39	0	1	1
	Scott	—	-		-	-	_	_	_
7th	Circuit Total	157	7	22	11	197	0	3	3
8th	Adams	26	1	26	2	55	0	0	0
	Brown	4	1	1	0	6	0	0	0
	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cass	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Mason	23	2	11	1	37	0	0	0
	Menard	12	0	7	1	20	0	0	0
	Pike	25	0	6	9	40	0	0	0
	Schuyler	18	0	3	0	21	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	113	4	54	13	184	0	0	0
9th	Fulton	9	2	28	2	41	0	0	0
	Hancock	7	0	1	0	8	0	0	0
	Henderson	4	0	1	0	5	0	0	0
	Knox	33	0	27	5	65	0	0	0
	McDonough	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
	Warren	53	1	12	1	67	0	1	1
9th	Circuit Total	113	3	69	8	193	0	1	1
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	213	3	512	0	728	0	0	0
	Putnam	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Stark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Tazewell	26	0	0	59	85	0	0	0
	Circuit Total	241	3	512	59	815	0	0	0
11th	Ford	15	2	3	5	25	0	0	0
	Livingston	21	4	18	3	46	0	0	0
	Logan	5	0	11	2	18	0	0	0
	McLean	57	3	-	-	60	0	1	1
	Woodford	23	0	3	2	28	0	1	0
	Circuit Total	121	9	35	12	177	0		

1985

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY

			N	umber of Adjudication	15		Number of	of Juvenile Criminal Pros	ecutions
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	137 137	2 2	78 78	4 4	221 221	12 12	2 2	2 2
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	28 15 32 75	2 1 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	30 16 32 78	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	52 12 72 44 180	0 0 2 0 2	4 0 59 0 63	2 0 6 0 8	58 12 139 44 253	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	7 2 23 66 28 126	0 0 2 1 0 3	6 10 21 3 27 67	0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 46 70 55 196	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	39 198 7 244	1 4 2 7	0 0 19 19	0 0 0 0	40 202 28 270	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	25 134 159	0 1 1	35 115 150	2 10 12	62 260 322	0 2 2	0 2 2	0 2 2
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	174 174	19 19	_	_	193 193		_	_
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	103 84 187	0 2 2	3 20 23	2 2 4	108 108 216	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 1
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	3 14 11 230 0 258	0 2 0 14 0 16	0 1 11 72 0 84	0 0 8 0 8	3 17 22 324 0 366	0 0 5 0 5	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	8 110 118	6 9 15	0 40 40	1 4 5	15 163 178	8 2 10	0 0 0	0 0 0
Cook Cook	Cook Juvenile Cook Total	4,574 4,574	27 27	1,366 1,366	343 343	6,310 6,310	108 108	19 19	17 17
	Downstate Total	3,432	131	1,394	209	5,166	39	22	18
	Cook Total	4,574	27	1,366	343	6,310	108	19	17
	State Total	8,006	158	2,760	552	11,476	147	41	35

			State Comm	itments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositi	on		Detention As Disposition	
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
1st	Alexander	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100000000	Jackson	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	6	20	0	2	2
	Johnson	0	0	ō	ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Massac	0	6	0	6	2	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	0	2	2	4	4	2	3	2	11	3	4	7	0	0	0
	Union	0	6	1	7	2	0	0	4	6	0	3	3	0	1	1
		0	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	21	4	25	2	0	2
1.4	Williamson	0	25	6	31	8	2	3	10	23	38	17	55	2	3	5
1st	Circuit Total									25						
2nd	Crawford	0	0	3	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0
	Edwards	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Franklin	0	2	2	4	1	0	1	3	5	0	4	4	0	6	6
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	63	5	68	38	0	13	12	63	0	6	6	0	0	0
	Lawrence	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0
	Richland	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wabash	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	White	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	1	74	13	88	39	2	15	24	80	3	14	17	0	6	6
3rd	Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	—			-	-
	Madison	0	0	9	9	5	0	10	11	26	368	0	368	88	0	88
3rd	Circuit Total	0	0	9	9	5	0	10	11	26	368	0	368	88	0	88
4th	Christian	0	33	1	34	13	2	0	19	34	14	0	14	14	0	14
	Clay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
	Clinton	0	5	1	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	5	2	5	7
	Effingham	0	6	0	6	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fayette	0	5	2	7	0	0	0	6	6	5	6	11	0	0	0
	Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Marion	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-
	Montgomery	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-
	Shelby	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	9	9	1	0	1	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	0	53	5	58	13	2	1	37	53	22	10	32	17	5	22
5th	Clark	0	6	0	6	1	0	0	5	6	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	6	0	6
	Vermilion	0	3	25	28	0	14	1	7	22	78	1	79	63	0	63
5th	Circuit Total	0	19	25	44	1	14	1	13	29	81	1	82	69	0	69

			State Comm	nitments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositi	on	,	Detention As Disposition	ſ
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
6th	Champaign	0	7	27	34	0	0	0	0	0	159	0	159	99	0	99
	DeWitt	0	6	0	6	1	0	2	0	3	0	7	7	0	2	2
	Douglas	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Macon	0	0	28	28	3	2	11	6	22	0	72	72	0	35	35
	Moultrie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Piatt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	6	6	0	11	11
6th	Circuit Total	0	16	55	71	5	2	13	15	35	159	87	246	99	49	148
7th	Greene	0	7	0	7	4	1	0	4	9	2	0	2	0	5	5
	Jersey	0	16	3	19	2	0	3	12	17	32	4	36	0	0	0
	Macoupin	0	2	1	3	20	0	3	0	23	6	2	8	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	2	3	5	5	0	0	1	6	81	0	81	0	0	0
	Sangamon	0	0	5	5	1	0	13	1	15	413	0	413	15	0	15
	Scott	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	-
7th	Circuit Total	0	27	12	39	32	1	19	18	70	534	6	540	15	5	20
8th	Adams	` 0	1	2	3	11	0	3	0	14	79	2	81	23	0	23
	Brown	0	3	2	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cass	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0
	Mason	0	14	0	14	1	0	0	1	2	0	5	5	0	3	3
	Menard	0	7	0	7	6	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pike	0	15	1	16	5	0	0	31	36	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Schuyler	0	4	2	6	3	0	0	1	4	0	2	2	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	0	45	9	54	26	1	3	34	64	79	17	96	23	3	26
9th	Fulton	0	28	0	28	2	1	2	1	6	4	3	7	0	2	2
	Hancock	2	3	0	5	1	3	0	0	4	0	2	2	0	1	1
	Henderson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1
	Knox	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	5	9	72	0	72	38	0	38
	McDonough	0	2	0	2	3	0	5	0	8	1	8	9	0		
out	Warren	0	7	1	8	0	1	0	3	4	2	5	7 99	3	3 7	6 48
9th	Circuit Total	2	40	2	44	6	5	11	10	32	80	19		41		
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	1	0	20	21	12	0	6	8	26	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Stark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Tazewell	1	0	3	4	1	0	21	1	23	8	17	25	5	1	6
10th	Circuit Total	2	0	23	25	14	0	27	10	51	8	17	25	5	3	8
11th	Ford	4	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	4	0	2	2	0	2	2
	Livingston	1	22	1	24	35	0	5	0	40	2	13	15	3		4
	Logan	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	3	16	0	16	4	0	
	McLean	2	3	6	11	6	9	9	5	29	42	0	42	45	0	45
11.1	Woodford	0	8	0	8	1	1	2	1	5	0	4	4	0	0 8	0 60
11th	Circuit Total	8	33	7	48	43	16	16	6	81	60	19	79	52	0	60

			State Comm	nitments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositi	on		Detention As Disposition	i .
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	0 0	4 4	11 11	15 15	14 14	0 0	13 13	0 0	27 27	0 0	167 167	167 167	0 0	3 3	3 3
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 51 51	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2	2 0 51 53	0 0 0 0	2 3 0 5	2 0 1 3	0 0 0 0	4 3 1 8	102 0 81 183	0 17 0 17	102 17 81 200	13 0 31 44	23 6 0 29	36 6 31 73
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	5 0 3 0 8	3 0 5 5 13	8 0 8 5 21	2 3 2 0 7	0 0 6 5 11	9 2 10 8 29	0 0 3 0 3	11 5 21 13 50	1 0 6 5 12	8 5 4 5 22	9 5 10 10 34	7 2 3 19 31	1 5 0 1 7	8 7 3 20 38
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	1 0 2 0 3	3 16 13 19 28 79	0 0 1 4 8 13	4 16 14 25 36 95	0 1 2 6 0 9	0 0 5 25 6 36	0 0 11 6 1 18	0 0 2 2 0 4	0 1 20 39 7 67	0 0 2 5 0 7	0 0 14 13 11 38	0 0 16 18 11 45	2 0 2 5 3 12	3 0 2 0 10 15	5 0 4 5 13 27
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	4 0 0 4	11 18 0 29	15 18 0 33	3 13 1 17	1 0 0 1	6 44 0 50	0 0 0 0	10 57 1 68	1 250 0 251	23 0 20 43	24 250 20 294	1 103 0 104	11 0 4 15	12 103 4 119
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	3 1 4	16 125 141	7 31 38	26 157 183	4 66 70	0 53 53	5 0 5	0 12 12	9 131 140	0 1,035 1,035	29 0 29	29 1,035 1,064	0 57 57	11 0 11	11 57 68
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	0 0	6 6	4 4	10 10	15 15	10 10	33 33	3	61 61	257 257	0 0	257 257	83 83	0 0	83 83
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	6 2 8	6 2 8	9 23 32	0 13 13	47 15 62	3 4 7	59 55 114	100 2 102	0 30 30	100 32 132	8 6 14	0 6 6	8 12 20
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 0 93 0 96	1 0 1 14 0 16	3 1 107 0 112	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 18 0 18	0 0 21 0 21	0 0 328 0 328	0 1 0 2 0 3	0 1 0 330 0 331	0 0 117 0 117	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 117 0 117

			State Comm	nitments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositio	on	ŀ	Detention As Disposition	
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
21st	Iroquois Kankakee	0	0	3 14	3 20	0 52	0	0	0 17	0 69	0	0 46	0 51	0 1	0	0 2
21st	Circuit Total	0	6	17	23	52	0	0	17	69	5	46	51	1	1	2
Cook Cook	Cook Juvenile Cook Total	0 0	591 591	741 741	1,332 1,332	_	-			_	7,331 7,331	0 0	7,331 7,331	1,838 1,838	0 0	1,838 1,838
	Downstate Total	71	684	317	1,072	411	174	332	252	1,169	3,620	602	4,222	874	176	1,050
	Cook Total	0	591	741	1,332	-	-	-	-	-	7,331	0	7,331	1,838	0	1,838
	State Total	71	1,275	1,058	2,404	411	174	332	252	1,169	10,951	602	11,553	2,712	176	2,888

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violat	ions	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander	4	0	4	1	3	4	0	0	0
	Jackson	9	0	9	0	3	3	0	0	0
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Massac	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	3	7	10	0	1	1	Ő	2	2
	Union	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Williamson	0	2	0	0	0	0	, o	0	0
1 ct	Circuit Total	16	9	25	1	7	8	1	3	4
1st	Circuit rotai	10	3	23		/	0	,	3	-
2nd	Crawford	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
	Edwards	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Franklin	3	4	7	0	2	2	0	4	4
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hamilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	1	10	11	0	2	2	0	6	6
	Lawrence	1	5	6	0	3	3	0	2	2
	Richland	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wabash	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	6	2	8	0	2	2	0	0	0
	White	3	2	5	1	0	1	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	16	31	47	1	9	10	0	20	20
3rd	Bond	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
	Madison	56	57	113	16	8	24	22	9	31
3rd	Circuit Total	56	57	113	16	8	24	22	9	31
		5	4	9	1		5	0	3	3
4th	Christian	5	4	3	0	4	2	0		1
	Clay	2	1	7	0	2	0	0	1	
	Clinton	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	4	1
	Effingham	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Fayette	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Jasper	1	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Marion	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	8	8
	Montgomery	0	1		0	0	0	0	1	
	Shelby	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	11	38	49	1	6	7	1	22	23
5th	Clark	4	3	7	0	3	3	0	3	3
	Coles/Cumberland	9	13	22	4	5	9	1	10	11
	Edgar	12	10	22	9	3	12	1	9	10
	Vermilion	27	18	45	0	22	22	1	19	20
5th	Circuit Total	52	44	96	13	33	46	2	41	44

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violat	ions	Court Actions New Offense Violations			
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	
6th	Champaign	43	38	81	17	14	31	4	68	72	
	DeWitt	1	7	8	0	0	0	0	5	5	
	Douglas	0	2	2	Ő	0	0	0	2	2	
	Macon	95	54	149	4	72	76	2	53	55	
	Moultrie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Piatt	3	2	5	1	0	1	0	2	2	
Cab	Cinquit Total	-	-		22	0		0	130	~	
6th	Circuit Total	142	103	245	22	86	108	6	130	136	
7th	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Jersey	1	3	4	0	1	1	0	3	3	
	Macoupin	2	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Morgan	3	20	23	0	2	2	0	20	20	
	Sangamon	18	88	106	0	12	12	7	32	39	
	Scott	_	-	-	_	_	-	-		_	
7th	Circuit Total	24	115	139	0	15	15	7	55	62	
8th	Adams	9	18	27	2	10	12	4	21	25	
	Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mason	3	3	6	1	3	4	0	2	2	
	Menard	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	
	Pike	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8th	Circuit Total	13	22	35	3	14	17	4	24	28	
9th	Fulton	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	
	Hancock	0	8	8	0	0	0	1	3	4	
	Henderson	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	
	Knox	6	13	19	2	4	6	0	7	7	
	McDonough	0	5	5	õ	0	0	1	3	4	
	Warren	2	8	10	0	2	2	1	7	8	
9th	Circuit Total	9	40	49	2	7	9	3	26	29	
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Peoria	35	37	72	5	31	36	6	32	38	
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Stark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Tazewell	5	18	23	2	0	2	0	5	5	
10th	Circuit Total	40	55	95	7	31	38	6	37	43	
iour	Circuit Iotal	40	55	93	/	31	30	0	57	43	

192

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violat	ons	Court Actions New Offense Violations			
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	
11th	Ford	3	1	4	0	3	3	0	1	1	
A REAL E & E & E & C & C	Livingston	41	6	47	5	9	14	0	7	7	
	Logan	12	2	14	2	10	12	0	2	2	
	McLean	75	53	128	11	58	69	18	46	64	
	Woodford	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	
11th	Circuit Total	131	64	195	18	80	98	19	57	76	
12th	Will	28	44	72	19	13	32	14	41	55	
12th	Circuit Total	28	44	72	19	13	32	14	41	55	
13th	Bureau	9	12	21	0	4	4	1	7	8	
	Grundy	10	13	23	0	3	3	0	5	5	
	LaSalle	24	8	32	0	27	27	0	8	8	
13th	Circuit Total	43	33	76	0	34	34	1	20	21	
14th	Henry	11	25	36	0	13	13	0	12	12	
	Mercer	4	1	5	0	4	4	0	1	1	
	Rock Island	31	59	90	0	23	23	1	46	47	
	Whiteside	27	30	57	2	18	20	3	22	25	
14th	Circuit Total	73	115	188	2	58	60	4	81	85	
15th	Carroll	8	8	16	0	7	7	0	6	6	
	Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Lee	14	18	32	2	10	12	0	17	17	
	Ogle	8	6	14	1	5	6	1	3	4	
	Stephenson	22	31	53	5	14	19	2	28	30	
15th	Circuit Total	52	63	115	8	36	44	3	54	57	
16th	DeKalb	22	14	36	5	9	14	3	20	23	
	Kane	110	107	217	5	69	74	11	73	84	
	Kendall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16th	Circuit Total	132	121	253	10	78	88	14	93	107	
17th	Boone	8	15	23	1	5	6	0	11	11	
	Winnebago	60	18	78	1	33	34	1	34	35	
17th	Circuit Total	68	33	101	2	38	40	1	45	46	
18th	DuPage	63	94	157	14	69	83	8	60	68	
18th	Circuit Total	63	94	157	14	69	83	8	60	68	

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ions	Court Actions New Offense Violations		
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake	56	7	63	1	16	17	0	7	7
	McHenry	34	32	66	17	5	22	17	13	30
19th	Circuit Total	90	32 39	129	18	21	39	17	20	37
20th	Monroe	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	1	1
	Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Randolph	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0
	St. Clair	22	91	113	6	12	18	32	44	76
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20th	Circuit Total	24	93	117	7	14	21	32	45	77
21st	Iroquois	3	13	16	2	1	3	1	14	15
	Kankakee	20	22	42	14	6	20	0	22	22
21st	Circuit Total	23	35	58	16	7	23	1	36	37
Cook	Juvenile	-	610	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Cook	Cook Total	-	610	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Downstate Total	1,106	1,248	2,354	180	664	844	167	919	1,086
	Cook Total	-	610	610	-	_	-	-	_	-
	State Total	1,106	1,858	2,964	180	664	844	167	919	1,086

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Actions New Offense Violations			
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	
1st	Alexander Jackson	8 69	10 7	18 76	0 6	8 17	8 23	0 0	6 3	6 3	
	Johnson Massac	17 19	5 16	22 35	5 3	3 2	8 5	1 2	2 7	3	
	Pope Pulaski	19 0	10 0	29 0	3	1	4	1	7	8	
	Saline	50 27	49 24	99 51	14 11	17	31 17	10 7	36 11	46 18	
	Union Williamson	90	22	112	27	17	44	6	6	12	
1st	Circuit Total	299	143	442	69	71	140	27	78	105	
2nd	Crawford Edwards	2 18	10 5	12 23	1	3	4 9	0	2	2	
	Franklin	24	35	59	3	12	15	6	18	24	
	Gallatin	18	9	27	13	1	14	4	1	5	
	Hamilton	3	9	12 20	0	6	6	1	3	4	
	Hardin Jefferson	14	14	20	0	3	o 4	0	4	4	
	Lawrence	3	20	23	0	3	3	1	7	8	
	Richland	8	9	17	0	2	2	0	8	8	
	Wabash	42	27	69	10	11	21	10	5	15	
	Wayne	21	16	37	0	4	4	0	7	7	
	White	25	15	40	2	2	4	5	2	7	
2nd	Circuit Total	187	175	362	41	53	94	31	60	91	
3rd	Bond	173	124	 297	— 59	- (1	120	-	- 60	- 111	
3rd	Madison Circuit Total	173	124	297	59	61 61	120	51 51	60	111	
4th	Christian	20	25	45	0	6	6	1	22	23	
	Clay	72	16	88	0	55	55	0	16 30	16	
	Clinton Effingham	45 5	76	121 13	1	9	16 5	5	30	33 8	
	Fayette	11	5	16	3	8	11	0	3	3	
	Jasper	2	5	7	1	1	2	0	1	1	
	Marion	166	69	235	33	37	70	5	48	53	
	Montgomery	14	2	16	0	8	8	1	1	2	
	Shelby	15	1	16	5	0	5	0	0	0	
4th	Circuit Total	350	207	557	53	125	178	15	124	139	
5th	Clark	25	5	30	8	10	18	2	1	3	
	Coles/Cumberland	58	56	114	8	30	38	6	25	31	
	Edgar Vermilion	9 46	23 19	32 65	2	8	10 23	6	21 12	23 18	
5th	Vermilion Circuit Total	138	103	241	23	66	89	16	59	75	
Man Products	chean rotal	150	100	2.11	<u> </u>		0,	10			

1985 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

196

1985 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violat	ions	Court Actions New Offense Violations			
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	
6th	Champaign	76	34	110	5	12	17	12	46	58	
	DeWitt	12	6	18	2	8	10	0	6	6	
	Douglas	41	23	64	17	8	25	2	11	13	
	Macon	33	101	134	7	16	23	21	75	96	
	Moultrie	0	2	2	0	0	0	21	2	4	
		8	4	12	0	1	8	2	2	3	
(4 h		121			20	1		20			
6th	Circuit Total	170	170	340	38	45	83	38	142	180	
7th	Greene	13	18	31	11	2	13	13	5	18	
	Jersey	6	8	14	0	5	5	0	7	7	
	Macoupin	97	85	182	10	8	18	11	8	19	
	Morgan	60	34	94	4	19	23	4	23	27	
	Sangamon	142	103	245	2	39	41	2	16	18	
	Scott	_	-	_		_	_	_		_	
7th	Circuit Total	318	248	566	27	73	100	30	59	89	
8th	Adams	42	41	83	7	19	26	14	40	54	
	Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Calhoun	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	Cass	40	19	59	25	12	37	10	6	16	
	Mason	14	13	27	1	13	14	6	7	13	
	Menard	18	13	22	0	18	18	0	4	4	
	Pike	8	2	10	0	6	6	0	2	2	
		5	2	8	0	5	5	0	2		
0.4	Schuyler	-	3	20	U U			0	0		
8th	Circuit Total	127	83	210	33	73	106	30	60	90	
9th	Fulton	22	11	33	8	6	14	4	6	10	
	Hancock	12	8	20	5	4	9	1	5	6	
	Henderson	3	2	5	0	0	0	1	2	3	
	Knox	14	30	44	11	4	15	8	15	23	
	McDonough	31	16	47	12	8	20	2	5	7	
	Warren	17	10	27	8	10	18	1	10	11	
9th	Circuit Total	99	77	176	44	32	76	17	43	60	
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Peoria	39	74	113	11	25	36	9	20	29	
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Stark	5	1	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	
	Tazewell	143	42	185	19	131	150	3	15	18	
10th	Circuit Total	143	117	304	30	159	189	12	35	47	
10th	Circuit rotal	107	117	504	30	159	189	12	35	4/	

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Actions New Offense Violations			
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	
11th	Ford	31	3	34	0	31	31	0	3	3	
THE STATES	Livingston	122	35	157	46	57	103	11	18	29	
	Logan	121	52	173	71	50	121	25	27	52	
	McLean	175	118	293	27	27	54	3	31	34	
	Woodford	40	17	57	23	17	40	3	14	17	
11th	Circuit Total	489	225	714	167	182	349	42	93	135	
12th	Will	52	38	90	8	12	20	6	4	10	
12th	Circuit Total	52	38	90	8	12	20	6	4	10	
13th	Bureau	12	4	16	4	3	7	3	1	4	
	Grundy	6	3	9	5	2	7	5	4	9	
	LaSalle	21	29	50	3	4	7	2	9	11	
13th	Circuit Total	39	36	75	12	9	21	10	14	24	
14th	Henry	37	33	70	6	15	21	4	31	35	
	Mercer	10	12	22	0	8	8	4	6	10	
	Rock Island	152	43	195	77	27	104	8	20	28	
	Whiteside	80	48	128	9	37	46	10	16	26	
14th	Circuit Total	279	136	415	92	87	179	26	73	99	
15th	Carroll	21	21	42	5	9	14	2	10	12	
	Jo Daviess	31	9	40	14	6	20	1	4	5	
	Lee	50	11	61	31	22	53	7	6	13	
	Ogle	117	77	194	10	17	27	5	27	32	
	Stephenson	225	20	245	134	88	222	14	16	30	
15th	Circuit Total	444	138	582	194	142	336	29	63	92	
16th	DeKalb	32	33	65	8	23	31	8	5	13	
	Kane	292	208	500	32	50	82	24	34	58	
	Kendall	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
16th	Circuit Total	324	242	566	40	73	113	33	39	72	
17th	Boone	27	14	41	0	11	11	0	5	5	
	Winnebago	163	77	240	20	58	78	5	27	32	
17th	Circuit Total	190	91	281	20	69	89	5	32	37	
18th	DuPage	787	677	1,464		-	-	—	-	-	
18th	Circuit Total	787	677	1,464		-	-			_	

1985 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

			Violations Reported		Court Acti	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Actions New Offense Violations		
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake	363	431	794	36	59	95	24	72	96
	McHenry	88	103	191	30	32	62	17	54	71
19th	Circuit Total	451	534	985	66	91	157	41	126	167
20th	Monroe	6	1	7	4	6	10	0	1	1
	Perry	18	22	40	0	2	2	1	14	15
	Randolph	46	10	56	22	13	35	3	1	4
	St. Clair	83	126	209	39	35	74	29	23	52
	Washington	23	7	30	0	2	2	1	0	1
20th	Circuit Total	176	166	342	65	58	123	34	39	73
21st	Iroquois	9	6	15	0	9	9	0	6	6
	Kankakee	74	9	83	56	18	74	1	8	9
21st	Circuit Total	83	15	98	56	27	83	1	14	15
Cook	Cook Adult	4,936	2,368	7,304	_	_	-	_	-	-
Cook	Cook Social Service	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
		4,936	2,368	7,304	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Downstate Total	5,362	3,745	9,107	1,137	1,508	2,645	494	1,217	1,711
	Cook Total	4,936	2,368	7,304	_	_	-	_	—	-
	State Total	10,298	6,113	16,411	1,137	1,508	2,645	494	1,217	1,711

1985 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

198

CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY



199

CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

The year-end inventory of pending cases, excluding traffic, was 549,814, an 8% increase over the twelve month period.

The following is a brief summary of some of the activities which took place in the Divisions and Districts in 1985 within the Circuit Court of Cook County. We hope this will assist the reader to better understand and appreciate these activities.

Law Division

Total dispositions (40,336) increased 16% from last year. Jury disposals (23,575) were up 22%, and Non-Jury disposals (12,484) were up 53% from 1984.

Filings in law (29,824 — up 13%) and Condemnation cases (247 — up 56%) showed sizeable increases. Tax (296 — down 52%) and miscellaneous remedy cases (1415 — down 54%) showed a decrease from the previous year.

Chancery Division

Total dispositions (12,656) increased 7% over the total reported last year. There was also an 11% increase in the number of filings in that division (12,960).

Domestic Relations Division

Domestic Relations showed a 3% increase (29,482) in dispositions from last year. The support division reported an increase of 7% (23,568) over last year's disposed totals.

County Division

Dispositions were up in tax cases $(14,520 - up \ 10\%)$ and mental health cases $(6,168 - up \ 3\%)$. Dispositions in adoption cases (1,984) were down 14% but so were the filings $(1,980 - down \ 13\%)$ compared to 1984.

Probate Division

Filings in Probate cases were up over 1984. Estates (7,100 – up 2%) guardianships (2,535 – up 2%) and cases involving disabled adults (2,116 – up 35%) all reflected increases. 1985 also had the largest annual percentage of wills filed that went to probate (43.1%).

Juvenile Division

Delinquent petitions (13,117) were down 4% over 1984.

According to the report, there were 20,995 charges filed against male juveniles and 5, 598 charges filed against female juveniles.

Total dispositions (17,195) showed an increase of 5% from last year's total.

Criminal Division

1985 proved to be the busiest year ever for the Criminal Division. There were 15,010 cases filed (up 3%) and 17,493 cases disposed of (up 2%).

Although the total number of convicted felony defendants (11,294) decreased by 4% over 1984, the total death penalties rose to ten (10), the highest in any one year thus far. Life sentences (30) increased by four (4) over last year, and prison sentences of at least one (1) year (6,525) increased by 6% over the previous year.

The number of defendants found unfit to stand trial or adjudged to be sexually dangerous (271) decreased by 15% over last year.

First Municipal District

Filings of law cases in the first district (5,683) were up 26% over 1984.

Sentences to the Illinois Department of Corrections in felony information cases (pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage) numbered 158, showing a decrease of 40% over the figure reported in 1984.

Convictions on misdemeanors, ordinance and conservation violation charges (41,841) reflected a 15% decrease over 1984, with those placed on supervision (19,823) and those committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections (32) showing decreases of 3% each.

Filings of "hang-on" (parking tickets) violations (3,707,591) decreased 3% over 1984, and dispositions (1,181,360) decreased 30% from last year.

Second Municipal District

The 3,594 dispositions in pro se small claims cases represents a whopping 144% increase.

Felony information dispositions (1,323) were also on the increase, at 27% over last year.

Total number of convicted felony defendants (977) increased 18% from 1984.

Although traffic dispositions were down 4% over 1984, those defendants receiving a sentence of probation or conditional discharge for a traffic violation (568) showed a huge increase of 116%.

Third Municipal District

Dispositions in law jury cases (324) increased 22% over the number reported in 1984. Twenty-three cases went to jury trial — an increase of 35% over 1984.

Although the number of convicted felony defendants (508) decreased 23% over 1984, the number of felony probation sentences (221) showed a big increase of 115%.

Fourth Municipal District

Total law dispositions (256) decreased 5% from the number reported in 1984.

Misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violation convictions remained virtually the same, but the number of defendants placed on supervision (2,154) increased 47%, and those ordered to pay a fine (1,500) showed an increase of 12% from last year.

Those receiving local jail sentences for moving violations (193) increased 64% from 1984.

Fifth Municipal District

The 886 dispositions in law cases reflected an 8% increase over 1984. Small claim cases showed a decrease of 21%.

Felony information filings (470) were up only 1%, but dispositions (692) were up 18% over last year.

Defendants sentenced to the Illinois Department of Corrections on felony information cases (pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage) numbered 107, an increase of 78% over 1984.

Convictions on traffic cases (83,221) reflected a 7% increase over the previous year.

Sixth Municipal District

The 7,614 filings in law and small claims cases represents an increase of 11% over 1984.

Total law dispositions (1,500) increased 20% over the number reported last year. There were 365 law jury cases disposed of, an increase of 9%.

Felony information filings (768) were up 8%, and dispositions were also up, with an increase of 16%.

Convictions by the Court (107) reflected an increase of 9% compared to 1984.

There was also one (1) death sentence case in the district last year.

Convictions on traffic violations (75,556) were up 3%. The number of defendants sentenced to probation or conditional discharge for a traffic violation increased dramatically by 250%, from 590 to 2,065.

Although these comments are only some of the activities which took place last year in Cook County, we hope they have been a help to the reader in providing a better understanding of the many activities that occur each year.

STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR 1985

TREND OF CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

	COUNTY DEPARTME	NT	Pending				Total	Disposed		Pending	Inventory Increase (+)
Division	Type of	Case	At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Transferred	Added	Of	Adjustment	At End	Decrease (-)
	Ad Damnum	Jury	. 58,112	6,040	3,363	+ 15,281	24,684	23,575	—	59,221	+ 1,109
	Over \$15,000	Non-Jury	17,188	23,784	2,081	- 15,281	10,584	12,484		15,286 ^a	- 1,902
L	Tax		1,922	296	66	0	362	1,624		631ª	- 1,291
W	Condemnation		291	247	21	0	268	169		409 ^a	+118
	Miscellaneous Remedy		2,852	1,415	547	0	1,962	2,484	—	2,217ª	-635
	Sub-Total		80,365	31,782	6,078	0	37,860	40,336	—	77,764 ^a	- 2,601
Chancery	Chancery		13,229	12,960	1,336	0	14,296	12,656	—	14,878	+ 1,649
Descrition	Domestic Relations		12,873	26,271	2,363	0	28,634	29,482		11,670 ^a	- 1,203
Domestic Relations	Reciprocal Non-Support, etc.		5,692	3,242	26,102	0	29,344	23,568	-	11,467ª	+ 5,775
Relations	Sub-Total			29,513	28,465	0	57,978	53,050	-	23,137 ^a	+ 4,572
С	Tax	43,503	14,420	0	0	14,420	14,520	-	43,403	- 100	
0	Mental Health	206	6,232	0	0	6,232	6,168	—	270	+ 64	
UN	Adoptions, Marriages of Minors, & C	Orders for Protection	953	1,980	0	0	1,980	1,984	-	955 ^a	+ 2
T	Municipal Corporations and Election	274	28	0	0	28	11		291	+ 17	
Ŷ	Sub-Total	44,936	22,660	0	0	22,660	22,683	-	44,919 ^a	-17	
Probate	Estates, Guardianships, & Disabled /		21,867	11,286	3	0	11,289	11,045	-	22,163	+ 296
	Delinquency		6,273	13,101	14	0	13,115	12,262	-	6,943	+ 670
	Dependency/Neglected		3,144	4,229	0	0	4,229	4,835	_	3,218	+ 74
Juvenile	Minors in Need of Authoritative Inte		50	86	0	0	86	98	_	38	-12
	Sub-Total		9,467	17,416	14	0	17,430	17,195	_	10,199	+732
Criminal	Felony (Indictment & Information)		8,138	15,010	3,320	0	18,330	17,493	-	8,893ª	+ 755
County De			196,567	140,627	39,216	0	179,843	173,952	_	201,953 ^a	+ 5,386
	MUNICIPAL DEPARTM										
D	Law Ad Damnum	Jury	13,408	5,874	881	+ 5,499	12,254	10,453		15,120 ^a	+1,712
I	\$15,000 or Less	Non-Jury	. 57,131	126,918	1,517	- 4,634	123,801	102,350		80,897 ^a	+ 23,766
S	Small Claims		16,165	94,776	1,924	- 859	95,841	81,635	-	29,979 ^a	+13,814
R	Tax		55,479	10	10,514	0	10,524	24,314	-	41,695 ^a	-13,784
I	Felony (Indictment & Information)		1,635	4,590	1,936	0	6,526	6,339	2	1,817ª	+ 182
С	Felony (Preliminary Hearings)		13,452	38,271	2,776	0	41,047	37,669	2	19,264 ^a	+ 5,812
T	Housing		18,774	7,330	7	0	7,337	8,748	-	17,363	-1,411
ONE	Paternity		15,755	24,834	9,168	0	34,002	34,247		15,353 ^a	- 402
THRU	Misdemeanors, Ordinance Violations & Conservation Violations		120,376	330,364	24,914	0	355,278	318,607	-	140,207 ^a	+ 19,831
SIX				5,134,897	0	0	5,134,897	2,823,913	-		
Municipal I	Department Sub-Totals		313,019	5,768,794	53,646	0	5,822,440	3,448,933	-	362,820ª	+ 49,801
Grand Tota	al		509,586	5,909,421	92,862	0	6,002,283	3,622,885	-	564,773ª	+ 55,187

(a) Indicates adjustments as a result of physical inventory.

(b) Not listed separately, but included in Sub-Totals are auto Forfeitures from 1st District, of which 844 were pending at start, 930 were filed, 9 were reinstated, 939 total added, 658 disposed of and 1125 were pending at end of 1985.

TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1985

		CIRCUIT	COOKI	01 00				5		
		Pending At		Rein-	Trans-	Total	Disposed		Pending At	Inventory Increase (+
	L'avantation and	Start	Filed	stated	ferred	Added	of	Adjustment	End	Decrease (-
LAW	DIST. 1	12,056	5,683	768	+ 4,248	10,699	9,034	-	13,721	+ 1,665
JURY	DIST. 2	289	46	48	+ 128	222	208	_	248ª	- 41
CASES	DIST. 3	264	43	0	+ 308	351	324	-	282ª	+18
\$15,000	DIST. 4	293	24	9	+ 289	322	256		342ª	+ 49
OR LESS	DIST. 5	167	18	31	+ 193	242	232	_	186°	+19
	DIST. 6	339	60	25	+ 333	418	399	-	341ª	+ 2
LAW	DIST. 1	55,046	122,517	1,093	- 3,603	120,007	98,172	-	79,177°	+ 24,131
NON-JURY	DIST. 2	615	805	41	- 78	768	797	_	586	- 29
CASES	DIST. 3	348	994	220	- 248	966	1,118	-	225ª	- 123
\$15,000	DIST. 4	324	809	32	- 262	579	508	_	390°	+ 66
OR LESS	DIST. 5	359	622	56	- 151	527	654	-	227ª	-132
	DIST. 6	439	1,171	75	- 292	954	1,101	_	292°	- 147
	DIST. 1	11,513	75,928	1,093	- 525	76,496	61,524	_	26,485	+ 14,972
	DIST. 1 PRO SE	873	4,257	287	- 120	4,424	4,591	-	706	- 167
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST. 2	1,362	2,432	82	- 50	2,464	3,594	-	232	-1,130
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST. 3	442	1,951	146	- 60	2,037	2,167	-	350ª	- 92
	DIST. 4	457	2,277	104	- 27	2,354	2,373	_	415 ^d	- 42
	DIST. 5	313	1,548	57	- 36	1,569	1,532	-	325ª	+ 12
	DIST. 6	1,205	6,383	155	- 41	6,497	5,854	_	1,466ª	+ 261
	DIST. 1	54,623	10	9,061	0	9,071	22,058	-	41,636	- 12,987
	DIST. 2	290	0	491	0	491	781	-	0	- 290
TAX	DIST. 3	211	0	960	0	960	1,118	-	59ª	-152
1.03	DIST. 4	195	0	0	0	0	195	-	0	- 195
	DIST. 5	60	0	0	0	0	60	-	0	- 60
	DIST. 6	100	0	2	0	2	102	-	0	100
	DIST. 1	5	1,691	0	0	1,691	1,691	-	04	- 5
FELONY	DIST. 2	339	700	621	0	1,321	1,323	-	337	- 2
(INDICTMENT &	DIST. 3	274	532	64	0	596	648	_	222	- 52
INFORMATION	DIST. 4	356	429	567	0	996	892	-	460	+ 104
INTORMATION	DIST. 5	123	470	243	0	713	692	-	144	+ 21
	DIST. 6	538	768	441	0	1,209	1,093	-	654	+ 116
	DIST. 1	8,236	31,064	1,375	0	32,439	31,673	-	11,436"	+ 3,200
FELONY	DIST. 2	1,931	1,680	462	0	2,142	1,081	-	2,992	+ 1,061
(PRELIMINARY	DIST. 3	1,726	1,648	158	0	1,806	1,045	-	2,487	+ 761
HEARINGS	DIST. 4	384	1,624	344	0	1,968	1,858	-	494	+ 110
HEARINGS	DIST. 5	186	1,035	150	0	1,185	1,181	-	190	+ 4
	DIST. 6	989	1,220	287	0	1,507	831	-	1,665	+ 676
	DIST. 1	18,761	7,168	7	0	7,175	8,651	-	17,285	- 1,476
	DIST. 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*
HOUSING*	DIST. 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*
	DIST. 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*
	DIST. 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*
	DIST. 6	13	162	0	0	162	97	_	78	+ 65
	DIST. 1	14,566	23,574	8,974	0	32,548	32,032	-	14,925	+ 359
	DIST. 2	61	107	12	0	119	152	-	28	- 33
PATERNITY**	DIST. 3	66	55	9	0	64	85	-	45	- 21
and dependence for the	DIST. 4	395	266	19	0	285	450	_	230	- 165
	DIST. 5	**	* *	* *	**	**	* *	-	**	-
	DIST. 6	667	832	154	0	986	1,528	-	125	- 542

TREND OF CASES	IN	THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
CIRCUIT COURT	OF	COOK COUNTY DURING 1985

		enceri	COOKI	0. 00.						
		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein- stated	Trans- ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Adjustment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-
	DIST. 1	92,540	282,978	16,840	0	299,818	268,350	-	107,168ª	+ 14,628
MISDEMEANORS,	DIST. 2	3,428	7,275	948	0	8,223	6,889	-	4,762	+1,334
ORDINANCE	DIST. 3	5,494	9,079	1,347	0	10,426	9,216	-	6,704	+ 1,210
VIOLATIONS, &	DIST. 4	5,150	8,214	1,889	0	10,103	9,112	-	6,141	+ 991
	DIST. 5	5,387	9,813	1,440	0	11,253	10,548	-	6,092	+ 705
VIOLATIONS	DIST. 6	8,377	13,005	2,450	0	15,455	14,492	-	9,340	+ 963
	DIST. 1		770,725	0	0	770,725	832,626	-		
	DIST. 1 HANG-ON		3,707,591	0	0	3,707,591	1,181,360	-		
70.000	DIST. 2		127,434	0	0	127,434	156,894	—		
TRAFFIC	DIST. 3		157,935	0	0	157,935	197,483	-		L. Stringer 14
	DIST. 4	Contraction of the	106,815	0	0	106,815	142,863	-	and the second	
	DIST. 5		133,355	0	0	133,355	154,845	-		
	DIST. 6	BAR TON	131,042	0	0	131,042	157,842	-		
	DIST. 1	269,063	5,034,116	39,507	0	5,073,623	2,552,420	-	313,238ª	+ 44,175
	DIST. 2	8,315	140,479	2,705	0	143,184	171,719	-	9,185	+ 870
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST. 3	8,825	172,237	2,904	0	175,141	213,204	-	10,315	+ 1,549
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST. 4	7,554	120,458	2,964	0	123,422	158,507	—	8,472	+ 918
	DIST. 5	6,595	146,861	1,977	0	148,838	169,744	-	7,164	+ 569
	DIST. 6	12,667	154,643	3,589	0	158,232	183,339	-	13,961	+1,294
GRAND TOTALS		313,019	5,768,794	53,646	0	5,822,440	3,448,933	-	362,335ª	+ 49,316

*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two thru Five.
**All paternity matters in District Five are filed and disposed of in District Four.
(a) Indicates adjustments as a result of physical inventory.
(b) Not listed separately, but included in 1st District totals are Auto Forfeitures, of which 844 were pending at start, 930 were filed, 9 were reinstated, 939 Total added, 658 disposed of and 1125 were pending at the end of 1985.

LAW

IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES DURING 1985

AGE OF PENDING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1985

			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Totals
		Number Pending	12,125	2,211	4,245	9,201	14,834	16,605	59,221
LAW	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	20.47%	3.73%	7.17%	15.54%	25.05%	28.04%	100%
OVER \$15,000	Marin I.	Number Pending	346	532	634	850	3,206	9,720	15,288
\$15,000	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	2.26%	3.48%	4.15%	5.56%	20.97%	63.58%	100%

AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

	Lav	Jury Cases Termin	ated by Verdict		
Number of Verdicts Reached During	Verdicts	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict			
Calendar	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average	
Total	653	108	1	51.9	

	Law Jury Cases	s Disposed of by Ar	ny Means Including	Verdict
	Total Number of Cases Disposed		Elapsed Between D and Date of Dispos	
Calendar	of During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Total	22,146	133	1	36.7

CASES DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE JURY TRIAL SECTION DURING THE PERIOD

		Ca	ses* Assigned	d for Trial c	or Pre-Trial		
Law Jury Trial Section		1	Method of D	isposition			
		Dismi	ssed	Judgment	Judgment	Total Cases Returned to	Total
		For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement	on Finding	on Verdict	Assignment Judge**	Cases Assigned
Total	6221	202	4106	674	1260	2808	7943

*Includes law, tax, condemnation, and miscellaneous remedy suits heard and disposed of by Judges in the Jury Trial Section.

**Includes mistrials

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, LAW JURY CASES DURING 1985

AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

			Law Jury Cases Termin	ated by Verdict	
		Number of Verdicts	Montl Fil		
		Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
District One	-	406	N/A	N/A	N/A
District Two	-	6	26.6	5.5	23.8
District Three	-	23	56.2	5.3	17.7
District Four	-	6	56.8	23.4	40.0
District Five	-	12	31.7	0.8	11.4
District Six	-	4	25.1	9.7	18.2
TOTAL		457	56.8	0.8	19.6*

*Includes Districts Two thru Six Only.

		Law Jury C	ases Disposed of by Ar	ny Means Including Ver	dict
		Total Number of Cases Disposed of During	Montl Filin		
		the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
District One	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
District Two	_	204	49.0	0.7	11.4
District Three	-	314	54.2	0.7	11.8
District Four	-	250	89.2	1.1	15.0
District Five	-	228	39.4	0.3	14.6
District Six	-	365	61.4	1.2	13.8
TOTAL		1,361	89.2	0.3	17.0*

*Includes Districts Two thru Six Only.

TAX, CONDEMNATION, MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY

IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED DURING 1985

Year	Number of Tax Cases Filed	
1982	562	
1983	871	
1984	618	
1985	296	

Year	Number of Condemnation Cases Filed	
1982	112	
1983	107	
1984	158	
1985	247	

Year	Number of Miscellaneous Remedy Cases Filed*
1982	2,825
1983	3,318
1984	3,056
1985	1,415

*Includes such actions as matters of administrative review, declaratory judgments, writs of certiorari, mandamus, revivals of judgment over \$15,000, registrations of foreign judgment over \$15,000, forcible entry and detainer actions with damages over \$15,000, worker's compensation cases, etc.

LAW

LAW

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1985

AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 1			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
	Number Pending	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	699	350	1,049*	
LAW	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,417	16,423	17,840*
OR LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	-	-	-	-	—

DISTRICT 2			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW Jury CASES \$15,000 OR LESS Non-Juny	Number Pending	16	4	12	25	52	108	217	
	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	7.37%	1.84%	5.53%	11.52 <mark>%</mark>	23.96%	49.77%	100%
		Number Pending	38	49	133	85	208	371	884
OR LESS Non-Jury		% of Total Pending Inventory	4.30%	5.54%	15.05%	9.62%	23.53%	41.97%	100%

DISTRICT 3			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
	Number Pending	0	1	7	12	60	202	282	
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	0.35%	2.48%	4.26%	21.28%	71.63%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	New	Number Pending	2	0	1	1	11	363	378
ON LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.53%	-	0.26%	0.26%	2.91%	96.03%	100%

DISTRICT 4			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
		Number Pending	0	0	13	38	80	211	342
LAW Jury CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory		-	3.80%	11.11%	23.39%	61.70%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS Non-luny	March	Number Pending	2	2	1	3	47	337	392
OR LESS Non-Jury		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.51%	0.51%	0.26%	0.77%	11.99%	85.97%	100%

DISTRICT 5			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
		Number Pending	0	0	0	1	59	126	186
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	-	0.54%	31.72%	67.74%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS Non-Jury	Nonlury	Number Pending	0	0	0	1	29	197	227
	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	-	0.44%	12.78%	86.79%	100%

LAW

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1985 - continued

AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 6			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
		Number Pending	0	1	1	18	157	185	362
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	0.28%	0.28%	4.97%	43.37%	51.10%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	51	Number Pending	0	0	1	2	39	243	285
ON LESS	OK LESS Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	_	0.35%	0.70%	13.68%	85.26%	100%

DISTRICT TO	DTALS		1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
		Number Pending	16	6	33	94	1,107	1,182	2,438**
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
\$15,000 OR LESS	N (Number Pending	42	51	136	92	1,757	17,934	20,012**
ON LESS	OR LESS Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Totals are for years 1984 and 1985 only. **Totals are from Districts Two thru Six and years 1984 and 1985 from District One.

CHANCERY

IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1983-1985

DISPOSITION OF CHANCERY CASES

	Method of Disposition							
Year	Total Cases Disposed of	Dismissal	Judgment	Transferred to Other Division, District, etc				
1983	12,241	7,672	4,327	242				
1984	11,791	6,565	4,899	327				
1985	12,596	6,886	5,318	391				

IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1985

NATURE & NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF SUPPORT CASES IN THE SUPPORT SECTION

F TOTAL DIVORCE	PART I E CASES TERMINATED	
	29819	
P JUD	art II ogments	
TOTAL JUDGMENTS		22474
 Dissolution of Marriage Legal Separation Declaration of Invalidity 	22362 36 76	
P, CASES	ART III DISMISSED	
TOTAL DISMISSALS		7345
 Dissolution of Marriage Legal Separation Declaration of Invalidity 	7344	

COUNTY

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE COUNTY DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1985

Ту	pe of Case	Pending at Start	Filed	Disposed of	Pending at End
(1) Special Assessments	a. Chicago	723	104	83	744
(1) special Assessments	b. Suburban	630	6	6	630
(2) Tax Deeds		1,948	1,165	750	2,363
(3) Scavenger Tax Deeds		904	187	325	766
(4) Inheritance Tax Petitions		377	555	932	0
(5) Inheritance Tax Reassessmer	nts	212	19	2	229
(6) Tax Refund Petitions		269	2	2	269
(7) Tax Objections		37,613	12,138	12,279	37,472
(8) Tax Condemnation (in conjur	70	1	0	71	
(9) Other	757	402	300	859	
SUB-TOTAL		43,503	14,579	14,679	43,403
ADOPTIONS		947	1,954	1,952	949
(4) C	a. Adults	205	6,210	6,145	270
(1) Commitment Petitions	b. Minors	1	22	23	0
(2) D. (a. Adults	0	0	0	0
(2) Restoration Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
	a. Adults	0	0	0	0
(3) Discharge Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
SUB-TO	TAL	206	6,232	6,168	270
MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS & EL	ECTION MATTERS	274	28	11	291
MARRIAGE OF MINORS	1 - 1	6	21	21	6
GRAND	TOTAL	44,936	22,814	22,831	44,919

MAINTENANCE & CHILD SUPPORT

MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS IN THE SUPPORT SECTION, DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING FY 1981 THRU 1985

Year	Maintenance & Child Support
FY 1981	\$11,947,368
FY 1982	17,347,513
FY 1983	27,084,954
FY 1984	\$33,989,900
FY 1985	\$42,418,945

*The fiscal year is measured from December 1st through November 30th.

PROBATE

IN THE PROBATE DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1985

ANALYSIS OF PROBATE CASES AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS

Year	Cases Filed	Disposed of
1976	10,426	8,494
1977	10,236	8,066
1978	9,780	7,934
1979	8,934	14,579
1980	9,199	14,153
1981	9,870	25,649
1982	10,048	12,099
1983	10,925	10,023
1984	11,001	10,123
1985	11,751	11,340

		Inventories Filed		Wills			
Year	Personal	Real Estate	Total	Filed	Probated	% Probated	
1976	6,486	2,060	8,546	13,053	4,746	36.4%	
1977	6,610	2,230	8,840	12,852	4,636	36.1%	
1978	7,125	2,027	9,152	13,061	4,491	34.4%	
1979	7.007	1,406	8,413	12,512	4,477	35.8%	
1980	5,533	687	6,220	13,072	4,905	37.5%	
1981	5,282	736	6,018	13,149	4,812	36.7%	
1982	5,526	688	6,214	12,437	4,635	37.3%	
1983	5,031	611	5,642	12,115	4,852	40.1%	
1984	4,136	871	5,007	11,792	4,966	42.1%	
1985	3,609	601	4,210	11,915	5,131	43.1%	

MOVEMENT OF CASES IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1985

	Decedent Estates	Guardianships	Disabled Adults	Total
Number of Cases Filed	7,100	2,535	2,116	11,751
Number of Cases Disposed of	6,845	2,351	2,144	11,340

INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1985

INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF

	Inventories			
Kind of Property	Number	Value		
Personal	3,609	\$420,461,142		
Real Estate	601	60,619,858		
Total	4,210	\$481,081,000		

IN THE LAW DIVISION – TAX SECTION, COUNTY DIVISION – TAX SECTION, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1985

TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

Dispositions Credited	Average Number of Judges Sitting	Number of Dispositions	Number of Dispositions Per Judge
Law Division — Tax Section	2	1,624	812
County Division — Tax Section	1	14,520	14,520
Municipal Department – District One	1	22,058	22,058
— District Two	1	781	781
 District Three 	1	1,118	1,118
— District Four	1	195	195
— District Five	1	60	60
— District Six	1	102	102
— Sub-Total	6	24,314	4,052
TOTAL	9	40,458	4,495

213

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1985

INITIAL ACTION ON CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Adjusted*	Petition Recommended	Total	
2,571	17,426	19,997	

 * Includes cases placed on "informal" probation for 90 days, adjusted and disposed of before a petition is filed, etc.

CASES ADJUSTED* IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

	Delinquent	Dependent/Victim of Neglect	Minor in Need of Supervision	Other	Total
By the Complaint Unit Staff	2,416	43	112	0	2,571

*Includes cases placed on "informal" probation for 90 days, adjusted and disposed of before a petition is filed, etc.

PETITION RECOMMENDED CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Delinquent	Dependent/Victim of Neglect	Minor in Need of Supervision	Other	Total
13,117	4,222	87	0*	17,426

*No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2-3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1985.

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1985

DESCRIPTION OF CHARGES	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
DELINQUENT CHARGES			
Homicide/Manslaughter/Attempt	70	2	72
Rape/Sex Offenses/Attempt	527	12	539
Agg. Assault/Battery/Kidnapping/Arson Aggravated	1,717	296	2,013
Armed Robbery/Attempt	187	12	199
SUB-TOTAL SERIOUS, VIOLENT CHARGES	2,501	322	2,823
Arson/Attempt	112	11	123
Robbery/Attempt	1,075	58	1,133
Burglary/Attempt	2,948	123	3,071
Theft Over \$300/Attempt	436	58	494
Auto Theft/Attempt	379	25	404
SUB-TOTAL Serious property charges	4,950	275	5,225
Weapons Charges/Attempt UUW	807	65	872
Lesser Charges/Attempts Against Persons	1,697	361	2,058
Lesser Theft Charges/Attempt	2,530	464	2,994
Lesser Property Charges/Attempt	3,393	208	3,601
Drug Charges	557	70	627
SUB-TOTAL LESSER DELINQUENT CHARGES	8,984	1,168	10,152
Other Charges	581	113	694
Misc. Charges/Attempt	172	43	215
SUB-TOTAL OTHER DELINQUENT CHARGES	753	156	309
TOTAL ALL DELINQUENT CHARGES	17,188	1,921	19,109
Runaway	23	44	67
Beyond Control/Ungovernable	7	13	20
Addicted to Drugs/Alcohol		_	_
Other Status Offenses	_	_	_
TOTAL STATUS OFFENDER CHARGES	30	57	87
Abuse	1,084	1,134	2,228
Neglect	2,312	2,126	4,438
Dependency	371	360	731
TOTAL ABUSE, NEGLECT DEPENDENCY	3,777	3,620	7,397
OTHER CHARGES			
TOTAL ALL CHARGES	20,995	5,598	26,593
JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1985

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF JUVENILE CASES IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION - 1985

				Metho	od of Disposition			
		Dismissal			Transfer to Other	Court		
	Without Prejudice	With Prejudice	Other	Sol	702 Hearings Granting Transfer Criminal Division	Other	Court Finding	Total
Total	1,483	0	3,591	6,712	17	90	9,339	21,232

TYPE OF COURT FINDING IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS

Finding of Delinquency	Finding of M.R.A.I.	Finding of Dependency	Finding of Neglect	Finding of Supervision* Under Sec. 4-7	Total
4,574	27	343	1,366	3,029	9,339

*Includes minors placed on supervision Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision).

TYPE OF DISPOSITIONAL ORDER IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS - 1985

	Institutional Commitment						Pla	aced on Super	vision	
III. Dept. of Corr.	III. Dept. of Children & Family Services	Sub-Total	Local	Sub-Total	Regular Probation or Conditional Discharge	Guardian Appointed	Sec. 4-7	Sec. 5-2	Sub-Total	Total
741	591	1,332	1,838	3,170	3,360	1,530	3,029	10	3,039	1,109

NATURE OF ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Cases	Continued	Wardships
Disposed of	Generally*	Closed
21,232	102,576	7,891

*Includes multiple continuances granted on the same petition.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1985

NATURE OF DISPOSITION OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS*

				Meth	nod of Dispos	sition		Method of Disposition											
DISTRICT	Transferred to Criminal Division or Superseded by Information or Indictment or Probable Cause Finding	No Probable Cause	Bond Forfeiture W/ or W/O Warrant	Dismissed for Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off — Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Off Call and Other Dismissal	Total									
District 1	16,127	3,201	1,741	7	7,132	29	3,400	1	35	31,673									
District 2	1,194	157	125	0	23	1	178	2	13	1,693									
District 3	818	36	109	0	81	0	225	8	25	1,302									
District 4	995	402	88	0	731	0	111	1	3	2,331									
District 5	610	35	39	9	450	1	64	0	3	1,211									
District 6	1,131	2	23	0	18	0	27	0	5	1,206									
TOTAL	20,875	3,833	2,125	16	8,435	31	4,005	12	84	39,416									

*Indicates the disposition of felony preliminary hearings on felony charges and not cases.

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1985

Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
8,138	15,010	3,320	17,575	8,893

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1985

District	Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
District One	5	1,813	0	1,813	0
District Two	339	645	522	1,169	337
District Three	274	532	42	611	222
District Four	356	429	554	899	460
District Five	123	470	224	673	144
District Six	538	787	331	1,002	654
Total	1,635	4,676	1,673	6,167	1,817

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

AGE OF PENDING CASES -DECEMBER 31, 1985 (Does Not Include Post-Trial Proceedings)

			Number	of Felony Case	es Pending		
			Indic	tments & Infor	mations		
			Year Ca	ase Filed			
	1980 & prior	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	Total
Criminal Division*	11	5	40	180	1,181	7,476	8,893
Municipal District 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Municipal District 2	0	15	2	13	95	212	337
Municipal District 3	0	4	2	18	53	145	222
Municipal District 4	3	2	2	25	138	290	460
Municipal District 5	0	2	2	11	56	75	144
Municipal District 6	0	5	11	17	288	333	654
Totals	14	31	59	264	1,811	8,531	10,710

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1985

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted					
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted									
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
1,324	390	1,566	528	147	3,955	1,964	88	2,052	6,007

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from the Criminal Division to a Municipal District for trial, case consolidations, etc.

** Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b Stand Trial	
Plea Of Guilty			Total Convicted	Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
8,650	1,973	380	11,003	271	11,274

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings from the Municipal Department.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1985 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Prol	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
10	30	6,525	69	33	22	124	1,678	1,134	1,502	4,314	309	17	326	2	3	11,294

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (114) and do include sentences (4) imposed in absentis.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1985 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

					Sentences					
	Local Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment			Probation		0	Conditional Discharg			
Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other*	Total
69	22	91	1,678	1,502	3,180	309	17	326	1	3,599

*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

**Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DURING 1985**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	1	0	56									0	59
Class X		0	992									0	1,068
Class One			597	5	2	0	86	76	84	16	2	1	791
Class Two			1,117	10	3	2	381	345	253	84	3	0	2,198
Class Three			1,385	15	16	10	601	382	591	113	3	1	3,117
Class Four			549	6	5	6	307	159	337	42	6	0	1,417
Total Pleas	1	0	4,696	36	26	18	1,375	962	1,265	255	14	2	8,650

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	5	110						Mar All			0	120
Class X		3	319									0	373
Class One			185	6	2	0	44	28	38	4	0	0	251
Class Two			234	4	0	0	104	51	58	13	0	0	464
Class Three			239	17	3	2	100	62	75	24	1	0	523
Class Four			94	5	2	1	53	21	58	6	2	0	242
Total Pleas	0	8	1,181	32	7	3	301	162	229	47	3	0	1,973

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	9	14	90									0	113
Class X		8	123									0	131
Class One			39	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	45
Class Two			29	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	33
Class Three			32	0	0	0	0	5	4	5	0	0	46
Class Four			7	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	12
Total Jury Trials	9	22	320	1	0	1	2	10	8	7	0	0	380

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE DURING 1985

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

						Sent	ences						
			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Cor	nditional Discha	arge	
Type of Felony	State Imprisonment Onlyª	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With** Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Sub- Total	Önly	With** Conditions	Sub- Total	Total
Class X	12	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class One	17	0	0	0	17	70	4	130	204	0	0	0	221
Class Two	64	5	0	0	69	145	44	115	304	0	0	0	373
Class Three	45	4	0	0	49	222	22	109	353	6	0	6	408
Class Four	20	1	0	0	21	108	11	184	303	6	2	8	332
Total Pleas	158	10	0	0	168	545	81	538	1,164	12	2	14	1,346

*Not necessarily different defendants.

**Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1985

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted							
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted											
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted		
0	114	7	26	18	165	37	4	41	206		

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Two to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
936	33	8	977	3	980

Not necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1985 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Discl	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	309	0	3	0	3	466	80	89	635	32	1	33	5	0	985

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (3) and do include sentences (5) imposed in absentis.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO **DURING 1985**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonr	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0	Sand?								0	0
Class X		0	14							12.55		0	14
Class One		No.	48	6	6	0	34	11	11	0	0	0	116
Class Two			82	22	3	0	84	10	13	6	0	0	220
Class Three			100	32	5	0	156	29	25	21	1	0	369
Class Four			15	10	2	0	66	10	43	5	0	0	151
Total Pleas	0	0	259	70	16	0	340	60	92	32	1	0	870

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1				Carles and					0	1
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	5
Class Two			1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5
Class Three			1	0	1	0	4	1	0	3	0	0	10
Class Four			0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	6
Total Bench Trials	0	0	6	0	1	0	15	1	2	3	0	0	28

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1985

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
8	38	41	39	82	208	18	0	18	226

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
390	17	2	409		409

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1985 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	arge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	161	0	6	0	6	221	6	81	308	25	3	28	5	0	508

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE **DURING 1985**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0							Street		0	0
Class X	C.E.	0	11									0	11
Class One			22	15	3	0	15	0	7	2	0	0	64
Class Two			14	20	2	0	27	0	3	1	0	0	67
Class Three			27	29	5	0	41	3	14	3	1	0	124
Class Four			15	11	2	0	34	0	19	5	0	0	86
Total Pleas	0	0	89	75	12	0	117	3	43	11	1	0	352

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			0	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
Class Three			0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
Class Four			2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
Total Bench Trials	0	0	5	7	3	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	20

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^{In}Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0			Concerning of the	- Angel					0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. *Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR **DURING 1985**

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted								
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted												
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted			
11	72	23	54	13	173	35	3	38	211			

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

⁶Includes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Cor	victed		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
736	47	0	783	3	786

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1985 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	orisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	harge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	332	0	0	0	0	352	58	27	437	43	0	43	0	3	815

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1985

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	ent'		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	4				a second				Column and	0	4
Class X		0	45		na de la							0	45
Class One			53	1	0	0	28	4	1	0	0	0	87
Class Two			49	2	0	0	70	7	2	8	0	0	138
Class Three			104	5	0	0	161	21	5	21	0	0	317
Class Four			11	0	0	0	31	7	17	6	0	0	72
Total Pleas	0	0	266	8	0	0	290	39	25	35	0	0	663

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	3									0	3
Class One			1	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	6
Class Two			2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6
Class Three			2	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	10
Class Four			1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Bench Trials	0	0	10	2	0	0	11	4	0	1	0	0	28

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0						2.30 8207			0	0
Class X		0	0					A CONTRACTOR				0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. "Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1985

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			No	t Convicted					
	Transferre	ed, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^e By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
210	4	17	4	14	249	9	1	10	259

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Cor	victed		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	 Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous 	Total Defendants
375	2	2	379		379

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1985 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	107	0	2	0	2	144	80	50	274	6	0	6	0	0	389

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE **DURING 1985**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0			APP						0	0
Class X		0	2									0	2
Class One			12	0	0	1	5	5	4	0	0	0	27
Class Two			18	5	0	0	45	15	4	0	0	0	87
Class Three			30	4	0	0	48	28	7	3	0	0	120
Class Four			15	2	1	0	27	12	36	0	0	0	93
Total Pleas	0	0	77	11	1	1	125	60	51	3	0	0	329

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. *Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Bench Trials	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	5

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0		alle lize of the		1220					0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. "Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX DURING 1985

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			No	t Convicted							
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted											
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted' By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted		
4	4	54	17	15	94	60	1	61	155		

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

'Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
817	107	29	953		953

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing state.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1985 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
1	0	423	0	4	0	4	338	84	55	477	36	21	57	0	0	962

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX **DURING 1985**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	2	Star B								0	2
Class X		0	26					ARA				0	26
Class One			75	0	0	0	27	8	4	4	9	0	127
Class Two	ALC: NO		54	0	0	0	66	13	0	3	3	0	139
Class Three			112	3	0	0	103	22	5	11	2	0	258
Class Four			33	1	3	0	76	10	26	6	1	0	156
Total Pleas	0	0	302	4	3	0	272	53	35	24	15	0	708

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	ent ^a		Local Imprisonr	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	11									0	11
Class One			2	0	0	0	6	4	0	1	0	0	13
Class Two			7	0	0	0	3	3	2	0	1	0	16
Class Three			5	1	0	0	7	2	0	0	1	0	16
Class Four			4	0	0	0	8	0	1	1	1	0	15
Total Bench Trials	0	0	29	1	0	0	24	9	3	2	3	0	71

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. *Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ⁶ Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Class Three			0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total Jury Trials	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	8

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1985

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS*

								Metho	d of Dis	position]
					Not Cor	nvicted								Convict	ed			
District	Bond Forfeiture With or Without Warrant	Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Other Dismissal	Discharge/ Speedy Trial Statute	Found Not Guilty	Sub-Total	Per	onment/ iodic onment Local	Probation	Conditional Discharge	Supervision	Fine Only and Ordered to Pay	Sub-Total	Total
District One	50,227	8,630	5,697	51,832	103,234	140	1,906	0	4,838	226,504	32	8,357	3,209	1,666	19,823	8,754	41,841	268,345
District Two	1,011	14	27	185	2,709	1	20	0	409	4,376	2	288	214	109	2,048	1,214	3,875	8,251
District Three	1,128	4	115	219	2,871	9	261	0	316	4,923	7	468	258	164	2,769	3,074	6,740	11,663
District Four	1,934	10	223	225	3,291	7	13	0	1,085	6,788	3	292	169	195	2,154	1,500	4,313	11,101
District Five	1,125	20	332	526	3,290	28	28	0	424	5,773	10	646	275	215	3,434	1,920	6,500	12,273
District Six	2,181	45	160	921	4,716	1	158	0	331	8,513	0	801	25	997	2,928	886	5,637	14,150
TOTAL	57,606	8,723	6,554	53,908	120,111	186	2,386	0	7,403	256,877	54	10,852	4,150	3,346	33,156	17,348	68,906	325,783

*Indicates the disposition of misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violation charges and not cases.

TRAFFIC

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1985

Method of Disposition Not Convicted Convicted Stricken Leave Local Probation Fine Only and Ordered to Pay Dismissed Off With Found Imprisonment/ to and Nolle For Want of File Conditional Leave to Not Periodic Paid In DISTRICT Prosecution Prosequi Non-Suit Reinstate Denied Guilty** Sub-Total Imprisonment Discharge Pre-Paid Court Suspended Sub-Total Total Personal Service 33,508 478 22,923 18 485,821 632,149 148,837 33,188 263,009 16,247 2,677 1,255 77,052 895,158 District One Hang-On 3.716 0 232,950 31,538 0 157,363 425.567 0 0 730,451 4,127 0 734,578 1,160,145 37 Personal Service 235 8.204 23,132 720 58,608 90.936 256 567 19,859 53,151 2.690 76,523 167.459 **District** Two Hang-On 5 1 6,447 449 0 2,250 9,152 0 1 1,650 1,340 107 3,097 12,249 Sub-Total 240 38 14.651 23.581 720 60.858 100.088 256 568 21.509 54,491 2,797 79.620 179,708 Personal Service 467 105 6,305 35,233 1,188 57,274 100,572 418 237 28,350 71,788 4,559 105,352 205.924 **District** Three Hang-On 12 0 4,757 2 9 2 3 132 1.458 9,282 2,165 1.079 155 3,399 12,681 0 0 Sub-Total 479 105 11.062 38.156 1.320 58,732 109.854 418 237 30.515 72.867 4,714 108.751 218.605 Personal Service 123 21 9,733 21,214 227 52,914 84,232 193 419 16,013 41,662 1,167 59,454 143,686 **District** Four Hang-On 2 0 14.263 506 0 732 15.503 0 0 2.070 773 23 2.866 18,369 Sub-Total 125 21 23.996 227 53,646 99.735 419 18.083 42.435 62.320 21,720 193 1,190 162,055 Personal Service 218 80 6,774 22.032 535 61,791 91,430 797 18,402 59,746 79,565 170,995 310 310 **District** Five Hang-On 33 2 11,459 3,142 197 1,663 16,496 0 0 2,140 1,434 82 3,656 20,152 Sub-Total 251 82 18,233 25,174 107,926 797 83,221 732 63,454 310 20,542 61,180 392 191,147 194 117 Personal Service 791 18,287 16,593 50,349 86,331 2,984 2,065 21,780 42,549 1,625 71,003 157,334 **District Six** 55 2 387 Hang-On 13.220 0 3,176 16,840 33 0 2,594 1,903 23 4,553 21,393 Sub-Total 846 196 31,507 16,980 117 53,525 103,171 3,017 2,065 24,374 44,452 1,648 75,556 178,727 Total 39,165 920 355,322 173,396 3,134 933.399 1.578,490 6.871 5.341 994.311 312,740 87.793 1,407,055 2,985,545

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF TRAFFIC VIOLATION CASES*

*Includes viewing a "movie" on traffic safety as a not guilty finding.

NOTE: "Personal Service" refers to all driving violations. "Hang-On" refers to all parking violations.

APPENDIX A CONSTITUTION OF 1970 ARTICLE VI — THE JUDICIARY

Section 1. Courts

The judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, an Appellate Court and Circuit Courts.

Section 2. Judicial Districts

The State is divided into five Judicial Districts for the selection of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges. The First Judicial District consists of Cook County. The remainder of the State shall be divided by law into four Judicial Districts of substantially equal population, each of which shall be compact and composed of contiguous counties.

Section 3. Supreme Court-Organization

The Supreme Court shall consist of seven Judges. Three shall be selected from the First Judicial District and one from each of the other Judicial Districts. Four Judges constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Supreme Court Judges shall select a Chief Justice from their number to serve for a term of three years.

Section 4. Supreme Court-Jurisdiction

(a) The Supreme Court may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review.

(b) Appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death shall be directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for direct appeal in other cases.

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases.

Section 5. Appellate Court-Organization

The number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each Judicial District shall be provided by law. The Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of Appellate divisions in each Judicial District. Each Appellate division shall have at least three judges. Assignments to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court. A majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision. There shall be at least one division in each Judicial District and each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court.

Section 6. Appellate Court-Jurisdiction

Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court are a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court and except that after a trial on the merits in a criminal case, there shall be no appeal from a judgment of acquittal. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals to the Appellate Court from other than final judgments of Circuit Courts. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. The Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law.

Section 7. Judicial Circuits

(a) The State shall be divided into Judicial Circuits consisting of one or more counties. The First Judicial District shall constitute a Judicial Circuit. The Judicial Circuits within the other Judicial Districts shall be as provided by law. Circuits composed of more than one county shall be compact and of contiguous counties. The General Assembly by law may provide for the division of a circuit for the purpose of selection of Circuit Judges and for the selection of Circuit Judges from the circuit at large.

(b) Each Judicial Circuit shall have one Circuit Court with such number of Circuit Judges as provided by law. Unless otherwise provided by law, there shall be at least one Circuit Judge from each county. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, Cook County, Chicago, and the area outside of Chicago shall be separate units for the selection of Circuit Judges, with at least twelve chosen at large from the area outside Chicago and at least thirty-six chosen at large from Chicago. (c) Circuit Judges in each circuit shall select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the Chief Judge shall have general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court.

Section 8. Associate Judges

Each Circuit Court shall have such number of Associate Judges as provided by law. Associate Judges shall be appointed by the Circuit Judges in each circuit as the Supreme Court shall provide by rule. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, at least one-fourth of the Associate Judges shall be appointed from, and reside, outside Chicago. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges.

Section 9. Circuit Courts-Jurisdiction

Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters except when the Supreme Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. Circuit Courts shall have such power to review administrative action as provided by law.

Section 10. Terms of Office

The terms of office of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges shall be ten years; of Circuit Judges, six years; and of Associate Judges, four years.

Section 11. Eligibility For Office

No person shall be eligible to be a Judge or Associate Judge unless he is a United States citizen, a licensed attorney-at-law of this State, and a resident of the unit which selects him. No change in the boundaries of a unit shall affect the tenure in office of a Judge or Associate Judge incumbent at the time of such change.

Section 12. Election And Retention

(a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. A person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions. (b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, removal, or upon the conclusion of his term without retention in office. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office.

(c) A vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointment.

(d) Not less than six months before the general election preceding the expiration of his term of office, a Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge who has been elected to that office may file in the office of the Secretary of State a declaration of candidacy to succeed himself. The Secretary of State, not less than 63 days before the election, shall certify the Judge's candidacy to the proper election officials. The names of Judges seeking retention shall be submitted to the electors, separately and without party designation, on the sole question whether each Judge shall be retained in office for another term. The retention elections shall be conducted at general elections in the appropriate Judicial District, for Supreme and Appellate Judges, and in the circuit for Circuit Judges. The affirmative vote of three-fifths of the electors voting on the question shall elect the Judge to the office for a term commencing on the first Monday in December following his election.

(e) A law reducing the number of Appellate or Circuit Judges shall be without prejudice to the right of the Judges affected to seek retention in office. A reduction shall become effective when a vacancy occurs in the affected unit.

Section 13. Prohibited Activities

(a) The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for Judges and Associate Judges.

(b) Judges and Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or in a political party. Service in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not disqualify a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge.

Section 14. Judicial Salaries And Expenses — Fee Officers Eliminated

Judges shall receive salaries provided by law which shall not be diminished to take effect during their terms of office. All salaries and such expenses as may be provided by law shall be paid by the State, except that Appellate, Circuit and Associate Judges shall receive such additional compensation from counties within their district or circuit as may be provided by law. There shall be no fee officers in the judicial system.

Section 15. Retirement - Discipline

(a) The General Assembly may provide by law for the retirement of Judges and Associate Judges at a prescribed age. Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge.

(b) A Judicial Inquiry Board is created. The Supreme Court shall select two Circuit Judges as members and the Governor shall appoint four persons who are not lawyers and three lawyers as members of the Board. No more than two of the lawyers and two of the non-lawyers appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The terms of Board members shall be four years. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled for a full term in the manner the original appointment was made. No member may serve on the Board more than eight years.

(c) The Board shall be convened permanently, with authority to conduct investigations, receive or initiate complaints concerning a Judge or Associate Judge, and file complaints with the Courts Commission. The Board shall not file a complaint unless five members believe that a reasonable basis exists (1) to charge the Judge or Associate Judge with willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to charge that the Judge or Associate Judge is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties. All proceedings of the Board shall be confidential except the filing of a complaint with the Courts Commission. The Board shall prosecute the complaint.

(d) The Board shall adopt rules governing its procedures. It shall have subpoena power and authority to appoint and direct its staff. Members of the Board who are not Judges shall receive per diem compensation and necessary expenses; members who are Judges shall receive necessary expenses only. The General Assembly by law shall appropriate funds for the operation of the Board.

(e) A Courts Commission is created consisting of one Supreme Court Judge selected by that Court, who shall be its chairman, two Appellate Court Judges selected by that Court, and two Circuit Judges selected by the Supreme Court. The Commission shall be convened permanently to hear complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Commission shall have authority after notice and public hearing, (1) to remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a Judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties.

(f) The concurrence of three members of the Commission shall be necessary for a decision. The decision of the Commission shall be final.

(g) The Commission shall adopt rules governing its procedures and shall have power to issue subpoenas. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the expenses of the Commission.

Section 16. Administration

General administrative and supervisory authority over all courts is vested in the Supreme Court and shall be exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with its rules. The Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. The Supreme Court may assign a Judge temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to serve temporarily as an Associate Judge on any Circuit Court. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for expeditious and inexpensive appeals.

Section 17. Judicial Conference

The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31.

Section 18. Clerks Of Courts

(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District.

(b) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election, or for the appointment by Circuit Judges, of clerks and other non-judicial officers of the Circuit Courts and for their terms of office and removal for cause.

(c) The salaries of clerks and other non-judicial officers shall be as provided by law.

Section 19. State's Attorneys-Selection, Salary

A State's Attorney shall be elected in each county in 1972 and every fourth year thereafter for a four year term. One State's Attorney may be elected to serve two or more counties if the governing boards of such counties so provide and a majority of the electors of each county voting on the issue approve. A person shall not be eligible for the office of State's Attorney unless he is a United States citizen and a licensed attorney-at-law of this State. His salary shall be provided by law.

APPENDIX B ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS Historical Development

The predecessor of the present Administrative Office of the Illinois courts was a statutory creature into which the General Assembly breathed life in 1959. The entity was known as the Court Administrators Office, and it so existed until 1964. The office in those past years was chiefly concerned with studying caseloads to determine the needs of particular courts for assistance and to provide a statistical background for further studies.

The 1964 Judicial Article directed that the "Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties." That provision was retained, virtually intact, by Section 16, Article VI of the 1970 Constitution. Thus, the fledgling administrator's office of 1959 was continued and conferred with constitutional dignity in 1964 and 1970. Two Illinois constitutional commentators, Messrs. Braden and Cohn, in analyzing this section have stated that "only five (states) have a constitutional office similar to the administrative director provided by Illinois . . .", and the authors noted that the constitutional grant of administrative power to the Supreme Court as exercised by the Chief Justice through the Administrative Director is an excellent "mechanism for a coordinated and efficient administration of the judicial system." Braden and Cohn. The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis, on page 333.

During the years that it has been in existence, the Administrative Office has matured from infancy to adulthood, and correspondingly it has taken on and has been assigned, by the Supreme Court, greater duties and responsibilities. The growth of the office has been carefully nurtured by a succession of highly qualified and distinguished lawyers: Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the federal court system; Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; Hon. John C. Fitzgerald, a retired Circuit Judge and former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University, Chicago; John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad; and Roy O. Gulley, former Chief Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit.

Today, the Administrative Office has more than 40 employees who serve the Supreme Court and supervise the activities of all the courts in the State and court-related personnel. In addition to the Director, the office employs six persons (four of whom are lawyers) on a managerial or supervisory level, with the balance of employees serving in various supporting capacities.

APPENDIX C JUDICIAL SALARY STRUCTURE

Supreme Court Justices—\$85,000 Appellate Court Judges—\$80,000 Circuit Court Judges—\$73,500 Associate Judges—\$68,500

APPENDIX D

GENEALOGY OF JUDGES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT

