

ILLINOIS COURTS

1986 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

LLLINOIS

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

OF THE

ILLINOIS COURTS

1986 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

OF ILLINOIS

6.1818

E



REPORT OF THE ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR WILLIAM M. MADDEN

Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

William M. Madden Acting Director Supreme Court Building Springfield 62701 217/782-7770

30 North Michigan Avenue Chicago 60602 312/793-3250

To: The Honorable Chief Justice and the Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois:

I tender herewith the annual report of the Administrative Office for calendar year 1986.

Sincerely, h Madde lau 1

William M. Madden Acting Director

WMM/me

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL FROM WILLIAM M. MADDEN	4
IN MEMORIAM	7
JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS	8
THE SUPREME COURT	9
Jurisdiction and Organization	9
Administrative and Supervisory Authority	9
1986 Supreme Court Caseload Summary	9
Clerk of the Supreme Court	9
Supreme Court Marshal	9
Reporter of Decisions	10
In Memory of Justice Walter V. Schaefer	10
Supreme Court Rules Committee	11
New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Supreme Court	11
Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court	12
Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service	12
1986 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly	12
THE APPELLATE COURT	29
Jurisdiction and Organization	29
1986 Appellate Court Caseload Summary	29
Assignments to the Industrial Commission Division of Appellate Court	29
Supreme Court Assignment of Judges to the Appellate Court	30
Annual Meeting of the Illinois Appellate Court	30
Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court	30
	31
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	
Jurisdiction and Organization	31
1986 Circuit Court Caseload Summary	31
Conference of Chief Circuit Judges	31
Out-of-Circuit Assignments	31
Rule 295 Assignments	32
	32
	33
THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE	35
1986 Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference	35
1986 Associate Judge Seminar	35
1986 New Judge Seminar	36
1986 Regional Seminar Programs	37
1986 Study Committee Reports	37
THE COURTS COMMISSION	39
THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	40
Introduction	40
Personnel	40
Accounting Division	41
Probation Division	55
Judicial Management Information Services	61
Age of Pending Cases Reports	69
Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports	69
Rule 39 — Appointment of Associate Judges	65
Rule 215(d) — Impartial Medical Experts	66
Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students	70
Administration of Official Court Reporters	69
Secretariat	70

Educational and Informational Functions	70
Circuit Clerk Training Program	70
Distribution of Supreme Court Opinion and Legislative Summaries	70
Publications of the Administrative Office	70
Membership in Organizations	71
LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS	72
1986 CASELOADS, STATISTICAL RECORDS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS	
SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURT DISTRICTS	75
THE SUPREME COURT	76
Justices of the Supreme Court	76
Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court During 1986	77
THE APPELLATE COURT	78
Judges of the Appellate Court	78
Trend of All Cases in the Appellate Court During 1986	79
All Cases Disposed of in the Appellate Court During 1986	80
All Cases Disposed of Without Opinion or Order Pursuant to Supreme	
Court Rule 23 During 1986	81
Time Lapse Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition for All	
Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1986	82
Time Lapse Between Date Briefs Were Filed and Date of Disposition	
for Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1986	83
Abstract Summary of the Number of Opinions and Rule 23 Orders	
Written by Judges of the Appellate Court During 1986	84
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	85
The Judicial Circuits	85
Circuit Court Judicial Officers	86
Ratio of Filings Per Judge in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1986	95
Trend of All Cases in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1986	96
Summary Report on Law Cases Disposed of in the Circuit Courts of	
Illinois During 1986	139
Summary Report on Law Cases Terminated by Verdict	139
Statistical Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed of During 1986	140
Dispositions in 1986 of Defendants Charged With a Felony	144
Sentences Imposed on Defendants Charged and Convicted of	
Felonies During 1986	148
Fiscal Year 1986 Total Financial Activity as Reported by the Clerks	154
Fiscal Year 1986 Fines, Add-on Penalties, Assessments, and Certain	
Fees Distributed by Clerks of the Circuit Courts 1986	157
1986 Select Illinois Probation Departments' Statistics — Juvenile	167
1986 Select Illinois Probation Departments' Statistics — Adult	186
THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY	201
Organizational Chart	201
Trend of Cases in the Circuit Court of Cook County	203
1986 Statistical Reports — Law Division	205
1986 Statistical Reports — Domestic Relations Division	210
1986 Statistical Reports — County Division	211
1986 Statistical Reports — Probate Division	212
1986 Statistical Reports — Juvenile Division	214
1986 Statistical Reports — Felonies	217
1986 Statistics — Misdemeanor, Ordinance & Conservation Violations	238
1986 Statistics — Traffic	239
Appendix A — Constitution of 1970: Article VI — The Judiciary	240
Appendix B — Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts — Historical Development	243
Appendix C — Judicial Salary Structure	243
Appendix D — Genealogy of Judges of the Illinois Supreme Court 1818 — date	244

IN MEMORIAM

Supreme Court Justice

Walter V. Schaefer (Retired)

June 15, 1986

Circuit Judges

William Conway (Retired), 7th Circuit Helen Rutkowski Dieringer (Retired), 15th Irving W. Eiserman (Retired), Cook Joseph Fleming (Retired), 20th Circuit Robert C. Gill, 17th Circuit Michael Kinney (Retired), 3rd Circuit Jack I. Sperling (Retired), Cook County Vincent W. Tondryk (Retired), Cook County Richard Weiler, 16th Circuit Frank X. Yackley, 13th Circuit Michael Zlatnik, Cook County February 27, 1986 March 14, 1986 September 2, 1986 June 29, 1986 August 31, 1986 May 28, 1986 January 10, 1986 March 22, 1986 June 12, 1986 August 4, 1986 August 30, 1986

Associate Judges

Robert Coney (Retired), 10th Circuit Robert J. Downey, Cook County Thomas Faulkner, 12th Circuit David Dean Wilson, 9th Circuit September 23, 1986 April 19, 1986 November 12, 1986 September 23, 1986

JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS

During 1986, a total of 36 Illinois judges left the judicial system. Several of these judges had reached the compulsory retirement age of 75 (Ill. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par 23.71).

Appellate Court Judges

Helen F. McGillicuddy, 1st District December 1, 1986

Circuit Judges

Laurence L. Arnold, 2nd Circuit December 1, 1986

Thomas R. Casey, Cook County July 17, 1986

U.S. Collins, 9th Circuit December 1, 1986

Robert J. Dempsey, Cook County June 19, 1986

Ben Edelstein, Cook County December 1, 1986

Michael Brennan Getty, Cook County December 1, 1986

Jay M. Hanson, 14th Circuit December 1, 1986

John F. Hechinger, Cook County December 1, 1986

George A. Higgins, Cook County December 1, 1986

Reginald J. Holzer, Cook County May 20, 1986

John L. Hughes, 19th Circuit November 30, 1986

William E. Johnson, 3rd Circuit June 9, 1986

Matthew A. Jurczak, 5th Circuit December 1, 1986

Lewis V. Morgan, Jr., 18th Circuit December 1, 1986

Donald W. Morthland, 6th Circuit December 31, 1986

Charles P. O'Connor, 12th Circuit June 4, 1986

Paul W. Schnake, 16th Circuit December 1, 1986 Harry A. Schrier, Cook County December 1, 1986

Robert Sulski, Cook County December 1, 1986

Robert L. Thornton, 11th Circuit December 1, 1986

Howard S. White, 8th Circuit December 1, 1986

Associate Judges

James W. Cadwell, 16th Circuit April 30, 1986

Richard C. Christian, 19th Circuit February 15, 1986

Roland J. DeMarco, 2nd Circuit December 26, 1986

John Gitchoff, 3rd Circuit April 1, 1986

Michael Howlett, Jr., Cook County April 30, 1986

Paul A. Logli, 17th Circuit November 25, 1986

Ivan Lovaas, 14th Circuit December 30, 1986

James K. Marshall, 16th Circuit April 1, 1986

Alan E. Morrill, Cook County August 31, 1986

John D. Sullivan, 10th Circuit August 1, 1986

John Verklan, 12th Circuit September 5, 1986

Eugene R. Ward, Cook County July 31, 1986

Alphonse F. Witt, 19th Circuit July 31, 1986

THE SUPREME COURT

Jurisdiction and Organization

The Illinois Supreme Court is the highest court in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is primarily appellate, but it has original jurisdiction in several categories of cases listed in the 1970 Constitution. It hears appeals from decisions both of the Appellate Court and of the Circuit Courts, and its appellate caseload consists of discretionary appeals and appeals as of right. For a more detailed description of the Court's jurisdiction, see sections 4 and 9 of article VI of the Constitution of 1970, in Appendix A.

Three of the seven justices of the Court are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four judicial districts. Justices are elected for 10 year terms. Four justices constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 2, 3 and 10.)

The Court is in session in Springfield for five terms each year during the months of January, March, May, September and November. At each term, the Court issues opinions, holds conferences, hears oral arguments, rules on motions, considers modifications to Supreme rules and meets with the Administrative Director to consider administrative and budgetary matters.

Administrative and Supervisory Authority

General administrative and supervisory authority over the unified Illinois judicial system is vested by the Constitution in the Supreme Court. Acting in accordance with the Court's rules, the Chief Justice, who is selected for a three year term, exercises this authority. The Court appoints an Administrative Director and staff to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16.) In addition to the general grant of administrative authority contained in section 16 of article VI, the Constitution also identifies specific administrative powers which the Court shall or may exercise. These powers include:

- Prescribing the number of appellate divisions in each judicial district;
- (2) Assignment of judges to appellate divisions;
- Prescribing the time and place for appellate divisions to sit;
- (4) Providing for the manner of appointing associate judges;
- (5) Providing for matters assignable to associate judges;
- (6) In the absence of a law, filling judicial vacancies by appointment;
- (7) Prescribing rules of conduct for judges;
- (8) Assignment of retired judges to judicial service;
- (9) Appointment of an Administrative Director and staff;

- (10) Temporary assignment of judges;
- Providing for an annual Judicial Conference and reporting thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly;
- (12) Appointment of the Supreme Court Clerk and other nonjudicial officers of the Court.

To complement these enumerated duties, the Court possesses other administrative functions pursuant to statute or which are inherent in the operation of the Court. The annual judicial budget prepared by the Administrative Director is approved by the Court. The Court employs three law clerks for each Justice as well as staff attorneys and other research department personnel. It selects a Marshal and Supreme Court Librarian. The Court also appoints the State Appellate Defender and two persons to the Appellate Defender Commission; a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Illinois Defender Project and the Board of Trustees of the Judges' Retirement System. From time to time, the Court appoints committees, as the need arises, to study and suggest amendments in substantive and procedural law, Supreme Court rules, and other matters affecting the administration of justice.

1986 Supreme Court Caseload Summary

During the 1986 terms, the seven justices handed down 156 full opinions and seven supervisory orders; ruled on 71 petitions for rehearing, and ruled on 1,617 petitions for leave to appeal. Of the petitions for leave to appeal, 129 or 8.7% were allowed. The court received 2,200 new filings in 1986 on the general docket, miscellaneous docket, and miscellaneous record and admitted 2,580 new lawyers to the practice of law in Illinois.

Clerk of the Supreme Court

Since July 19, 1982, Juleann Hornyak has served as Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court. In general, the duties of the Clerk include the receipt and processing of filings and the maintenance of dockets, records, files and statistics on the activities of the Court. During 1986, the staff of the Clerk's office consisted of 15 full-time employees and 2 part-time employees.

The Supreme Court Marshal

Since February 8, 1976, the Supreme Court's Marshal has been Mr. Louie F. Dean. The Marshal attends each term of the Court and performs such duties, at the direction of the Court, which are usually performed by the county sheriff in the Circuit Courts.

Reporter of Decisions

The Supreme Court appointed Stephen D. Porter to serve as Reporter of Decisions for the Supreme and Appellate Courts effective January 1, 1976. The Reporter's office is located in Bloomington and is responsible for the editing and printing of the official reports of Supreme and Appellate Court opinions. Each year the Reporter supervises the publication of 25 paperback advance sheets and approximately 12 to 14 hard-bound volumes of the official reports. The Reporter's office also prepares the headnotes and index for the Supreme Court opinions.

In Memory of Justice Walter V. Schaefer

The Honorable Walter V. Schaefer, who retired in 1976, after serving as an Illinois Supreme Court Justice for twenty five years, died June 15, 1986, in Lake Forest, Illinois. He was eighty one years of age. He is survived by his wife, Marguerite; three sons, James M. Goff of Chicago, V. Barlow Goff of Sacramento, California, and Walter V. Schaefer, Jr., of Seattle, Washington; a daughter, Nancy Schaefer (Mrs. Chester V. Kamin) of Chicago; six grandchildren, and a great grandchild.

Justice Schaefer was born on December 10, 1904, in Grand Rapids, Michigan. He graduated from Hyde Park High School in Chicago. He received a Bachelor of Philosophy degree in 1926 and a Juris Doctor degree in 1928 and became a statutory draftsman with the Legislative Reference Bureau in Springfield, Illinois.

Between 1929 and 1934 he was a practicing attorney. During this period he had a major role in drafting and editing the Illinois Civil Practice Act which was adopted in 1934. He also was an associate editor of the Illinois Civil Practice Act Annotated.

In 1934 and 1935 he served as litigation attorney with the Agricultural Adjustment Administration in Washington, D.C.; from 1935 to 1937 he was a member of the legal department of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation in Chicago, and from 1937 to 1940 he was an Assistant Corporation Counsel for the City of Chicago.

From 1940 to 1951 he was a professor of law at Northwestern University School of Law. He taught courses in evidence, civil procedure, agency, taxation, constitutional law, legal institutions, legal bibliography, moot court, real estate transactions and mortgages, and a property seminar.

From 1940 to 1946 he also was a commissioner with the Chicago Housing Authority, and in 1942 and 1943 served as a referee in bankruptcy for the United States District Court.

In 1948 he became top aide to former Governor Adlai E. Stevenson who appointed him to the Illinois Supreme Court in 1951 to fill the vacancy created by the death of Justice Francis S. Wilson. Justice Schaefer was elected to the Illinois Supreme Court in 1951; was subsequently elected in 1960, and was retained in 1970 as a justice of the new First Judicial District under the provisions of the amended Judicial Article of 1962. He served as Chief Justice of the Illinois Supreme Court twice; was liaison justice to the Supreme Court Rules Committee, and was an early advocate of an annual judicial conference (which came to fruition in 1954 and continues today in the constitutional form as the Annual Illinois Judicial Conference). Justice Schaefer was Chairman of the Illinois Court Commission.

Justice Schaefer's impact on the law and the administration of justice in Illinois during his time on the bench is surely beyond the quantity of opinions rendered; yet statistics do provide some indication of his pervasiveness. His opinions are contained in 74 volumes of the Official Reports, 409 III. through 415 III., and 1 III. 2d through 64 III. 2d (advance sheet volume number 19, dated October 13, 1976). There the kind and number of Justice Schaefer's opinions are found:

Opinions for the Court (Majority)	916
Dissenting Opinions and Statements	123
Concurring and Special Concurring	
Opinions and Statements	11
Concurring in Part and Dissenting	
in Part Opinion	1
Total1	1051

After Justice Schaefer's retirement in 1976, he became of counsel to a Chicago law firm. In 1977 he returned to Northwestern University to teach part time as the William M. Trumbull Lecturer on Judicial Administration. His most recent seminar on appellate procedure and judicial administration was given in the fall of 1985.

Justice Schaefer authored a book on the Illinois Civil Practice Act; he was the author of "Courts and the Commonplaces of Federalism" (1959); "The Suspect and Society; Criminal Procedure and Converging Constitutional Doctrines" (1967), and numerous articles in legal journals, the most recent of which appeared in the "Duke Law Journal" in 1985.

He was the Ernest Freund Lecturer at the University of Chicago in 1955; the Oliver Wendell Holmes Lecturer at Harvard University in 1956; the Edward J. James Lecturer at the University of Illinois in 1959; the Julius Rosenthal Foundation Lecturer at Northwestern University in 1966, and the Benjamin N. Cardozo Lecturer at New York University in 1967.

Justice Schaefer was a member of the faculty of the Appellate Judges' Seminar of the New York University School of Law from 1956 to 1972; a member of the faculty of the Salzburg Seminar in American Studies 1960 and 1971; a member of the Anglo-American Judicial Exchange team in 1961 and 1977, and American Guest to the Law Council of Australia in 1963. From 1965 to 1968 he was chairman of the American Bar Association Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards. In 1985 he was judge in residence at the Francis Lewis Law Center of Washington and Lee University.

He was recognized as one of the most outstanding State Supreme Court Justices of the century. Justice Schaefer received honorary degrees from Northwestern University, University of Chicago, John Marshall Law School, Lake Forest College, Notre Dame University, and DePaul University. His awards included the 1969 American Bar Association Gold Medal "for conspicuous service in the cause of American Jurisprudence", the 1977 American Judicature Society Herbert Harley Award for "outstanding service", and the 1977 Illinois State Bar Association Award of Merit for "Service to the Profession".

In 1979 "as a lasting tribute to a most eminent jurist", the editors of "The Northwestern Law Review" dedicated an issue to Justice Schaefer and published articles which contained comments about him by Justice William J. Brennan, Jr., Judge Carl McGowan, Justice Roger J. Traynor, Justice Daniel P. Ward, Professor Francis A. Allen, Albert E. Jenner, Jr. Esq., and Professor James A. Rohl.

Justice Schaefer was a member of the Council of the American Law Institute; an honorary fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, and a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

He possessed in the highest degree deep and perceptive insight into the larger function of law. He was highly regarded; a distinguished lecturer; a most honorable and able justice. As a professor and as a judge he often said there are three things a judge must keep in mind; fairness, fairness, and fairness. He made the practice of law a continuation of the learning process.

Supreme Court Rules Committee

The Supreme Court has a standing committee on rules. This Committee was first organized in 1963 in anticipation of the increased responsibility of the Supreme Court in the area of rule making under the 1964 constitutional amendment. During the calendar year 1986 the Committee was composed of the following members:

Professor Jo Desha Lucas, University of Chicago School of Law, Chairman

Murray R. Conzelman, Esq. Lawrence Gunnels, Esq. Hon. Harold L. Jensen William J. Jovan, Esq. Watts C. Johnson, Esq. Sidney Karasik, Esq. Fred Lambruschi, Esq. Carl W. Lee, Esq. Hon. Richard Mills Hon. William R. Quinlan Hon. Dom Rizzi Peter M. Sfikas, Esq. Hon. John E. Sype Robert L. Stern, Esq.

Justice Thomas J. Moran of the Supreme Court of Illinois was the Supreme Court's Liaison to the Rules Committee during calendar year 1986. William M. Madden of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts served as secretary to the Committee.

Except when extraordinary matters must be considered, the Supreme Court Rules Committee meets in Chicago on the last Friday of February, April, June, October and December. The staggered meeting dates are intended to facilitate attendance by the Supreme Court's liaison justice.

During 1986, the Committee considered many proposals for changes in the Supreme Court Rules. Those proposals which were adopted by the Supreme Court are summarized in the following section. These matters were a small portion of the recommendations for change discussed at the Committee's meetings. Recommendations come from various sources. In some instances, the Supreme Court agrees upon a rule in principle and refers the proposal to the Committee to be placed into proper form. In other instances, proposals are prompted by court decisions, actions by Congress or the State General Assembly, or communications from the organized bar, law professors, individual attorneys or the public at large.

New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Illinois Supreme Court

In the exercise of its inherent power to adopt rules governing practice and procedure, supplemented by constitutional directives to exercise that authority in specific areas (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 16 and 17), the Illinois Supreme Court adopted, amended or repealed the following rules of significant interest in 1986.

Rule 19

This rule was adopted on February 21, 1986 and became effective August 1, 1986. The rule requires a litigant raising a claim of unconstitutionality of a statute, ordinance or regulation to notify the appropriate public body. The public body may apply to intervene in the litigation, but it is not required to do so.

Rule 68

This rule was amended effective August 1, 1986 and details new requirements and procedures for judges filing declarations of economic interest with the Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Rule 187

This new rule was adopted, effective August 1, 1986, to provide for the timely filing of motions on *forum non conveniens* grounds (see Bell v. Louisville & Nashville R. R. Co. (1985), 106 III. 2d 135), and to standardize the procedure governing interstate and intrastate *forum non conveniens* motions.

Rule 315

Rule 315(b) was amended, effective August 1, 1986, regarding time and contents of a petition for leave to appeal.

Rule 608

Rule 608(a) was amended, effective August 1, 1986, to require the immediate preparation of a record on appeal upon the filing of a notice, without the need for any designation by the parties. The amendment expanded the portions of the circuit court record which must be included in the record on appeal. As amended, paragraph (a) also allows the filing of a supplemental record on appeal containing photographs of exhibits.

Rule 753

This rule was amended effective August 1, 1986 so that subparagraph (e)(1) no longer required that the Hearing Board report be reviewed in all cases wherein it recommends action by the Court.

Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service

Article VI, section 15(a) of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge to active service, with his consent. A retired associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge. The following list shows those judges who were so assigned in 1986.

Alfred E. Woodward Second District (all year)

Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court

Article VI, section 12 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides that, in the absence of a law providing for the filling of vacancies in the office of Supreme Court Justice, Appellate or Circuit judge, such vacancies may be filled by appointment of the Supreme Court. Exercising this authority, the Supreme Court, during 1986, made the following appointments of attorneys and sitting judges.

> Carol Kamin Bellows, Cook County Effective November 20

> > Daniel Doyle, 17th Circuit Effective December 1

Michael B. Getty, Cook County Effective December 1

John Gustafson, Cook County Effective January 1

Jay Hanson, 14th Circuit Effective January 1

Matthew A. Jurczak, 5th Circuit Effective January 2

Lewis V. Morgan, Jr., 18th Circuit Effective February 1

John O'Toole, Cook County Effective December 1

Barry E. Puklin, 16th Circuit Effective October 1

Paul Riley, 3rd Circuit Effective December 22

Thomas W. Vinson, 12th Circuit Effective July 1

Fred P. Wagner, 13th Circuit Effective October 1

Charles H. Wilhelm, 9th Circuit Effective February 1

	Circuit Court
Irving W. Eiserman	Cook County
	(January 1-July 1)
Morton C. Elden	Cook County
	(all year)
Hyman Feldman	Cook County
	(all year)
James A. Geroulis	Cook County
	(all year)
John McGury	Cook County
	(all year)
Benjamin Nelson	Cook County
	(all year)
Harry Stark	Cook County
	(all year)
Alfred Teton	Cook County
	(all year)
Raymond Trafelet	Cook County
	(all year)
Eugene L. Wachowski	Cook County
	(all year)

1986 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly

Article VI, section 17 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides:

"The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly..."

The text of the 1986 report submitted by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court is set forth as follows:

SUPREME COURT State of Illinois CHIEF JUSTICE WILLIAM G. CLARK Richard J. Daley Center Chicago, Illinois 60602

January 31, 1986

Honorable Philip J. Rock, President Senate of the State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

Honorable Michael J. Madigan, Speaker House of Representatives State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

Gentlemen:

The following report is submitted in accordance with section 17 of article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 which provides: "The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly***."

In making the suggestions contained in this and in prior reports, the Supreme Court is fully cognizant of the respective roles of the General Assembly and the courts, and does not intend to intrude upon the prerogatives of the General Assembly in determining what legislation should be enacted. It is gratifying, however, to note that the General Assembly over the years, especially last year, has acted to implement many of the suggestions made by the Court. I respectfully submit that the attached suggestions merit the consideration of the General Assembly.

Respectfully,

William G. Clark Chief Justice

cc: Members of the General Assembly

The State Should Fully Fund the Operations of the Circuit Courts

The dream of a constitutionally unified State court system materialized in Illinois on January 1, 1964, when the amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Constitution, adopted in 1962, took effect. (III. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964).) The court system created then was of course refined with the adoption of the 1970 Constitution (III. Const. 1970, art. VI) but the basic court structure established by the 1962 judicial article amendment remains intact. The Illinois court system is universally acknowledged by legal scholars and practitioners as the model system in court structure and organization because the Constitution creates a supreme court having general administrative and supervisory authority over all courts, one appellate court, and a single trial court - the circuit courts - having original jurisdiction of virtually all justiciable matters. Yet, in one major respect, the realized dream is a mirage - full State funding of the operations of the circuit courts has not appeared.

Presently the State fully funds the entire operation of the supreme and appellate courts: the salaries of judicial and nonjudicial personnel, the operating expenses of those courts and their clerks' offices, costs associated with capital improvements and maintaining courthouses and judicial chambers, and so forth. However, when it comes to funding the circuit courts, the counties, not the State, must bear the major burden of financing the operations of those courts. It is true that some circuit court expenses are paid directly or indirectly out of State appropriations: for example, virtually all of the salaries of circuit and associate judges (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, pars. 3.2, 3.3), the salary of the chief circuit judge's administrative assistant (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 72.4-1), the salaries of official court reporters (Pub. Act 84-1425, sec. 2, effective September 24, 1986), and a subsidy to counties for the operation of probation and court services departments (Pub. Act 84-1308, art. II, sec. 53, effective August 25, 1986); but the balance of the expenses to operate the circuit courts is a responsibility of the counties (see generally III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 34, par. 432). Such expenses borne by the counties range from pencil and paper purchases to courthouse construction and circuit-clerk office operation costs. We note parenthetically that chief judges, who are constitutionally responsible for administering their circuit courts (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)), have had disputes with county boards concerning adequate funding of their courts. See, e.g., Knuepfer v. Fawell (1983), 96 III. 2d 284, and People ex rel. Bier v. Scholz (1979), 77 Ill. 2d 12.

The annual fiscal year budget of this State is \$20 billion, yet over the years less than 1% of the State Budget has been appropriated to the Supreme Court for the operations of all courts. The courts of Illinois, including the circuit courts, are State courts and the funding for their operations should come from appropriations made by the General Assembly. To be sure, full State funding of the circuit courts would be an additional expense to the State, but the cost would be spread among all of the citizens of Illinois, rather than just placing severe fiscal burdens on local taxpayers in the counties.

The Supreme Court is deeply cognizant that the exact cost of operating the circuit courts of Illinois is presently not fully known, principally because of the intricacies of county budgets, but some of the costs are known. For example, it is known, albeit based on admittedly incomplete reports, that the operational expenses of the 102 circuit clerks' offices are over \$62 million. (See 1984 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 160.) In some 27 states the State has assumed the primary responsibility for funding the trial courts, and the Supreme Court believes that it is time the State of Illinois seriously studies full State funding of our circuit courts. See generally Stout, Planning for Unified Court Budgeting, 69 Judicature 205 (December-January 1986), where the author describes implementation of unified court budgeting in the State of New York.

Too, we should be mindful that

"The imposition upon the state of the obligation for all judicial salaries and expenses gives explicit constitutional sanction to the principle that judicial service, whatever may be the geographic areas from which judges are elected, is a state service. The administration of justice thus assumes coordinate status with constitutional state officers in Executive and Legislative Departments of government.***" Braden and Cohn, The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis 372 (1969).

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly study State funding of the operations of the circuit courts with a view toward making the administration of justice in the circuit courts a State fiscal obligation, thereby realizing the dream of a constitutionally unified State court system in all respects.

The State Should Pay The Expenses Of Operating The Chief Judge's Office In Multi-County Circuits

The Illinois Constitution of 1970 places broad administrative authority in the chief circuit judge. To properly execute that authority, the chief judge needs personnel, office equipment, supplies and other items traditionally associated with management. In some multi-county circuits, the county boards contribute to a common fund to defray those expenses; in others they do not. In those circuits in which all counties do not contribute, an individual county board is reluctant to assume the full responsibility for paying the expenses of a chief judge's office which serves the management needs of counties within the circuit other than the chief judge's county of residence. Understandably, the county boards believe they cannot justify spending their county's taxpayers' funds for the expenses of the office of a chief judge who has circuit-wide management responsibilities. Most chief judges in multi-county circuits estimate the cost of operating their office to be modest.

The State pays the salary and travel expenses of each chief judge's administrative assistant (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1; 72.4-2), but none of the other expenses associated with a chief judge's office is borne by the State. The Supreme Court believes that the expenses of the office of the chief judge in multi-county circuits should be paid out of State appropriations.

Many multi-county circuits present complex problems of administration which cannot be met with the scarce resources presently available to most chief circuit judges. Some of the larger counties (including three single-county circuits — Cook County, DuPage County and Will County) do provide some administrative support over and above the administrative assistant who is paid by the State, but by-and-large the chief judges must get along in an increasingly hostile economic environment with only the meager tools offered by the State.

The Supreme Court is aware that its recommendation made on this subject two years ago was favorably received and handily passed by the 84th General Assembly but vetoed by the Governor (see 1985 Final Legis. Synop. & Dig. 938-39 (House Bill 131)); however, we again recommend the adoption of a trial court administration program under which selected multi-county circuits, designated by the Supreme Court, could receive essential, State-supported administrative personnel, equipment and supplies to assist the chief judge to fulfill his constitutional mandate to exercise "general administrative authority over his court ***" (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)).

Clerks of the Circuit Courts Should Be Appointed

The clerks of the circuit courts of Illinois are not county officials, but are non-judicial members of the judicial branch of State government (*Drury v. County of McLean* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 417), and, like the clerks of the supreme and appellate courts, they should be appointed.

The Supreme Court Committee on Clerks of Court in its final report to this Court recommended that clerks of the circuit courts be appointed by the circuit court judges.

"While circuit clerks perform myriad duties requiring intelligence, discretion, good judgment and management talents, they are not responsible for formulating policy. Their principal responsibility is to faithfully execute policies set forth in statutes, rules, or orders of court - regardless of the reaction of the local electorate, not in response to it. The idea that a clerk could frustrate the policy objectives of the court he serves on the grounds that he is elected, and therefore 'responsible to the people,' is intolerable. Our Constitution vests general administrative authority over the circuit courts in the Chief Judge, subject only to the general administrative and supervisory power of the Supreme Court. The clerk is an integral part of the judicial team, as are court reporters, for example, and that he should be elected rather than appointed is a historical and political anomaly having little, if anything, to do with promoting the efficiency or effectiveness of his office. The committee, therefore, recommends that circuit clerks become appointed non-judicial officers of the state court system." Report of Committee on Clerks of Court (January 1974), p. 17.

The Supreme Court recognizes that the power to provide for either the election or the appointment of clerks of the circuit court is a matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the General Assembly (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(b)). (Too, we observe that the Constitution provides that the General Assembly shall determine how the circuit court clerks' offices shall be funded (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(c)), and we note that the 83rd General Assembly adopted, in 1983, Senate Joint Resolution 54, which created a broad-based circuit court finance and budget committee to study and recommend "ways of [adequately] financing the office of Circuit Clerk in each county of the State;" however, no funds were appropriated for the committee's operation.) Nevertheless, the Supreme Court concurs with its Committee's recommendation that clerks of the circuit courts should be appointed by the circuit judges of the respective circuits and again urges the General Assembly to consider changing the law in that respect.

Judges Should Not Appoint Election Commissioners

In the Supreme Court's January 31, 1983, report to the General Assembly, the Court recommended that section 10-9 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 46, par. 10-9) be amended to remove the requirement that chief judges make appointments to electoral boards. (See reprint of our 1983 report at 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 30-31.) The General Assembly responded favorably to our recommendation. With the enactment of "An Act to remove judges from electoral boards" (Pub. Act 83-995 (1983 III. Laws 6679 (effective December 13, 1983)), III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 10-9), the General Assembly took another step in the direction of removing from the judiciary, as stated in our 1983 report, a non-judicial function which tended to involve judges in political matters.

Public Act 83-995, however, provided only a partial solution, for judges still are statutorily required to appoint members of certain municipal boards of election commissioners. Section 6-21 of the Election Code requires election commissioners of electoral boards in certain cities, villages, and incorporated towns to be "appointed by the circuit court in the county in which the municipality is located." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 6-21.) Section 6-21, like section 10-9 prior to its amendment, imposes non-judicial functions upon circuit judges and tends to involve them in political matters which can be better addressed by officials in branches of government other than the judicial branch. The Supreme Court, therefore, recommends that the General Assembly remove from section 6-21 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 6-21) the non-judicial function that circuit judges appoint election commissioners of boards of election in municipalities having such boards, and provide instead that someone other than circuit judges make such appointments.

Statute Requiring Judicial Note on Certain Legislation Should be Followed by General Assembly

Statutes requiring that so-called impact notes be requested for certain types of legislation being considered by the General Assembly are beneficial to the legislative process: such statutes assist legislators in weighing the cost — fiscal and otherwise — against the benefits expected to be derived from the legislation, should it become law, by requiring specified State agencies to submit data on the impact of the legislation.

Perhaps no statute requiring an "impact note" is ignored or overlooked more often than "An Act requiring certain types of bills *** have provided a note indicating the effect thereof on the judicial system ***" (Judicial Note Act) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*). The essence of the Judicial Note Act is found in sections 1, 2, and 7 which, in substance, provide that every bill or amendment to a bill, "the purpose and effect of which is to increase or decrease the number of [judges], either directly or indirectly, shall have prepared for it" by the Supreme Court, when the bill's sponsor presents the bill to the Supreme Court, a judicial note "of the need of a change in the number of judges." See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, pars. 42.61, 42.62, 42.67, and Pub. Act 84-1395, sec. 10, effective January 1, 1987.

This Court has recently been presented with situations which demonstrate the wisdom of the Judicial Note Act and the consequences when it is ignored. Chief circuit judges have asked the Supreme Court to allocate to their circuits additional associate judges from the limited "pool" of such judgeships the General Assembly has given to the Court (III. Rev. Stat. 1985 ch. 37, par. 160.2-1) because, in the main, their judgeship needs have been substantially increased by the following laws, none of which had a judicial note:

- Public Act 83-1517 (1984 III. Laws 4088, 4100-02 (effective July 1, 1985)) adding to section 4-2 of the Juvenile Court Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 704-2) a "speedy adjudicatory hearing" provision. The effective date was delayed until April 1, 1986, by Public Act 84-12, (1985 III. Laws 248 (effective July 1, 1985)), and a "tolling" provision was added effective July 1, 1987, by Public Act 84-1428, sec. 4.
- Public Act 84-7 (1985 III. Laws 211 (effective August 15, 1985)) extensively amending the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 1-101 *et seq.*) by providing new judicial procedures in cases of "healing art malprac-

tice." For example, the amendment would have required that a circuit judge be a member of and "preside over" a review panel in medical malpractice cases. The review panel would have been a procedure, not heretofore required, which necessitated additional judicial services. But see *Bernier v. Burris* (1986), 113 III. 2d 219.

- Public Act 84-272 (1985 III. Laws 2409, 2430-33 (effective January 1, 1986)) amending the Illinois Vehicle Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 95½, par. 1-100 et seq.) by requiring new judicial procedures in cases alleging a "drunk driving" offense. For example, in such cases a judicial hearing may be held to determine whether or not a "judicial driving permit" should be issued. See also Pub. Act 84-1394, sec. 5, effective September 18, 1986.
- Public Act 84-696 (1985 III. Laws 4437 (effective September 20, 1985)) amending the Illinois Domestic Violence Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 2301-1 et seq.) by allowing a petitioner, when court is closed, to file a petition "before any available circuit judge or associate judge." In most instances, a "duty judge" will need to be available for such cases. The same provision is included in the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986 (see Pub. Act 84-1305, art. II, sec. 217 (c) (1), effective August 21, 1986).

The Supreme Court is deeply concerned about the additional legislatively imposed responsibilities upon judges, without an assessment of the impact upon the judiciary as a whole, and again urges the General Assembly to invoke the Judicial Note Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*) whenever the purpose or effect of a bill or bill amendment is to directly or indirectly increase, or decrease, the number of judges in Illinois.

Judges Pension Benefits And Funding Need Re-Examination

The Supreme Court believes that in two respects article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code, commonly called the Judges Pension System, needs to be re-examined: the method of computing a judge's annuity and the absence of a provision allowing a "cost of living" increase for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity. The Court believes, too, that the level of State contributions to the System requires re-evaluation.

Section 18-125 of the Code, as amended by Public Act 82-768 (1982 III. Laws 152, 159 (effective January 1, 1983)), provides in relevant part that as of July 1, 1982, the retirement annuity "for any [judge] in service on or after [July 1, 1982] shall be the average salary for the final year of service as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-125(d.)) The validity of the amendment was challenged in *Felt v. Board of Trustees* (1985), 107 III. 2d 158, and the Court held the amendment unconstitutional as applied to judges in service on or before January 1, 1983. The Supreme Court believes that section 18-125, as amended by Public Act 82-768, is in need of reconsideration, and the Court again suggests that consideration be given to returning section 18-125 to its former state that a judge's retire-

ment annuity be based upon his salary "on the last day of employment as a judge."

Under the existing statutes a surviving spouse of a judge who contributed to the survivor's annuity benefit is entitled to an annuity in an amount scheduled by law. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-128.01, 18-133.) If the judgeannuitant at the time of his or her death was receiving the "cost of living" allowance (automatic increase in retirement annuity) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-125.1), then the survivor's annuity will be based upon the annuity that the judge-annuitant "was receiving immediately prior to his or her death, inclusive of annual increases in the retirement annuity to the date of death" (emphasis added) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-128.01(a)), but there is no provision in the statutes for future "cost of living" increases in the survivor's annuity. (In the case of a surviving spouse of a sitting judge the survivor's annuity is solely based on the judge's last salary or the annuity the judge would have been entitled to on the date of death. See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108¹/₂, par. 18-128.01(b).) The ravage of inflation is common knowledge, and its devastating effect on persons on fixed-incomes is well known. The survivors of a judge who had faithfully served in public office at a financial sacrifice should not have to wholly suffer the adverse economic conseguences of inflationary spirals by seeing their static annuity being diminished for reasons beyond their control. The General Assembly has provided a one-time "cost of living" allowance in the survivor's benefits provided by other State retirement systems. (See, e.g., Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 16-143.1.) Our Court again urges that consideration be given to establishing a "cost of living" allowance for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity.

By law the State of Illinois is required to make contributions to the Judges Pension System through annual appropriations in amounts based upon a statutory formula (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-131), and payments of the "required State contributions *** are the obligations of the State ***." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108¹/₂, par. 18-132). The Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System of Illinois, which is responsible for administering the System, is required to submit an annual report. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-147.) The "Forty-Fourth Annual Report" for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1985, the latest available published report, paints a dim picture, turning darker and darker as each year passes, concerning the actuarial soundness of the System, for the reason that the State has not appropriated its contributions at the level required by law. The report points out that, while the Board of Trustees has requested the level of appropriations necessary to adequately fund the System, as it is required by law to do (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-140), its appropriation requests "have been arbitrarily reduced ... below the amounts specifically mandated ... and required" by law; e.g., for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1985 and 1986, the Board requested \$18.6 and \$20.8 million, respectively, but less than 50% of the amounts requested were appropriated (\$8.2 million for FY 85 and \$9 million for

FY 86). "In fact," states the report at pages 8-9, "for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1985, State contributions were substantially below the actual benefit payouts." (Emphasis added.) (See, generally, pages 6-9 of report.) The report notes further that the actuarially accepted rate of funding ("security ratio") for public pensions is 66²/₃%, but as of June 30, 1985, the security ratio for the Judges Pension System stood at 22.9%, "the lowest of any public employee retirement system in the State of Illinois." (See page 7 and, generally, page 12 of report.) The report concludes at pages 36 and 37 that the 22.9% rate of funding is "extremely low" and "indicates that considerably larger appropriations by the State of Illinois *** must be made to meet the System's accrued and accruing pension liabilities." (The deep concern of the Board of Trustees is echoed by the Comptroller of this State who reports that the Illinois public pension systems, including the Judges Pension System, "may be headed for financial trouble unless state appropriations are returned to a higher level." See Comptroller's news-release attached to his "State of Illinois Fiscal Condition Report" (November 27, 1985).) The Supreme Court concurs with the report of the Board of Trustees, and we again urge the General Assembly to appropriate the State contributions in an amount sufficient to restore the fiscal health of the Judges Pension System.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to reexamine article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108¹/₂, par. 18-101 *et seq.*) and consider providing therein that a judge's annuity shall be based upon the judge's salary on the last day of judicial service and that the survivor's annuity be increased by a "cost of living" allowance, and the Court again recommends that the Judges Pension System be adequately funded out of State appropriations.

Obsolete Statute Providing for Election and Terms of Appellate Judges Should Be Repealed

In 1963, in anticipation of the effective date, January 1, 1964, of the 1962 amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Illinois Constitution (Ill. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964)), section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and term of judges of the Appellate Court" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 555) was enacted into law. (See Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 46, par. 555, Historical Note, at 403 (Smith-Hurd 1965).) The Act, of course, implemented that part of the newly adopted judicial article which created the appellate court by establishing the number of appellate judges to be elected in 1964 and the length of their terms.

Section 1 of the Act was implemented when candidates were elected to the appellate court in the 1964 general election. Accordingly, the statute has served the purpose for which it was enacted and is now obsolete. Furthermore, section 1 of "An Act in relation to the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 25) establishes the number of appellate judges to be elected in each judicial district, and the 1970 Illinois Constitution establishes judges' terms of office (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10).

The Supreme Court therefore recommends again that the General Assembly repeal, as it has been long implemented and is now obsolete, section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and terms of judges of the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 555).

Statutes Providing For Direct Appellate Court Review of Administrative Agency Decisions Should Not Be Inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335

The 1970 Illinois Constitution provides, as did the 1870 Constitution (III. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964), sec. 7), that the "Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law." (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 6.) The first such grant of direct appellate court review of agency action occurred when the General Assembly provided for direct appellate court review of orders of the Pollution Control Board, effective July 1, 1970, as provided in the Environmental Protection Act (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1111/2, par. 1041). Following enactment of that Act, this Court adopted Supreme Court Rule 335, effective July 1, 1971, which sets forth procedures for direct appellate court review of administrative orders. (See, generally, Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 335, Committee Comments, and Historical and Practice Notes, at 467-69 (Smith-Hurd 1985).) Since then, the General Assembly has provided for direct appellate court review of certain orders of six more administrative bodies. See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 9-22 (State Board of Elections); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 1611 (Illinois State and Illinois Local Labor Relations Boards); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 1716 (Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 68, par. 8-111 (Human Rights Commission); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111²/₃, par. 10-201 (Illinois Commerce Commission).

Supreme Court Rule 335 is based upon the procedures followed under the Illinois Administrative Review Law (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 3-101 et seq.), Illinois Supreme Court rules governing civil appeals (Supreme Court Rule 301 et seq.), and Federal rules relating to appellate review of administrative orders (Fed. R. App. P. 15 et seq.). It is a general rule which has been adopted by our Court so that it would be "unnecessary for the rule to be revised [when] the legislature provides *** for direct review by the Appellate Court" of administrative agency orders. See III. Ann. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 335, Committee Comments, at 467-68 (Smith-Hurd 1985).

The procedures provided in Rule 335 have worked well since its adoption in 1971; however, with the enactment of the Public Utilities Act, the Illinois Appellate Court has found inconsistencies in that Act's provisions for direct appellate court review of certain orders of the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) and Rule 335. In *Consumers Gas Co. v. Illinois Commerce Comm.* (1986), 144 Ill. App. 3d 229, the appellate court found that section 10-201(b) of the Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111³/₃, par. 10-201(b)) was inconsistent with Rule 335 in two respects. The court stated that Rule 335(a) "requires that a petition for review shall be filed with the appellate court unlike section 10-201(b) which requires that a notice of appeal shall be filed with the secretary of [ICC]. [Rule 335(b)] requires that the petitioner seeking appellate review serve a copy of the petition for review on the administrative agency and all other parties of record. In contrast, under section 10-201(b) it is the clerk of the appellate court who is served with a copy of the notice of appeal which was filed with [ICC] in the first instance." (144 III. App. 3d 229, 235.) The court further observed that while section 10-204(b) of the Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1112/3, par. 10-204(b)) requires an evidentiary hearing on a motion for stay in the appellate court, Supreme Court Rule 335(g) does not. (144 III. App. 3d 229, 236-37.) The appellate court then found unconstitutional those portions of the Public Utilities Act which are inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335.

The Consumers Gas Co. decision illustrates the confusion that can result when direct appeal provisions which are inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335 are enacted. (Cf. City of Benton Police Dept. v. Human Rights Comm. (1986), 147 III. App. 3d 7.) The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to re-examine the Public Utilities Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111²/₃, pars. 10-201, 10-204) and other statutes which provide for direct appeals to the appellate court from an administrative agency, and to consider providing therein, to the extent necessary, procedures which are not inconsistent with Rule 335.

The Legislative Scheme Allowing State's Attorney Fees Should Be Re-Examined

The criminal cost statute provides that a defendant who is convicted of an offense must pay the costs of his prosecution. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 180-3.) Section 8(a) of "An Act concerning fees and salaries ***" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) establishes a schedule of State's Attorney fees, applicable principally to criminal prosecutions, which requires his fees to be "taxed as costs and to be collected from the defendant, if possible, upon conviction." Section 8(a) also states that a State's Attorney is entitled to appeal fees, which are to be assessed as costs when he successfully defends an appeal brought by a convicted criminal defendant. See also III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 5-120.

In People v. Nicholls (1978), 71 III. 2d 166, this Court considered the above-cited statutes and other statutory provisions governing fees and costs, and we said that the allowance and recovery of costs, being unknown at common law, is wholly grounded in statutory law. We referred to the defendant's contention that section 8 (now section 8(a)) is obsolete because it was originally enacted to provide compensation to State's Attorneys but now the office of State's Attorney is a salaried position (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, pars. 7, 22a). We then stated, and have since reiterated in *In re W.W.* (1983), 97 III. 2d 53, 58, that "[i]n light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures, the provisions of section 8[(a)] [citation] relating to State's Attorney fees may appear to be a relic of another era which might well merit the attention of the legislature." (71 III. 2d 166, 179.) Too, the administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court has recommended, and this Court agrees, elimination of the State's Attorney appeal and *per diem* fees in section 8(a) which are taxed as costs against an unsuccessful criminal appellant (see *Nicholls* and *People v. Agnew* (1985), 105 III. 2d 275). See also *People v. Crete* (1985), 133 III. App. 3d 24, 34, *affirmed on other grounds* (1986), 113 III. 2d 156, where the appellate court noted that such fees are "considered obsolete in view of present day procedures."

Although section 8 was recently amended to allow a prosecution fee to a municipality for certain traffic convictions prosecuted by the municipal attorney (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(b)), the Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to re-examine section 8(a) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) "in light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures" and to consider abolishing the State's Attorney trial and appeal fees provided therein.

Section 5-6-4(h) Of The Unified Code Of Corrections Should Be Amended To Prohibit Automatic Crediting Of Time Spent On Probation

Section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) states that where a defendant is resentenced after revocation of his probation, conditional discharge or supervision, the "[t]ime served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall be credited by the court against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h); see also III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4.1(h).) In People v. Hollingsworth (1982), 89 III. 2d 466, defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The sentencing judge's order was ambiguous in that the order credited against defendant's sentence of imprisonment the time he served in custody after his arrest for the probation violation but did not expressly say anything about time served on probation. Relying on People v. Hills (1980), 78 III. 2d 500, we held that "[i]f the court decides to deny credit for probation time, it should say so; the point should not be left to inference or interpretation. If the court does not expressly deny credit, the defendant is entitled to it under section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections [citation], which contemplates that credit will usually be allowed." (89 III. 2d 466, 468.) Thus, if the order revoking probation, conditional discharge or supervision and sentencing defendant to imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is silent or ambiguous concerning unconfined probation time credit (see People v. Scheib (1979), 76 Ill. 2d 244), the time served while on probation will be automatically credited against the sentence of imprisonment. See also People v. Goodman (1984), 102 Ill. 2d 18, which permits credit under section 5-6-4(h) during the period probation, conditional discharge or supervision is tolled pursuant to section 5-6-4(a)(3) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(a)(3)).

This Court believes the "automatic credit" provision of the Code would better serve the administration of justice if it were amended. As section 5-6-4(h) now stands, if, upon revoking defendant's probation, the judge sentences the defendant to short-term imprisonment, for example, and the sentencing order does not expressly say anything about probation time credit, or ambiguously says it, probation time credit will be given, even though such credit could make the sentence of imprisonment meaningless. Such an anomaly would defeat the purpose of the judge's sentence. Indeed, such a fact situation has been considered by the Illinois Appellate Court in several decisions. See People v. Tarter (1985), 131 III. App. 3d 703, where after revoking the defendant's conditional discharge the trial judge resentenced him to 14 days in jail which was rendered meaningless, a "most lugubrious" result, because the time he had already spent on conditional discharge exceeded 14 days, and People v. Austin (1983), 116 Ill. App. 3d 95, where defendant's sentence to 120 days in jail following probation revocation was rendered meaningless because time spent on probation had exceeded 120 days; see also People v. Weatherall (1985), 131 III. App. 3d 867, 870.

The Supreme Court, therefore, again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h)) to provide that, unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall *not* be credited against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment.

Section 5-8-1(c) Of The Unified Code of Corrections Should Be Re-examined

In People v. Crete (1986), 113 III. 2d 156, this Court addressed the question of whether section 5-8-1(c) of the Unified Code of Corrections permits the sentencing court to reduce or modify a sentence of imprisonment when the motion therefor is timely filed but not ruled upon until more than 30 days after the defendant is sentenced. Section 5-8-1(c) provides: "The trial court may reduce or modify a sentence, but shall not increase the length thereof by order entered not later than 30 days from the date that sentence was imposed. This shall not enlarge the jurisdiction of the court for any other purpose." III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c).

We examined the statute itself, considered the council commentary thereto, referred to appellate court decisions construing the statute, compared section 5-8-1(c) with a similar Federal provision, Rule 35(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and concluded "with some reluctance" that section 5-8-1(c)'s "explicit provision that the reduction or modification shall be 'by order entered not later than 30 days from the date that sentence was imposed' requires the finding that the motion must be ruled upon within 30 days of imposition of sentence" (113 III. 2d 156, 162). We commented that the purpose of section 5-8-1(c) is to provide the sentencing court with a meaningful means to review and, if appropriate, to modify or reduce the sentence, and we noted reasons for strict enforcement of the statute as well as reasons for relaxation of strict enforcement; however, we said the "language is clear and must be given its effect as written" (113 III. 2d 156, 163). We then recommended that the statute be modified by "an amendment similar to that effected to the [speedy trial statute] by Public Act 79-842" (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 103-5(f)) or a provision similar to that provided in amended Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (1985). 113 III. 2d 156, 163.

The Supreme Court recommends that the General Assembly re-examine section 5-8-1(c) of the Unified Code of Corrections (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c)) and consider providing therein that, under certain circumstances, a timely motion to modify or reduce a sentence may be ruled upon more than 30 days following imposition of sentence.

Trial Judge Should Determine Matters To Be Included In Presentence Report In Minor Offenses

Section 5-3-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) requires, unless the "parties agree to the imposition of a specific sentence," that a defendant convicted of a felony shall not be sentenced without the sentencing judge first considering "a written presentence report of investigation;" however, in other criminal cases the sentencing judge "may order" a presentence report. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-1.) While such a report is mandatory in sentencing for a felony (People v. Youngbey (1980), 82 III. 2d 556; see also People v. Harris (1985), 105 III. 2d 290 (report required before resentencing in felony probation revocation cases)), it is not, by the terms of section 5-3-1, required in minor offense cases (People v. Williams (1977), 45 III. App. 3d 287). Section 5-3-2(a) of the Code sets forth the matters which the presentence report "shall" contain, including, generally, the defendant's criminal history, his family background, special resources in the community that might be available to assist in the defendant's rehabilitation, the impact of the offense upon the victim, defendant's status since arrest, etc. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-2(a).) It is the content of the presentence report ordered by the sentencing judge, in his discretion, in minor offense cases, such as misdemeanors or traffic offenses, that causes some concern.

Probation officers, who are responsible for preparing the presentence report, and perhaps trial judges, view section 5-3-2(a) as requiring that the content of the report include all of the matters specified in the statute when the sentencing judge orders, in his discretion, a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Arguably that view is supported by case law. (*People v. Young* (1977), 52 III. App. 3d 671.) However, it is well recognized in this State, despite a recent trend to upgrade probation departments, that there is an insufficient number of probation officers and resources. Given these circumstances, probation officers and preparing written presentence reports

of investigation of defendants convicted of a felony, as required by section 5-3-1. The time and effort it takes a probation officer to prepare a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense, which includes all of the matters specified in section 5-3-2(a), obviously will lessen his or her availability to prepare presentence reports of defendants convicted of a felony. The sentencing judge would appear to be in the best position to know what matters he needs in a presentence report before sentencing a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Presumably, in most cases, such a report need not contain all of the matters required by section 5-3-2(a), and accordingly less time would be needed by a probation officer to prepare the report, allowing him or her to supervise, and prepare presentence reports, of felons.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly consider providing that presentence reports, when ordered in minor offense cases, shall contain only the matters that the sentencing judge directs be included.

Persons Convicted Of A Minor Offense Should Be Allowed, Under Certain Conditions, To Expunge Their Criminal Records

In *People v. Bushnell* (1984), 101 III. 2d 261, our Court decided the question of whether a person, who led a law-abiding life for the 20 years following her conviction for a misdemeanor, could have her conviction record expunged. In *Bushnell* the defendant, in 1961, had been convicted of obtaining money under false pretenses, a misdemeanor, and was placed on probation for one year. She successfully completed probation. Then, in 1981, defendant petitioned the circuit court for an order to vacate her 1961 conviction so that she could then seek a court order to expunge her record of arrest and conviction. The circuit court considered that defendant had led a law-abiding life since her conviction and, in the interest of justice, granted her petition to vacate the conviction.

Our Court examined prior decisions of this Court and relevant statutory provisions, including section 5 of "An Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation" (See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 206-5). Section 5, of course, refers to expungement of arrest and arrest-related records of a person not convicted; it does not permit expungement of judgments of conviction. We therefore concluded that a court does not have jurisdiction to expunge a record containing a judgment of conviction. But we went on to say that " 'there are obvious advantages in purging oneself of the stigma and disabilities which attend a criminal conviction' [citation]. In addition, we find merit to [the] argument that a person who has led a law-abiding life for 20 years after a certain misdemeanor conviction should be able to rid himself of the criminal record. However, since there is no statutory authority nor a common law or constitutional basis to grant such relief, the issue should more appropriately be addressed to the legislature." 101 III. 2d 261, 268.

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to provide statutory relief to persons who, having been convicted of certain minor offenses and having led a long law-abiding life thereafter, seek to expunge their criminal records.

Inaccurate Terminology In Speedy Trial Statute Should Be Corrected

Section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, the so-called speedy trial statute, in several paragraphs refers to "an examination for competency ordered pursuant to Section 104-2 of this Act," "competency," and "incompetency." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, pars. 103-5(a), (b), (e).) Section 104-2 of the Code, however, was repealed, effective January 1, 1973, some 14 years ago, and was ultimately replaced by section 104-10 *et seq.* (III. Rev. Stat. 1985), ch. 38, pars. 104-10 *et seq.*). (See III. Ann. Stat., ch. 38, pars. 104-1 — 104-3, Historical Note, at 210 (Smith-Hurd 1980).) Furthermore, the relevant terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* refers to examinations to determine "fitness" or "unfitness," rather than "competency" or "incompetency" as formerly provided in repealed sections 104-1 — 104-3.

Our appellate court has recently noted that the present standard of "fitness" must be equated with "incompetence" for purposes of the speedy trial statute and that "[u]nfortunately, as a result of legislative oversight the reference to 'Section 104-2' and an examination for 'competency' [in section 103-5] was never changed to correspond to the present statutory provision nor was this section amended to reflect the new terminology of 'fitness' instead of 'competency.' "*People v. Sonntag* (1984), 128 III. App. 3d 548, 555, and cases cited therein; see also *People v. Clark* (1986), 148 III. App. 3d 669, 676-77 (the word "competency" in section 103-5 "must be understood to refer to *** fitness" in section 104-10 *et seq.*), and dissenting opinion in *People v. Williams* (1985), 137 III. App. 3d 816, 820-21 (Welch, J., dissenting).

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's assessment, and again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 103-5) so that it refers to the appropriate provision and incorporates the proper terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* of the Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 104-10 *et seq.*).

The Eavesdropping Statute Should Be Re-Examined

Article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 provides that a State's Attorney may secure approval from a "circuit judge" for an order authorizing or approving the use of an eavesdropping device. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*) However, experience has shown that on occasion no circuit judge will be available to rule on an application for use of such devices. For example, all of the circuit judges might be attending the constitutionally mandated annual meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference. (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 17.) In such situations, a hardship is worked on the State's Attorney who, it would seem, must wait for the return of a circuit judge in order to secure approval for the use of an eavesdrop.

To be noted, though, are pertinent provisions of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. Section 9 of article VI provides in part that "Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters ***." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 9.) The judges, who sit in the circuit court and possess and exercise its original jurisdiction, are of course the circuit judges and associate judges. Section 8 of article VI, however, provides that the Supreme Court "shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 8.) Our Rule 295 permits a chief judge to assign an associate judge to preside in any matters except the trial of felony cases. The rule then provides: "Upon a showing of need presented to the supreme court by the chief judge of a circuit, the supreme court may authorize the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year."

Considering the constitutional grant to the Circuit Courts of "original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters" which is exercised by both circuit and associate judges, the constitutional authority placed in this Court to determine matters assignable to associate judges, and our Rule 295, the Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq.).

There is another aspect of article 108A which is troublesome. Section 108A-11, as recently amended, requires State's Attorneys to file annually with the Supreme Court certain reports concerning the use of eavesdropping devices, and further requires this Court to file an annual eavesdropping report with the General Assembly. (Pub. Act 84-1395, sec. 6, effective January 1, 1987; Pub. Act 84-1428, sec. 6, effective July 1, 1987.) (Public Act 84-1395 provides that these reports are to be collected and compiled by the Supreme Court rather than by our administrative office as formerly provided in section 108A-11 (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-11); however, we have directed our administrative office to continue to collect and compile the reports.) These reports, however, arise from "the investigation of any felony" by law enforcement officials or agencies (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1) and are related to the prosecution of criminal offenses. It would therefore appear appropriate that the reporting and report-collection responsibilities are better reposed in an executive branch law enforcement agency rather than in our Court. Too, we note, as we did in our January 31, 1983, annual report to the General Assembly (reprinted in 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 32), that " 'the proper relationship between the legislature and the court is one of cooperation and assistance' [citation] in matters concerning the administration of justice and functioning of our court and judicial system, but our constitutional duty to administer and supervise the courts, through the chief justice with the assistance of our administrative office [III. Const. art. VI, sec. 16], is greatly hindered when the General Assembly purports to mandate that the [Supreme Court] perform [administrative] functions as determined by the legislature."

For these reasons the Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider re-examining the reporting and report-collection requirements in section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as amended by Public Acts 84-1395 and 84-1428.

Statutory Provisions Relating To The Selection Of Jurors Should Be Uniform

As a result of this Court's decision in *People v. Jackson* (1977), 69 III. 2d 252, the General Assembly amended section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code). That section now reads: "After examination by the court the jurors may be examined, passed upon, accepted and tendered by opposing counsel as provided by Supreme Court rules." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 115-4(f).) The Supreme Court, in 1982, adopted Rule 434, now Rule 434(a), which provides: "In criminal cases the parties shall pass upon and accept the jury in panels of four, commencing with the State, unless the court, in its discretion, directs otherwise ***." (103 III. 2d R. 434(a).) See *People v. Moss* (1985), 108 III. 2d 270, 274.

However, similar and related sections in "An Act concerning jurors ***" (Jurors Act) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 78, pars. 21, 23) were not amended and, accordingly, do not appear to be in complete harmony with section 115-4(f) of the Code and Supreme Court Rule 434(a). Section 21 of the Jurors Act provides for the examination of prospective jurors and for their selection in panels of four. Section 23 makes the provisions of section 21 applicable to "both civil and criminal cases." Thus, there appears to exist a conflict between sections 21 and 23 of the Jurors Act and section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to make the jury selection procedure in civil cases "as provided by Supreme Court rules."

Section 2-616(d) Of The Code Of Civil Procedure Requires Reconsideration

The Illinois Appellate Court has ruled that, in certain circumstances, the application of the "relation back" statute unfairly penalizes a plaintiff bringing an action against a land trust beneficiary. (*Foster v. Leong* (1985), 139 III. App. 3d 492.) The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court and concurs with its call for corrective legislative action.

The relation-back statute, section 2-616(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, allows a person not originally named as a defendant to an action to be added as a party after the statute of limitations has run, provided five conditions are met. Section 2-616(d), including the condition in question here, provides: "A cause of action against a person not originally named a defendant is not barred by lapse of time under any statute or contract prescribing or limiting the time within which an action may be brought or right asserted, if all the following terms and conditions are met: *** (4) the person, within the time that the action might have been brought or the right asserted against him or her, knew that the original action was pending and that it grew out of a transaction or occurrence involving or concerning him or her; ***" (Emphasis added.) III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 2-616(d) (4).

In Foster v. Leong (1985), 139 Ill. App. 3d 492, the plaintiff brought a timely filed negligence action for injuries she sustained at a restaurant. A bank as land trustee was named defendant and was served after the statute of limitations had run. The bank identified the Leongs (defendants) as the land trust beneficiaries, and the bank was dismissed. Plaintiff then filed an amended complaint, naming defendants, which was dismissed because, the statute of limitations having expired, the condition specified in section 2-616(d) (4) was not met. The appellate court said that a land trustee sued within the statute of limitations but served after the statute has expired does not necessarily satisfy section 2-616(d) (4)'s condition that the beneficiary knew that the "original action was pending" within the statute of limitations period. The court noted that the mere filing of a suit against the land trustee is insufficient for the beneficiary to know that the action was pending and that, in effect, section 2-616(d) (4) "imposes an additional requirement on a plaintiff in that suit must not only be filed within the statute of limitations but service must be had upon the land trustee within the limitations period." (139 III. App. 3d 492, 495.) The court characterized the result as "unfairly penaliz[ing]" the plaintiff, and urged that section 2-616(d) "be amended so that the naming of the land trustee in effect names the beneficiary." 139 Ill. App. 3d 492, 495.

The Supreme Court commends to the General Assembly's attention the need for reconsideration of section 2-616(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 2-616(d)) in light of the "unfair penalty" resulting to a plaintiff in the circumstances above-described.

Statutes Governing Civil Motion Practice Should Be Clarified

Our courts continue to be confronted with a recurring problem in the application of the statutes governing civil motion practice when a party files a hybrid motion combining, in one motion, motions for dismissal and/or judgment under Code of Civil Procedure sections 2-615 (dismissal on pleadings), 2-619 (involuntary dismissal based upon defects or defenses), and 2-1005 (summary judgment). Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, pars. 2-615, 2-619, 2-1005.

The appellate court has aptly stated the problem:

"We raise *** a problem which appears to be recurring with undesirable frequency. Both defendants in this case *** have filed what purport to be combined sections 2-615 and 2-619 motions. [Citation.] No effort is made in either to apply the specific motion to specific portions of the complaint, nor to otherwise delineate their intended application. This approach to motion practice creates a hybrid motion which disregards the differences in theory and application each motion possesses and the potential prejudice which may result from the continued utilization of such a combined motion procedure [citation] and constitutes a practice which our supreme court has expressly disapproved [citation]." (Rothe v. Maloney Cadillac, Inc. (1986), 142 III. App. 3d 937, 939, appeal allowed, S. Ct. Doc. 63693.)

As noted in *Rothe*, this Court has expressly disapproved "hybrid motions." See Janes v. First Federal Savings & Loan Association (1974), 57 III. 2d 398 (combining in a single motion motions to dismiss and for summary judgment).

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to clarify the application of sections 2-615, 2-619, and/or 2-1005 of the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, pars. 2-615, 2-619, 2-1005) in reference to hybrid-combined motions by providing, for example, that if a combined motion is filed, the movant must clearly show which points the movant relies upon under section 2-615, under section 2-619, and under section 2-1005.

Applicability of the Unemployment Insurance Act To Closely Held Family Corporations Should Be Studied

Whether an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation, for whom the corporation made contributions to the unemployment trust fund, and who is otherwise eligible for unemployment benefits, is ineligible to receive unemployment compensation is a question this Court addressed in Garland v. Department of Labor (1984), 104 Ill. 2d 383. In Garland the Department of Labor (now the Department of Employment Security) denied unemployment benefits to plaintiffs, for the period they claimed unemployment, merely because during the period claimed they retained the status of corporate officers. Each plaintiff was an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation engaged in the construction business. Plaintiffs, as employees of the corporations, became unemployed solely because they were laid off as a result of the seasonal nature of the business, but they retained their status as corporate officers. Except for the retention of the corporate officer positions, there was no question that plaintiffs were eligible for benefits under the Unemployment Insurance Act (Act). See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 300 et seq.

The Court examined the Act in sections 100 (declaration of public policy), 206 (definition of employment), 234 (definition of wages), 239 (definition of unemployed individual), 1400 (payment of employer's contributions), and 2100 (handling of funds) (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 300, 316, 344, 349, 550, and 660), and concluded that plaintiffs were "unemployed individuals" and entitled to unemployment benefits. The Court specifically observed that the Act "contains no exclusionary provision which would deny benefits to an otherwise eligible claimant merely because he is an officer of a corporation." (104 III. 2d 383, 389.) However, in response to the contention that disallowing benefits

to plaintiffs would "prevent such business owners and operators from manipulating their own employment status in order to subsidize the family (corporate) income with unemployment benefits," we noted that the appellate court (Garland v. Department of Labor (1984), 121 III. App. 3d 562, and Scott v. Board of Review (1984), 123 III. App. 3d 187) "considered this argument and concluded that the potential for abuse can only be eliminated by legislative action. We agree." (104 Ill. 2d 383, 391-92.) Furthermore, the concurring opinion commented that "the unemployment compensation system in its existing form is subject to manipulation and abuse by unscrupulous corporate owners and officers," that the Act is "not intended as a means of supplementing the income of corporate stockholders and officers in the form of unemployment benefits," and that the General Assembly should "reconsider the provisions of the Act as they pertain to assessments upon salaries of officer-employees in light of the potential for abuse." 104 III. 2d 383, 393 (Underwood, J., concurring, joined by Ryan, C.J.).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to study the provisions of the Unemployment Insurance Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq.*) as they apply to officer-employees of closely held family corporations.

Procedures For Notice By Publications In Corporate Dissolution Cases Brought By The Attorney General Should Be Uniform

An action may be brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act of 1983 to dissolve a corporation (1) if the corporation's certificate of incorporation is obtained through fraud, (2) if the corporation has exceeded or abused its authority, or (3) if the corporation, its officers or directors have falsely or incompletely answered interrogatories propounded to them by the Secretary of State. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.50 (a).) If the Attorney General seeks to dissolve a corporation for abandonment of its corporate franchise, however, the action is brought under "An Act providing for the dissolution of corporations in certain cases" (Corporation Dissolution Act). III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 190 et seq.

In proceedings under both acts, the circuit clerk's office causes the issuance of a summons as in other civil cases (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, pars. 12.60(a), 192). In a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Corporation Dissolution Act, if process is returned not found, then service by publication is made by the circuit clerk. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 192.) But, if process is returned not found in a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act, service by publication is made in an entirely different manner. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.60(b).) First, "the Attorney General shall cause publication to be made," rather than the circuit clerk's office. Second, the Attorney General "may include in one notice the names of any number of corporations against which actions are then pending in the same court." Finally, notice is published at least once a week for two consecutive weeks, rather than for the three weeks specified in the Corporation Dissolution Act.

The existence of two separate methods of service by publication in corporate dissolution cases brought by the Attorney General's office causes needless confusion in circuit clerks' offices. The clerk must ascertain the statutory basis for a complaint in order to determine whether notice should conform to the Corporation Dissolution Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 192) or whether the notice procedures of the Business Corporation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.60(b)) must be followed. The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to examine the statutory provisions governing service by publication in corporate dissolution cases with an eye toward establishing a single notice procedure to be followed by circuit clerks' offices in these cases.

The Election Code Provisions Governing Modification Of Boundaries Of Election Precincts By County Boards Should Be Clarified

The proper scope of authority granted to certain county boards for modifying the number and size of election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, pars. 11-1, 11-2) has been the subject of confusion and debate for a number of years. Our Court suggests that the General Assembly review sections 11-1 and 11-2 and provide a clarification of legislative intent, especially as to the permissible modification of election precinct boundaries and population by county boards.

The relationship between section 11-1 and section 11-2 of the Election Code has allowed for conflict of interpretation for many years. The issue of whether those two statutes allow for the county board to consolidate precincts has been a major point of controversy. The permissible limits of modification under these two statutory provisions have been the subject of at least two conflicting formal opinions of the Attorney General's office. (See 1976 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 139 and 1979 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 60.) Too, the Illinois Appellate Court has been called upon to interpret the consolidation issue of precincts under sections 11-1 and 11-2. (See Town of Naples v. County of Scott (1982), 111 Ill. App. 3d 186.) In concluding that the county board does not have the power to consolidate precincts under the Election Code, the majority opinion stated that the legislature should "reevaluate the relevant statutes for purposes of clarification and possible amendment, authorizing consolidation of election precincts ***" (111 III. App. 3d 186, 192), and the specially concurring opinion said, "The statutory language is confusing and *** urgently requires legislative clarification" (111 III. App. 3d 186, 194 (Green, J., specially concurring)).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to review and, where necessary, to clarify the authority given to certain county boards to modify election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code.

Illinois Commerce Commission, Not Circuit Court, Should Determine Rates Charged By Municipal Utility To Consumers Outside Of Municipality

Should the circuit court, in absence of agreement between the parties, fix and determine the rates to be charged to consumers outside of a municipality's corporate limits for water pumped to them by a municipally owned and operated water utility? The Illinois Appellate Court thought not (see *Inland Real Estate Corp. v. Village of Palatine* (1982), 107 III. App. 3d 279, 284), and this Court agrees.

Two statutory provisions are implicated: section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Code) and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (Act). Section 11-117-4 of the Code provides in part that a municipality may sell water to consumers or users outside its corporate limits from a water plant owned and operated by the municipality, and for that purpose it may lay water mains, construct and operate pumping stations, etc., in which case, to allow the municipality a fair return to cover financing, construction, etc., the municipality and the party representing the consumers may enter into a contract for water rates to be charged; however, if the rates cannot be agreed upon, then "such rates shall be fixed and determined by the circuit court of the county in which the municipality which has financed, constructed, operated and maintained the improved [water] facilities is located." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4.) Section 3-105 of the Act defines "public utility" and specifically excludes from the definition "public utilities that are owned and operated by any *** municipal corporation of this State ***." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111²/₃, par. 3-105.) The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), of course, has general supervision over all public utilities, unless otherwise provided, including rate-making. See, generally, III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1112/3, par. 4-101 et seq.

In Inland Real Estate Corp., the appellate court ruled that section 3-105's predecessor, section 10.3 of the Act, eliminates from the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction and review municipally owned public utilities, and that no other language of the Act "manifests an intention of the legislature to provide otherwise or *** distinguishes municipal ownership of a utility within its corporate limits from ownership beyond its territorial boundaries." (107 III. App. 3d 279, 282.) The court said that section 10.3 (now section 3-105) is plain and unambiguous, and "[i]f the General Assembly had intended to create an exception for utilities owned by a municipality but located and serving customers outside its corporate limits, it has not so stated *** Although we believe that such utilities should come within the authority of the ICC, we are of the opinion that any expansion of its jurisdiction to include municipally owned utilities beyond their corporate limits must come through the legislative process." (107 III. App. 3d 279, 284.) See also subsequent appeal after remand, 146 Ill. App. 3d 92, 100 (1986).

The Supreme Court concurs with the appellate court, and we add that the fixing and determination of utility rates, as provided in section 11-117-4 of the Code, is a responsibility better reposed

in an executive or legislative agency which possesses special expertise, such as the Illinois Commerce Commission, rather than in the circuit court. The Court again invites the General Assembly to consider removing from section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4) the nonjudicial function that the circuit court shall fix and determine water utility rates, and, to the extent, necessary, amending section 11-117-4 of the Code and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111²/₃, par. 3-105) by placing such function in the Illinois Commerce Commission.

The Use And Disclosure Of The "Rule Of 78's" In Consumer Loan Transactions Should Be Closely Examined

How extensively does the law require disclosure, in a consumer loan contract, of the amount of interest to be charged a borrower when the borrower prepays the loan? Is the mere reference in the contract to the "Rule of 78's" legally sufficient disclosure? These questions were addressed by the Court in *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 III. 2d 1, and we concluded, after analyzing the relevant Federal and State laws, that the mere reference to the Rule of 78's in a consumer loan contract is legally sufficient disclosure. But we expressed grave concern about the apparent injustice resulting from the use of the Rule of 78's.

Pursuant to the Federal Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. sec. 1601 *et seq.* (1982)) and Federal Regulation Z (12 C.F.R. sec. 226 (1981)), which implements the principles of the Truth in Lending Act, a lender in a consumer loan transaction must disclose in the loan agreement that, if the borrower prepays the loan, the borrower will receive a refund of the unearned finance charge. Typically, the lender discloses that the refund credit of interest charged for the period prepaid will be pursuant to the Rule of 78's method without explanation of how the Rule of 78's is higher in the first months of the loan than in the last months and is greater than that provided in the actuarial method which measures true interest yield. Accordingly, under the Rule of 78's, refunds of unearned finance charges on prepayment of a loan are always lower than under the actuarial method.

In *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 III. 2d 1, the Court ruled that disclosure under the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z does not require the lender to explain the operation of the Rule of 78's and that, because the disclosure required by the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 121¹/₂, par. 261 *et seq.*) is not more extensive than that required by the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, the lender's mere reference to the Rule of 78's in the loan agreement, but lack of explanation of its operation, does not violate the Illinois Act. (114 III. 2d 1, 8-18.) However, in response to the contention that the Rule of 78's is harsh and violates the public policy of this State, the Court said: "[T]he decision to prohibit the use of the Rule of 78's in consumer credit

transactions is not a matter for the courts, but rather involves policy decisions more properly addressed by the legislature. [Citation.] We decline, therefore, to restrict or prohibit use of the Rule of 78's on public policy grounds, but we urge the legislature to promptly consider this matter which reflects an apparent injustice under the law as it currently exists." 114 III. 2d 1, 18.

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to closely examine Illinois consumer credit statutes and the disclosure required thereunder and under the Federal Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, which has been substantially revised (see *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 III. 2d 1, 12), insofar as that Act and Regulation apply to Illinois law, and to consider whether or not the use of the Rule of 78's should be restricted or prohibited.

The Reference To Supreme Court Rule 302(a) In Workers' Compensation And Occupational Diseases Acts Should Be Deleted

Section 19(f) (2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (Acts) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (2), 172.54(f) (2)) provides that appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission "shall be taken to the Supreme Court in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 302(a)."

Prior to February 1, 1984, Rule 302(a) provided: "Appeals from final judgments of circuit courts shall be taken directly to the Supreme Court *** (2) *in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission* ***." (Emphasis added.) Effective February 1, 1984, however, Rule 302(a) was amended by deleting from subparagraph (2) the language emphasized above, and Rule 22 was amended to provide that such appeals be taken to the Industrial Commission division of the Illinois Appellate Court. (94 III. 2d Rules 22(g), 302(a); Yellow Cab Co. v. Jones (1985), 108 III. 2d 330.) Thus, as provided in Supreme Court Rule 22(g), appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission are now taken to the appellate court's Industrial Commission division, not to the Supreme Court. Obviously, the reference to our Court and Rule 302(a) in both Acts is now incorrect and misleading.

The Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider removing the reference to "Supreme Court" and "Supreme Court Rule 302(a)" presently contained in section 19(f) (2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (2), 172.54(f) (2).

Penalty Provisions Of The Workers' Compensation Act Are In Need Of Clarification

In Board of Education v. Industrial Comm. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, and Board of Education v. Industrial Comm. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, a majority of the Court in each decision ruled that the Industrial Commission's penalty awards to the injured employee for unreasonable delay in payment of compensation by the employer under sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act) were not contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence. However, as pointed out in the dissenting opinion in each decision, the penalty provisions of the Act, sections 19(k) and 19(l), should be re-examined. See dissenting opinion in *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, 14 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting, joined by Underwood & Moran, JJ.), and in *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, 26 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting).

Section 19(k) of the Act states in relevant part that "where there has been any unreasonable or vexatious delay of payment *** of compensation ***, then the Commission may award compensation additional to that otherwise payable under this Act equal to 50% of the amount payable at the time of such award. Failure to pay compensation in accordance with [section 8(b)] shall be considered unreasonable delay." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.19(k).) Section 19(l) of the Act provides in pertinent part that where "the employer *** shall without good and just cause fail, neglect, refuse or unreasonably delay the payment of weekly compensation benefits *** during the period of temporary total disability *** the Commission shall allow to the employee additional compensation in the sum of \$10 per day for each day that a weekly compensation payment has been so withheld or refused, provided that such additional compensation shall not exceed the sum of \$2,500." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.19(l).) In the dissenting opinion in each Board of Education decision, it was observed that it appeared the penalties for failure to pay compensation for temporary total disability were assessed under both section 19(k) and section 19(l) for the same alleged delay or default of the employer (93 III. 2d 1, 15, 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26), and in Board of Education v. Industrial Comm. (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 28, it was noted the Industrial Commission has with increasing frequency been awarding penalties under sections 19(k) and 19(l). (See also, e.g., Continental Distributing Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 407, and Tal Rauhoff Construction Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1986), 149 III. App. 3d 892.) In the dissenting opinions, it was further observed that sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Act "appear to be overlapping and confusing, and are in need of clarification by the General Assembly" (93 III. 2d 1, 14), and that "it is imperative that the legislature reconsider the various penalty provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act and clarify their applicability" (93 III. 2d 20, 27).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to reexamine sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(k), 138.19(l)) and clarify when penalties may be assessed thereunder.

Legislative Guidelines Are Needed For Rehabilitation Programs Ordered Under The Workers' Compensation Act

In several cases that have come before our Court, we have considered the rehabilitation provision of section 8(a) of the

Workers' Compensation Act (Act). (See, e.g., Zenith Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1982), 86 III. 2d 489, and Kropp Forge Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1981), 85 III. 2d 226.) In pertinent part section 8(a) requires that the employer pay for a work-related injured employee's necessary medical, surgical and hospital expenses, and further requires that the "employer shall also pay for treatment, instruction and training necessary for the physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation of the employee, including all maintenance costs and expenses incidental thereto. If as a result of the injury the employee is unable to be self-sufficient the employer shall further pay for such maintenance or institutional care as shall be required." III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a).

In Hunter the Industrial Commission, without taking evidence, ordered under section 8(a) of the Act the employer to provide all necessary medical expenses, treatment, instruction, and training necessary for the injured employee's physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation, including all maintenance costs and expenses, and necessary tuition costs and expenses to attend a university. This Court pointed out that, unlike workers' compensation statutes in other States, section 8(a) of the Illinois Act does not set forth a detailed scheme on the question of vocational rehabilitation but rather only states that the employer "shall also pay" for rehabilitative efforts when "necessary." The Court stated further that States, such as Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New Hampshire, have established procedures under which the injured employee is examined and evaluated by a public or local rehabilitation agency or by trained medical personnel of the State's compensation board, which then makes a recommendation as to whether rehabilitation assistance is necessary, and, if so, what it should be. We then stated that the "value of such a procedure is obvious. A court, rather than being compelled to gauge the necessity and value of a proposed rehabilitation program itself, is able to receive recommendations from trained rehabilitation personnel, which it can review." (86 III. 2d 489, 498.) We further stated that since Illinois does not have such a procedure, the nature and form of rehabilitation requested appears to be based on the claimant's wish unless, of course, he has received rehabilitation counseling through a public or private agency. To the same effect is our observation in Zenith where in paraphrasing Hunter, we said section 8(a) does not provide for "any statutory procedures to govern proposed rehabilitation programs." 91 III. 2d 278, 287.

The Supreme Court believes that the lack of legislative procedures to assist the courts and Commission in determining the extent of necessary vocational rehabilitation is a continuing concern. Too, our belief is shared by others. (See, e.g., Donlevy and Moriarty, Vocational Rehabilitation Needs Legislative Rehabilitation, 1 CBA Record 28 (1987); Kuster, Vocational Rehabilitation in Workers' Compensation: A New Perspective, 74 III. B.J. 334 (1986); Power and North, Rehabilitation in Illinois, 73 III. B.J. 323 (1985); Gianforte, Industrial Rehabilitation in Illinois — An Evolving Process, 71 III. B.J. 668 (1983).) Cases in which the issue is raised continue to be appealed. (See, e.g., National Tea Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1983), 97 III. 2d 424, C.D. Turner & Sons, Inc. v. Industrial Comm. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 231, and McLean Trucking Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 213.) In National Tea Co. we said, after quoting section 8(a): "The legislature has failed to set forth any procedures or standards to aid the Commission in determining the extent to which rehabilitation is 'necessary.' In view of the frequency with which this issue arises, it seems evident that some flexible guidelines should be established." (97 III. 2d 424, 431.) We then observed that the Commission has by rule taken a step in that direction but that the rule appeared to be applicable in limited situations. (97 III. 2d 424, 431.) And we noted, as we did in Zenith Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1982), 91 III. 2d 278, that in Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Comm. (1981), 86 III. 2d 489, we observed that other States by statute "require employees seeking rehabilitation to be evaluated by State medical personnel or by a rehabilitation agency. The examiner then recommends whether, and what form of, rehabilitation assistance is necessary. [Citation.] This procedure *** could prove invaluable in assessing the feasibility of a program in which the claimant wishes to participate. It will also alleviate the concerns that rehabilitation costs will be 'routinely' awarded [citation], or based solely upon the claimant's wishes. [Citation.]" 97 III. 2d 424, 432.

In this Court's most recent decision dealing with this subject, we once again pointed out the lack of statutory guidelines for determining the need for rehabilitation and the development of individualized rehabilitation programs, and we noted that generalized rehabilitation awards were incomplete decisions of the Commission and therefore not final determinations. (International Paper Co. v. Industrial Comm. (1984), 99 III. 2d 458, 464-66.) The Court then said:

"We view, with concern, what appears to be a growing practice of the Commission to routinely order employers to pay for *** rehabilitation of employees before sufficient evidence is presented to enable the Commission to order a specific plan of rehabilitation. Determination of the specific program *** requires further deliberation by either the litigants or the arbitrator. If judicial review is allowed before this determination is made, the courts will invariably be faced with piecemeal review of such cases, as litigants dissatisfied with the [ordered] rehabilitation program repeat the entire administrative and judicial review process. It is not unusual, in [workers'] compensation cases, for five years to pass between the time of injury and final judicial determination. *** The piece-by-piece review process *** can only exacerbate what is already an intolerably long delay. We hold *** that decisions of the Industrial Commission which include generalized rehabilitation awards that require further determination as to the extent and nature of such rehabilitation are interlocutory and, therefore, not reviewable by the circuit court." (99 III. 2d 458, 466.)

See Donlevy and Moriarty, Vocational Rehabilitation Needs Legislative Rehabilitation, 1 CBA Record 28 (1987), 31, where the authors discuss the impact of our decision in *International Paper Co.* The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly examine whether rehabilitation counseling and procedures through public or private agencies should be provided for to assist the Industrial Commission and the courts where rehabilitation is contemplated under section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a)).

Statute Governing Modification Of Child Custody Judgments Should Be Re-Examined

Section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Act) controls the modification of child custody judgments and, where there was once certainty, there is now some confusion because of a recent amendment to section 610.

Prior to July 1, 1982, section 610(b) of the Act provided the standards to be used by the trial judge in proceedings to determine whether a prior child custody judgment should be modified. The standards applied to all modification proceedings, whether or not the prior custody judgment was made less than (section 610(a)) or more than (section 610(b)) two years before, although a motion to modify could be made in "emergency" situations within two years following the prior custody judgment but the section 610(b) standards applied at the hearing in which the modification question was ultimately determined. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1979, ch. 40, pars. 610(a), (b).) Effective July 1, 1982, however, section 610 was amended by Public Act 82-715 (1981 III. Laws 3813, 3814-15) to make the standards revised thereby applicable only to proceedings in which the custody judgment sought to be modified was made more than two years before. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 610(b).) In short, by prefacing section 610(b) with the amendatory phrase "After the expiration of the 2 year period following a custody judgment specified in [section 610(a)]," the legislature has removed from section 610(a) the standards in section 610(b) custody modification proceedings where the prior judgment was made less than two years before. Now there are no express statutory standards to guide trial judges in making modification decisions under section 610(a). But see III. Ann. Stat., ch. 40, par. 610, Supp. to Historical and Practice Notes, at 42-43 (Smith-Hurd 1986 (pocket part)) where it is intimated that the section 610(b) standards apply to section 610(a) modification hearings.

The Illinois Appellate Court discussed the effect of the 1982 amendment in *In re Custody of Carter* (1985), 137 Ill. App. 3d 439, and concluded that "through legislative oversight" the legislature "inadvertently failed to amend [section 610(a)] to state what standards to apply for *** motions filed within two years" (137 Ill. App. 3d 439, 442). (See also *In re Marriage of Clark* (1986), 149 Ill. App. 3d 613, 614-15, and *Mullins v. Mullins* (1986), 142 Ill. App. 3d 57, 70-72.) The Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly re-examine section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 610) with a view toward expressly providing that the revised standards in section 610(b) apply to all modification proceedings commenced under section 610.

Procedures In The Mental Health And Developmental Disabilities Code For Involuntary Admission Following The First Admission Should Be Revised

Section 3-813 of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code (Code) provides that a person subject to involuntary admission and hospitalization may be initially admitted for up to 60 days which may be extended up to another 60 days, and such hospitalization may be further extended for additional 180-day periods. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 911/2, par. 3-813.) In situations where sequential orders of admission and hospitalization are entered by the circuit court and appeals are taken from one or more of the "interim" orders in the sequence, the appeal from one order pends in the reviewing court while a subsequent petition for extended hospitalization pends in the circuit court. The time frame in section 3-813 of the Code for filing subsequent petitions makes it impossible, as a practical matter, for the reviewing court to decide the appeal of an order before a subsequent petition must be filed. (An egregious example of the petition-appeal-subsequent petition situation is found in People v. Lang (1986), 113 III. 2d 407. See also In re King (1986), 148 Ill. App. 3d 741, 745.) In addition, no remedy is provided where a petition for admission and hospitalization is not heard by the circuit court within the statutory time frame. See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91¹/₂, pars. 3-706, 3-800(b); see also *In re King* (1986), 148 III. App. 3d 741.

Recently, the appellate court commented on the aboveidentified deficiencies in the Code and urged corrective legislative action. (*People v. Williams* (1986), 146 III. App. 3d 638, 640, *In re Williams* (1986), 140 III. App. 3d 708.) In *In re Williams* (1986), 140 III. App. 3d 708, 713, the court said: "We urge the legislature to review the procedures which have been mandated for civil commitments and to revise those provisions which have presented the State and the courts with *** difficulties ***. We urge particular attention to the necessity of providing a means of dealing with petitions which overlap as a result of appellate review and of providing a remedy for a patient who does not receive a hearing within a reasonable time."

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's conclusion that there is a need to provide a means of dealing with petitions which overlap as a result of appellate review and to provide a remedy for a patient who does not receive a hearing within a reasonable time, and we urge the General Assembly to consider revising the relevant provisions of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91 $\frac{1}{2}$, par. 1-100 *et seq.*).

THE APPELLATE COURT

Jurisdiction and Organization

The Appellate Court is the intermediate court of review in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is conferred upon it by article VI, section 6 of the Constitution, which is included in Appendix A. Generally, appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court may be taken as a matter of right to Appellate Court, except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. The Appellate Court may also exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. Acting pursuant to article VI, section 6, the General Assembly has provided that "final orders or determinations" of the Pollution Control Board (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 1111/2, par. 1041), "judgments" of the State Board of Elections concerning disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 46, par. 9-22), and final orders of the Illinois State Labor Relations Board, the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 48, pars. 1611, 1716) may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court.

Appellate Court judges are elected for 10 year terms (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10). Exercising its authority under article VI, section 5, the General Assembly has mandated the election of 18 Appellate Court judges from the First District and 4 judges from each of the other four districts. Article VI, section 5 requires the Supreme Court to establish the organization of the Appellate Court. It has done so in its Rule 22.

According to that rule, the First District of the court sits in Chicago, the Second District in Elgin, the Third District in Ottawa, the Fourth District in Springfield and the Fifth District in Mt. Vernon. The Supreme Court prescribes by order the number of divisions in each district and for the assignment of judges to divisions. The presiding judge of each division, who is chosen for a one year term, designates judges of the division to sit in panels of three, and such a panel constitutes the division for purposes of rendering a decision in a case. Concurrence of two of those three judges is necessary to a decision.

Judges of each district appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 18(a).) As of December 31, 1986, the Appellate Court clerks were Gilbert S. Marchman, First District; Loren J. Strotz, Second District; Joseph Fennessey, Third District; Darryl Pratscher, Fourth District; and Walter T. Simmons, Fifth District. As required by Supreme Court Rule 24, each district maintains a research department supervised by a director of research and staffed by the number of research attorneys designated by the Supreme Court.

1986 Appellate Court Caseload Summary

In 1986, the number of new cases docketed in the Appellate Court (7,427) exceeded any previous year's filings. This is the eighth consecutive year the filings have increased. The number of cases disposed of (7,112) is a slight increase (.02%) from 1985. There were 6,927 cases pending at the end of the year which also represents a slight increase (.08%) from the previous year. Of the 7,112 cases disposed of, 1,810 or 25% were disposed of by opinion while 2,772 or 39% were disposed of with a Rule 23 order.

Included in these numbers are the figures of the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court of 93 new cases filed, 105 cases disposed of and 59 cases pending at the end of the year. Of the 105 cases disposed of, 49 were by opinion and 32 were by order, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23.

Assignments to Industrial Commission Division of Appellate Court

Effective February 1, 1984, the Supreme Court amended its rules and entered an order to re-route appeals from Circuit Court orders reviewing workers' compensation cases from the Supreme Court to the Appellate Court. Rule 302(a) was amended by repealing subparagraph (2) which provided that direct appeal was to be taken to the Supreme Court from Circuit Court judgments "in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission."

Rule 22(g) created a five-judge Appellate Court panel known as the Industrial Commission Division of the court. The panel sits as a division in each district of the Appellate Court and may conduct its business at any location it chooses in Illinois. Five judges must participate in the decisions of this division and the concurrence of three is necessary to a decision.

Rule 315(a) was amended to provide an exception to a litigant's right to file a petition for leave to appeal in the Supreme Court from decisions of the Appellate Court. A petition for leave to appeal from a decision of the Industrial Commission Division shall not be filed unless at least two judges of that panel find that the case "involves a substantial question which warrants consideration by the Supreme Court."

During 1986 the following assignments to the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court were made:

Hon. Alfred E. Woodward, 2nd District Appellate Court Effective September 21

Hon. John T. McCullough, (alternate member)

- 4th District Appellate Court Effective December 8 Hon. Joseph R. Spitz (alternate member)
 - 4th District Appellate Court Effective December 8

Supreme Court Assignment of Judges To The Appellate Court

Article VI, Sections 15 and 16, of the 1970 Illinois Constitution allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge, with his consent, to judicial service, and to assign temporarily a sitting judge to any court, except that an associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge.

During 1986, the Supreme Court made these assignments to the Illinois Appellate Court.

First District – Hon. James C. Murray, Cook County Circuit Judge (January 20, 1986 to November 30, 1987)

Second District – Hon. Marvin Dunn, 16th Circuit Circuit Judge (December 1, 1986 until further order) Hon. Lawrence D. Inglis, 19th Circuit

> Circuit Judge (December 1, 1986 until November 30, 1987)

Hon. William Nash, 17th Circuit Circuit Judge (December 1, 1986 until December 1, 1987)

Alfred Woodward, 18th Circuit Retired Circuit Judge (January 1, 1986 until further order)

Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Appellate Court

Supreme Court Rule 22(e) creates an Executive Committee of the Appellate Court and provides for meetings of all judges of that court. Traditionally, the Appellate Court holds an annual meeting during the latter part of the year.

On November 20, 1986, the Appellate Court held its annual meeting, with Judge William Nash (2nd District) presiding as chairman. In attendance were 33 appellate judges, a retired appellate judge, and staff of the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Reporter of Decisions' office.

Matters considered at the meeting included:

- Introduction of appellate judges newly appointed or assigned to the Appellate Court.
- (2) A comprehensive report from the staff of the Administrative Office and the Reporter of Decisions' office about new procedures for electronically transferring opinions to the reporter's office and filing opinions in the clerk's office. Discussed also were communication capabilities of recently installed personal computers allowing "downstate" appellate judges to communicate with each other.
- (3) Appointment of Appellate Court members to the Illinois Courts Commission. Appointed to the commission as members were Judges Francis Lorenz (1st District) and

Allan Stouder (3rd District), and as alternates Judges David Linn (1st District) and Frederick Green (4th District).

Judge Glenn Johnson (1st District) was selected as the next chairman of the Illinois Appellate Court.

Administrative Committee Of The Illinois Appellate Court

The Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court, created by order of the Supreme Court, studies and recommends methods by which the Appellate Court might improve the processing of appeals. The Administrative Office is the secretary to the committee.

As of December 31, 1986, the members of the Administrative Committee were:

Hon. Tobias Barry (3rd District)
Hon. Calvin C. Campbell (1st District)
Hon. Frederick S. Green (4th District)
Hon. Charles E. Jones (5th District)
Hon. Daniel J. McNamara (1st District)
Hon. Philip G. Reinhard (2nd District)
Hon. John J. Sullivan (1st District)
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh (Supreme Court Liaison)

During 1986 the Administrative Committee held one meeting and considered these matters:

- (1) Discussed Uniform Appellate Rule 8 (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 908 requiring counsel to supply copies of opinions from foreign jurisdictions when they are cited during oral argument. The Committee concluded that the abovementioned provision should be removed from the rule and recommended that the "downstate" districts of the Appellate Court so amend their rules.
- (2) Discussed motion practice in the "downstate" districts of the Appellate Court when the judges are not in session at the appellate courthouse.
- (3) Discussed whether citations to Illinois decisions in Appellate Court opinions should be to the Illinois Official Reports only or should include also the parallel citation to the North Eastern Reporter.
- (4) Discussed the desirability of resuming the Supreme-Appellate court seminar.

THE CIRCUIT COURTS

Jurisdiction and Organization

The trial level court of general jurisdiction in Illinois is known as the Circuit Court. It has original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters, except: (1) in matters relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office; (2) where the Supreme Court exercises its discretionary original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus; and (3) by statute, the review of orders of the Pollution Control Board and certain orders of the State Board of Elections. There are no courts of special or limited jurisdiction in Illinois. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4 and 5.) No judge of the Circuit Court has the power to review the decision of another and there are no trials *de novo*.

The State is divided into 22 judicial circuits by statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.1). Three circuits, Cook County and the 12th and 18th circuits consist of a single county. The other 19 judicial circuits are composed of two or more contiguous counties as provided by law. Each judicial circuit has but one, unified Circuit Court.

There are two categories of judges in the Circuit Courts: (1) circuit judges and (2) associate judges. All judges must be licensed attorneys. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 11.) Circuit judges are initially elected, either on a circuit-wide basis or from the county where they reside. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, pars. 72.2, 72.42-1.) They serve 6 year terms. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10.) In the Cook County Circuit, circuit judges are elected from the City of Chicago, from the entire county or from the area outside Chicago. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.42.)

The circuit judges in each circuit select by secret ballot a chief judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the chief judge has general administrative authority over his court. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 7.)

Associate judges are appointed for four year terms by the circuit judges in their respective circuits. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs, 8, 10.) Like circuit judges, associate judges may exercise the full constitutional jurisdiction of the Circuit Court. However, Article VI, section 8 of the Constitution directs the Supreme Court to provide by rule for matters to be assigned to associate judges. The Court discharges this responsibility through Supreme Court Rule 295, discussed below.

1986 Circuit Court Caseload Summary

The number of cases filed in the Circuit Courts of Illinois during 1986, excluding "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County, was 3,797,007.

The number of cases disposed of in the Circuit Courts of

Illinois was 3,930,199. Again these figures exclude "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County.

There were 863,081 cases pending at the end of 1986. Of this total, 44% of them were over 12 months old.

The total pending is an inventory decrease of 1,111 cases from the previous years end pending.

Conference Of Chief Circuit Judges

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, a Conference of the Chief Circuit Judges meets regularly to consider problems relating to the administration of the circuit court and such other matters as may, from time to time, be referred to the Conference by the Supreme Court. As of December 31, 1986, the chief circuit judges were:

1st Circuit –	Hon. William A. Lewis
2nd Circuit —	
	Hon. Henry Lewis
3rd Circuit —	Hon. Philip J. Rarick
4th Circuit —	Hon. Ronald A. Niemann
5th Circuit —	Hon. Ralph S. Pearman
6th Circuit —	Hon. Rodney A. Scott
7th Circuit —	Hon. John W. Russell
8th Circuit —	Hon. Edward B. Dittmeyer
9th Circuit –	Hon. William Randolph
10th Circuit —	Hon. Peter J. Paolucci
11th Circuit —	Hon. Luther H. Dearborn
12th Circuit —	Hon. Michael Orenic
13th Circuit —	Hon. Alexander T. Bower
14th Circuit -	Hon. L.E. Ellison
15th Circuit —	Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr.
16th Circuit —	Hon. Joseph M. McCarthy
17th Circuit —	Hon. John C. Layng
18th Circuit —	Hon. Carl F.J. Henninger
19th Citcuit -	Hon. Fred A. Geiger
20th Circuit —	Hon. Patrick J. Fleming
21st Circuit —	Hon. Wayne P. Dyer
Cook County –	Hon. Harry G. Comerford

Hon. Ben Miller was the liaison justice from the Supreme Court during calendar year 1986. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 42, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is the secretary of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges. The Conference met in January, February, March, April, May, June, September and October 1986.

Out-of-Circuit Assignments

During 1986, the Administrative Director of the Illinois Courts, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 324 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to the Circuit Court of Cook County on a temporary basis. Each order typically assigns a judge to the Circuit Court of Cook County for a one or two week period. Through this assignment process, a total of 434 additional judge work weeks were obtained to assist in processing Cook County cases. This is the equivalent of the work of approximately 8 or 9 additional full-time judges.

In 1986, the Administrative Director also approved 62 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to downstate circuits other than their home circuits. Like the Cook County assignments, these orders are for limited periods of time.

Rule 295 Assignments

Article VI, section 8 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 authorizes the Supreme Court to "provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." In implementing this authority, the Supreme Court has provided in Rule 295 that an associate judge may hear any matter except the trial of criminal cases in which a defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. However, upon a showing of need, the Supreme Court may authorize the chief judge of a circuit to make temporary assignment of individual associate judges to conduct trials of those criminal cases.

In 1986, the Administrative Director, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 209 requests from the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County to assign individual associate judges to hear criminal cases in which the defendant may be punished by imprisonment for more than one year. Each authorization, was requested for a period of six months.

The Administrative Director also approved 164 requests from downstate chief judges for permission to assign associate judges to these criminal cases.

Judicial Elections

The results of the November 4, 1986 general election are set forth below. A single asterisk (*) means that the successful candidate was a sitting judicial officer who was elected to "higher" judicial office, e.g., sitting circuit judge elected to a judgeship in the Appellate Court, and a double asterisk (**) denotes that the successful candidate was a Supreme Court appointee to judicial office who was successful in the general election. Those elected took office December 1, 1986.

Candidates Elected Judge of Appellate Court

First District (Vacancy of Thomas McGloon) *William R. Quinlan (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of James Mejda) *Charles E. Freeman (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Maurice Perlin) **Anthony Scariano (D., Park Forest) (Vacancy of Philip Romiti) *Mary Ann Grohwin McMorrow (D., Chicago)

> (Vacancy of Kenneth Wilson) *R. Eugene Pincham (D., Chicago)

Fourth District

(Vacancy of Ben Miller) *James A. Knecht (R., Normal)

(Vacancy of Richard Mills) *(Carl A. Lund (R., Paris)

Candidates Elected Judge of Circuit Court

Cook County Circuit (Vacancy of Robert Collins) **Aaron Jaffe (D., Skokie)

(Vacancy of Russell DeBow) *Richard P. Berland (D., Northbrook)

(Vacancy of Richard LeFevour) **Cornelius Francis Dore (D., Floossmoor)

Inside City of Chicago Only

(Vacancy of John Crowley) Thomas Patrick Quinn (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of George Higgins) Miriam D. Balanoff (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of John McGury) *Blanche M. Manning (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Robert Sulski) Irwin J. Solganick (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Vincent Tondryk) Paddy McNamara (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Thomas Walsh) + Joseph Edward McDermott (D., Chicago) + Did not assume judicial office

> (Vacancy of James Walton) Leo E. Holt (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Arthur Zelezinski) **Sidney A. Jones III (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Michael Zlatnik) Richard E. Neville (D., Chicago)

Outside of Chicago Only (Vacancy of Marion Burks) Dan Weber (R., Westchester)

(Vacancy of Brian Duff) *Anton J. Valukas (R., Palatine)

(Vacancy of Wayne Olson) Alexander P. White (R., DesPlaines)

(Vacancy of Richard Petrarca) *Patrick S. Grossi (R., Glenwood) Second Circuit Crawford County Only (Vacancy of A. Hanby Jones) David M. Correll (R., Robinson)

Edwards County Only (Vacancy of Bruce Saxe) John Lundmark (R., Albion)

Richland County Only

(Vacancy of Laurence Arnold) Patrick "Pat" McLaughlin (D., Olney)

Fourth Circuit

(Vacancy of Paul Hickman) **E.C. Eberspacher (D., Shelbyville)

(Vacancy of Frank Schniederjon) **Richard H. Brummer (D., Effingham)

> Fifth Circuit (Vacancy of John Meyer) *Rita B. Garman (R., Danville)

Eighth Circuit Mason County Only (Vacancy of Howard White) Thomas L. Brownfield (D., Havana)

Ninth Circuit McDonough County Only (Vacancy of U.S. Collins) *William D. Henderson (R., Macomb)

> Tenth Circuit (Vacancy of Ivan Yontz) **Bruce W. Black (R., Pekin)

Eleventh Circuit Logan County Only (Vacancy of John McCullough) Gerald G. Dehner (R., Lincoln)

Twelfth Circuit (Additional Judgeship) *William R. Penn (R., Joliet)

Thirteenth Circuit (Vacancy of Leonard Hoffman) **Louis James Perona (R., Spring Valley)

Fourteenth Circuit (Vacancy of Conway Spanton) Clarence A. Darrow (D., Rock Island)

Mercer County Only (Vacancy of Gene McWhorter) **Martin E. Conway, Jr. (R., Aledo)

Fifteenth Circuit (Vacancy of James Bales) **Tomas M. Magdich (R., Dixon) Sixteenth Circuit (Vacancy of John Krause) **Michael O'Brien (R., St. Charles)

Kane County Only (Vacancy of Paul Schnake) *Melvin E. Dunn (R., Geneva)

Eighteenth Circuit (Vacancy of William Hopf) **Robert D. McLaren (R., Wheaton)

(Vacancy of Charles Norgle) **Edward W. Kowal (R., Glen Ellyn)

DuPage County Only (Vacancy of Edwin Douglas) John W. Darrah (R., Bartlett)

(Vacancy of Bruce Fawell) **William E. (Bill) Black (R., Downers Grove)

Nineteenth Circuit Lake County Only (Vacancy of John Hughes) *John R. Goshgarian (R., Round Lake)

Twentieth Circuit (Vacancy of Thomas O'Donnell) **Richard A. Hudlin, IV (D., Fairview Hts.)

> Washington County Only (Vacancy of Francis Maxwell) Lloyd A. Karmeier (R., Nashville)

Twenty-First Circuit (Two Additional Judgeships) James R. Blunk (R., Watseka) *Daniel W. Gould (R., Kankakee)

Judicial Retention Election

The 1970 Constitution, article VI, section 12(d), provides that an elected judge may seek to be retained in judicial office upon expiration of his term of office. The affirmative vote of threefifths (60%) of the electors voting on the question shall elect the judge to the office for a term.

The results of the retention ballot of the Nov. 4, 1986, general election are as follows:

Supreme Court Judges First Judicial District Hon. William G. Clark, 81.16%

Second Judicial District Hon. Thomas J. Moran, 79%

Third Judicial District Hon. Howard C. Ryan, 78.36%

Appellate Court Judge First Judicial District Hon. David Linn, 78.78%

Circuit Court Judges

First Judicial Circuit Hon. Thomas W. Haney, 71.22% Hon. Snyder Howell, 67.57% Hon. Robert H. Howerton, 72.38% Hon. Donald Lowery, 68.14% Hon. Richard E. Richman, 60.94% Hon. William H. South, 61.17%

Second Judicial Circuit

Hon. Larry O. Baker, 64.84% Hon. Donald E. Garrison, 68.69% Hon. Robert M. Keenan, Jr., 66.46%

Third Judicial Circuit Hon. A. Andreas "Andy" Matoesian, 77.88%

> Fourth Judicial Circuit Hon. Dennis M. Huber, 74.98% Hon. William D. Kelly, 73.48%

Fifth Judicial Circuit Hon. Paul C. Komada, 70.64% Hon. Carl A. Lund, 78.92%

Hon. Ralph S. Pearman, 78.91% Sixth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Jerry L. Patton, 80.57% Hon. John P. Shonkwiler, 75.18%

Seventh Judicial Circuit Hon. Simon L. Friedman, 80.71% Hon. Richard E. Mann, 79.76% Hon. Gordon D. Seator, 79.09%

Eighth Judicial Circuit Hon. Carson D. Klitz, 75.23% Hon. Alfred L. Pezman, 78.16%

Ninth Judicial Circuit Hon. Stephen C. Mathers, 79.79% Hon. Max B. Stewart, 78.74%

Tenth Judicial Circuit Hon. James McNabb Bumgarner, 72.77% Hon. Robert E. Manning, 80.46%

Eleventh Judicial Circuit Hon. William T. Caisley, 83.77% Hon. William M. Roberts, 76.96%

Twelfth Judicial Circuit Hon. Robert R. Buchar, 76.56% Hon. Michael A. Orenic, 76.84%

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Alexander T. Bower, 77.25%
Hon. William P. Denny, 81.65%
Hon. Thomas R. Flood, 80.25%
Hon. Howard C. Wampler, 78.06%
Hon. Robert G. Wren, 75.5%

Fourteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. L.E. Ellison, 77.43% Hon. Susan B. Gende, 79.56% Hon. Wilbur S. Johnson, 77.4% Hon. Edward Keefe, 80.76% Hon. John Donald O'Shea, 79.34%

Fifteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Thomas E. Hornsby, 82.71% Hon. F. Lawrence Lenz, 80.94% Hon. Lawrence A. Smith, Jr., 80.40%

Sixteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Rex F. Meilinger, 65.66% Hon. James F. Quetsch, 75.11%

Seventeenth Judicial Circuit Hon. John E. Sype, 82.59%

Nineteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. William D. Block, 81.13% Hon. Jack Hoogasian, 75.55% Hon. Lawrence D. (Larry) Inglis, 80.84%

Twentieth Judicial Circuit Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham, 78.89% Hon. John J. Hoban, 64.49%

Twenty-First Judicial Circuit Hon. Patrick M. Burns, 83.01%

Cook County Judicial Circuit Hon. Frank W. Barbaro, 77.73% Hon. Christy S. Berkos, 77.73% Hon. Jerome T. Burke, 78.89% Hon. Irwin Cohen, 76.10% Hon. Ronald J. Crane, 78.87% Hon. John W. Crilly, 77.5% Hon. Brian L. Crowe, 78.22% Hon. Richard L. Curry, 79.06% Hon. Arthur L. Dunne, 70.81% Hon. Charles J. Durham, 78.09% Hon. Lester D. Foreman, 78.02% Hon. Paul F. Gerrity, 78.42% Hon. Louis J. Giliberto, 66.72% Hon. Charles J. Grupp, 62.38% Hon. Sophia H. Hall, 79.24% Hon. Thomas A. Hett, 78.43% Hon. Willard J. Lassers, 76.92% Hon. Benjamin S. Mackoff, 75.86% Hon. Edward H. Marsalek, 79.07% Hon. Lester D. McCurrie, 78.47% Hon. Irving R. Norman, 78.77% Hon. Benjamin E. Novoselsky, 77.79% Hon. Lawrence A. Passarella, 57.36% Hon. William R. Quinlan, 79.43% Hon. Thomas R. Rakowski, 80.3% Hon. John W. Rogers, 78.89% Hon. Allen F. Rosin, 55.82% Hon. Frank V. Salerno, 56.09% Hon. Stephen A. Schiller, 78.45% Hon. Joseph Schneider, 76.58% Hon. Harold A. Siegan, 70.94% Hon. Earl F. Strayhorn, 72.87% Hon. John V. Virgilio, 77.86% Hon. Claude E. Whitaker, 78.13% Hon. Daniel J. White, 72.42% Hon. George J. Zimmerman, 62.56%

THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

The Illinois Constitution provides, in section 17 of article VI, that there shall be "an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice." Supreme Court Rule 41 implements section 17 by establishing membership in the Conference, creating an Executive Committee to assist the Court in conducting the Conference, and appointing the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts as secretary of the Conference.

The Judicial Conference membership includes the Supreme Court Justices, Appellate Court judges and Circuit Judges. The Supreme Court appoints six judges from Cook County and six judges from outside Cook County to serve three year terms on the Executive Committee.

As of December 31, 1986, the Executive Committee members were:

Hon. Charles J. Durham, Chairman
Hon. Anthony M. Peccarelli, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Michael C. Close
Hon. William Cousins, Jr.
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham
Hon. Marvin D. Dunn
Hon. James C. Murray
Hon. Joseph Schneider
Hon. John M. Telleen
Hon. Wayne C. Townley, Jr.
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh, Liaison

During 1986, the Executive Committee:

- (1) Selected the site, topics and faculty for the 1986 annual program of the Illinois Judicial Conference.
- (2) Monitored the work of the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee in planning the annual Associate Judge Seminar.
- (3) Monitored the work of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education in planning the remainder of the 1985-86 Regional Seminar Series.
- (4) Recommended that the Supreme Court establish a Study Committee on Voir Dire.
- (5) Selected the members for the Conference's Study Committee on Protracted Litigation.
- (6) Suggested that the Supreme Court approve an experimental project with Loyola University School of Law and its *Law Review*, whereby the *Law Review* would devote one of its issues each year for several years to the publication of Judicial Conference articles. The Court approved this proposal on a three-year trial basis in its May Term.
- (7) Established, with the approval of the Supreme Court, a Subcommittee on Legislation, which is designed to review

legislative proposals affecting the judicial system and make recommendations about those proposals to the Executive Committee for further recommendation to the Court.

- (8) Accepted the work of the Committee to Study and Update Juvenile Forms Handbooks and transmitted it with approval to the Supreme Court. The Committee was discharged upon completion of its tasks.
- (9) Began the work of updating the Conference's Handbook for Illinois Jurors and A Handbook for Grand Jurors in Illinois.
- (10) Approved the recommendations of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education for the topics and faculty for the 1986-87 Regional Seminar Series beginning in October, 1986.

1986 Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Judicial Conference

The 33rd Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference was conducted on Wednesday-Friday, September 3-5, 1986 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. Three hundred ninety-six of the four hundred eighteen judges of the circuit and reviewing courts were present.

Chief Justice William G. Clark presented a "state of the judiciary" address at the dinner session on the opening evening.

Justice Ben Miller presided at the Thursday luncheon program honoring recently retired judges.

Six $2\frac{1}{2}$ hour seminar topics were offered on all three days of the program. The topics were:

Criminal Law Domestic Relations Evidence Judicial Ethics and Conduct Medical Malpractice Work Product/Attorney-Client Privilege

Each of these topics was presented by committees comprised of judges assisted by professor-reporters from Illinois law schools. Attendance at the Judicial Ethics programs was mandatory for all attendants, who also selected three of the five remaining elective topics.

1986 Associate Judge Seminar

The Annual Associate Judge Seminar program is prepared by a twelve-member committee appointed by the Executive Committee with the approval of the Supreme Court. The Coordinating
Committee for the 1986 program consisted of the following judges:

Hon. Robert L. Carter, Chairman
Hon. Francis Barth, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Lester A. Bonaguro
Hon. J. David Bone
Hon. Alan W. Cargerman
Hon. Loretta C. Douglas
Hon. Thomas P. Durkin
Hon. Jerry D. Flynn
Hon. Blanche M. Manning
Hon. Jane D. Waller
Hon. W. Charles Witte
Hon. William S. Wood

The Associate Judge Seminar was presented at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago on Wednesday-Friday, March 5-7, 1986. Three hundred twenty of the three hundred thirty-nine associate judges were present.

At the opening session on Wednesday afternoon, Judge Roy O. Gulley, recently retired Director of the Administrative Office, shared with the attendants his experiences of three and a half decades of service as judge and court administrator. Supreme Court Justice Joseph H. Goldenhersh addressed the attendants at the Wednesday dinner. Judge Charles E. Jones of the Fifth District of the Appellate Court spoke at the Thursday luncheon program.

Each seminar attendant elected to attend three of the following five topics prepared by judges and law professors:

Contracts Evidence Judicial Ethics Management of a Criminal Jury Trial Recent Legislative Developments

Attendance at the Judicial Ethics presentations was mandatory.

1986 New Judge Seminar

Under the direction of Justice Seymour Simon, the Supreme Court liaison to the new judge educational programs, the Subcommittee on Judicial Education prepared and presented the New Judge Seminar on December 9-12, 1986 at the Holiday Inn-Mart Plaza in Chicago. Virtually the entire program was presented by judicial faculty.

Seventy-three of the seventy-four judges who assumed judicial office since the date of the last New Judge Seminar (July 24-26, 1985) attended the program. Appellate, circuit and associate judges were in attendance.

At the opening session program Justice Simon offered introductory remarks and Judge Charles J. Durham, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, described the general operation of the continuing education programs of the Conference. Mr. William M. Madden gave an overview of the evolution and structure of the Illinois judicial system.

The afternoon program on the first day of the seminar included an analysis of the Supreme Court Rules by Judge Dom J. Rizzi of the First District Appellate Court, and a discussion of the practice and procedure in high volume courts by a panel of Cook County and downstate judges. The evening session consisted of a presentation on the law of contempt by:

Hon. Earl Arkiss Hon. Alan W. Cargerman Hon. John P. Shonkwiler

The second day's program began with First District Appellate Judge Allen Hartman's traditional summary of the law and procedure governing motion practice. This was followed by lectures on judgments and orders by Judge Robert S. Hill and on domestic violence orders of protection by Judge Brent F. Carlson.

At luncheon on the second day, U.S. District Court Judge Charles R. Norgle reflected on some of the common concerns of the new judge. The afternoon session consisted of a panel discussion on judicial ethics. The panel members were:

Hon. Tobias Barry Hon. Mel R. Jiganti Hon. John E. Sype

On the third day of the program five hours were dedicated to a session on trial practice at which video-tape materials prepared by the faculty members were used to create actual courtroom situations. The faculty members for the session were:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson Hon. Robert J. Steigmann Hon. Lawrence D. Inglis Hon. Donald P. O'Connell

Also included in the third day's sessions were presentations on handling mentally disturbed litigants, which was given by Judge Susan S. Ruffolo and psychiatrist Dr. James Cavanaugh, and on judicial stress and disability, which was given by Judges Harry E. Clem and Warren D. Wolfson.

U.S. District Court Judge Susan Getzendanner addressed the attendants at the luncheon program on the third day. The evening session that day featured a discussion group program, at which the attendants could address issues facing them as new judges, with the assistance of an experienced judge as discussion leader.

The primary emphasis of the fourth day was on criminal law. Judge Brian L. Crowe spoke on recent developments in search and seizure, and Judges Blanche M. Manning and Ronald A. Niemann instructed the judges about the practical aspects of setting bonds. A criminal law program was given by:

Hon. Gino L. DiVito Hon. Robert H. Howerton Hon. Roger J. Kiley, Jr. Finally, Judges Thomas R. Fitzgerald and Carl F.J. Henninger discussed jury instructions, with an enactment of an actual instruction conference in a criminal case.

1986 Regional Seminar Programs

In 1986, the Judicial Conference conducted six programs on the 2½ day format instituted in 1976. The regional programs were selected, planned and monitored by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education. On December 31, 1986, its members were as follows:

Hon. Allen Hartman, Chairman Hon. Brent F. Carlson Hon. Robert L. Carter Hon. Robert S. Hill Hon. Carl A. Lund Hon. George W. Unverzagt Hon. Warren D. Wolfson

The six programs were:

DATE	TOPIC	SITE	ATTE	NDANCE	
February 13-15	Constitutional Issues in the Criminal Case	Rockford		54	
March 13-15	Civil Procedure in Illinois	Oak Brook		67	
April 10-12	Constitutional Issues in the Criminal Case	Springfield		59	
May 8-10	Domestic Relations	Rockford		76	
October 30- November 1	Civil Procedure in Illinois	Rockford		33	
November 13-15	Evidence	Effingham		36	

The two seminars on Constitutional Issues in the Criminal Case were given by the following faculty:

Hon. Roger J. Kiley, Jr., Chairman Hon. Alan W. Cargerman Hon. Jeffrey W. O'Connor Hon. Stephen A. Schiller Prof. James P. Carey Prof. Timothy P. O'Neill

These seminars featured the videotape of a multi-defendant felony trial, from the bond hearing through the sentencing hearing. Issues raised and discussed in these seminars involved the constitutional dimensions of a criminal trial, including the confrontation of witnesses, motions to suppress evidence, confessions, double jeopardy and others.

The seminar on Domestic Relations was offered with the following faculty:

Hon. Carl A. Lund, Chairman Hon. Everette A. Braden Hon. Robert L. Carter Hon. Melvin E. Dunn Hon. Susan S. Ruffolo Prof. John E. Corkery Prof. James M. Forkins

In the first day of the seminar, a videotape of a property disposition hearing was used to raise issues in maintenance and property valuation and distribution. For the remaining days of the seminar, the topics of tax consequences of divorce, bankruptcy, child support and custody, injunctive relief and jurisdiction were among the items presented.

The two seminars on Civil Procedure in Illinois were given by the following faculty:

Hon. Myron T. Gomberg, Chairman Hon. Richard P. Goldenhersh Hon. Robert S. Hill Hon. Thomas J. O'Brien Prof. Richard A. Michael Prof. Charles R. Purcell

The broad topics of motions, sufficiency of pleadings and regulation of discovery were treated in this program, which was given in a traditional lecture format. The motions portion of the program considered motions to dismiss and amend pleadings, motions for summary judgment and motions to vacate and open judgments, among others. In the pleadings sessions, the elements of pleadings for economic loss, retaliatory discharge, willful and wanton conduct, contribution and other actions were discussed. The final day was devoted to the Supreme Court Rules governing discovery, along with discovery sanctions.

The seminar on Evidence was presented by: Hon. George M. Marovich, Chairman Hon. Robert H. Howerton, Vice-Chairman Hon. William E. Black Hon. Themis Karnezis Hon. Stephen Spomer Prof. James P. Carey Prof. John E. Corkery

It followed an all-videotape format similar to that used in the criminal law seminars. In this seminar, though, one civil case and one criminal case were simulated. This allowed the attendants to compare and contrast the law of evidence applicable to criminal and civil trials concerning such matters as impeachment, hearsay, demonstrative evidence and the use of expert witnesses.

1986 Study Committees

In 1986, the Executive Committee reviewed the work product of one study committee, the Committee to Study and Update Juvenile Formbooks. This committee was charged with updating the juvenile forms which the Conference's Committee on Juvenile Problems drafted for Cook County and downstate in the 1970's. The report of this study committee was not in a traditional narrative form, common to many study committee reports. Instead, the committee produced a compilation of 28 forms which may be used in any county in the State. After these forms were approved by the Executive Committee, they were sent to each State's Attorney in Illinois, along with many of the judges and probation officers who handle juvenile matters throughout the State. It is hoped that the work of this study committee will contribute to greater uniformity and efficiency in Illinois juvenile proceedings.

(The Illinois Judicial Conference is summarized in greater detail under separate cover in the 1986 Illinois Judicial Conference Report. Specific information on the various Conference programs is offered in greater detail in that report.)

THE COURTS COMMISSION

Since July 1, 1971, disciplinary proceedings against judicial officers in Illinois have been bifurcated. The Judicial Inquiry Board, composed of lay persons, lawyers and judges, conducts investigations against judges, files formal voted complaints against judges with the Courts Commission, and prosecutes those complaints before the Commission. The five judges who comprise the Commission hear those complaints, make findings, and enter dispositive orders of dismissal or of imposition of sanctions. A judge may be disciplined by removal from office, suspension with or without pay, retirement, censure or reprimand. Pursuant to rule of the Commission, the Administrative Director is the Commission Secretary. For further information on the history of judicial discipline in Illinois, see the *1975 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois*, Pages 60-65 and the Prefatory Note, 1 Ill. Cts. Com., pages ix-xxii.

The judicial officers who have been appointed as members of the judicial disciplinary entities are, as of December 31, 1986:

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Judicial Inquiry Board: Circuit Judge Philip B. Benefiel, Second Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Edward H. Marsalek, Cook County

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Courts Commission: *Supreme Court Judge Thomas J. Moran (chairman)

- *Circuit Judge James C. Murray, Cook County
- *Circuit Judge Rodney A. Scott, Sixth Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Arthur L. Dunne, Cook County (alternate) Circuit Judge John E. Sype, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (alternate)

Appointed by the Appellate Court to the Courts Commission: *Appellate Court Judge Francis S. Lorenz, First Judicial District *Appellate Court Judge Allan L. Stouder, Third Judicial District Appellate Court Judge David Linn, First Judicial District (alternate)

Appellate Court Judge Frederick S. Green, Fourth Judicial District (alternate)

*Present members of the Courts Commission.

During the period July 1, 1971 through December 31, 1986, the Judicial Inquiry Board had filed 40 formal complaints with the Courts Commission. The dispositions of the complaints by the Commission were as follows:

Respondents removed from office	- 3
Respondents suspended without pay	- 9
Respondents censured	- 3
Respondents reprimanded	- 8
Complaints dismissed	-15
Commission order expunged by Supreme Court	- 1
Complaints pending	- 1

During 1986, one formal complaint was filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board with the Courts Commission. No decisions were rendered by the Courts Commission during 1986.

Before reciting the nature of the single complaint filed in 1986 with the Courts Commission, two matters should be noted. Effective August 1, 1986, the Illinois Supreme Court extensively amended its rule and administrative order governing disclosure of a judge's economic interests and availability to the public of such disclosure. Amended Rule 68 and the administrative order thereunder require judges to file annually a detailed statement of economic interests and relationships with the Supreme Court Clerk, and provide that any person may examine or have a copy of a judge's statement. Judges in Illinois have been required by Supreme Court rule to file statements of economic interests since 1970. Amended Rule 68 makes the disclosure more comprehensive and available to the public. The second recent development is that on December 2, 1986, the Supreme Court adopted new rules of judicial conduct, effective January 1, 1987. The new Code of Judicial Conduct, Rules 61-67, is modeled on the American Bar Association Code of Judicial Conduct, modified to the extent necessary to be in accord with Illinois constitutional and statutory law.

The only complaint filed in 1986 with the Courts Commission, complaint 86-CC-1, charged in four counts a Cook County circuit judge with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he, while a judge, engaged in a fee-splitting arrangement with lawyers, heard cases in which he had a financial or other conflict of interest, and failed to report real estate income to the tax authorities or disclose it on his judicial statements of economic interests. The complaint alleged that the judge continued to receive a division of lawyers' fees long after he assumed the bench; that the judge heard and referred to other judges for hearing court cases involving real estate in which he had a financial interest; that the judge heard cases in which lawyers with whom he had business relationships appeared; that the judge did not report income from his real estate dealings to Federal and State tax agencies and did not disclose fully his income and financial relationships in his statements of economic interests; and that his conduct violated Supreme Court Rules 61(c)(4), 61(c)(12), 61(c)(21) through 61(c)(23), 66, and 68. The judge resigned June 19, 1986, the day before the complaint was filed, and in a separate action the supreme court concluded that the resignation was effective June 19 (see M.R. 4001 (June 30, 1986)). The Courts Commission is expected to render a decision in January of 1987.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Introduction

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (see Appendix B for historical development) is established pursuant to article VI, section 16 of the Constitution of 1970, to assist the Chief Justice to carry out his duties in exercising the administrative and supervisory authority of the Supreme Court over all the courts. As that authority encompasses every aspect of the judicial system, the functions of the Administrative Office cannot be exhaustively delineated. However, these functions generally include personnel and fiscal management, continuing judicial education, maintenance of records and statistics, service as secretariat to committees and other organizations, liaison activity with the legislative and executive branches, management of court facilities and equipment, administration of programs under several Supreme Court Rules, research and planning. (Compare 1974 A.B.A. Standards Relating to Court Organization (Standard 1.41) (responsibilities of state court administrative offices).) Within each of these categories fall the specific duties of the Administrative Office which are reported in greater detail in this chapter.

Other functions of the Administrative Office are explained separately below. The office is charged by Supreme Court Rule 21(d) with keeping filed copies of Appellate Court and Circuit Court rules. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 68, the Administrative Director is the custodian of verified statements of economic interest which are filed annually by Illinois judges. Sealed statements filed under this rule may be opened only by the Supreme Court or by the Illinois Courts Commission when specifically authorized by the Supreme Court for use in proceedings of the Commission. Parties to an action may request from the Director information concerning unsealed lists of businesses in which a judge or members of his immediate family have a financial interest.

The Director and his staff appear before the appropriation committees of the General Assembly to testify concerning the State judicial budget, and they are frequently called upon to advise the judiciary committees on proposed legislation affecting the courts. They also address civic groups, bar associations, legislative commissions and court reform groups concerning court administration and the structure and operation of Illinois' unified court system. The educational responsibilities of the office additionally include answering telephone and mail inquiries from the general public about the court system.

Citizens, judges, lawyers, court administrators from other states, and persons from foreign nations visit the Administrative Office and the Illinois courts. An important task of the Administrative Office is to explain the Illinois court system to them and arrange visits to courthouses and with judges.

The work of the Administrative Office has been greatly expanded in the last decade with the addition of two new departments. In 1978, a Probation Division was created to establish standards and provide salary subsidies for probation officers. The Supreme Court approved the addition of Judicial Management Information Services to the Administrative Office in late 1980. This staff plans and coordinates the installation of automated recordkeeping systems throughout the court system. The activities of these branches are detailed further in this chapter.

Organization & Management Study

The Illinois Supreme Court has contracted with the National Center for State Courts to perform an organization and management study to aid the Supreme Court and the Administrative Director in structuring the future role of the Administrative Office. The study will focus on the current activities of the Administrative Office, the functional organization of the office, developing and defining a clear role for the office in the Illinois court system and what organizational changes may be needed to implement this role. The study was initiated in October 1986 with a planned completion date in late Spring, 1987.

Personnel

The Administrative Office maintains two locations — the headquarters in Springfield and a second office in Chicago. During 1986, the Administrative Office staff totaled 107. These positions were allocated as follows:

One Director (vacant) One Deputy Director (acting director) **Five Assistant Directors** Two Staff Attorneys One Supervisor of Accounting Three Assistant Supervisors Two Administrative Assistants **One Account Executive** Fourteen Accountants **Ten Secretaries Two Statisticians** One File Clerk One Messenger Thirty-eight JMIS Specialists One Supervisor of Probation Twenty-four Probation Division Personnel

The Administrative Office, with the approval of the Supreme Court, contracted with Arthur Young and Company to conduct a personnel study for many of the non-judicial employees in the Judicial Branch. Arthur Young and Company is to provide assistance in the development of a salary administration program, performance appraisal system and a personnel policy manual. The study commenced in August 1986 and should be completed early in 1987.

Accounting Division

Over two decades have elapsed since the unified court system of Illinois, under the Judicial Article of 1962, became effective January 1, 1964. Enactment of the Article provided the potential for centralizing the fiscal management of the judiciary within the Administrative Office, and specifically in the Accounting Division. Prior to 1964, certain judicial costs were paid either by the County or the Auditor of Public Accounts, State of Illinois. As the unification of the judicial system occurred, fiscal management became centralized within the Accounting Division. The charts which follow graphically demonstrate how a statewide fiscal management system gradually replaced the independent and uncoordinated methods of payment.

SUPREME COURT

Fiscal Year	Supreme Court Expenditures	Supreme Court Clerk's Expend.	Supreme Court Research's Expend.
1964 ¹			
1965	752,161.		
1966 ¹			
1967	864,905.		
1968 ¹			
1969	1,029,221.		
1970	652,144.		
1971	696,418. ²		
1972	873,750.		
1973	996,899.		
1974	1,026,765.		
1975	1,136,733.	201,599. ³	
1976	1,399,888.	206,870.	
1977	1,512,528.	220,437.	
1978	1,625,387.	246,681.	
1979	1,910,933.	250,538.	
1980	2,029,322.	267,937.	
1981	2,191,376.	285,366.	63,305.4
1982	2,557,692.	295,057.	93,914.
1983	2,480,703.	352,882.	128,624.
1984	2,282,005.	365,127.	147,333.
1985	2,881,038.	368,383.	157,467.
1986	2,938,135.	395,657.	199,816.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Includes \$12,159 special bill for Reporter of Decisions.

³Operational costs of the Clerk's Office were assumed by the Accounting Division in 1975.

⁴Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1981.

Fiscal Year	Administrative Office Expenditures	Judicial Management	Judicial Conference
1964 ¹			
1965	287,273.		87,715. ¹
1966			
1967	432,165.		134,080.
1968			
1969	484,693.		146,495.
1970	354,156.		127,903.
1971	399,549.		117,188.
1972	447,501.		92,324.
1973	453,018.		59,974.
1974	510,092.		112,233.
1975	534,045.		159,172.
1976	584,890.		170,608.
1977	625,536.		200,215.
1978	687,024.		189,147.
1979	712,448.		224,754.
1980	802,694.		241,215.
1981	926,726.		277,708.
1982	926,029.	493,646.2	328,730.
1983	1,070,888.	806,050.	323,412.
1984	1,154,801.	1,461,062.	340,304.
1985	1,283,349.	1,785,898.	372,896.
1986	1,452,244.	2,915,852.	401,875.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Judicial Management Information System established July 1, 1982.

Miscellaneous Accounts

Fiscal Year	Travel-Cir. Judges Expenditures	Travel — Shorthand Reporters	Transcription Fees
1964 ¹		1	1
1965	172,150.	61,623.	207,471.
1966			
1967	247,836.	80,206.	235,396.
1968			
1969	366,001.	90,390.	349,406.
1970	179,815.	51,193.	223,474.
1971	214,979.	55,746.	278,634.
1972	204,390.	50,113.	357,114.
1973	215,465.	53,311.	399,889.
1974	235,418.	55,828.	507,106.
1975	274,981.	64,935.	574,964.
1976	310,759.	79,953.	812,882.
1977	278,528.	73,630.	780,674.
1978	235,034.	78,609.	1,067,552.
1979	322,023.	72,373.	1,066,562.
1980	454,200.	92,640.	1,286,069.
1981	448,505.	94,040.	1,424,900.
1982	488,923.	114,003.	1,496,414.
1983	494,390.	113,889.	1,561,916.
1984	464,514.	110,183.	1,456,692.
1985	528,779.	112,509.	1,308,767.
1986	518,354.	115,641.	1,391,584.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

Fiscal Year	Illinois Courts Commission	Impartial Medical	III. Jury (Pattern) Instruction
1964	1	1	1 .
1965	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1
1969	1	7,722. ³	1
1970	1	4,355.	3,244.4
1971	5,698. ²	4,767.	1,193.
1972	873.	6,181.	151.
1973	2,841.	15,791.	-0-
1974	8,981.	14,477.	1,992.
1975	6,073.	19,966.	3,960.
1976	3,004.	18,140.	9,527.
1977	7,171.	8,012.	3,502.
1978	1,139.	11,619.	4,222.
1979	1,102.	9,022.	9,288.
1980	11,951.	9,662.	6,304.
1981	5,896.	9,608.	9,439.
1982	2,980.	6,106.	8,405.
1983	1,898.	6,125.	6,715.
1984	5,224.	5,089.	7,510.
1985	2,965.	4,694.	13,127.
1986	-0-	2,178.	13,167.

¹Services not established during this period.

²Illinois Courts Commission created by Constitutional Amendment effective July 1, 1971.

³Impartial Medical legislation effective July 1, 1969.

⁴III. Jury Instruction appropriation legislated July 1, 1970.

Fiscal Year	Probation-Operation Expenditures	Probation Training	Probation Subsidy	Probation Grants/Aid	Probation D.U.I.
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	. 1	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	· 1	1	1
1974	1	1	1	1	1
1975	1	1	1	1	1
1976	1	1	1	1	1
1977	1	1	1	1	1
1978	1	1	1	1	1
1979	91,689.2	48,838.2	3,115,735. ²	1	4
1980	155,630.	266,374.	6,030,091.	1	4
1981	178,199.	309,334.	6,131,901.	1	4
1982	204,622.	401,528.	6,266,929.	1	4
1983	199,129.	422,098.	6,394,400.	1	4
1984	373,572.	458,333.	6,691,643.	1,231,091. ³	4
1985	582,313.	469,333.	7,241,818.	7,177,197.	4
1986	672,132.	479,569.	9,247,377.	8,064,450.	99,528.4

¹Probation Division was not under the auspices of the Supreme Court.

²Probation Operation expenditures, training and subsidy legislation became effective July 1, 1979.

³Legislation to provide Probation Grants-in-Aid became effective July 1, 1984.

⁴Legislation to provide Probation D.U.I. became effective FY 86.

Fiscal Year	Out-of-State Education Programs	Court Reporters Recruitment	Appellate Co-Ordinator	Circ. Clerk Training	Circ. Clk. Stipend Additional Dutie
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1.	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	. 1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	. 1	1	1	1
1974	1	1	. 1	1	1
1975	1	2,626.3	1	1	1
1976	1	10,000.	1	1	1
1977	1	9,994.	1	1	1
1978	1	19,146.	1	1	1
1979	1	23,859.	1	1	1
1980	1	24,608.	1	1	1
1981	1	25,483.	1	1	1
1982	10,548. ²	31,067.	62,577.4	1	1
1983	17,598.	34,979.	66,137.	1	1
1984	18,463.	32,564.	10,607.	1	1
1985	23,371.	34,008.	4	36,086. ⁵	176,750. ⁵
1986	16,795.	35,342.	4	5,700.	353,500.

¹Services not established during this period. ²Appropriations authorized July 1, 1982 for Out-of-State Education Programs. ³Court Reporters' Recruitment inaugurated July 1, 1975. ⁴Appellate Co-ordinator appointed by Supreme Court July 1, 1982 on a pilot basis. Program discontinued in 1984. ⁵Circuit Clerk Training & Circuit Clerk Stipend for Additional Duties established in 1985.

Appellate Court - First District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	836,447.1		
1968			
1969	957,233.		
1970	512,296.		
1971	608,368.		
1972	623,233.		
1973	741,860.		
1974	637,771.		
1975	941,718.	358,860.2	158,425. ²
1976	1,222,205.	397,629.	197,965.
1977	1,212,142.	386,667.	180,229.
1978	1,234,358.	413,921.	210,516.
1979	1,298,080.	417,076.	208,770.
1980	1,487,668.	413,013.	226,541.
1981	1,623,868.	441,441.	275,689.
1982	1,723,072.	430,694.	312,482.
1983	1,768,842.	443,970.	353,195.
1984	1,857,066.	468,109.	375,884.
1985	1,942,327.	468,708.	434,115.
1986	2,189,087.	546,976.	468,674.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's and Research's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

Appellate Court - Second District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	86,458.1		
1968			
1969	106,873.		
1970	64,861.		
1971	66,044.		
1972	79,024.		
1973	82,493.		
1974	88,218.		
1975	124,119.	268,324.2	
1976	152,150.	287,506.	30,461. ³
1977	141,934.	309,874.	37,451.
1978	173,874.	317,982.	41,989.
1979	175,119.	375,267.	79,248.
1980	205,755.	246,542.	159,170.
1981	231,540.	288,564.	191,397.
1982	238,547.	303,559.	202,916.
1983	245,567.	357,693.	221,522.
1984	281,720.	419,705.	227,569.
1985	315,337.	365,170.	236,451.
1986	342,375.	388,354.	249,991.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1976.

Appellate Court – Third District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	84,170. ¹		
1968			
1969	80,129.		
1970	46,812.		
1971	43,940.		
1972	57,293.		
1973	61,278.		
1974	71,525.		
1975	84,818.	131,607. ²	
1976	101,180.	151,068.	
1977	109,943.	138,521.	
1978	121,066.	175,536.	
1979	124,554.	177,782.	23,741.3
1980	141,323.	180,585.	49,032.
1981	176,123.	236,182.	94,800.
1982	201,229.	226,183.	119,265.
1983	209,796.	232,874.	123,418.
1984	187,206.	241,589.	137,248.
1985	221,530.	320,972.	159,792.
1986	255,445.	322,063.	168,402.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1979.

Appellate Court - Fourth District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	47,627.1		
1968			
1969	53,162.		
1970	29,200.		
1971	31,660.		
1972	57,794.		
1973	44,809.		
1974	48,326.		
1975	77,791.	136,401. ²	
1976	105,672.	145,666.	
1977	103,684.	144,683.	50,236. ³
1978	142,588.	137,982.	66,820.
1979	125,219.	144,914.	60,779.
1980	156,079.	145,973.	117,968.
1981	163,272.	157,872.	139,366.
1982	179,046.	177,658.	155,025.
1983	192,402.	191,016.	172,758.
1984	210,107.	193,966.	194,514.
1985	220,297.	204,962.	203,409.
1986	220,372.	213,049.	170,573.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

Appellate Court - Fifth District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	91,669.1		
1968			
1969	83,452.		
1970	47,317.		
1971	46,679.		
1972	56,406.		
1973	57,783.		
1974	59,205.		
1975	79,174.	167,905. ²	
1976	97,303.	175,418.	
1977	89,065.	162,764.	43,061. ³
1978	103,518.	201,095.	52,505.
1979	132,597.	191,484.	75,305.
1980	142,943.	184,100.	95,330.
1981	176,032.	194,218.	105,756.
1982	168,807.	297,047.	114,365.
1983	182,085.	275,642.	108,122.
1984	190,866.	309,909.	130,651.
1985	197,398.	285,914.	145,110.
1986	212,300.	300,352.	155,525.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. ²Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. ³Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

JUDICIAL PAYROLL

Fiscal Year	Supreme Ct. Judges	Supreme Ct. Clerk	Appellate Judges	Clerk – 1st District	Clerk — Other 4 Dist.	Circuit Judges (C)	Circuit Judges (A)	Court Reporters	Assoc. Judges (M)	Ret. Judges Recalled	Admin. Secretaries	Law Clerks — Appl. Judges	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (Cook)	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (DuPage)	Cir. Judge Assigned
1964									_						
1965	315,000.	15,000.	340,322.	26,250.	75,766.	4,166,746.	4,780,534.		3,823,152.		114,750.	258,008.			
1966									_						
1967	465,286.	20,000.	1,193,896.	35,000.	120,000.	5,523,274.	6,645,522.	Reg. 3,195,329.	4,557,332.	2,746.	186,462.	364,151.			
968								P.D. Reg.	-						
1969	525,000.	24,512.	1,671,909.	36,458.	126,386.	6,704,912.	7,294,813.	P.D. 69,261. Reg. 4,338,498.	6,939,236.	31,765.	254,535.	426,806.			3,125.
1970	266,088.	20,000.	897,020.	20,000.	72,000.	3,794,794.	4,610,756.	P.D. 76,160. Reg. 2,854,358.	4,365,147.	46,323.	128,028.	310,250.			16,250.
1971	280,000.	20,000.	922,024.	20,000.	72,000.	3,873,121.	4,643,743.	P.D. .98,443. Reg. 3,245,117.	4,329,842.	68,942.	126,101.	345,806.	27,025.		25,000.
1972	280,000.	20,000.	962,841.	20,000.	72,000.	4,053,720.	5,285,251.	P.D. 55,327. Reg. 3,701,794.	4,499,272.	92,485.	136,564.	727,281.	34,333.		36,288.
1973	287,291.	22,083.	1,058,728.	21,250.	76,315.	4,190,919.	5,406,694.	P.D. 72,550. Reg. 4,074,062.	5,971,579.	62,162.	145,077.	879,366.	45,463.		45,189.
1974	297,499.	25,000.	1,199,999.	23,000.	84,000.	4,433,739.	5,720,602.	P.D. 106,547. Reg. 4,624,713.	6,064,487.	32,429.	148,826.	1,028,824.	46,201.		55,000.
1975	297,499.	25,000.	1,293,635.	25,000.	92,000.	4,405,687.	5,690,143.	P.D. 109,999. Reg. 5,217,363.	6,204,587.	106,650.	202,266.	1,092,311.	48,803.		45,833.
1976	348,188.	27,500.	1,507,190.	27,000.	100,000.	5,629,494.	7,478,888.	P.D. 124,999. Reg. 5,637,411.	9,328,098.	91,687.	207,579.	1,277,890.	43,816.		7,505.
1977	349,999.	27,500.	1,486,215.	27,000.	100,000.	5,749,217.	7,761,743.	P.D. 159,983. Reg. 6,177,448.	9,539,429.	92,563.	193,935.	1,275,823.	44,140.		10,915.
1978	344,293.	27,500.	1,481,761.	27,000.	100,000.	6,270,974.	8,047,685.	P.D. 43,284. Reg. 7,929,516.	9,858,032.	81,875.	276,972.	1,443,714.	110,211.	9,933.	15,937.
1979	382,666.	29,958.	1,622,159.	29,458.	109,500.	7,455,716.	9,289,410.	P.D. 65,908. Reg. 8,745,495.	11,364,089.	148,537.	308,707.	1,471,972.	154,436.	14,900.	16,280.
1980	405,999.	30,950.	1,643,218.	30,408.	112,667.	8,464,932.	10,373,391.	P.D. 172,987. Reg. 9,790,950.	12,767,997.	319,432.	324,998.	1,595,704.	159,652.	18,500.	19,573.
981	405,999.	32,792.	1,677,528.	32,192.	128,766.	8,454,295.	10,315,686.	P.D. 228,890. Reg. 11,060,781.	12,791,881.	581,406.	349,999.	1,761,244.	241,069.	20,437.	17,889.
1982	405,999.	33,630.	1,748,997.	34,008.	136,033.	8,503,691.	10,465,200.	P.D. 234,111. Reg. 11,787,083.	13,362,527.	590,736.	335,821.	1,924,703.	269,773.	22,481.	13,432.
983	465,499.	35,500.	2,064,707.	35,000.	140,000.	9,659,598.	12,039,148.	P.D. 228,552. Reg. 13,723,449.	16,414,030.	706,499.	350,300.	2,085,302.	292,070.	24,279.	18,375.
1984	525,000.	35,500.	2,369,346.	35,000.	140,000.	11,060,963.	13,547,210.	P.D. 252,937. Reg. 15,658,328.	19,876,852.	803,910.	360,000.	2,159,097.	400,834.	30,000.	22,768.
1985	525,000.	50,000.	2,259,459.	45,000.	180,000.	10,963,752.	13,508,278.	P.D. 264,739. Reg. 17,140,677.	20,002,002.	989,677.	360,000.	2,159,972.	466,640.	33,000.	26,768.
1986	595,000.	50,000.	2,301,960.	45,000.	180,000.	12,343,797.	14,173,797.	P.D. 305,054. Reg. 18,404,717.	23,381,041.	1,191,249.	453,666.	2,287,903.	457,107.	30,250.	62,468.

¹During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

²Establishment of Court Reporters' office - Cook County effective July 1, 1971.

³Establishment of Court Reporters' office - DuPage County effective July 1, 1978.

53 ⁴Circuit Judges Assigned to Appellate Judgeships legislation effective July 1, 1969.

Charts

The preceding charts are intended to provide selective fiscal data on actual expenditures of the respective departments which are under the auspices of the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois. The charts reflect a period from January 1, 1964 through June 30, 1986.

PROBATION DIVISION

1986 was highlighted by activity to implement Public Act 84-823 (House Bill 777). With this enactment, Illinois took a further major step forward in providing a comprehensive and uniform system of probation and court services in the various circuits. This statute represents a state and local partnership and promotes the development of a coordinated community based justice system.

The major elements of the statute provided 100% reimbursement for salaries of chief managing officers, 100% reimbursement for salaries and travel of new probation officer and supervisor positions approved for "Basic Services", and \$1000 reimbursement per month for salaries of remaining Basic Services positions and those approved by the Administrative Office for "New or Expanded Services".

This reimbursement to counties was contingent upon several factors including, all probation staff receiving a minimum salary of \$17,000 and each department submitting an annual probation plan for approval and developing an approved compensation schedule.

Also included in P.A. 84-823 was the provision for the establishment of Individualized Services and Programs which provided reimbursement to counties for the purchase of services for adult and juvenile offenders. The appropriation for this provision was for one month funding only (June, 1987), and at the time of this publication, the program was not operational.

Compensation Plan/Performance Appraisal

One of the statutory conditions that must be met prior to the Supreme Court verifying reimbursement to the counties is that each department must have an established compensation schedule approved by the Supreme Court. Pursuant to statutory authority, the Probation Division developed standards for the approval of employee compensation schedules for probation and court services departments.

At the beginning of this year very few departments in Illinois had compensation schedules. The establishment of these schedules consistent with the standards required significant time from the Division's management and field staff. Intense field work was conducted to provide technical assistance to the Chief Circuit Judges, the directors of court services and county officials. By the end of this year over 90% of the departments had approved compensation schedules. Most of the departments that did not have approved compensation schedules are involved in labor negotiations which seriously complicate the development of compensation plans.

A required element in each department's compensation schedule is performance increments. Therefore, a necessary component of the approved compensation plan is a performance appraisal system. Most departments prior to this year had not utilized or implemented performance evaluation systems for performance pay. Generally, the systems that were developed during this year did not meet the guidelines established by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. As a result the Probation Division in conjunction with training staff under the Sangamon State University contract began working on a model criteria-based performance appraisal system that would be applicable to all probation and court services departments in Illinois.

DUI Program

The General Assembly appropriated \$500,000.00 to permit the Probation Division to allocate and reimburse 40 positions statewide to provide specialized and increased supervision of DUI offenders on probation. The Division designed a program and allocated positions consistent with an analysis of the DUI volume in various jurisdictions in the State. At the end of 1986, DUI officers were supervising 932 DUI cases in twelve departments.

In an effort to monitor and respond to the impact of the new DUI. law (P.A. 84-1394), the Division established a DUI Liaison Program. One individual was identified in each probation department and trained in DUI issues. The Probation Division cooperated with representatives of the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, the Secretary of State's Office and local service agencies in training DUI liaison officers.

At the request of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges, the Probation Division drafted a set of suggested circuit court rules for the administration of DUI cases. These proposed rules were developed in consultation with the Illinois Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse and DUI officers from various probation departments. The draft rules were distributed to the Chief Circuit Judges and received a consensus approval for their use by local circuit courts in October.

Individualized Services and Programs

The Probation Division initiated activities in order to meet the requirements of III. Rev. Stat. Ch. 38, par. 204-8. Much of the activity was dedicated to the development of the standards and methodology necessary to implement a state-wide contractual service program consistent with the establishing statute, the Illinois Purchasing Act (and other relevant statutes) and accepted contract management practices.

By the end of the year, the Probation Division had accomplished a number of key objectives in the implementation of this program:

- 1. The first state-wide probation population needs assessment was performed (included four regional training events).
- 2. Policies and procedures were established for all phases of operations.
- 3. The preliminary requests for funds represented 86% of available funds.

- 4. Five regional training events were delivered on contract management.
- 5. Linkages were established with most Executive Branch State Agencies for coordination and technical assistance.

The legislature reduced funding for this program by pushing back the starting date from April 1, 1987 to June 1, 1987, resulting in one month's funding for FY' 87.

Pretrial Services Legislation

In April 1986, Representative John Cullerton introduced legislation to establish pretrial services in each circuit court in Illinois. This bill (H.B. 3573) was amendatorily vetoed by Governor Thompson September 19, 1986. The General Assembly voted to accept the amended bill December 2, 1986 and Governor Thompson certified the bill January 5, 1987, effective July 1, 1987.

P.A. 84-1449 (H.B. 3573) requires the establishment of pretrial services in each circuit court pursuant to forms and policies adopted by the Supreme Court. All pretrial personnel would be subject to the hiring and training requirements established by the Supreme Court for probation officers. The Supreme Court would be responsible for reimbursing counties for 100% of all approved costs for the operation of pretrial services.

The Probation Division prepared a fiscal note for House Bill 3573 projecting an annual cost of approximately \$10,000,000.00 for FY' 87. Senate Bill 1808 included a request for \$3,200,000 for the funding of pretrial services starting April 1, 1987. This requested appropriation was vetoed by Governor Thompson July 14, 1986.

Fiscal

The budgeting process requires the Division to project funding for reimbursement to 87 probation and court services departments based upon different funding levels for programs and services, varying county fiscal years, and 35 separate compensation schedules. The Division's FY' 86 appropriation amounted to \$9,875,202 for 392 Grants-in-Aid, \$5,000,000 for 40 DUI, and \$9,750,000 for 1300 Salary Subsidies positions. The FY' 87 appropriation amounted to \$11,199,300 for 442 Grants-in-Aid, \$1,250,000 for 92 DUI, and \$15,600,000 for 1,333 Salary Subsidies positions. Total State reimbursement for 1986 was \$17,408,417. In addition to budgeting for projected salary reimbursements, the Division requests funds for required staff training, individualized services and programs, research and evaluation, and operating expenses.

Field audits of records of the county clerk, treasurer, and probation departments were conducted. The audits documented and verified the accuracy of reimbursements to counties for approved expenditures of probation services.

Allocation of Positions

Effective April 1, 1986, 50 additional positions were allocated statewide; 25 in Cook County, and 25 in the balance of the State.

Of the positions allocated outside Cook County, half were to juvenile basic services and half to audit basic services. The allocations were based on an analysis of the workload in each department's supervisory and investigation responsibilities. For the juvenile positions, priority was given to home detention and intake programs operated under juvenile justice grant funding, which in some departments terminated on April 1, 1986. These programs were only continued with State reimbursement if the departments demonstrated a sufficient volume to justify the programs and agreed to modify the programs to comply with Administrative Office program guidelines.

Increased appropriations in state FY' 87 enabled the Probation Division to allocate additional positions effective December 1, 1986. A total of 22 workload positions (100% salary reimbursement) and 31 subsidy positions (\$1,000 per month salary reimbursement) were allocated statewide. Allocations were based upon documented need for the positions according to the Probation Division's workload standards and program priorities.

Training

The Probation Division is responsible for coordinating the training of over 1,700 probation staff within the State of Illinois. During 1986, the majority of training was conducted via two contractual agreements within a total training budget of \$686,000.

A new initiative occurred in Cook County when the responsibilities for training were moved from the Cook County Department of Personnel to the Chief Judge's Office effective July 1, 1986. This reorganization has resulted in improved efficiency as well as directing the expenditure of a larger percentage of the total budget of \$140,100 to actual training costs.

Sangamon State University, through the Center for Legal Studies, provided training for the balance of state probation staff within a budget of \$414,000. Highlights of training this year included the development and implementation of a regionalized training concept. Additionally, expanded detention training was offered on site at 10 detention centers thus allowing for individualization in the delivery of training.

Assistance in implementing new programs at the circuit level was provided, statewide, by the Probation Division via specific training in the areas of Individualized Services & Programs and the Adult Classification System.

Development of specialized training programs was an additional area of concentration for the Probation Division. A variety of workshops was held during 1986 which provided training in areas related to the role and function of Chief Managing Officers (CMO's). Other speciality workshops were designed and delivered for probation staff who are assigned the role of DUI liaison, or as DUI officers, Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) officers, or Administration Assistants.

Adult Probation Classification System

The Probation Division completed a comprehensive review and revision of the policies and procedures for the Illinois Adult Probation Classification System. In September, the revised procedural manual was published and subsequently all adult probation officers, supervisors, and managers were trained. Statewide training was conducted by the Probation Division through a series of orientation sessions.

Intensive Probation Supervision

Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) realized full program capacity in most of the existing adult units in the State during 1986. By the end of 1986, Intensive Probation Supervision programs were in operation in 12 Illinois jurisdictions.

Early during 1986, two problem areas within the program were identified, those being intensive probation caseloads being below the capacities of the programs and violation rates being too high. In the jurisdiction where it was determined an insufficient pool of appropriate IPS cases existed, the program capacity expectation was reduced with corresponding program unit staffing reductions. Some of the programs were enlarged in scope and the multi-county program of the First Circuit was changed to three individual one-county, one-officer operations with program capacities of 10 probationers per program.

At the end of 1985, 396 offenders had been sentenced to adult IPS in Illinois. By the end of 1986, there were an additional 678 probationers sentenced to IPS. The statewide capacity utilization rate was approximately 90% by the end of 1986.

The evaluation of Intensive Probation Supervision by the University of Illinois — Chicago was initially funded during 1985. The preliminary findings of that evaluation effort were favorable regarding the IPS program in Illinois. However, second year funding was not provided to the University. Therefore, the Probation Division will proceed with a limited internal evaluative effort during 1987.

A juvenile IPS program has been on-going in Cook County. By the end of 1986, 201 serious juvenile offenders had been placed in the Cook County program. The program has a capacity of 100 juvenile offenders.

A second year of specialized training for IPS officers was provided by Probation Division staff based on the training needs identified by the IPS programs.

Interstate Compact

The Probation Division is responsible for the administration of the Interstate Compact for adult and juvenile probationers. (III. Rev. Stat., Ch. 38, Par. 103-3-11 et. seq.) During calendar 1986 the Division processed transfer into Illinois of 315 juveniles and 1,636 adults; transfer to other state of 134 juveniles and 1,842 adults, and miscellaneous process transactions totalling 1,436 for juveniles and 15,479 for adults. This total of 20,842 Interstate Compact covenants represents a 19% increase over the 1985 workload.

Statistics

There were 1,470 professional probation staff and 531 clerical personnel employed in Illinois probation departments at the beginning of calendar year 1986. Illinois juvenile detention, excluding Cook County, employed 244 professional staff and 40 support staff.

Probation and court services budgets, excluding juvenile detention and child care totaled \$49,400,482 for county fiscal year 1985-86. Juvenile detention budgets, excluding Cook County, for the same period totaled \$7,481,841. Total statewide child care budgets were \$3,832,158. The grand total of probation, child care and detention budgets for county FY' 85-86 was \$60,714,481.

Illinois probation officers completed 15,031 adult presentence investigations and 9,816 other investigations during 1986. In addition, 8,600 juvenile social history investigations were completed along with 8,272 other juvenile investigations.

The adult probation caseload in Illinois totaled 77,507 cases on December 31, 1986. The December 1986 caseload was comprised of 29,499 felons, 12,142 misdemeanants, 11,968 DUI cases, and 2,712 traffic cases as well as 226 supervised pretrial release cases. In the twelve counties with Intensive Probation Supervision programs, the caseload at year-end totaled 468. The balance of 20,960 cases are administrative.

The juvenile caseload totaled 27,799 on December 31, 1986. This included 13,012 administrative cases. The bulk of these administrative cases are dependent/neglect wards of the Department of Child and Family Services. The status with that department is monitored by probation officers on an administrative rather than an active basis.

Adult probation violation statistics indicated that 11,537 violations were reported by probation departments to state's attorneys through 1986. Of these, 6,087 were technical violations and 5,450 were new offense violations. A finding of probation violations by the court occurred in 3,987 cases. Juvenile probation violation was entered in 2,917 cases. All probation violations reported exclude Cook County.

Illinois probation and court services departments reported restitution collections of \$4,368,727, while juvenile collections totaled \$340,495. Illinois courts ordered restitution payments on 11,038 adult offenders and 1,950 juvenile offenders. Through 1986, public service employment was ordered as a condition of probation or supervision for 19,000 offenders. 16,622 of these offenders were adult and 2,378 were juvenile. During calendar year 1986, 940,362 hours of public service were completed in Illinois. Of these hours, 874,553 were completed by adult offenders and 65,809 were completed by juvenile offenders.

An Annual Statistics Report was published by the Probation Division for 1985.

Hiring and Promotion Procedures for Probation and Court Services Personnel

The Probation Division receives over 200 applications per month for employment and promotion of probation/court service personnel. These applications are reviewed for qualifications and employment eligibility. An estimated 1,200 names were eligible for employment at the end of 1986.

The Division Staff drafted amendments to the minimum hiring and promotional standards which have been in effect since January of 1979. With the increase in the minimum salary for probation officers to \$17,000 annually, it was appropriate to move toward more professional minimum requirements for entry into the field as well as for promotions. The Division also developed procedures which recognized state licenses for specialized professional groups such as attorneys, psychiatrists, and psychologists, who are on the staff of certain large court services departments.

Special Projects of the Probation Division

In May 1986, staff completed, at the request of the Chief Justice, an in-depth study of sentencing guidelines entitled Sentencing Guidelines As A Response to Sentencing Reform: A Study And Some Observations. The study looked at the sentencing guidelines development and implementation efforts in five states and reviewed the federal Sentencing Guidelines Commission's efforts to date. The study concentrated on the importance of the political process inherent in any sentencing reform effort and offered thirteen observations that should be considered by any jurisdiction contemplating the development and implementation of sentencing guidelines.

At the request of the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Probation Division assigned the Assistant Supervisor in the Division's Chicago office to provide consultation and technical assistance to the Chief Judge and the Acting Chief Probation Officer pending the appointment of a permanent Chief Probation Officer for the Cook County Adult Probation Department. The Supervisor of the Probation Division also provided direct consultation to the search committee in developing criteria for the recruitment and selection of a new Cook County Chief Probation Officer.

Development of Program Guidelines and Standards

Pursuant to statutory authority, the Division has developed guidelines and standards in the following areas: County Responsibility Standards (office space, equipment and supplies, support personnel, travel expenses), revised juvenile workload standards, and new juvenile intake and home detention standards. Work began on the development of standards for Public Service programs. The development of these standards involved input and review by local probation departments throughout the state.

Professional Probation Division Organizational Memberships

- American Correctional Association
- National Council on Crime and Delinquency
- American Probation and Parole Association
- National Association of Interstate Compact Administrators
- Illinois Correctional Association
- Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- National Association of Probation Executives

Public Information and Education

The staff of the Division are frequently called upon to address civic groups, legislative committees, professional associations, and public forums. Organizations addressed during 1986 include:

- Illinois Correctional Association
- Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- League of Women Voters of Illinois
- American Probation and Parole Association

POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS – PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN 1986

Circuit	County	(1) СМО	(2) WL	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION OFFICERS	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED POSITIONS
	Alexander			11 5	001		officers			
1st	Jackson	1 1	1 4	1		4		1		5
	Johnson	1	4	1		4		I		5
	Massac	1								
	Pulaski	1								
	Saline	1	2	1		3				3
	Union	1	1	1		5				5
	Williamson	1	4	1	1	4				4
						т				
2nd	Crawford	1	1							
	Wayne	1	2							
	Franklin	1	3			2				2
	Jefferson	1	1							
	White	1	3							
3rd	Bond	1								
	Madison	5	10	6	3	12	20	1		33
4th	Christian	1	1			1				1
	Clay	1	1							
	Clinton	1	2							
	Effingham	1	1			1				1
	Fayette	1	1							
	Jasper	1								
	Marion	1	3		1	1				1
	Montgomery	1	1							
	Shelby	1								
5th	Coles	1		and the second		5		1		6
501	Clark	1				5		1		0
	Edgar					1				1
	Vermillion	1 1	6		1	9		1		10
6th	Champaign	3	3	3		18	9	1		28
	DeWitt	1				1				1
	Douglas	1				1				1
	Macon	1	4	3		6		1		7
	Moultrie	1								
	Piatt	1				1				1
7th	Greene	1								
	Jersey	1								
	Macoupin	1	2		1	2				2
	Morgan	1	1			3		1		4
	Sangamon Adult	1	3		2	8		1		9
	Sangamon Juvenile	4				7	23	1		31
8th	Adams	2	1			8	8	1		17
	Cass	1	1			1		-		1
	Mason	1				1				1
	Menard	1				-				-
	Pike	1	1							
	Schuyler	1								

KEY

CMO – CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL - ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES

IPS - INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL. POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF \$12,000 ANNUALLY. COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS – PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN 1986 (Continued)

Circuit	County	(1) Смо	(2) WL	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION OFFICERS	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED POSITIONS
9th	Fulton	1				1				1
	Hancock	1								
	Knox	2				2	10	1		13
	McDonough Juvenile	1				1				1
	Ninth Adult	1	6			4				5
	Warren	1				1				1
10th	Marshall	1								
	Peoria Juvenile	1				9				9
	Peoria Adult	1	5	3		13				13
	Peoria Detention	1	2				13			13
	Tazewell	1	2			10				10
11th	Ford	1								
	Livingston	. 1	1			3				3
	Logan	1	2			1				1
	McLean	1	4	3		11		1		12
	Woodford	1	1			1				1
12th	Will	1	7		1	9				9
13th	LaSalle	4	2		1	9	13			22
14th	Henry	1				7				7
	Mercer	1				3				3
	Rock Island	3	5		1	20		1		21
	Whiteside	1	1			7		1		8
15th	Carroll	1				1				1
	JoDaviess	1				1				1
	Lee	1	2			3				3
	Ogle	1	2			7				7
	Stephenson	1	2			7				7
16th	DeKalb/Kane/Kendall	6		2		47	22	2		71
17th	Boone	1				2				2
	Winnebago	5	6			27	13	1	2	43
18th	DuPage	7	9		4	56	21			77
19th	Lake	7	7	6	3	41	18			59
	McHenry	3	2		1	21		1	1	23
20th	St. Clair	4	8	3	1	16	17			34
21st	Iroquois	1				1				1
	Kankakee	1	5			4		1		5
Cook	Cook Adult	10	9	12		257				257
Cook	Cook Juvenile	23		12		291				291
Cook	Cook Social Service	7	11		14	96		1		97
Cook	Cook-Circuit Court	1								
	STATEWIDE TOTALS	165	165	56	35	1,091	187	21	3	1,302

KEY

CMO - CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL - ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES IPS - INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL. POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF \$12,000 ANNUALLY. COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

Processing more cases more efficiently has become an absolute necessity in the Illinois court system. Although the court system is constantly improving its case processing procedures and the level of judicial effort, new solutions to case recordkeeping and management need to be developed. In 1975, under the direction of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Director, the court system began to detail realistic plans for the future management and automation of court records.

Experiences from other states and within Illinois have indicated that the best way to approach court automation is to allow the people who will use the system - judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators and agencies receiving information from the courts - and the people who will finance the system — legislators and county board members — to design the system through their individual input regarding ongoing activities, needs and problems. Comprehensive input of this nature can be translated into the technological specifications required for equipment procurement, system design and management recommendations for operational procedures. The Supreme Court adopted this participatory format as the foundation for building a judicial management information system in Illinois by, in 1978, creating a Judicial Management Advisory Committee to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic management and automation approach.

In February 1980, after almost five years of studying automated systems in Illinois and other states, the Administrative Office supplemented the input provided by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee regarding the development of a state judicial information system plan by approving the contractual retainer of Arthur Young & Company to perform a Judicial Management Information System Study.

The Judicial Management Information System Study again followed a participatory format. In the course of the study, Arthur Young & Company interviewed 1,500 persons during visits to all of the twenty-one Judicial Circuits, the five Appellate Districts, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, the Supreme Court of Illinois and related state agencies. Reviewed by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee at every stage of development, the product of this statewide collaboration and subsequent distillation of several alternative approaches was the Judicial Management Information System Plan.

The activities proposed in the Judicial Management Information System Plan were presented to the Supreme Court by the Administrative Director in the form of a four-year planning and implementation schedule which included equipment procurement, software development, studies and staff expansion. The plan included the recommendation that a unified Judicial Management Information System should begin with the Supreme and Appellate Courts, with subsequent implementation of a similar network at the circuit court level. In December 1980, the Supreme Court approved the development of an information services component of the Administrative Office.

Judicial Management Information Services

Between January and March 1981, five people were hired one management analyst, three data processing specialists and one secretary/trainer — to assist the project director and management analyst already on the staff of the Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs. Another secretary/trainer was hired in May. Under the supervision of the Project Director, the staff was split into two teams.

The technical team, based in Springfield, assisted in developing a Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP, issued on February 17, 1981, specified for vendors the scope of the Appellate Information System. Installation of prototype case recordkeeping and management systems in the First and Fourth Appellate Court Districts was specified as the first stage for system development. Case management was defined as including docket information on case records and events, operational lists and notices, management reports and statistics, financial accounts and administrative reports and transmittals.

Interested vendors were informed that a software package (PROMIS) developed by the Institute for Law and Social Research (INSLAW) had been selected to accomplish the case recordkeeping and management function. Vendors also were informed that the case recordkeeping and management system, after being tested in the First and Fourth Districts, would be expanded to the remaining three appellate districts. Additional functions were enumerated as possibilities for system development, including word processing, issues indexing, electronic mail, photocomposition, Illinois legal research and national legal research.

By March 19, 1981, participating vendors submitted proposals for addressing the various functions. The technical team, in conjunction with a technical review committee, evaluated each proposal and submitted findings to an Appellate Review and Evaluation Committee. On April 2, 1981, the Supreme Court Committee announced that, contingent upon successful contract negotiations, the award had been made to International Business Machines (IBM).

Contract negotiations were conducted during the months of April and May, resulting in a signed master contract on June 15, 1981. The IBM hardware that would support operations in the Fourth District was installed in Springfield at 840 South Spring Street on July 30. Less than two weeks later, the IBM hardware for the First District was installed in the Daley Center in Chicago.

Actual system implementation has resulted in the anticipated expansion of equipment and staff. By the end of 1985, Judicial Management Information Services maintained thirty-eight staff positions. The court network contains three computers (one operated remotely) located in two separate processing centers. The statewide communication network supports terminal-toterminal, terminal-to-computer, and computer-to-computer traffic involving four hundred eighty-four terminals, printers, word processors and personal computers.

Appellate Information System

During the computer selection and installation period, the management team, based in Chicago, had been given the task of identifying Appellate Court procedures, documents and information requirements, specifically in the areas of records processing and maintenance, operational and statistical reports, and finance. While only operations in the First and Fourth Districts were to be automated in the first phase, the team had to verify that the proposed system for those two districts would be compatible with existing manual systems in the remaining districts. The verification was necessary to assure that, if approval were given, expansion of the projects into the other districts would be possible.

The study was divided into two parts. In the offices of the First and Fourth District Appellate Court Clerks, the team conducted an intensive review and documentation of procedures and paperflow. In the Second, Third and Fifth District offices, the team reviewed the procedures and paperflow to verify that projected expansion of the project was practicable. By June 30th, the team had spoken with virtually every employee in each of the five offices of the Appellate Court and had documented every event affecting a case from point of filing to disposition, except for the adjudicative process itself. By the conclusion of this exhaustive review, the team had confirmed that procedural variations among the districts were minor and, in no instance, did they present an obstacle in designing a single automated system for use by all the districts.

In September 1981, the management and technical teams jointly began the process of tailoring the PROMIS software package to conform with design specifications. An initial system was designed and, in December, representatives from all five districts of the Appellate Court met in Chicago to participate in a one-day system demonstration. Suggestions made during the demonstration subsequently were incorporated into system revisions.

Staff began training clerk personnel in the First and Fourth Districts during February 1982. The training expanded into the Second, Third and Fifth Districts in September 1982, November 1982 and February 1983, respectively. Training has been conducted on an individual basis, beginning with keyboard instruction and continuing with the entry and retrieval of docket information.

Since the automated system was designed to parallel the existing manual system, staff members had only to learn a new way of recording information rather than relearning their jobs. Within a short time of beginning training, staff members themselves were recommending minor design changes. These changes were added to the system, with further system changes to be held temporarily in suspension. In March 1982, clerk personnel from the First and Fourth Districts began entering assigned cases onto the operational system as part of their training and practice exercises. The Second District began the same process in November, followed by the Third District in December 1982, and the Fifth District in April 1983. Staff remained present in each office to assist in answering questions and to perform a quality review of information entered into the system.

The First and Fourth Districts now have an automated docket record for each 1982 case, and all districts have automated dockets for all cases filed after January 1, 1983. All districts maintained both manual and automated systems until such time as the Judges and Clerks were satisfied that the automated system functioned properly and that the people operating the system were comfortable with it. Following this test period, manual records gradually were retired until all case activities are posted solely on the automated system. The Fourth District discontinued creating new manual dockets beginning with the first Notice of Appeal filed in 1983. The Fifth District stopped creating new manual docket sheets in September 1983. In January 1984, the Third District stopped initiating new manual docket sheets and the First District followed suit in July 1984. By the end of 1983, all four downstate districts had backloaded all pending cases onto the automated system. The Fifth District, however, was the first district to reach (in January 1984) the critical stage wherein the records for all pending cases were maintained solely on the automated system. The Fourth District retired all remaining manual dockets in November 1985. By the end of 1987, the First, Second and Third Districts each had three cases still maintained on a manual docket.

Since 1982, Appellate Court staff have been submitting suggestions for system change. Some suggestions have been withdrawn and some proposals have been implemented. For instance, 161 requests for new or modified reports were completed during 1987. Recommendations requiring significant system change were deferred until such time as all districts had retired their manual dockets and operated in a completely automated environment. In preparation for the planned system revision, representatives from each district met together once in 1983 and once in 1984 to jointly review progress and proposed system alterations. During 1985 and 1986, five statewide and two work group meetings reviewed, as a foundation for redesign, case recordkeeping procedures, report and system documentation. During 1987, two statewide meetings (January and May) and four work group meetings considered the merits of alternate redesign proposals. By May, all five districts agreed in the selection of an approach which would require the use of a relational data base. Staff analyzed various alternatives and a recommendation was presented to the Supreme Court in November.

The successful use of word processing by the Supreme Court increased Appellate Court interest in having similar support. In 1986, the General Assembly funded office automation for the Appellate Court, and staff began the various tasks which needed completion prior to equipment installation. Site surveys of each office identified electrical and furniture needs and provided the foundation for bid specifications. Furniture bids were received by July 14, 1986. While downstate electrical work was completed by July 25, cabling and electrical work in the First District continued into September. In October, telecommunication lines for downstate offices were ordered.

After considerable research, personal computer equipment and software were selected on the basis of compatibility with both the computer equipment to which it would be linked and the 39 installed word processors to which it would communicate. The first personal computers arrived on July 7, and the last office installation was completed on August 13, 1986. A total of 133 personal computers was installed; the office of each justice received a minimum of two personal computers and two printers.

Office personnel required word processing training. Using a training manual developed in July, staff began instructing secretaries, law clerks and justices on August 11, 1986. By November 18, 145 individuals had received word processing training and electronic opinion preparation became a reality. Staff started a hot line service to respond to the inquiries which began to develop.

Supreme Court Information System

The implementation of the Supreme Court Information System began in September 1983, with the installation of standalone word processing equipment in the offices of each Supreme Court justice, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. Personnel in each office received individual training in the operation of the word processor. After the personnel became comfortable with word processing, installations and training were expanded to include dial-up capabilities linking each location together with point-to-point electronic mail. By the end of 1983, opinions and memoranda created and electronically from one office to another. Since August 1986, personal computers have been installed as supplements or replacements for some of the original word processors.

Simultaneously with the original word processing/electronic mail equipment installation, staff began the detailed documentation and analysis of the activities performed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Based on the information gathered, an automated docket system for the Supreme Court was designed during the summer of 1984. Training and initial data entry began in August and, commencing in December 1984, records of all new petitions for leave to appeal were maintained solely on an automated docket. Pending cases were backloaded into the system during October 1984 and the manual docket for petitions for leave to appeal was eliminated in February 1985. By April 1985, all capital cases were loaded into the system. The word processing equipment began to function as terminal devices for dial-up docket inquiry in January 1986. During 1986, the automated docket system was expanded to provide additional notices, mandates and reports. Rule 68 and Corporation File applications were developed. The Supreme Court expanded system availability by approving the installation, on March 17, 1986, of a public access terminal. Staff assisted with the equipment and training required to open the new Chicago satellite office in June 1986, and legal research began to use an automated research subject code index in July 1986.

Circuit Information System Project

Over the last twenty years, partially through the use of grant funds, more than twenty counties established various automated data processing systems or applications to support court operations. Predictably, each of these systems developed along a separate path, using different consultants, equipment and programs. In view of these developments, the Supreme Court, on March 28, 1978, adopted the Judicial Management Information System Standards. These Standards are premised on the same considerations, such as uniformity, accuracy and reliability in recordkeeping and reporting, that prompted the development and adoption of the Supreme Court Administrative Order on Recordkeeping.

The Standards provide that any circuit plans for initiating or significantly modifying a judicial management information system must be approved by the Administrative Office. This provision was included to insure compliance with the Standards and establish a mechanism which can determine whether existing or proposed systems meet the information requirements of the circuit and the Administrative Office. The expectations of the Administrative Office are reflected in the Circuit Court Coding and Procedures Manuals and the disposition reporting project.

The Circuit Court Coding Manual provides codes, definitions and formats necessary to data administration. Initially, the Supreme Court Committee used grant funds to contract with SEARCH Group, Inc. for the development of the Coding Manual. The criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual was completed in 1980 and the civil segment (excluding juvenile) was finished in 1981. To ensure that the Coding Manual was comprehensive, realistic and valid, each item contained in it was reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee. Modifications to the Coding Manual need to be added as changes occur in statute, rule, or practice - or in instances where alterations would enhance the entire system. Although lack of personnel resources prevented the preparation of timely amendments, staff now has been assigned to review the data elements contained in the Coding Manual. Changes needed to insure accuracy and consistency in disposition reporting have been given priority consideration.

Regularization of information gathering and dissemination procedures in the circuit courts, whether in manual or automated environments, is a necessary step toward the eventual goal of developing a comprehensive judicial management information system. Although standard codes and forms are critical for recordkeeping uniformity, the design of a statewide judicial management information system requires detail documentation of each step taken to record and process all official court events. Procedures manuals, written in detail, provide data processing technicians with the information necessary to begin automation of court applications while furnishing circuit clerks with a document useful in staff training or office operation.

The Circuit Court Procedures Manual was developed by Administrative Office staff in cooperation with selected counties and circuits throughout the State of Illinois. In 1981, staff began visiting cooperating counties in order to learn about existing procedures and practices. Procedures and forms were evaluated for effectiveness and footnoted with relevant statutory and Supreme Court Rule references. Documentation linking each procedure and form with the data elements contained in the Coding Manual was developed, as was a glossary of terms. Drafts of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual were reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee and a combined Procedures/Coding Manual for criminal case processing was released statewide in April 1983. In September 1983, staff began to work on a civil segment. During the development process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee reviewed drafts encompassing all categories of civil recordkeeping and, in October 1986, formally recommended that the Director of the Administrative Office distribute the Civil Procedures Manual to all Circuit Clerks in Illinois.

The adoption of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Procedures/Coding Manual formed the basis for the reporting of case dispositions by Circuit Clerks to the Department of State Police, the Secretary of State and the Department of Corrections using the information system capabilities of the Administrative Office. During 1983, the Secretary of State and the Director of the Department of State Police signed agreements with the Administrative Office indicating their willingness to establish automated disposition reporting. To prepare for disposition reporting, staff expanded several codes contained in the coding manual into an Offense Code Table which could be used by both state agencies and circuit courts. In October 1983, the Nineteenth Circuit produced the first test tape to be processed by the Administrative Office for transmission to the State Police. Problems were identified and solved, allowing the electronic transfer of information from the Nineteenth Circuit through the Administrative Office to the State Police to go into production during February 1984. In August 1984, test tapes from St. Clair County were processed by the Administrative Office and transmitted to the Secretary of State. By November 1984, automated disposition reporting to the Secretary of State also became a production system. Peoria went into production in November 1985, and DuPage began production in August 1986.

Circuit Information System

With the completion of the reviewing court systems, development of a circuit court system could be considered. Like the reviewing court systems, the circuit system will be comprehensively designed to replace all manual dockets, reports and notices. Quasi-criminal/traffic will be the first component implemented because the quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual can be used as a guide, traffic recordkeeping is relatively simple and the automation of traffic will provide a quick and viable return for the recipient circuit. The circuit court information system will start with the transfer of an application from a county in Illinois to a non-automated circuit. Once the prototype system proves successful, the Administrative Office anticipates making a request that the General Assembly provide funding to expand the service to other circuits. Expansion of the system will be based on the premise of statewide uniform recordkeeping.

The selection of the recipient circuit was based on certain criteria. The circuit had to have multiple counties, including a large metropolitan area and satellite rural counties, none of which are automated. The circuit could not be so large as to inundate a prototype. The judges and clerks in the circuit should work well together. Administrative Office staff from both Chicago and Springfield had to be able to easily access all counties. Based on these criteria, the Thirteenth Circuit was selected as the prototype site. On May 29, 1985, the Chief Judge of the Thirteenth Circuit, the Circuit Clerks from LaSalle, Bureau and Grundy Counties and the Director of the Administrative Office signed an agreement whereby the Administrative Office would revise and install a system, supplying data processing equipment and telecommunication lines.

In choosing the donor county, staff looked for a system which could be transferred to the computer at the Administrative Office, was well documented and used the data elements in the coding manual. Both DuPage and Lake Counties were viable candidates but, because the DuPage system was in the process of redesign, the recently redesigned Nineteenth Circuit system was selected to provide the application base.

During the last half of 1985, field staff worked in the Thirteenth Circuit, documenting in detail the different recordkeeping procedures used in each of the three counties. On March 13, 1986, the Chief Judge of the Thirteenth Circuit notified the Administrative Office that the three detailed analysis documents had been approved by the respective Circuit Clerks. Staff began bi-weekly meetings with the circuit user group, enabling it to select the most functional procedures to be used uniformly by all three offices in conjunction with the automated system. Staff also worked with representatives of Lake and McHenry Counties to better understand how the system was used in the Nineteenth Circuit.

After the agreement with the Thirteenth Circuit was signed, staff transferred the Nineteenth Circuit system onto the Administrative Office computer in Springfield and began to learn the applications. During 1986, applications were modified to incorporate changes identified as needed by the circuit user group. Test cases from the Thirteenth Circuit were loaded into the system and circuit representatives viewed a system demonstration in September.

The Administrative Office could not implement the circuit system until it installed additional computer power, procured peripheral equipment for the counties, and hired additional programmers. Although funds were available, the Administrative Office was not granted approval to procure equipment or hire staff. Two of the three project staff members then quit. On October 8, 1986, representatives from Bureau, Grundy and LaSalle Counties were informed that, due to loss of personnel and delay in acquisition of peripheral computer equipment, installations (with initial training targeted to commence January 1, 1987) would be delayed.

While waiting for further developments, the remaining staff member concentrated on redrafting the McHenry County traffic manual as a generic procedures manual designed to support the automated system.

Judicial Management Advisory Committee

Established by the adoption of the Judicial Management Information System Standards, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee has been working since 1978 to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic information management and automation approach. Membership in the Judicial Management Advisory Committee is determined through appointments made by the Chief Judge of each Judicial Circuit. The Chief Judges, in making appointments, have been careful to insure that divergent views and different groups integral to the functioning of the court system are represented. As a consequence, committee membership includes judges, court administrators, circuit court clerks, administrative assistants, directors of court services, data processing managers and state's attorneys. The composition of the committee has helped foster communication, understanding and consensus on issues related to judicial management information systems.

The committee met in Sangamon and Madison Counties during the 1987 calendar year. At these meetings, the committee reviewed drafts of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual, amendments to the coding manual and developments in disposition reporting. In addition, the committee continued to explore some of the technological, financial, educational, interface and auditing questions which will be involved in the development of a statewide judicial management information system. Topics focused on information systems education targeted to help circuit judges and clerks who are interested in proceeding independently avoid some of the major pitfalls involved in system development and procurement. In this process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee involved state agencies and local officials which use court information or support court operation.

ADMINISTRATION OF SUPREME COURT RULE 39 —

APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATE JUDGES

The number of associate judges in each circuit is determined both by population (one associate Judge for every 35,000 inhabitants or fraction thereof) and by need. Chief judges in circuits where litigation is particularly heavy may file with the Director of the Administrative Office a statement supporting the circuit's need for additional associate judges. The Director then makes a recommendation to the Supreme Court which may allocate additional "permissive" associate judgeships to the circuit.

Once an opening exists in the office of associate judge, the circuit judges must fill the vacancy by election. The elective procedure is contained in Supreme Court Rule 39. This process is somewhat different in circuits with a population over 500,000 and in less populous circuits, but the basic selection mechanism is the same. The chief judge certifies to the Director the names of the candidates. The Director places those names on ballots which he distributes to the circuit judges. He then tabulates the results and certifies them to the chief judge, maintaining the secrecy of the ballots.

In 1986, the Acting Director certified that the following attorneys were appointed as associate judges:

1st	William G. Schwartz
2nd	James V. Hill
3rd	Daniel J. Stack
4th	David Sauer
8th	Mark A. Schuering
9th	James B. Stewart
	David D. Wilson
10th	Brian Nemeno
11th	Harold J. Frobish
12th	Martin Rudman
	Raymond A. Bolden
13th	James A. Lanuti
16th	Douglas R. Engel
	Grant S. Wegner
18th	Robert E. Byrne
	Thomas Callum
	Roy F. Lawrence
19th	Wallace B. Dunn
	James C. Franz
	Michael J. Fritz
	John G. Radosevich
	Albert L. Wysocki

20th Cook County Jerome F. Lopinot J. Martin Berry Thomas I. Condon Joan M. Corboy Abishi C. Cunningham Julia Dempsey Lawrence P. Fox Edwin A. Gausselin Curtis Heaston William J. Hibbler Ann Houser Phil Lieb Dan Locallo Robert W. Krop Charles May Jeffrey A. Malak Brendan J. McCooev Alfred J. Paul Michael J. Pope Daniel G. Welter William F. Ward, Jr. Walter Williams Michael C. Zissman

ADMINISTRATION OF SUPREME COURT RULE 215(D) — IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERT

The Administrative Director is charged with the responsibility of administering Supreme Court Rule 215(d), which provides as follows:

"(d) Impartial Medical Experts.

(1) Examination Before Trial. At a reasonable time in advance of the trial, the court may on its own motion, or that of any party, order an impartial physical or mental examination of a party whose mental or physical condition is in issue, when in the court's discretion it appears that such an examination will materially aid in the just determination of the case. The examination shall be made by a member or members of a panel of physicians chosen for their special qualifications by the Illinois State Medical Society. (2) Examination During Trial. Should the court at any time during the trial find that compelling considerations made it advisable to have an examination and report at that time, the court may in its discretion so order.

(3) Copies of Report. A copy of the report of examination shall be given to the court and to the attorneys for the parties.

(4) Testimony of Examining Physician. Either party or the court may call the examining physician or physicians to testify. Any physician so called shall be subject to cross-examination.

(5) Costs and Compensation of Physician. The examination shall be made, and the physician or physicians, if called, shall testify without cost to the parties. The court shall determine the compensation of the physician or physicians.

(6) Administration of Rule. The Administrative Director and the Deputy Administrative Director are charged with the administration of the rule."

The statistical summaries on the following pages provide a profile of the use of Rule 215(d) in the Circuit Courts, since its inception.

It should be explained again this year that the statistical breakdown is divided, necessarily, into the categories of "orders," "examinations" and "costs", which refer to those entered, performed or charged in the current year.

IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS — SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d) 1986 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

			ORD	ERS	Totals		
Orders Entered During 1986		Downstate 2			10		
ACTION		Law-Injury 7			10		
Specialties Required	Neurology 2	Orthopedics 4	Internal		Psychiatry 2	Otolaryngology 1	10
Frequency of Use of Rule 215(d) By Judges		7 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 1 Case			7 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in a total of 10 Cases		
Disposition of Orders Entered During 1986	All Examinations Case Cancell 0		Order for E Vac			All Examinations Ordered In the Case were Performed 10	10
			EXAMIN	ations			
IME Examinations Scheduled in 1986	Vacated by O 0	rder	Examinations Other F	easons			12
Specialties Required Exams Actually Performed	Neurology 2	Orthopedics 4	Internal		Psychiatry 4	Otolaryngology 1	12
Number of Exams Performed by Individual IME — Frequency of Use Of Panelists	7 I.M. Experts Performed 1 Exam		Exp Perfo 2 Ex	3 I.M.1 I.M.ExpertsExpertsPerformedPerformed2 Exams3 Exams			11 I.M. Experts Performed a Total of 16 Exams
Average Cost Per 1986 Case		Downstate \$208.00	CO	ST	\$482.00		
Average Cost Per 1986 Exam		Downstate \$192.00			\$411.00		
Total Cost Per 1986 Cases		Downstate \$1102.00			\$2655.00		

CUMULATIVE STATISTICAL SUMMARY January 1970 — December 1986

TOTAL ORDERS ENTERED — 724 TOTAL EXAMINATIONS PERFORMED — 1298

Orders Entered By Jurisdiction		nstate 10	Attorney I	Registration 4	Judges Retire	ement System 2	Cook County 631		
Orders Entered Mental Health By Nature 4		Probate 3	Juvenile 2	Adoption 4	Criminal 29	Divorce Child Custody 487	Paternity 1	Civil Personal Injury 204	
Results of Scheduled Examinations		d Before Trial		Examinations		s Performed	Testimony Required at Trial		
Specialties	Rheumatology 1	Hematology 1	Obstetrics 2	Cardiology 5	General Practice 8	Plastic Surgery 1	Pediatrics 3	Geriatrics 1	
Required Examinations Performed	Urology 3	Ophthalmology 10	Otolaryngology 8	Internal Medicine 33	Neurology 57	Orthopedics 88	Allergies 1	Psychiatry 1088	
	Radiology 1		Av	erage Cost Per Exam Pe	erformed Including An	cillary Cost and Testim	onv		

Average Cost Per Exam Performed Including Ancillary Cost and Testimony \$201.07

AGE OF PENDING CASES REPORTS

Since June 30, 1979, the Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office, has required chief circuit judges, individual trial judges and circuit clerks to submit the following semi-annual reports on pending cases:

Chief Judges — Summary age of pending cases report for each county, which includes (1) number of untried felony cases pending; (2) number of untried felony cases more than 180 days old (over 5 years old in Cook County); (3) steps taken or to be taken to insure the prompt disposition of such cases; (4) number of cases dismissed under the "speedy trial statute,". (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 103-5); (5) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending; (6) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) more than 2 years old (over 7 years old in Cook County); (7) a report on any category of cases in which there is unusual delay noted; and (8) number of complaints from attorneys or citizens concerning delay in processing cases.

Trial Judges — (1) Individual reports on untried felony cases pending over 180 days (over 5 years old in Cook County); and (2) Individual reports on untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending over 2 years (over 7 years old in Cook County).

Clerks — Composite age of pending cases report for all cases by category, except traffic, ordinance and conservation violations.

Maintenance Of Eavesdropping Reports

Section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-11) requires the Administrative Office to collect information about orders entered under Illinois' eavesdropping statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq.) and report that information to the General Assembly. Within 30 days of the expiration of an eavesdropping order, or within 30 days of the denial of an application for an order, the issuing or denying judge must report the following information to the Administrative Office: (1) whether any order, extension or subsequent approval of an emergency use of an eavesdropping device was requested; (2) the action taken on the request; (3) the period authorized in any order entered; (4) the felony specified in the order or applications; (5) the identity of the requesting law enforcement agency and approving State's Attorney; and (6) the facilities where the eavesdropping device was to be used.

In January of each year, the State's Attorney of each Illinois county must make a composite report on all eavesdropping orders entered in the circuit court of that county. That report must include (1) the information transmitted by judges in their reports; (2) the number of conversations and individuals overheard; (3) the cost and manpower required by use of an authorized eavesdropping device; (4) the number of arrests and trials resulting from those uses; (5) the number of motions to suppress the fruits of overheard conversations and the disposition of those motions, and (6) the number of convictions resulting from those uses. The Administrative Office compiles this information and transmits a report on it to the General Assembly each April.

In 1986, State's Attorneys and judges filed with the Administrative Office notices of 372 orders authorizing eavesdropping. Of these orders, 317 were original and 55 were extensions or modifications.

Authorized eavesdropping devices are typically used in conjunction with controlled substances offenses, although a wide variety or other felonies were investigated through eavesdropping this year. The most common devices employed were telephone wiretaps and body microphones on undercover agents. Residences, business premises and law enforcement offices were frequent locations of eavesdropping activity.

Administration of Official Court Reporters

As required by statute, the Administrative Office several times each year administers an Official Court Reporters' Proficiency Examination to determine the qualifications of applicants for the position of official court reporter.

The Official Court Reporter Proficiency Examination consists of two voice question and answer (Q & A) sections and a legal opinion section. Each test is dictated by professional readers.

Candidates who pass the Proficiency Examination may, if a vacancy exists, be appointed to the post of official court reporter by any chief judge of any circuit court.

By statute, the Supreme Court determines the number of official court reporters that each circuit may appoint. The Court may increase or decrease the number of court reporters in any circuit after considering various factors provided for in the statute (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 653). During 1986 four official court reporter proficiency examinations were offered, two in Chicago and two in East Peoria, Illinois.

Each year the Administrative Office organizes and presents an Official Court Reporter Developmental Seminar to which all official court reporters are invited. The 1986 seminar was held on Friday-Saturday, June 6-7 at the Hyatt Regency O'Hare. On Friday afternoon, Acting Administrative Director William M. Madden answered questions from reporters. This session was followed by small group presentations from various institutions:

"Medical Malpractice" MacCormick College

"Legal Terminology and Courtroom Procedure" Chicago College of Commerce

"Word Processing for the Court Reporter" Triton Community College On Saturday morning an English workshop and Computer Training workshop took place. On that afternoon discussion centered on dealing with stress and how a breathalizer test is administered.

Secretariat

The Administrative Office serves as secretary to many judicial organizations and committees. In addition to arranging meetings, recording minutes and keeping records, the office acts as a fact finding body, does research, conducts surveys and apprises judges of recent developments in procedural and substantive law. Among the bodies served by the Administrative Office in a secretariat capacity are: (1) the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference and its constituent committees, including the standing Subcommittee on Judicial Education, the New Judge Seminar Planning Committee, the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee and various study and seminar committees: (2) the Conference of Chief Judges; (3) the Courts Commission; (4) the Supreme Court Rules Committee; (5) the Judicial Management Advisory Committee; and (6) other study and advisory committees which may be appointed by the Supreme Court from time to time. The activities of these organizations are explained in greater detail in other sections of this report.

Administration of Supreme Court Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 711 provides for the temporary licensing of law students to appear in court, prepare pleadings or briefs, counsel with clients, negotiate in the settlement of claims and prepare and draft legal instruments. These services may be performed only for qualified agencies such as legal assistance programs, public defender offices and governmental offices, and the student must be supervised by a member of the Illinois bar. To be eligible for licensing, a student must be certified by his or her dean as having received credit for at least two-thirds of the hourly credits required for graduation and as being in good academic standing and eligible under the school's criteria to undertake the activities authorized by the rule.

In 1986, 603 licenses were issued. Since the adoption of Rule 711 in May, 1969, a total of 8,619 senior law students have participated in this legal internship program. The comparative chart below indicates the use of Rule 711 in the last six years.

Year	Law Students
1986	603
1985	570
1984	551
1983	551
1982	479
1981	477

1986 Circuit Clerk Training Program

During the months of May and June, the Administrative Office conducted five regional training programs for clerks of the circuit court on the topic of disposition reporting to the Department of State Police pursuant to III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 206-2.1.

A total of 135 persons from 78 counties attended one of the five programs offered at Effingham, Woodhull, North Aurora, Benton and Springfield. A copy of the training material and sample reporting forms were sent to each of the 24 counties unable to attend.

The comments and suggestions obtained from the participants were submitted to the Department of State Police for consideration in the redesign of the format used by circuit clerks to report criminal disposition information.

Distribution of Supreme Court Opinions and Legislative Summaries

Since April of 1975, the Administrative Office has regularly prepared and distributed synopses of select opinions filed by the Illinois Supreme Court. These summaries are distributed to each of the State's judicial officers within 2 to 10 days of the filing of the opinions by the Court. In 1986, 46 Supreme Court opinions were summarized.

The office also analyzes legislation affecting court personnel, the operation of the court system and substantive and procedural law. Digests of 46 Public Acts were sent to Illinois judges.

Publications of the Administrative Office

The Administrative Office publishes and/or distributes several books or pamphlets which are available to the public. These publications can be obtained by contacting the Springfield or Chicago office:

- (1) A short History of the Illinois Judicial System;
- (2) Manual on Recordkeeping;
- (3) Annual Report of the Administrative Office;
- (4) Annual and Biennial Reports of the Judicial Conference;
- (5) Handbooks for jurors in grand jury proceedings, and in criminal and civil cases;
- (6) A pamphlet on the history of the Supreme Court Building in Springfield;
- (7) Interim Report Experimental Video-taping of Courtroom Proceedings;
- (8) Rules of Procedure of the Illinois Courts Commission;
- (9) Chief Circuit Judge's Manual on Guidelines for the Administration of Circuit Courts (draft form only);
- (10) Benchbook (Criminal Cases) for Illinois Judges;

- (11) Reading and Reference Materials used at seminars and conferences sponsored by the Judicial Conference;
- (12) Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Video-taping Court Proceedings;
- (13) Administrative Regulations Governing Court Reporters in Illinois Courts;
- (14) Illinois Courtrooms, Bohn, William G., Supreme Court Commitee on Criminal Justice Programs (1972);
- (15) Benchbook for Use in Juvenile Proceedings;
- (16) Administrative Regulations Governing Minimum Qualifications for Illinois Probation Personnel;
- (17) Administrative Policy Statements Governing Eligibility of Illinois Probation Personnel for State Subsidy and Related Matters;
- (18) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase One Summary Report;
- (19) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase Two Summary Report;
- (20) Report of the Study on Bail Procedures of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1978);
- (21) Judicial Management Information System Standards;
- (22) Supplemental Report of the Study of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures (1980).

Membership in Organizations

The Director, Deputy Director, and Assistant Directors are members of a number of organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice. Current memberships include:

- (1) Conference of State Court Administrators
- (2) The American Judicature Society
- (3) Illinois State Bar Association (and various committees and sections.)
- (4) American Bar Association
- (5) Chicago Bar Association
- (6) Chicago Council of Lawyers
- (7) Illinois Defender Project (Board of Commissioners.)
- (8) Council of State Governments
- (9) National Association of Court Managers
- (10) Institute of Judicial Administration
- (11) The Justinian Society of Lawyers
- (12) The Appellate Lawyers Association
LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS

During 1986 numerous bills affecting various areas of procedure and substantive law were passed by the General Assembly.

Clerks of Court

P.A. 84-1235 amends various Acts concerning licensing and regulation of certain professions, including the Illinois Private Detective, Private Alarm, and Private Security Act of 1983 (ch. 111, par. 2679). EFFECTIVE JULY 29, 1986. adds in par. 2679(a) that when person licensed under Act "is convicted of a felony in any jurisdiction, the clerk of the court shall report such conviction to the [Dept. of Registration and Education] ***."

Clerks Fee Statute

P.A. 84-1353 and P.A. 84-1354 amends downstate circuit clerk's fee statute (ch. 25, par. 27.1) BOTH EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1987. P.A. 84-1353 adds following new fees in ch. 25: 10 cents for each page in excess of 200 pages for forwarding original documents in connection with appeal (new par. 27.1(p)(4)) \$10 for correcting case number or title on any document (new par. 27.1(v)); \$4 for each year record search made (new par. 27.1(w)); \$2 for each page of printout of automated records (new par. 27.1(x)); \$2 for each alias summons (new par. 27.1(y)); \$15 for each expungement petition filed (new par. 27.1(z)). P.A. 84-1354 revises par. 27.1(u)(3) regarding annual \$36 fee required to be paid to clerk in maintenance and child support matters by deduction of same from said payment: fee of "up to" \$36 "may" be deducted "if authorized *** by county board" and "shall be deposited" in clerk's maintenance and support fund which is to be used by clerk to further his efforts in collecting such funds; this Public Act also amends ch. 40, par. 705(6) to reflect changes made in ch. 25, par. 27.1(u)(3).

Court Administration

P.A. 84-1395 amends various statutes which refer to the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. EFFECTIVE JANU-ARY 1, 1987. Amends ch. 25, pars. 27.1-27.3; ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1,

72.4-2, 160.2-1, 653, 654, 654.1, 657, 658, 706-1, 706-2, 706-7; ch. 38, pars. 104-27, 108A-11, 113-3, 204-1a, 204-7, 204-8; ch. 40, pars. 709, 712; ch. 53, pars. 3.2, 3.3; ch. 63, 42.62, 42.65; ch. 95¹/₂, par. 6-204; ch. 110, par. 2-1019; ch. 111, par. 6206; ch. 116, par. 43.104: wherever the phrases "Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts," "Director" of that office, or like phrases appear in the statutes they are replaced with "Supreme Court" or deleted.

Judges and Court Reporters

P.A. 84-1425 amends certain statutes affecting number of associate judges and salaries of official court reporters (ch. 37, pars. 160.2, 658). EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 24, 1986. Amends par. 160.2 by providing that the minimum number of associate judges for single county circuits appointed using the population formula "shall be 14" and the minimum number of such judges in a two-county circuit having a population of 275,000 — 300,000 "shall be 10." Amends par. 658 by increasing official court reporters' maximum salary to not more than \$35,250 effective July 1, 1986, and \$37,250 effective July 1, 1987, and by increasing part-time reporters' maximum half-day salary from \$36 to \$60.

Judges Pension

P.A. 84-1472 amends judges pension provision (ch. 108½, par. 18-125.1). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 23, 1987. Amends par. 18-125.1 ("cost of living" increase in pension) by reopening option to elect cost of living benefit, until April 1, 1987, to judges in service on or after July 1, 1986, and adds new provision: "Any [retired judge] who has become eligible to receive the maximum [pension] and who resumes service as a judge after receiving a [judicial pension] shall have the amount of his or her [pension] increased by 3% of the originally granted [pension] for each year of such resumed service, beginning in January of the year next following the date of such resumed service."

1986

CASE LOADS

AND

STATISTICAL RECORDS

JUDICIAL OFFICERS

OF THE

STATE OF ILLINOIS



JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1986)

FIRST DISTRICT

Daniel P. Ward Chicago William G. Clark Chicago Seymour Simon Chicago

SECOND DISTRICT

Thomas J. Moran Waukegan

THIRD DISTRICT

Howard C. Ryan Tonica

FOURTH DISTRICT Ben Miller

Springfield

FIFTH DISTRICT

Joseph H. Goldenhersh Belleville

TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1986

People's Cases	Pending at			Disposed	Pending at	Inventory Increase (+)
General Docket	Start	Filed	Allowed	Of	End	Decrease (-)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	141	792	NA	744	189	+ 48
Petitions Allowed	21	NA	49	36	34	+13
Motions for Direct Appeal	2	2	NA	3	1	-1
Motions Allowed	4	NA	1	3	1	- 3
Original Action Motions	7	18	NA	21	4	- 3
Motions Allowed	1	NA	7	4	4	+ 3
Motions for Supervisory Orders	2	13	NA	12	3	+1
Motions Allowed	0	NA	2**	2	0	NC
Direct Appeal – Statute Invalid	7	32	NA	16	23	+16
Direct Appeal — Capital	54	22	NA	19*	57	+ 3
Direct Appeal — Capital P.C. R651	3	9	NA	2	10	+ 7
Disciplinary Cases	9	17	NA	11	15	+6
Certificates of Importance	0	0	0	0	0	NC
Miscellaneous	0	14	NA	14	0	NC
Total People's Cases	251	919	59	887	341	+ 90
		1		1	1	1
Civil Cases General Docket	Pending at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	157	708	NA	742	123	- 34
Petitions Allowed	50	NA	80	104	26	- 24
Motions for Direct Appeal	7	23	NA	19	11	+ 4
Motions Allowed	5	NA	9	6	8	+ 3
Original Action Motions	1	38	NA	35	4	+ 3
Motions Allowed	2	NA	3	2	3	+1
Motions for Supervisory Orders	3	21	NA	24	0	-3
Motions Allowed	0	NA	5	5	0	NC
Direct Appeal — Statute Invalid	11	9	NA	14	6	-5
Certificates of Importance	0	1	NA	0	1	+1
Miscellaneous	0	8	NA	8	0	NC
Total Civil Cases	236	808	97	959	182	- 54
Miscellaneous Cases						
Miscellaneous Record	46	345	NA	323	68	+ 22
Miscellaneous Docket	23	128	NA	145	6	- 17
Total Miscellaneous Cases	69	473	NA	468	74	+ 5
Total All Cases	556	2200	156	2314	597	+ 41
*58037 — Rehearing was allowed, resubmitted pend					1	1

*58037 — Rehearing was allowed, resubmitted pending on advisement. **Supervisory order was allowed when opinion was filed. See 62355.

JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1986)

FIRST DISTRICT

First Division

Robert C. Buckley Calvin C. Campbell John M. O'Connor, Jr. William R. Quinlan

Second Division

Michael Bilandic Allen Hartman Anthony Scariano John J. Stamos

Third Division

Charles G. Freeman Daniel J. McNamara Dom J. Rizzi William S. White

Fourth Division

Mel R. Jiganti Glenn T. Johnson David Linn Mary Ann McMorrow

Fifth Division

Francis S. Lorenz James C. Murray R. Eugene Pincham John J. Sullivan

SECOND DISTRICT

Marvin D. Dunn William V. Hopf Lawrence Inglis George W. Lindberg William R. Nash Philip G. Reinhard George Unverzagt Alfred E. Woodward

THIRD DISTRICT

Tobias Barry James D. Heiple Albert Scott Allan L. Stouder William B. Wombacher

FOURTH DISTRICT

Frederick S. Green James A. Knecht Carl A. Lund John T. McCullough Donald Morthland Joseph R. Spitz Albert Webber, III

FIFTH DISTRICT

Moses Harrison, II Charles E. Jones John M. Karns, Jr. George Kasserman, Jr. Thomas M. Welch

TREND OF CASES IN THE APPELLATE COURT 1986

Appellate District		Number of Cases Pending January 1, 1986	Filed During	Cases	Number of Cases Disposed of	Disposed of	Disposed of	Number of Cases Pending December 31, 1986	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
	Civil	1577 (31)	1851 (20)	34	1813 (43)	492 (20)	424 (13)	1649 (8)	+ 49
First	Criminal	1963	1743	141	1528	198	820	2319	+ 356
Second	Civil	585 (5)	695 (10)	8	701 (9)	234 (4)	237 (3)	587 (6)	+3
Second	Criminal	436	493	6	466	111	251	469	+ 33
Thind	Civil	304 (9)	439 (27)	2 (2)	436 (24)	158 (11)	112 (5)	309 (14)	+10
Third	Criminal	300	391		391	88	189	300	0
Found	Civil	279 (11)	571 (24)	13	579 (12)	168 (5)	222 (5)	284 (23)	+17
Fourth	Criminal	223	325	6	354	87	216	200	- 23
Г:44	Civil	381 (13)	515 (12)	3	455 (17)	152 (9)	130 (6)	444 (8)	+ 58
Fifth	Criminal	277	311	3	284	73	139	307	+ 30
Totals	Civil	3126 (69)	4071 (93)	60 (2)	3984 (105)	1204 (49)	1125 (32)	3273 (59)	+137
Totals	Criminal	3199	3263	156	3023	557	1615	3595	+ 396

() Denotes Industrial Commission Division cases.

CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE APPELLATE COURT

1986

								METHO	d of d	ISPOSITIO	NC						
		Affir	med	Reve	rsed	Affirmed and Reversed	/or	Reve an Rema	d	Mod	ified	Vaca and Rema	/or	Dism	issed	Disposed Of Without	
Appellate District	Type of Case	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	Opinion Or Order*	TOTALS
First	Civil	289	284	29	19	72	27	101	70	4	1	5	6	12	30	907	1856
First	Criminal	120	663	5	14	26	61	46	63	1	5	0	8	0	6	510	1528
Second	Civil	125	140	21	14	38	23	48	45	0	0	2	0	4	18	232	710
Second	Criminal	73	167	4	7	15	18	18	53	0	0	1	1	0	5	104	466
Third	Civil	93	86	12	5	22	8	41	14	0	0	1	0	0	4	174	460
I nira	Criminal	42	145	3	3	20	23	19	13	0	0	3	4	1	1	114	391
Fourth	Civil	82	155	16	12	33	25	37	24	0	0	0	4	5	7	191	591
routtin	Criminal	56	180	6	2	13	16	10	7	0	0	2	5	0	6	51	354
Fifth	Civil	64	80	15	6	34	13	44	23	0	0	3	14	1	0	175	472
FIFTN	Criminal	34	86	5	4	19	39	14	7	0	0	1	1	0	2	72	284
Total	Civil	653	745	93	56	199	96	271	176	4	1	11	24	22	59	1679	4089
10(01	Criminal	325	1241	23	30	93	157	107	143	1	5	7	19	1	20	851	3023

*Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23. Figures include Industrial Commission Division cases.

80

CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE 23 1986

							METHOD	OF DISPOSI	TION WITHO	UT OPINION OR	ORDER					
			Dismissed		Dismissed o	on Court's Ow	n Motion		Motion ^b for							
Appellate District	Type of Case	Motion of Appellant	Motion of Appellee	Stipulation of Parties	Failure to Comply With Rules/Orders	Lack of Juris- diction/ No Final Appeal- able Order	Other	Leave" to Appeal Denied	tor Leave to File Late Notice of Appeal Denied	Remanded With Direction For Further Proceeding	Dismissed in the Trial Court	Bail Order Entered	Confession of Error	Trans- ferred to Proper Court	Other Disposi- tions	Totals
First	Civil	162	131	78	353	4	12	84	5	51	16	0	0	9	2	907
First	Criminal	51	12	0	396	1	4	1	4	0	1	31	2	6	1	510
	Civil	63	25	14	97	1	1	21	0	1	8	0	0	0	1	232
Second	Criminal	28	15	0	47	0	2	4	0	0	2	2	0	3	1	104
	Civil	71	10	20	47	0	0	11	1	0	12	0	0	2	0	174
Third	Criminal	70	1	0	23	0	3 .	1	0	4	4	1	0	2	5	114
5	Civil	62	21	18	53	10	1	10	1	0	13	0	2	0	0	191
Fourth	Criminal	17	0	1	15	5	2	0	3	0	2	3	2	1	0	51
E:64	Civil	48	14	14	27	12	1	25	0	1	31	0	0	1	1	175
Fifth	Criminal	24	4	0	13	3	0	0	4	9	5	3	0	5	2	72
Table	Civil	406	201	144	577	27	15	151	7	53	80	0	2	12	4	1679
Total	Criminal	190	32	1	494	9	11	6	11	13	14	40	4	17	9	851

Figures include Industrial Commission Division cases. aInclu

^aIncludes Denial of Permissive Interlocutory.

^bIncludes Denial of Motion to File Late Record.

TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR ALL CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1986

				Time Ela	psed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1 ½ -2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
First	Civil	621	740	291	111	81	12	1856
First	Criminal	260	506	452	176	103	31	1528
Caraad	Civil	213	212	252	25	4	0	706
Second	Criminal	69	172	164	43	10	7	465
Thind	Civil	150	264	37	4	0	0	455
Third	Criminal	110	235	44	4	3	0	396
Г t Ь	Civil	203	382	6	0	0	0	591
Fourth	Criminal	53	291	9	1	0	0	354
F :(4)	Civil	143	175	108	30	16	0	472
Fifth	Criminal	72	100	78	18	16	0	284
T - (- 1	Civil	1330	1773	694	170	101	12	4080
Total	Criminal	564	1304	747	242	132	38	3027

TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE BRIEFS WERE FILED AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1986

				Time Ela	psed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
First	Civil	524	298	72	38	21	0	953
First	Criminal	620	127	30	10	9	0	796
C	Civil	131	316	24	2	0	0	473
Second	Criminal	194	89	6	2	0	0	291
The	Civil	209	91	5	0	0	0	305
Third	Criminal	231	53	5	0	0	0	289
F (1	Civil	393	30	0	0	0	0	423
Fourth	Criminal	297	13	0	0	0	0	310
F :(4)	Civil	218	93	25	1	0	0	337
Fifth	Criminal	168	26	10	3	0	0	207
T ()	Civil	1475	828	126	41	21	0	2491
Total	Criminal	1510	308	51	15	9	0	1893

ABSTRACT SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND RULE 23 ORDERS WRITTEN BY JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT

1986

			Opini	ons			
Appellate District	Majority	Per Curiam	Specially Concurring	Dissenting	Supplemental	Total	Rule 23 Orders
First	636 (20)	0	7	37 (2)	1	681 (22)	1169 1 Dissent 11 Supplmntl (13)
Second	322 (4)	0	1	11 (1)	3	337 (5)	425 3 Sp. Concur 3 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (3)
Third	222 (10)	0	6 (1)	21 (2)	4	253 (13)	273 4 Dissents 2 Supplmntl (5)
Fourth	234 (5)	0	6 (1)	15 (1)	1	256 (7)	415 1 Sp. Concur 3 Dissents 5 Supplmntl (5)
- Fifth	216 (9)	0	5	45 (1)	3	269 (10)	254 1 Sp. Concur 12 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (6)
Total	1630 (48)	0	25 (2)	129 (7)	12	1796 (57)	2536 5 Sp. Concur 23 Dissents 20 Supplmntl (32)



CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1986)

FIRST CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William A. Lewis, Chief Judge

Snyder Howell Robert H. Howerton Donald Lowery George Oros Richard E. Richman William H. South Stephen Spomer James Williamson

Associate Judges

David C. Nelson

William G. Schwartz David W. Watt, Jr.

SECOND CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Henry Lewis, Chief Judge

Robert S. Hill Terrence J. Hopkins Robert M. Keenan, Jr. Lehman Krause

Associate Judges

James V. Hill

Loren P. Lewis John I. Lundmark Patrick F. McLaughlin David L. Underwood Robert W. Whitmer

Bruce D. Irish

THIRD CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Philip Rarick, Chief Judge

Andreas A. Matoesian George J. Moran P.J. O'Neill P.E. Riley

Associate Judges

Jonathan Isbell Lola P. Maddox Michael J. Meehan Charles V. Romani, Jr. Daniel J. Stack Clayton R. Williams

Donnie D. Bigler Bill F. Green Thomas W. Haney Michael J. Henshaw Louis G. Horman

Arlie O. Boswell, Jr. Terry Foster

Larry O. Baker Philip B. Benefiel David M. Correll Don A. Foster Donald E. Garrison

*Roland J. DeMarco Leo T. Desmond

*Retired 12/26/86

Horace L. Calvo Charles Chapman John L. DeLaurenti

Nicholas G. Byron Wendell Durr Edward C. Ferguson George Filcoff Dennis L. Berkbigler Richard H. Brummer Edward C. Eberspacher Joseph L. Fribley

Don E. Beane, Jr. Richard G. Hodson

Caslon K. Bennett Rita B. Garman Paul C. Komada

Joseph C. Moore, II

William C. Calvin John L. Davis Harold L. Jensen W.B. Kranz

Harry E. Clem John R. DeLaMar Scott B. Diamond Jeffrey B. Ford

Richard J. Cadagin Joseph E. Cavanagh Claude J. Davis Simon L. Friedman

FOURTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Ronald Niemann, Chief Judge

Arthur Henken Dennis M. Huber William D. Kelley Robert W. Matoush Vernon L. Plummer, II

Associate Judges

Mark M. Joy David Sauer

FIFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Ralph S. Pearman, Chief Judge

James K. Robinson Richard E. Scott Joseph R. Spitz

Associate Judges

Jos. P. Skowronski, Jr.

SIXTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Rodney Scott, Chief Judge

Frank W. Lincoln George S. Miller Donald W. Morthland Jerry L. Patton

Associate Judges

Paul M. Francis James A. Hendrian Donald R. Parkinson

Warren A. Sappington John G. Townsend

SEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John W. Russell, Chief Judge

Joseph P. Koval Richard E. Mann Jerry S. Rhodes Thomas G. Roady, Jr. Gordon Seator Raymond L. Terrell

William J. Sunderman James R. Watson Paul M. Wright

Ashton C. Waller

John P. Shonkwiler

Robert J. Steigmann

Arthur F. Powers, Jr.

Creed D. Tucker

Rolland F. Tipsword W.R. Todd Michael R. Weber

David W. Slater

Robert F.A. Stocke

David J. Bone John B. Crain James P. Fox

Thomas L. Brownfield Cecil J. Burrows Dennis K. Cashman Robert W. Cook

Paul A. Kolodziej Mark A. Schuering

Stephen G. Evans William D. Henderson Scott I. Klukos

Kenneth L. Bath Harry C. Bulkeley

Bruce W. Black James M. Bumgarner Robert J. Cashen Donald C. Courson

Brett C. Bode Charles A. Covey Thomas G. Ebel Arthur H. Gross

Associate Judges

Thomas G. Russell Philip Schickedanz Dennis L. Schwartz Jeanne E. Scott Stuart H. Shiffman

EIGHTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Edward Dittmeyer, Chief Judge

Carson D. Klitz Lyle E. Lipe Alfred L. Pezman

Arthur R. Strong

Fred W. Reither David K. Slocum Robert Welch

Associate Judges

Virgil W. Timpe John C. Wooleyhan

NINTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William L. Randolph, Chief Judge

Stephen C. Mathers Daniel J. Roberts

Associate Judges

Lewis D. Murphy Richard A. Porter Albert Scott Max B. Stewart Charles H. Wilhelm

Richard C. Ripple James B. Stewart

TENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Peter Paolucci, Chief Judge

Stephen J. Covey Richard E. Eagleton John A. Gorman

Associate Judges

Joe B. McDade Brian M. Nemenoff Jackson P. Newlin Charles J. Perrin Robert Manning, Jr. Calvin Stone

William J. Reardon John A. Whitney William H. Young Richard M. Baner William T. Caisley Keith Campbell

David L. Coogan Mark W. Dalton William D. DeCardy

Robert R. Buchar Herman S. Haase

Raymond A. Bolden Vincent J. Cerri John F. Cirricione Thomas M. Ewert

William P. Denny Thomas R. Flood

Robert L. Carter Donald E. Norton

Joseph F. Beatty Robert Castendyck Martin E. Conway, Jr. Clarence A. Darrow

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Luther Dearborn, Chief Judge

Gerald G. Dehner Charles E. Glennon

Associate Judges

Charles H. Frank John P. Freese Harold J. Frobish William M. Roberts Wayne C. Townley, Jr.

Joseph H. Kelley W. Charles Witte

TWELFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Michael A. Orenic, Chief Judge

William R. Penn

Angelo F. Pistilli Thomas W. Vinson

Associate Judges

Bruce D. Falk Edwin B. Grabiec Rodney B. Lechwar Michael H. Lyons Martin Rudman Edward D. Smith

THIRTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Alexander T. Bower, Chief Judge

Louis J. Perona Fred P. Wagner

Associate Judges

James A. Lanuti Richard R. Wilder Robert G. Wren

Howard C. Wampler

James J. Wimbiscus John David Zwanzig

FOURTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

L.E. Ellison, Chief Judge

David DeDoncker Susan B. Gende Wilbur S. Johnson Edward Keefe

.

Jeffrey W. O'Connor John D. O'Shea John M. Telleen Clarke C. Barnes Thomas C Berglund Michael P. Brinn John B. Cunningham

*Retired 12/30/86

Thomas E. Hornsby F.L. Lenz Tomas Magdich

Barry Anderson Alan W. Cargerman

Wilson D. Burnell Patrick J. Dixon Marvin D. Dunn Melvin E. Dunn

Michael J. Colwell William H. Ellsworth Douglas R. Engel Robert P. Grometer

Harris H. Agnew Daniel D. Doyle

Robert G. Coplan Robert J. French Frederick J. Kapala Gayln W. Moehring

Associate Judges

Dennis DePorter *Ivan Lovaas William K. O'Connor Frederick P. Patton Timothy J. Slavin Ronald C. Taber

FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John W. Rapp, Jr. Chief Judge

Francis X. Mahoney John L. Moore Harold D. Nagel Lawrence Smith, Jr.

Associate Judges

Eric S. DeMar Richard E. DeMoss Martin D. Hill John E. Payne

SIXTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Joseph M. McCarthy, Chief Judge

John A. Leifheit Rex F. Meilinger John L. Nickels

Associate Judges

Thomas E. Hogan Robert C. Jenkins Richard D. Larson Gene Nottolini John L. Petersen Timothy Q. Sheldon Grant S. Wegner James M. Wilson

Michael F. O'Brien

Barry E. Puklin

James F. Quetsch

SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John C. Layng, Chief Judge

David A. Englund William R. Nash

Associate Judges

Michael R. Morrison John W. Nielsen Alford R. Penniman David F. Smith John E. Sype

K. Craig Peterson Bradner C. Riggs Richard W. Vidal William E Black John J. Bowman John M. Darrah

Edmund P. Bart Robert E. Byrne Thomas E. Callum Margaret O. Coffin Kevin P. Connelly Robert A. Cox Philip J.R. Equi Francis W. Faris, Jr.

William D. Block Henry L. Cowlin Bernard E. Drew, Jr.

Ward S. Arnold Terrence J. Brady Wallace B. Dunn Conrad F. Floeter James C. Franz Michael John Fritz Harry D. Hartel, Jr. William F. Homer

Robert Bastien Carl H. Becker Joseph F. Cunningham Richard R. Goldenhersh

EIGHTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Carl F.J. Henninger, Chief Judge

Helen C. Kinney Edward W. Kowal Robert D. McLaren

Associate Judges

Michael R. Galasso Andrew C. Hayton Donald J. Hennessy Frederick Henzi James W. Jerz Roy F. Lawrence S. Keith Lewis Robert A. Nolan Anthony M. Peccarelli John S. Teschner

Richard A. Lucas Ronald B. Mehling John J. Nelligan Maryellen Provenzale Charles E. Ruth S. Bruce Scidmore Charles W. Spencer Duane G. Walter

NINETEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Fred A. Geiger, Chief Judge

John R. Goshgarian Roland A. Herrmann Jack Hoogasian

Associate Judges

Susan F. Hutchinson E. Thomas Lang Raymond J. McKoski Gary Neddenriep George W. Pease Haskell M. Pitluck John G. Radosevich Lawrence D. Inglis Charles F. Scott Michael J. Sullivan

Emilio V. Santi Thomas Schermerhorn Alvin I. Singer Henry C. Tonigan, III Jane D. Waller Stephen E. Walter Albert L. Wysocki

TWENTIETH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Patrick J. Fleming, Chief Judge

John J. Hoban Richard A. Hudlin Dennis R. Jacobsen Lloyd Karmeier Stephen M. Kernan William Starnes Robert L. Craig James K. Donovan Jan V. Fiss Jerry D. Flynn

James R. Blunk Patrick M. Burns

Roger A. Benson

Wavne Andersen Earl Arkiss lames M. Bailey Peter Bakakos Miriam D. Balanoff Ronald I.P. Banks Frank W. Barbaro Carole K. Bellows Vincent Bentivenga Christy S. Berkos Richard B. Berland Edwin Berman Walter B. Bieschke Robert V. Boharic Anthony Bosco John M. Breen, Jr. Martin F. Brodkin **Clarence Bryant** Henry A. Budzinski Jerome T. Burke Philip J. Carey Thomas P. Cawley David Cerda Arthur J. Cieslik Michael C. Close Irwin Cohen William Cousins, Jr.

*Retired Judge Recalled §Assigned Appellate

Associate Judges

Jerome F. Lopinot Earle McCaskill Sheila O'Brien Michael J. O'Malley Robert J. Saunders Roger M. Scrivner Glenn C. Stevens Milton Wharton

TWENTY-FIRST CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Wayne C. Dyer, Chief Judge

Robert L. Dannehl

Daniel W. Gould John F. Michela

Associate Judges

Dwight W. McGrew

Edward A. McIntire

COOK COUNTY Circuit Judges

Harry G. Comerford, Chief Judge

Ronald Crane John W. Crilly Brian L. Crowe John J. Crown Richard L. Curry Robert E. Cusack Michael F. Czaja Cornelius F. Dore, Jr. Arthur L. Dunne Charles J. Durham *Morton C. Elden Glvnn T. Elliott, Jr. Paul R. Elward Robert D. Ericsson Richard J. Fitzgerald Thomas R. Fitzgerald Thomas E. Flanagan Lester D. Foreman Allen A. Freeman Marion W. Garnett Lawrence Genesen James A. Geocaris * James A. Geroulis Paul F. Gerrity Michael Brennan Getty Louis J. Giliberto Kenneth E. Gillis Francis Glowacki Myron T. Gomberg Leonard R. Grazian Albert Green

Patrick S. Grossi Charles J. Grupp John W. Gustafson Sophia H. Hall Arthur N. Hamilton Jacques Heilingoetter Thomas A. Hett James J. Heyda Lawrence P. Hickey Edward C. Hofert Leo E. Holt Mary Heftel Hooton John N. Hourihane Louis J. Hyde Aaron Jaffe Thomas J. Janczy §Mel Richard Jiganti Eddie C. Johnson Sidney A. Jones III Michael S. Jordan Richard H. Jorzak Donald Joyce Aubrey F. Kaplan Daniel J. Kelley Roger J. Kiley, Jr. Herman Knell Marilyn R. Komosa Walter J. Kowalski Franklin I. Kral Rosemary D. LaPorta Willard J. Lassers

Jerome Lerner Leonard Levin Daniel I. Lynch Robert G. Mackey Benjamin S. Mackoff Francis J. Mahon Thomas J. Maloney Blanch M. Manning George M. Marovich Edward H. Marsalek John H. McCollum Carl McCormick Lester D. McCurrie John J. McDonnell John A. McElligott *John P. McGury Paddy McNamara **Jill K. McNulty** Howard M. Miller Angelo D. Mistretta Anthony S. Montelione Gerald S. Murphy James E. Murphy §James C. Murray *Benjamin Nelson Odas Nicholson Irving R. Norman

*Retired Judge Recalled §Assigned Appellate @Deceased 11/22/86

John J. Ahern Charles A. Alfano Harry B. Aron Charles I. Barish Francis Barth Ronald R. Bartkowicz Robert P. Bastone John J. Beatty Samuel S. Berger John M. Berry Janice L. Bierman Michael B. Bolan Lester A. Bonaguro John E. Bowe Everette A. Braden Harry R. Buoscio Edward R. Burr Francis P. Butler Robert Cahill Eugene E. Campion Brent F. Carlson Lawrence W. Carroll Joseph N. Casciato

Benjamin Novoselsky Thomas J. O'Brien Donald P. O'Connell Paul A. O'Malley Frank Orlando John J. O'Toole Romie J. Palmer William E. Peterson Frank R. Petrone Albert S. Porter Will P. Prendergast James S. Quinlan, Jr. Thomas P. Quinn Thomas R. Rakowski Ellis E. Reid Monica D. Reynolds John W. Rogers Joseph A. Salerno Richard L. Samuels Gerald L. Sbarboro Stephen A. Schiller Joseph Schneider Anthony J. Scotillo David I. Shields Harold A. Siegan Frank M. Siracusa Robert L. Sklodowski Dean J. Sodaro Raymond C. Sodini Irvin J. Solganick

Associate Judges

Donna L. Cervini James J. Chrastka George Z. Chrones Carl J. Cipalla Kenneth J. Cohen Thomas J. Condon Mary M. Conrad Joan M. Corboy Peter F. Costa Rosaland M. Crandell Abishi C. Cunningham Ronald S. Davis Julia Quinn Dempsey Barbara J. Disko John J. Divane Gino L. DiVito James G. Donegan Loretta C. Douglas Richard E. Dowdle Thomas P. Durkin Edward M. Fiala, Jr. Howard L. Fink William Fitzpatrick

Pasquale A. Sorrentino *Harry S. Stark Jack G. Stein Adam N. Stillo Earl E. Strayhorn @Frank G. Sulewski Harold W. Sullivan James E. Sullivan Fred G. Suria, Jr. *Alfred B. Teton Lucia T. Thomas Michael P. Toomin Dean M. Trafelet *Raymond E. Trafelet lames Traina John P. Tully Anton J. Valukas John V. Virgilio *Eugene L. Wachowski Alfred T. Walsh Daniel S. Weber Claude E. Whitaker Alexander P. White Daniel John White Willie Whiting Warren D. Wolfson Joseph M. Wosik James A. Zafiratos George J. Zimmerman

John M. Flaherty Glenn C. Fowlkes Lawrence P. Fox Paul R. Foxgrover Nello P. Gamberdino Sheldon C. Garber Jerome Garoon Edwin A. Gausselin Marvin E. Gavin Francis A. Gembala Will E. Gierach Daniel P. Glecier Rene Goier Francis X. Golniewicz Calvin H. Hall Joseph W. Handy James L. Harris Miriam E. Harrison Curtis Heaston William J. Hibbler Ronald A. Himel Earl B. Hoffenberg Thomas E. Hoffman

Martin F. Hogan, Jr. Dennis M. Horan Ann Houser Cornelius Houtsma, Jr. Arthur L. Janura, Jr. Evelyn F. Johnson Themis N. Karnezis Howard R. Kaufman Richard A. Kavitt John T. Keleher R.S. Kelly William A. Kelly Thaddeus L. Kowalski Robert W. Krop Richard A. LaCien John G. Laurie Charles C. Leary Mitchell Leikin Philip S. Lieb Clarence S. Lipnick Daniel M. Locallo Charles M. Loverde Joseph M. Macellaio John K. Madden Ronald E. Magnes Jeffrey A. Malak John J. Mannion Wendell P. Marbly Charles M. May Brendan J. McCooey James P. McCourt Martin E. McDonough Michael E. McNulty James J. Meehan

Frank W. Meekins Matthew J. Moran Patrick J. Morse John E. Morrissey James V. Murphy, II Michael J. Murphy Richard E. Neville Robert F. Nix Julia M. Nowicki Stuart A. Nudelman Daniel I. O'Brien John T. O'Donnell Ronald W. Olson Marcia B. Orr Donald D. Panarese Alfred I. Paul Saul Anthony Perdomo Arthur C. Perivolidis Nicholas T. Pomaro Michael J. Pope Charles E. Porcellino Simon S. Porter Paul P. Preston Francis J. Reilly Robert Retke Ralph Reyna Wayne D. Rhine Edwin J. Richardson Adolphus D. Rivers Gerald T. Rohrer Ioseph H. Romano Arthur Rosenblum George W. Rothschild

Susan S. Ruffolo Marvin H. Ruttenberg John R. Ryan R.A. Salzman Norman Sands Harvard T. Savage James M. Schreier Joseph R. Schwaba Anne O'Laughlin Scott Thomas W. Sherard Philip M. Sheridan Robert M. Smierciak John M. Sorrentino Stewart D. Spitzer Marjan P. Staniec Bruno J. Tassone Mary Jane Theis Morris Topol Joseph J. Urso William F. Ward, Jr. Cyril J. Watson Jack Arnold Welfeld Daniel G. Welter Gene Wilens Walter M. Williams Gerald T. Winiecki William S. Wood Robert R. Wooldridge Leo F. Wrenn Thomas J. Wynn Stephen R. Yates Nicholas Zagone Michael C. Zissman

RATIO OF FILINGS PER JUDGE IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1986

	Number	Population		Total Number	Nur	nber of Judge	s*	Number of
Circuit	of Counties	1980 Census (Official Count)	Land Area (Square Miles)	of Cases Filed During 1986	Circuit	Associate	Total	Cases Filed Per Judge
1st	9	212,393	3,242	50,742	14	5	19	2,670
2nd	12	215,509	4,796	43,168	15	4	19	2,270
3rd	2	263,895	1,114	67,007	8	9	17	3,942
4th	9	247,907	5,425	49,611	12	6	18	2,756
5th	5	197,914	2,885	43,167	10	3	13	3,321
6th	6	368,776	3,178	85,999	12	10	22	3,909
7th	6	306,616	3,485	81,737	11	8	19	4,302
8th	8	156,437	3,918	28,400	11	5	16	1,775
9th	6	197,464	3,904	38,490	9	6	15	2,566
10th	5	360,497	2,129	83,528	10	11	21	3,978
11th	5	240,917	3,863	67,406	8	8	16	4,213
12th	1	324,460	847	93,447	6	10	16	5,840
13th	3	178,835	2,453	35,251	7	6	13	2,712
14th	4	309,192	2,492	75,529	12	10	22	3,433
15th	5	174,501	3,136	38,762	8	6	14	2,769
16th	3	390,231	1,472	120,461	11	12	23	5,237
17th	2	279,514	803	85,225	7	10	17	5,013
18th	1	658,177	331	197,478	10	23	33	5,984
19th	2	588,096	1,068	202,500	10	22	32	6,328
20th	5	358,338	2,652	94,160	11	12	23	4,094
21st	2	135,902	1,800	31,086	6	3	9	3,454
Downstate Total	101	6,165,271	54,993	1,613,154	208	189	397	4,063
Cook County	1	5,253,190	954	2,052,152**	177	168	345	5,948
State Total	102	11,418,461	55,947	3,665,306**	385	357	742	4,940

*Count taken on December 31, 1986.

**Does not include Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

			Law \$15	Over ,000		15,000 less	cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ent main		Municipal Corporations	alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissol Ma
st	Alexander	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	15 2 0 2 3 14	14 25 0 25 15 24	1 1 0 1 1 1	46 92 0 92 99 39	38 7 0 7 7 15 30	15 47 0 47 46 16	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 7 0 7 6 25	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	31 83 5 0 88 70 49
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	73% -1	33% +10	0	59% - 7	70% -8	56% +1	0 0	72% +1	100% 0	0 0	51% +18
st	Jackson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	142 90 0 +2 92 76 158 56% +16	70 68 0 2 66 59 77 39% +7	4 2 0 +5 7 6 5 40% +1	$ \begin{array}{r} 110\\ 246\\ 0\\ -5\\ 241\\ 224\\ 127\\ 13\%\\ +17\\ \end{array} $	81 64 1 0 65 70 76 39% -5	30 53 0 53 46 37 41% +7	14 1 2 0 3 6 11 91% -3	19 23 0 23 31 11 0 -8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	132 285 0 285 302 115 21% - 17
1st	Johnson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	13 11 0 +5 16 9 20 82% +7	19 11 0 -5 6 12 13 69% -6	2 1 0 +1 2 2 2 2 50% 0	17 25 0 -1 24 29 12 17% -5	27 8 0 0 8 18 17 65% -10	6 32 0 32 33 5 40% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 2 0 2 2 2 2 50%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 101 0 101 102 38 21% -1
st	Massac	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	17 17 0 0 17 19 15 20% - 2	8 7 0 7 8 7 43% 1	3 0 0 2 1 100% -2	16 31 0 0 31 37 10 30% -6	41 21 0 21 34 28 54% -13	13 34 0 0 34 34 13 15% 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 2 0 2 18 2 0 - 16	2 1 0 1 2 1 0 -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	35 139 0 139 137 37 14% 2
lst	[•] Pope	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	6 1 0 1 3 3 100%	7 7 0 0 7 4 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 9 0 9 3 12 50%	7 5 0 5 5 7 71%	1 8 0 8 8 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 1 100%	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 22 0 0 22 22 12 8%
1st	Pulaski	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	-3 13 7 0 0 7 8 12	+ 4 2 5 0 0 5 1 6	0 0 0 +1 1 0 1	+6 12 14 0 -1 13 17 8	0 15 22 0 0 22 19 18	0 9 15 0 0 15 14 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-1 7 3 0 0 3 8 2	+1 1 0 0 1 1 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 19 51 1 0 52 56 15
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	58% -1	33% +4	100% +1	38% -4	17% +3	40% +1	0 0	100% - 5	100% 0	100% 0	13% -4

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

						•						
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
72 207 1 0 208 177 103 57%	38 62 0 - 5 57 63 37 38%	41 142 2 +5 149 118 42 14%	114 226 0 226 216 129 26%	18 126 0 126 114 30 7%	189 54 0 54 58 186 74%		2,549 0 2,549 2,180 	 90 0 90 73 	658 3,721 8 0 3,729 3,254 727 51%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Alexander	1st
+ 31 137 231 0 0 231 312 56 13% - 81	-1 75 74 0 0 74 119 30 10% -45		+15 279 471 0 +5 476 590 164 33% -115	+ 12 246 1,066 0 1,066 986 326 12% + 80	- 3 350 113 0 0 113 133 344 72% - 6		 9,232 0 9,232 10,217 	230 0 0 230 209 	+ 69 1,920 14,341 48 0 14,389 15,674 1,834 35% - 86	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Jackson	1st
4 33 0 0 33 30 7 14% +3	13 33 0 0 33 21 25 16% +12	28 91 3 - 20 74 74 28 0 0	37 126 3 + 21 150 138 48 6% + 11	20 136 0 136 120 36 8% +16	46 28 0 28 13 61 59% +15	3 0 3 8 			274 3,177 6 +1 3,184 3,056 315 29% + 41	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Johnson	1st
19 88 0 88 87 20 35% 1	27 47 0 47 63 11 18% - 16	21 91 2 - 22 71 58 34 6% 13	28 179 2 + 22 203 174 57 11% 29	29 125 0 125 113 41 22% 12	99 19 0 19 30 88 81% -11		2,516 0 2,516 2,528 —	 0 0 23 33 	376 3,409 4 0 3,413 3,446 365 35% - 11	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Massac	1st
3 8 0 0 8 6 5 60% +2	7 3 0 0 3 2 8 75% +1	26 38 0 -4 34 45 15 7% -11	30 36 0 +4 40 51 19 53% -11	11 23 0 23 26 8 13% -3	44 7 0 0 7 13 38 84% -6	9 0 9 2 -			162 561 0 561 525 141 52% - 21	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Роре	1st
29 93 0 93 51 71 32% +42	8 12 0 0 12 11 9 78% +1	11 51 7 -13 45 46 10 10% -1	27 70 1 +13 84 76 35 37% +8	18 96 0 96 95 19 47% +1	93 34 1 0 35 23 106 71% +13	- 1 0 1 1 -	2,816 0 2,816 2,930 —		265 3,327 10 0 3,337 3,392 324 47% +59	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Pulaski	1st

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000		15,000 less	icery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al ealth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misc Re	Emir Do	Tax	Mun	Mental Health	Disse
1st	Saline	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	64 39 5 0 44 42 66	49 34 7 0 41 44 46	4 12 0 12 8 8	88 103 11 0 114 158 44	53 53 6 0 59 70 42	62 30 6 0 36 92 6	0 1 0 1 0 0	32 27 4 0 31 50 13	0 1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	69 242 19 0 261 250 80
		Than 12 mos	59% +2	35% - 3	0 + 4	14% - 44	24% 	50% - 56	0 0	0 - 19	0	0	19% +11
1st	Union	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	50 29 0 +1 30 28 55 56%	13 18 0 -1 17 10 18 61%	3 0 0 0 1 3 100% 0	24 48 0 0 48 32 40 38%	39 21 0 21 17 45 71% +6	$ \begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 23 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 23 \\ 39 \\ 26 \\ 62\% \\ -15 \\ \end{array} $		10 12 0 12 6 17 47%		$ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 505 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 505 \\ 509 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ \end{array} $	36 136 0 136 129 43 42% +7
1st	Williamson	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	+ 5 232 102 0 + 13 115 130 217	+ 5 86 81 0 - 13 68 38 116	14 9 0 +4 13 15 12	+ 16 88 238 0 - 4 242 226 96	114 93 0 93 141 66	51 47 0 0 47 66 32	2 1 0 0 1 4 0	+7 2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2	4 2 0 0 2 5 1	$ \begin{array}{c} -4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} $	151 399 0 0 399 376 174
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	57% 	53% + 30	33% -2	20% +8	35% - 48	28% 19	0 - 2	50% 0	0 - 3	0	17% +23
1st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	552 298 5 21 324 318 560	268 256 7 - 21 242 191 318	31 25 0 11 36 35 33	407 806 11 	415 294 7 0 301 389 329	228 289 6 0 295 378 146	16 3 2 0 5 10 11	116 78 4 0 82 124 75	10 6 0 6 9 7	14 506 0 506 511 9	524 1,458 25 0 1,483 1,444 563
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	56% +8	46% + 50	33% +2	24% 	46% - 86	41 % - 82	91% - 5	43% 41	57% - 3	11% -5	23% + 39
2nd	Crawford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	42 17 0 0 17 20 39	62 32 0 0 32 24 70	5 0 0 0 0 2 3	136 97 0 97 62 171	123 61 0 61 46 138	43 30 0 30 23 50	5 4 0 0 4 1 8	32 6 0 0 6 17 21	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 3 3 3 0	130 160 0 160 200 90
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	69% - 3	73% +8	100%	69% +35	70% +15	64% +7	63% +3	100% - 11	0 0	0	67% -40
2nd	Edwards	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	4 1 0 0 1 1 4	32 24 0 0 24 31 25		54 28 0 28 61 21	77 35 0 35 70 42	15 6 0 6 10 11 55%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	5 5 0 5 1 9 44%	11 1 0 1 1 1 1 0		25 47 0 0 47 61 11 18%

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

and bit space bit space bit					1								
68 61 203 120 1055 656 805 2.642 2.9 5.000	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
56 33 110 183 222 58 6 2.723 37 4.290	86 4 0 90 133 43 35%	61 4 0 65 70 52 21%	203 22 - 21 204 222 70 6%	120 7 +21 148 172 103 42%	1055 91 0 1,146 1,042 225 11%	65 8 73 94 150 51%	805 75 0 880 721 —	2,642 253 0 2,895 2,935 —	29 2 0 31 30 -	5,608 524 0 6,132 6,134 948 29%	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Saline	1st
177 63 313 820 1,114 132 97 8,495 123 123 1230 1230 1230	56 0 56 48 28 50%	33 0 33 19 33 39%	110 0 -14 96 91 88 35%	183 0 +14 197 172 136 51%	292 0 292 279 105 40%	0 58 0 58 35 0 89%	6 0 6 2 	2,723 0 2,723 2,449 -	37 0 37 39 -	4,290 0 4,290 3,905 645 65%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Union	1st
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	177 0 0 177 200 42 33%	63 0 63 36 34 0	313 43 - 38 318 258 188 11%	820 30 + 38 888 723 450 22%	1,114 0 0 1,114 1,098 227 1%	132 0 132 89 378 70%	97 0 97 76 	8,495 0 8,495 8,420 —	123 0 123 154 -	12,308 0 12,308 12,058 2,035 33%	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Williamson	1st
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	979 5 0 984 1,044 375 38%	388 4 0 392 404 239 25%	1,425 124 142 1,407 1,272 772 19%	2,231 43 143 2,417 2,312 1,141 73%	4,033 91 0 4,124 3,873 1,017 13%	510 9 519 488 1,351 75%	75 2,771 2,806 	253 34,067 34,338 	647 2 649 673 -	50,742 673 +1 51,416 51,444 7,334 40%	Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	1st
27 27 14 88 110 126 - - - 616	48 0 48 48 92 61%	29 0 29 20 41 61%	101 0 - 21 80 99 20 10%	123 251 0 +21 272 273 122 67%	318 592 0 592 542 368 55%	451 112 0 112 96 467 76%	238 0 238 199 -	2,631 0 2,631 2,474 —	42 0 42 30 -	1,633 4,454 0 4,454 4,179 1,700 66%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Crawford	2nd
20% 100% 11% 48% 71% 77% 56%	27 33 0 0 33 40 20	27 10 0 10 36 1	14 39 0 4 35 29 19	88 67 0 +4 71 129 31	110 115 0 0 115 105 120	126 23 0 23 88 61		 681 0 681 665 	 34 0 34 18 	616 1,161 0 0 1,161 1,366 377	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Edwards	2nd

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000		15,000 less	icery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		unicipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rei	Emin Do	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Disso Ma
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	172 101 0 101 85 188	48 39 1 0 40 43 45	7 0 0 0 0 2 5	186 128 0 128 215 99	177 76 0 76 144 109	41 40 0 40 40 41 40	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	16 5 0 5 8 13	6 0 0 6 5 7	2 2 0 0 2 3 1	138 372 0 372 356 154
		Than 12 mos	45% +16	58% -3	60% -2	31 % - 87	37% -68	28% -1	0 + 2	85% -3	57% +1	0 -1	5% +16
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	23 10 0 +1 11 10 24	6 6 0 -1 5 3 8	3 0 2 +1 1 1 5	55 14 0 -1 13 47 21	31 19 0 19 9 41	2 14 0 14 12 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	28 6 0 6 25 9	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	26 22 0 22 16 32
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or –) \dots	38% +1	63% +2	80% + 2	76% - 34	63% +10	50% + 2	0 0	89% 	0	0	72% +6
2nd	Hamilton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	15 4 0 +1 5 4 16	14 13 0 -1 12 12 14	3 0 0 0 2 1	15 14 0 14 17 12	35 19 0 19 24 30	10 4 0 4 7 7 7	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 39 0 39 40 15
		Than 12 mos	73% +1	60% 0	100% - 2	50% - 3	50% - 5	71% - 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	40% -1
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	13 5 0 5 1 17 71% +4	10 3 0 0 3 5 8 88% -2	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	4 4 0 0 4 5 3 67% -1	16 7 0 7 6 17 76% +1	12 3 0 3 3 12 75% 0	0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7	17 4 0 4 16 7 57% - 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	21 31 0 0 31 35 15 47% -6
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	105 61 0 +7 68 50 123	80 52 0 -7 45 34 91	9 1 0 +1 2 9	282 164 0 -1 163 131 314	105 70 0 70 52 123	30 50 0 50 31 49	8 4 0 0 4 0 12	44 3 0 0 3 6 41	4 1 0 1 0 5	0 6 0 6 3 3	112 329 0 0 329 293 148
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	79% +18	87% +11	0	76% +32	66% +18	61% +19	100% +4	93% - 3	80% +1	0 + 3	54% + 36
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	34 15 0 +2 17 14 37	40 13 0 -2 11 27 24	3 1 0 0 1 0 4	67 48 0 48 65 50	73 28 0 0 28 38 63	33 21 0 0 21 21 33	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	26 7 0 0 7 29 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	84 103 0 0 103 138 49
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	57% +3	71% - 16	75% +1	42% - 17	73% 10	61% 0	100% 0	50% - 22	0 0	0	33% - 35

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	1,280 7,472 2 0 7,474 7,553 1,442 37% +162	125 0 0 125 132 			0 142 1 0 143 154 0 68% 0	124 599 0 599 506 217 27% + 93	$ \begin{array}{r} 121\\586\\0\\+23\\609\\531\\181\\13\%\\+60\end{array} $	83 210 0 - 23 187 167 121 15% + 38	30 70 0 70 50 50 14% + 20	$ \begin{array}{r} 129\\ 160\\ 0\\ 160\\ 79\\ 210\\ 26\%\\ +81\\ \end{array} $
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	675 1,414 2 0 1,416 1,669 523 71% -152	 0 0 23 119 	908 0 908 817 		226 31 0 31 154 102 72% - 124	$ \begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 125 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 125 \\ 106 \\ 62 \\ 48\% \\ + 19 \\ \end{array} $	168 105 0 + 8 113 124 157 82% - 11	25 30 -8 22 26 21 62% -4	19 9 0 9 8 20 85% +1	20 27 0 27 30 17 71% -3
2nd	Hamilton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	304 1,118 0 1 1,119 1,136 269 53% - 35				74 32 0 32 38 68 60% -6	70 148 0 0 148 156 62 55% - 8	21 61 0 +1 62 73 8 13% -13	20 26 0 26 32 14 21% - 6	7 3 0 3 4 6 6 7% -1	4 27 0 27 15 16 25% +12
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	288 480 0 480 441 259 60% - 29	5 0 5 5 	296 0 296 228 		42 19 0 19 16 45 64% + 3	72 32 0 32 43 61 61% -11	26 26 0 +6 32 40 14 14% -12	$ \begin{array}{c} 15\\ 18\\ 0\\ -6\\ 12\\ 26\\ 1\\ 0\\ -14\\ \end{array} $	19 5 0 5 5 23 74% 4	19 15 0 0 15 6 28 54% 9
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	2,041 8,355 0 8,355 8,085 2,012 59% - 29	 82 0 82 58 	5,489 0 5,489 5,254 	264 0 264 234 	73 101 0 0 101 81 85 85% +12	665 894 0 0 894 1,170 389 29% - 276	259 396 0 +13 409 347 318 71% +59	82 188 0 - 13 175 165 93 39% + 11	72 56 0 56 63 65 52% -7	$ \begin{array}{r} 111\\ 144\\ 0\\ 0\\ 144\\ 111\\ 144\\ 68\%\\ +33\\ \end{array} $
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	1,417 3,321 0 3,321 3,263 1,394 70% -23	59 0 0 59 62 	2,198 0 2,198 2,116 — —	 60 0 60 58 	564 62 0 62 35 591 91% + 27	200 254 0 254 182 272 63% +72	158 295 0 +13 308 362 104 23% -54	36 78 0 -13 65 62 39 8% +3	21 20 0 20 12 29 66% +8	77 59 0 0 59 42 94 78% +17

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000		15,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Cha	Mis R	E	Тах	W	Mer	Diss
2nd	Richland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	46 20 0 +5 25 19 52 60% +6	69 20 1 -5 19 18 67 85% -2	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0 \\ +4 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 100\% \\ +2 \end{array} $	$93 \\ 130 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 126 \\ 103 \\ 116 \\ 56\% \\ +23$	55 34 0 0 34 27 62 61% +7	15 16 0 16 8 23 52% +8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 4 0 4 0 14 71% + 4	3 0 0 0 0 3 100% 0	0 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 0 0	52 101 0 101 111 42 50% -10
2nd	Wabash	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	20 5 0 5 8 17 70% - 3	14 31 2 0 33 26 21 43% +7	11 0 0 0 7 4 25% -7	26 64 3 0 67 49 44 7% +18	54 23 1 0 24 46 32 53% - 22	13 18 0 0 18 20 11 36% - 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 1 0 1 9 0 0 -8	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	62 101 39 0 140 139 63 44% +1
2nd	Wayne	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	30 12 0 12 12 28 61% -2	40 55 0 0 55 33 61 44% +21	5 4 0 4 3 5 60% 0	94 121 0 121 92 119 54% +25	92 75 0 75 46 121 56% + 29	11 16 0 16 16 12 75% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 0 4 100% 0	2 0 0 0 1 1 100% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 113 0 0 113 96 53 55% +14
2nd	White	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	30 21 0 +2 23 12 41 51% +11	42 26 0 -2 24 28 38 55% -4	4 0 +1 1 2 3 67% -1	68 65 0 -1 64 54 78 51% +10	76 68 0 68 41 103 51% + 27	11 9 0 9 8 12 75% +1	10 1 0 1 5 6 83% -4	14 0 0 0 0 0 14 100% 0	3 1 0 1 1 3 100% 0		63 123 0 0 123 118 68 22% + 5
2nd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	534 272 0 18 290 236 586 56%	457 314 4 - 18 300 284 472 66%	55 6 2 7 15 24 45 78%	1,080 877 3 7 873 901 1,048 59%	914 515 1 0 516 549 881 58%	236 227 0 227 200 264 56%	25 18 0 0 18 6 37 65%	204 41 0 0 41 111 136 85%	29 10 0 10 19 20 75%	3 16 0 16 13 6 17%	768 1,541 39 0 1,580 1,603 740 41%
3rd	Bond	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	+ 52 27 7 0 0 7 12 27 67%	+15 18 18 0 0 18 7 21 52%	-10 2 1 0 0 1 0 4 75%	-32 11 20 0 0 20 9 18 22%	- 33 17 17 0 0 17 10 20 55%	+ 28 4 10 0 10 5 6 50%	+ 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	68 6 2 0 0 2 0 8 75%	-9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+3 0 7 0 0 7 7 0 0	- 28 25 67 0 0 67 53 21 38%

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
59 69 0 69 58 70	22 18 0 18 20 20	39 138 0 - 12 126 101 64	117 474 1 +12 486 415 189	182 581 0 581 581 564 199	217 63 0 63 49 231				983 4,503 2 0 4,505 4,572 1,158	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	County Richland	Circuit
40% +11	45% -2	19% +25	10% +72	42% +17	74% +14		_	_	49% +175	Than 12 mos		
51 53 1 0 54 18 87 66% + 36	49 48 0 48 35 62 50% +13	22 72 2 8 66 57 31 3% +9	$ \begin{array}{r} 128\\ 321\\ 5\\ +8\\ 334\\ 313\\ 150\\ 31\%\\ +22\\ \end{array} $	95 286 2 0 288 282 101 5% +6	184 43 1 0 44 38 190 90% + 6	174 0 0 174 84 —		46 0 46 22 	737 2,619 56 0 2,675 2,248 814 47% 77	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Wabash	2nd
41 70 0 70 40 69 52% + 28	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 40 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 40 \\ 34 \\ 21 \\ 52\% \\ +8 \\ \end{array} $	23 59 0 -4 55 50 29 21% +6	51 130 0 +4 134 134 57 35% +6	313 415 0 415 362 346 76% + 33	149 51 0 51 52 154 77% + 5		2,327 0 0 2,327 2,390 - -	49 0 0 49 31 	907 3,577 0 0 3,577 3,430 1,080 63% 173	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Wayne	2nd
35 89 0 89 86 38 50% + 3	32 33 0 0 33 39 26 27% -6	$ 18 108 2 -6 104 71 51 16% + 33 } $	36 278 0 +6 284 269 51 8% +15	131 444 0 0 444 448 127 14% -4	209 67 0 67 126 150 71% - 59				782 4,694 2 0 4,696 4,535 809 45% + 27	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	White	2nd
665 794 1 0 795 573 885 56%	343 341 0 341 326 364 50%	416 1,067 4 118 953 885 503 20%	1,296 2,990 6 119 3,115 3,010 1,382 35%	2,323 4,485 2 0 4,487 4,466 2,324 43%	2,315 746 2 0 748 927 2,144 79%	1,005 0 1,005 907 -	27,352 0 27,352 26,892 —	551 0 551 545 —	11,663 43,168 64 +1 43,233 42,477 11,837 81%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	2nd
+ 220 19 22 0 0 22 11 12	+ 21 15 23 0 0 23 17 14	+ 87 44 66 0 - 5 61 72 24	+86 105 156 0 +5 161 184 69	+1 146 268 0 0 268 221 169	- 171 134 51 0 0 51 37 141				+ 174 573 2,004 0 2,004 1,961 554	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Bond	3rd
 67% - 7	64% -1	38% - 20	55% - 36	49% +23	72% +7		-	_	56% 	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

							+				1		
			Law \$15,			15,000 less Non-	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Jury	Jury	Jury							
3rd	Madison	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	1,918 564 15 + 456 1,035 960 1,993	690 2,239 11 - 456 1,794 311 2,173	228 50 5 +70 125 126 227	557 646 7 - 70 583 455 685	413 374 4 0 378 360 431	291 445 3 0 448 400 339	82 40 1 0 41 25 98	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 635 0 0 635 642 7	1,078 1,717 0 0 1,717 1,537 1,258
		Than 12 mos	62% +75	20% +1,483	58% -1	48% +128	54% +18	45% + 48	67% +16	0	0	0 -7	59% +180
3rd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	1,945 571 15 456 1,042 972 2,020 62%	708 2,257 11 - 456 1,812 318 2,194 20%	230 51 5 70 126 126 231 66%	568 666 7 70 603 464 703 47%	430 391 4 0 395 370 451 54%	295 455 3 0 458 405 345 45%	82 40 1 0 41 25 98 67%	6 2 0 2 0 8 75%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 642 0 0 642 649 7 0	1,103 1,784 0 1,784 1,590 1,279 58%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 75	+1,486	+1	+135	+ 21	+ 50	+16	+ 2	0	-7	+ 176
4th	Christian	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	61 25 0 +4 29 28 62 56% +1	$ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 26 \\ 20 \\ 46 \\ 50\% \\ +6 \\ \end{array} $	4 0 0 0 2 2 100% -2	86 116 0 116 90 112 57% + 26	118 56 0 56 46 128 67% +10	26 23 0 23 11 38 58% +12	6 3 0 3 3 6 100% 0	12 1 0 1 0 13 92% +1	35 0 0 0 0 35 100% 0	45 10 0 10 0 55 82% +10	92 217 0 217 192 117 33% + 25
4th	Clay	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	21 11 0 +4 15 13 23 48% +2	21 11 0 -4 7 18 10 70% -11	3 0 +2 2 2 3 67% 0	25 47 0 -2 45 43 27 30% +2	68 35 0 35 65 38 39% - 30	5 22 0 0 22 21 6 33% +1	0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	34 4 0 0 4 29 9 9 67% - 25	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	35 91 0 91 107 19 16% - 16
4th	Clinton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	38 38 0 0 38 30 46 33% +8	34 31 0 0 31 23 42 45% +8	3 2 0 2 3 2 50% -1	133 83 0 0 83 106 110 55% - 23	54 45 0 0 45 64 35 43% - 19	6 25 0 25 26 5 20% -1	3 2 0 2 4 1 0 -2	27 4 0 0 4 18 13 69% -14	4 2 0 2 1 5 80% +1	1 0 0 0 0 1 1 100% 0	66 98 0 98 116 48 35% - 18
4th	Effingham	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	73 36 0 36 38 71 54% -2	34 26 0 26 27 33 61% -1	8 2 0 2 7 3 100% -5	79 81 0 81 73 87 62% + 8	27 32 0 0 32 23 36 39% + 9	15 55 0 0 55 51 19 42% +4	0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0	5 11 0 0 11 10 6 50% +1	2 2 0 0 2 0 4 50% +2	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	58 159 0 0 159 147 70 29% +12

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total			
2,329 1,301 0 1,301 1,142 2,488	485 665 0 0 665 807 343	283 1,035 63 - 135 963 847 399	3,602 5,870 0 + 135 6,005 5,630 3,977	2,295 6,485 0 6,485 5,692 3,088	2,699 740 0 740 564 2,875		37,736 0 0 37,736 37,002 -		16,964 65,003 109 0 65,112 60,892 20,381	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	County Madison	Circuit
83% +159 2,348 1,323 0 0 1,323	3% -142 500 688 0 0 688	4% +116 327 1,101 63 -140 1,024	39% + 375 3,707 6,026 0 140 6,166	19% + 793 2,441 6,753 0 0 6,753	77% +176 2,833 791 0 0 791	 4,336 0 0 4,336	 38,954 0 0 38,954	 176 0 176	48% +3,417 17,537 67,007 109 0 67,116	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added	Circuit Total	3rc
 1,153 2,500 83% +152 41	824 357 5% -143 72	919 423 6% +96	5,814 4,046 39% + 339 100	5,913 3,257 20% + 816 270	601 3,016 76% +183 638	4,275	38,304	131 — — —	62,853 20,935 48% + 3,398	Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Inventory (+ or –)	Christian	4tł
122 0 122 105 58 31% +17	111 0 0 111 100 83 35% +11	95 3 6 92 75 42 5% +17	382 6 + 6 394 398 96 5% - 4	828 0 828 731 367 59% + 97	184 0 0 184 264 580 70% - 58	58 0 58 60 	3,737 0 0,737 3,974 	274 0 274 259 	6,272 0 6,272 6,358 1,840 57% + 169	Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)		
8 53 0 0 53 45 16 13% +8	8 20 0 20 21 7 14% -1	22 59 0 -1 58 69 11 0 -11	48 127 0 +1 128 146 30 23% -18	248 277 0 0 277 438 87 438 43% - 161	280 87 0 0 87 127 240 73% - 40	- 11 0 11 11 11 - -		42 0 0 42 18 	826 2,028 0 2,028 2,326 527 522% - 299	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Clay	4t
43 62 0 62 62 43 30%	13 46 0 46 50 9 0	31 109 0 -9 100 94 37 5%	142 572 0 + 9 581 566 131	584 342 0 342 786 140 36%	810 107 0 107 439 479 84%		3,937 0 3,937 3,950 —	245 0 245 209 —	1,992 5,753 0 5,753 6,559 1,147 53%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Clinton	4th
0 66 96 0 96 79 83 61%	-4 11 33 0 0 33 32 12 33%	+6 48 116 0 -9 107 116 39 5%	-11 162 535 0 +9 544 494 212 30%	- 444 103 638 0 0 638 618 123 6%	- 331 317 121 0 0 121 88 350 68%					Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Effingham	4th

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

			Law \$15		Law \$ or	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissol Ma
4th	Fayette	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	37 23 0 23 31 29	37 29 0 29 30 36	4 11 0 11 10 5	59 72 0 72 47 84	112 45 0 45 40 117	60 30 0 30 42 48	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	26 7 0 7 10 23	9 2 0 2 5 6	1 2 0 2 0 3	140 167 0 167 169 138
		Than 12 mos	48% -8	28% -1	60% +1	55% +25	78% +5	94% -12	100% 0	87% -3	67% -3	33% +2	72% -2
4th	Jasper	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	18 5 0 +1 6 15 9	12 22 0 -1 21 26 7	2 1 0 +1 2 4 0	49 29 0 -1 28 64 13	87 32 0 0 32 72 47	6 6 0 6 9 3	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	3 2 0 0 2 2 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	27 45 0 45 57 15
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	56% -9	43% -5		15% - 36	49% - 40	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$	0 +1	67% 0	0	0	40% -12
4th	Marion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	87 101 0 +10 111 89 132 28% +45	121 61 0 -10 51 96 56 25% -65	18 5 0 +1 6 5 6 33% -12	57 121 0 1 120 101 72 29% +15	137 67 0 67 139 65 32% -72	$ \begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 38 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 38 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 38\% \\ -33 \end{array} $	3 9 0 9 6 3 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 62 \\ 1 \\ 100\% \\ -59 \\ \end{array} $	2 0 0 0 2 0 -2		223 292 0 292 364 160 34% -63
4th	Montgomery	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	56 29 0 0 29 28 52 58% - 4	57 56 0 0 56 48 60 28% + 3	6 1 0 1 5 2 100% -4	83 62 0 0 62 69 76 54% -7	61 39 0 0 39 32 68 49% +7	31 69 0 69 69 31 23% 0	1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 100% 0	33 34 13 0 0 13 22 25 64% -9	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	0 5 0 5 5 0 0 0 0 0	75 202 0 202 206 71 8% -4
4th	Shelby	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	29 12 0 12 11 30 60% +1	16 12 0 0 12 14 14 36% -2	0 2 0 +1 3 1 2 50% +2	28 42 0 -1 41 36 33 33% +5	38 16 0 16 8 46 72% +8	97 21 0 0 21 6 112 86% +15	4 0 0 0 0 4 100% 0	13 11 0 0 11 12 12 12 42% -1	6 3 0 3 3 6 100% 0	3 5 0 5 9 7 43% +4	33 88 0 0 88 98 20 0 -13
4th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	420 280 0 19 299 283 454 454 45% + 34	372 278 0 - 19 259 302 304 39% - 68	48 24 0 5 29 39 25 64% - 23	599 653 0 - 5 648 629 614 50% + 15	702 367 0 0 367 489 580 57% -122	295 289 0 0 289 302 278 67% -17	18 20 0 20 17 18 67% 0	214 56 0 56 165 105 70% - 109	59 9 0 9 12 56 91% - 3	50 23 0 0 23 14 67 75% +17	749 1,359 0 0 1,359 1,456 658 37% - 91

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	85 42 0 42 64 63	11 65 0 65 65 11	23 92 0 - 3 89 81 31	127 289 0 + 3 292 326 93	357 588 0 0 588 617 328	269 123 0 0 123 123 269		3,315 0 0,3,315 3,415 —	 73 0 0 73 63 	1,358 5,016 0 5,016 5,016 5,173 1,285	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Fayette	···· 4th
	5% - 22	0	10% +8	10% - 34	62% - 29	64% 0	_	-	_	60% -73	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
	18 41 0 41 35 24 6%	32 43 0 43 56 19 26%	20 50 0 -14 36 38 18 11%	40 151 0 +14 165 181 24 4%	89 152 0 152 209 32 6%	80 43 0 0 43 63 60 58%	35 0 0 35 37 -	1,814 0 1,814 1,757 —	41 0 0 41 39	483 2,513 0 2,513 2,664 275 35%	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Jasper	4th
	+6	-13	- 2	-16	- 57	- 20	-	.—	-	- 208	Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
67	373 136 0 136 445 122 7% 251	67 91 0 91 84 60 37% -7	91 167 0 -13 154 159 62 10% -29	247 405 0 +13 418 415 254 54% +7	154 780 0 780 781 153 7% -1	1,013 169 0 169 644 538 71% -475	126 0 126 111 -	8,342 0 8,342 6,982 - -	29 0 0 29 25 	2,702 10,942 0 10,942 10,577 1,700 47% -1,002	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Marion	4th
40	59 98 0 98 92 65 0% +6	9 67 0 67 46 30 10% + 21	52 117 0 6 111 101 52 10% 0	97 400 0 +6 406 309 194 26% +97	184 783 0 783 786 181 9% - 3	409 137 0 0 137 124 422 72% +13		4,792 0 4,792 4,297 - -	101 0 101 82 -	1,215 7,017 0 7,017 6,364 1,330 42% 115	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Montgomery	4th
	44 51 0 51 66 25	34 37 0 0 37 54 18	22 43 0 -2 41 72 14	55 210 0 +2 212 200 62 0	148 391 0 0 391 437 102	280 121 0 0 121 121 280	7 0 7 5	1,467 0 0,467 1,327 —	124 0 124 127	850 2,663 0 2,663 2,607 787	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Shelby	4th
	4% -19	22% 16	43% -8	0 + 7	22% - 46	62% 0	_	_	_	51% -63	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
	737 701 0 0 701 993 499	257 513 0 513 508 249	334 848 - 63 788 805 306	1,018 3,071 6 63 3,140 3,061 1,096	2,137 4,779 0 4,779 5,403 1,513	4,096 1,092 0 1,092 1,993 3,218			937 0 937 829 —	12,105 49,611 9 0 49,620 49,501 10,040	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	Circuit Total	4th
	2% 238	27% -8	9% - 28	25% +78	38% -624	71% - 878				51% - 2,065	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
			Law \$15,		Law \$ or		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
---------	---------------	---	--	--	--	---	---	--	---	---	--	--	---
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Re	Emin Do	Tax	Muni Co	Men He	Disso
5th	Clark	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	18 15 1 0 16 7 27	27 24 0 24 16 35	2 1 0 1 1 2	31 43 0 0 43 30 44	39 24 0 24 13 50	11 6 0 6 4 13	0 0 0 0 0 0	14 8 0 8 7 15	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0	50 101 0 101 84 67
		Than 12 mos	52% +9	57% +8	50% 0	43% +13	62% +11	62% +2	0 0	53% 1	0 0	0 -1	45% +17
5th	Coles	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	173 86 0 +1 87 74 186 58%	124 41 0 1 40 77 87 67%	3 3 0 3 2 4 25%	193 149 0 149 106 236 70%	98 28 0 28 35 91 85%	116 55 0 0 55 46 125 83%	5 0 0 0 1 4 100%	56 21 0 21 20 57 79%	4 0 0 0 0 0 4 100%	12 0 0 0 0 0 12 100%	170 365 0 365 325 210 42%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+13	- 37	+1	+ 43	-7	+9	-1	+1	0	0	+ 40
5th	Cumberland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	8 15 0 15 8 15 47% +7	20 17 0 0 17 10 27 55% +7	1 2 0 2 2 1 100% 0	20 27 0 0 27 22 25 53% + 5	34 16 0 0 16 6 44 74% +10	0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	4 4 0 4 1 7 57% +3			57 66 0 66 57 66 71% +9
5th	Edgar	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	41 26 0 26 24 43 67% +2	24 8 0 8 13 19 74% - 5	3 0 0 0 0 1 2 100% -1	84 50 0 50 44 90 79% +6	35 22 0 0 22 20 37 70% +2	19 26 0 26 24 21 90% +2	3 0 0 0 2 1 100% -2	5 1 0 1 0 6 83% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 1 0 1 0 7 86% +1	58 154 0 0 154 130 82 49% + 24
5th	Vermilion	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	269 156 5 +5 166 151 284 50%	86 60 3 -5 58 47 98 56%	29 9 0 +6 15 9 35 63%	252 492 2 -6 488 383 356 49%	122 117 0 0 117 134 105 30%	91 95 1 96 93 94 61%	42 13 0 13 20 35 71%	46 73 0 0 73 35 84 36%		184 51 0 51 204 31 3%	415 588 0 588 688 315 33%
5th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	+ 15 509 298 6 + 6 310 264 555 54%	+12 281 150 3 -3 150 163 266 61%	+6 38 15 0 +6 21 15 44 61%	+ 104 580 761 2 - 5 758 585 751 59%	-17 328 207 0 0 207 208 327 60%	+3 237 184 1 0 185 169 253 75%	-7 51 13 0 0 13 23 41 76%	+ 38 125 107 0 0 107 63 169 54%	0 4 1 0 0 1 1 4 100%	- 153 203 52 0 0 52 205 50 38%	- 100 750 1,274 0 0 1,274 1,284 740 42%

 								* 				
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
45 59 0 59 53 51	12 37 0 0 37 27 22	37 70 0 -6 64 80 21	139 257 0 + 6 263 310 92	152 509 0 0 509 490 171	366 94 0 0 94 194 266	7 0 0 7 6	4,206 0 4,206 3,913 —	98 0 98 94 —	944 5,559 1 0 5,560 5,330 876	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Clark	5th
 27% +6	23% +10	0 - 16	61% - 47	21% +19	70% 	_	_	_	49% -68	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
140 178 0 178 152 166 68%	65 89 0 89 94 60 78%	42 231 0 - 26 205 150 97 17%	139 618 0 + 26 644 584 199 20%	540 1,192 0 1,192 1,050 682 55%	0 175 0 0 175 174 0 83%	1,038 0 1,038 997 —			1,880 8,213 0 8,213 10,518 2,220 64%	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Coles	5th
 + 26	- 5	+ 55	+ 60	+ 142	0	_	_	-	+ 340	During (+ or -)		5.1
58 23 0 23 2 79 73% +21	30 9 0 9 2 37 84% +7	$ \begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 25 \\ 21 \\ 47 \\ 68\% \\ +4 \\ \end{array} $	$ 129 \\ 58 \\ 0 \\ + 3 \\ 61 \\ 38 \\ 152 \\ 85\% \\ + 23 $	50 135 0 135 109 76 59% +26	0 61 0 61 32 0 0	3 0 3 2 			455 2,401 0 2,401 2,102 577 63% +122	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Cumberland	5th
143 111 0 0 111 113 141 72% - 2	100 63 0 0 63 55 108 74% +8	55 85 0 - 25 60 60 46 46 46% - 9	154 294 0 + 25 319 323 146 48% - 8	242 422 0 422 376 288 65% + 46	534 128 0 128 107 555 79% + 21	21 0 0 21 20 -	– 1,993 90 0 1,993 1,958 –	59 0 0 59 48 	1,506 3,464 90 0 3,554 3,318 1,592 70% + 86	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Edgar	5th
1,049 866 0 866 621 1,294	298 118 0 0 118 271 145	261 337 0 -77 260 201 322	721 704 0 +77 781 894 608	832 1,509 13 0 1,522 1,261 1,095	1,408 358 0 0 358 280 1,486				6,105 20,474 26 0 20,500 20,310 6,387	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Vermilion	5th
75% + 245	57% 	43% +61	43% 	59% +263	79% +78		_	_	61% + 282	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
1,435 1,237 0 1,237 941 1,731	505 316 0 316 449 372	438 751 2 - 109 644 512 533	1,282 1,931 0 + 137 2,068 2,149 1,197	1,816 3,767 13 0 3,780 3,286 2,312	2,308 816 0 816 787 2,307	2,512 0 2,512 3,697 —	28,313 90 0 28,403 26,375 		10,890 43,167 117 32 43,316 41,578 11,652	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	Circuit Total	5th
73% +296	65% 133	36% +95	46% - 85	55% + 496	79% 1	-			62% +762	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		

			Law \$15		Law \$ or I		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Re	Emine Do	Tax	Muni Co	Mental Health	Disso
6th	Champaign	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	523 266 9 + 22 297 271 552	194 136 2 - 22 116 158 154	26 5 1 +13 19 20 25	407 464 - 13 455 468 393	168 150 1 0 151 140 179	88 111 3 0 114 121 81	20 29 1 0 30 17 33	11 3 0 3 8 6	1 0 0 1 2 0	50 75 0 75 75 114 11	598 987 1 0 988 982 604
		Than 12 mos	55% +29	50% - 40	48% -1	43% -14	52% +11	72% -7	45% +13	67% -5	0 -1	0 - 39	47% +6
6th	DeWitt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	15 25 0 25 14 26	15 12 1 0 13 10 18	0 2 0 0 2 0 2	18 124 0 0 124 104 38	10 22 0 0 22 14 18	12 16. 0 16 19 9	7 0 0 0 8 2	0 3 0 0 3 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0	23 121 1 0 122 100 44
		Than 12 mos	25% +11	22% +3	33% +2		8% +8	11% - 3	100% - 5		0	0	0 + 21
6th	Douglas	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	28 18 0 +2 20 22 27 44% -1	19 11 0 -2 9 15 12 42% -7	3 2 0 0 2 4 0 0 -3	56 61 0 61 54 58 48% + 2	32 20 0 20 29 25 64% -7	15 10 0 10 13 11 45% -4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	82 93 0 93 100 62 37% - 20
6th	Macon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	324 221 0 221 223 322 58% - 2	156 121 0 121 100 177 55% + 21	9 8 0 8 1 6 33% -3	829 836 0 836 779 886 41% +57	260 242 0 242 219 283 32% + 23	$ \begin{array}{c} 68 \\ 96 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 96 \\ 98 \\ 66 \\ 42\% \\ -2 \end{array} $	12 1 0 1 12 1 100% -11	74 3 0 0 3 47 30 100% -44	52 48 0 0 48 55 45 45 44% -7	22 163 0 163 174 11 45% -11	502 879 0 879 920 461 24% - 41
6th	Moultrie	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	28 11 0 +2 13 11 30 67% +2	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 12\\ 0\\ -2\\ 10\\ 7\\ 15\\ 60\%\\ +3\\ \end{array} $	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	19 41 0 41 39 21 62%	28 22 0 0 22 12 38 63%	9 8 0 0 8 9 8 63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	46 1 0 1 0 47 98%		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 57 0 57 63 24 50%
6th	Piatt	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+2 10 9 0 +1 10 0 16 50% +6	+3 9 19 0 -1 18 24 7 29% -2	-1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 100% 0	+2 19 33 0 0 33 42 10 30% -9	+10 16 13 0 0 13 17 12 67% -4	-1 4 12 0 0 12 11 5 20% +1	0 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+1 8 6 0 0 6 1 13 62% +5			-6 63 94 0 0 94 113 44 27% -19

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
555 887 6 0 893 715 734	257 0	243 853 30 - 4 879 793 338	312 1,394 37 + 4 1,435 1,390 352	3,170 4,634 25 0 4,659 4,585 3,245	2,159 427 0 0 427 254 2,342		 22,450 0 0 22,450 22,640 		8,721 35,163 122 0 35,285 34,482 9,323	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	County Champaign	6th
40% + 179	61% +78	2% +95	2% +40	55% +75	82% +183	_	-	_	56% +602	Than 12 mos		
12 75 0 0 75 64 24 0	7 69 0 0 69 54 22 0	27 82 0 -18 64 69 22 0	49 334 0 + 18 352 328 73 3%	36 278 0 278 259 55 0	249 82 0 0 82 78 255 77%	58 0 58 54 	2,967 0 2,967 2,621 —	280 0 280 250 -	480 4,551 2 0 4,553 4,048 610 48%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	DeWitt	6th
+12	+15	- 5	+ 24	+ 19 185	+ 6	-	-	-	+130	Inventory (+ or –)	Douglas	6th
107 0 107 78 63 35%	15 0 15 12 16 75%	70 0 7 63 56 25 0	164 0 +7 171 146 76 18%	392 0 392 353 200	113 0 0 113 60 261 61%	38 0 38 43 —	4,280 0 4,280 3,859 —	540 0 540 373 —	5,934 0 5,934 5,217 836 46%	Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Dougras	6th
+ 16 404 821 0 0 821 694 531 55% + 127	0 136 479 0 0 479 377 238 12% + 102	+7 124 644 1 -130 515 546 93 5% -31	+ 25 627 2,185 0 + 130 2,315 2,415 527 22% - 100	+ 15 1,782 3,725 0 0 3,725 3,709 1,798 36% + 16	+ 53 1,719 484 0 0 484 351 1,852 77% + 133		 23,135 0 23,135 19,428 		+76 7,100 35,003 1 0 35,004 31,016 7,327 47% +227	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Macon	6th
15 49 0 0 49 46 18	6 25 0 0 25 22 9	14 40 6 4 42 38 18	33 166 0 +4 170 184 19	33 235 0 0 235 234 34	196 73 0 73 49 221			 78 0 78 87 	470 2,517 0 2,517 2,517 2,479 502	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Moultrie	6th
22%	50% + 3	50% + 4	26% -14	18% +1	72% +25	_			60% + 32	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
14 35 0 0 35 37 12	80 0 0 80 77	$ \begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 65 \\ 0 \\ -21 \\ 44 \\ 42 \\ 32 \\ \end{array} $	76 269 0 + 21 290 307 59	83 242 1 0 243 271 55	0 59 0 59 56 0				344 2,831 1 0 2,832 2,833 289	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Piatt	6th
33% - 2	7% + 3	0 + 2	3% - 17	16% - 28	72% 0				41% - 55	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		

			Law \$15,		Law \$´ or I		ک ر	aneous edy	t ain		unicipal Corporations	th	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolu Marr
6th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	928 550 9 + 27 586 541 973	405 311 3 - 25 289 314 383	40 18 + 12 31 27 34	1,348 1,559 4 14 1,549 1,486 1,406	514 469 1 0 470 431 555 43%	196 253 5 0 256 271 180 57%	39 39 1 0 40 37 45 40%	139 16 0 16 57 98 90%	53 49 0 49 57 45 44%	72 239 0 0 239 289 22 23%	1,298 2,231 1 0 2,232 2,278 1,239 39%
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	55% +45	51% - 22	46% -6	41 % + 58	+ 41	- 16	+6	- 41	-8	- 50	- 59
7th	Greene	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	5 3 0 3 3 5 40%	46 28 0 28 25 45 11%	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	20 30 0 30 26 24 17%	16 11 0 11 22 5	0 12 0 12 10 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 16 0 16 2 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 0 4 4 0	56 79 4 0 83 108 23 22%
		Inventory (+ or –)	0	-1	+ 2	+ 4	-11	+ 2	0	+14	0	0	- 33
7th	Jersey	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	47 38 0 +1 39 43 43 70%	39 21 0 21 28 32 44%	6 21 0 21 20 7 29%	36 34 0 0 34 38 32 13%	18 20 0 20 11 27 41%	17 20 0 20 22 15 80%	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 0 2 3 0	36 98 0 98 98 36 28%
		Inventory (+ or -)	- 4	-7	+1	-4	+9	-2	0	+1	0	-1	0
7th	Macoupin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	82 48 0 48 23 107 65%	109 61 0 61 32 136 67%	11 6 0 6 6 11 82%	254 135 0 135 44 332 74%	119 39 0 39 27 131 78%	32 15 0 15 5 42 91%	2 2 0 2 1 2 50%	41 33 0 0 33 16 58 64%	13 1 0 1 2 12 92%	4 0 0 0 0 4 100%	179 302 0 0 302 206 275 55%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 25	+ 27	0	+ 78	+12	+10	0	+17	-1	0	+ 96
7th	Morgan	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	49 28 0 + 7 35 25 59	21 42 0 - 7 35 31 25	2 4 0 +2 6 5 3	57 160 0 - 2 158 164 51	29 38 0 38 43 24	5 42 0 0 42 43 4		0 3 0 0 3 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 9 0 9 8 1	42 205 0 205 199 48
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	56% +10	24% +4	0 +1	8% -6	8% -5	0 -1	0	0 0	0	0 +1	6% +6
7th	Sangamon	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	712 261 0 261 308 675	714 249 0 0 249 211 752	33 24 0 0 24 20 45	872 1,655 20 0 1,675 1,668 916	687 257 0 0 257 125 819	537 265 0 265 250 552	13 15 0 0 15 8 20	143 18 0 0 18 17 144	3 3 0 0 3 1 5	0 389 0 0 389 389 0	428 1,201 0 1,201 1,010 601
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	64% - 37	71% +38	31% +12	36% +44	75% +132	66% +15	65% +7	92% +1	60% + 2	0 0	29% +173

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,047 1,974 7 0 1,981 1,634 1,382 45%	372 925 0 925 721 573 36%	456 1,754 37 - 176 1,615 1,544 528 2%	1,148 4,512 37 + 176 4,725 4,770 1,106 13%	5,289 9,506 26 0 9,532 9,411 5,387 46%	4,531 1,238 1 0 1,239 848 4,931 78%	2,933 2 0 2,935 2,494 —		1,027 0 1,027 820 	17,875 85,999 133 0 86,132 80,075 18,887 52%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	6th
+335 16 37 0 0 37 44 9 22% -7	+ 201 14 8 0 0 8 18 4 0 -10	+72 25 47 0 -13 34 38 21 0 -4	$ \begin{array}{r} -42 \\ -42 \\ 0 \\ +13 \\ 95 \\ 84 \\ 25 \\ 0 \\ +11 \\ \end{array} $	+98 35 175 0 0 175 188 22 0 -13	+ 400 287 71 0 0 0 71 51 314 82% + 27	- 16 0 16 14 - -			+1,012 534 2,301 4 0 2,305 2,358 515 53% -19	Pending at Start Pending at Start Reinstated Reinstated Net Added Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Greene	7th
11 35 0 0 35 22 24 21% +13	31 81 0 81 56 56 21% + 25	58 101 0 - 23 78 78 58 9% 0	91 350 0 +23 373 348 116 4% +25	41 356 0 - 1 355 294 102 10% + 61	393 71 0 0 71 74 390 86% - 3				825 4,460 0 4,460 3,952 939 48% +114	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Jersey	7th
285 118 0 0 118 21 382 71% +97	162 94 0 94 95 171 53% +9	$ \begin{array}{r} 65\\ 183\\ 0\\ -38\\ 145\\ 107\\ 103\\ 22\%\\ +38\\ \end{array} $	239 502 0 + 38 540 475 304 42% + 65	559 1,122 0 1,122 961 773 59% + 214	884 238 0 0 238 159 940 78% + 56	123 0 0 123 78 -	5,816 0 5,816 5,253 —		3,040 8,916 0 8,916 7,583 3,783 65% + 743	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Macoupin	7th
40 143 0 0 143 160 23 4%	14 56 0 56 55 15	18 146 2 - 31 117 92 30 0	35 505 6 + 31 542 522 55 0	96 868 0 868 843 121 0	499 152 0 152 139 512 74%	288 0 288 264 		26 0 26 41 	907 7,742 8 0 7,750 7,207 971 44%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Morgan	7th
-17 1,091 1,563 0 0 1,563 1,166 1,488	+ 1 383 288 0 0 288 119 559	+ 12 397 760 73 - 190 643 649 391	+ 20 606 2,091 22 + 190 2,303 2,022 887	+ 25 3,156 9,227 43 0 43 8,462 3,825	+ 13 2,570 723 0 0 0 453 2,840				+ 64 12,345 57,429 158 0 57,587 50,009 14,519	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Sangamon	7th
63% + 397	63% +176	18% -6	32% + 281	34% +669	78% +270	-	-	· · · · ·	54% 2,174	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or I		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Jury	Jury	Jury	0	2	ш.	F	<	~	
7th	Scott	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	8 3 0 0 3 8 3	8 12 0 0 12 12 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 22 0 0 22 20 12	0 11 0 0 11 5 6	3 4 0 0 4 5 2	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2	2 1 0 1 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	11 25 0 25 25 11
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	33% - 5	38% 0	0 0	33% +2	17% +6	50% -1	100% 0	0 - 2	0 0	0 -1	36% 0
7th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	903 381 0 + 8 389 410 892 63%	937 413 0 - 7 406 439 998 65%	52 57 0 + 2 59 51 68 43%	1,249 2,036 20 -2 2,058 1,960 1,367 43%	869 376 0 376 233 1,012 72%	594 358 0 358 335 617 67%	17 20 0 20 12 24 67%	186 72 0 72 41 217 78%	16 4 0 4 3 17 82%	6 404 0 0 404 405 5 80%	752 1,910 4 0 1,914 1,646 994 48%
		Inventory (+ or -)	- 11	+ 61	+ 16	+118	+143	+ 23	+ 7	+ 31	+1	-1	+ 242
8th	Adams	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	118 57 2 +6 65 78 104	42 76 0 6 70 52 61	12 2 0 +2 4 11 5	106 191 2 - 2 191 202 95	63 106 1 0 107 95 75	21 83 0 0 83 81 23	1 13 0 0 13 1 13	4 10 0 10 8 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 64 0 64 46 49	162 426 25 0 451 457 156
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	52% 14	26% +19	40% -7	36% 	12% +12	17% +2	0 +12	83% +2	0	59% +18	26% -6
8th	Brown	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	6 2 0 +2 4 8 2 0	8 19 0 -2 17 12 13 31%	1 0 +1 1 2 0 0	18 32 0 -1 31 30 19 26%	21 12 3 0 15 13 23 61%	4 2 0 2 4 2 100%	0 1 0 1 1 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 1 0		1 0 0 0 1 0 0	9 23 0 0 23 21 11 27%
8th	Calhoun	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	$ \begin{array}{r} -4 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ +2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{array} $	+5 7 0 -2 5 4 8	$ \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	+1 1 3 0 0 3 1 3	+2 6 9 0 0 9 6 9	-2 3 5 0 0 5 5 3	+1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	-1 0 2 0 0 2 2 2 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 0	-1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 2 7 12 0 0 12 14 5
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or)	50% 0	38% +1	0	33% +2	22% +3	33% 0	0 -1	0 0	0 0	0 0	20% - 2
8th	Cass	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	38 13 0 +1 14 28 24	11 11 0 -1 10 14 7	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array} $	20 32 0 -1 31 25 28	16 20 0 20 18 18	10 19 0 19 19 18 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 8 0 0 8 7 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 67 0 0 67 73 24
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	58% 14	14% -4	50% +1	21 % + 8	33% +2	55% +1	0 0	50% +1	0 0	0 0	29% -6

	1											
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
9 12 0 12 15 6 83%	3 15 0 15 13 5 0	13 9 0 -1 8 17 4 0	15 81 0 + 1 82 80 17 0	10 70 0 70 47 33 58%	80 42 0 42 30 92 65%	6 0 6 0			175 889 0 889 751 201 50%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Scott	7th
-3 1,452 1,908 0 0 1,908 1,428 1,932 63% + 480	+2 607 542 0 0 542 356 810 56% +203	9 576 1,246 75 -296 1,025 981 607 16% +31	+ 2 1,000 3,611 28 + 296 3,931 3,531 1,404 29% + 404	+ 23 3,897 11,818 43 - 1 11,860 10,795 4,876 36% + 979	+ 12 4,713 1,297 0 0 1,297 906 5,088 78% + 375				+ 26 17,826 81,737 170 0 81,907 71,860 20,928 55% + 3,102	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	7th
194 346 1 0 347 366 175 46% - 19	40 121 1 0 122 118 44 45% +4	124 274 6 - 81 199 205 118 10% - 6	290 574 12 + 81 667 776 181 20% - 109	$533 \\ 1,759 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1,759 \\ 1,692 \\ 600 \\ 28\% \\ + 67$	732 260 2 0 262 320 674 70% - 58		7,259 — 0 7,259 7,379 — —		2,473 13,492 52 0 13,544 13,737 2,379 41% - 94	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Adams	8th
4 14 0 14 13 5 40% +1	10 12 0 12 14 8 63% - 2	33 22 0 -6 16 34 15 47% -18	26 72 0 +6 78 76 28 14% +2	58 95 21 0 116 108 66 59% +8	95 35 0 35 35 35 96 67% +1	8 0 8 9			295 1,157 24 0 1,181 1,198 289 47% -6	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Brown	8th
2 9 0 9 7 4 25% +2	2 15 0 0 15 10 7 0 +5	16 11 0 -3 8 16 8 75% -8	20 57 0 +3 60 67 13 8% -7	18 27 4 0 31 38 11 36% -7	66 18 0 0 18 23 61 72% -5			 64 0 64 55 	157 588 5 0 588 657 140 49% - 17	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Calhoun	8th
+ 2 18 88 0 0 88 79 27 19% + 9	+3 2 39 0 0 39 34 7 0 +5	27 87 0 -10 77 71 33 12% +6	36 286 0 +10 296 296 36 6% 0	86 304 0 0 304 335 55 20% - 31		- 11 0 0 11 10 -			465 2,802 0 2,802 2,796 434 39% - 31	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Cass	8th

			Law \$15,		Law \$1 or I		ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Tax	Muni Co	Mental Health	Disso Ma
8th	Mason	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	31 6 0 +3 9 26 14	13 18 1 -3 16 10 19	1 2 0 2 1 2	21 58 1 0 59 51 29	35 47 0 0 47 42 40 23%	7 62 0 62 54 15 27%	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 11 0 11 6 5	2 0 0 0 0 2 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 102 0 102 101 32 19%
		Than 12 mos	71% 17	21% +6	50% +1	24% +8	+ 5	+8	+1	+ 5	0	0	+1
8th	Menard	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	9 11 0 11 9 11 27%	2 5 0 5 4 3	0 2 0 +2 4 3 1 0	11 17 0 -2 15 14 12 17%	18 17 0 17 20 15 53%	4 14 0 14 12 6 0		1 4 0 4 3 2 100%		0 1 0 1 1 0	20 51 0 51 42 29 28%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 2	+1	+1	+1	-3	+ 2	0	+1	0	0	+9
8th	Pike	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	32 30 0 30 19 43 47%	25 39 0 39 40 24 17%	6 0 0 4 2 100%	31 60 0 60 57 34 32%	40 36 0 36 45 31 39%	22 21 0 21 29 14 36%	0 22 0 0 22 .3 19 0	6 10 0 10 4 12 17%	2 3 0 3 2 3 67%	7 5 0 5 4 8 88%	35 119 0 119 110 44 23%
8th	Schuyler	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	+11 10 4 0 0 4 5 9	-1 6 11 0 0 11 7 10		+ 3 9 14 0 0 14 15 8	-9 17 11 0 0 11 11 17		+ 19 0 3 0 0 3 1 2	+6 2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	+1 0 2 0 0 2 0 2 2	+1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 9 13 45 0 0 45 44 14
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	56% -1	50% +4	0	50% 1	59% 0	0 + 5	0 + 2	5% 0	0 + 2	0	21% +1
8th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	252 125 3 +14 142 178 215	114 186 1 - 12 175 143 145	22 8 0 +6 14 22 12	217 407 3 5 405 395 228	216 258 4 0 262 250 228	71 213 0 213 205 79	2 40 0 40 6 36	17 47 0 0 47 33 31	4 6 0 6 3 7	39 70 0 70 70 52 57	307 845 25 0 870 862 315
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	51 % - 37	26% + 31	50% - 10	31% +11	31% +12	28% +8	0 + 34	39% +14	57% +3	63% +18	25% +8
9th	Fulton	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	39 37 10 0 47 40 46	17 26 1 0 27 26 18	2 1 1 0 2 0 4	27 95 0 0 95 89 33	48 70 1 0 71 84 35	9 21 3 0 24 14 19	0 2 0 0 2 0 2	18 7 2 0 9 17 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 3 3 0	28 243 9 0 252 211 69
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	46% +7	28% +1	50% + 2	6% +6	3% -13	32% +10	0 + 2	56% +9	0 0	0 0	6% +41

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
8t	Mason	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	650 3,951 2 0 3,953 3,734 781		2,611 0 2,611 2,533 —	10 0 10 8	274 92 0 0 92 118 246	57 222 0 0 222 194 85	68 386 0 +22 408 348 128	40 116 0 - 22 94 60 71	28 85 0 85 56 57	42 85 0 0 85 92 35
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	37% +131	_	_	_	68% - 28	11% +28	22% +60	23% +31	30% +29	26% -7
8tl	Menard	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	335 1,557 0 1,557 1,489 338 38%	28 0 28 12 -	936 0 936 887 —	3 0 0 3 3	135 49 0 49 54 130	102 265 0 265 275 92 14%	5 65 0 + 8 73 64 14	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 31 \\ 0 \\ -8 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{array} $	5 22 0 0 22 22 5 20%	12 36 0 36 40 8 50%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 3	-	-	-	- 5	- 10	+ 9	-1	0	-4
8tl	Pike	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	$ 1,238 \\ 3,496 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3,496 \\ 3,271 \\ 1,305 \\ 67\% \\ + 67 \\ $	77 0 0 77 44 	2,223 0 2,223 2,116 —		728 95 0 95 74 749 90% + 21	94 329 0 329 353 70 30% - 24	90 186 0 +4 190 153 127 45% +37	57 71 0 - 4 67 64 60 42% + 3	31 45 0 45 36 40 40% +9	32 62 0 62 69 25 40% -7
8tl	Schuyler	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	284 1,357 0 1,357 1,174 311 54%	86 0 0 86 38 		3 0 3 3	122 43 0 43 61 104 70%	13 98 0 98 90 21 14%	50 99 0 +1 100 100 50 68%	14 25 0 -1 24 9 29 45%	1 33 0 0 33 30 4 0	26 25 0 0 25 17 34 47%
8t	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	+ 27 5,897 28,400 83 + 3 28,486 28,056 5,977				- 18 2,319 654 2 0 656 756 2,218	+ 8 961 3,099 25 0 3,124 3,085 1,000	0 585 1,725 12 + 135 1,872 1,880 577	+ 15 322 637 6 - 135 508 483 344	+ 3 119 372 1 0 373 320 172	+ 8 330 665 1 0 666 683 313
		Than 12 mos	47 % + 80	_	_		76% 101	25% +39	28% -8	24% +22	34% +53	41 <i>%</i> - 17
9t	Fulton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	884 6,837 43 0 6,880 6,340 1,060		4,215 0 4,215 3,871 —	352 0 0352 246	391 229 0 0 229 173 541	170 633 16 0 649 715 104	36 377 0 + 5 382 347 66	30 151 0 -5 146 116 52	21 104 0 104 78 23	48 166 0 166 167 39
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	39% +176	_			62% +150	2% - 66	4% + 30	0 + 22	4% +2	13% -9

			Law \$15		Law \$ or	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	al Alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissol Ma
9th	Hancock	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	26 4 0 1 5 7 24 63%	16 35 0 -1 34 21 29 24%	5 0 3 3 6 5 80%	31 71 0 -3 68 60 39 26%	43 15 0 15 28 30 50%	12 32 0 32 34 10 3%	0 6 0 6 4 2 0	3 2 0 2 4 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3	50 114 0 0 114 109 55 36%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	- 2	+13	0	+8	-13	-2	+ 2	-2	0	+ 3	+ 5
9th	Henderson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	9 3 0 3 4 8	7 7 0 7 7 1 12	1 0 0 0 1 0	16 21 0 21 17 20	9 15 0 15 8 16	3 6 0 6 3 6	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	3 4 0 4 5 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	16 41 0 41 34 23
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	75% -1	50% +5	0 -1	65% +4	19% +7	33% +3	0 + 2	50% - 1	0 0	0 0	48% 7
9th	Knox	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	119 41 5 51 83 88 61%	44 45 4 - 5 44 42 46 59%	21 2 0 7 9 14 8 50%	175 271 7 -7 271 282 163 51%	128 100 2 0 102 126 108 58%	81 136 3 0 139 133 81 35%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 23 0 23 17 60 90%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 18 0 0 18 28 2	225 376 8 0 384 394 205 49%
		Inventory (+ or –)	- 31	+2	-13	-12	- 20	0	0	+ 11	0	- 16	- 20
9th	McDonough	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	26 10 0 10 17 31	33 49 0 0 49 36 47	2 11 0 0 11 6 7	33 67 0 67 55 53	42 55 0 55 34 67	18 52 0 52 51 19	0 1 0 1 2 8	4 18 0 0 18 15 22	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	68 147 0 147 145 70
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	52% +5	36% +14	43% +5	42% +20	27% +25	42% +1	0 + 8	18% +18	0 0	0 0	29% +2
9th	Warren	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	32 21 0 21 22 31	21 23 0 23 20 24	1 3 0 0 3 1 3	33 39 0 0 39 53 19	31 33 0 0 33 32 32 32	4 19 0 0 19 19 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 13 0 0 13 23 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	36 102 0 102 109 29
		Than 12 mos	52% -1	38% +3	0 + 2	16% -14	28% +1	0	0	0 -10	0 0	0 -1	3% -7
9th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	251 116 15 +6 137 173 228	138 185 5 6 184 146 176	32 17 1 + 10 28 28 27	315 564 7 - 10 561 556 327	301 288 3 291 312 288	127 266 6 	0 11 0 11 6 14	89 67 2 69 81 96	0 0 0 0 0	19 24 0 24 32 5	423 1,023 17 - 1,040 1,002 451
		Than 12 mos	54% - 23	40% + 38	46% - 5	41% +12	38% -13	34% +12	0 +14	70% +7	0	0 -14	35% +28

Circu	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
9	Hancock	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	927 3,464 0 3,464 3,315 1,024	 72 0 72 67 	2,146 0 2,146 2,107 	29 0 0 29 14	466 121 0 0 121 87 504	105 369 0 369 333 141	67 181 0 + 42 223 253 37	35 124 0 -42 82 76 41	28 62 0 62 24 66	40 78 0 78 81 37
		Than 12 mos	53% +97	_	_	_	8% +38	23% +36	19% - 30	10% +6	27% +38	24% - 3
9	Henderson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	357 2,034 0 2,034 2,031 403 57% + 46	 67 0 67 68 		230 0 230 144 —	171 38 0 38 56 153 78% - 18	43 119 0 119 133 29 52% - 14	32 167 0 11 178 178 44 20%	14 58 0 -11 47 38 25 8%	12 31 0 31 26 17	21 40 0 40 15 46 39% + 25
9	Knox	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	3,168 13,256 38 0 13,294 13,959 3,013 61%				1,505 291 4 0 295 244 1,591 84%	460 1,122 4 0 1,126 1,111 340 49%	+ 12 138 928 1 4 933 1,045 151 51% + 12	+11 77 156 0 -4 152 153 57 11%	+ 5 15 51 0 0 51 47 41 59%	113 300 0 300 345 72 31%
9	McDonough	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	+ 155 1,030 7,682 0 0 7,682 6,415 1,624 30% + 594	 76 0 76 78 	 4,497 0 4,497 3,849 		+ 86 250 162 0 0 162 136 250 47% 0	- 120 213 718 0 0 718 467 464 33% + 251	+13 181 896 0 9 905 676 431 17% +250	- 20 49 137 0 - 9 128 131 50 18% + 1	+26 16 38 0 0 38 33 21 19% +5	- 41 95 161 0 161 172 84 38% - 11
9	Warren	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	651 5,181 0 0 5,181 4,769 704		3,416 0 3,416 3,177 —	 267 0 267 152 	227 100 0 100 63 265	129 431 0 0 431 423 137	55 433 0 18 451 434 74	24 87 0 -18 69 53 38	19 80 0 80 77 22	26 87 0 87 89 24
		$\dots \text{Than 12 mos} \\ \dots \text{Inventory} (+ \text{ or } -)$	39% +53	_	_	_	69% +38	35% +8	1% +19	0 +14	9% +3	4% -2
9	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	7,017 38,490 81 0 38,571 36,829 7,828	440 440 434 	23,626 23,626 22,869 	2,637 2,637 2,341 	3,010 941 4 945 759 3,304	1,120 3,392 20 3,412 3,182 1,215	509 2,982 1 + 89 3,072 2,933 803	229 713 0 - 89 624 567 263	111 366 0 366 285 190	343 832 0 832 869 302
		\therefore Pending More Than 12 mos	49% + 811		_		75% +294	32% +95	19% + 294	8% +34	26% +79	29% 41

				Over 6,000	Law \$ or I		ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ıt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ 1	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellane Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolu Marı
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	33 10 0 +2 12 25 18 61%	3 10 0 -2 8 7 6 17%	1 0 0 0 0 1 1 100%	12 16 0 16 13 15 33%	23 21 0 21 24 20 30%	5 19 0 19 12 12 33%	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	3 2 0 2 1 4	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 65 0 0 65 51 31
		Inventory (+ or -)	-15	+3	0	33% +3	30%	33%	0	50% +1	100% 0	0	16% +14
10th	Peoria	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	943 422 42 + 25 489 582 850	208 223 15 - 25 213 244 177	76 33 23 + 27 83 70 89	401 784 37 - 27 794 752 443	351 511 12 0 523 512 362	197 326 6 0 332 268 261	31 8 5 0 13 16 28	122 142 0 142 99 165		12 561 0 561 561 12	522 1,146 37 0 1,183 1,112 593
		Than 12 mos	56% -93	43% - 31	62% +13	23% +42	31% +11	44% +64	82% - 3	30% +43	0	0	29% +71
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	16 10 0 10 12 14 29%	6 6 0 6 4 8 63%	2 1 0 +1 2 1 3 67%	9 8 0 -1 7 8 8	11 10 1 0 11 7 15	3 7 0 7 5 5		20 3 0 3 15 8			18 20 0 20 19 19
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	29% - 2	63% +2	6/% +1	63% -1	53% +4	20% + 2	0	63% -12	0	0	63% +1
10th	Stark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	4 0 +1 1 3 2 50%	4 12 0 -1 11 8 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 9 0 9 11 7 57%	17 13 0 13 14 16 56%	3 0 0 3 6 0		15 2 0 2 6 11 91%		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 28 0 28 23 11 18%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	-2	+3	0	-2	-1	-3	0	-4	0	0	+ 5
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	293 171 4 +11 186 180 299	52 73 1 - 11 63 61 56	26 9 1 +10 20 27 19	147 331 7 - 10 328 385 88	237 310 0 310 313 234	85 110 0 110 101 94	5 3 1 0 4 3 6	69 36 0 36 32 73	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	192 742 3 0 745 728 209
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	50% +6	57% +4	32% -7	11% - 59	36% - 3	41% +9	67% +1	89% +4	0 0	0 0	10% +17
10th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	1,289 613 46 + 39 698 802 1,183 54%	273 324 16 - 37 303 324 254 43%	105 43 24 + 38 105 98 112 57%	578 1,148 44 - 30 1,162 1,169 561 23%	639 865 13 0 878 870 647 34%	293 465 6 0 471 392 372 43%	36 12 6 0 18 20 34 79%	229 185 0 185 153 261 50%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	12 561 0 561 561 12 0	755 2,001 40 0 2,041 1,933 863 24%
		Inventory (+ or –)	-106	- 19	+7	-17	+8	+ 79	-2	+ 32	-	-	+ 108

-

										-		
Familv	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	1 28 0 0 0 0 1 28 4 22 5 21 5 38%	$ \begin{array}{r} 16\\ 24\\ 0\\ -10\\ 14\\ 28\\ 2\\ 0\\ -14\\ \end{array} $	15 141 0 +10 151 152 14 0 -1	124 236 0 236 271 89 10% - 35	157 50 1 0 51 60 148 70% - 9		1,412 0 1,412 1,384 - -	21 0 21 15 	463 2,103 1 0 2,104 2,137 417 42% - 46	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Marshall	10th
92: 1,99 1 2,00 1,19 1,72 2,7% + 80	533 43 0 0 576 660 275 47%	$ \begin{array}{r} 154\\ 753\\ 1\\ -44\\ 710\\ 734\\ 215\\ 9\%\\ +61\\ \end{array} $	538 2,305 0 +44 2,349 2,612 275 32% -263	1,729 7,582 57 0 7,639 7,393 1,975 10% + 246	4,356 753 0 753 1,123 3,986 83% - 370				10,923 55,959 288 0 56,247 60,877 11,435 44% + 512	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Peoria	10th
	3 6 0 0 0 0 3 6 7 6 3 3 6 67%	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ +3 \\ \end{array} $	5 20 0 + 3 17 22 6 50% + 1	10 63 0 63 62 11 18% +1	57 22 0 0 22 32 47 60% - 10	- 24 0 24 11 -		— 19 0 19 17 — —	168 661 1 0 662 622 164 51% - 4	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Putnam	10th
1	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 9 0 -2 7 5 4 25% +2	25 65 0 +2 67 61 31 6% +6	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 61 \\ 0 \\ 61 \\ 57 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ +4 \end{array} $	92 44 0 0 44 42 94 62% + 2				200 747 0 0 747 661 222 44% + 22	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Stark	10th
68 61	3 103 5 207 0 0 5 207 9 147 0 163 5 40%	98 318 10 - 47 281 234 145 3% + 47	254 655 65 + 47 767 701 320 16% + 66	411 1,472 2 0 1,474 1,619 266 0.8% - 145	1,971 407 3 0 410 275 2,106 82% + 135		17,157 0 17,157 15,895 —	43 9 0 52 63 	4,626 24,058 136 0 24,194 22,684 4,848 59% + 222	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Tazewell	10th
1,65, 2,66 11 2,67 1,77 2,55 43% + 90	3 487 7 794 0 43 0 0 7 837 7 848 3 476 5 43%	271 1,117 11 - 106 1,022 1,008 370 7% + 99	837 3,186 65 + 106 3,357 3,548 646 - 26% - 191	2,284 9,414 59 0 9,473 9,402 2,355 9% + 71	6,633 1,276 4 0 1,280 1,532 6,381 82% - 252	4,566 30 0 4,596 4,342 —	54,060 0 54,060 58,010 	 9 0 240 192 	16,380 83,528 426 + 10 83,964 86,981 17,086 50% + 706	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	10th

2				Over 5,000		515,000 less	sιλ	Miscellaneous Remedy	lain		unicipal Corporations	÷	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell. Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolu Marr
11th	Ford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	36 12 0 +1 13 22 29 62%	26 12 0 -1 11 19 16 69%	2 8 0 8 0 2 100%	31 24 0 24 26 37 54%	19 21 0 21 14 26 65%	11 12 0 0 12 11 13 23%	0 12 0 12 3 9 0	4 2 0 2 0 6 6 67%		1 2 0 2 1 1 100%	35 68 0 0 68 71 32 59%
11th	Livingston	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	-7 76	-10 23	0	+6	+7 63	+ 2 37	+9	+ 2	0	0	- 3 109
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	48 2 + 4 54 49 81	19 1 - 4 16 21 19	7 1 +3 11 12 13	114 5 -3 116 121 28	38 0 0 38 43 57	64 4 0 68 67 38	7 0 0 7 1 7	7 0 7 3 10	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 5	202 2 0 204 194 117
		Than 12 mos	42% +5	42% -4	23% +1	11% -6	70% -6	45% +1	0 +6	60% +4	0 0	60% + 2	34% +8
11th	Logan	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	73 30 0 30 32 71	32 18 0 18 19 31	3 3 0 0 3 3 3 0	29 65 0 65 64 30	60 24 0 0 24 19 65	16 41 0 41 41 16	3 1 0 1 0 4	4 1 0 1 1 4	5 0 0 0 0 0 5	0 4 0 4 4 0	123 232 0 232 263 92
		Than 12 mos	63% -2	77% -1	0 0	47% +1	71% +5	31% 0	75% 1	100% 0	100% 0	0	15% - 31
11th	McLean	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	440 155 14 +20 189 223 406	148 116 11 - 20 107 66 191	30 15 0 +18 33 26 35	251 461 88 - 18 531 560 222	254 155 4 0 159 131 282	59 95 0 95 88 66	13 12 1 0 13 9 17	16 6 0 6 13 9	1 1 0 1 1 1	0 35 0 35 33 2	199 666 3 0 669 585 283
		Than 12 mos	65% - 34	61% +43	40% + 5	27% - 29	62% +28	48% +7	41% +4	44% -7	100% 0	0 + 2	8% +84
11th	Woodford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	35 24 0 +1 25 29 31	15 11 - 1 10 10 15	0 3 0 +1 4 3 1	19 40 0 -1 39 48 10	57 52 0 52 66 43	2 9 0 9 11 0	0 7 0 7 7 0	2 12 0 12 3 11	2 1 0 1 2 1	0 3 0 0 3 1 2	35 127 0 0 127 113 49
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	35% -4	67% 0	100% +1	20% -9	12% 14	0 - 2	0 0	0 +9	0 -1	0 + 2	8% +14
11th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinståted Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	660 269 16 + 26 311 355 618	244 176 12 - 25 163 135 272	47 36 1 + 21 58 44 54	364 704 93 - 22 775 819 327	453 290 4 0 294 273 473	125 221 4 0 225 218 133	17 39 1 0 40 20 37	32 28 0 28 20 40	8 2 0 2 3 7	4 46 0 0 46 39 10	501 1,295 5 0 1,300 1,226 573
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	60% - 42	62% +28	35% +7	30% - 37	60% + 20	43% +8	27% + 20	45% +8	86% -1	40% +6	18% +72

										-		
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
36 36 0 36 34 38 68% + 2	10 46 0 46 41 15 27% +5	$ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 66 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ 56 \\ 40 \\ 22 \\ 0 \\ +16 \\ \end{array} $	35 160 0 +10 170 141 64 22% +29	71 170 0 170 135 106 45% + 35	276 70 0 70 79 267 77% -9	29 0 0 29 34 	 2,174 0 0 2,174 2,078 	7 0 0 7 7	599 2,931 0 2,931 2,756 683 57% + 84	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Ford	11th
112 134 0 0 134 122 124 76% +12	37 112 0 0 112 110 39 31% + 2	83 251 8 - 38 281 216 88 3% + 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 162\\ 455\\ 0\\ +38\\ 493\\ 491\\ 164\\ 12\%\\ +2\\ \end{array} $	125 874 32 0 906 869 155 4% + 30	1,020 221 0 221 299 946 79% - 74				1,903 8,682 55 0 8,737 8,146 1,891 55% - 12	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Livingston	11th
71 109 0 109 137 43 44% - 28	15 57 0 57 64 8 0 -7	35 114 0 -2 112 86 61 10% +26	69 234 0 + 2 236 229 76 8% + 7	77 523 0 0 523 509 111 28% +14	1,019 182 0 0 182 146 1,055 85% + 36			25 0 0 25 36 	1,654 8,266 0 8,266 6,879 1,675 67% + 21	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Logan	11th
195 390 27 0 417 396 216 47% + 21	48 188 2 0 190 187 51 10% + 3	332 561 72 - 22 611 612 341 9% + 9	683 2,058 39 + 22 2,119 1,854 948 4%	566 3,066 439 0 3,505 3,458 613 8% + 47	1,093 405 0 405 360 1,138 71%		32,937 1,782 0 34,719 31,862		4,328 42,756 2,504 0 45,260 42,027 4,821 36% + 493	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	McLean	11th
+21 14 67 0 0 67 45 36 31% +22	+ 3 14 25 0 0 25 26 13 15% -1	+ 9 35 51 2 -7 46 68 13 23% -22	+265 39 210 13 +7 230 236 33 0 -6	+ 47 50 144 0 0 144 155 39 36% - 11	+ 45 0 149 0 0 149 156 0 48% 0				+ 493 319 4,771 27 0 4,798 4,497 297 32% - 22	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Woodford	11th
428 736 27 0 763 734 457	124 428 2 0 430 428 126	491 1,043 82 79 1,046 1,022 525	988 3,117 52 + 79 3,248 2,951 1,285	909 4,777 471 0 5,248 5,126 1,024	3,408 1,027 0 0 1,027 1,040 3,406			 146 3 0 149 149 	8,803 67,406 2,586 0 69,992 64,305 9,367	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Circuit Total	11th
55% +29	18% +2	8% +34	14% +297	15% +115	76% -2	_	· · · ·	_	46% + 564	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		

				Over ,000	Law \$ or	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ninent Domain		unicipal Corporations	Ith	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domai	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissol
12th	Will	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	$ \begin{array}{r} 673 \\ 537 \\ 41 \\ +177 \\ 755 \\ 560 \\ 868 \\ 34\% \\ \end{array} $	291 435 13 - 177 271 255 307 21%	65 17 6 + 59 82 59 88 38%	592 3,035 37 - 58 3,014 2,867 739 32%	588 704 21 0 725 771 542 23%	220 667 4 0 671 532 359 19%	33 30 2 0 32 38 27 4%	237 435 0 435 261 411 28%	1 3 1 0 4 3 2 0	0 132 0 132 129 3 0	1,019 1,628 18 0 1,646 1,329 1,336 31%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 195	+ 16	+ 23	+ 147	- 46	+ 139	4% -6	+ 174	+1	+3	+ 31%
12th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	673 537 41 + 177 755 560 868 34%	291 435 13 - 177 271 255 307 21%	65 17 6 + 59 82 59 88 38%	592 3,035 37 - 58 3,014 2,867 739 32%	588 704 21 0 725 771 542 23%	220 667 4 0 671 532 359 19%	33 30 2 0 32 38 27 4%	237 435 0 435 261 411 29%	1 3 1 0 4 3 2 0	0 132 0 0 132 129 3 0	1,019 1,628 18 0 1,646 1,329 1,336 31%
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 195	+16	+ 23	+147	- 46	+ 139	-6	+ 174	+1	+ 3	+ 317
13th	Bureau	Pending at Start Filed	99 45 7 +2 54 69 84	17 39 1 1 39 36 20	6 1 3 + 3 7 8 5 40%	$ \begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 98 \\ 3 \\ -3 \\ 98 \\ 103 \\ 24 \\ 0 \end{array} $	42 59 2 0 61 58 45 40%	11 59 0 59 57 13 23%	6 5 0 5 5 6 67%	5 18 0 18 20 3	1 1 0 1 2 0	0 1 0 1 1 0	62 153 1 0 154 163 53 23%
		Inventory (+ or -)	- 15	+ 3	-1	- 5	+ 3	+2	0/ %	33% - 2	0 -1	0	-9
13th	Grundy	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More There 12 percent	101 47 3 0 50 56 105	43 39 0 39 16 52	30 1 0 1 7 31	48 74 0 74 59 164	38 35 0 35 29 71	29 31 0 31 28 35	0 26 0 26 0 26	16 24 0 24 2 19	1 2 0 2 0 3	2 3 0 3 2 3	100 231 0 231 222 98
		Than 12 mos	55% +4	62% +9	96% +1	83% +116	75% +33	64% +6	0 + 26	94% + 3	33% +2	67% +1	42% -2
13th	LaSalle	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	500 281 7 + 16 304 259 541	53 66 0 16 50 47 59	40 20 1 +14 35 29 46	134 394 12 14 392 389 138	100 118 1 0 119 102 117	60 188 3 0 191 159 92	3 13 0 0 13 10 6	31 37 5 0 42 23 50	0 2 0 0 2 1 1	0 16 0 16 16 0	184 662 6 0 668 674 178
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	53% +41	37% +6	57% +6	18% +4	30% +17	11% +32	0 + 3	54% +19	0 +1	0 0	11% -6
13th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	700 373 17 +18 408 384 730	113 144 1 18 127 99 131	76 22 4 +17 43 44 82	211 566 15 16 565 551 326	180 212 3 0 215 189 233	100 278 3 0 281 244 140	9 44 0 0 44 15 38	52 79 5 0 84 45 72	2 5 0 5 3 4	2 20 0 20 19 3	346 1,046 7 0 1,053 1,059 329
		Than 12 mos	55% +30	43% +18	69% +6	50% +115	46% + 53	35% +40	10% +29	53% +20	25% +2	67% +1	229

1	1								1	1	1	
Circui	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	* Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
12t	Will	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	9,549 93,447 3,609 0 97,056 90,468 10,682 34% + 1,133			5,698 19 0 5,717 5,102 	2,209 555 0 555 322 2,442 79% + 233	1,643 6,706 122 -1 6,827 6,961 1,509 2% -134	$ \begin{array}{r} 686\\ 2,912\\ 0\\ 0\\ 2,912\\ 2,867\\ 731\\ 9\%\\ +45\\ \end{array} $	718 1,212 158 0 1,370 1,289 799 19% +81	249 312 6 0 318 429 138 25% - 111	325 1,345 49 0 1,394 1,338 381 23% + 56
12t	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	9,549 93,447 3,609 0 97,056 90,468 10,682 34% +1,133	 162 0 0 162 148 	 66,922 3,112 0 70,034 65,208 	5,698 19 0 5,717 5,102 —	2,209 555 0 0 555 322 2,442 79% + 233	1,643 6,706 122 -1 6,827 6,961 1,509 2% -134	686 2,912 0 2,912 2,867 731 9% + 45	718 1,212 158 0 1,370 1,289 799 19% + 81	249 312 6 0 318 429 138 25% - 111	325 1,345 49 0 1,394 1,338 381 23% + 56
13t	Bureau	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	1,598 8,165 79 0 8,244 8,180 1,543 63% - 55				1,025 201 2 0 203 192 1,036 82% +11	115 741 26 - 1 766 794 87 3% - 28	75 517 3 +6 526 517 84 0 +9	22 81 2 6 77 79 20 5% 2	46 65 0 65 86 25 24% - 21	37 99 2 0 101 100 38 45% +1
13t	Grundy	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,404 6,168 3 0 6,171 6,096 1,657 62% + 253	136 0 136 124 	4,105 0 4,105 4,231 -		315 109 0 109 79 307 68% - 8	86 241 0 241 233 88 32% +2	319 636 0 +8 636 633 338 61% +19	35 92 0 8 92 77 44 41% +9	88 99 0 0 99 86 102 51% +14	153 105 0 105 79 171 74% +18
13t	LaSalle	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	3,646 20,918 198 0 21,116 20,611 3,895 44%		13,086 1 0 13,087 12,873 —	1,171 0 0 1,171 1,122 —	1,515 437 23 0 460 450 1,525 75%	450 2,228 78 0 2,306 2,223 533 5%	230 1,061 50 + 46 1,157 1,151 236 6%	88 252 7 - 46 213 193 108 2%	142 246 1 0 247 228 161 20%	116 449 3 0 452 464 104 26%
13t	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	+ 249 6,648 35,251 280 0 35,531 34,887 7,095				+ 10 2,855 747 25 0 772 721 2,868	+ 83 651 3,210 104 - 1 3,313 3,250 708	+6 624 2,214 53 +60 2,327 2,301 658 26.9	+20 145 425 9 -60 374 349 172	+ 19 276 410 1 0 411 400 288	
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	52% + 447		_	_	77% +13	8% + 57	36% + 34	11% +27	31% +12	55% +7

			Law \$15	Over ,000	Law \$ or		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Re	Emine Do	Тах	Muni Co	Mental Health	Disso
14th	Henry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	118 20 0 +6 26 48 96	$ \begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 42 \\ 0 \\ -6 \\ 36 \\ 46 \\ 53 \\ \end{array} $	5 0 +3 3 4 4	56 92 0 - 3 89 102 43	107 95 0 95 95 113 89	40 66 0 66 68 38	4 10 0 0 10 4 10	2 5 0 5 6 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 10 0 10 10 10 0	79 253 0 0 253 216 71
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	78% - 22	66% - 8	25% 1	37% 	43% 	53% -2	40% +6	0 -1	0 0	0 0	27% -8
14th	Mercer	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	33 9 0 9 16 33 64%	34 20 0 20 25 29 69%	4 0 +1 1 2 3 67%	42 29 0 29 46 22 77%	28 51 0 51 37 42 45%	2 9 0 9 8 3 67%		0 1 0 1 1 0 0		0 1 0 1 1 0 0	33 93 0 93 66 60 48%
14th	Rock Island	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	0 513 210 10 + 21 241 261 491	- 5 180 189 2 - 21 170 156 196	-1 50 11 0 +20 31 28 48	- 20 644 868 4 - 20 852 900 601	+14 286 385 4 0 389 353 322	+1 147 172 1 0 173 198 122	0 34 52 0 0 52 27 59	0 68 92 2 0 94 103 59	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 3 3 0	+ 27 474 1,024 9 0 1,033 990 517
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	62% - 22	48% +16	67% - 2	46% 43	36% +36	55% - 25	37% +25	27% -9	0 0	0 0	40% + 43
14th	Whiteside	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	149 43 0 43 41 141 63%	61 79 0 79 92 63 58%	4 1 0 1 0 5 20%	104 221 0 221 183 91 34%	80 67 0 67 82 65 53%	49 60 0 60 45 39 48%	2 1 0 1 2 1 0	28 19 0 19 14 28 64%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 11 0 11 11 0	220 374 0 374 351 193 42%
14th	Circle 1 Table	Inventory (+ or -)	- 8	+ 2	+1	-13	- 15	- 10	-1	0	0	0	- 27
1401	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed	813 282 10 + 27 319 366 761	336 330 2 - 27 305 319 341	$ \begin{array}{r} 63 \\ 12 \\ 0 \\ + 24 \\ 36 \\ 34 \\ 60 \\ \end{array} $	846 1,210 4 - 23 1,191 1,231 757	501 598 4 0 602 585 518	238 307 1 0 308 319 202	40 63 0 63 33 70	98 117 2 0 119 124 88	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 0 25 25 0	806 1,744 9 0 1,753 1,668 841
		Than 12 mos	64% - 52	55% +5	64% - 3	45% - 89	40% +17	53% - 36	38% + 30	38% 	0 0	0 0	40% + 35
15th	Carroll	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Panding Marco	16 11 0 +5 16 13 19	36 30 0 5 25 21 40	2 0 0 0 0 1 1	34 41 0 0 41 42 33	32 33 0 0 33 34 31	12 8 0 8 6 14	3 1 0 0 1 1 3	46 13 0 0 13 9 50	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	46 76 0 0 76 84 38
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	68% +3	35% +4	100% -1	39% -1	23% -1	79% +2	67% 0	74% +4	100% 0	100% 0	21% -8

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
14t	Henry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	2,212 14,616 7 0 14,623 13,729 2,125	 71 0 71 80 	— 11,518 0 11,518 10,559 —		1,132 256 0 256 254 1,134	220 717 1 0 718 755 183	154 670 3 +63 736 685 205	84 199 3 63 139 112 111	11 108 0 108 88 31	139 132 0 132 215 56
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	60% - 87	_	_	_	80% + 2	17% - 37	35% +51	29% +27	0 + 20	50% -83
14tl	Mercer	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	867 2,395 0 2,395 2,236 977 64%		1,251 0 1,251 1,212 —	 72 0 72 55 	249 83 0 0 83 73 259 74%	138 337 0 - 1 336 320 154 55%	149 198 0 + 3 201 190 160 76%	90 96 0 - 3 93 66 124	27 29 0 29 28 28 54%	38 74 0 0 74 52 60 53%
14tl	Rock Island	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	+ 110 9,115 46,133 1,860 0 47,993 47,193 9,378 52%	 245 7 0 252 240 		 2,061 165 0 2,226 2,353 	+ 10 2,770 635 0 0 635 441 2,964 81%	+ 16 1,248 3,760 16 0 3,776 3,790 1,234 20%	+ 11 1,706 2,627 361 + 35 3,023 2,918 1,811 32%	+ 34 444 670 16 - 35 651 680 415 35%	+ 1 33 184 0 0 184 180 37 8%	+ 22 518 660 0 0 660 676 502 67%
14tl		Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+ 263 3,181 12,385 0 0 12,385 11,504 3,051 63% - 130				+ 194 1,408 237 0 0 237 202 1,425 86% + 17	- 14 331 1,497 0 0 1,497 1,252 398 34% - 67	+ 105 332 844 0 + 29 873 903 273 45% - 59	- 29 85 217 0 - 29 188 177 91 19% + 6	+ 4 60 115 0 0 115 132 43 13% - 17	
14tl	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	15,375 75,529 1,867 0 77,396 74,662 15,531 56%	517 7 0 524 521 —		 2,868 165 0 3,033 3,047 	5,559 1,211 0 1,211 970 5,782 82%	1,937 6,311 17 - 1 6,327 6,117 1,969 25%	2,341 4,339 364 + 130 4,833 4,696 2,449 37%	703 1,182 19 - 130 1,071 1,035 741 36%	131 436 0 436 428 139	963 1,045 0 1,045 1,201 813 64
15tl	Carroll	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	+ 156 858 2,849 0 0 2,849 2,686 952				+ 223 366 87 0 0 87 53 400	+ 32 80 227 0 0 227 242 65	+ 108 103 282 0 + 1 283 237 149	+ 38 16 52 0 -1 51 55 12	+ 8 14 49 0 0 49 40 23	- 150 50 53 0 0 53 31 72
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	59% +94			-	80% + 34	15% 	52% +46	0 - 4	26% +9	60% + 22

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ent main		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Disso
15th	Jo Daviess	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	18 9 0 9 10 17	24 42 0 0 42 23 43	0 2 0 0 2 0 2	47 54 0 54 57 44	44 45 0 45 38 51	9 24 0 0 24 14 19	2 0 0 0 0 2 0	12 45 0 45 46 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 106 0 106 100 48
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	59% -1	33% +19	0 + 2	30% - 3	41% +7	16% +10	0 - 2	9% -1	0	0 0	21% +6
15th	Lee	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	55 37 5 +6 48 26 82	42 53 0 -6 47 41 40	5 2 +4 8 11 3	83 119 4 - 3 120 125 79	75 49 3 0 52 58 66	26 48 2 0 50 50 24	1 6 0 0 6 3 4	1 1 0 1 3 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	9 26 0 26 17 20	90 187 1 0 188 180 95
		Than 12 mos	48% +27	40% - 2	33% -2	42% -4	46% -9	43% -2	25% +3	0 -1	100% 0	50% +11	25% +5
15th	Ogle	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	52 43 +6 53 26 78	47 54 3 6 51 58 40	8 0 +1 1 6 3	63 128 4 - 1 131 135 59	38 52 1 0 53 54 37	17 41 1 0 42 44 15	2 2 0 0 2 3 1	27 27 0 0 27 31 23	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 3 3 3 0	111 235 1 0 236 220 127
		Than 12 mos	51% +26	38% -7	100% - 5	15% -4	27% -1	33% -2	0 -1	13% -4	0 0	0 0	18% +16
15th	Stephenson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	58 40 0 + 2 42 39 69 57%	41 31 0 - 2 29 21 46 64%	8 3 0 +1 4 11 8 63%	71 132 0 -1 131 100 88 39%	32 44 2 0 46 37 40 44%	29 31 0 31 34 22 56%	2 0 0 0 3 0	6 31 0 31 15 22 22%	0 1 0 1 1 0	2 7 0 7 8 0	138 220 0 220 207 149 33%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+11	+ 5	0	+ 17	+ 8	-7	-2	+ 16	0	-2	+11
15th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Yending Atend	199 140 9 +19 168 114 265	190 210 3 19 194 164 209	23 7 2 +6 15 29 17	298 474 8 - 5 477 459 303	221 223 6 0 229 221 225	93 152 3 0 155 148 94	10 9 0 9 12 8	92 117 0 0 117 104 106	2 1 0 1 1 2	12 36 0 36 28 21	427 824 2 0 826 791 457
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	53% +66	42% +19	55% -6	34% +5	38% +4	45% +1	44% - 2	43% +14	0	52% +9	25% + 30
16th	DeKalb	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	197 64 2 +14 80 66 212	73 85 0 -14 71 59 88	19 3 1 +4 8 7 20	211 301 0 - 4 297 281 225	112 61 1 0 62 83 91	51 84 0 0 84 71 64	8 4 0 0 4 5 7	11 15 0 15 16 10	0 2 0 0 2 2 2 0	0 12 0 0 12 11 1	231 346 2 0 348 357 219
		Than 12 mos	67% +15	48% +15	75% +1	56% +14	65% - 21	44% +13	86% -1	100% -1	0 0	0 +1	34%

			Law \$15		Law \$ or	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ 1	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissol
16th	Kane	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	1,098 562 42 + 24 628 593 1,061	531 450 8 - 24 434 374 548	48 42 0 +12 54 41 43	540 3,373 136 - 12 3,497 3,084 719	431 500 6 0 506 497 444	158 359 0 359 276 210	35 33 2 0 35 27 37	241 150 2 0 152 235 150	5 1 0 1 6 2	55 1,055 0 0 1,055 894 157	1,123 2,099 0 2,099 1,629 1,095
		Than 12 mos	53% - 37	43% +17	40% - 5	13% +179	34% +13	28% +52	35% +2	39% 91	100% - 3	32% +102	16% - 28
16th	Kendall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	52 24 +6 31 31 52 60%	32 44 2 -6 40 23 50 48%	5 1 0 +1 2 1 4 75%	51 73 0 1 72 135 89 34%	40 40 1 0 41 46 35 37%	10 19 0 19 14 15 60%	1 0 0 0 0 1 1	18 5 0 5 9 14 71%	0 1 0 1 0 1	5 0 0 0 0 0 5	110 121 1 0 122 138 94 37%
		I han 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	0	+18	-1	+ 38	-5	+ 5	0	-4	+1	0	- 16
16th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,347 650 45 + 44 739 690 1,325 56% - 22	$ \begin{array}{r} 636 \\ 579 \\ 10 \\ -40 \\ 549 \\ 456 \\ 686 \\ - \\ 44\% \\ +50 \\ \end{array} $	72 46 1 +17 64 49 67 52% -5	802 3,847 136 - 21 3,962 3,500 1,033 24% + 231	583 601 8 0 609 626 570 39% - 13	219 462 0 462 361 289 33% +70	44 37 2 0 39 32 45 44% +1	270 170 2 0 172 260 174 45% - 96	5 4 0 4 8 3 67% - 2	60 1,067 0 1,067 905 163 34% + 103	1,464 2,563 3 0 2,566 2,124 1,408 21% - 56
17th	Boone	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	49 14 0 +5 19 23 45 60% -4	37 35 0 -5 30 39 28 46% -9	5 2 0 +3 5 5 5 20% 0	55 127 0 -3 124 129 50 30% -5	56 27 0 27 37 46 72% -10	13 20 0 20 18 15 33% +2	4 0 0 2 2 100% -2	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 8 0 8 8 5 100% 0	96 176 0 176 161 111 24% +15
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	772 344 11 + 47 402 350 824 55% + 52	341 334 22 - 47 309 199 451 50% + 110	39 4 0 + 24 28 30 37 49% - 2	1,384 2,491 22 - 24 2,489 2,142 1,741 39% + 357	387 378 7 0 385 406 366 42% - 21	185 160 4 0 164 171 178 49% -7	9 7 0 7 5 11 18% + 2	68 115 2 0 117 93 92 40% + 24	3 1 0 1 3 1 100% -2	4 342 0 0 342 338 8 0 + 4	1,235 1,660 3 0 1,663 1,603 1,295 30% + 60
17th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	821 .358 11 +52 421 373 869 55% +48	378 369 22 -52 339 238 479 50% +101	44 6 0 + 27 33 35 42 41%	1,439 2,618 22 - 27 2,613 2,271 1,791 39%	443 405 7 0 412 443 412 45%	198 180 4 0 184 189 193 50%	13 7 0 0 7 7 13 67%	68 116 2 0 118 94 92 40%	3 1 0 1 3 1 50%	9 350 0 350 346 13 38%	1,331 1,836 3 0 1,839 1,764 1,406 30%

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,198 805 18 0 823 648 1,178 64%	240 430 0 430 402 302 30%	377 961 0 168 793 795 364 18%	894 5,792 0 + 168 5,960 5,935 1,651 10%	1,217 6,876 227 0 7,103 6,484 1,349 5%	1,948 619 3 0 622 442 1,933 73%			 98 0 98 93 	10,139 95,450 444 0 95,894 101,594 11,243 35%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Kane	16th
 - 20	+ 62	-13	+ 757	+132	- 15	_	-	_	+1,104	\dots Inventory (+ or –)		
57 72 2 0 74 68 63 62%	55 94 0 94 93 56 46%	32 130 3 - 15 118 89 65 8%	149 314 37 +15 366 397 114 12%	83 279 3 0 282 267 98 16%	118 60 0 60 57 121 64%		5,502 0 5,502 5,412 —	59 0 59 58 	818 6,971 50 0 7,021 6,852 877 39%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Kendall	16th
 +6	+1	+ 33	- 35 1,672	+15	+ 3		_		+ 59 13,478	Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	16th
1,007 20 0 1,077 880 1,308 63% + 2	675 0 675 652 376 32% + 57	1,390 3 - 187 1,206 1,095 621 20% + 96	1,072 7,489 37 +187 7,713 7,321 2,494 13% +822	8,212 230 0 8,442 7,691 1,824 11% + 264	842 5 0 847 656 2,590 73% - 4	6,925 0 6,925 5,513 	83,635 — 0 83,635 90,679 — — —	210 — 0 210 212 — —	120,461 502 0 120,963 123,710 14,976 37% + 1,498	Filed Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
47 107 0 107 122 32 22% -15	124 40 2 0 42 32 134 68% +10	36 97 8 - 5 100 102 34 3% - 2	212 728 0 +5 733 701 244 33% + 32	147 491 0 491 502 136 10% - 11	259 84 0 84 86 257 74% - 2	98 0 98 73 —	9,357 0 9,357 9,022 —		1,145 11,424 10 0 11,434 11,105 1,144 44% -1	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Boone	17th
2,348 1,517 0 1,517 931 2,934	285 571 0 0 571 508 348	572 1,551 9 - 445 1,115 998 769	3,634 8,231 11 + 445 8,687 6,029 6,212	6,055 5,757 0 0 5,757 5,932 5,880	3,589 685 1 0 686 396 4,024	– 1,213 0 0 1,213 1,279 –		 244 0 244 216 	20,910 73,801 92 0 73,893 66,542 25,171	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Winnebago	17th
64% + 586	15% +63	25% +197	41% +2,578	54% 175	90% + 435	_	_		54% + 4,261	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)		
2,395 1,624 0 1,624 1,053 2,966	409 611 2 0 613 540 482	608 1,648 17 - 450 1,215 1,100 803	3,846 8,959 11 + 450 9,420 6,730 6,456	6,202 6,248 0 0 6,248 6,434 6,016	3,848 769 1 0 770 482 4,281	1,311 0 1,311 1,352 —	57,553 0 57,553 53,935 —	 256 0 256 258 	22,055 85,225 102 0 85,327 77,647 26,315	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Circuit Total	17th
64% + 571	28% +73	24% + 195	41% +2,610	53% 186	89% + 433	_	_	_	53% + 4,260	\dots Than 12 mos		

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or	,	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt Iain		unicipal Corporations	th	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneo Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolu Marr
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,416 729 6 + 621 1,356 1,241 1,531 37% + 115	636 1,307 4 - 621 690 654 672 24% + 36	130 30 1 +146 177 149 158 38% +28	1,394 5,209 28 - 146 5,091 4,708 1,777 16% + 383	1,293 1,000 6 0 1,006 1,212 1,087 47% - 206	434 730 164 0 894 878 450 44% + 16	119 95 0 95 101 113 39% -6	887 2,889 0 2,889 2,766 1,010 75% +123	6 13 0 13 8 11 45% + 5	28 110 0 110 131 7 0 - 21	1,863 3,464 11 0 3,475 3,524 1,814 15% - 49
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,416 729 6 + 621 1,356 1,241 1,531 37% + 115	636 1,307 4 -621 690 654 672 24% +36	130 30 1 +146 177 149 158 38% +28	1,394 5,209 28 - 146 5,091 4,708 1,777 16% + 383	1,293 1,000 6 0 1,006 1,212 1,087 47% - 206	434 730 164 0 894 878 450 44% + 16	119 95 0 95 101 113 39% - 6	887 2,889 0 2,889 2,766 1,010 75% +123	6 13 0 13 8 11 45% +5	28 110 0 110 131 7 0 - 21	1,863 3,464 11 0 3,475 3,524 1,814 1,814 15% - 49
19th	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,265 648 87 + 347 1,082 1,068 1,279 42% + 14	498 860 61 - 347 574 537 537 21% + 39	126 58 13 + 91 162 131 157 38% + 31	949 3,062 135 -91 3,106 2,851 1,202 13% +253	745 748 72 0 820 903 662 30% - 83	177 484 10 0 494 442 229 35% +52	58 66 9 0 75 53 80 23% + 22	238 13 2 0 15 134 119 90% -119	5 18 1 0 19 15 9 11% +4	0 36 0 36 31 0 0 0	1,227 2,601 72 0 2,673 2,678 1,222 8% - 5
19th	McHenry	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	374 159 1 0 160 161 365 49% - 9	171 212 8 0 220 236 155 32%	28 44 2 0 46 14 60 50%	365 762 9 0 771 763 373 46%	241 309 2 0 311 309 244 35%	98 103 0 103 115 86 44%	13 87 0 87 26 74 5%	27 7 1 0 8 5 30 77%	3 4 1 0 5 7 1 0 -2	0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0	437 943 6 0 949 936 450 12%
19th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	1,639 807 88 + 347 1,242 1,229 1,644		+ 32 154 102 15 + 91 208 145 217	+ 8 1,314 3,824 144 - 93 3,875 3,614 1,575	+ 3 986 1,057 74 0 1,131 1,212 906	- 12 275 587 10 0 597 557 315	+61 71 153 9 0 162 79 154	+ 3 265 20 3 0 23 139 149	8 22 2 0 24 22 10	0 38 0 0 38 33 0	+ 13 1,664 3,544 78 0 3,622 3,614 1,672
20th	Monroe	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Yending at End	43% +5 44 24 0 +5 29 30 39	23% +23 29 13 0 -5 8 23 18	41% + 63 4 6 0 +3 9 3 8	$ \begin{array}{r} 20\% \\ + 261 \\ 32 \\ 46 \\ 0 \\ - 3 \\ 43 \\ 36 \\ 41 \\ \end{array} $	31% -80 22 17 0 0 17 15 24	38% +40 9 21 0 0 21 24 6	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\% \\ +83 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $	87% 116 11 5 0 0 5 12 4	$ \begin{array}{r} 10\% \\ +2 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array} $		9% +8 41 99 0 0 99 99 96 44
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	46% - 5	72% 11	25% +4	39% +9	63% +2	17% - 3	100% 0	25% -7	0 + 2	0 0	20% + 3

Circui	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
181	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	17,851 197,478 769 0 198,247 208,677 17,250 29%			14,536 17 0 14,553 14,634 —	1,546 1,122 0 1,122 1,117 1,550 55%	2,597 8,280 0 8,280 7,921 2,956 10%	3,402 6,677 5 + 102 6,784 8,192 2,171 22%	1,173 2,197 123 - 102 2,218 2,551 831 7%	308 721 0 721 676 353 30%	619 1,411 0 0 1,411 1,271 759 39%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	- 601	_	_	_	+ 4	+ 359	-1,231	- 342	+ 45	+140
181	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	17,851 197,478 769 0 198,247 208,677 17,250 29%				1,546 1,122 0 1,122 1,117 1,550 55%	2,597 8,280 0 8,280 7,921 2,956 10%	3,402 6,677 5 + 102 6,784 8,192 2,171 22%	1,173 2,197 123 - 102 2,218 2,551 .831 7%	308 721 0 721 676 353 30%	619 1,411 0 1,411 1,271 759 39%
10		Inventory (+ or –)	- 601	-	-		+ 4	+ 359	- 1,231	- 342 462	+ 45	+140
19 1	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	12,078 156,192 1,583 0 157,775 146,012 12,226 31% +148		119,107 0 0 119,107 109,658 — — —	12,286 0 12,286 10,191 — —	2,352 1,016 19 0 1,035 804 2,583 72% + 231	2,158 6,727 66 0 6,793 7,534 1,417 1% - 741	729 3,208 631 + 431 4,270 4,109 890 11% + 161	2,044 390 - 431 2,003 1,887 578 7% + 116	126 351 0 351 376 101 3% - 25	2,052 15 0 2,067 1,869 1,161 33% +198
19t	McHenry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	4,715 46,308 111 0 46,419 46,393 4,711 33%		34,503 0 34,503 35,217 -	2,407 0 2,407 1,712 —	697 325 0 325 289 733 66%	1,203 2,410 51 0 2,461 2,559 1,105 27%	615 2,012 0 +111 2,123 2,269 489 11%	273 1,230 0 -111 1,119 935 383 16%	73 177 25 0 202 216 59 10%	97 427 5 0 432 425 104 11%
191	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos					+ 36 3,049 1,341 19 0 1,360 1,093 3,316 71%	- 98 3,361 9,137 117 0 9,254 10,093 2,522 12%	- 126 1,344 5,220 631 + 542 6,393 6,378 1,379 11%	+ 110 735 3,274 390 - 542 3,122 2,822 961 10%	-14 199 528 25 0 553 592 160 6%	+ 7 1,060 2,479 20 0 2,499 2,294 1,265 31%
		Inventory (+ or –)	+144		-	-	+ 267	- 839	+ 35	+ 226	- 39	+ 205
201	Monroe	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	265 3,564 0 3,564 3,710 556		2,607 0 2,607 2,731		187 81 0 81 84 183	78 190 0 190 186 82	57 223 0 +6 229 236 50	$ \begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 49 \\ 0 \\ -6 \\ 43 \\ 56 \\ 28 \end{array} $	8 34 0 34 35 7	23 21 0 21 29 15
		% Pending More 	44% - 35	_	_	_	62% - 4	34% + 4	2% -7	29% 	43% - 1	73% -8

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or	15,000 less	cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ent main		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissol Mai
20th	Perry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	52 14 0 14 10 56 64%	54 33 0 0 33 28 59 68%	4 0 0 0 3 1 100%	106 51 0 51 39 118 88%	69 32 0 32 30 71 79%	12 14 0 14 8 18 45%	1 0 0 0 0 1	27 7 0 7 7 7 27 85%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	74 122 0 122 109 87 56%
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 4	+ 5	-3	+ 12	+ 2	+6	0	0	0	0	+13
20th	Randolph	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	68 17 0 +17 34 30 70	41 53 0 - 17 36 24 55	8 1 0 1 5 4	96 76 0 76 59 113	58 29 0 29 25 62	94 80 0 80 107 67	4 0 0 0 0 0 4	13 10 0 10 8 15	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	22 253 0 253 274 1	157 223 0 0 223 174 206
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	57% +2	56% +14	75% -4	68% +17	73% +4	63% - 27	100% 0	73%	100% 0	0 - 21	53% +49
20th	St. Clair	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Theor 12 more	1,896 538 13 + 302 853 815 2,056	480 691 3 - 302 392 295 593	141 39 7 +66 112 162 49	270 2,448 30 -66 2,412 2,103 544	420 455 3 0 458 604 351	289 260 3 0 263 261 265	9 18 0 0 18 8 49	1,161 734 0 734 127 1,690	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1,246 1,723 0 1,723 1,722 632
		Than 12 mos	66% +160	41% +113	35% - 92	24% + 274	38% - 69	39% - 24	49% + 40	62% +529	0	0	6% -614
20th	Washington	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More There 12 percent	10 7 0 +11 18 6 25	19 21 0 -11 10 8 17	2 0 0 0 0 2 1	13 40 0 40 29 26	22 14 0 0 14 12 24	6 10 0 10 13 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 9 0 9 11 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	17 52 0 0 52 46 23
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	56% +15	24% -2	100% -1	35% +13	50% + 2	67% - 3	0	29% - 2	0	0	22% +6
20th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	2,070 600 13 + 335 948 891 2,246	623 811 3 - 335 479 378 742	159 46 7 +69 122 175 63	517 2,661 30 - 69 2,622 2,266 842	591 547 3 0 550 686 532	410 385 3 0 388 413 359	17 19 0 19 9 57	1,221 765 0 765 165 1,743	4 5 0 5 3 6	22 253 0 253 274 1	1,535 2,219 0 2,219 2,219 2,147 992
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	65% +176	44% +119	38% - 96	39% + 325	49% - 59	44% - 51	55% + 40	62% + 522	33% +2	0 - 21	20% - 543
21st	Iroquois	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	63 23 0 0 23 25 69	36 36 0 36 19 49	11 2 0 0 2 4 12	69 144 0 0 144 126 79	49 30 0 30 9 67	56 25 0 25 40 41	3 6 0 6 1 8	5 4 0 4 2 7	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	100 141 0 141 141 131 110
		Than 12 mos	64% +6	63% +13	67% +1	51% +10	61% +18	66% -15	25% +5	57% +2	100% 0	0 +1	48% +10

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
20t	Perry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	1,143 4,583 0 4,583 3,767 1,254		3,524 0 3,524 2,851	121 0 0 121 93	384 72 0 0 72 51 405	121 171 0 0 171 130 167	49 203 0 +14 217 215 45	38 105 0 -14 91 93 37	4 20 0 20 18 6	148 60 0 60 52 156
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	75% +111	_	_	-	84% + 21	62% +46	47% -4	-1	50% + 2	92% +8
20t	Randolph	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,930 4,906 8 0 4,914 4,451 2,060 68% + 130	93 0 93 65 -	2,804 0 2,804 2,535 	0 0 101 64 	742 115 0 115 116 741 87% -1	210 533 5 0 538 492 257 38% + 47	$ \begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 317 \\ 0 \\ +10 \\ 327 \\ 302 \\ 132 \\ 34\% \\ +25 \\ \end{array} $	47 96 3 - 10 89 104 32 6% - 15	30 48 0 48 34 44 48% +14	231 57 0 57 33 255 87% + 24
20t	St. Clair	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	21,372 78,309 65 0 78,374 65,833 24,593			2,835 0 2,835 2,150 —	3,200 873 6 0 879 890 3,454	2,953 5,028 0 5,028 3,468 4,191	5,250 7,135 0 + 110 7,245 5,765 6,568	256 1,050 0 - 110 940 839 374	810 491 0 0 491 868 305	2,991 4,155 0 0 4,155 3,220 3,472
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	49% + 3,221	_		_	77% +254	44% +1,238	48% +1,318	7% +118	26% 505	38% +481
20t	Washington	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	517 2,798 0 2,798 2,899 561 55%		2,132 0 2,132 2,278 —	1 0 1 1	263 97 0 97 87 271 72%	73 183 0 0 183 201 55 33%	39 133 0 +8 141 130 50 42%	14 40 0 -8 32 14 32 28%	16 7 0 7 10 13 69%	14 15 0 15 15 14 64%
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 44	_	-		+ 8	-18	+11	+ 18	- 3	0
20t	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	25,553 94,160 73 0 94,233 80,660 29,024	283 0 283 		3,120 0 3,120 2,358	4,776 1,238 6 0 1,244 1,228 5,054	3,435 6,105 5 0 6,110 4,477 4,752	5,502 8,011 0 +148 8,159 6,648 6,845	396 1,340 3 148 1,195 1,106 503	868 600 0 600 965 375	3,407 4,308 0 4,308 3,349 3,912
		Than 12 mos	52% + 3,471	_		_	79% +278	44% +1,317	47% +1,343	9% +107	30% - 493	43% + 505
21s	Iroquois	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	1,683 7,552 0 7,552 7,787 1,726		5,766 0 5,766 6,031		630 149 0 149 152 637	239 455 0 455 511 183	215 476 0 0 476 496 195	62 84 0 0 84 62 96	16 80 0 80 61 41	128 70 0 70 69 130
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	60% +43	_	_	_	80% +7	28% - 56	29% - 20	39% + 34	12% +25	86% + 2

			Law \$15,			15,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chan	Misce Rei	Emin Dc	Tax	Munio Coi	Mental Health	Disso Má
21st	Kankakee	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	360 173 0 +70 243 188 397 51%	148 107 0 - 70 37 51 154 71%	$ \begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 0 \\ + 69 \\ 69 \\ 34 \\ 90 \\ 40\% \end{array} $	111 721 0 -69 652 605 178 178	267 173 0 173 127 295 63%	80 191 0 191 121 149 36%	7 5 0 5 7 7 29%	.45 40 0 40 4 81 62%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 40 0 40 41 0	448 559 0 0 559 546 397 30%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -) \dots$	+ 37	+6	+ 24	+ 67	+ 28	+ 69	0	+ 36	0	-1	- 51
21st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	423 196 0 + 70 266 213 466	184 143 0 -70 73 70 203	77 2 0 +69 71 38 102	180 865 0 69 796 731 257	316 203 0 203 136 362	136 216 0 216 161 190	10 11 0 11 8 15	50 44 0 0 44 6 88	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 40 0 40 41 1	548 700 0 700 677 507
		Than 12 mos \dots Inventory (+ or -) \dots	53% +43	71 <i>%</i> +19	45% +25	24% +77	63% +46	45% +54	27% +5	61% + 38	0 _	0	34% - 41
	Downstate Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	18,344 8,445 355 +2,350 11,150 10,593 18,989 54% +645	8,253 10,250 189 - 2,333 8,106 6,065 10,244 40% + 1,991	1,563 590 70 +713 1,373 1,266 1,581 49% +18	14,898 34,490 618 708 34,400 31,987 17,120 36% +2,222	11,483 9,870 169 0 10,039 10,455 11,158 47% - 325	5,020 7,184 221 0 7,405 6,931 5,397 47% + 377	669 723 24 0 747 516 935 40% + 266	4,597 5,451 20 0 5,471 4,812 5,169 62% + 572	216 141 3 0 144 158 204 65% - 12	570 4,654 0 4,654 4,701 462 42% - 108	18,933 36,289 287 0 36,576 35,021 19,177 30% + 244
	Cook	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	59,221 5,095 2,904 + 15,190 23,189 21,190 61,220	15,288 23,447 3,120 - 15,190 11,377 10,771 15,894	14,694 988 578 + 6,999 8,565 5,847 10,543	81,441 102,214 4,909 - 6,726 100,397 80,434 94,360	32,241 20,909 1,391 0 22,300 23,653 27,323	2,217 1,755 389 0 2,144 2,132 2,229	409 145 60 0 205 134 480	85,729 7,071 100 0 7,171 11,778 40,485 ^a	291 26 0 26 13 304	270 5,867 0 5,867 5,938 199	11,670 24,594 1,767 0 26,361 24,649 13,382
		Than 12 mos	70% +1,999	37% +606	45% ^a - 4,151	43% ^a +10,543	46% 4,918	_b +12	_ ^b +71	^b 45,244	_ ^b +13	0 - 71	34% +1,712
	State Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	77,565 13,540 3,259 + 17,540 34,339 31,783 80,209	23,541 33,697 3,309 -17,523 19,483 16,836 26,138	16,257 1,578 648 +7,712 9,938 7,113 12,124	96,339 136,704 5,527 - 7,434 134,797 112,421 111,480	43,724 30,779 1,560 0 32,339 34,108 38,481	7,237 8,939 610 0 9,549 9,063 7,626	1,078 868 84 0 952 650 1,415	90,326 12,522 120 0 12,642 16,590 45,654 ^d	507 167 3 0 170 171 508	840 10,521 0 0 10,521 10,639 661	30,603 60,883 2,054 0 62,937 59,670 32,559
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	62% +2,644	39% + 2,597	47% ³ -4,133	40% ³ +12,765	47% - 5,243	47% ¹ + 389	40% ¹ + 337	62% ¹ - 44,672	65% ¹ +1	42% - 179	32% +1,956

*Cases filed in First District Civil prior to January, 1984, not included in totals as of February, 1986, due to installation of a computer – generated system providing monthly statistics, pl adjustment due to physical Inventory.

**The felony category for Cook County does not include preliminary hearings of which 21,123 were pending at the start of 1986, 40,821 were filed, 1062 were reinstated, 41,883 was not added, 40,388 were disposed and 21,118 were pending at the end of 1986. These figures are included in the total column. This leaves an inventory figure of 2,371 less than actual total. alncludes Districts Two thru Six only.

^bNot available.

^cIncludes those categories only where % is available.

^dDoes not include causes prior to January, 1984, due to installation of a computer – generated system providing monthly statistics.

#The misdemeanor category for Cook County includes ordinance violations, conservation violations, and all misdemeanors.

##Includes "hang-on" (parking tickets) for District One of Cook County (City of Chicago) and other appropriate municipalities

¹Includes Downstate Counties only – Cook County not available.

²Includes Downstate Counties and those from Cook County where age of pending cases were available.

³Includes Downstate and Districts Two thru Six in Cook County.

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
505 746 0 0 746 962 289 35% - 216	34 143 0 0 143 87 106 10% +72	260 393 0 - 58 295 271 285 22% + 25	436 1,444 0 +58 1,502 1,268 668 23% +232	446 2,501 0 2,501 1,947 988 15% + 542	2,575 388 0 388 256 2,705 87% + 130				5,789 23,534 0 23,534 21,117 6,789 52% +1,000	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Kankakee	21st
633 816 0 816 1,031 419 54% - 214	$ \begin{array}{r} 50\\223\\0\\0\\223\\148\\147\\117\\+97\end{array} $	322 477 0 - 58 419 333 381 28% + 59	651 1,920 -0 +58 1,978 1,764 863 24% +212	685 2,956 0 2,956 2,458 1,171 16% + 486	3,205 537 0 537 408 3,342 86% +137		20,965 0 20,965 19,700 		7,472 31,086 0 0 31,086 28,904 8,515 54% + 1,043	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	21st
22,145 29,194 148 0 29,342 25,398 25,449 54% + 3,304	6,662 10,617 85 0 10,702 10,751 6,545 34% -117	$10,014 \\ 25,631 \\ 1,141 \\ -3,214 \\ 23,558 \\ 22,206 \\ 11,317 \\ 16\% \\ +1,303$	34,316 86,271 1,357 + 3,244 90,872 85,783 39,833 32% + 5,517	47,006 126,195 1,355 - 5 127,545 122,359 50,801 31% + 3,795	69,350 19,394 87 0 19,481 18,167 71,097 78% +1,747			9,434 21 0 9,455 8,752 —	274,039 1,613,154 13,421 47 1,626,622 1,563,495 295,478 48% + 21,439	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	. Downstate Total	
27,766 23,202 38,646 0 61,848 58,564 31,384 b + 3,618	10,199 17,908 14 0 17,922 16,785 11,336 16% + 1,137	$ \begin{array}{r} 10,710\\ 21,444\\ 4,814\\ 0\\ 26,258\\ 22,364\\ 10,985\\ 10\%\\ +275\\ \end{array} $	163,947 330,397 0 330,397 335,616 166,274 19% + 2,327	30,774 58,343 2,604 - 273 60,674 49,247 37,138 b + 6,364	22,163 11,329 0 11,329 10,523 22,949 77% + 786	# # # #		# # # 	590,153 5,808,593 62,358 0 5,870,951 3,326,919 567,603 40% ^c - 22,550**	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Cook	
$ \begin{array}{r} 49,911\\52,396\\38,794\\0\\91,190\\83,962\\56,833\\54\%^1\\+6,922\end{array} $	16,861 28,525 99 0 28,624 27,536 17,881 25% +1,020	20,724 47,075 5,955 - 3,214 49,816 44,570 22,302 13% + 1,578	198,263 416,668 1,357 3,244 421,269 421,399 206,107 26% +7,844	77,780 184,538 3,959 - 278 188,219 171,606 87,939 31% ¹ + 10,159	91,513 30,723 87 0 30,810 28,690 94,046 78% + 2,533	77,532# 327# 0# 77,859# 72,391# — — —		9,434# 21# 0# 9,455# 8,752# 	864,192 7,421,747 75,779 47 7,497,573 4,890,414 863,081 44% ² -1,111	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Inventory (+ or -)	State Total	

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1986

Circuit	Pending At Start*	Filed	Reinstated	Total Added	Disposed Of	Pending At End	Inventory Increase + Decrease -
1st	7,017	50,742	673	51,416 ^a	51,444	7,334	+.317
2nd	11,663	43,168	64	43,233 ^a	42,477	11,837	+174
3rd	17,537	67,007	109	67,116	62,853	20,935	+ 3,398
4th	12,105	49,611	9	49,620	49,501	10,040	- 2,065
5th	10,890	43,167	117	43,316 ^b	41,578	11,652	+ 762
6th	17,875	85,999	133	86,132	80,075	18,887	+1,012
7th	17,826	81,737	170	81,907	71,860	20,928	+ 3,102
8th	5,897	28,400	83	28,486 ^c	28,056	5,977	+80
9th	7,017	38,490	81	38,571	36,829	7,828	+ 811
10th	16,380	83,528	426	83,964 ^d	86,981	17,086	+ 706
11th	8,803	67,406	2,586	69,992	64,305	9,367	+ 564
12th	9,549	93,447	3,609	97,056	90,468	10,682	+1,133
13th	6,648	35,251	280	35,531	34,887	7,095	+ 447
14th	15,375	75,529	1,867	77,396	74,662	15,531	+156
15th	6,255	38,762	74	38,836	35,516	7,282	+ 1,027
16th	13,478	120,461	502	120,963	123,710	14,976	+1,498
17th	22,055	85,225	102	85,327	77,647	26,315	+ 4,260
18th	17,851	197,478	769	198,247	208,677	17,250	- 601
19th	16,793	202,500	1,694	204,194	192,405	16,937	+144
20th	25,553	94,160	73	94,233	80,660	29,024	+ 3,471
21st	7,472	31,086	0	31,086	28,904	8,515	+1,043
Downstate Total	274,039	1,613,154	13,421	1,626,622 ^e	1,563,495	295,478	+ 21,439
Cook County	590,153	5,808,593**	62,358	5,870,951**	3,326,919**	567,603	- 22,550
State Total	864,192	7,421,747**	75,779	7,497,573**	4,890,414**	863,081	-1,111

*Includes all case categories except pending counts for Ordinance Violations, Conservation Violations and Traffic Violations.

**Includes Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

Note: Pending at end figures adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number reported pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - interviewing transactions.

a. Includes 1 transferred case.

b. Includes 32 transferred cases.

c. Includes 3 transferred cases.

d. Includes 10 transferred cases.

e. Total includes 47 transferred cases.

SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1986

		Total Law Jury ases Disposed Of	-	Terr	er of Law Jury Ca ninated By Verdie		Average Time Elapsed in Months
Circuit	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less*	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less*	Total	For Cases Terminate By Verdict
1st	318	35	353	26	3	29	25.8
2nd	236	24	260	3	0	3	19.1
3rd	972	126	1,098	28	15	43	30.8
4th	283	39	322	13	2	15	23.8
5th	264	15	279	12	1	13	38.6
6th	541	27	568	29	3	32	29.4
7th	410	51	461	23	0	23	36.6
8th	178	22	200	14	0	.14	30.5
9th	173	28	201	7	2	9	17.7
10th	802	98	900	41	0	41	28.3
11th	355	44	399	32	0	32	30.7
12th	560	59	619	28	1	29	17.3
13th	384	44	428	18	0	18	27.2
14th	366	34	400	23	0	23	25.7
15th	114	29	143	8	0	8	27.3
16th	690	49	739	39	2	41	30.0
17th	373	35	408	20	1	21	36.5
18th	1,241	149	1,390	67	4	71	19.8
19th	1,229	145	1,374	69	4	73	26.1
20th	891	175	1,066	40	7	47	35.4
21st	213	38	251	13	0	13	39.7
Downstate Total	10,593	1,266	11,859	553	45	598	28.1
Cook County	21,190	5,847	27,038	555	162*	717	52.1
State Total	31,783	7,113	38,897	1,108	207*	1,315	41.8

* Does not include 21 cases from month of January - figures not available.

SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW CASES TERMINATED BY VERDICT

	Cas	ses Terminated	By Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts		apsed Between and Date of Ve	
	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Downstate Total	598	28.7	19.9	28.1
Cook County	717	123	2	52.1
State Total	1,315	123	2	41.8

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1986

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	iry Cases Terr	ninated by Ve	erdict			
		Law Over	Law \$15,000		Law Over	Law \$15,000		Under 1	1 Year to	1½ Years	2 Years to	2½ Years	3 Years to	3½ Years to	Over 4	Law Over	Time Lapse Law \$15,000	
Circuit	County	\$15,000	or Less	Total	\$15,000	or Less	Total	Year	1 ¹ / ₂ Years	2 Years	2 ¹ / ₂ Years	3 Years	3 ¹ / ₂ Years	4 Years	Years	\$15,000	or Less	Total
1st 1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	3 76 9 19 3 8 42 28 130 318	1 6 2 0 0 8 1 15 35	4 82 11 21 3 8 50 29 145 353	0 9 1 0 3 0 3 2 8 26	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 3	0 9 2 1 3 0 4 2 8 29		 4 0 1 0 0 0 0 5			 0 0 0 0 0 1 1			0 0 1 0 0 1 2		 25.6 15.4 43.2 27.5	
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin - Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash	20 1 85 10 4 1 50 14 19 8	2 0 2 1 2 1 2 0 2 7 7	22 1 87 11 6 2 52 14 21 15	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0	 0 	- - - - - 0 - 1	0 1 0 				 0 	 0 	 24.2 19.6 13.5 		 24.2 19.6 13.5
2nd	Wayne White Circuit Total	12 12 236	3 2 24	15 14 260	0 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 3	- - 0	2	- - 1	0	- 0	0	0	0	 19.1		 19.1
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	12 960 972	0 126 126	12 1,086 1,098	0 28 28	0 15 15	0 43 43	4 4	2				5 5	2 2	5 5		22.1 22.1	
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	28 13 30 38 31 15 89 28 11 283	2 2 3 7 10 4 5 5 1 39	30 15 33 45 41 19 93 33 12 322	1 0 4 2 1 0 2 2 1 13	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	2 0 4 2 2 0 2 2 1 15	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 2 1 0 - 1 0 1 6	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 3	0 1 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	16.6 21.0 22.1 21.2 16.4 34.9 15.2 21.8	10.8 	13.7 — 21.0 22.1 42.0 — 16.4 34.9 15.2 23.8
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	7 74 8 24 151 264	1 2 1 9 15	8 76 10 25 160 279	0 0 0 12 12	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 13 13	- - - 1 1		 2	 2	- - - 1	- - - 1 1		 	 40.9 40.9	- - 11.6 11.6	 38.6 38.6
6th 6th	Champaign	271 14 22 223 11 0 541	20 0 4 1 1 1 27	291 14 26 224 12 1 568	9 0 3 17 0 0 29	0 0 3 0 0 3	9 0 3 20 0 0 32	1 3 5	1 6 7	1 0 2 	1 0 2 - 3	2 0 2 4	0 1 0 1	0 	3 1 5	35.0 41.5 28.5 30.2	 21.3 21.3	35.0

140

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1986

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	erdict			
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Average T Law Over \$15,000	ime Lapse (J Law \$15,000 or Less	Months)
7th 7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	3 43 23 25 308 8 410	0 20 6 5 20 0 51	3 63 29 30 328 8 461	2 1 1 1 18 0 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 1 1 18 0 23	0 1 0 2 - 3	0 0 1 1 - 2	1 0 0 1 	0 0 0 5 	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 - 1	1 0 0 1 - 2	0 0 1 0 7 - 8	34.2 11.0 71.1 13.5 37.7 36.6		34.2 11.0 71.1 13.5 37.7 36.6
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	78 8 5 28 26 9 19 5 178	11 2 0 1 3 4 1 22	89 10 5 28 27 12 23 6 200	5 2 0 2 3 0 1 1 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 2 0 2 3 0 1 1 14	1 0 1 0 1 0 0 2	2 0 0 0 0 1 3	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 0 0 2	0 0 - 1 0 - 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 1 0 3	23.2 20.3 42.3 23.4 99.5 17.1 30.5		23.2 20.3 42.3 23.4 - 99.5 17.1 30.5
9th 9th	Fulton . Hancock . Henderson . Knox . McDonough . Warren . Circuit Total .	40 7 4 83 17 22 173	0 6 1 14 6 1 28	40 13 5 97 23 23 23 201	5 1 0 1 0 7	0 0 0 2 0 2	5 1 0 3 0 9	2 0 1 - 2	1 0 - 2 - 3	1 1 - 0 - 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 - 0	18.9 20.3 — 17.7 — 18.9	 13.4 13.4	18.9 20.3 — 14.8 — 17.7
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	25 582 12 3 180 802	0 70 1 0 27 98	25 652 13 3 207 900	1 31 0 9 41	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 31 0 9 41	1 3 0 4	0 2 1 3	0 5 2 7	0 10 3 13	0 5 2 7	0 1 0 1	0 4 0 4	0 1 1 2	9.8 28.5 — 30.0 28.3		9.8 28.5 — 30.0 28.3
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	22 49 32 223 29 355	0 12 3 26 3 44	22 61 35 249 32 399	2 4 2 22 2 32	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 4 2 22 2 32	0 0 2 1 3	1 0 4 0 5	0 1 1 2 1 5	0 2 0 3 0 5	1 1 0 6 0 8	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 4 0 4	25.2 28.0 33.0 32.9 16.2 30.7		25.2 28.0 33.0 32.9 16.2 30.7
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	560 560	59 59	619 619	28 28	1 1	29 29	5 5	15 15	5 5	4 4	0 0	0	0	0	17.4 17.4	14.0 14.0	17.3 17.3
13th 13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	69 56 259 384	8 7 29 44	77 63 288 428	4 3 11 18	0 0 0 0	4 3 11 18	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	1 1 2 4	3 2 4 9	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	25.9 25.3 28.2 27.2		25.9 25.3 28.2 27.2
14th 14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	48 16 261 41 366	4 28 0 34	52 18 289 41 400	7 3 10 3 23	0 0 0 0	7 3 10 3 23	0 0 3 0 3	1 2 1 2 6	2 1 1 0 4	3 0 0 3	1 0 2 0 3	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 2	24.6 16.0 29.7 24.9 25.7		24.6 16.0 29.7 24.9 25.7

141

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1986

			w Jury Case Disposed Of	5		of Law Jury ated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	rdict			
																Average T	ime Lapse (Months)
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	13 10 26 26 39 114	1 0 11 6 11 29	14 10 37 32 50 143	1 0 3 2 2 8	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 3 2 2 8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 3	0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	21.2 		21.2 — 31.8 21.0 43.8 27.3
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	66 593 31 690	7 41 1 49	73 634 32 739	3 33 3 39	0 1 1 2	3 34 4 41	0 0 2 2	1 1 0 2	2 11 2 15	0 7 0 7	0 5 0 5	0 2 0 2	0 4 0 4	0 4 0 4	18.6 32.7 18.8 30.5		18.6 32.7 15.8 30.0
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	23 350 373	5 30 35	28 380 408	2 18 20	0 1 1	2 19 21	0 3 3	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 2 2	1 2 3	1 2 3	0 2 2	0 6 6	36.3 38.4 38.2	 2.7 2.7	36.3 36.5 36.5
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	1,241 1,241	149 149	1,390 1,390	67 67	4 4	71 71	9 9	27 27	15 15	6 6	6 6	1 1	1 1	2 2	20.4 20.4	10.4 10.4	19.8 19.8
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	1,068 161 1,229	131 14 145	1,199 175 1,374	59 10 69	4 0 4	63 10 73	6 0 6	14 0 14	12 1 13	11 0 11	6 4 10	5 3 8	3 1 4	2 1 3	25.2 36.8 26.8	13.1 13.1	24.4 36.8 26.1
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	30 10 30 815 6 891	3 5 162 2 175	33 13 35 977 8 1,006	2 0 1 37 0 40	0 0 1 6 0 7	2 0 2 43 0 47	0 2 2	0 1 7 8	0 — 1 6 — 7	2 — 0 3 — 5	0 8 8	0 3 3	0 0 1 1	0 — 0 13 — 13	28.5 — 17.6 39.4 — 38.2	 23.7 18.5 19.2	28.5 20.6 36.4 35.4
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	25 188 213	4 34 38	29 222 251	3 10 13	0 0 0	3 10 13	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	1 2 3	0 3 3	0 1 1	0 1 1	2 2 4	53.1 35.7 39.7		53.1 35.7 39.7
	Downstate Total	10,593	1,266	11,859	553	45	598	41	114	112	92	73	39	29	66	28.7	19.9	28.1
	Cook County	21,190	5,847	27,037	555	162	717	23ª	26 ^a	21ª	30 ^a	52ª	51ª	41ª	363 ^a	61.7	19.2	52.1
	State Total	31,783	7,113	38,896	1,108	207	1,315	64 ^a	140 ^a	133 ^a	122 ^a	122ª	90 ^a	70 ^a	439 ^a	45.2	19.4	41.1

a. Does not include the 110 law jury cases under \$15,000 from 1st Municipal District. Breakdown was not available.

142


						NOT CONVICT	TED				
					Redu	iced or Dismissed		Trie	d But Not C	onvicted	
			1.			Dismissed by State					
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Transfer to Warrant Calendar, Motion, etc.	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope	131 481 94 94 52	68 307 49 61 52	0 9 0 0	0 62 0 1	50 222 26 34 24	14 5 20 24 22	0 2 1 0 0	1 7 2 1	3 0 0 1 3	63 174 45 33 0
1st	Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	59 216 104 330 1,561	40 103 70 215 965	1 1 0 0 12	0 2 4 0 70	26 48 52 176 658	12 48 14 39 198	0 0 0 0 3	1 4 0 0 17	0 0 0 0 7	19 113 35 114 596
2nd 2nd	Crawford Edwards	116 34 172 31 35 32 172 87 111 63 53 77 983	65 24 70 18 12 21 43 47 67 30 21 20 438	0 4 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 8	0 0 0 3 0 1 0 4 0 0 2 10	43 15 44 10 5 15 31 33 48 21 13 12 290	21 5 24 8 3 6 8 14 13 8 7 6 123	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 2	1 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 5		51 10 102 13 23 11 129 40 44 33 27 57 540
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	79 982 1,061	21 356 377	0 3 3	1 57 58	14 147 161	6 135 141	0 0 0	0 8 8	0 6 6	58 626 684
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	81 70 103 125 83 52 213 116 51 894	21 9 44 83 20 34 66 43 7 327	0 0 10 1 2 1 0 14	0 9 2 4 0 0 0 15	13 6 16 44 8 19 48 29 5 188	6 3 18 27 7 14 14 11 2 102	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 2	2 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	60 79 59 42 67 18 147 73 42 587
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	83 205 26 85 336 735	23 56 19 35 150 283	6 1 0 0 4 11	1 2 1 2 4 10	6 18 14 8 50 96	10 32 4 25 85 156	0 0 0 1 1	0 3 0 0 6 9		59 149 10 50 186 454
6th 6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	965 87 59 691 42 63 1,887	564 62 40 320 6 38 1,030	0 5 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 1 1	331 25 24 165 1 17 563	8 31 14 130 4 20 207	10 0 1 4 0 0 15	11 1 1 21 0 0 34	204 0 0 1 0 205	420 25 19 346 36 25 871
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	51 101 177 123 980 11 1,443	33 54 123 64 563 8 845	5 5 0 0 10 0 20	4 1 2 0 6 0 13	12 22 72 29 307 2 444	12 26 48 33 222 4 345	0 0 1 13 2 16	0 0 1 5 0 6		18 47 54 58 416 3 596
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	335 41 19 81 82 41 72 10 681	182 37 8 48 42 9 44 2 372	9 0 1 4 0 2 0 16	5 2 0 3 0 1 0 11	75 22 4 20 15 0 27 1 164	92 13 4 21 23 9 11 1 1 174	0 0 1 0 2 0 3	1 0 2 0 0 1 0 4		149 4 11 33 40 31 28 8 304

													ONVIC		IONS					
		Found Unfit to Stand		ry	l By Ju		Co			urt	Ву Со						Guilty	Plea of		
Circu	Gent	Trial		3		Cla	V			-	ass							Cla		
r	County Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 4	2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 3	X 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	M 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	4 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	3 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5	2 1 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	X 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 55 17 5 0 3 8 10 20 122	3 24 42 14 10 0 11 69 6 41 217	2 20 54 8 14 0 3 25 7 37 168	1 5 3 1 0 2 8 12 8 45	X 4 3 1 0 0 0 1 0 4 13	M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 3 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 3 23 6 4 2 54 8 15 4 14 16 161	17 2 29 7 6 5 27 16 21 11 7 19 167	14 4 33 0 4 28 12 4 16 3 16 136	8 0 4 0 7 3 2 1 0 4 30	0 5 0 3 1 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 12	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3
n j	Bond Madison Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 1 1	0 3 3	0 1 1	0 3 3	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 0 0	9 123 132	17 245 262	30 164 194	1 40 41	1 33 34	0 4 4
	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4	1 0 1 0 4 0 0 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 31 15 18 18 6 40 20 15 175	20 28 19 8 25 6 44 24 11 185	16 17 14 12 13 6 48 19 11 156	4 2 5 0 5 8 2 34	2 1 1 0 8 1 3 18	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2
s I c I c	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 2	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 3	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	18 48 5 13 42 126	21 43 1 16 71 152	18 41 2 16 47 124	0 15 1 3 14 33	1 1 0 1 5 8	0 1 0 0 0 1
t s n e t	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	7 0 4 0 0 11	2 0 12 0 0 14	3 0 15 1 0 19	8 0 8 0 0 16	3 0 1 0 4	9 0 4 0 0 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 1 0 7	2 0 4 0 1 7	1 1 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	88 11 5 146 11 8 269	144 6 5 90 10 6 261	118 7 9 47 12 6 199	21 0 6 0 3 30	14 0 6 2 0 22	1 0 3 0 4
	Green Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 4 1 0 5	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 4 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 2	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 12 4 8 66 0 90	7 18 26 24 171 2 248	8 12 19 16 122 0 177	0 5 2 42 0 51	0 0 1 0 6 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 0
	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 8	3 0 1 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 2 0 0 2	2 1 0 0 1 3 1 8	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 2 0 2 1 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 2 4 10 11 14 3 1 79	61 1 13 12 5 12 3 108	29 0 5 8 13 6 3 2 66	6 0 0 0 2 1 0 9	2 0 2 1 1 2 0 8	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1

						NOT CONVICTED					
					Redu	iced or Dismissed		Tried	d But Not Co	onvicted	
						Dismissed by State					
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Transfer to Warrant Calendar, Motion, etc.	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	132 154 46 157 160 70 719	28 77 35 88 42 25 265	0 0 3 8 0 11	0 10 2 0 0 12	10 16 24 26 11 6 93	16 51 11 16 22 18 134	0 0 1 0 1 2	2 0 9 1 0 12	0 0 1 0 0 1	104 77 11 99 118 45 454
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	37 914 9 7 323 1,290	22 324 4 155 509	0 0 0 1 1	1 19 0 4 24	9 242 1 2 100 354	12 41 3 2 42 100	0 9 0 0 8 17	0 13 0 0 0 13	0 0 0 0 0	15 585 5 3 168 776
11th	Ford	43 254 88 634 75 1,094	25 122 53 246 44 490	0 2 3 0 0 5	0 13 0 2 2 17	15 68 37 116 28 264	9 38 12 41 11 111	0 0 1 2 1 4	0 1 0 7 2 10	1 0 78 0 79	26 129 35 388 31 609
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	1,720 1,720	940 940	87 87	50 50	756 756	25 25	9	13 13	0	713 713
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	85 86 239 410	16 52 73 141	0 1 2 3	0 2 1 3	10 19 21 50	6 28 45 79	0 0 1 1	0 2 2 4	0 0 1 1	69 33 164 266
14th 14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	175 53 715 226 1,169	93 37 309 89 528	5 4 8 1 18	0 0 30 0 30	24 28 228 54 334	63 4 32 29 128	1 0 6 3 10	0 1 4 1 6	0 0 1 1 2	82 16 396 135 629
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	55 69 216 79 186 605	18 46 109 15 29 217	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 4 2 4 10	14 17 64 3 23 121	3 28 39 3 0 73	0 1 3 0 5	1 0 1 2 2 6	0 0 0 0 0 0	35 23 104 64 107 333
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	215 912 96 1,223	125 391 66 582	12 24 2 38	0 9 2 11	107 208 45 360	4 142 17 163	2 4 0 6	0 4 0 4	0 0 0 0	90 511 31 632
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	93 1,420 1,513	46 1,000 1,046	1 16 17	1 35 36	31 523 554	13 412 425	0 6 6	0 7 7	0 1 1	47 498 445
18th 18th	DuPage	2,653 2,653	1,375 1,375	50 50	51 51	1,015 1,015	230 230	20 20	9	0	1,299 1,299
19th 19th	Lake	2,595 1,067 3,662	1,674 856 2,530	63 228 291	6 19 25	1,081 480 1,561	475 129 604	11 0 11	24 0 24	14 0 14	911 209 1,120
20th 20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	62 111 130 949 22 1,274	32 64 63 240 13 412	0 3 4 32 0 39	0 0 2 3 0 5	25 31 26 113 3 198	6 28 23 84 10 151	0 1 2 1 0 4	1 1 6 7 0 15	0 0 0 0 0 0	30 47 67 719 9 872
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	67 397 464	17 197 214	0 0 0	1 1 2	10 124 134	5 64 69	1 6 7	0 2 2	0 0 0	50 200 250
	Downstate Total Cook County	27,041	13,886	651	464	8,358	3,738 676	144 2,046	212 100	319	13,030 13,240*
	State Total	27,041*	13,886*	651*	464*	8,358*	4,414	2,190	312	319*	26,270

*Does not include Cook County

		-											ONVIC.		IUNS					
		Found		ry	l By Ju	nvicted	Сс			urt	By Co						Guilty	Plea of		
		Unfit to																		
		Stand Trial			155	Cla					ass	CL					155	Cla		
Circuit	County	***	4	3	2	1	Х	М	4	3	2	1	Х	М	4	3	2	1	Х	м
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	3 0 0 0 0 1 4	1 0 1 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	31 27 6 17 40 13 134	30 12 1 30 44 21 138	28 33 39 23 7 133	9 2 0 4 4 1 20	1 3 0 4 6 2 16	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Circuit Total	0 5 0 1 6	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 7 0 0 3 10	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 5 0 0 0 5	0 6 0 0 1 7	0 3 0 0 1 4	0 2 0 1 3 6	0 5 0 0 5	0 6 0 1 7	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 5 0 0 5	0 1 0 0 0 1	5 127 2 0 28 162	3 162 1 1 55 222	6 179 2 1 51 239	0 45 0 0 18 63	1 23 0 0 7 31	0 1 0 0 1
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 3 0 0 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 7 2 10	0 0 3 0 3	0 1 0 3 0 4	0 0 2 0 2	0 1 0 1 0 2	0 0 4 0 4	2 0 4 0 6	0 0 6 0 6	0 0 3 0 3	0 1 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	6 35 9 65 5 120	11 47 15 158 14 245	6 36 9 97 6 154	0 3 2 20 3 28	0 5 0 12 0 17	0 0 0 1 1
12th 12th	Will	7 7	2 2	10 10	3 3	0 0	21 21	9 9	3 3	9 9	6 6	3 3	0 0	2 2	139 139	175 175	228 228	78 78	19 19	6 6
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 1 2 3	0 0 1 1	2 0 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	17 13 39 69	24 13 48 85	16 6 52 74	6 1 12 19	1 0 6 7	1 0 0 1
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 10 2 12	2 0 1 0 3	0 0 1 0 1	2 0 4 0 6	0 1 1 2 4	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	1 0 1 1 3	1 2 1 1 5	1 0 1 1 3	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0	16 3 84 50 153	25 5 126 44 200	29 3 119 28 179	4 0 40 6 50	1 2 15 0 18	0 0 0 0 0
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 2	3 0 0 3 0 6	2 0 1 1 1 5	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	11 2 26 13 22 74	10 5 50 21 59 145	4 16 21 21 25 87	1 0 4 3 0 8	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 3	0 5 0 5	0 1 0 1	0 10 0 10	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2	2 6 0 8	0 1 0 1	0 4 0 4	0 5 0 5	0 0 0 0	18 138 12 168	31 146 15 192	35 156 2 193	3 27 2 32	1 6 0 7	0 1 0 1
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 2 2	0 2 2	0 5 5	0 6 6	0 3 3	1 3 4	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 3 3	0 4 4	0 2 2	1 4 5	0 1 1	15 86 101	15 158 173	12 89 101	1 11 12	2 16 18	0 3 3
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2 2	1 1	9 9	6 6	4 4	6	0 0	11 11	17 17	22 22	6 6	6 6	1 1	378 378	410 410	322 322	66 66	31 31	3 3
19th 19th	Lake	12 0 12	5 0 5	10 2 12	8 1 9	3 0 3	23 0 23	4 0 4	5 0 5	0 0 0	2 0 2	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	237 58 295	302 54 356	239 74 313	37 18 55	29 2 31	5 0 5
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	1 1 2 9 0 13	0 0 0 8 0 8	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 7 0 8	0 0 1 5 0 6	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 2 1 0 0 3	0 0 13 0 13	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	12 22 20 101 1 156	9 15 14 303 5 346	6 3 19 186 2 216	1 7 18 1 68	0 1 2 24 0 27	0 0 2 0 2
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 2	0 1 1	12 49 61	19 65 84	13 67 80	4 6 10	0 7 7	0 1 1
-	Downstate Total	65	52	127	93	42	119	40	57	87	91	30	37	8	3,164	4,371	3,539	782	352	39
	Cook County	316	19	43	47	45	135	125	251	565	481	388	342	118	2,885	4,931	3,869	1,399	1,111	117
	State Total	381	71	170	140	87	254	165	308	652	572	368	379	126	6,049	9,302	7,408	2,181	1,463	156

*Includes 5 unfit to be sentenced. Note: Defendants who plead quilty or were found quilty and then found mentally ill are included in totals of each county where applicable.

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986

															SEN	TENCI	ES															
		Death	Nat Li	fe			Impris	ate onment				Impr	Sta	ent &	Fine		(Illir	mpriso nois Do f Corr	epartn ection	nent	(Illir	risonm nois D f Corr	odic nent & epartn rection	nent		Perio mprisc cal Co Institu	nmen rectio ution)		(Loc	Peric risonm cal Cor Institu	ent & rrectio ution)	
		Class	Cla					ass					Cla					Cla					ass			Cla				Cla		
Circuit	County	M	M	Х	M	Х	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	5 5 1 0 0 1 0 5 18	4 4 0 1 0 6 5 5 25	2 23 1 2 0 0 11 3 8 50	.4 5 8 6 0 0 18 1 13 55	0 16 7 0 0 0 1 1 3 28	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1 .0 7 1 9	6 0 0 2 0 0 0 8	1 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 4	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 3	0 0 5 0 3 1 3 0 1 0 0 1 1 5	8 0 3 0 1 0 2 3 1 1 0 1 20	6 0 13 0 1 2 6 4 0 8 1 7 48	10 0 6 1 2 3 9 6 7 0 3 3 50	5 1 8 3 0 11 3 6 0 3 6 49	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 2 4 2 0 0 0 0 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 5
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5 5	1 37 38	0 28 28	23 46 69	6 54 60	3 27 30	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 2	2 1 2 0 0 8 1 0 15	2 2 4 1 4 0 5 6 1 25	9 4 5 1 6 3 8 13 1 50	8 1 3 0 8 1 19 12 1 53	4 1 3 5 2 10 7 1 38	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 3	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	1 1 0 1 0 0 0 2 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 5	1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 4	0 1 3 0 0 1 0 0 1 6	0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 3
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 2	1 1 0 1 8 11	0 10 0 10 20	7 14 0 6 9 36	4 10 1 4 18 37	4 9 3 2 14 32	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0 3	1 ° 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 3 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 3	3 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 0 1 2

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986

												into			CENT	TENCI	- C		0.		.011											
		Death	Nat Li	fe			Impris	tate sonment				Impr	Sta	ent &		TENCI	lı (Illin	Perio mprisc nois De f Corre	onmer epartn ection	nent	(Illin	risonm nois D f Corr	odic nent & epartn rection	nent		Perio mprisc cal Coi Institu	onmen rrectic ution)			Peric risonm cal Cor Institu	ent & rrectio ution)	
		Class	Cla	1			1	lass	2				Cla				-	Cla				-	ass			Cla			-	Cla		
Circuit	County	M	М	X	M	X	1	2	3	4	М	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 4 0 5	22 0 12 2 0 36	16 0 5 0 3 24	40 1 3 33 4 0 81	35 0 45 3 1 84	34 2 1 67 1 0 105	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 2 2
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 3 0 4	0 0 1 2 6 0 9	0 3 1 2 22 0 28	8 6 9 36 0 65	5 5 8 11 24 1 54	0 3 1 3 11 0 18	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 1 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1
8th	Adams Brown	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	4 1 2 1 3 3 1 15	5 0 0 0 2 0 0 7	14 0 5 3 2 0 1 25	17 1 0 4 1 3 0 1 27	10 1 6 1 3 0 1 22	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 2 0 10 0 12	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	1 3 6 0 2 12	6 2 0 4 2 1 15	8 13 3 3 31	10 3 0 4 8 12 37	4 1 0 1 4 3 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 6 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 2 0 5	0 1 0 3 1 0 5	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 5 0 6	0 0 1 0 8 0 9	0 0 0 1 0 1
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5 0 0 1 6	1 34 0 0 8 43	0 50 0 0 14 64	1 63 0 1 10 75	1 49 1 0 27 78	2 49 0 0 6 57	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1 2	0 6 0 16 0 22	0 1 2 12 2 17	1 6 4 43 3 57	1 23 4 59 8 95	0 3 2 19 2 26	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 4 0 4	0 1 1 2 0 4	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 5 0 6	0 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 3 0 4

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986

															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death	Nat Li					tate sonment				Impr	Sta isonm		Fine		(Illii	mprise nois D	odic onmer epartn ection	nent	(Illir	risonn nois D	odic nent & epartn rection	nent		Impris cal Co		onal		risonm cal Co	iodic nent & orrectic cution)	
		Class	Cla	ass			C	lass	-				Cla	155					ass			-	ass				ass			1	ass	
Circuit	County	M	м	X	м	Х	1	2	3	4	М	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3.	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	2 2	0 0	0 0	15 15	38 38	50 50	105 105	72 72	29 29	0 0	2 2	1 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	3 0 6 9	6 0 11 17	7 0 20 27	18 0 14 32	11 0 21 32	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2	2 0 1 3	0 0 4 4	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 1	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 2 13 1 17	1 1 22 7 31	7 0 39 10 56	4 2 32 9 47	6 1 15 14 36	0 0 0 0	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 9 0 9	0 0 7 0 7	0 0 8 0 8	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 3 2 0 5	2 5 7 5 12 31	2 0 15 1 0 18	2 0 9 4 6 21	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 1 2 1 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 7 0 0 0 7	0 1 0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0 0 1
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 21 0 22	2 28 1 31	4 37 1 42	4 40 0 44	1 29 2 32	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5 5	2 23 25	0 14 14	2 31 33	1 47 48	1 23 24	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	3 0 3	6 1 7	1 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 6 6	1 4 5	0 0 0
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 1	35 35	37 37	79 79	117 117	48 48	2 2	8 8	7 7	6 6	14 14	6 6	0 0	0 0	0	1 1	0 0	0	1 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	5 5	10 10	0 0	1 1	0 0	1 1
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	8 0 8	52 2 54	26 7 33	49 10 59	92 20 112	22 18 40	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 8 8	1 0 1	5 5 10	0 4 4	0 4 4	0 1 1	0 4 4
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 8 0 9	0 1 3 31 0 35	1 1 4 50 0 56	2 2 3 78 0 85	0 3 6 114 0 123	4 4 22 0 34	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0. 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 0 4	0 0 2 0 2
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	1 8 9	2 4 6	10 18 28	5 11 16	2 9 11	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Downstate Total	9	0	0	73	473	553	1,132	1,259	725	2	33	34	49	72	32	1	5	14	2	1	5	5	6	3	16	29	36	6	40	39	28
	Cook County	16	23	10	321	1,577	1,104	1,790	2,176	925	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0 ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	32	59	24	0 ^b	0 ^b	0 ^b	0 ^b
	State Total	25	23*	10*	394	2,050	1,657	2,922	3,435	1,650	2	33	34	49	72	32	1	5	14	2	1	5	5	6	17	48	88	60	6	40	39	28

* Includes Cook County Only - not reported downstate.

a.Included in State Imprisonment category b.Included in Periodic Imprisonment (Local) Category. Note: Defendants who plead guilty or were found guilty and then also found mentally ill, are included in totals of each county where applicable.

											SENTE	NCES								
			on or Cond Periodic				on or Conc her Discre				on or Conc lo Discreti			F	ound Unf	it To Be S	entenced o	or Execute	d	
			Cla	ass			Cla	ass			Cla	155				CI	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	Sentences
1st	Alexander	1	4	0	1	2	6	14	2	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	63
	Jackson	0	7	4	10	0	23	36	34	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	174
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	3	7	5	11	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
	Massac	0	1	1	3	0	12	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	33
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Saline	0	1	3	0	3	12	51	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	113
	Union	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
		0	5	-					-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		
1.	Williamson	-		2	0	4	22	24	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	114
1st	Circuit Total	1	18	10	14	13	86	142	84	1	3	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	596
2nd	Crawford	0	4	4	1	0	2	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
	Edwards	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Franklin	0	0	2	0	1	22	21	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Hamilton	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Hardin Jefferson	2	-	-	-	2	7		27		0	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	129
			13 6	12	8			10 7	3	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
		0		2			2			-	-			-	-		-		0	
	Richland		2	2	0	1	3	11	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		44
	Wabash	0	0	0	0	0	10	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Wayne	0	1	1	3	0	1	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	27
	White	0	0	0	0	3	9	16	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
2nd	Circuit Total	2	30	24	13	7	60	96	76	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	540
3rd	Bond	0	1	3	4	1	5	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58
	Madison	2	43	55	26	12	79	136	72	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	626
3rd	Circuit Total	2	44	58	30	13	84	142	74	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	684
4th	Christian	0	4	10	0	2	4	4	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
	Clay	0	2	10	1	0	9	9	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
	Clinton	0	4	6	4	1	4	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Effingham	1	2	0	2	0	9	8	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
	Fayette	0	1	0	0	1	8	17	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63
	Jasper	0	3	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Marion	0	21	14	14	0	19	11	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	147
	Montgomery	0	2	2	1	1	7	10	13	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
2. C	Shelby	0	0	0	1	1	6	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
4th	Circuit Total	1	39	46	26	6	66	72	86	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	567
5th	Clark	0	2	1	2	0	4	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Coles	2	19	13	10	2	8	18	26	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	149
	Cumberland	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Edgar	0	0	0	0	3	9	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
	Vermilion	1	13	11	4	1	24	42	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	186

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986- continued

152

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986 - continued

		SENTENCES																		
		Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions Found Unfit To Be Sentenced or Executed										d								
			Cla	ass			Cla	ass			Cla	ass				CI	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
6th	Champaign	0	0	0	0	7	87	114	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	418
otii	DeWitt	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
				5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Douglas	0	6			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	347
	Macon	2	23	64	92	-		3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
	Moultrie	0	5	5	3	0	3					-								25
	Piatt	0	4	2	1	0	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6th	Circuit Total	2	40	77	101	7	93	118	77	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	870
7th	Greene	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Jersey	0	1	1	1	0	5	12	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
	Macoupin	0	0	0	0	1	13	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54
	Morgan	0	1	1	0	0	6	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Sangamon	7	23	34	2	14	63	112	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	416
	Scott	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
7th	Circuit Total	7	25	38	13	15	88	160	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	597
8th	Adams	1	9	20	8	0	8	29	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
otii		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Brown	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Calhoun		-			0	3	8	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Cass	0	0	0	0	0		3	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	40
	Mason	0	8	5	4		4	-		-	-	0			0	0	0	0	0	31
	Menard	0	1	1	5	1	3	1	6	0	0		0	0	-	-	-	-	-	
	Pike	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
8th	Circuit Total	1	18	26	17	1	29	48	44	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	303
9th	Fulton	0	1	1	5	3	20	22	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89
	Hancock	0	7	3	2	0	3	1	7	0	6	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
	Henderson	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Knox	0	0	0	3	7	33	21	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99
	McDonough	1	4	8	1	1	6	18	30	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	118
	Warren	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
9th	Circuit Total	1	13	12	11	11	68	72	85	0	7	4	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	439
10th	Marshall	0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Peoria	0	0	0	0	2	114	112	71	1	11	13	11	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	585
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	5
		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
		0	0	0	0	4	42	31	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	168
104	Tazewell	0		2	3	6	160	143	96	1	11	13	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	776
10th	Circuit Total		3														-			
11th	Ford	0	0	1	0	0	4	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
	Livingston	0	11	0	3	3	18	24	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129
	Logan	0	5	7	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
	McLean	4	13	25	11	9	39	81	30	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	388
	Woodford	0	0	1	0	1	2	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
11th	Circuit Total	4	29	34	20	13	63	125	69	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	609

											SENTE	INCES								
			on or Cone h Periodic				on or Cone her Discre				on or Cond lo Discreti			F	ound Unf	it To Be Se	entenced o	or Execute	d	
			CL	ass			Cl	ass			Cla	ass				CI	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	Sentences
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	4 4	19 19	22 22	6 6	26 26	172 172	98 98	109 109	0	1 1	2 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	000	0	0 0	773 773
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	6 4 0 10	8 8 0 16	2 3 0 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 30 30	0 4 29 33	3 9 18 30	0 0 0 0	1 0 3 4	0 0 3 3	1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	69 33 164 266
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 6 0 6	0 2 46 0 48	0 0 120 0 120	0 1 22 0 23	4 0 5 1 10	25 3 32 19 79	23 3 38 36 100	12 1 48 37 98	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	82 15 396 135 628						
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total		3 1 5 12 9 30	7 0 8 5 15 35	2 0 5 5 0 12	1 0 2 1 0 4	2 1 6 4 0 13	3 3 25 17 25 73	7 1 12 6 3 29	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 4 0 0 5	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	34 23 104 64 128 353
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 1 0 1	0 24 1 25	0 6 5 11	0 5 3 8	0 4 1 5	25 91 0 116	30 92 6 128	15 99 4 118	1 0 0 1	6 9 0 15	0 17 2 19	2 7 0 9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	86 511 31 628
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 1 1	0 23 23	1 29 30	1 22 23	1 1 2	7 36 43	6 78 84	12 39 51	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 7 7	0 4 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	47 398 445
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	7 7	30 30	24 24	9 9	25 25	231 231	270 270	309 309	0	1	5 5	3 3	1 1	0 0	0	1	0 0	1 1	1,300 1,300
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	4 0 4	55 22 77	67 10 77	35 6 41	11 7 18	128 31 159	145 24 169	159 33 182	1 0 1	17 0 17	7 0 7	24 1 25	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	911 209 1,120
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0 0 1	1 3 0 1 0 5	3 1 0 0 0 4	0 0 3 10 0 13	3 0 16 119 2 140	8 9 11 193 1 222	6 19 15 75 1 116	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 3 4	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	30 47 67 709 9 862
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 4 4	2 9 11	4 1 5	2 2 4	4 45 49	12 47 59	6 39 45	0 0 0	0000	0 1 1	0 0 0	0000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	50 200 250
	Downstate Total	48	562	703	402	206	1,874	2,436	1,912	8	67	84	84	2	2	0	9	2	8	13,060
	Cook County	163 211	800 1,362	923 1,626	536 938	203 409	600 2,474	1,023 3,459	2,778	284 292	1,170 1,237	1,345 1,429	793 877	2 0 2	2	0	9	2 0 2	0 8	<u>13,240*</u> 26,300

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986 - continued

*Includes 5 unfit to be sentenced, but without distinction of class.

🖞 🔄 Note: Defendants who plead guilty or were found guilty and then found mentally ill, are included in totals of each county where applicable.

FISCAL YEAR 1986 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

			Revenue of Cle	erks Office			Clerks Ope	rating Expenses	
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
1st	Alexander	\$42,552	\$5,604	\$2,257	\$50,683	\$15,000	\$23,000	\$8,527	\$46,527
150	Jackson	264,087	3,550	17,940	285,577	27,500	108,823	25,418	161,741
	Johnson	44,143	6,463	4,707	55,313	22,574	27,428	4,355	54,357
	Massac	58,342	8,614	3.457	70,413	17,735	47,794	11,127	76,656
		9,172	1,179	0	10,351	16,500	4.352	3.097	23,949
	Pope		7.970	0	54,842	17,000	20,400	3,987	41,387
	Pulaski	46,872			,	,	,		,
	Saline	116,162	13,473	8,142	137,777	23,673	52,492	9,657	85,882
	Union	76,008	10,115	3,519	89,642	18,000	39,113	14,797	71,910
	Williamson	254,460	32,068	22,194	308,722	27,500	104,510	21,995	154,005
1st	Circuit Total	\$911,798	\$89,036	\$62,486	\$1,063,320	\$185,482	\$427,912	\$102,960	\$716,354
2nd	Crawford	\$86,594	\$11,588	\$4,624	\$102,806	\$24,000	\$52,343	\$11,327	\$87,670
	Edwards	27,561	3,264	2,589	33,414	17,200	11,445	6,101	34,746
	Franklin	136,678	17,537	17,691	171,906	25,820	64,418	13,011	103,249
	Gallatin	24,632	2,486	4.678	31,796	19,000	14,700	5,050	38,750
	Hamilton	25,232	3,246	2,781	31,270	14,500	10,409	4,082	28,991
	Hardin	9,512	522	0	10,034	16,718	7,843	2,525	27,086
	Jefferson	144,861	0	0	144,861	21,500	79,440	17,731	118,671
	Lawrence	61,250	6,813	4,514	72,577	19,800	38,387	9,899	68,086
					93,689	24,100	40,413	8,285	
	Richland	79,951	11,922	1,816	,				72,798
	Wabash	53,609	6,530	8,403	68,542	19,008	35,541	15,833	70,382
	Wayne	67,176	10,590	2,433	80,199	16,000	54,000	22,723	92,723
	White	80,647	69	5,456	86,172	24,906	56,362	14,015	95,283
2nd	Circuit Total	\$797,714	\$74,567	\$54,985	\$927,266	\$242,552	\$465,301	\$130,582	\$838,435
3rd	Bond	\$49,476	\$5,723	\$0	\$55,199	\$22,00Ó	\$33,262	\$7,449	\$62,711
	Madison	1,621,905	99,374	247,925	1,969,204	38,285	941,037	171,251	1,140,573
3rd	Circuit Total	\$1,671,381	\$105,097	\$247,925	\$2,024,403	\$60,285	\$947,299	\$178,700	\$1,203,284
4th	Christian	\$117,092	\$11,045	\$3,766	\$131,903	\$26,000	\$106,150	\$25,566	\$157,716
	Clay	54,143	5,302	6.116	65,561	21,838	40,873	6,617	69,328
	Clinton	102,292	10,630	6,411	119,333	27,750	62,228	7,894	97.872
	Effingham	133,981	17,111	9,929	161,021	21,500	80,880	31,719	134,099
	Fayette	99,542	13,814	7.058	120,414	17,500	43,502	17,976	78,978
	Jasper	45,768	0	2,482	48,250	22,169	21,585	5,188	48,942
	Marion	214,428	30,394	11,403	256,225	25,260	106,320	44,669	176,159
	Montgomery	133,967	0	13,063	147,030	21,500	83,161	14,005	118,736
		67,330	3,878	965	72,173	21,999	49,810	17,000	88,809
446	Shelby						,	\$170,704	
4th	Circuit Total	\$968,543	\$92,174	\$61,193	\$1,121,910	\$205,516	\$594,419	\$170,704	\$970,639
5th	Clark	\$103,545	\$0	\$4,625	\$108,170	\$19,500	\$38,916	\$7,763	\$66,179
	Coles	225,725	0	5,907	231,632	25,100	92,467	8,392	125,959
	Cumberland	72,464	0	3,013	75,477	16,560	16,541	3,881	36,982
	Edgar	70,367	7,710	2,342	80,419	24,000	54,734	23,093	101,827
	Vermilion	350,559	30,934	15,814	397,307	25,948	234,116	51,324	311,388
5th	Circuit Total	\$822,660	\$38,644	\$31,701	\$893,005	\$111,108	\$436,774	\$94,453	\$642,335
		4022,000	400,011	401,01	4000,000	4,			+0.12,000

FISCAL YEAR 1986 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

			Revenue of Cle	erks Office			Clerks Ope	rating Expenses	
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
6th	Champaign	\$597,860	\$76,071	\$102,740	\$776,671	\$26,500	\$357,942	\$38,053	\$422,495
	DeWitt	88,327	9,561	0	97,888	20,000	46,155	14,280	80,435
	Douglas	96,915	13,353	6,386	116,654	28,000	78,134	19,127	125,261
	Macon	565,455	41,354	17,853	624,662	35,500	405,096	92,500	533,096
	Moultrie	61,142	0	853	61,995	22,000	46,699	24,422	93,121
	Piatt	51,657	2,567	2,536	56,760	25,000	77,603	10,687	113,290
	Circuit Total	\$1,461,356	\$142,906	\$130,368	\$1,734,630	\$157,000	\$1,011,629	\$199,069	\$1,367,698
7th	Greene	\$41,076	\$939	\$3,801	\$45,816	\$19,500	\$45,120	\$7,559	\$72,179
	Jersey	123,848	6,651	5,076	135,575	18,500	45,696	78,500	142,696
	Macoupin	235,298	22,203	8,832	266,333	27,000	155,466	50,751	233,217
	Morgan	122,490	0	0	122,490	21,000	67,278	13,304	101,582
	Sangamon	856,538	84,100	82,599	1,023,237	32,000	472,323	47,484	551,807
	Scott	15,093	0	0	15,093	17,800	10,286	4,461	33,087
	Circuit Total	\$1,394,343	\$113,893	\$100,308	\$1,608,544	\$135,800	\$796,169	\$202,059	\$1,134,568
8th	Adams Brown	\$254,721 43,103 14,307 51,831 67,753 33,404 66,087 25,057	\$23,793 0 0 6,266 0 0 0	\$12,145 0 993 2,561 2,517 1,655 2,254 0	\$290,659 43,103 15,300 54,392 76,536 35,059 68,341 25,057	\$26,630 15,800 14,000 22,000 20,880 20,979 18,500 16,500	\$148,918 19,864 3,836 24,315 41,239 33,382 50,700 20,700	\$44,295 6,969 5,211 6,500 8,919 9,471 14,830 5,148	\$219,843 42,633 23,047 52,815 71,038 63,832 84,030 42,348
8th 9th 9th	Circuit Total Fulton Hancock Henderson McDonough Warren Circuit Total	\$556,263 \$123,561 73,230 35,430 324,186 114,182 85,382 \$756,671	\$30,059 \$3,011 5,412 0 33,468 16,744 10,796 \$69,431	\$22,125 \$10,583 986 1,777 2,633 7,704 0 \$23,683	\$608,447 \$137,155 79,628 37,207 360,287 139,330 96,178 \$849,785	\$155,289 \$24,000 20,000 17,900 20,988 21,000 22,000 \$125,888	\$342,954 \$58,126 40,676 30,717 161,058 87,713 64,000 \$442,290	\$101,343 \$22,887 12,409 7,924 24,010 18,515 19,000 \$104,745	\$599,586 \$105,013 73,085 56,541 206,056 127,228 105,000 \$672,923
10th	Marshall	\$50,589	\$6,003	\$2,842	\$59,434	\$19,000	\$41,861	\$6,060	\$66,921
	Peoria	943,527	121,839	161,500	1,226,866	34,000	596,465	353,131	983,596
	Putnam	17,323	1,669	290	19,282	17,750	6,178	4,402	28,330
	Stark	16,576	0	1,248	17,824	18,872	14,885	3,740	37,497
	Tazewell	331,745	56,739	9,692	398,176	30,098	305,487	45,000	380,585
11th	Circuit Total	\$1,359,760	\$186,250	\$175,572	\$1,721,582	\$119,720	\$964,876	\$412,333	\$1,496,929
	Ford	\$52,651	\$614	\$1,733	\$54,998	\$21,500	\$26,588	\$9,879	\$57,967
	Livingston	136,774	20,776	1,398	158,938	29,000	88,508	22,704	140,212
	Logan	121,636	21,852	4,638	148,126	29,000	124,000	34,800	182,800
	McLean	565,498	31,707	40,791	637,996	29,157	405,275	69,174	503,606
	Woodford	91,973	5,562	0	97,535	27,500	74,295	16,684	118,479
	Circuit Total	\$968,532	\$80,501	\$48,560	\$1,097,593	\$131,157	\$718,666	\$153,241	\$1,003,064

FISCAL YEAR 1986 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

			Revenue of Cle	rks Office			Clerks Ope	erating Expenses	
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
12th	Will	\$1,353,883	\$179,184	\$71,583	\$1,604,560	\$38,000	\$1,119,946	\$93,037	\$1,250,983
12th	Circuit Total	\$1,353,883	\$179,184	\$71,583	\$1,604,560	\$38,000	\$1,119,946	\$93,037	\$1,250,983
13th	Bureau	\$135,502	\$17,748	\$2,921	\$156,171	\$27,000	\$94,107	\$11,000	\$132,107
	Grundy	110,185	14,117	6,098	130,400	25,000	64,420	15,101	104,521
	LaSalle	349,434	49,334	29,338	428,106	25,000	326,685	21,295	372,980
	Circuit Total	\$595,121	\$81,199	\$38,357	\$714,677	\$77,000	\$485,212	\$47,396	\$609,608
14th	Henry	\$197,956	\$31,196	\$10,606	\$239,758	\$25,000	\$144,362	\$53,215	\$222,577
	Mercer	56,818	5,816	1,943	64,577	23,600	31,102	14,424	69,126
	Rock Island	775,236	92,168	120,718	988,122	32,500	330,676	20,003	383,179
	Whiteside	217,269	30,372	851	248,492	26,000	127,720	21,481	175,201
	Circuit Total	\$1,247,279	\$159,552	\$134,118	\$1,540,949	\$107,100	\$633,860	\$109,123	\$850,083
15th	Carroll	\$65,142	\$7,275	\$2,519	\$74,936	\$18,000	\$33,221	\$14,502	\$65,723
	Jo Daviess	75,332	11,316	1,195	87,843	21,000	41,589	7,751	70,340
	Lee	210,291	27,111	2,844	240,246	28,080	132,360	23,924	184,364
	Ogle	157,443	20,108	3,852	181,403	97,329	97,329	30,654	225,312
	Stephenson	163,144	24,717	1,356	189,217	24,000	100,450	17,836	142,286
	Circuit Total	\$671,352	\$90,527	\$11,766	\$773,645	\$188,409	\$404,949	\$94,667	\$688,025
16th	DeKalb	\$288,057	\$45,595	\$7,455	\$341,107	\$31,500	\$226,013	\$22,827	\$280,340
	Kane	1,326,764	181,363	96,148	1,604,275	36,500	944,422	202,679	1,183,601
	Kendall	94,894	14,280	5,011	114,275	26,500	78,223	36,956	141,679
	Circuit Total	\$1,709,715	\$241,778	\$108,614	\$2,060,107	\$94,500	\$1,248,658	\$262,462	\$1,605,620
17th	Boone	\$165,079	\$3,954	\$5,051	\$174,084	\$19,962	\$109,737	\$21,291	\$150,990
	Winnebago	1,186,895	147,889	29,874	1,364,658	33,000	584,632	94,952	712,584
	Circuit Total	\$1,351,974	\$151,843	\$34,925	\$1,538,742	\$52,962	\$694,369	\$116,243	\$863,574
18th	DuPage	\$4,049,590	\$614,490	\$424,206	\$5,088,286	\$51,740	\$2,877,883	\$3,175,823	\$6,105,446
	Circuit Total	\$4,049,590	\$614,490	\$424,206	\$5,088,286	\$51,740	\$2,877,883	\$3,175,823	\$6,105,446
19th	Lake	\$2,208,677	\$335,492	\$135,857	\$2,680,026	\$40,000	\$1,424,395	\$60,571	\$1,524,966
	McHenry	729,917	97,696	41,569	869,182	36,000	521,223	162,350	719,573
	Circuit Total	\$2,938,594	\$433,188	\$177,426	\$3,549,208	\$76,000	\$1,945,618	\$222,921	\$2,244,539
20th	Monroe	\$63,248	\$2,586	\$2,106	\$67,940	\$26,060	\$45,442	\$11,617	\$83,119
	Perry .	81,539	11,324	0	92,863	25,500	49,153	12,633	87,286
	Randolph .	106,585	11,964	1,978	120,527	24,400	56,080	19,531	100,011
	St. Clair .	709,075	164,415	166,926	1,040,416	35,873	642,778	51,471	730,122
	Washington .	50,194	0	2,096	52,290	20,000	25,407	4,802	50,209
	Circuit Total .	\$1,010,641	\$190,829	\$173,106	\$1,374,036	\$131,833	\$818,860	\$100,054	\$1,050,747
21st	Iroquois	\$199,516	\$17,198	\$14,359	\$231,073	\$25,500	\$89,103	\$16,306	\$130,909
	Kankakee	392,653	45,319	19,388	457,360	25,000	177,187	36,293	238,480
	Circuit Total	\$592,169	\$62,517	\$33,747	\$688,433	\$50,500	\$266,290	\$52,599	\$369,389
	Downstate Total	\$27,189,339	\$3,227,125	\$2,166,754	\$32,583,218	\$2,497,841	\$17,671,474	\$6,124,514	\$26,293,829
	Cook County	\$34,483,345	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$37,683,345	\$55,000	\$32,042,246	\$9,077,979	\$41,175,225
	State Total	\$61,672,684	\$3,227,125	\$5,366,754	\$70,266,563	\$2,552,841	\$49,713,720	\$15,202,493	\$67,469,054

						Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeitu	res		
								State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	Cou Criminal	inty Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	\$146,017 1,107,585 85,505 261,908 72,710 119,650 720,504 247,650 1,044,372 \$3,805,091	\$11,521 378,384 6,808 21,503 987 8,195 46,303 19,984 107,026 \$600,711	\$10,072 133,130 24,410 15,146 22,182 3,357 77,491 16,963 41,707 \$344,458	\$47,935 119,125 70,235 67,148 16,133 52,772 117,331 56,215 168,956 \$715,850	\$11,884 8,060 2,020 0 590 5,836 19,908 267,790 \$316,638	\$0 359 3,440 144 1,702 0 0 0 0 0 \$5,645	\$11,192 64,732 15,171 13,222 3,920 10,033 26,960 11,586 69,947 \$226,763	\$14,020 120,208 15,276 4,168 13,218 35,494 13,094 47,669 \$281,397	\$37,096 193,359 35,907 31,616 10,380 23,841 68,290 44,588 385,406 \$830,443
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	\$642,454 168,277 1,178,046 105,418 128,623 99,927 1,037,189 406,807 414,193 491,540 333,477 373,233 \$5,379,184	\$40,477 2,960 51,454 12,452 3,578 3,055 128,855 26,896 73,302 48,253 10,045 40,058 \$441,385	\$15,256 16,074 0 24,593 11,084 6,036 127,377 17,360 12,321 12,756 12,726 56,328 \$311,911	\$51,355 40,195 133,522 29,442 20,770 4,234 2,156 55,854 55,854 55,847 25,117 79,020 115,469 \$612,581	\$3,850 2,781 1,365 18,621 3,500 2,663 0 7,460 475 1,915 15,355 37,413 \$95,398	\$6,000 0 8,574 0 386 110 13,107 789 0 411 0 2,021 \$31,398	\$9,373 6,113 25,802 7,803 4,883 1,197 21,423 13,594 11,187 10,188 13,535 13,884 \$138,982	\$16,477 9,376 30,697 6,984 6,091 2,187 35,723 22,787 11,435 16,802 18,795 22,965 \$200,319	\$35,700 18,270 66,438 33,408 14,860 6,157 70,253 44,630 23,097 29,316 47,685 76,283 \$466,097
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	\$439,312 5,898,582 \$6,337,894	\$11,302 1,073,068 \$1,084,370	\$11,671 37,570 \$49,241	\$35,636 471,385 \$507,021	\$2,680 219,121 \$221,801	\$5 0 \$5	\$8,743 218,937 \$227,680	\$21,537 171,327 \$192,864	\$32,965 609,385 \$642,350
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper . Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	\$1,325,166 288,946 413,611 772,101 550,576 169,795 1,161,551 666,150 633,651 \$5,981,547	\$89,131 24,062 99,106 57,078 36,177 12,345 177,940 61,938 29,271 \$587,048	\$50,057 38,573 51,594 52,161 46,978 10,393 62,392 65,952 43,302 \$421,402	\$88,105 41,652 88,298 170,117 78,958 67,868 194,977 161,759 44,472 \$936,206	\$15,289 10,974 3,895 8,578 46,808 5,335 7,324 66,809 701 \$165,713	\$0 0 350 0 0 11,589 2,075 673 \$14,687	\$32,946 13,170 28,097 33,652 27,244 11,412 54,288 41,268 14,131 \$256,208	\$48,197 17,793 43,773 38,959 33,891 11,652 63,860 54,232 27,936 \$340,293	\$96,432 41,937 76,115 81,189 107,943 28,399 137,061 164,384 43,441 \$776,901
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	\$425,986 2,252,549 254,233 597,036 551,138 \$4,080,942	\$24,437 140,596 15,048 37,564 246,009 \$463,654	\$45,595 53,372 0 25,747 81,085 \$205,799	\$77,830 121,291 0 33,194 183,616 \$415,931	\$146,232 1,605 0 122 13,455 \$161,414	\$1,404 0 2,710 0 \$4,114	\$34,550 37,291 7,148 11,327 77,402 \$167,718	\$23,044 60,610 9,672 22,073 96,575 \$211,974	\$205,230 99,506 16,820 36,232 187,432 \$545,220

						Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeitu	res		
			-					State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	Cou	nty Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
6th	Champaign	\$3,339,676	\$479,629	\$75,948	\$273,015	\$11,592	\$891	\$92,787	\$131,704	\$236,974
0011	DeWitt	845,526	58,695	20,207	29,915	0	0	11,832	24,419	36,251
	Douglas	516,116	28,909	9,286	96,353	7,587	2,486	17,743	28,348	56,164
	Macon	8,278,059	539,381	179,619	164,750	17,555	3,868	100,208	96,492	218,123
	Moultrie	518,413	8,183	24,928	64,225	165	0	10,914	20,032	31,111
	Piatt	985,184	21,393	20,675	60,905	1,250	0	11,077	14,821	27,148
6th	Circuit Total	\$14,482,974	\$1,136,190	\$330,663	\$689,163	\$38,149	\$7,245	\$244,561	\$315,816	\$605,771
6th		\$14,402,974	\$1,130,190	\$330,003	\$009,103	\$30,149	\$7,245	\$244,501	\$313,010	\$003,771
7th	Greene	\$33,760	\$20,800	\$23,900	\$31,094	\$0	\$0	\$9,297	\$11,254	\$20,551
	Jersey	389,674	57,064	21,672	90,536	3,065	32,550	14,070	28,135	77,820
	Macoupin	1,266,504	82,252	54,494	108,988	3,291	0	34,460	58,642	96,393
	Morgan	1,386,063	109,568	61,669	83,462	10,196	494	27,473	35,188	73,351
	Sangamon	6,444,886	529,073	102,783	399,869	188,298	2,723	106,363	126,551	423,935
	Scott	110,975	594	1,076	18,828	0	0	2,716	7,317	10,033
7th	Circuit Total	\$9,631,862	\$799,351	\$265,594	\$732,777	\$204,850	\$35,767	\$194,379	\$267,087	\$702,083
8th	Adams	\$2,022,219	\$269,478	\$47,393	\$79,634	\$8,995	\$3,991	\$24,308	\$40,428	\$77,722
our	Brown	174,751	3,727	9,410	22,619	2,625	0	4,038	6,385	13,048
	Calhoun	50,552	6,415	9,990	13,837	428	0	3,284	7,435	11,147
	Cass	387,103	32,672	8,083	29,636	1,550	0	8,668	13,980	24,198
	Mason	414,160	55,999	16,401	40,382	13,800	0	16,633	24,864	55,297
	Menard	221,538	10,862	11,761	26,924	725	1,000	5,956	9,229	16,910
	Pike	322,791	12,227	10,229	57,821	30,209	20	11,372	16,997	58,598
	Schuyler	197,344	3,887	3,914	21,732	0	13	3,874	9,098	12,985
8th	Circuit Total	\$3,790,458	\$395,267	\$117,181	\$292,585	\$58,332	\$5,024	\$78,133	\$128,416	\$269,905
			,							
9th	Fulton	\$1,570,229	\$59,321	\$72,821	\$80,044	\$4,780	\$0	\$23,878	\$26,760	\$55,418
	Hancock	613,315	32,292	25,616	37,597	0	7,795	12,382	14,931	35,108
	Henderson	250,019	6,174	6,362	44,730	1,033	2,160	7,773	8,095	19,061
	Knox	3,101,369	198,020	38,947	68,175	1,275	24	41,840	62,915	106,054
	McDonough	1,001,634	109,563	42,102	49,826	2,957	13,271	22,797	26,414	65,439
	Warren	813,153	42,512	0	79,625	9,457	0	17,185	17,988	44,630
9th	Circuit Total	\$7,349,719	\$447,882	\$185,848	\$359,997	\$19,502	\$23,250	\$125,855	\$157,103	\$325,710
10th	Marshall	\$426,652	\$12,781	\$11,848	\$34,769	\$775	\$0	\$7,511	\$12,809	\$21,095
	Peoria	7,910,174	698,038	229,036	177,471	24,170	4,081	96,619	134,631	51,232
	Putnam	183,898	1,113	868	9,572	4,704	0	2,092	3,131	259,501
	Stark	143,914	4,437	8,038	10,074	300	0	2,822	5,087	9,927
	Tazewell	4,464,860	454,425	112,825	194,584	12,792	1,658	74,033	95,774	8,209
10th	Circuit Total	\$13,129,498	\$1,170,794	\$362,615	\$426,470	\$42,741	\$5,739	\$183,077	\$251,432	\$482,989

						Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeitu	res		
								State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	Cou Criminal	nty Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	\$283,574 1,201,572 1,008,722 1,887,864 711,021 \$5,092,753	\$23,277 56,095 74,261 765,431 49,585 \$968,649	\$11,155 14,304 18,146 82,869 7,965 \$134,439	\$37,814 190,221 197,144 271,832 115,608 \$812,619	\$1,647 9,240 3,117 134,804 3,877 \$152,685	\$0 0 0 170 \$170	\$8,394 30,815 35,123 108,711 22,709 \$205,752	\$12,520 40,849 36,621 130,542 22,368 \$242,900	\$22,651 80,904 74,861 374,057 49,124 \$601,507
12th	Will	\$12,021,209	\$1,216,200	\$264,382	\$487,566	\$713,283	\$1,853	\$305,617	\$106,434	\$1,250,983
12th		\$12,021,209	\$1,216,200	\$264,382	\$487,566	\$713,283	\$1,853	\$305,617	\$106,434	\$1,250,983
13th	Bureau	\$1,180,178	\$69,994	\$59,679	\$130,540	\$29,200	\$10,241	\$29,354	\$29,400	\$98,195
	Grundy	1,144,736	83,364	23,039	88,443	2,402	0	15,750	31,932	50,084
	LaSalle	3,330,691	226,142	48,862	190,936	28,710	0	64,124	90,106	182,940
	Circuit Total	\$5,655,605	\$379,500	\$131,580	\$409,919	\$60,312	\$10,241	\$109,228	\$151,438	\$331,219
14th	Henry	\$2,249,582	\$185,958	\$63,458	\$218,201	\$0	\$0	\$56,623	\$50,350	\$106,973
	Mercer	706,222	22,240	8,313	32,303	3,210	0	7,388	7,770	18,368
	Rock Island	7,696,569	614,949	48,057	337,175	432,514	74,117	176,206	171,332	854,169
	Whiteside	2,672,946	167,129	69,604	144,810	15,753	26,779	46,855	52,315	141,702
	Circuit Total	\$13,325,319	\$990,276	\$189,432	\$732,489	\$451,477	\$100,896	\$287,072	\$281,767	\$1,121,212
15th	Carroll	\$623,019	\$21,603	\$14,705	\$43,486	\$3,675	\$1,797	\$10,361	\$18,751	\$34,584
	Jo Daviess	472,851	46,305	19,521	58,114	6,864	0	15,758	20,463	43,085
	Lee	1,460,441	113,556	41,563	176,830	46,091	3,745	44,546	59,562	153,944
	Ogle	1,081,497	122,080	40,262	183,898	5,621	602	39,033	38,392	83,648
	Stephenson	1,755,817	111,751	25,264	122,711	22,687	0	33,428	41,210	97,325
	Circuit Total	\$5,393,625	\$415,295	\$141,315	\$585,039	\$84,938	\$6,144	\$143,126	\$178,378	\$412,586
16th	DeKalb	\$724,604	\$292,281	\$50,951	\$245,745	\$7,126	\$0	\$43,792	\$60,609	\$111,527
	Kane	11,224,319	1,300,090	105,676	341,429	49,018	0	231,746	248,128	528,892
	Kendall	870,341	96,530	14,664	80,493	6,310	0	24,113	25,547	55,970
	Circuit Total	\$12,819,264	\$1,688,901	\$171,291	\$667,667	\$62,454	\$0	\$299,651	\$334,284	\$696,389
17th	Boone	\$944,231 4,151,835 \$5,096,066	\$94,101 966,309 \$1,060,410	\$18,699 164,632 \$183,331	\$225,885 190,773 \$416,658	\$3,010 13,839 \$16,849	\$601 22,416 \$23,017	\$30,958 154,244 \$185,202	\$41,777 214,903 \$256,680	\$76,346 405,402 \$481,748
18th	DuPage	\$19,983,920	\$5,018,898	\$493,021	\$994,538	\$170,879	\$57,191	\$935,065	\$1,626,581	\$2,790,256
18th		\$19,983,920	\$5,018,898	\$493,021	\$994,538	\$170,879	\$57,191	\$935,065	\$1,626,581	\$2,790,256
19th	Lake	\$6,505,969	\$3,449,677	\$240,595	\$575,264	\$106,697	\$7,656	\$500,767	\$399,121	\$1,014,241
	McHenry	2,842,155	1,387,539	71,603	272,022	37,984	19,789	184,148	135,747	377,668
	Circuit Total	\$9,348,124	\$4,837,216	\$312,198	\$847,286	\$144,681	\$27,445	\$684,915	\$534,868	\$1,391,909

						Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeitu	res		
10 f								State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	Cou Criminal	unty Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair* Washington Circuit Total	\$415,317 993,020 1,223,021 4,189,878 301,302 \$7,122,538	\$68,404 58,336 64,454 1,163,712 12,155 \$1,367,061	\$21,967 48,628 35,119 1,695 18,280 \$125,689	\$37,540 90,883 65,194 539,801 86,371 \$819,789	\$24,866 1,415 4,010 251,983 435 \$282,709	\$0 0 28,177 0 \$28,177	\$18,615 22,332 16,724 251,511 13,040 \$322,222	\$27,254 24,468 30,361 334,310 17,922 \$434,315	\$70,735 48,215 51,095 865,981 31,397 \$1,067,423
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	\$813,336 3,341,375 \$4,154,711	\$35,410 217,381 \$252,791	\$47,473 42,822 \$90,295	\$172,928 176,392 \$349,320	\$162,689 16,024 \$178,713	\$3,777 0 \$3,777	\$44,713 59,575 \$104,288	\$39,371 74,922 \$114,293	\$250,550 150,521 \$401,071
	Downstate Total	\$173,982,303	\$25,321,849	\$4,831,685	\$12,811,471	\$3,643,518	\$391,785	\$5,426,034	\$6,608,639	\$16,069,976
	Cook County	\$50,629,508	\$25,869,340	\$4,639,251	\$950,208	\$570,697	\$19,167	\$1,078,901	\$2,132,508	\$3,801,273
	State Total	\$224,611,811	\$51,191,189	\$9,470,936	\$13,761,679	\$4,214,215	\$410,952	\$6,504,935	\$8,741,147	\$19,871,249

				Fees of Others			Miscel	laneous Disburseme	ents	Total
Circuit	Country	States	Sheriff	Fund to Fin. Crt.	Other	Total	Restitut.	Other	Total	Amount Distribut.
Circuit	County	Atty.	Sherift		Other					
1st	Alexander	\$4,648	\$562	\$8,737	\$1,750	\$15,697	\$10,312	\$55,294	\$65,606	\$333,904 2,711,783
	Jackson	30,645	7,377	40,293	5,997	84,312	52,023	643,865	695,888	
	Johnson	2,645	3,633	10,703	13,790	30,771	4,003	0	4,003	257,639
	Massac	3,647	3,960	0	11,799	19,406	4,018	8,411	12,429	428,346
	Pope	1,145	715	1,585	9,013	12,458	1,922	213	2,135	136,985
	Pulaski	3,204	1,506	11,507	1,096	17,313	5,924	6,105	12,029	237,157
	Saline	9,358	4,136	15,184	50,332	79,010	32,690	4,527	37,217	1,146,146
	Union	4,870	3,675	10,975	2,375	21,895	1,914	9,858	11,772	419,067
	Williamson	19,363	2,138	36,545	6,286	64,336	13,770	74,293	88,063	1,899,866
1st	Circuit Total	\$79,525	\$27,702	\$135,533	\$102,438	\$345,198	\$126,576	\$802,566	\$929,142	\$7,570,893
2nd	Crawford	\$5,190	\$1,738	\$11,618	\$6,009	\$24,555	\$11,567	\$25,779	\$37,346	\$847,143
	Edwards	3,586	465	3,800	2,189	10,040	5,854	0	5,854	261,670
	Franklin	24,712	2,470	17,712	9,006	53,900	18,952	0	18,952	1,502,312
	Gallatin	2,115	1,204	2,942	0	6,261	4,246	2,940	7,186	218,760
	Hamilton	5,794	5,751	3,345	0	14,890	31,322	5,736	37,058	230,863
	Hardin	360	388	920	1,022	2,690	77	0	77	122,176
	Jefferson	30,051	8,406	22,931	5,560	66,948	32,070	28,240	60,310	1,493,088
	Lawrence	6,210	1,163	9,640	0	17,013	39,749	7,566	47,315	615,875
	Richland	9,551	1,814	12,723	3,622	27,710	15,117	1,745	16,862	622,932
	Wabash	5,592	2,000	6,790	3,497	17,879	10,673	8,680	19,352	644,214
	Wayne	10,572	6,608	11,575	16,249	45,004	20,011	80,349	100,360	628,317
	White	6,772	4,842	14,647	2,466	28,727	18,671	12,430	31,101	721,199
2nd	Circuit Total	\$110,505	\$36,849	\$118,643	\$49,620	\$315,617	\$208,309	\$173,465	\$381,774	\$7,908,549
3rd	Bond	\$3,927	\$896	\$6,034	\$3,355	\$14,212	\$10,333	\$198,118	\$208,451	\$753,549
Sid	Madison	56,419	900	149,064	38,961	245,344	37,280	148,516	185,796	8,521,130
3rd	Circuit Total	\$60,346	\$1,796	\$155,098	\$42,316	\$259,556	\$47,613	\$346,634	\$394,247	\$9,274,679
4th	Christian	\$13,763	\$14,191	\$18,265	\$3,518	\$49,737	\$1,584	\$6,178	\$7,762	\$1,706,390
401	Clay	6,725	4,342	5,952	3,085	20,104	23,116	12,412	35,528	490,802
	Clinton	14,215	1,898	18,089	6,136	41,338	31,702	600	32,302	802,364
	Effingham	12,243	1,526	23,710	11,200	48,679	0	63,733	63,733	1,245,058
	Fayette	11,001	2,817	16,100	5,449	35,367	10,924	20,777	31,701	887,700
	Jasper	4,760	940	8,020	2,800	16,520	4,979	6,731	11,710	317,030
	Marion	18,672	4,187	39,443	9,430	71,732	39,579	4,735	44,314	1,849,967
	Montgomery	21,593	10,444	21,247	4,942	58,226	21,756	11,284	33,040	1,211,449
	Shelby	8,640	1,939	6,549	3,661	20,789	9,264	8,525	17,789	832,715
4th	Circuit Total	\$111,612	\$43,284	\$157,375	\$50,221	\$362,492	\$142,904	\$134,975	\$277,879	\$9,343,475
5th	Clark	\$23,091	\$1,667	\$18,727	\$0	\$43,485	\$0	\$43,841	\$43,841	\$866,404
Jul	Coles	20,868	27,509	33,716	5,915	88.008	0	77,126	77,126	2,832,448
	Cumberland	4,638	87	7,730	0	12,455	54,872	0	54,872	353,428
	Edgar	7,467	1,442	8,420	3,531	20,680	12,653	40,138	52,791	803,424
		10,212	36,981	49,905	15,305	112,403	135	190	325	1,362,008
	Vermilion									

				in a second s	IONS MADE F	OK OTTIEKS				
				Fees of Others			Misce	llaneous Disbursem	ients	Total
Circuit	County	States Atty.	Sheriff	Fund to Fin. Crt.	Other	Total	Restitut.	Other	Total	Amount Distribut.
6th	Champaign	\$49,033	\$20,402	\$86,700	\$51,524	\$207,629	\$58,489	\$36,872	\$95,361	\$4,708,232
	DeWitt	2,360	4,303	11,186	8,801	26,650	13,443	122,293	135,736	1,152,980
	Douglas	3,400	1,483	17,800	3,632	26,315	10,284	46,239	56,523	789,666
	Macon	82,484	45,363	87,467	42,366	257,680	51,300	308,853	360,153	9,997,765
	Moultrie	5,224	1,907	7,948	3,596	18,675	6,561	52,701	59,262	724,797
	Piatt	5,524	6,787	1,210	11,907	25,428	5,232	45,140	50,372	1,191,105
6th	Circuit Total	\$148,025	\$80,215	\$212,311	\$121,826	\$562,377	\$145,309	\$612,098	\$757,407	\$18,564,545
7th	Greene	\$2,531	\$517	\$6,501	\$1,082	\$10,631	\$1,750	0	\$1,750	\$142,486
	Jersey	5,988	1,962	12,263	3,220	23,433	0	0	0	660,199
	Macoupin	9,476	4,263	0	9,977	23,716	26,435	0	26,435	1,658,782
	Morgan	14,016	3,447	19,197	7,477	44,137	21,748	897	22,645	1,780,895
	Sangamon	76,117	9,264	135,384	31,783	252,548	126,396	4,882	131,278	8,284,372
	Scott	1,551	811	2,362	867	5,591	2,781	0	2,781	149,878
7th	Circuit Total	\$109,679	\$20,264	\$175,707	\$54,406	\$360,056	\$179,110	\$5,779	\$184,809	\$12,676,612
8th	Adams	\$26,003	\$10,186	\$33,199	\$15,162	\$84,550	\$71,241	\$148,750	\$219,991	\$2,800,987
	Brown	2,790	506	3,845	1,014	8,155	4,088	0	4,088	235,798
	Calhoun	2,033	772	1,685	5,024	9,514	3,046	0	3,046	104,501
	Cass	7,414	1,862	7,550	1,596	18,422	12,000	0	12,000	512,114
	Mason	9,652	4,921	12,115	2,941	29,629	16,509	0	16,509	628,377
	Menard	4,160	1,433	4,108	4,804	14,505	11,801	0	11,801	314,301
	Pike	5,571	1,350	9,610	22,788	39,319	0	0	0	500,985
0.1	Schuyler	2,017	846	3,970	1,303	8,136	5,157	0	5,157	253,155
8th	Circuit Total	\$59,640	\$21,876	\$76,082	\$54,632	\$212,230	\$123,842	\$148,750	\$272,592	\$5,350,218
9th	Fulton	\$4,878	\$5,199	\$18,245	\$10,291	\$38,613	\$48,535	\$21,002	\$69,537	\$1,945,983
	Hancock	7,694	2,376	9,385	1,533	20,988	14,976	0	14,976	779,892
	Henderson	5,295	265	5,133	2,465	13,158	3,356	0	3,356	342,860
	Knox	15,544	6,017	36,636	21,215	79,412	690	0	690	3,592,667
	McDonough	14,871	1,760	17,716	6,993	41,340	0	0	0	1,309,904
	Warren	15,507	5,733	14,428	7,391	43,059	2,297	28,314	30,611	1,053,590
9th	Circuit Total	\$63,789	\$21,350	\$101,543	\$49,888	\$236,570	\$69,854	\$49,316	\$119,170	\$9,024,896
10th	Marshall	\$4,421	\$8,359	\$6,145	\$2,061	\$20,986	\$7,672	\$43,560	\$51,232	\$579,363
	Peoria	93,857	158,169	103,943	82,268	438,237	82,398	0	82,298	9,794,855
	Putnam	951	0	1,695	394	3,040	2,104	8	2,112	210,530
	Stark	2,539	154	1,735	0	4,428	547	0	547	179,647
10.1	Tazewell	10,489	31,526	67,274	33,542	142,831	2,387	0	2,387	5,556,169
10th	Circuit Total	\$112,257	\$197,848	\$180,792	\$118,265	\$609,522	\$95,108	\$43,568	\$138,676	\$16,320,564

				Fees of Others			Misce	laneous Disburseme	ents	Total
Circuit	County	States Atty.	Sheriff	Fund to Fin. Crt.	Other	Total	Restitut.	Other	Total	Amount Distribut.
11th	Ford	\$4,117	\$12,368	\$8,295	\$4,669	\$29,449	\$16,200	\$370	\$16,570	\$424,400
	Livingston	7,721	4,575	25,480	3,813	41,589	903	2,997	3,900	1,588,585
	Logan	11,391	5,486	28,785	5,578	51,420	0	24,091	24,091	1,448,645
	McLean	62,120	201,886	123,915	15,990	403,911	8,335	442,231	450,566	4,236,530
	Woodford	10,412	6,832	20,865	5,176	43,285	3,258	40,392	43,650	1,020,238
	Circuit Total	\$95,761	\$231,327	\$207,340	\$35,226	\$569,654	\$28,696	\$510,081	\$538,777	\$8,718,398
12th	Will	\$249,983	\$31,707	\$235,699	\$103,103	\$620,492,	\$68,751	\$828,513	\$897,264	\$16,758,096
12th	Circuit Total	\$249,983	\$31,707	\$235,699	\$103,103	\$620,492	\$68,751	\$828,513	\$897,264	\$16,758,096
13th	Bureau	\$760	\$1,716	\$22,765	\$6,902	\$32,143	\$5,801	\$918,060	\$923,861	\$2,494,590
	Grundy	0	15	15,222	7,986	23,223	0	0	0	1,412,889
	LaSalle	25,347	2,554	51,379	22,445	101,725	_ 29,192	97,265	126,457	4,207,753
	Circuit Total	\$26,107	\$4,285	\$89,366	\$37,333	\$157,091	\$34,993	\$1,015,325	\$1,050,318	\$8,115,232
14th	Henry	\$13,626	\$3,239	\$44,588	\$11,070	\$72,523	\$0	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$2,936,695
	Mercer	4,072	851	5,892	5,238	16,143	255	9,953	10,208	813,797
	Rock Island	38,509	7,197	113,251	66,619	225,576	2,886	87,631	90,517	9,867,012
	Whiteside	27,476	12,306	31,655	18,360	89,797	0	27,217	27,217	3,313,205
	Circuit Total	\$83,683	\$23,593	\$195,386	\$101,377	\$404,039	\$3,141	\$164,801	\$167,942	\$16,930,709
15th	Carroll	\$5,418	\$6,526	\$7,609	\$6,056	\$25,609	\$356	\$11,327	\$11,683	\$774,689
	Jo Daviess	2,990	3,547	12,199	3,676	22,412	1,776	1,253	3,029	665,317
	Lee	8,664	6,689	33,690	14,306	63,349	0	16,740	16,740	2,026,423
	Ogle	12,854	15,158	24,084	16,228	68,324	13,672	53,837	67,509	1,647,218
	Stephenson	25,722	14,600	29,481	17,602	87,405	6,426	19,588	26,014	2,226,287
	Circuit Total	\$55,648	\$46,520	\$107,063	\$57,868	\$267,099	\$22,230	\$102,745	\$124,975	\$7,339,934
16th	DeKalb	\$29,125	\$645	\$54,873	\$17,371	\$102,014	\$0	\$6,654	\$6,654	\$1,533,776
	Kane	200,538	597	194,930	149,390	545,455	0	3,034	3,034	14,048,895
	Kendall	2,625	0	19,275	4,542	26,442	9,235	0	9,235	1,153,675
	Circuit Total	\$232,288	\$1,242	\$269,078	\$171,303	\$673,911	\$9,235	\$9,688	\$18,923	\$16,736,346
17th	Boone	\$18,711	\$21,783	\$37,212	\$13,293	\$90,999	\$19,207	\$51,771	\$70,978	\$1,521,239
	Winnebago	56,163	142,887	193,878	71,865	464,793	31,380	5,812	37,192	6,380,936
	Circuit Total	\$74,874	\$164,670	\$231,090	\$85,158	\$555,792	\$50,587	\$57,583	\$108,170	\$7,902,175
18th	DuPage	\$1,041,265	\$107,805	\$840,604	\$208,604	\$2,198,278	\$0	\$347,872	\$347,872	\$31,826,783
18th	Circuit Total	\$1,041,265	\$107,805	\$840,604	\$208,604	\$2,198,278	\$0	347,872	\$347,872	\$31,826,783
19th	Lake	\$63,532	\$411,948	\$425,040	\$229,814	\$1,130,334	\$268,128	\$424,009	\$692,137	\$13,608,217
	McHenry	32,627	145,329	150,493	103,871	432,320	60,484	42,576	103,060	5,486,367
	Circuit Total	\$96,159	\$527,277	\$575,533	\$333,685	\$1,562,654	\$328,612	\$466,585	\$795,197	\$19,094,584

			AND	OF COLLECT	UNS MADE F	OK OTHERS	(CONT.)			
				Fees of Others			Misce	llaneous Disbursem	ents	Total
Circuit	County	States Atty.	Sheriff	Fund to Fin. Crt.	Other	Total	Restitut.	Other	Total	Amount Distribut.
20th	Monroe Perry	\$6,750 9,743 9,345 12,305 5,202 \$43,345	\$0 305,240 4,456 103,251 482 \$413,429	\$11,786 13,979 12,615 197,103 0 \$235,483	\$2,046 3,456 2,999 36,444 0 \$44,945	\$20,582 332,418 29,415 349,103 5,684 \$737,202	\$22,026 13,867 16,914 28,037 3,246 \$84,090	\$250 0 0 0 0 \$250	\$22,276 13,867 16,914 28,037 3,246 \$84,340	\$656,821 1,585,367 1,485,212 7,138,207 458,435 \$11,324,042
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	\$860 13,428 \$4,288	\$2,342 10,271 \$12,613	\$25,325 52,591 \$77,916	\$2,038 21,551 \$23,589	\$30,565 97,481 \$128,406	\$4,351 0 \$4,351	\$0 0 \$0	\$4,351 0 \$4,351	\$1,354,631 4,026,332 \$5,380,945
	Downstate Total	\$2,935,055	\$2,113,698	\$4,496,140	\$1,870,554	\$11,415,447	\$1,840,971	\$5,153,376	\$6,994,347	\$251,427,078
	Cook County	\$345,307	\$0	\$0	\$2,369,878	\$2,715,185	\$0	\$188	\$188	\$88,604,953
	State Total	\$3,280,362	\$2,113,698	\$4,496,140	\$4,240,432	\$14,130,632	\$1,840,971	\$5,153,564	\$6,994,535	\$340,032,031

ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS - 1986-1987 PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES (EXCLUDING DETENTION)

		Ch	ief Managing					ervisors			Line Officers	– Specia	alists		
Circuit	County	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Total Pro- fessional Staff	Support Staff
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson/Pope Massac Pulaski Saline Union	1			1 1 1 1	1				1	7	1	1 -	2 11 1 1 1 6 1	1 3 2 1 1 1 1
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	1 3	0	0	5	1	0	0	1 1	1	7 15	2 3	5	11 34	2 12
2nd	Crawford/Lawrence Edwards/Richland and Wayne Franklin/Hamilton Gallatin/Hardin/ Wabash/White				1 1 1 1 1 1 1						1 2 2	1	3	2 3 4 4	1 3 3 1
2nd	Jefferson Circuit Total	0	0	0	1 5	0	0	0	0	0	1 6	1	3	2 15	1 9
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	3 3	0	0	0	0	2 2	1	1	1 2 3	22 22	6 6	0	2 36 38	4 4
4th 4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0	0	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9	0	0	0	0	0	2 2 3 7	1 1 2	2 1 3	3 2 3 1 1 5 2 1 21	1 1 1 1 3 1 8
5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion	1			1		1	1		1	3	3	1 1 2	1 7 2 18	1 2 3 6
5th 6th 6th	Circuit Total Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	2 1 1 2	0	0	2 1 1 1 1 4	0	1	2 1 1 2	0	2 3	12 11 10 21	3 4 1 3 8	4	28 22 2 1 15 1 2 43	2 1 1 4 1 9
7th	Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon-A Sangamon-J Scott	1 1 1 2			1	2 3			1	1	4 3 11	1 1 3		1 1 6 15 8 1 38	1 2 1 3 2 9
7th 8th 8th	Circuit Total Adams Brown/Schuyler Cass Mason Menard Pike Circuit Total	5	0	0	2 1 1 1 1 4	5	0	0	0	2	18	5	0 7 1 1 9	38 9 1 3 2 1 2 1 8	9 2 1 1 1 5

ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS – 1986-1987 PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES (EXCLUDING DETENTION)

		Chi	ief Managing					ervisors		1	Line Officer	s — Spec	ialists		
Circuit	County	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Total Pro- fessional Staff	Support
9th	Fulton-J Hancock-J Knox-J McDonough-J Warren/Henderson Circuitwide-A Circuit Total	1 1 2	0	1 1 1 1 1 4	0	2 2 2	0	0	0	1	8 8	1 2 1 1 5	0	2 1 3 2 2 12 22	Staff 1 1 1 1 1 3 7
10th 10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark Peoria-A Peoria-J Tazewell Circuit Total	1 1 1 3	0	0	1	3	1 1	1 1 2	1 1	0	18 6 24	8 2 10	2 1 3	3 22 10 13 48	7 2 5 14
11th 11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0	1 1	0	1 1 1 1	0	1	1	0	1	2 8 1 11	1 10 1 12	4 1 5	1 5 4 21 3 34	2 1 3 1 7
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	2 2	0	0	0	2 2	0	1 1	0	0	8 8	4 4	0	17 17	5
13th 13th	Bureau/Grundy/LaSalle Circuit Total	1 1	1 1	0	0	0	1	1 1	0	0	3	4 4	0	11 11	2 2
14th 14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	1 1 1 1 4	1	1	0	0	1 2 1 4	1 1 2	0	0	4 1 16 4 25	3 1 6 2 12	1 1	9 3 28 10 50	2 2 5 3 12
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	1 1 1 3	0	0	1 1 2	0	1 1	1 1	1	0	1 3 4 4 12	2 2 2 6	1	2 2 6 8 9 27	1 2 3 3 9
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	1	1	1	1	0	3	1 3 4	1	2	2 15 1 18	3 11 1 15	1 10 11	8 48 2 58	3 12 1 16
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	1 1	1 1 2	2 2	0	0	2 2	1	0	0	1 15 16	1 13 14	0	3 · 35 38	1 10 11
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2 2	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	3 3	1 1	1 1	1 1	42 42	0	11 11	65 65	16 16
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	3 1 4	1 1 2	1 1 2	1	0	3 2 5	1 1 2	1 1 2	0	30 10 40	9 7 16	11 4 15	61 28 89	12 5 17
20th 20th	St. Clair Circuit Total	2 2	0	0	0	1 1	1 1	0	1 1	0	15 15	6 6	3 3	29 29	9
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	1 1	1	0	0	0	1 1	1 1	1 1 2	1 1	2 2	3	1 1	2 11 13	1 1
Cook	Adult Juvenile Social Service Circuit Total	11 18 9 38	0	6	0	36 28 20 84	0	15 15	0	5 5	259 136 395	180 180	102 102	306 354 165 825	117 124 48 289
	Downstate Total Cook Total State Total	43 38 81	11 0 11	11 6 17	41 0 41	15 84 99	27 0 27	22 15 37	12 0 12	18 5 23	326 395 721	136 180 316	76 102 178	738 825 1,563	346 345 691

ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS – 1986-1987 JUVENILE DETENTION

Circuit	County	Chief Managing Officers	Supervisors	Line Staff	Total Professional Staff	Support
3rd	Madison	2	5	21	28	1
6th	Champaign	1	1	9	11	
7th	Sangamon	2	4	20	26	4
8th	Adams	1	1	6	8	3
9th	Knox	1	3	7	11	5
10th	Peoria	1	1	20	22	3
13th	LaSalle	2	3	10	15	
15th	Ogle		2	10	12	2
16th	Kane	2		15	17	3
17th	Winnebago	1	1	21	23	3
18th	DuPage	2	3	18	23	4
19th	Lake	1	2	17	20	11
20th	St. Clair	2	4	18	24	
	State Total	18	30	192	240	39

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS – PETITIONS JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Referrals Ma	de To:			Number of Juvenile Petitions Filed						
		State's	Intake	Probation	T								
Circuit	County	Attorney	Screening Unit	Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total			
1st	Alexander				0					0			
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	58	2	13	2	75			
	Johnson	33	0	0	33	26	1	6	0	33			
	Massac	62	0	0	62	47	11	5	0	63			
	Pope	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	3			
	Pulaski	17	0	0	17	6	2	2	2	12			
	Saline	94	0	0	94	45	6	12	1				
	Union	19	0	3	22	14	1	4		64			
	Williamson	0	0	289	289	27	3		3	22			
1-4		228	0	292	520	226	26	28	5	63			
1st	Circuit Total	220	0	292	520	220	26	70	13	335			
2nd	Crawford	36	0	0	36	21	3	6	0	30			
	Edwards	10	0	0	10	9	0	1	0	10			
	Franklin/Hamilton	75	0	35	110	46	3	14	12	75			
	Gallatin	9	0	0	9	3	0	5	1	9			
	Hardin	6	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	6			
	Jefferson	59	0	0	59	26	6	20	4	56			
	Lawrence	25	0	0	25	11	1	6		20			
	Richland	18	0	0	18	10	1	7	2	18			
	Wabash	48	0	Ő	48	38	1	5	0				
	Wayne	40	0	0	40	29	11	0	0	44			
	White	33	0	20	53	17	0	16	0	40			
2nd	Circuit Total	359	0	55	414	210	26	86	19	33 341			
									15	541			
3rd	Bond	25	0	0	25	14	1	7	0	22			
	Madison	212	19	0	231	458	3	181	21	663			
3rd	Circuit Total	237	19	0	256	472	4	188	21	685			
4th	Christian	152	0	8	160	74	2	30	6	112			
	Clay	45	0	0	45	14	0	4	1	112			
	Clinton	40	0	0	40	29	5	4	2	40			
	Effingham	33	0	0	33	20	5	3	2				
	Fayette	0	0	63	63	54	1	8	1	31			
	Jasper	43	0	0	43	36	0	7	0	64			
	Marion	0	0	0	0	54	3	11	0	43			
		0	0	0	0	0	0	11	17	85			
	Montgomery	37	0	0	37	21	1	-	0	0			
446	Shelby Circuit Total	350	0	71	421	302	17	10	4	36			
4th		350	0	/1	421	302	17	77	34	430			
5th	Clark	33	0	0	33	25	0	7	2	34			
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Edgar	70	0	25	95	36	0	21	5	62			
	Vermilion	0	0	230	230	58	1	45	0	104			
5th	Circuit Total	103	0	255	358	119	1	73	7	200			
			Ň.	200	550	115	1	15	/	200			

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS - PETITIONS

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Referrals Ma	de To:			Number	of Juvenile Petition	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt . Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt	694 0 15 624 32 83	0 163 0 0 0 0	0 0 165 0 0	694 163 15 789 32 83	178 49 9 407 22 78	0 0 2 0 0 2	76 16 56 0 154	0 5 0 14 0 0	254 70 15 479 22 78 918
6th7th	Circuit Total Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	1,448 9 14 133 0 0 14 14 170	163 0 88 0 0 1,287 0 1,375	165 0 0 254 0 0 254	1,776 9 102 133 254 1,287 14 1,799	743 5 76 86 33 124 11 335	0 1 5 1 2 0 9	0 4 39 19 0 0 62	4 0 1 3 0 3 11	9 81 131 56 126 14 417
8th	Adams Brown Cass Mason Menard Pike/Calhoun Schuyler Circuit Total	0 12 39 105 27 53 33 269	93 0 0 0 0 0 0 93	0 0 78 0 7 0 85	93 12 39 183 27 60 33 447	65 7 30 55 7 48 27 239	5 0 0 20 3 2 30	41 2 9 25 0 2 3 82	5 1 0 4 0 5 1 1	116 10 39 84 27 58 33 367
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	2 0 30 1 0 80 113	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	157 87 16 187 122 178 747	159 87 46 188 122 258 860	51 42 25 32 21 66 237	0 0 0 0 1 1	28 0 5 16 2 13 64	2 0 6 2 0 10	81 42 30 54 25 80 312
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 308 308	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 308 308	0 360 141 501	0 10 0 10	0 147 0 147	0 29 0 29	0 546 0 0 141 687

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS — PETITIONS JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Referrals Made To: Number of Juvenile Petitions Filed State's Intake Probation Circuit Attorney Screening Unit Department Total Delinquent MRAI County Neglect Dependent Total 11th Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford 11th Circuit Total 12th Will. 12th Circuit Total 13th Bureau Grundy LaSalle 13th Circuit Total 14th Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total 14th 15th Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson 15th 1,009 Circuit Total DeKalb 16th Kane Kendall 16th Circuit Total 17th Boone Winnebago 1.609 1,609 17th Circuit Total 1,609 1,724 18th DuPage 18th Circuit Total 1,058 1,058 19th Lake McHenry . 19th 1,439 1,439 Circuit Total

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS – PETITIONS

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Referrals Ma	de To:			Number	of Juvenile Petition	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	34 22 54 504 3 617	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	34 22 54 504 3 617	27 19 29 349 2 426	1 0 6 16 0 23	5 2 19 128 1 155	1 1 0 11 0 13	34 22 54 504 3 617
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	13 194 207	0 178 178	29 0 29	42 372 414	8 94 1Ó2	5 9 14	0 48 48	0 5 5	13 156 169
Cook Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	0 0	0 0	20,057 20,057	20,057 20,057	13,591 13,591	94 94	4,238 4,238	1 1	17,924 17,924
	Downstate Total	4,995	6,153	4,889	16,037	7,069	234	2,004	300	9,607
	Cook Total	0	0	20,057	20,057	13,591	94	4,238	1	17,924
	State Total	4,995	6,153	24,946	36,094	20,660	328	6,242	301	27,531

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY JANUARY 1,1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			N	umber of Adjudication	15		Number	of Juvenile Criminal Pro	secutions
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
1st	Alexander					0			
	Jackson	14	1	4	1	20	0	0	0
	Johnson	7	1	0	0	8	0	0	0
	Massac	16	7	3	0	26	2	1	1
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	Pulaski	6	2	2	2	12	0	0	0
	Saline	22	2	0	0	24	1	1	1
	Union		2	4	3	14			1
	Williamson	6	0	8	3	17	0	0	0
1 ct	Circuit Total	76	15	21	9	121	3	0	0
1st			15	21	2	121	3	2	2
2nd	Crawford	18	2	5	0	25	0	0	0
	Edwards	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Franklin/Hamilton	17	4	9	8	38	0	0	0
	Gallatin	1	0	3	1	5	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	10	1	3	1	15	0	0	0
	Lawrence	5	1	5	0	11	0	0	0
	Richland	5	0	2	0	7	0	0	0
	Wabash	6	1	1	0	8	0	0	0
	Wayne	6	0	4	0	10	0	0	0
	White	13	0	12	õ	25	0	1	0
2nd	Circuit Total	84	9	44	10	147	0	1	1
3rd	Bond	10	1	6	0	17	0	1	1
510	Madison	165	1	. 9	0	175	2		
3rd	Circuit Total	175	2	15	0	192	2	1	0
		17.5	2	15	0	192	2	1	1
4th	Christian	68	2	24	4	98	0	0	0
	Clay	3	0	1	1	5	0	0	0
	Clinton	16	3	2	0	21	0	0	0
	Effingham	15	4	3	3	25	0	0	0
	Fayette	23	1 1	4	2	30	0	0	0
	Jasper	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Marion	25	3	3	7	38	0	0	0
	Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Shelby	19	0	7	4	30	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	169	13	46	21	249	0	0	0
5th	Clark	7	0	7	Δ	18	1	1	4
Jui	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	1
		6	0	13	2		0	0	0
	Edgar	-	1	0	2	21	0	0	0
E4b	Vermilion	74 87	1	20	0	75	2	3	2
5th	Circuit Total	0/		20	b	114	3	4	3

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			The state of the s	umber of Adjudication	15		Number o	of Juvenile Criminal Prose	cutions
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt	98 27 5 144 22 83	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 8 3 0 0 0	0 4 0 0 0 0 0	98 39 8 144 22 83	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 10 0 2	0 0 9 0 2
6th	Circuit Total	379	0	11	4	394	0	12	11
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 58 20 25 72 0 175	0 1 0 1 2 0 4	0 4 5 15 0 0 24	0 0 1 3 0 2 6	0 63 26 44 74 2 209	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 1 0 1 0 2	0 2 0 1 0 3
8th	Adams Brown Cass Mason Menard Pike/Calhoun Schuyler Circuit Total	14 2 3 21 7 28 2 77	0 0 0 20 1 0 21	32 0 3 25 0 0 1 61	4 0 0 4 0 3 1 12	50 2 6 50 27 32 4 171	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
9th 9th	Fulton	18 10 3 27 6 51 115	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 0 4 18 3 9 61	0 0 4 1 0 5	45 10 7 49 10 60 181	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 440 22 462	0 10 0 10	0 135 0 135	0 25 0 25	0 610 0 22 632	0 3 1 4	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	15 17 11 49 2 94	20 3 1 8 0 32	0 14 12 0 6 32	0 3 0 0 0 3	35 37 24 57 8 161	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 1 0 1

174

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			N	umber of Adjudication	ns		Number	of Juvenile Criminal Pro	secutions
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	175 175	0 0	98 98	6 6	279 279	4 4	0 0	0
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	20 16 48 84	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	20 16 50 86	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	70 5 102 51 228	1 0 1 0 2	12 0 77 0 89	3 0 16 0 19	86 5 196 51 338	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	12 6 20 49 52 139	0 0 2 3 0 5	12 3 13 15 28 71	0 0 2 0 2	24 9 35 69 80 217	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	42 129 12 183	0 5 3 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	42 134 15 191	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 1
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	26 172 198	0 1 1	16 110 126	0 17 17	42 300 342	0 2 2	0 1 1	0 1 1
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	232 232	17 17	0 0	0 0	249 249	0 0	0 0	0 0
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	154 78 232	0 2 2	0 9 9	2 1 3	156 90 246	2 1 3	3 1 4	1 1 2
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	17 4 17 192 2 232	4 0 1 12 0 17	0 0 17 87 0 104	0 0 15 0 15	21 4 35 306 2 368	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	8 80 88	5 5 10	0 44 44	0 5 5	13 134 147	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0
Cook Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	4,855 4,855	58 58	1,702 1,702	461 461	7,076 7,076	116 116	24 24	21 21 21
	Downstate Total	3,684	171	1,011	168	5,034	35	33	27
	Cook Total	4,855	58	1,702	461	6,615	116	24	21
	State Total	8,539	229	2,713	629	11,649	151	57	48

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION

JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

			State Commitments Placements DMH/DD DCFS DOC Total Foster Home Group Home Private Home With Relative Total					Pend	Detention ding Dispositio	on	A	Detention s Disposition	e an te theory of			
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total					Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 5	9 3 4 0 0 4 7 8 35	3 1 5 0 2 1 0 12	0 12 4 14 0 6 8 8 8 52	0 4 3 0 0 0 6 0 13	0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 3 0 0 5	0 0 6 4 0 0 3 8 0 21	4 0 2 0 0 0 0 24 30	3 0 2 0 0 0 4 0 9	0 7 0 4 0 0 0 4 24 39	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2nd 2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin/Hamilton Gallatin Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 10 3 0 50 3 4 2 2 12 86	1 1 3 0 0 4 0 0 2 1 12	1 13 3 0 54 3 4 2 4 2 4 13 98	0 0 2 0 50 1 0 1 0 0 54	0 0 2 0 0 4 2 0 0 0 2 10	0 0 0 15 0 1 1 0 0 0 17	0 0 2 4 6 14 0 0 0 2 14 42	0 6 4 6 83 3 1 2 2 16 123	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 1 10 0 8 0 6 0 1 0 26	0 1 10 0 8 0 6 0 2 0 27	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 3
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	0 0 0	5 0 5	1 10 11	6 10 16	4 1 5	2 0 2	0 4 4	3 1 4	9 6 15	1 364 365	1 0 1	2 364 366	0 102 102	1 0 1	1 102 103
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3	19 0 10 3 4 0 0 3 39	3 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 3 8	23 0 12 3 4 0 0 0 8 50	8 1 0 0 0 0 0 9 18	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4	1 0 0 1 1 0 0 3	8 0 2 20 1 0 0 14 45	20 1 2 20 2 1 0 0 24 70	14 0 0 18 9 0 0 0 3 44	0 0 2 4 1 0 2 11	14 0 20 13 1 0 0 5 55	17 0 1 0 4 0 0 2 24	0 3 0 0 0 0 1 4	17 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 3 28
5th 5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 7 0 7	0 0 17 17	0 0 9 17 26	0 0 0 0 0	0 _0 0 3 3	0 0 3 3	0 0 6 6	0 0 0 12 12	3 0 6 54 63	0 0 0 0	3 0 6 54 63	4 0 5 28 37	0 0 0 0	4 0 5 28 37

176

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31,1986

	State Commitments							Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositi	on		Detention As Dispositior	1
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
6th	Champaign	0	8	31	39	0	0	0	0	0	131	0	131	99	0	99
	DeWitt	0	12	1	13	4	0	0	0	4	0	7	7	0	2	2
	Douglas	0	0	2	2	0	Ő	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	3	3
	Macon	0	11	33	44	2	2	14	7	25	0	106	106	0		-
	Moultrie	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	100		-	46	46
		0	0		0	0	0	0	5	5	0	1	1	0	0	0
C (1)	Piatt		-	-	-		-	-		-			1	1	4	5
6th	Circuit Total	0	31	69	100	6	2	15	12	35	131	116	247	100	55	155
7th	Greene	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	1	11	0	12	3	1	1	7	12	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Macoupin	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	10	11	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	1	1	98	1	99	3	0	3
	Sangamon	0	0	6	6	1	1	18	14	34	470	0	470	9	0	9
	Scott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	1	17	9	27	5	3	19	26	53	571	11	582	12	0	12
8th	Adams	0	0	5	5	7	0	4	0	11	65	0	65	38	0	38
	Brown	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Cass	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Mason	Ő	6	8	14	1	1	1	1	4	0	3	3	0	1	
	Menard	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	5	3		1
	Pike/Calhoun	0	4	0	4	4	1	0	39	44	0	1		5	0	3
		-		-									1	0	1	1
a.1	Schuyler	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	1	17	15	33	13	3	5	42	63	70	7	77	41	3	44
9th	Fulton	0	31	0	31	2	0	6	0	8	2	9	11	0	1	1
	Hancock	2	0	2	4	2	1	1	40	44	0	8	8	0	1	1
	Henderson	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0
	Knox	0	0	10	10	1	0	1	2	4	105	0	105	27	0	27
	McDonough	1	2	1	4	0	0	7	0	7	5	21	26	0	1	1
	Warren	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	4	4	0	5	5	1	6	7
9th	Circuit Total	4	37	14	55	5	1	15	46	67	113	45	158	28	9	37
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	0	0	26	26	7	0	3	3	13	453	Ő	453	140	0	140
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Stark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		0
	Tazewell	0	0	7	7	1	1	18	3	23	0	48	-	0	0	0
104		0	0								-		48	4	0	4
10th	Circuit Total	0	0	33	33	8	1	21	6	36	453	48	501	144	0	144
11th	Ford	5	15	0	20	0	6	0	0	6	0	7	7	0	0	0
	Livingston	0	25	0	25	11	0	5	0	16	4	8	12	0	16	16
	Logan	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	1	3	7	0	7	3	0	3
	McLean	1	1	8	10	5	15	11	2	33	70	0	70	27	Ő	27
	Woodford	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	5	7	0	5	5	0	2	2
11th	Circuit Total	6	41	11	58	17	24	16	8	65	81	20	101	30	18	48
															10	40

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

		State Commitments Foster Group					Placements	DER 31,		Pend	Detention ding Dispositio	on	A	Detention s Disposition		
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	ln ⊸ County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	0 0	6 6	16 16	22 22	0	0	3 3	0 0	3	2 2	89 89	91 91	1 1	2 2	3
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	5 0 12 17	5 0 13 18	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 9 11	0 1 5 6	0 3 15 18	6 1 141 148	1 22 0 23	7 23 141 171	17 0 70 87	6 4 0 10	23 4 70 97
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	11 0 1 0 12	0 0 11 7 18	11 0 12 7 30	10 3 8 0 21	0 4 6 4 14	8 0 13 10 31	2 0 1 0 3	20 7 28 14 69	1 0 22 29 52	3 12 0 8 23	4 12 22 37 75	11 0 6 34 51	4 1 0 0 5	15 1 6 34 56
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	11 5 9 20 19 64	1 0 6 15 22	12 5 9 26 34 86	1 0 2 6 2 11	1 1 3 26 8 39	1 0 5 3 0 9	0 5 2 2 1 10	3 6 12 37 11 69	0 0 3 26 0 29	1 0 7 18 11 37	1 0 10 44 11 66	4 0 4 3 11	2 0 1 1 6 10	6 0 1 5 9 21
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2	6 23 2 31	8 23 2 33	3 12 8 23	1 0 0 1	7 46 1 54	23 0 0 23	34 58 9 101	10 282 0 292	39 0 15 54	49 282 15 346	4 73 0 77	12 0 0 12	16 73 0 89
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 5 5	7 127 134	2 18 20	9 150 159	2 86 88	0 0 0	6 79 85	3 11 14	11 176 187	0 0 0	5 6 11	5 6 11	0 0 0	10 1 11	10 1 11
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	0 0	2 2	12 12	14 14	53 53	42 42	75 75	33	173 173	250 250	0 0	250 250	145 145	0 0	145 145
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	6 0 6	6 0 6	6 10 16	0 10 10	53 22 75	0 5 5	59 47 106	114 0 114	0 26 26	114 26 140	15 4 19	0 33 33	15 37 52
20th 20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 87 0 88	0 0 1 18 0 19	0 1 105 0 107	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 5 0 5	0 0 6 0 6	1 0 385 0 386	0 5 0 9 3 17	1 5 0 394 3 403	2 0 80 0 82	1 0 2 0 3	3 0 0 82 0 85

178

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION

		State Commitments				Placements					Detention Pending Disposition			Detention As Disposition		
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 2 2	4 22 26	4 24 28	0 4 4	0 0 0	10 2 12	0 5 5	10 11 21	0 0 0	14 41 55	14 41 55	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 1 1
Cook	Juvenile	0	602	694	1,296	0	0	0	0	0	6,422	0	6,422	1,607	0	1,607
	Circuit Total	0	602	694	1,296	0	0	0	0	0	6,422	0	6,422	1,607	0	1,607
	Downstate Total	28	625	398	1,051	361	162	474	316	1,313	3,195	629	3,824	992	179	1,171
	Cook Total	0	602	694	1,296	0	0	0	0	0	6,422	0	6,422	1,607	0	1,607
	State Total	28	1,227	1,092	2,347	361	162	474	316	1,313	9,617	629	10,246	2,599	179	2,778

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY – DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
1st	Alexander	10 37	9 2 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 3 2	22 42 6
	Johnson Massac Pope	4 10 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	1 2	11 2 11
	Pulaski Saline Union	11 18 4	0 0 2	0 10 0	0 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 4 0	32 9
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	11 105	0 13	7 17	42 45	2 2	3 18	65 200
2nd	Crawford Edwards	10 4	0 0	5	0 0	0	0 4	15 8 37
	Franklin Gallatin Hamilton	21 4 2	0 0 0	1 0 2	11 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 0 2	4 6
	Hardin	0 44	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 19	0 63 5
	Lawrence Richland Wabash	0 5 2	2	0	0 1 0	0 1	0	83
2nd	Wayne White Circuit Total	7 14 113	0 0 2	11 2 26	0 0 12	0 0 1	5 6 40	23 22 194
3rd	Bond Madison	4 115	1	0 142	2	0	3 60	10 318
3rd	Circuit Total	119	1	142	3	0	63	328
4th	Christian	60 8	2	12 5	0	0	5 5	79 18
	Clinton Effingham	12 28	0 0	8 6	0	0	21 5	41 39 46
	Fayette Jasper Marion	21 7 82	18 4 0	0 0 17	0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3	15
	Montgomery	12 16	0	1 0	0	0	1 0	14 16 382
4th	Circuit Total	246	24	49	1	0	62	
5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland	9 35	0	12 22	0	0	9	30 57 38
5th	Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	13 95 152	0 0	19 17 70	1 0 1	0 0 0	5 18 32	130 255
1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1986

			,,.	CASELOAD SOLL	LI DECEMBEI	,		
Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
Cul.		97	0	45	F1	11		
6th	Champaign		0		51	11	35	239
	DeWitt	19	0	16	3	0	6	44
	Douglas	3	4	3	0	2	2	14
	Macon	132	0	39	13	1	42	227
	Moultrie	22	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Piatt	29	8	24	1	0	0	62
6th	Circuit Total	302	12	127	68	14	85	608
7th	Greene	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
	Jersey	15	0	21	2	0	7	
	Macoupin	20	14	58	0	0		45
		20	1	6	34	0	4	96
	Morgan	90	1	36	37	0	0	63
	Sangamon	90	2	36	37	0	10	175
	Scott		_	_	-	_	-	0
7th	Circuit Total	147	17	123	73	0	22	382
8th	Adams	33	2	31	15	3	12	96
	Brown							0
	Cass	4	9	4	0	0	4	21
	Mason	11	0	6	9	0	11	37
	Menard	7	2	3	0	0	2	14
	Pike/Calhoun				_	Ū	2	
	Schuyler	3	3	3	0	0		0
0.4			0	47	C C	-	11	20
8th	Circuit Total	58	16	4/	24	3	40	188
9th	Fulton	14	2	16	3	2	84	121
	Hancock	13	1	20	21	0	2	57
	Henderson	7	0	6	0	0	1	
	Knox	23	0	3	31	0		14
	McDonough	7	0	5	0	12	4	61
	Warren	27	0	10	2	0	3	27
046	Circuit Total	91	3	60	57	-	3	42
9th		91	3	00	57	14	97	322
10th	Marshall/Putnam/							
	Stark	37	33	4	14	0	19	107
	Peoria	300	0	35	7	0	0	342
	Tazewell	22	0	63	14	4	1	
10th	Circuit Total	359	33	102	35	4	20	104 553
		0	1	10		-		
11th	Ford	9	1	12	9	0	10	41
	Livingston	27	2	20	6	2	84	141
	Logan	8	0	11	5	1	3	28
	McLean	103	6	30	16	4	22	181
	Woodford	20	0	11	1	4	19	55
11th	Circuit Total	167	9	84	37	11	138	446
			-				150	440

		1500 AN	NUAL JUVEINILE	CASELOAD SORV		K 51, 150/		-
Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
12th	Will Circuit Total	127 127	31 31	31 31	109 109	298 298	17 17	613 613
13th	Bureau/Grundy/ LaSalle Circuit Total	71 71	0	90 90	20 20	1	30 30	212 212
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	45 7 112 72 236	0 0 6 0 6	44 13 26 35 118	17 0 0 9 26	1 0 0 0 1	5 6 4 26 41	112 26 148 142 428
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	9 5 24 52 76 166	0 0 1 0 1	19 4 55 17 31 126	0 1 0 9 9 19	0 0 10 0 10	42 5 62 2 79 190	70 15 141 91 195 512
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	42 138 7 187	0 3 0 3	52 53 17 122	6 20 0 26	8 0 0 8	13 24 3 40	121 238 27 386
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	26 231 257	0 0 0	8 69 77	3 72 75	0 1 1	3 30 33	40 403 443
18th	DuPage Circuit Total	201 201	20 20	126 126	0 0	0 0	0 0	347 347
19th	Lake	139 48 187	0 1 1	29 48 77	16 68 84	0 0 0	8 3 11	192 168 360
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	6 10 11 127 6 160	0 0 66 0 66	0 0 2 13 5 20	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 4 6 12 4 28	8 14 19 218 15 274
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	13 114 127	7 0 7	0 15 15	0 0 0	0 0 0	20 0 20	40 129 169
Cook Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	5,193 5,193	0 0	0 0	4 4	3,015 3,015	11,985 11,985	20,197 20,197
	Downstate Total	3,578	265	1,649	715	368	1,027	7,602
	Cook Total	5,193	0	0	4	3,015	11,985	20,197
	State Total	8,771	265	1,649	719	3,383	13,012	27,799

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1987

181

-

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander			0			0			0
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Massac	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	4	3	7	1	0	1	1	0	1
	Union	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Williamson	2	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
1st	Circuit Total	7	8	15	1	1	2	2	2	4
		, ,		15			-	-	-	· · · ·
2nd	Crawford	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Franklin/	-	_	_	_		-	-	-	-
	Hamilton	6	2	8	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Gallatin	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	5	19	24	0	2	2	0	7	7
	Lawrence	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Richland	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Wabash	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	2	2
	White	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
2nd	Circuit Total	16	35	51	0	3	3	2	19	21
3rd	Bond	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Madison	152	114	266	35	44	79	20	30	50
3rd	Circuit Total	152	120	272	35	44	79	20	33	53
4th	Christian	15	11	26	2	13	15	3	8	11
	Clay	2	1	3	2	0	2	0	1	1
	Clinton	8	15	23	0	4	4	0	5	5
	Effingham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
	Fayette	4	3	7	1	3	4	0	3	3
	Jasper	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Marion	12	14	26	2	8	10	0	15	15
	Montgomery	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Shelby	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	44	44	88	7	31	38	3	32	35
5th	Clark	5	17	22	4	2	6	6	10	16
	Coles/Cumberland	10	7	17	1	8	9	1	6	7
	Edgar	17	18	35	9	8	17	2	18	15
	Vermilion	26	29	55	0	20	20	0	18	18
5th	Circuit Total	58	71	129	14	38	52	9	47	56
	Succar rotar			127	1 17	50	32		τ/	50

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
6th	Champaign	65	45	110	6	19	25	11	51	62
	DeWitt	8	8	16	3	4	7	2	5	7
	Douglas	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Macon	72	35	107	6	61	67	8	28	36
	Moultrie	0	22	22	0	0	0	0	22	22
	Piatt	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
6th	Circuit Total	147	113	260	15	84	99	21	108	129
7th	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Macoupin	7	15	22	0	5	5	2	4	6
	Morgan	18	9	27	0	12	12	0	8	8
	Sangamon	30	137	167	0	16	16	5	29	34
	Scott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	55	164	219	0	33	33	7	44	51
8th	Adams	12	11	23	2	14	16	1	23	24
	Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cass	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Mason	6	8	14	1	5	6	1	7	8
	Menard	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Pike/Calhoun	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	19	25	44	3	20	23	3	31	34
9th	Fulton	1	9	10	0	1	1	0	6	6
	Hancock	5	9	14	3	2	5	1	11	12
	Henderson	12	2	14	0	1	1	0	2	2
	Knox	0	21	21	0	0	0	1	11	12
	McDonough	0	6	6	0	0	0	2	3	5
	Warren	4	6	10	2	2	4	1	5	6
9th	Circuit Total	22	53	75	5	6	11	5	38	43
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	42	46	88	7	34	41	8	39	47
	Putnam	-	-	0		-	0	· · ·	-	0
	Stark	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0
	Tazewell	4	11	15	0	2	2	1	12	13
10th	Circuit Total	46	57	103	7	36	43	9	51	60

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	itions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	2 35 15 66 8 126	0 13 7 111 9 140	2 48 22 177 17 266	0 6 4 16 2 28	2 9 11 44 4 70	2 15 15 60 6 98	0 2 1 49 1 53	0 6 45 4 61	0 8 7 94 5 114
12th	Will	26	33	59	12	15	27	5	18	23
	Circuit Total	26	33	59	12	15	27	5	18	23
13th	Bureau	15	5	20	0	9	9	0	4	4
	Grundy	11	24	35	1	2	3	1	8	9
	LaSalle	61	44	105	0	47	47	0	18	18
	Circuit Total	87	73	160	1	58	59	1	30	31
14th	Henry	14 0 35 37 86	26 5 46 45 122	40 5 81 82 208	2 0 2 4 8	7 0 31 24 62	9 0 33 28 70	1 0 3 2 6	18 2 34 39 93	19 2 37 41 99
15th	Carroll	12 0 18 15 23 68	10 0 29 16 37 92	22 0 47 31 60 160	0 0 1 6 7	7 0 18 2 22 49	7 0 18 3 28 56	0 0 0 1 1	8 0 21 4 37 70	8 0 21 4 38 71
16th	DeKalb	20	26	46	5	12	17	4	2	25
	Kane	180	128	308	8	106	114	5	97	102
	Kendall	36	0	36	0	36	36	0	0	0
	Circuit Total	236	154	390	13	154	167	9	118	127
17th	Boone	4	9	13	0	4	4	2	7	9
	Winnebago	62	44	106	10	49	59	5	40	43
	Circuit Total	66	53	119	10	53	63	5	47	52
18th	DuPage	89	94	183	8	98	106	4	109	113
18th	Circuit Total	89	94	183	8	98	106	4	109	113

184

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

JANUARY [*]	I,	1986 —	DECEMBER	31,	1986
----------------------	----	--------	----------	-----	------

			Violations Reported		Court Acti	ons Technical Violati	ons	Court Actions New Offense Violations			
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	59 63 122	13 59 72	72 122 194	1 13 14	27 37 64	28 50 78	0 9 9	7 47 54	7 56 63	
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	5 5 2 44 0 56	0 7 2 67 1 77	5 12 4 111 1 133	3 0 1 2 0 6	2 1 2 21 0 26	5 1 3 23 0 32	0 0 16 0 16	0 0 2 23 0 25	0 0 2 39 0 41	
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 21 21	6 33 39	6 54 60	0 1 1	0 20 20	0 21 21	0 2 2	6 31 37	6 33 39	
Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	0 0	888 888	888 888	0 0	0 0	0 0	28 28	885 885	913 913	
	Downstate Total	1,549	1,639	3,188	195	965	1,160	192	1,067	1,259	
	Cook Total	. 0	888	888	0	0	0	28	885	913	
	State Total	1,549	2,527	4,076	195	965	1,160	220	1,952	2,172	

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1986

		1500		LI CASELO	AD SORVET	DECEMBER 31,	500		
Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union	97 219 15 49 15 35 122 44	34 125 7 39 4 18 61 22	22 364 6 13 8 0 40 56	13 32 3 13 1 0 10	33 272 59 38 91 14 78 48	0 2 0 0 0 0 2 0	199 1,014 90 152 119 67 313 176	20 25 3 5 0 8 2 6
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	207 803	103 413	316 825	30 108	150 783	0 4	806 2,936	4 73
2nd	Crawford . Edwards . Franklin . Gallatin . Hardin . Jefferson . Lawrence . Richland . Wabash . Wayne . White . Circuit Total .	37 7 118 27 16 9 135 36 45 27 43 64 564	36 34 52 8 8 10 55 13 26 20 22 33 317	32 10 119 9 11 7 26 6 17 13 20 10 280	10 9 19 0 4 0 11 2 2 4 4 4 2 67	25 27 0 12 23 6 178 10 33 34 25 48 421	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	140 87 308 56 62 32 405 67 123 98 114 157 1,649	7 3 0 3 0 2 9 6 5 0 3 5 43
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	35 477 512	16 131 147	19 84 103	4 23 27	29 465 494	0 0 0	103 1,180 1,283	1 107 108
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	114 60 37 52 49 19 172 62 35 600	76 23 42 44 5 20 100 21 15 346	47 32 76 36 9 33 302 23 8 566	9 8 4 17 2 2 19 5 4 70	355 53 268 55 27 32 395 76 76 76 1,337	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	601 176 427 204 92 106 988 187 138 2,919	13 2 0 13 3 1 27 0 3 62
5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	54 84 44 235 417	7 33 20 140 200	1 34 7 122 164	1 20 3 66 90	44 99 60 382 585	0 1 0 0 1	107 271 134 945 1,457	1 7 3 27 38

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY – DECEMBER 31, 1986

						DECEMBER 31,			-
Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas	327 25 33	118 19 39	46 22 33	20 5 30	196 12 66	0 0 0	707 83 201	19 5 3
	Macon	211	176	19	9	225	0	640	22
	Moultrie	35	15	17	7	4	0	78	0
	Piatt	38	38	28	1	72	0	177	0
6th	Circuit Total	669	405	165	72	575	0	1,886	49
7th	Greene	21	19	49	6	37	0	132	1
	Jersey	49	12	13	7	23	1	105	8
	Macoupin	86	138	233	36	116	0	609	0
	Morgan	60	60	147	7	90	0	364	8
	Sangamon	372	103	121	22	540	0	1,158	39
- 1	Scott		-		-			0	-
7th	Circuit Total	588	332	563	78	806	1	2,368	56
8th	Adams	154	69	63	1	155	0	442	33
	Cass	31	65	35	15	74	0	220	1
	Mason	35	25	7	0	93	0	160	3
	Menard	20	17	18	4	65	0	124	1
	Pike/Calhoun	30	22	16	1	135	0	204	11
	Schuyler/Brown	5	19	20	0	86	0	130	0
8th	Circuit Total	275	217	159	21	608	0	1,280	49
9th	Fulton	115	43	25	6	95	0	284	2
	Hancock	39	8	3	0	39	0	89	0
	Henderson	9	4	0	0	14	0	27	1
	Knox	143	56	10	7	218	0	434	8
	McDonough	92	26	3	0	97	0	218	3
	Warren	41	8	3	0	35	0	87	4
9th	Circuit Total	439	145	44	13	498	0	1,139	18
10th	Marshall/Putnam/				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷			
	Stark	52	113	16	13	185	0	379	9
	Peoria	562	249	551	134	170	0	1,666	68
	Tazewell	214	54	86	88	827	0	1,269	24
10th	Circuit Total	828	416	653	235	1,182	0	3,314	101

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

						- DECEMBER 51, 1900				
Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases	
11th	Ford . Livingston . Logan . McLean . Woodford . Circuit Total .	45 70 43 256 54 468	13 36 81 82 35 247	38 2 83 47 63 233	35 4 13 7 24 83	40 108 121 237 212 718		171 220 341 629 388 1,749	2 4 2 12 5 25	
12th	Will	564 564	·25 25	31 31	7 7	248 248	2 2	877 877	45 45	
13th	Bureau	43	24	6	1	33	0	107	2	
	Grundy	31	17	2	0	34	0	84	1	
	LaSalle	159	102	47	34	103	2	447	8	
	Circuit Total	233	143	55	35	170	2	638	11	
14th	Henry	92	64	19	4	367	0	546	7	
	Mercer	14	18	8	1	23	1	65	2	
	Rock Island	440	112	52	9	202	161	976	30	
	Whiteside	1,171	93	45	16	620	1	1,946	8	
	Circuit Total	1,717	287	124	30	1,212	163	3,533	47	
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	36 15 109 76 132 368	14 45 48 63 161 331	3 38 37 28 17 123	1 11 5 8 6 31	161 101 505 481 712 1,960	0 0 7 1 8	215 210 704 663 1,029 2,821	3 4 1 6 10 24	
16th	DeKalb	96	42	45	4	64	0	251	13	
	Kane	502	101	184	5	506	0	1,298	37	
	Kendall	43	28	33	0	41	0	145	2	
	Circuit Total	641	171	262	9	611	0	1,694	52	
17th	Boone	71	53	16	2	121	0	263	30	
	Winnebago	512	576	907	21	530	0	2,546	114	
	Circuit Total	583	629	923	23	651	0	2,809	144	
18th	DuPage	1,424	417	933	94	543	2	3,413	83	
18th	Circuit Total	1,424	417	933	94	543	2	3,413	83	

Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
19th	Lake	921	278	123	15	358	38	1,733	122
	McHenry	259	174	129	32	219	1	814	33
	Circuit Total	1,180	452	252	47	577	39	2,547	155
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	28 44 50 694 11 827	4 4 21 36 9 74	7 5 13 68 0 93	2 0 4 1 11	81 58 147 326 55 667	1 0 0 3 0 4	123 111 235 1,131 76 1,676	12 2 8 144 1 167
21st	Iroquois	66	36	4	4	111	0	221	7
	Kankakee	196	6	5	0	49	0	256	16
	Circuit Total	262	42	9	4	160	0	477	23
Cook	Adult	15,386	2,624	621	329	2,819	0	21,779	567
	Social Service	151	3,762	4,787	1,228	3,335	0	13,263	0
	Circuit Total	15,537	6,386	5,408	1,557	6,154	0	35,042	567
	Downstate Total	13,962	5,756	6,560	1,155	14,806	226	42,465	1,373
	Cook Total	15,537	6,386	5,408	1,577	6,154	0	35,042	567
	State Total	29,499	12,142	11,968	2,712	20,960	226	77,507	1,940

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY – DECEMBER 31, 1986

ILLINOIS INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISION PROGRAM - 1986

Admissions		Discharged 1	986 373	-	Caseload Distr	ibution, December 31, 1	tion, December 31, 1986		
January	39	Technical Rev.	70	Phase I	186	Champaign		37	
February	37	New Off. Rev.	45	Phase II	172	Cook		94	
March	39	Sent to DOC	101	Phase III	110	Kane		24	
April	32	Completed	249		468	Lake		74	
May	49	Absconded	7		400	Macon		38	
June	43	Other	2			Madison		82	
July	52					McLean		32	
August	40					Peoria		41	
September	36					St. Clair		23	
October	45					Williamson/			
November	38					Jackson/			
December	45					Saline		22	
TOTAL	495						TOTAL	468	

CASELOAD POPULATION PROFILE, DECEMBER 31, 1986

Age		Race			Sex	Felony (Class	Years School		
17-under	23	Race	197	М	407	Class I	42	1-4	4	
18-20	108	Amer. Ind.	0	F	61	Class II	164	5-8	32	
21-30	220	Hisp.	9			Class III	164	9-11	228	
31-40	80	White	262			Class IV	98	12	153	
41-50	23							13-14	37	
51-over	14							15-16	14	

Single or Separated37480%Hist. Alc/Drug Abuse21145%

Hist. Mental Illness 61 13% Unemployed Offender 285 61%

Caseload Cri	minal Histo	ry	Age First	Arrest	Years Criminal Activity			
Prev. Conviction Prev. Incarceration First Offenders	417 150 51	89% 32% 11%	12-under 13-17 18-20 21-30 31-40 41-50 51-over	14 198 132 90 28 5	2-under 3-5 6-10 11-15 16-20 21-over	140 103 123 51 28 23		

Prev. Felony Conviction	Prev. Misd. Conviction	Caseload Restitution Ordered					
Individuals 309	Individuals 267	Total Amount \$141,607.00					

1986 RESTITUTION SURVEY

			County	Amount	of Restitution C	ollected		Restitution Co	llected By		Number of Ordered Restit	to Pay
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
1st	Alexander					0.00				0.00		
	Jackson		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52,022.97	0.00	52,022.97	65	4
	Johnson	X		3,529.77	562.03	4,091.80	0.00	4,091.80	0.00	4,091.80	13	2
	Massac	X		6,286.54	203.00	6,489.54	0.00	6,489.54	0.00	6,489.54	21	5
	Pope	X		1,501.88	0.00	1,501.88	0.00	1,501.88	0.00	1,501.88	6	0
	Pulaski		X	4,744.80	140.00	4,884.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12	2
	Saline		X	31,513.42	1,976.85	32,590.27	0.00	32,590.27	0.00	32,590.27	88	15
	Union		X	3,286.99	2,829.50	6,116.49	6,116.49	1,914.20	116.14	8,146.83	29	6
	Williamson		X	13,665.45	4,231.86	17,897.31	4,127,48	13,769.83	0.00	17,897.31	110	27
1st	Circuit Total			64,528.85	9,043.24	73,572.09	10,243.97	112,380.49	116.14	122,740.60	344	61
2nd	Crawford		X	11,567.20	0.00	11,567.20	0.00	11,567.20	0.00	11,567.20	16	0
	Edwards Franklin/	Х		5,443.50	410.00	5,853.50	0.00	5,853.50	0.00	5,853.50	22	3
	Hamilton	Х		24,092.45	0.00	24,092.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40	0
	Gallatin	Х		1,601.12	1,500.00	3,101.12	599.00	500.00	0.00	1,099.00	5	1
	Hardin	Х		52.00	0.00	52.00	0.00	52.00	0.00	52.00	1	0
	Jefferson		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31,825.89	0.00	31,825.89	0	6
	Lawrence	х		39,748.56	0.00	39,748.56	0.00	39,748,56	0.00	39,748.56	14	0
	Richland		X	15,116,53	0.00	15,116.53	0.00	15,116.53	0.00	15,116.53	18	0
	Wabash	х		8,731.97	32.95	8,764.92	0.00	8,764.92	0.00	8,764.92	33	1
	Wayne		X	19,703.37	307.50	20,010.87	0.00	20,010.87	0.00	20,010.87	24	4
	White	х		6,364.56	2,315.06	8,679.62	0.00	8,679.62	0.00	8,679,62	16	6
2nd	Circuit Total			132,421.26	4,565.51	136,986.77	599.00	142,119.09	0.00	142,718.09	189	21
3rd	Bond			11,750.00	1,000.00	12,750.00	0.00	12,692.95	0.00	12,692.95	27	6
	Madison	Х		59,558.29	8,107.65	67,665.94	0.00	67,665.94	0.00	67,665.94	170	72
3rd	Circuit Total			71,308.29	9,107.65	80,415.94	0.00	80,358.89	0.00	80,358.89	197	78
4th	Christian	Х		77,388.78	3,221.00	80,609.78	449.68	0.00	80,160.10	80,609.78	54	19
	Clay	Х		22,734.69	381.13	23,115.82	0.00	23,115.82	0.00	23,115.82	18	2
	Clinton	Х		30,220.96	0.00	30,220.96	0.00	30,220.96	0.00	30,220.96	61	19
	Effingham	Х		30,699.01	816.36	31,515.37	0.00	31,515.37	0.00	31,515.37	56	3
	Fayette	Х		14,946.56	7,877.00	22,823.56	0.00	22,823.56	0.00	22,823.56	22	8
	Jasper	Х		614.36	316.00	930.36	0.00	930.36	0.00	930.36	10	3
	Marion		X	0.00	0.00	40,573.63	0.00	40,573.63	0.00	40,573.63	0	0
	Montgomery					0.00				0.00		
	Shelby	Х		5,462.11	779.69	6,241.80	0.00	6,241.80	0.00	6,241.80	16	7
4th	Circuit Total			182,066.47	13,391.18	236,031.28	449.68	155,421.50	80,160.10	236,031.28	237	61
5th	Clark Coles/	Х		8,150.59	899.74	9,050.33	0.00	9,050.33	0.00	9,050.33	34	10
	Cumberland .		X	40,538.95	1,493.62	42,032.57	36,088.71	5,826.32	117	42,032.57	169	27
	Edgar		X	0.00	0.00	12,653.40	0.00	12,653.40	0.00	12,653.40	31	9
	Vermilion	х		0.00	0.00	180,000.44	180,000.44	0.00	0.00	180.000.44	392	42
5th	Circuit Total			48.689.54	2,393.36	243,736.74	216,089.15	27,530.05	117.54	243.736.74	626	88

191

1986 RESTITUTION SURVEY

			6	Amount	of Restitution C	Collected		Restitution Co	ollected By		Orderec	Individuals to Pay tution
Circuit	County		Fiscal	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
6th	Champaign DeWitt . Douglas Macon . Moultrie	x x x x x	X	68,155.56 13,743.14 9,018.16 50,317.28 6,718.00 4,395.22	9,564.02 2,697.14 158.60 4,841.47 124.25 837.18	77,719.58 16,440.28 9,176.76 55,158.75 6,842.25 5,232.40	2,610.15 16,440.28 0.00 3,858.63 0.00 0.00	75,109.44 0.00 9,176.76 51,300.12 6,842.25 5,232.40	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	77,719.59 16,440.28 9,176.76 55,158.75 6,842.25 5,232.40	113 23 27 51 10 27	63 16 3 39 1 5
6th	Piatt Circuit Total	×		4,395.22	18,222.66	170,570.02	22,909.06	147,660.97	0.00	170,570.03	251	127
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon — A Sangamon — J Scott	x x x x x	x x	1,283.20 9,846.00 23,913.90 14,086.89 126,865.39 0.00 1,043.96	0.00 1,016.62 1,372.16 1,090.00 5,683.47 14,177.89 0.00	1,283.20 10,862.62 25,286.06 15,176.89 132,548.86 14,177.89 1,043.96	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 8,596.55 0.00	1,283.20 10,862.62 25,286.06 15,176.89 132,548.86 5,581.34 1,043.96	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	1,283.20 10,862.62 25,286.06 15,176.89 132,548.86 14,177.89 1,043.96	25 18 44 82 356 0 1	0 11 24 6 0 48 0
7th	Circuit Total		x	0.00	23,340.14	200,379.48	8,596.55	191,782.93 71,240.68	0.00	200,782.48	526	89 27
8th	Adams Brown Cass Mason Menard Pike/Calhoun Schuyler	x x x	x x x	4,088.20 5,778.06 15,949.76 7,510.54 17,621.68 5,157.27	0.00 645.25 559.68 3,417.95 220.00 0.00	4,088.20 6,423.31 16,509.44 10,928.49 17,621.68 5,157.27	0.00 0.00 0.00 17,841.68 0.00	4,088.20 6,432.31 16,509.44 10,928.49 0.00 5,157.27	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	4,088.20 6,432.31 16,509.44 10,928.49 17,841.68 5,157.27	16 30 46 15 32 16	1 8 10 4 2 0
8th	Circuit Total Fulton	x		56,105.51 56,394.56	4,842.88 3,261.40	60,948.39 59.655.96	17,841.68 3,261.40	114,347.39 0.00	0.00 56,394.56	132,189.07 59,655.96	260	52 23
9th	Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	X X X X X		33,546.69 1,543.60 37,624.27 15,157.60 9,041.17 153,307.89	3,915.55 1,062.60 2,946.01 1,009.78 1,808.86 14,004.20	37,462,24 2,606,20 40,570,28 16,167,38 10,850,03 167,312,09	3,915.55 0.00 39,563.68 1,009.78 0.00 47,750.41	11,031.28 1,088.60 1,006.60 0.00 467.39 13,593.87	22,515.41 1,517.60 0.00 15,157.60 10,382.64 105,967.81	37,462,24 2,606,20 40,570,28 16,167,38 10,850,03 167,312,09	50 13 267 144 54 670	33 8 33 11 17 125
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark		X X	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	6,641.58 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 70,880.91 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	6,641.58 70,880.91	37 0	15 14
10th	Tazewell Circuit Total		x	27,709.75 27,709.75	4,609.56 4,609.56	32,319.31 38,960.89	32,319.31 32,319.31	0.00 70,880.91	0.00 0.00	32,319.31 109,841.80	167 204	21 50
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	X X X X X X		1,580.17 34,617.06 13,545.70 67,121.67 7,853.65 124,718.25	440.58 3,007.34 1,478.22 5,946.93 4,488.30 15,361.37	2,020.75 37,624.40 15,023.92 73,068.60 12,341.95 140,079.62	0.00 33,530.00 15,023.92 73,068.60 12,341.95 133,964.47	2,020.75 3,994.45 0.00 0.00 0.00 6,015.20	0.00 99.95 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.09	2,020.75 37,624.40 15,023.92 73,068.60 12,341.95 140,079.62	22 140 65 156 47 430	6 30 11 32 16 95

192

1986 RESTITUTION SURVEY

			Gent	Amoun	t of Restitution Co	llected		Restitution Col	lected By		Number of Ordered Restitu	to Pay
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	County Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	Х		23,318.24 23,318.24	571.17 571.17	23,889.41 23,889.41	0.00 0.00	23,889.41 23,889.41	0.00 0.00	23,889.41 23,889.41	220 220	15 15
13th 13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	X	x x	37,864.29 21,598.35 96,472.84 155,935.48	1,647.62 1,762.03 7,100.26 10,509.91	39,511.91 23,360.38 103,573.10 166,445.39	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	39,511.91 23,360.38 103,573.10 166,445.39	39,511.91 23,360.38 103,573.10 166,445.39	0 0 0 0	17 16 61 94
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	X X X	х	23,318.31 6,459.70 57,025.47 33,721.86 120,525.34	5,110.29 1,356.69 2,054.46 3,382.72 11,904.16	28,428.60 7,816.39 59,079.93 37,104.58 132,429.50	28,428.60 7,816.39 59,079.93 37,104.58 132,429.50	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 368.15 368.15	28,428.60 7,816.39 59,079.93 37,472.73 132,797.65	80 26 230 80 416	44 19 13 14 90
15th	Carroll	X X X	X X	3,794.20 8,301.24 51,414.44 22,921.56 22,724.22 109,155.66	927.36 1,844.62 5,269.21 1,478.34 4,049.74 13,569.27	4,721.56 10,145.86 56,683.65 24,399.90 26,773.96 122,724.93	4,017.28 10,145.86 55,683.65 24,399.90 26,773.96 121,020.65	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	704.28 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 704.28	4,721.56 10,145.86 55,683.65 24,399.90 26,773.96 121,724.93	23 31 105 62 223 444	9 11 17 16 64 117
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total		X X X	23,111.29 102,392.45 0.00 125,503.74	7,451.01 26,015.95 1,953.41 35,420.37	30,562.30 128,408.40 1,953.41 160,924.11	30,562.30 102,392.45 0.00 132,954.75	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	30,562.30 102,392.45 0.00 132,954.75	123 241 0 364	30 105 7 142
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	X X		22,014.07 77,028.22 99,042.29	1,328.31 9,878.50 11,206.81	23,342.38 86,906.72 110,249.10	705.98 9,878.22 10,584.48	22,636.40 77,028.22 99,664.62	0.00 0.00 0.00	23,342.38 86,906.72 110,249.10	45 196 241	7 84 91
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	Х		326,511.13 326,511.13	17,035.78 17,035.78	343,546.91 343,546.91	343,546.91 343,546.91	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	343,546.91 343,546.91	0	69 69
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	Х	X	214,397.00 17,499.11 231,896.11	50,809.00 14,994.03 65,803.03	265,206.00 32,493.14 297,699.14	0.00 32,493.14 32,493.14	265,206.00 60,483.61 325,689.61	0.00 0.00 0.00	265,206.00 92,976.75 358,182.75	333 362 695	31 154 185
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	X X X X X		10,389.83 4,227.12 9,629.09 58,231.62 2,157.98 84,635.64	532.50 110.00 299.45 1,018.28 791.33 2,751.56	10,922.33 4,337.12 9,928.54 59,249.90 2,949.31 87,387.20	0.00 0.00 30,522.64 0.00 30,522.64	10,922.33 4,337.12 9,928.54 28,727.26 2,949.31 56,864.56	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	10,922.33 4,337.12 9,928.54 59,249.90 2,949.31 87,387.56	26 17 48 145 15 251	6 2 6 6 3 23
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	X X		23,355.80 27,494.13 50,849.93	235.00 7,256.86 7,491.86	23,590.80 34,750.99 58,341.79	23,590.80 0.00 23,590.80	0.00 34,750.99 34,750.99	0.00 0.00 0.00	23,590.80 34,750.99 58,341.79	22 69 91	6 20 26
Cook	Adult Juvenile Social Service Circuit Total	X X	Х	926,381.02 0.00 344,365.94 1,270,764.96	0.00 45,349.02 0.00 45,349.02	926,381.02 45,349.02 344,365.94 1,316,095.98	926,381.02 0.00 344,365.94 1,270,746.96	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	926,381.02 45,349.02 344,365.94 1,316,095.98	3,060 0 1,592 4,652	0 251 0 251
	Downstate Total Cook Total State Total			2,517,616.07 1,270,746.96 3,788,363.03	295,145.67 45,349.02 340,494.69	3,052,630.79 1,316,095.98 4,368,726.77	1,317,906.15 1,270,746.96 2,588,653.11	1,602,950.48 0.00 1,602,950.48	353,979.36 0.00 353,979.36	3,281,477.57 1,316,095.98 4,597,573.55	6,656 4,652 11,308	1,699 251 1,950

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Acti	ons Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	ations
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander			0			0			0
150	Jackson	87	34	121	23	14	37	3	4	7
	Jackson	9	7	16	1	2	3	1	4	5
		6	0	14		2	7	1	2	2
	Massac	-	0		4	5		1	2	5
	Pope	15	6	21	1		2	2	3	5
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	82	69	151	21	22	43	14	24	38
	Union	43	5	48	20	5	25	3	6	9
	Williamson	147	33	180	44	23	67	6	9	15
1st	Circuit Total	389	162	551	114	70	184	30	52	82
2nd	Crawford	4	8	12	3	1	4	0	8	8
	Edwards	7	6	13	0	8	8	1	4	5
	Franklin/	_	_	-	_	-	-		-	-
	Hamilton	11	19	30	5	3	8	5	11	16
	Gallatin	5	8	13	2	1	3	2	3	5
	Hardin	5	1	6	3	0	3	0	1	1
	Jefferson	100	49	149	0	9	9	0	25	25
	Lawrence	4	11	15	2	2	4	1	10	11
	Richland	8	7	15	2	2	4	1	2	3
	Wabash	35	10	45	5	5	10	1	0	1
	Wayne	31	13	44	0	7	7	0	3	3
	White	6	4	10	3	0	3	2	0	2
2	Circuit Total		136	352	25	38	63	13	67	80
2nd		216			23		05			00
3rd	Bond	6	10	16	5	0	5	0	10	10
	Madison	161	158	319	76	72	148	52	76	128
3rd	Circuit Total	167	168	335	81	72	153	52	86	138
4th	Christian	25	24	49	6	16	22	3	18	21
	Clay	74	10	84	63	2	65	4	6	10
	Clinton	45	68	113	1	11	12	1	40	41
	Effingham	17	15	32	14	4	18	8	6	14
	Fayette	10	5	15	1	5	6	0	5	5
	Jasper	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Marion	132	88	220	20	66	86	7	59	66
	Montgomery	132	4	16	20	6	9	0	4	4
		7	2 2	9	2	5	7	0	2	2
446	Shelby	322	220		-	-	225	23		
4th	Circuit Total	322	220	542	110	115	223	23	142	165
5th	Clark	18	12	30	5	8	13	3	5	8
	Coles/Cumberland	66	44	110	13	38	51	7	23	30
	Edgar	15	19	34	4	11	15	1	14	15
	Vermilion	67	20	87	13	47	60	9	19	28
5th	Circuit Total	166	95	261	35	104	139	20	61	81

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	66 3 47 50 0 2 168	70 14 34 104 62 1 285	136 17 81 154 62 3 453	0 0 19 10 0 29	9 3 13 18 0 1 44	9 3 28 0 1 73	0 2 7 15 0 0 24	60 10 29 85 62 1 247	60 12 36 100 62 1 271
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	3 5 172 79 214 2 475	12 11 107 39 163 0 332	15 16 279 118 377 2 807	1 1 50 6 32 1 91	1 4 33 37 45 1 121	2 5 83 43 77 2 212	2 1 30 8 20 0 61	6 10 13 18 30 0 77	8 11 43 26 50 0 138
8th	Adams Brown Cass Mason Menard Pike/Calhoun Schuyler Circuit Total	66 2 44 36 17 0 5 170	61 1 30 30 17 11 0 150	127 3 74 66 34 11 5 320	14 0 27 4 0 0 1 46	20 2 10 25 17 0 3 77	34 2 37 29 17 0 4 123	13 0 0 8 0 0 0 21	38 0 17 14 7 0 0 76	51 0 17 22 7 0 0 0 97
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	37 17 3 51 28 26 162	9 12 4 22 14 10 71	46 29 7 73 42 36 233	12 11 3 23 21 10 80	9 5 0 16 2 11 43	21 16 3 9 23 21 123	1 1 3 5 3 2 15	6 15 1 21 9 10 62	7 16 4 26 12 12 77
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 12 275 287	0 2 41 43	0 14 0 316 330	0 1 35 36	0 11 181 192	0 12 0 0 216 228	0 0 12 12	0 2 8 20	0 2 0 0 30 32

195

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 - DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viol	ations
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
11th	Ford	34	3	37	0	34	34	0	3	3
	Livingston	111	25	136	40	25	65	12	14	26
	Logan	134	23	157	86	46	132	12	12	24
	McLean	110	95	205	36	20	56	6	43	49
	Woodford	30	23	53	8	22	30	2	21	23
	Circuit Total	419	169	588	170	147	317	32	93	125
12th	Will	49	44	93	15	10	25	5	10	15
12th	Circuit Total	49	44	93	15	10	25	5	10	15
13th	Bureau	10	2	12	5	1	6	0	3	3
	Grundy	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	2
	LaSalle	22	17	39	1	2	3	0	11	11
	Circuit Total	32	20	52	6	4	10	0	16	16
14th	Henry .	44	49	93	8	22	30	5	23	28
	Mercer .	7	5	12	2	4	6	0	5	5
	Rock Island .	156	73	229	76	49	125	14	56	70
	Whiteside	80	84	164	15	27	42	10	36	46
	Circuit Total	287	211	498	101	102	203	29	120	149
15th	Carroll	33	22	55	3	11	14	2	15	17
	Jo Daviess	50	28	78	13	11	24	2	14	16
	Lee	159	29	188	47	38	85	1	12	13
	Ogle	137	90	227	7	19	26	3	7	10
	Stephenson	291	12	303	148	79	227	5	16	21
	Circuit Total	670	181	851	218	158	376	13	64	77
16th	DeKalb	33	53	86	21	3	24	29	13	42
	Kane	246	269	515	32	55	87	34	37	71
	Kendall	9	3	12	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Circuit Total	288	325	613	59	59	112	63	50	113
17th	Boone	50	11	61	15	22	37	6	3	9
	Winnebago	166	205	371	12	43	55	6	21	27
	Circuit Total	216	216	432	27	65	92	12	24	36
18th	DuPage	905	899	1,804	0	0	0	0	0	0
18th	Circuit Total	905	899	1,804	0	0	0	0	0	0

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	ations
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	365 73 438	555 91 646	920 164 1,084	81 22 103	62 16 78	143 38 181	83 15 98	121 52 173	204 67 271
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	19 10 47 140 8 224	4 11 14 149 6 184	23 21 61 289 14 408	6 1 11 15 1 34	6 4 20 51 0 81	12 5 31 66 1 115	4 0 3 52 0 59	2 7 6 28 2 45	6 7 9 80 2 104
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	17 20 37	1 4 5	18 24 42	3 2 5	14 18 32	17 20 37	0 0 0	1 4 5	1 4 5
Cook	Adult Circuit Total	5,875 5,875	2,433 2,433	8,308 8,308	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Downstate Total	6,087	4,562	10,649	1,379	1,612	2,991	582	1,490	2,072
	Cook Total	0	888	888	0	0	0	28	885	913
	State Total	6,087	5,450	11,537	1,379	1,612	2,991	610	2,375	2,985

ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS

JANUARY 1, 1986 – DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Adult Adult Other Felons Misd DUI Traffic							P.S.E. He	ours Ordere	ed				P.S.E. Ho	urs Comple	ted	
Circuit	County			DUI		Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
1st	Alexander Jackson	0 26	0 58	0 67	0 35	0 8	0 194	0 2,423	0 2,640	0 5,507	0 4,159	0 425	0 15,154	0 1,614	0 1,735	0 2,955	0 1,659	0 220	0 8,183
	Johnson Massac	5	0	1	3	0	9	408 640	0 1,286	75 845 0	230 280 0	0 420	713	276 200 0	5 850 0	0 340 0	130 60 0	0 392	411 1,842
	Pope Pulaski Saline	0 1 85	0 0 48	0 0 38	0 1 60	1	1 3 235	0 150 10,480	0 0 3,780	0 3,210	100 4,210	15 100 380	15 350 22,060	150 9,978	0 3,595	0 3.135	100 4,160	0 100 372	0 350 21,240
	Villiamson	0	40 1 16	8 63	1 34	0 2	10 136	0 2,653	20 645	640 6,069	40	0	700 11.348	0 2,610	20 645	600 6.010	40 1,814	0 84	660 663
1st	Circuit Total	143	134	181	138	22	618	16,754	8,371	16,373	10,833	1,480	53,811	14,828	6,850	13,040	7,963	1,168	43,849
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin/	6 3	8 4	1 5	2 5	2 0	19 17	1,056 149	726 168	100 719	330 1,141	60 0	2,272 2,177	860 211	626 210	100 626	170 1,221	60 300	1,816 2,568
	Hamilton Gallatin	0	0	9 1	4 1	0 1	13 3	0 0	0 0	1,110 400	550 200	0 250	1,660 850	0	48 0	959 220	211 200	0 148	1,218 568
	Hardin Jefferson	02	0	0 2	0	0 1	0 5	0 120	0 0	0 200	0 0	0 40	0 360	0 8	0 0	0 87	0 0	0 8	0 103
	Lawrence Richland	3 1	2 2	2	0	0 0	7 5	311 120	142 104	320 240	0 240	0 0	773 704	311 120	142 24	80 80	0 240	0 0	533 464
	Wabash Wayne	7 5	9 9	5 5	0 4	0 9	21 32	783 655	553 614	215 689	0 705	0 770	1,551 3,433	359 503	212 516	156 619	0 589	0 1,026	727 3,253
2nd	White Circuit Total	0 27	0 34	0 31	0 17	0 13	0 122	0 3,194	0 2,307	0 3,993	0 3,166	0 1,120	0 13,780	0 2,372	0 1,778	0 2,927	0 2,631	0 1,542	0 11,250
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	7 127 134	0 94 94	3 57 60	0 73 73	2 61 63	12 412 424	632 16,425 17,057	0 4,224 4,224	180 6,730 6,910	0 6,282 6,282	60 2,958 3,018	872 36,619 37,491	190 11,314 11,504	0 3,904 3,904	160 4,136 4,296	0 3,734 3,734	0 1,610 1,610	350 24,690 25,040
4th	Christian	2	17 10	12 7	10 10	11 5	52 37	240	1,128	1,242 1,620	1,408 1,916	334 660	4,352 6,236	240 872	583 590	1,250	1,032 736	312 149	3,410 3,320
	Clinton Effingham	5	28	20	8	4	0 65 28	1,350	1,887 140	3,152 240	1,002 240	144 533	0 7,535 2,253	510 370	473 0	2,444 240	1,480 0	0 373	4,900
	Fayette Jasper Marion	5 2 11	3 11 29	11 51	3 28	18 10 28	28 37 147	1,100 240 3,244	495 2,672	1,055 10,744	520 3.432	533 188 2.944	2,255 2,498 23,036	705 1.435	320 1,796	508 9,421	57 1.096	205 1,092	980 1,790 14,840
	Montgomery Shelby	19 7	11 2	5	10 6	1 6	46 24	5,850 1,315	1,065 160	452 180	2,140 360	56 140	9,518 2,155	2,294 375	285 160	394 180	738 140	56 140	3,760 990
4th	Circuit Total	56	111	110	76	83	436	14,379	8,502 0	18,685 0	11,018	4,999	57,583	6,801	4,207	15,413	5,279	2,327	34,020
5th	Clark Coles/	5	0	0	0	4		280			0	600 1,975	880	120	0	, in the second s	0	433	553
	Cumberland Edgar	65 2 27	45 1 109	18 1 76	37 5 125	36 4 18	201 13 355	3,494 80 2,638	2,409 80 5,885	1,000 120 7,931	1,975 57 6,159	1,975 630 1,186	10,853 967 23,799	3,360 69 2,538	2,280 8 5.037	2,122 0 6,848	1,124 0 5,111	1,040 102 1,138	9,926 179 20,672
5th	Circuit Total	99	109	95	125	62	578	6,492	8,374	9,051	8,191	4,391	36,499	6,087	7,325	6,970	6,235	2,713	31,330

ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS

JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Pro	bationers Orc	lered to P.S	-					ours Ordere			, la alte				ed	
Circuit	County	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
6th	Champaign . DeWitt	152 1	182	161 0	105 0	143 1	743 3	12,945 500	11,696 40	20,330 0	10,835 0	6,776 40	62,582 580	7,193 250	7,338 40	15,516 0	6,730 0	5,371 40	42,148 330
	Douglas	10	11	12	17	3	53	1,990	486	565	1,680	190	4,911	325	789	592	498	0	2,204
	Macon	1	1	4	1	136	143	120	200	290	240	9,221	10,071	0	0	160	0	3,296 295	3,456 487
	Moultrie Piatt	2	2	0	0	14 8	18 33	120 760	80 378	0 335	0 20	320 463	520 1,956	114 250	78 94	0 120	0	108	572
6th	Circuit Total	175	206	183	124	305	993	16,435	12,880	21,520	12,775	17,010	80,620	8,132	8,339	16,388	7,228	9,110	49,197
7th	Greene	4	7	7	30	0	48	920	992	576	784	0	3,272	160	992	576	784	0	2,512
7 ur	Jersey	7	9	6	22	6	50	1,704	500	832	1,242	156	4,434	676	460	832	932	156	3,058
	Macoupin	2	7	14	3	8	34	140	230	1,200	331	696	2,597	40	304	1,263	139	366	2,112
	Morgan	13	28	20	14	9	84	1,750	2,984	3,085	1,514	539	9,872	1,519	1,289	2,716	592	456	6,572
	Sangamon-A	42	35	73	22	0	172	6,970	3,720	6,796	3,065	0	20,551 666	1,805	1,837	3,265	1,679	0 376	8,588 376
	Sangamon-J . Scott	0	0	0	0	18 0	18 0	0	0	0	0	666 0	000	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	68	86	120	91	41	406	11,484	8,426	12,489	6,936	2,057	41,392	4,200	4,882	8,652	4,126	1,354	23,210
8th	Adams	33	71	6	3	3	116	1,710	3,035	180	85	110	5,120	1,762	2,697	225	45	60	4,789
	Brown	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	192	0	0	0	192	500	0	0	0	0	500
	Cass	6	4	0	1	7	18	500	260	0	240	190	1,190	280	120	0	40	190	630
	Mason	10	8	0	3	15 9	36	1,156 115	450 170	0 90	590 585	750 375	2,946 1,335	560 35	341 0	0	460 290	678 40	2,039 365
	Menard Pike/Calhoun	2	2 4	2	1	9	22 7	960	350	90	585 40	16	1,355	40	322	0	40	0	402
	Schuyler	0	32	0	2	13	47	0	830	0	100	360	1,290	73	591	0	100	545	1,309
8th	Circuit Total	52	122	8	17	48	247	4,441	5,287	270	1,640	1,801	13,439	3,250	4,071	225	975	1,513	10,030
9th	Fulton	28	66	22	4	4	124	5,636	6,062	2,872	192	150	14,912	4,896	2,988	2,336	264	104	10,580
	Hancock	5	3	0	0	29	37	820	1,040	0	0	983	2,843	20	50	0	0	583	650
	Henderson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 6,630
	Knox McDonough	5	125 4	8 0	30 0	0 2	168 9	284 156	5,055 155	606 0	2,508 0	0 75	8,533 386	354 190	4,510	725 10	1,046	30	530
	Warren	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	70	70	0	0	0	0	20	20
9th	Circuit Total	41	198	30	34	37	340	6,896	12,312	3,558	2,700	1,270	26,744	5,460	7,853	3,071	1,310	737	18,430
10th	Marshall	2	6	5	9	16	38	290	450	500	467	830	2,537	290	310	460	417	560	2,037
	Peoria-A	968	458	15	134	0	1,575	0	0	0	0	0	0	51,169	32,412	700	24,140	0	108,420
	Peoria-J	0	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	0	1,460	1,460	0	0	0	0	1,129	1,129
	Putnam Stark						0						0						0
	Tazewell	93	103	107	97	57	457	19,163	4,269	13,561	10,141	2,725	49,859	8,696	3,324	4,654	4,747	1,266	22,590
10th	Circuit Total	1,063	567	127	240	105	2,102	19,453	4,719	14,061	10,608	5,015	53,856	60,155	35,956	5,814	29,304	2,955	134,180
11th	Ford	9	4	9	8	6	36	820	558	670	878	360	3,286	720	0	260	348	270	1,590
	Livingston	7	34	2	25	22	90	1,344	1,070	140	1,351	341	4,246	903	636	64	797	441	2,840
	Logan	2	16	14	12	14	58	330	1,110	1,619	610	360	4,029	50	610	1,202	320	100	2,280
	McLean	10	2	9	7	30	58	1,421	45	1,000	1,282	1,095	4,843	783 310	81 110	978 555	516 726	885 180	3,240 1,880
11th	Woodford Circuit Total	4 32	2 58	9 43	11 63	6 78	32 274	560 4,475	190 2,973	920 4,349	1,018 5,139	212 2,368	2,900 19,304	2,766	1,437	3,059	2,707	1,876	11,840
inun	Circuit rotal	52	50	45	05	/0	2/4	С 17, 7	2,573	т, 575	5,155	2,500	15,504	2,700	1,437	5,055	2,101	1,0.0	,_ ,0

ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS

JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

			Pro	bationers Ord	lered to P.S			,		P.S.E. Ho	ours Order					P.S.E. Ho	urs Comple	eted	
Circuit	County	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	10 10	4 4	4 4	2 2	151 151	171 171	6,700 6,700	320 320	1,252 1,252	720 720	3,881 3,881	12,873 12,873	843 843	120 120	296 296	136 136	788 788	2,180 2,180
13th 13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 1 1	1 0 3 4	0 1 3 4	2 0 12 14	27 5 21 53	30 6 40 76	0 0 360 360	24 0 152 176	0 32 510 542	430 0 2,160 2,590	573 75 198 846	1,027 107 3,380 4,514	40 20 0 60	24 0 70 94	150 32 0 182	165 0 780 945	379 25 108 512	750 70 950 1,790
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 37 13 50	9 20 255 68 352	1 4 109 29 143	0 18 237 77 332	44 9 367 78 498	54 51 1,005 265 1,375	0 0 3,714 1,385 5,009	572 925 11,497 3,275 16,269	100 500 11,446 3,859 15,905	0 485 16,024 4,638 21,147	2,098 300 9,647 3,576 15,621	2,770 2,210 52,328 16,733 74,041	0 0 5,649 1,430 7,079	362 699 11,375 2,131 14,567	7 858 9,516 2,589 12,970	0 647 13,915 4,025 18,587	1,386 617 9,411 3,097 14,511	1,750 2,820 49,860 13,270 67,710
15th	Carroll JoDaviess Lee Ogle Stephenson . Circuit Total	0 2 8 21 34 66	6 6 78 133 80 303	2 2 43 110 80 237	1 7 81 41 131	2 8 107 42 45 204	11 19 243 388 280 941	0 100 310 1,024 2,697 4,131	146 385 2,107 3,608 3,863 10,189	40 130 4,137 3,642 3,893 11,842	40 20 694 1,512 1,056 3,322	70 198 2,458 892 1,732 5,350	296 833 9,706 10,758 13,241 34,834	0 100 1,140 977 1,734 3,951	127 165 1,624 2,524 2,026 6,466	20 50 3,198 2,843 2,366 8,477	40 20 1,084 796 756 2,696	70 128 2,061 767 538 3,564	250 460 9,100 7,900 7,420 25,150
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	61 0 61	127 0 127	66 0 66	157 0 157	129 6 135	0 540 6 546	8,054 0 8,054	3,474 0 3,474	7,742 0 7,742	21,759 0 21,759	2,417 96 2,513	0 43,446 96 43,542	3,654 0 3,654	3,068 0 3,068	5,346 0 5,346	11,432 0 11,432	2,778 64 2,842	26,270 64 26,340
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	5 95 100	37 178 215	18 212 230	13 80 93	14 141 155	87 706 793	430 9,070 9,500	1,944 11,135 13,079	1,560 16,019 17,579	876 9,246 10,122	476 10,991 11,467	5,286 56,461 61,747	849 8,636 9,485	759 8,154 8,913	822 13,288 14,110	186 5,181 5,367	460 10,713 11,173	3,070 45,970 49,040
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	166 166	65 65	351 351	188 188	6 6	776 776	21,373 21,373	5,504 5,504	32,997 32,997	36,062 36,062	240 240	96,176 96,176	8,183 8,183	2,737 2,737	30,547 30,547	17,319 17,319	0 0	58,780 58,780
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	508 9 517	1,125 47 1,172	758 40 798	709 64 773	57 156 213	3,157 316 3,473	60,394 1,218 61,612	38,299 2,266 40,565	70,104 3,092 73,196	64,022 7,773 71,795	3,492 3,204 6,696	236,311 17,553 253,864	36,284 976 37,260	19,173 2,164 21,337	55,548 3,482 59,030	46,205 4,179 50,384	1,645 3,174 4,819	158,850 13,970 172,830
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	3 1 6 19 2 31	1 0 5 1 1 8	3 2 1 21 0 27	2 2 0 4 1 9	0 0 6 0 3 9	9 5 18 45 7 84	310 100 560 2,815 420 4,205	20 0 440 24 60 544	220 340 40 2,712 0 3,312	265 340 0 960 40 1,605	0 0 280 0 720 1,000	815 780 1,320 6,511 1,240 10,666	310 0 560 818 67 1,755	20 0 240 24 660 944	12 100 40 864 0 1,016	240 80 0 420 240 980	0 0 160 0 0 160	580 180 1,000 2,120 960 4,850
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	17 9 26	4 61 65	2 50 52	2 121 123	8 0 8	33 241 274	1,028 668 1,696	120 1,800 1,920	80 4,799 4,879	80 3,951 4,031	155 0 155	1,463 11,218 12,681	700 260 960	120 1,116 1,236	80 3,425 3,505	80 2,211 2,291	85 0 85	1,080 7,010 8,070
Cook	Adult Juvenile Social Service Circuit Total	324 0 16 340	0 0 541 541	0 0 2,283 2,283	0 0 698 698	0 89 0 89	324 89 3,538 3,951	81,000 0 2,798 83,798	0 0 39,977 39,977	0 0 467,593 467,593	0 0 106,091 106,091	0 0 0 0	81,000 0 616,459 697,459	0 0 0 0	0 0 12,750 12,750	0 0 94,183 94,183	0 0 23,788 23,788	0 450 0 450	0 450 130,720 131,170
	Downstate Cook State Total .	2,918 340 3,258	4,080 541 4,621	2,900 2,283 5,183	2,862 698 3,560	2,289 89 2,378	15,049 3,951 19,000	243,790 83,798 327,500	170,415 39,977 210,392	280,505 467,593 748,098	252,441 106,091 358,532	92,306 0 92,306	1,039,457 697,459 1,736,916	198,785 0 198,785	146,084 12,750 158,834	217,334 94,183 311,517	181,629 23,788 205,417	65,359 450 65,809	809,100 131,170 940,300

CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY



NOTE: This table as well as all the tables that follow on the Circuit Court of Cook County do not include post-trial proceedings.

STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR 1986

TREND OF CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

	COUNTY DEPARTMEN	T	Pending				Total	Disposed		Pending	Inventory Increase (+)
Division	Type of Ca	se	At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Transferred	Added	Of	Adjustment	At End	Decrease (-)
	Ad Damnum	Jury	59,221	5,095	2,904	+ 15,190	23,189	21,190	-	61,220	+1,999
	Over \$15,000	Non-Jury	15,288	23,447	3,120	- 15,190	11,377	10,771	_	15,894	+ 606
L	Tax		631	328	76	0	404	435	· · · · ·	600	- 31
A W	Condemnation	409	145	60	0	205	134		480	+ 71	
vv	Miscellaneous Remedy	2,217	1,755	389	0	2,144	2,132	_	2,229	+12	
	Sub-Total		77,766	30,770	6,549	0	37,319	34,662	_	80,423	+ 2,657
Chancery	Chancery		14,878	12,206	989	0	13,195	14,942		13,131	-1,747
Densetie	Domestic Relations		11,670	24,594	1,767	0	26,361	24,649	_	13,382	+1,712
Domestic Relations	Reciprocal Non-Support, etc.		11,467	3,051	23,485	0	26,536	22,083	-1,787	14,133	+ 2,666
Relations	Sub-Total		23,137	27,645	25,252	0	52,897	46,732	-1,787	27,515	+ 4,378
С	Тах		43,403	6,684	0	0	6,684	11,077	+ 831	39,841	- 3,562
0	Mental Health		270	5,867	0	0	5,867	5,938	—	199	- 71
UN	Adoptions, Marriages of Minors, & Orders for Protection		955	2,030	0	0	2,030	1,942	_	1,043	+ 88
T	Municipal Corporations and Election	Aatters	291	26	0	0	26	13	-	304	+13
Y	Sub-Total		44,919	14,607	0	0	14,607	18,970	+ 831	41,387	- 3,532
Probate	Estates, Guardianships, & Disabled Ma	itters	22,163	11,329	0	0	11,329	10,523	- 20	22,949	+ 786
	Delinquency		6,943	13,577	14	. 0	13,591	11,899	—	8,635	+1,692
Juvenile	Dependency/Neglected	3,218	4,236	0	0	4,236 -	4,759	_	2,695	- 523	
Juvenne	Minors in Need of Authoritative Interv	38	95	0	0	95	127	_	6	- 32	
	Sub-Total		10,199	17,908	14	0	17,922	16,785	_	11,336	+1,137
Criminal	Felony (Indictment & Information)		8,893	16,876	3,517	0	20,393	16,526	- 3,735	9,025	+132
County Dep			201,955	131,341	36,321	0	167,662	159,140	- 4,711f	205,766	+ 3,811
	MUNICIPAL DEPARTME	NT									
D	Law Ad Damnum	Jury	14,694	988	578	+ 6,999	8,565	5,847	- 6,869*	10,543	- 4,151
1	\$15,000 or Less	Non-Jury	81,441	102,214	4,909	-6,726	100,397	80,434	-7,044*	94,360	+ 12,919
S	Small Claims		30,774	58,343	2,604	- 273	60,674	49,247	- 5,063*	37,138	+ 6,364
R	Tax		41,695	59	24	0	83	266	- 41,468*	44	- 41,651
I	Felony (Indictment & Information)		1,817	4,568	1,297	0	5,865	5,838	+ 116	1,960	+143
С	Felony (Preliminary Hearings)		21,123	40,821	1,062	0	41,883	40,883	- 1,500	21,118	- 5
T	Housing		17,363	8,703	402	0	9,105	8,711	- 3,565*	14,192	- 3,171
S - ONE -	Paternity	Paternity			15,161	0	33,282	34,539	+ 2,121	16,208	+ 864
THRU	Misdemeanors, Ordinance Violations &	163,947	330,397	0	0	330,397	335,616	+ 7,546	166,274	+ 2,327	
SIX	11110			5,113,038	0	0	5,113,038	2,606,893	_		
Municipal [Department Sub-Totals		388,198	5,677,252	26,037	0	5,703,289	3,167,779	- 55,726*	361,837	- 26,361
	d		590,153	5,808,593	62,358	0	5,870,951	3,326,919	- 60,437*	567,603	- 22,550

(*) Cases filed in First District Civil prior to January, 1984 not included in totals as of February, 1986, due to installation of a computer-generated system providing monthly statistics, plus addjustment due to physical inventory.

(a) Includes 208 cases transferred into Division and 126 cases which were removed from Special Calendar.

(b) Includes 181 cases transferred out of Division and 419 cases placed on Special Calendar.

(c) Includes 136 cases transferred into Division and 19 cases which were removed from Special Calendar.

(d) Includes 136 cases transferred out of Division and 231 cases placed on Special Calendar.

(e) Includes 1,211 indictments filed which were transferred to Districts Two thru Six.

(f) Adjustment figures are a result of computer adjustments or a result of a physical inventory. at end of 1986.

202

TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

			COURT	0. 00.				·		
		Pending At		Rein-	Trans-	Total	Disposed		Pending At	Inventory Increase (-
		Start	Filed	stated	ferred	Added	of	Adjustment	End	Decrease (
LAW	DIST. 1	13,295	816	351	+ 5,634	6,801	4,281	-6,802a	9,013	- 4,282
JURY	DIST. 2	248	35	182	+ 153	370	177		441	+ 193
CASES	DIST. 3	282	49	7	+ 390	446	413	_	315	+ 33
\$15,000	DIST. 4	342	14	9	+ 246	269	284	- 81 ^b	246	- 96
OR LESS	DIST. 5	186	30	22	+ 203	255	262	+14 ^b	193	+ 7
OK LESS	DIST. 6	341	44	7	+ 373	424	430		335	- 6
LAW	DIST. 1	79,177	97,356	4,493	- 5,615	96,234	76,334	- 7,070ª	92,007	+ 12,830
NON-JURY	DIST. 2	853	920	47	- 89	878	1,049	-	682	- 171
CASES	DIST. 3	378	1,109	161	- 318	952	929	_	401	+ 23
\$15,000	DIST. 4	390	728	27	- 211	544	515	- 5	414	+ 24
	DIST. 5	227	667	30	- 170	527	506	—	248	+ 21
OR LESS	DIST. 6	416	1,434	151	- 323	1,262	1,101	+ 31 ^b	608	+ 192
	DIST. 1	26,921	36,992	635	- 15	37,612	29,607	- 7,390 ^a	27,536	+ 615
	DIST. 1 PRO SE	791	5,275	318	- 4	5,589	3,861	+ 2,173ª	4,692	+ 3,901
	DIST. 2	506	2,006	1,282	-64	3,224	2,462	_	1,268	+ 762
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST. 3	350	2,331	127	-72	2,386	2,344	-	392	+ 42
	DIST. 4	415	2,386	60	- 35	2,411	2,269	+ 68 ^b	625	+ 210
	DIST. 5	325	1,615	55	- 33	1,637	1,566	+ 14 ^b	410	+ 85
	DIST. 6	1,466	7,738	127	- 50	7,815	7,138	+ 72 ^b	2,215	+ 749
	DIST. 1	41,636	59	24	0	83	226	- 41,468ª	25	- 41,611
	DIST. 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	DIST. 3	59	0	0	0	0	40	_	19	- 40
TAX	DIST. 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	DIST. 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	DIST. 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
	DIST. 1	0	1,514	0	0	1,514	1,514	-	0	· _
	DIST. 2	337	566	408	0	974	894	- 94 ^b	323	- 14
FELONY	DIST. 3	222	591	56	0	647	612	+ 29 ^b	286	+ 64
(INDICTMENT &	DIST. 4	460	519	478	0	997	1,081	+ 138 ^b	514	+ 54
INFORMATION	DIST. 5	144	420	100	0	520	627	+ 83 ^b	120	- 24
	DIST. 6	654	958	255	0	1,213	1,110	- 40 ^b	717	+ 63
	DIST. 1	13,295	33,603	0	0	33,603	31,897	-1,508 ^b	13,493	+ 198
	DIST. 2	2,992	1,547	0	0	1,547	2,309	_	2,230	- 762
FELONY	DIST. 3	2,487	1,764	0	0	1,764	1,380	+ 8 ^b	2,879	+ 392
(PRELIMINARY	DIST. 4	494	2,065	703	0	2,768	2,620	_	642	+148
HEARINGS	DIST. 5	190	906	359	0	1,265	1,230	_	225	+ 35
	DIST. 6	1,665	936	0	0	936	952	_	1,649	- 16
	DIST. 1	17,285	8,635	401	0	9,036	8,571	- 3,575 ^b	14,175	- 3,110
	DIST. 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	_	*	*
	DIST. 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	_	*	
HOUSING*	DIST. 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	_	*	*
	DIST. 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	_	*	*
	DIST. 6	78	68	1	0	69	140	+10	17	- 61
	DIST. 1	14,925	16,080	14,537	0	30,617	32,348	+ 2,088 ^b	15,282	+ 357
	DIST. 2	26	213	65	0	278	183	+ 6 ^b	127	+ 101
	DIST. 3	42	160	12	0	172	131	+ 0 + 2 ^b	85	+ 43
PATERNITY**	DIST. 3	229	494	112	0	613	471	+2	371	+ 43
	DIST. 4	**	**	**	**	C10 **	**		3/1	+ 142
	0151.5							_		

		INCOM	COOKI				AII40 130	0		
		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein- stated	Trans- ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Adjustment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+ Decrease (-
MISDEMEANORS,	DIST. 1	130,908	278,458	0	0	278,458	273,643	+ 7,546 ^b	143,269	+12,361
ORDINANCE	DIST. 2	4,762	6,689	0	0	6,689	8,101	_	3,350	-1,412
VIOLATIONS, &	DIST. 3	6,704	15,027	0	0	10,527	12,439	-	4,792	-1,912
CONSERVATION	DIST. 4	6,141	9,152	0	0	9,152	12,264	-	3,029	- 3,112
VIOLATIONS	DIST. 5	6,092	10,685	0	0	10,685	13,036	_	3,741	- 2,351
VIOLATIONS	DIST. 6	9,340	14,886	0	0	14,886	16,133	—	8,093	-1,247
	DIST. 1		723,610	0	0	723,610	714,985			
	DIST. 1 HANG-ON		3,624,740	. 0	0	3,623,740	960,215	_		
70.05510	DIST. 2		148,258	0	0	148,258	184,200	_		
TRAFFIC	DIST. 3		192,923	0	0	192,923	233,232	_		
	DIST. 4		120,591	0	0	120,591	155,418	_		
	DIST. 5		155,391	0	0	155,391	197,273	_		
	DIST. 6		147,525	0	0	147,525	161,570	_		
	DIST. 1	338,233	4,827,138	20,759	0	4,847,897	2,137,482	- 56,006	319,492	- 18,741
	DIST. 2	9,724	160,234	1,984	0	162,218	199,375	- 88	8,421	- 1,303
DISTRICT TOTALS ^b	DIST. 3	10,524	209,454	363	0	209,817	251,520	+ 39	9,169	-1,355
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST. 4	8,471	135,949	1,396	0	137,345	174,922	+ 120	5,841	- 2,630
	DIST. 5	7,164	169,714	566	0	170,280	214,500	+ 111	4,937	- 2,227
	DIST. 6	14,082	174,763	969	0	175,732	189,980	+ 98	13,977	- 105
GRAND TOTALS		388,198	5,677,252	26,037	0	5,703,289	3,167,779	- 55,726	361,837	- 26,361

TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986**

*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two thru Five. **All paternity matters in District Five are filed and disposed of in District Four. (a) Cases filed prior to January, 1984, not included in totals as of February, 1986, due to installation of a computer-generated system producing monthly statistics. (b) Adjustment figures are a result of physical inventories which reflect end pending total.

LAW

IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES DURING 1986

AGE OF PENDING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1985

			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Totals
		Number Pending	9,533	2,725	6,206	10,657	17,177	14,922	61,220
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	15.6%	4.5%	10.1%	17.4%	28.0%	24.4%	100%
OVER \$15,000	New Issue	Number Pending	454	438	624	1,183	3,193	10,002	15,894
4.3,000	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	2.9%	2.8%	3.9%	7.4%	20.1%	62.9%	100%

AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

	Law	Jury Cases Termin	ated by Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts		Elapsed Between D g and Date of Verd	
Calendar	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Standard	552	123	2	61.6
Special	3**	95	30	69.7
Total	555	123	2	61.7

*Reflects time case is handled in Jury Trial Section and does not include time on special calendars. **Indicates cases which at one time were on special calendar.

	Law Jury Cases	s Disposed of by An	y Means Including	Verdict
	Total Number of Cases Disposed		Elapsed Between D and Date of Dispos	
Calendar	of During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Total	20,534	138	1	35.4

CASES DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE JURY TRIAL SECTION DURING THE PERIOD

		Cases* Assigned for Trial or Pre-Trial							
		٨							
		Dismissed			Judgment	Total Cases Returned to	Total		
Law Jury Trial Section		For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement	on Finding	on Verdict	Assignment Judge**	Cases Assigned		
Total	1,555	33	962	363	197	435	1,823		

*Includes law, tax, condemnation, and miscellaneous remedy suits heard and disposed of by Judges in the Jury Trial Section.

**Includes mistrials

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, LAW JURY CASES DURING 1986

AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

			Law Jury Cases Termin	nated by Verdict	
		Number of Verdicts		hs Elapsed Between Dat iling and Date of Verdic	
		Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
District One	_	130*	35	2	20.7*
District Two		2	36.6	27.6	32.1
District Three	-	24	34.3	1.4	13.1
District Four	-	2	35.5	22.4	29.0
District Five	-	11	30.3	6.4	19.8
District Six	_	14	31.1	1.6	14.1
TOTAL		162	36.6	1.4	19.2*

*Does not include 21 cases from month of January-figures were not available.

		Law Jury Cases Disposed of by Any Means Including Verdict								
		Total Number of Cases Disposed	Month Filin							
		of During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average					
District One	-	96,869*	36.0	1.0	7.7*					
District Two	_	301	72.5	0.2	12.7					
District Three	_	400	57.8	0.5	12.0					
District Four		272	65.2	0.9	17.7					
District Five	-	252	34.9	0.6	11.7					
District Six	u—	418	84.5	0.1	11.8					
TOTAL		98,512*	84.5	0.1	7.8					

*Does not include number disposed of in January-figures were not available.

TAX, CONDEMNATION, MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY

IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED DURING 1983-1986

Year	Number of Tax Cases Filed
1983	871
1984	618
1985	296
1986	328

Year	Number of Condemnation Cases Filed
1983	107
1984	158
1985	247
1986	145

Number of Miscellaneous Remedy Cases Filed*
3,318
3,056
1,415
1,755

*Effective January 1, 1981, personal property tax cases no longer filed in the Law Division.

*Includes such actions as matters of administrative review, declaratory judgments, writs of certiorari, mandamus, revivals of judgment over \$15,000, registrations of foreign judgment over \$15,000, forcible entry and detainer actions with damages over \$15,000, worker's compensation cases, etc.

LAW

LAW

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1986

AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 1			1980 & Earlier	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	Total
		Number Pending	-	-	-	1,277	3,519	4,435	9,231*
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	_		— <u> </u>	13.8%	38.1%	48.1	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	_	-	_	20,548	28,299	62,991	111,838*
UK LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	-	. – .	18.4%	25.3%	56.3%	100%

DISTRICT 2			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
		Number Pending	2	6	10	33	123	267	441
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.4%	1.4%	2.3%	7.5%	27.9%	60.5%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	10	10	8	28	157	469	682
OK LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	1.5%	1.5%	1.1%	4.1%	23.0%	68.8%	100%

DISTRICT 3			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
	Lumi	Number Pending	1	2	1	3	80	228	315
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	1.0%	25.4%	72.4%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	New Jum	Number Pending	0	0	2	7	46	346	401
ON LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	_	0.5%	1.7%	11.5%	86.3%	100%

DISTRICT 4			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
	l	Number Pending	1	3	13	42	33	154	246
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.4%	1.2%	5.3%	17.1%	13.4%	62.6%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	New June	Number Pending	0	0	2	17	69	326	414
ON LESS 1	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	_	0.5%	4.1%	16.7%	78.7%	100%

DISTRICT 5			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
	L	Number Pending	0	0	0	0	41	152	193
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	_	_	_	21.2%	78.8%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	Negling	Number Pending	0	0	0	0	14	234	248
ON LLOS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	—	_	_	5.6%	94.4%	100%

LAW

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1986 - continued

AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 6			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
	Lune	Number Pending	0	0	1	20	86	228	335
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	-	_	0.3%	6.0%	25.7%	68.0%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	Nenluni	Number Pending	0	0	1	3	33	571	608
ON LEDS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	_	-	0.2%	0.5%	5.4%	93.9%	100%

DISTRICT TO	TALS		1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
		Number Pending	4a	11a	24a	1,375	3,882	5,464	10,760b**
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.04%	0.10%	0.22%	. 12.78%	36.08%	50.78%	100%
\$15,000 OR LESS	New Issue	Number Pending	10a	10a	12a	20,603	28,618	64,937	114,190b
ON LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.009%	0.009%	0.011%	18.041%	25.06%	56.87%	100%

*Totals are for years 1985 and 1986 only. (a)Includes Districts 2 thru 6 only. (b)Does not include District One for years 1983 & earlier.

IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

NATURE & NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF SUPPORT CASES IN THE SUPPORT SECTION

PART I TOTAL DIVORCE CASES	5 TERMINATED	
24,588		
PART II JUDGMENT	TS	
TOTAL JUDGMENTS		19,052
 Dissolution of Marriage Legal Separation Declaration of Invalidity 	18,985 25 42	
PART III CASES DISMIS	SSED	
TOTAL DISMISSALS		5,536
 Dissolution of Marriage Legal Separation Declaration of Invalidity 	5,536	

COUNTY

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE COUNTY DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

	Туре	of Case	Pending at Start	Filed	Disposed of	Pending at End
	(1) Creatial Account	a. Chicago	744	30	62	712
	(1) Special Assessments	b. Suburban	630	19	74	575
	(2) Tax Deeds		2,363	1,648	456	3,555
	(3) Scavenger Tax Deeds		766	228	144	850
	(4) Inheritance Tax Petitions		0	134	302	663*
	(5) Inheritance Tax Reassessments		229	49	24	254
	(6) Tax Refund Petitions		269	1	2	268
	(7) Tax Objections		37,472	4,334	9,793	32,013
	(8) Tax Condemnation (in conjunct	ion with special assessments)	71	0	0	71
	(9) Other		859	252	231	880
	SUB-TOTA	L	43,403	6,695	11,088	39,841*
(B)	ADOPTIONS		949	2,027	1,940	1,036
		a. Adults	270	5,837	5,910	197
	(1) Commitment Petitions	b. Minors	0	33	31	2
		a. Adults	0	0	0	0
	(2) Restoration Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
		a. Adults	0	0	0	0
	(3) Discharge Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
	SUB-TOTA	L	270	5,870	5,941	199
(D)	MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS & ELE	CTION MATTERS	291	26	13	304
(E)	MARRIAGE OF MINORS		6	3	2	7
	GRAND T	DTAL	44,919	14,621	18,984	41,387*

*Audit done in June adding 831 to pending total.

PROBATE

IN THE PROBATE DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

ANALYSIS OF PROBATE CASES AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS

Year	Cases Filed	Disposed of
1980	9,199	14,153
1981	9,870	25,649
1982	10,048	12,099
1983	10,925	10,023
1984	11,001	10,123
1985	11,751	11,340
1986	11,329	10,523

		Inventories Filed		Wills			
Year	Personal	Real Estate	Total	Filed	Probated	% Probated	
1980	5,533	687	6,220	13,072	4,905	37.5%	
1981	5,282	736	6,018	13,149	4,812	36.7%	
1982	5,526	688	6,214	12,437	4,635	37.3%	
1983	5,031	611	5,642	12,115	4,852	40.1%	
1984	4,136	871	5,007	11,792	4,966	42.1%	
1985	3,609	601	4,210	11,915	5,131	43.1%	
1986	3,811	626	4,437	12,224	5,116	41.8%	

MOVEMENT OF CASES IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1986

	Decedent Estates	Guardianships	Disabled Adults	Total
Number of Cases Filed	7,093	2,473	1,763	11,329
Number of Cases Disposed of	6,656	2,267	1,600	10,523

INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1986

INVENTORIES FILED /	AND	VALUE	THEREOF
---------------------	-----	-------	---------

	Inventories		
Kind of Property	Number	Value	
Personal	3,811	\$522,643,566	
Real Estate	626	65,586,979	
Total	4,437	\$588,230,545	

IN THE LAW DIVISION – TAX SECTION, COUNTY DIVISION – TAX SECTION, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1986

TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

Dispositions Credited	Average Number of Judges Sitting	Number of Dispositions	Number of Dispositions Per Judge
Law Division — Tax Section	2	1,624	812
County Division — Tax Section	1	14,520	14,520
Municipal Department – District One	1	22,058	22,058
— District Two	1	781	781
— District Three	1	1,118	1,118
— District Four	1	195	195
— District Five	1	60	60
— District Six	1	102	102
— Sub-Total	6	24,314	4,052
TOTAL	9	40,458	4,495

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1986

CASES ASSIGNED DURING YEAR

New Cases	Reinstated	Abuse, Neglect,Dep.	Total	
17,924	58	17,471	35,453	

PETITION RECOMMENDED CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Delinquent	Abuse, Neglect, Dep.	Minor In Need of Supervision	Total
13,591	4,238	95	17,924

CASES REFERRED BUT DIVERTED

Delinquent	Abuse, Neglect, Dep.	Minor in Need of Supervision	Total
1,967	42	124	2,133

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1986

DESCRIPTION OF CHARGES	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
DELINQUENT CHARGES			
Homicide/Manslaughter/Attempt	80	4	84
Rape/Sex Offenses/Attempt	486	13	499
Agg. Assault/Battery/Kidnapping/Arson Aggravated	1,815	295	2,110
Armed Robbery/Attempt	314	23	337
SUB-TOTAL SERIOUS, VIOLENT CHARGES	2,695	335	3,030
Arson/Attempt	107	13	120
Robbery/Attempt	976	58	1,034
Burglary/Attempt	2,621	65	2,686
Theft Over \$300/Attempt	388	67	455
Auto Theft/Attempt	485	17	502
SUB-TOTAL SERIOUS PROPERTY CHARGES	4,577	220	4,797
Weapons Charges/Attempt UUW	835	51	886
Lesser Charges/Attempts Against Persons	1,692	223	1,915
Lesser Theft Charges/Attempt	2,675	392	3,067
Lesser Property Charges/Attempt	3,685	226	3,911
Drug Charges	494	46	540
SUB-TOTAL LESSER DELINQUENT CHARGES	0	0	0
Other Charges	679	109	788
Misc. Charges/Attempt	137	22	159
SUB-TOTAL			
TOTAL ALL DELINQUENT CHARGES	17,469	1,624	19,093
Runaway	23	61	84
Beyond Control/Ungovernable	4	6	10
Addicted to Drugs/Alcohol	1	_	1
Other Status Offenses		-	
TOTAL STATUS OFFENDER CHARGES	28	67	95
Abuse	1,514	1,778	3,292
Neglect	1,847	1,723	3,570
Dependency	548	491	1,039
TOTAL ABUSE, NEGLECT DEPENDENCY	3,909	3,992	7,901
OTHER CHARGES	1	4	5
TOTAL ALL CHARGES	21,407	5,687	27,094
JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1986

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF JUVENILE CASES IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION - 1986

		Method of Disposition										
		Dismissal			Transfer to Other							
	Without Prejudice	With Prejudice	Other	Sol	702 Hearings Granting Transfer Criminal Division	Other	Court Finding	Total				
Total	1,650 0		3,760	5,740	21	243	10,002	21,416				

TYPE OF COURT FINDING IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS

Finding of Delinquency	Finding of M.R.A.I.	Finding of Dependency	Finding of Neglect	Finding of Supervision* Under Sec. 4-7	Total
4,855	58	461	1,702	2,926	10,002

TYPE OF DISPOSITIONAL ORDER IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS - 1986

	Institutional Commitment						Pla	Placed on Supervision			
III. Dept. of Corr.	III. Dept. of Children & Family Services	Sub-Total	Local	Sub-Total	Regular Probation or Conditional Discharge	Guardian Appointed	Sec. 4-7	Sec. 5-2	Sub-Total	Total	
694	602	1,296	1,607	2,903	3,957	3,013	2,926	10	2,936	12,809	

NATURE OF ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Cases	Continued	Wardships
Disposed of	Generally*	Closed
21,416	106,539	8,238

*Includes multiple continuances granted on the same petition.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

NATURE OF DISPOSITION OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS*

				Meth	od of Dispos	sition				
DISTRICT	Indictment or No For Probable Cause Probable W/ of		Bond Forfeiture W/ or W/O Warrant	Forfeiture Dismissed N/ or W/O for Want of		Non-Suit	Stricken Off — Leave to		Off Call and Other Dismissal	Total
District 1	15,915	2,608	2,017	7	9,445	57	2,546	11	65	32,671
District 2	1,270	104	135	1	26	1	718	0	2	2,257
District 3	773	62	163	0	35	0	311	2	26	1,372
District 4	1,253	461	89	- 36	518	0	237	0	9	2,603
District 5	456	32	15	52	622	2	48	0	1	1,228
District 6	829	30	26	0	8	0	52	0	5	950
TOTAL	20,496	3,297	2,445	96	10,654	60	3,912	13	108	41,081

*Indicates the disposition of felony preliminary hearings on felony charges and not cases.

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) AND SENTENCES IMPOSED ON THE DEFENDANTS*

			Local Imprisonment				Pr	obation	-		Conditional Discharge			
	State Imprisonment Only (a)	Periodic Imprisonment With** Sub- Only Only Conditions Total			Only	Some Jail With** Sub- Time Conditions Total			Some Jail With** Sub- Only Time Conditions Total				Total	
Total Pleas	74	0 0 0 0				640	96	691	1427	11	0	0	11	1512

217

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1986

Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
8,893	16,876	3,517	16,526	9,025*

*Change in end-pending is due to a pro-gramming restructure, eliminating counting of AC, HC, MHC & PCcases.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

District	Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
District One	0	1,514	0	1,514	0
District Two	337	566	408	894	323*
District Three	222	591	38	612	286*
District Four	460	519 .	478	1,081	514*
District Five	144	420	133	627	120*
District Six	654	958	255	1,110	717*
Total	1,817	4,568	1,312	5,838	1,960

*Pending at end reflects adjustment due to physical inventory at end of year.

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

AGE OF PENDING CASES – DECEMBER 31, 1986 (Does Not Include Post-Trial Proceedings)

		Number of Felony Cases Pending									
		Indictments & Informations									
	×		Year Ca	ase Filed							
	1981 &	1000	1000								
	prior	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Total				
Criminal Division*	22	15	22	82	705	8,179	9,025				
Municipal District 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Municipal District 2	0	0	2	4	22	295	323				
Municipal District 3	3	4	2	10	29	238	286				
Municipal District 4	3	1	2	13	50	445	514				
Municipal District 5	0	0	0	0	24	96	120				
Municipal District 6	3	2	5	18	96	593	717				
Totals	31	22	33	127	926	9,846	10,985				

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted					
	Transfer	red, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	d	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
1,211	230	1,643	420	143	3,647	1,898	83	1,981	5,628

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from the Criminal Division to a Municipal District for trial.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	Found Unfit To ^b Stand Trial			
Plea Of Guilty			Total Convicted	Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
10,935	1,925	375	13,235	316	13,551

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings from the Municipal Department.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprisonment ^a			Local Imp	risonment	· .		Prol	oation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
16	31	6,510	<u>6</u> 9	47	3	119	2,430	1,771	1,979	6,180	302	59	361	18	5	13,240

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

^aSentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include sentences imposed in absence.

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DURING 1986**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	2	112									0	114
Class X		2	999									0	1,001
Class One			641	3	0	0	165	106	129	47	1	0	1,092
Class Two			1,230	15	8	0	718	511	370	84	19	3	2,958
Class Three	- 		1,438	12	25	1	796	631	738	102	10	5	3,758
Class Four			607	5	6	0	455	339	533	45	18	4	2,012
Total Pleas	0	4	5,027	35	39	1	2,134	1,587	1,770	278	48	12	10,935

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonmen	t ^a		Local Imprisonme	ent		Probation		Conditio	nal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	5	4	108									0	117
Class X		2	317									1	320
Class One			198	6	3	0	36	26	34	3	2	0	308
Class Two			216	9	0	0	102	57	54	5	2	0	445
Class Three			240	12	2	0	102	67	65	6	2	2	498
Class Four			83	7	. 1	2	55	28	48	8	3	2	237
Total Bench Tria	s 5	6	1,162	34	6	2	295	178	201	22	9	5	1,925

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

				1.1	2 M. A	Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	11	16	93									0	120
Class X		5	122									0	127
Class One			33	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	39
Class Two			35	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	42
Class Three			28	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	34
Class Four			10	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	13
Total Jury Trials	11	21	321	0	2	0	1	6	8	2	2	1	375

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^hIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted					
	Transferre	d, Reduced or		Tried I	But Not Convicte	d			
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted		
3	88	9	108	3	211	34	2	36	247

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Two to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

^cIncludes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
778	22	2	802	_	802

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprisonment ^a			Local Imp	prisonment			Prol	oation		Con	ditional Disch	arge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	284	1	2	1	4	139	165	182	486	21	7	28	0	0	802

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (3) and do include sentences (5) imposed in absentis.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO **DURING 1986**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditic	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder						1							
Class X			13										13
Class One			42				18	17	20				97
Class Two			65				44	62	46	12	2		231
Class Three			105				44	35	57	7	3		251
Class Four			44	1	2	1	33	45	56	2	2		186
Total Pleas			269	1	2	1	139	159	179	21	7		778

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder													
Class X			3										3
Class One			5					1					6
Class Two			2					3	1				6
Class Three			3						0				3
Class Four								2	2				4
Total Bench Trials			13					6	3				22

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	ent		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder			1										1
Class X			1										1
Class One													
Class Two												-	
Class Three													
Class Four													
Total Jury Trials			2										2

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

	Not Convicted													
	Transferre	d, Reduced or		Tried I	But Not Convicte	d								
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted					
19	25	32	46	3	125	25	4	29	154					

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b Stand Trial	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
507	30	1	538		538

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

	Sentences															
	State Imprisonment [®] Local Imprisonment						Prol	oation		Con	ditional Disch	narge				
	Life			Periodic Imprison-	With***			With Some	With***	T . 1		With***	Tit	**	Unfit To Be	T . 1
Death	Sentence	Other	Only	ment Only	Conditions	Total	Only	Jail Time	Conditions	Total	Only	Conditions	Total	Other	Sentenced	Total
0	0	167	3	2	0	5	78	100	143	321	19	26	45	0	0	538

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Connly Only Con		Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	19									0	19
Class One			24	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	33
Class Two			44	0	0	0	20	24	29	10	11	0	138
Class Three			39	3	2	0	37	45	58	6	9	0	199
Class Four			25	0	0	0	13	23	48	3	6	0	118
Total Pleas	0	0	151	3	2	0	71	97	138	19	26	0	507

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	5									0	5
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5
Class Three			4	0	0	0	6	2	4	0	0	0	16
Class Four			1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total Bench Trials	0	0	15	0	0	0	7	3	5	0	0	0	30

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

	Not Convicted													
	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Tried	But Not Convicte	d									
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted					
17	76	41	78	9	221	28	5	33	254					

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
836	38	8	882		882

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Prot	pation		Con	ditional Disch	arge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Iail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	379	4	1	0	5	251	119	103	473	20	5	25	0	0	882

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

^aSentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR **DURING 1986**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	2									0	2
Class X		0	37									0	37
Class One			44	0	0	0	12	2	3	0	0	0	63
Class Two			81	0	0	0	62	53	13	3	0	0	214
Class Three			142	2	0	0	110	40	37	11	3	0	347
Class Four			41	2	0	0	60	20	48	6	2	0	181
Total Pleas	0	0	347	4	0	0	244	115	101	20	5	0	836

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonm	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Co Inly Only Co		Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	5									0	5
Class One			10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Class Two			1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			8	0	1	0	6	1	2	0	0	0	18
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Bench Trials	0	0	25	0	1	0	6	4	2	0	0	0	38

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. *Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences		×				
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	2									0	2
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Two			1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE **DURING 1986**

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

	Not Convicted													
	Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted													
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted [®] By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted					
302	5	28	11	1	358	9	2	11	369					

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. ** Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

¹Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To ^b Stand Trial	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
301	9	3	313		313

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

						×		Sentences								
	State Imprisonment ^a Local Imprisonment						Prol	bation		Con	ditional Disch	arge				
				Periodic				With							Unfit	
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	54	0	0	0	0	115	79	65	259	0	0	0	0	0	· 313

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	11
Class Two			5	0	0	0	34	14	13	0	0	0	66
Class Three			30	0	0	0	35	35	. 11	0	0	0	11
Class Four			16	0	0	0	37	24	36	0	0	0	113
Total Pleas	0	0	51	0	0	0	112	73	65	0	0	0	301

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditic	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Class Three			1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total Bench Trials	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	9

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Class Four			0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total Jury Trials	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. a Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. b Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted					
	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	d	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted ^b By Court	Acquitted ^c By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
3	1	36	13	6	59	52	4	56	115

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. **Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bIncludes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

^cIncludes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
947	71	25	1,043		1,043

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing state.

^aNot necessarily different defendants.

^bDefendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprison			Local Imp	orisonment			Prol	pation		Con	ditional Disch	arge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	2	499	0	2	0	2	210	188	99	497	19	24	43	0	0	1,043

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX **DURING 1986**

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent ^a		Local Imprisonn	nent	-	Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	41									0	41
Class One			91	0	0	0	5	3	3	0	1	0	103
Class Two			98	0	0	0	60	64	21	6	13	0	262
Class Three			117	0	1	0	65	55	16	8	3	0	265
Class Four			93	0	0	0	66	48	56	5	7	0	275
Total Pleas	0	0	441	0	1	0	196	170	96	19	24	0	947

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^bIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	8									0	8
Class One			8	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	11
Class Two			7	0	0	0	6	7	0	0	0	0	20
Class Three			15	0	1	0	2	7	2	0	0	0	27
Class Four			2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	5
Total Bench Trials	0	0	40	0	1	0	12	15	3	0	0	0	71

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

^aIncludes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

			the first	4 100		Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonm	entª		Local Imprisonr	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other ^b Conditions	Only	With Other ^b Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	1	1									0	2
Class X		1	5									0	6
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			6	0	- 0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8
Class Four			2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Total Jury Trials	0	2	18	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	25

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. ^bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS*

								Method	d of Dis	position								
					Not Cor	victed								Convict	ed			
District	Bond Forfeiture With or Without Warrant	Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Other Dismissal	Discharge/ Speedy Trial Statute	Found Not Guilty	Sub-Total	Per	onment/ odic onment Local	Probation	Conditional Discharge	Supervision	Fine Only and Ordered to Pay	Sub-Total	Total
District One	50,341	6,359	5,749	57,539	109,823	307	3,583	0	3,129	236,830	33	6,095	2,959	1,885	8,881	6,861	36,714	273,544
District Two	1,028	4	96	137	2,494	2	11	0	290	4,062	1	306	252	168	1,866	1,145	3,738	7,800
District Three	1,236	2	195	510	3,122	1	227	0	351	5,644	20	305	296	235	2,889	2,939	6,684	12,328
District Four	2,085	29	148	273	3,194	7	78	0	1,523	7,337	4	343	244	289	2,716	1,331	4,927	12,264
District Five	1,003	76	319	457	3,353	9	22	0	670	5,909	1	492	249	225	3,678	2,451	7,096	13,005
District Six	2,521	98	291	900	5,396	0	308	0	690	10,204	2	453	40	610	4,162	782	6,049	16,253
TOTAL	58,214	6,568	6,798	59,816	127,382	326	4,229	0	6,653	269,986	61	7,994	4,040	3,412	34,192	15,509	65,208	335,194

*Indicates the disposition of misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violation charges and not cases.

TRAFFIC

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

Method of Disposition Not Convicted Convicted Stricken Leave Local Probation Fine Only and Ordered to Pay Imprisonment/ Dismissed Off With to Found and Nolle Conditional Paid In For Want of Leave to File Not Periodic DISTRICT Guilty** Pre-Paid Sub-Total Total Prosequi Sub-Total Discharge Court Suspended Prosecution Non-Suit Reinstate Denied Imprisonment Personal Service 53,348 133 25,859 15,822 98 410,129 505,389 2,151 1,071 110,740 42,995 46,549 203,506 708,895 District One 0 597,293 1,010,193 Hang-On 5,629 0 263,791 23,728 0 119,752 412,900 1 0 538,956 58,336 33,598 1,016 278 22,408 53,408 2,779 79,621 174,810 Personal Service 121 38 5,850 54,566 95,189 748 District Two Hang-On 12 3 7,468 1,319 18 2.070 10,890 0 0 1,323 1,474 34 1,831 12,721 Sub-Total 133 41 13,318 34,917 1,034 56,636 106,079 278 748 23,731 54,882 2,813 82,452 188,531 66 11,421 34,303 1,107 20,335 421 376 33,329 74,022 3,887 112,035 180,295 Personal Service 1,028 68,260 District Three Hang-On 18 4 4,919 2,821 0 1,879 9,641 0 0 11,286 1,563 112 12,968 22,602 376 75,585 3,999 124,996 202,897 Sub-Total 1,046 70 16,340 37,124 1,107 22,214 77,901 421 44,615 Personal Service 12 17 5,476 20,000 22 58,013 83,540 305 766 19.067 42.393 1,139 63.670 147.210 **District Four** Hang-On 0 3 6,395 752 0 1.361 8,511 0 0 1,635 1.049 77 2,761 11,272 Sub-Total 12 20 11,871 20,725 22 59,374 92,051 305 766 20,702 43,442 1,216 66,431 158,482 Personal Service 746 47 3,375 27,207 561 65,215 97,214 442 816 21,011 64,739 1,131 88,139 185,353 **District Five** 3 Hang-On 131 1 12,135 3,020 159 2,660 18,106 0 2,176 1,569 61 3,809 21,915 877 48 15,510 720 91,948 207,268 Sub-Total 30,290 67,875 115,320 445 816 23,187 66,308 1,192 20,703 17,341 339 48,937 735 1,564 36,133 155,906 Personal Service 1,761 264 89,345 25,514 2,615 66,561 **District Six** Hang-On 253 18 14,720 704 114 2,057 17,866 25 0 2,655 2,307 55 5,042 22,908 38,440 71,603 Sub-Total 2,014 282 35,423 18,045 453 50,994 107,211 760 1,564 28,169 2,670 178,814 63,059 594 382,112 180,678 3,434 786,974 1,416,851 4,361 5.341 790.100 379.988 58,439 1.238.229 2.655.080 Total

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF TRAFFIC VIOLATION CASES*

APPENDIX A CONSTITUTION OF 1970 ARTICLE VI — THE JUDICIARY

Section 1. Courts

The judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, an Appellate Court and Circuit Courts.

Section 2. Judicial Districts

The State is divided into five Judicial Districts for the selection of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges. The First Judicial District consists of Cook County. The remainder of the State shall be divided by law into four Judicial Districts of substantially equal population, each of which shall be compact and composed of contiguous counties.

Section 3. Supreme Court-Organization

The Supreme Court shall consist of seven Judges. Three shall be selected from the First Judicial District and one from each of the other Judicial Districts. Four Judges constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Supreme Court Judges shall select a Chief Justice from their number to serve for a term of three years.

Section 4. Supreme Court-Jurisdiction

(a) The Supreme Court may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review.

(b) Appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death shall be directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for direct appeal in other cases.

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases.

Section 5. Appellate Court-Organization

The number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each Judicial District shall be provided by law. The Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of Appellate divisions in each Judicial District. Each Appellate division shall have at least three judges. Assignments to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court. A majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision. There shall be at least one division in each Judicial District and each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court.

Section 6. Appellate Court-Jurisdiction

Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court are a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court and except that after a trial on the merits in a criminal case, there shall be no appeal from a judgment of acquittal. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals to the Appellate Court from other than final judgments of Circuit Courts. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. The Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law.

Section 7. Judicial Circuits

(a) The State shall be divided into Judicial Circuits consisting of one or more counties. The First Judicial District shall constitute a Judicial Circuit. The Judicial Circuits within the other Judicial Districts shall be as provided by law. Circuits composed of more than one county shall be compact and of contiguous counties. The General Assembly by law may provide for the division of a circuit for the purpose of selection of Circuit Judges and for the selection of Circuit Judges from the circuit at large.

(b) Each Judicial Circuit shall have one Circuit Court with such number of Circuit Judges as provided by law. Unless otherwise provided by law, there shall be at least one Circuit Judge from each county. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, Cook County, Chicago, and the area outside of Chicago shall be separate units for the selection of Circuit Judges, with at least twelve chosen at large from the area outside Chicago and at least thirty-six chosen at large from Chicago. (c) Circuit Judges in each circuit shall select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the Chief Judge shall have general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court.

Section 8. Associate Judges

Each Circuit Court shall have such number of Associate Judges as provided by law. Associate Judges shall be appointed by the Circuit Judges in each circuit as the Supreme Court shall provide by rule. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, at least one-fourth of the Associate Judges shall be appointed from, and reside, outside Chicago. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges.

Section 9. Circuit Courts-Jurisdiction

Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters except when the Supreme Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. Circuit Courts shall have such power to review administrative action as provided by law.

Section 10. Terms of Office

The terms of office of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges shall be ten years; of Circuit Judges, six years; and of Associate Judges, four years.

Section 11. Eligibility For Office

No person shall be eligible to be a Judge or Associate Judge unless he is a United States citizen, a licensed attorney-at-law of this State, and a resident of the unit which selects him. No change in the boundaries of a unit shall affect the tenure in office of a Judge or Associate Judge incumbent at the time of such change.

Section 12. Election And Retention

(a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. A person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions. (b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, removal, or upon the conclusion of his term without retention in office. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office.

(c) A vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointment.

(d) Not less than six months before the general election preceding the expiration of his term of office, a Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge who has been elected to that office may file in the office of the Secretary of State a declaration of candidacy to succeed himself. The Secretary of State, not less than 63 days before the election, shall certify the Judge's candidacy to the proper election officials. The names of Judges seeking retention shall be submitted to the electors, separately and without party designation, on the sole question whether each Judge shall be retained in office for another term. The retention elections shall be conducted at general elections in the appropriate Judicial District, for Supreme and Appellate Judges, and in the circuit for Circuit Judges. The affirmative vote of three-fifths of the electors voting on the question shall elect the Judge to the office for a term commencing on the first Monday in December following his election.

(e) A law reducing the number of Appellate or Circuit Judges shall be without prejudice to the right of the Judges affected to seek retention in office. A reduction shall become effective when a vacancy occurs in the affected unit.

Section 13. Prohibited Activities

(a) The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for Judges and Associate Judges.

(b) Judges and Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or in a political party. Service in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not disqualify a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge.

Section 14. Judicial Salaries And Expenses — Fee Officers Eliminated

Judges shall receive salaries provided by law which shall not be diminished to take effect during their terms of office. All salaries and such expenses as may be provided by law shall be paid by the State, except that Appellate, Circuit and Associate Judges shall receive such additional compensation from counties within their district or circuit as may be provided by law. There shall be no fee officers in the judicial system.

Section 15. Retirement – Discipline

(a) The General Assembly may provide by law for the retirement of Judges and Associate Judges at a prescribed age. Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge.

(b) A Judicial Inquiry Board is created. The Supreme Court shall select two Circuit Judges as members and the Governor shall appoint four persons who are not lawyers and three lawyers as members of the Board. No more than two of the lawyers and two of the non-lawyers appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The terms of Board members shall be four years. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled for a full term in the manner the original appointment was made. No member may serve on the Board more than eight years.

(c) The Board shall be convened permanently, with authority to conduct investigations, receive or initiate complaints concerning a Judge or Associate Judge, and file complaints with the Courts Commission. The Board shall not file a complaint unless five members believe that a reasonable basis exists (1) to charge the Judge or Associate Judge with willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to charge that the Judge or Associate Judge is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties. All proceedings of the Board shall be confidential except the filing of a complaint with the Courts Commission. The Board shall prosecute the complaint.

(d) The Board shall adopt rules governing its procedures. It shall have subpoena power and authority to appoint and direct its staff. Members of the Board who are not Judges shall receive per diem compensation and necessary expenses; members who are Judges shall receive necessary expenses only. The General Assembly by law shall appropriate funds for the operation of the Board.

(e) A Courts Commission is created consisting of one Supreme Court Judge selected by that Court, who shall be its chairman, two Appellate Court Judges selected by that Court, and two Circuit Judges selected by the Supreme Court. The Commission shall be convened permanently to hear complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Commission shall have authority after notice and public hearing, (1) to remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a Judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties.

(f) The concurrence of three members of the Commission shall be necessary for a decision. The decision of the Commission shall be final.

(g) The Commission shall adopt rules governing its procedures and shall have power to issue subpoenas. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the expenses of the Commission.

Section 16. Administration

General administrative and supervisory authority over all courts is vested in the Supreme Court and shall be exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with its rules. The Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. The Supreme Court may assign a Judge temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to serve temporarily as an Associate Judge on any Circuit Court. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for expeditious and inexpensive appeals.

Section 17. Judicial Conference

The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31.

Section 18. Clerks Of Courts

(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District.

(b) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election, or for the appointment by Circuit Judges, of clerks and other non-judicial officers of the Circuit Courts and for their terms of office and removal for cause.

(c) The salaries of clerks and other non-judicial officers shall be as provided by law.

Section 19. State's Attorneys-Selection, Salary

A State's Attorney shall be elected in each county in 1972 and every fourth year thereafter for a four year term. One State's Attorney may be elected to serve two or more counties if the governing boards of such counties so provide and a majority of the electors of each county voting on the issue approve. A person shall not be eligible for the office of State's Attorney unless he is a United States citizen and a licensed attorney-at-law of this State. His salary shall be provided by law.

APPENDIX B ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS Historical Development

The predecessor of the present Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts was a statutory creature into which the General Assembly breathed life in 1959. The entity was known as the Court Administrator's Office, and it so existed until 1964. The office in those past years was chiefly concerned with studying caseloads to determine the needs of particular courts for assistance and to provide a statistical background for further studies.

The 1964 Judicial Article directed that the "Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties." That provision was retained, virtually intact, by Section 16, Article VI of the 1970 Constitution. Thus, the fledgling administrator's office of 1959 was continued and conferred with constitutional dignity in 1964 and 1970. Two Illinois constitutional commentators, Messrs. Braden and Cohn, in analyzing this section have stated that "only five (states) have a constitutional office similar to the administrative director provided by Illinois . . .", and the authors noted that the constitutional grant of administrative power to the Supreme Court as exercised by the Chief Justice through the Administrative Director is an excellent "mechanism for a coordinated and efficient administration of the judicial system." Braden and Cohn, *The Illinois Constitution:*

An Annotated and Comparative Analysis, on page 333.

During the years that it has been in existence, the Administrative Office has matured, and correspondingly it has taken on and has been assigned by the Supreme Court, greater duties and responsibilities. The growth of the office has been carefully nurtured by a succession of highly qualified and distinguished men: Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the federal court system; Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; Hon. John C. Fitzgerald, a retired Circuit Judge and former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University, Chicago; John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad; and Roy O. Gulley, former Chief Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit; and acting Director William M. Madden.

Today, the administrative office has more than 100 employees who serve the Supreme Court and supervise the activities of all the courts in the State and court-related personnel. Acting Director William Madden is working closely with the National Center for State Courts in the completion of a study designed to assist the Supreme Court in structuring the future role of the administrative office.

APPENDIX C JUDICIAL SALARY STRUCTURE

Supreme Court Justices—\$85,000 Appellate Court Judges—\$80,000 Circuit Court Judges—\$73,500 Associate Judges—\$68,500

APPENDIX D

GENEALOGY OF JUDGES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT

