**ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE** 

OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS

1987 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS AUG. 26, 1818

# **ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE**

OF THE

# **ILLINOIS COURTS**

1987 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

OF ILLINOIS 3. 26, 1818

STATE AUG



# REPORT OF THE ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR WILLIAM M. MADDEN

# Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

William M. Madden Acting Director Supreme Court Building Springfield 62701 217/782-7770

30 North Michigan Avenue Chicago 60602 312/793-3250

To: The Honorable Chief Justice and the Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois:

I tender herewith the annual report of the Administrative Office for calendar year 1987.

Sincerely, h Madde laun

William M. Madden Acting Director

WMM/me

4

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ETTER OF TRANSMITTAL FROM WILLIAM M. MADDEN	4
N MEMORIAM	8
UDICIAL RETIREMENTS	9
THE SUPREME COURT	10
Jurisdiction and Organization	10
Administrative and Supervisory Authority	10
1987 Supreme Court Caseload Summary	10
Clerk of the Supreme Court	10
Supreme Court Marshal	10
Reporter of Decisions	11
Iustice Goldenhersh Retires	11
Justice Cunningham Appointed	12
Samuel D. Conti Appointed Administrative Director	12
Supreme Court Rules Committee	12
New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Supreme Court	13
Judicial Conduct	13
Court Annexed Arbitration	13
Judicial Appointments	13
	13
Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service	
1987 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly	15
THE APPELLATE COURT	31
Jurisdiction and Organization	31
1987 Appellate Court Caseload Summary	31
Annual Meeting of the Illinois Appellate Court	31
Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court	31
Supreme Court Assignment of Judges to the Appellate Court	32
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	33
Jurisdiction and Organization	33
1987 Circuit Court Caseload Summary	33
Conference of Chief Circuit Judges	33
Out-of-Circuit Assignments	33
Rule 295 Assignments	34
THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE	35
1987 Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference	35
1987 Associate Judge Seminar	35
1987 New Judge Seminar	36
1987 Regional Seminar Programs	36
1987 Study Committee Reports	37
THE COURTS COMMISSION	38
THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	40
Introduction	40
National Center for State Courts Study	40
Personnel	40
Accounting Division	41
Probation Division	57
Judicial Management Information Services	62
Age of Pending Cases Reports	62
Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports	63
Rule 39 — Appointment of Associate Judges	64
Rule 215(d) — Impartial Medical Experts	64
Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students	67

Administration of Official Court Reporters	67
Secretariat	67
Educational and Informational Functions	67
Trial Court Administration Conference	67
Circuit Clerk Training Program	68
Distribution of Supreme Court Opinion and Legislative Summaries	68
Publications of the Administrative Office	68
Membership in Organizations	69
LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS	70
1987 CASELOADS, STATISTICAL RECORDS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS	
SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURT DISTRICTS	77
Justices of the Supreme Court	78
Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court During 1987	79
THE APPELLATE COURT	81
Judges of the Appellate Court	81
Trend of All Cases in the Appellate Court During 1987	82
Trend of Cases in the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate	02
Court During 1987	
All Cases Disposed of in the Appellate Court During 1987	83
All Cases Disposed of Without Opinion or Order Pursuant to Supreme	05
	84
Court Rule 23 During 1987	04
Time Lapse Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition for All	05
Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1987	85
Time Lapse Between Date Briefs Were Filed and Date of Disposition	0(
for Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1987	86
Abstract Summary of the Number of Opinions and Rule 23 Orders	07
Written by Judges of the Appellate Court During 1987	87
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	88
The Judicial Circuits	88
Circuit Court Judicial Officers	89
Ratio of Filings Per Judge in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1987	99
Trend of All Cases in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1987	100
Summary Report on Law Cases Disposed of in the Circuit Courts of	
Illinois During 1987	144
Summary Report on Law Cases Terminated by Verdict	144
Statistical Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed of During 1987	145
Dispositions in 1987 of Defendants Charged With a Felony	148
Sentences Imposed on Defendants Charged and Convicted of	1 = 0
Felonies During 1987	152
Fiscal Year 1987 Total Financial Activity as Reported by the Clerks	
of the Circuit Courts	158
Fiscal Year 1987 Fines, Add-on Penalties, Assessments, and Certain	
Fees Distributed by Clerks of the Circuit Courts	165
1987 Select Characteristics of Illinois Probation Departments	169
1987 Select Characteristics on Juvenile Cases	172
1987 Select Characteristics on Adult Cases	186
1987 Adult and Juvenile Probation and Conditional Discharge	
Violation Summary	194
1987 Intensive Probation Summary	202
1987 Restitution Survey	203
1987 Public Service Employment Statistics	206
THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY	209
Organizational Chart	209
Trend of Cases in the Circuit Court of Cook County	210

	Trend of Cases in the Municipal Department, Circuit Court of Cook			
	County During 1987	211		
	In the Law Division, County Department, Statistical Report on Law			
	Cases During 1987	213		
	Law Division 1987 Statistical Reports	213		
	Domestic Relation Division 1987 Statistical Reports	217		
	County Division 1987 Statistical Reports	218		
	Juvenile Division 1987 Statistical Reports	219		
	1987 Felony Statistical Reports	221		
	1987 Misdemeanor, Ordinance & Conservation Violation Statistical Report	242		
	1987 Traffic Statistical Reports	243		
Appen	Appendix A — Constitution of 1970: Article VI — The Judiciary			
Appendix B – Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts – Historical Development				
Appen	Appendix C — Judicial Salary Structure			
Appen	Appendix D — Genealogy of Judges of the Illinois Supreme Court (1818 — date)			

7

# **IN MEMORIAM**

# **Appellate Court Judge**

Thomas A. McGloon, First District

# **Circuit Judges**

James D. Crosson, Cook County George B. Duggan, Cook County William H. Ellsworth, 16th Circuit James A. Geroulis, Cook County James W. Gray, 20th Circuit John J. Hoban, 20th Circuit Brian M. Kilgallon, Cook County John S. Petersen, 16th Circuit Allen F. Rosin, Cook County Paul C. Verticchio, 7th Circuit Thomas W. Vinson, 12th Circuit

**Associate Judges** 

Samuel S. Berger, Cook County Billy Jones, 20th Circuit Erwin L. Martay, Cook County Jose Vazquez, Cook County August 10, 1987

January 6, 1987 January 28, 1987 October 30, 1987 January 11, 1987 August 5, 1987 July 11, 1987 December 14, 1987 January 12, 1987 June 22, 1987 December 6, 1987 September 13, 1987

December 6, 1987 March 20, 1987 November 24, 1987 July 9, 1987

8

# JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS

During 1987, a total of 30 Illinois judges left the judicial system. Most retired for health reasons or to return to the practice of law.

#### **Supreme Court Justice**

Joseph H. Goldenhersh September 12, 1987

#### **Appellate Court Judges**

Charles E. Jones, 5th District April 30, 1987

John M. Karns, Jr., 5th District September 1, 1987

#### **Circuit Judges**

William C. Calvin, 6th Circuit July 6, 1987

Stephen T. Covey, 10th Circuit November 1, 1987

Paul F. Gerrity, Cook County September 1, 1987

Thomas J. Janczy, Cook County November 30, 1987

Helen C. Kinney, 18th Circuit December 5, 1987

Worthy B. Kranz, 6th Circuit October 2, 1987

Ronald A. Niemann, 4th Circuit September 1, 1987

Paul A. O'Malley, Cook County July 31, 1987

Peter J. Paolucci, 10th Circuit August 1, 1987

Jerry S. Rhodes, 7th Circuit October 1, 1987

James K. Robinson, 5th Circuit July 31, 1987

Joseph A. Salerno, Cook County July 6, 1987

William H. South, 1st Circuit December 31, 1987

Joseph M. Wosik, Cook County September 1, 1987

Paul M. Wright, 5th Circuit July 31, 1987

#### Associate Judges

Don E. Beane, Jr., 4th Circuit June 30, 1987

John B. Crain, 7th Circuit June 30, 1987

John B. Cunningham, 14th Circuit June 30, 1987

Chauncey Eskridge, Cook County June 30, 1987

James P. Fox, 7th Circuit June 30, 1987

Richard G. Hodson, 4th Circuit June 30, 1987

Lewis D. Murphy, 9th Circuit December 7, 1987

Frederick P. Patton, 14th Circuit October 1, 1987

Charles J. Perrin, 10th Circuit October 31, 1987

Charles W. Spencer, 18th Circuit June 30, 1987

Duane G. Walter, 18th Circuit June 30, 1987

Clayton R. Williams, 3rd Circuit October 5, 1987

# THE SUPREME COURT

#### Jurisdiction and Organization

The Illinois Supreme Court is the highest court in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is primarily appellate, but it has original jurisdiction in several categories of cases listed in the 1970 Constitution. It hears appeals from decisions both of the Appellate Court and of the Circuit Courts. Its appellate caseload consists of discretionary appeals and appeals as of right. For a more detailed description of the Court's jurisdiction, see sections 4 and 9 of article VI of the Constitution of 1970, in Appendix A.

Three of the seven justices of the Court are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four judicial districts. Justices are elected for 10 year terms. Four justices constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 2, 3 and 10.)

The Court is in session in Springfield for five terms each year during the months of January, March, May, September and November. At each term, the Court issues opinions, holds conferences, hears oral arguments, rules and meets with the Administrative Director to consider Administrative and budgetary matters.

#### Administrative and Supervisory Authority

General administrative and supervisory authority over the unified Illinois judicial system is vested by the Constitution in the Supreme Court. Acting in accordance with the Court's rules, the Chief Justice, who is selected for a three year term, exercises this authority. The Court appoints an Administrative Director and staff to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16.) In addition to the general grant of administrative authority contained in section 16 of article VI, the Constitution also identifies specific administrative powers which the Court shall or may exercise. These powers include:

- Prescribing the number of appellate divisions in each judicial district;
- (2) Assigning judges to appellate divisions;
- Prescribing the time and place for appellate divisions to sit;
- (4) Providing for the manner of appointing associate judges;
- (5) Providing for matters assignable to associate judges;
- (6) In the absence of law, filling judicial vacancies by appointment;
- (7) Prescribing rules of conduct for judges;
- (8) Recalling and assigning retired judges to judicial service;
- (9) Appointing an Administrative Director and staff;

- (10) Temporary assignment of judges;
- Providing for an annual Judicial Conference and reporting thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly;
- (12) Appointment of the Supreme Court Clerk and other nonjudicial officers of the Court.

To complement these enumerated duties, the Court possesses other administrative functions pursuant to statute or which are inherent in the operation of the Court. The annual judicial budget prepared by the Administrative Director is approved by the Court. The Court employs three law clerks for each justice as well as staff attorneys and other research department personnel. It selects a Marshal and Supreme Court Librarian. The Court also appoints the State Appellate Defender and two persons to the Appellate Defender Commission; a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Illinois Defender Project, and judicial members of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority and the Board of Trustees of the Judges' Retirement System. From time to time, the Court appoints committees, as the need arises, to study and suggest amendments in substantive and procedural law, Supreme Court rules, and other matters affecting the administration of justice.

#### 1987 Supreme Court Caseload Summary

During the 1987 terms, the seven justices handed down 139 full opinions and 3 supervisory orders; ruled on 43 petitions for rehearing, and ruled on 1,547 petitions for leave to appeal. Of the petitions for leave to appeal, 133 or 9.4% were allowed. The Court received 2,241 new filings in 1987 on the general docket, miscellaneous docket, and miscellaneous record and admitted 2,555 new lawyers to the practice of law.

#### **Clerk of the Supreme Court**

Since July 1982, Juleann Hornyak has served as Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court. In general, the duties of the Clerk include the receipt and processing of filings and the maintenance of dockets, records, files and statistics on the activities of the Court.

#### The Supreme Court Marshal

Since February 1976, the Supreme Court's Marshal has been Mr. Louie F. Dean. The Marshal attends each term of the Court and performs such other duties, at the direction of the Court, which are usually performed by the county sheriff in the Circuit Courts.

#### **Reporter of Decisions**

The Supreme Court appointed Brian C. Ervin to serve as Reporter of Decisions for the Supreme and Appellate Courts effective September 1, 1987. The Reporter's office is located in Bloomington and is responsible for the editing and printing of the official reports of Supreme and Appellate Court opinions. Each year the Reporter supervises the publication of 25 paperback advance sheets and approximately 12 to 14 hard-bound volumes of the official reports.

#### **Justice Goldenhersh Retires**

Supreme Court Justice Joseph H. Goldenhersh retired from the bench, effective midnight September 12, 1987. In accepting the resignation, Chief Justice William G. Clark said that Justice Goldenhersh's retirement is "a great loss to the judicial system and to the litigants and people of the state," and he praised Justice Goldenhersh's dedication and thorough knowledge and understanding of the law.

Justice Goldenhersh was born in East St. Louis, Illinois, on November 2, 1914. He graduated from East St. Louis High School, attended Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri, graduated from that university's law school in 1935, and was admitted to the Illinois Bar in February of 1936. He engaged in the private practice of law in East St. Louis until 1964, serving during that period as city attorney for the City of E. St. Louis and as attorney for the East St. Louis Levee and Sanitary District.

On December 7, 1964, Justice Goldenhersh became a judge of the Illinois Appellate Court for the Fifth Judicial District following his election to that post in November. After six years of distinguished service on that court, he was elected in November of 1970 to the Supreme Court, from the Fifth District, to fill the vacancy created by the death of Justice Byron O. House, and took the oath of office on December 7, 1970. He was retained as a Supreme Court Justice in 1980. Justice Goldenhersh was elected by his colleagues as Chief Justice and served in that post for three years, January 1, 1979 to January 1, 1982.

Justice Goldenhersh brought to the bench many years of experience in the active practice of law and in the affairs of the organized bar and society. He was active in national, state and local bar association and served as president of the East St. Louis Bar Association, 1962-63. His work as a lawyer and judge was recognized when he was awarded the honorary degree of Doctor of Laws by John Marshall Law School and when he was appointed a member of the executive committee of the A.B.A. Appellate Judges Conference. Too, he devoted his energies to humanitarian causes: member of the board of trustee's executive committee of the Christian Welfare Hospital in East St. Louis; member of the board of directors of St. Clair County Heart Association; chairman of the gifts division of the United Fund of Greater East St. Louis; and president of the Jewish Federation of Southern Illinois, 1949-51. During his nearly 17 years on the Supreme Court bench, Justice Goldenhersh's extensive and practical experience as a trial lawyer contributed significantly to the administration of justice in Illinois. His sagacious advice and counsel, his subtle sense of humor and facile mind have been to the benefit of justice, not only as illustrated in his written opinions but in other judicial related activities. For example, he served as the Supreme Court's liaison to the Supreme Court Rules Committee, the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, and the administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court; and he served as chairman of the Illinois Courts Commission, 1976-79. These activities are but few examples of Justice Goldenhersh's work beyond the narrow and traditional scope of "judging."

The primary obligation of a justice of the Supreme Court is, of course, to decide cases and reduce to writing the reasons for the decision. Justice Goldenhersh's approach to law and justice can be gleaned from his own words. About the U.S. Constitution he said in 1970, "The Constitution is not the last word, it is the beginning" and it is a judge's responsibility to interpret the Constitution "to fit the needs of society." About the common law and precedent he said in 1979, "In order to assure stability in the law the court's commitment is to continue to decide cases on precedent unless a significant change in society calls for a new ruling." About courts making law he said in 1970 that the "legislature is not active in 90% of the [civil] matters the courts act on every day, which means that courts make 90% of the laws," and in 1979 that "judges are [not] activists [but] some people think judges should do nothing and let the legislature make laws. Much of our law is actually judge-made."

Justice Goldenhersh's opinions have carefully balanced the scales of justice, but he has not hesitated to forge new law, especially in the tort field. His opinions reflect a pithy, direct style of writing. He likes to get quickly to the heart of the matter, so many of his opinions for the court state words to the effect that "the facts are adequately set forth in the appellate court's opinion and will be repeated here only to the extent necessary to discuss the issues." And he, in no uncertain terms, expresses his disagreement with a majority opinion he believes to be wrong; e.g.,"\*\*\* the majority fits this case into the procrustean bed\*\*\*" (Nowicki v. Union Starch & Refining Co. (1973), 54 III. 2d 93, 100 (dissent) );"\*\*\* the majority performs a statutory excision of proportions unprecedented in this jurisdiction \*\*\*" (Community Consolidated School Dist. No. 210 v. Mini (1973), 55 Ill.2d 382, 389 (dissent)) "In a display of clairvoyant skills worthy of Barnum and Bailey Circus in its heyday, the majority has ascertained the true intent of the legislature \*\*\*. By means of this heretofore unrevealed ability to discern legislative intent \*\*\* the majority has completely distorted the plain and unambiguous language of [the statute]" (Stewart v. Industrial Comm'n (1987), 115 Ill.2d 337, 344 (dissent)).

Justice Goldenhersh's opinions are contained in 71 volumes of the Illinois Reports, 47 Ill.2d through 117 Ill.2d (Official Reports advance sheet no. 19 (September 30, 1987)). There can be found Justice Goldenhersh's first dissenting opinion, Daley v. Berzanskis, 47 III.2d 395, 401, filed January 25, 1971. In those volumes there is also found the kind and number of Justice Goldenhersh's opinions.

### Joseph F. Cunningham Appointed to the Supreme Court

On September 16, 1987, Joseph F. Cunningham was appointed by the Illinois Supreme Court to complete the term of retiring Justice Goldenhersh.

Justice Cunningham was born in East St. Louis, Illinois, on February 25, 1924. He received his B.A. degree from the University of Dayton in Dayton, Ohio, and his J.D. degree from Washington University Law School, St. Louis, Missouri. He was admitted to the Missouri bar in February 1952 and the Illinois bar in November 1952. He served as a magistrate for the 20th Judicial Circuit from 1965 to 1970 and as associate judge from 1970 to 1972, when he was appointed circuit judge for the 20th Judicial Circuit. He was elected to that position in 1974 and retained in 1980 and 1986. He served as Chief Judge of the 20th Judicial Circuit from 1975 to 1984 and was re-elected to that position in January 1987. He served as chairman of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges from 1979 through 1981 and has been a member of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference since 1982. Justice Cunningham is married to the former Mary Margaret Keeley and has two children.

#### Samuel D. Conti Named Administrative Director

Chief Justice William G. Clark announced on behalf of the Illinois Supreme Court that Samuel D. Conti has been appointed director of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, effective September 1, 1987. He succeeds Judge Roy O. Gulley who retired in December of 1985 after 18 years as director.

Mr. Conti, 45, a native of New Jersey, has been the regional director of the National Center for State Courts' northeastern office in North Andover, Massachusetts, since 1973. He served as the trial court administrator of Hudson County, N.J., during 1971-73, assistant to the assignment judge in Passaic County, N.J., during 1969-71, and probation officer and director of a pretrial service agency in Passaic County during 1965-69.

Mr. Conti received his undergraduate and law degrees, in 1964 and 1970, from Seton Hall University in New Jersey. Mr. Conti is a member of the New Jersey and New Hampshire bar and is admitted to practice before the U.S. Supreme Court. He is a fellow of the Institute for Court Management and a member at Seton Hall University School of Law and is an adjunct faculty member at Adelphi University School of Business Administration in Brooklyn, N.Y.

As an acknowledged court administration expert, Mr. Conti has written numerous articles, lectured to judges, lawyers and court personnel about court automation and reviewing court administration, and performed studies and provided assistance to courts in Illinois and other states.

#### Supreme Court Rules Committee

The Supreme Court has a standing committee on rules. This Committee was first organized in 1963 in anticipation of the increased responsibility of the Supreme Court in the area of rule making under the 1964 constitutional amendment. During the calendar year 1987 the Committee was composed of the following numbers:

Professor Jo Desha Lucas, University of Chicago School of

Law, Chairman Murray R. Conzelman, Esq. John P. Crowley, Esq. Hon. Harold L. Jensen Watts C. Johnson, Esq. William J. Jovan, Esq. Sidney Z. Karasik, Esq. Fred Lambruschi, Esq. Carl W. Lee, Esq. Delmer R. Mitchell, Jr., Esq. Hon. William R. Quinlan Hon. Dom J. Rizzi Peter M. Sfikas, Esq. Robert L. Stern, Esq. Hon. John E. Sype

Justice Thomas J. Moran of the Supreme Court of Illinois was the Supreme Court's Liaison to the Rules Committee during calendar year 1987. William M. Madden of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts served as secretary to the Committee.

Except when extraordinary matters must be considered, the Supreme Court Rules Committee meets in Chicago on the last Friday of February, April, June, October and December. The staggered meeting dates are intended to facilitate attendance by the Supreme Court's liaison justice.

During 1987, the Committee considered many proposals for changes in the Supreme Court Rules. These matters were a small portion of the recommendations for change discussed at the Committee's meetings. Recommendations come from various sources. In some instances, the Supreme Court agrees upon a rule in principle and refers the proposal to the Committee to be put into proper form. In other instances, proposals are prompted by court decisions, actions by Congress or the State General Assembly, or communications from the organized bar, law professors, individual attorneys or the public at large.

### New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Illinois Supreme Court

In the exercise of its inherent power to adopt rules governing practice and procedure, supplemented by constitutional directives to exercise that authority in specific areas (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 16 and 17), the Illinois Supreme Court adopted, amended or repealed certain Supreme Court Rules in 1987.

Particularly significant changes in the Supreme Court Rules governing judicial conduct occurred and the Court adopted new rules creating court-annexed arbitration. These rules are summarized below.

#### **Judicial Conduct**

The Illinois Supreme Court repealed certain rules and adopted new rules governing judicial conduct. The rules repealed were Rule 61 (Standards of Judicial Conduct), Rule 62 (Violations of Standards), Rule 63 (Business Activities), Rule 64 (Abuse of Prestige of Official Position), Rule 65 (Compensation for Non-Judicial Service), Rule 66 (Disqualification for and Disclosure of Financial Conflicts of Interest), Rule 67 (Disqualification for Other Conflicts of Interest), Rule 69 (Powers of Appointment), and Rule 70 (Partisan Politics).

A technical amendment was made in Rule 71 (Violation of Rules) to reflect the renumbering of the new rules.

The new rules governing judicial conduct, Rules 61-67 were all effective January 1, 1987.

#### **Court-Annexed Arbitration**

Also, effective January 1, 1987, the Illinois Supreme Court adopted new Rules 86-95 authorizing the creation of mandatory court-annexed arbitration programs in the circuit courts and providing procedures for cases subject to such arbitration. Cases subject to mandatory arbitration are civil actions in which each claim is for money not exceeding \$15,000, exclusive of interest and cost.

#### Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court

Article VI, section 12 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides that, in the absence of a law providing for the filling of vacancies in the office of Supreme Court Justice, appellate or circuit judge, such vacancies may be filled by appointment of the Supreme Court. Exercising this authority, the Supreme Court, during 1987, made the following appointments (an asterisk (\*) after a name indicates that he or she was a sitting judge who was elevated to higher judicial office):

> Martin C. Ashman, Cook County Effective July 27

Robert A. Barnes, Jr., 10th Circuit Effective September 15

Francis Barth\*, Cook County Effective November 6

Everette A. Braden\*, Cook County Effective November 16

> Jerry A. Davis, 5th Circuit Effective September 21

James K. Donovan\*, 20th Circuit Effective November 2

Ronald C. Dozier, 11th Circuit May 1, 1987

Robert J. Egan, Cook County Effective January 30

Edward G. Finnegan, Cook County Effective March 11

John Greanias\*, 6th Circuit Effective March 12

Alan J. Greiman, Cook County Effective July 10

Thomas J. Henaghan, Cook County Effective September 15

Brian M. Kilgallon, Cook County Effective September 12

David G. Lichtenstein, Cook County Effective January 30

Joseph K. Luby, Cook County Effective November 25

Robert W. Matoush, 4th Circuit Effective September 18

Stuart A. Nudelman\*, Cook County Effective November 16

John P. O'Rourke, 5th Circuit Effective September 21

Stephen H. Peters, 6th Circuit Effective August 10

Roger M. Scrivner\*, 20th Circuit Effective September 10

Shelvin Singer, Cook County Effective April 13

Fred Sudak, Cook County Effective September 1

Mary Maxwell Thomas, Cook County Effective August 21

Stephen R. Yates\*, Cook County Effective January 30

13

# Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service

Article VI, section 15(a) of the Illinois Constitution allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge to active service, with his or her consent. In 1987, those judges and their assignments were:

Cook County (All year)
18th Circuit
(June 1-Sept 1)
Cook County
(All year)
Cook County
(All year)
Cook County
(All year)
6th Circuit
(All year)
Cook County
(Feb 1-July 1)

Honorable Philip J. Rock, President Senate of the State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

Honorable Michael J. Madigan, Speaker House of Representatives State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

#### Gentlemen:

The following report is submitted in accordance with section 17 of article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 which provides: "The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly\*\*\*."

In making the suggestions contained in this and in prior reports, the Supreme Court is fully cognizant of the respective roles of the General Assembly and the courts, and does not intend to intrude upon the prerogatives of the General Assembly in determining what legislation should be enacted. It is gratifying, however, to note that the General Assembly over the years, especially last year, has acted to implement many of the suggestions made by the Court. I respectfully submit that the attached suggestions merit the consideration of the General Assembly.

Respectfully,

William G. Clark Chief Justice

cc: Members of the General Assembly

# The State Should Fully Fund the Operations of the Circuit Courts

The dream of a constitutionally unified State court system materialized in Illinois on January 1, 1964, when the amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Constitution, adopted in 1962, took effect. (III. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964).) The court system created then was of course refined with the adoption of the 1970 Constitution (III. Const. 1970, art. VI) but the basic court structure established by the 1962 judicial article amendment remains intact. The Illinois court system is universally acknowledged by legal scholars and practitioners as the model system in court structure and organization because the Constitution creates a supreme court having general administrative and supervisory authority over all courts, one appellate court, and a single trial court – the circuit courts – having original jurisdiction of virtually all justiciable matters. Yet, in one major respect, the realized dream is a mirage - full State funding of the operations of the circuit courts has not appeared.

Presently the State fully funds the entire operation of the supreme and appellate courts: the salaries of judicial and nonjudicial personnel, the operating expenses of those courts and their clerks' offices, costs associated with capital improvements and maintaining courthouses and judicial chambers, and so forth. However, when it comes to funding the circuit courts, the counties, not the State, must bear the major burden of financing the operations of those courts. It is true that some circuit court expenses are paid directly or indirectly out of State appropriations: for example, virtually all of the salaries of circuit and associate judges (III. Rev. Stat. 1985 ch. 53, pars. 3.2, 3.3), the salary of the chief circuit judge's administrative assistant (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37 par. 72.4-1), the salaries of official court reporters (Pub. Act 84-1425, sec. 2, effective September 24, 1986), and a subsidy to counties for the operation of probation and court services departments (Pub. Act. 84-1308, art. II, sec. 53, effective August 25, 1986); but the balance of the expenses to operate the circuit courts is a responsibility of the counties (see generally III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 34, par. 432). Such expenses borne by the counties range from pencil and paper purchases to courthouse construction and circuit clerk office operation costs. We note parenthetically that chief judges, who are constitutionally responsible for administering their circuit courts (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)), have had disputes with county boards concerning adequate funding of their courts. See, e.g., Knuepfer v. Fawell (1983), 96 III. 2d 284, and People ex rel. Bier v. Scholz (1979), 77 Ill. 2d 12.

The annual fiscal year budget of this State is \$20 billion, yet over the years less than 1% of the State budget has been appropriated to the Supreme Court for the operations of all courts. The courts of Illinois, including the circuit courts, are State courts and the funding for their operations should come from appropriations made by the General Assembly. To be sure, full State funding of the circuit courts would be an additional expense to the State, but the cost would be spread among all of the citizens of Illinois, rather than just placing severe fiscal burdens on local taxpayers in the counties.

The Supreme Court is deeply cognizant that the exact cost of operating the circuit courts of Illinois is presently not fully known, principally because of the intricacies of county budgets, but some of the costs are known. For example, it is known, albeit based on admittedly incomplete reports, that the operational expenses of the 102 circuit clerks' offices are nearly \$62 million. (See 1984 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 160.) In some 27 states the State has assumed the primary responsibility for funding the trial courts, and the Supreme Court believes that it is time the State of Illinois seriously studies full State funding of our circuit courts. See generally Stout, Planning for Unified Court Budgeting, 69 Judicature 205 (December-January 1986), where the author describes implementation of unified court budgeting in the State of New York.

Too, we should be mindful that

"The imposition upon the state of the obligation for all judicial salaries and expenses gives explicit constitutional sanction to the principle that judicial service, whatever may be the geographic areas from which judges are elected, is a state service. The administration of justice thus assumes coordinate status with constitutional state officers in Executive and Legislative Departments of government.\*\*\*" Braden and Cohn, The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis 372 (1969).

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly study State funding of the operations of the circuit courts with a view toward making the administration of justice in the circuit courts a State fiscal obligation, thereby realizing the dream of a constitutionally unified State court system in all respects.

## The State Should Pay The Expenses Of Operating The Chief Circuit Judges' Office In Multi-County Circuits

The Illinois Constitution of 1970 places broad administrative authority in the chief circuit judge. To properly execute that authority, the chief judge needs personnel, office equipment, supplies and other items traditionally associated with management. In some multi-county circuits, the county boards contribute to a common fund to defray those expenses; in others they do not. In those circuits in which all counties do not contribute, an individual county board is reluctant to assume the full responsibility for paying the expenses of a chief judge's office which serves the management needs of counties within the circuit other than the chief judge's county of residence. Understandably, the county boards believe they cannot justify spending their county's taxpayers' funds for the expenses of the office of a chief judge who has circuit-wide management responsibilities. Most chief judges in multi-county circuits estimate the cost of operating their office to be modest.

The State pays the salary and travel expenses of each chief judge's administrative assistant (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1; 72.4-2), but none of the other expenses associated with the chief judge's office is borne by the State. The Supreme Court believes that the expenses of the office of the chief judge in multi-county circuits should be paid out of State appropriations.

Many multi-county circuits present complex problems of administration which cannot be met with the scarce resources presently available to most chief circuit judges. Some of the larger counties (including three single-county circuits — Cook County, DuPage County and Will County) do provide some administrative support over and above the administrative assistant who is paid by the State, but by-and-large the chief judges must get along in an increasingly hostile economic environment with only the meager tools offered by the State.

The Supreme Court is aware that its recommendation made on this subject two years ago was favorably received and handily passed by the 84th General Assembly but vetoed by the Governor (see 1985 Final Legis. Synop. & Dig. 938-39 (House Bill 131) ); however, we again recommend the adoption of a trial court administration program under which selected multi-county circuits, designated by the Supreme Court, could receive essential, State-supported administrative personnel, equipment and supplies to assist the chief judge to fulfill his constitutional mandate to exercise "general administrative authority over his court \*\*\*" (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)).

#### Clerks of the Circuit Courts Should Be Appointed

The clerks of the circuit courts of Illinois are not county officials, but are nonjudicial members of the judicial branch of State government (*Drury v. County of McLean* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 417), and, like the clerks of the supreme and appellate courts, they should be appointed.

The Supreme Court Committee on Clerks of Court in its final report to this Court recommended that clerks of the circuit courts be appointed by the circuit court judges.

"While circuit clerks perform myriad duties requiring intelligence, discretion, good judgment and management talents, they are not responsible for formulating policy. Their principal responsibility is to faithfully execute polices set forth in statutes, rules, or order of court - regardless of the reaction of the local electorate, not in response to it. The idea that a clerk could frustrate the policy objectives of the court he serves on the grounds that he is elected, and therefore 'responsible to the people,' is intolerable. Our Constitution vests general administrative authority over the circuit courts in the Chief Judge, subject only to the general administrative and supervisory power of the Supreme Court. The clerk is an integral part of the judicial team, as are court reporters, for example, and that he should be elected rather than appointed is a historical and political anomaly having little, if anything, to do with promoting the efficiency or effectiveness of his office. The

committee, therefore, recommends that circuit clerks become appointed non-judicial officers of the state court system." Report of Committee on Clerks of Court (January 1974), p. 17.

The Supreme Court recognizes that the power to provide for either the election or the appointment of clerks of the circuit court is a matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the General Assembly (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(b)). (Too, we observe that the Constitution provides that the General Assembly shall determine how the circuit court clerks' offices shall be funded (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(c)), and we note that the 83rd General Assembly adopted, in 1983, Senate Joint Resolution 54, which created a broad-based circuit court finance and budget committee to study and recommend "ways of [adequately] financing the office of Circuit Clerk in each county of the State;" however, no funds were appropriated for the committee's operation.) Nevertheless, the Supreme Court concurs with its Committee's recommendation that clerks of the circuit courts should be appointed by the circuit judges of the respective circuits and again urges the General Assembly to consider changing the law in that respect.

# Judges Should Not Appoint Election Commissioners

In the Supreme Court's January 31, 1983, report to the General Assembly, the Court recommended that section 10-9 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 46, par. 10-9) be amended to remove the requirement that chief judges make appointments to electoral boards. (See reprint of our 1983 report at 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 30-31.) The General Assembly responded favorably to our recommendation. With the enactment of "An Act to remove judges from electoral boards \*\*\*" (Pub. Act 83-995 (1983 III. Laws 6679 (effective December 13, 1983) ), III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 10-9), the General Assembly took another step in the direction of removing from the judiciary, as stated in our 1983 report, a nonjudicial function which tended to involve judges in political matters.

Public Act 83-995, however, provided only a partial solution, for judges still are statutorily required to appoint members of certain municipal boards of election commissioners. Section 6-21 of the Election Code requires election commissioners of electoral boards in certain cities, villages, and incorporated towns to be "appointed by the circuit court in the county in which" the municipality is located. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 6-21.) Section 6-21, like section 10-9 prior to its amendment, imposes nonjudicial functions upon circuit judges and tends to involve them in political matters which can be better addressed by officials in branches of government other than the judicial branch. The Supreme Court, therefore, recommends that the General Assembly remove from section 6-21 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 6-21) the nonjudicial function that circuit judges appoint election commissioners of boards of election commissioners in municipalities having such boards, and provide instead that someone other than circuit judges make such appointments.

# Statute Requiring Judicial Note on Certain Legislation Should be Followed by General Assembly

Statutes requiring that so-called impact notes be requested for certain types of legislation being considered by the General Assembly are beneficial to the legislative process: such statutes assist legislators in weighing the cost — fiscal and otherwise — against the benefits expected to be derived from the legislation, should it become law, by requiring specified State agencies to submit data on the impact of the legislation.

Perhaps no statute requiring an "impact note" is ignored or overlooked more often than "An Act requiring certain types of bills \*\*\* have provided a note indicating the effect thereof on the judicial system \*\*\*" (Judicial Note Act) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*). The essence of the Judicial Note Act is found in sections 1, 2, and 7 which in substance provide that every bill or amendment to a bill, "the purpose and effect of which is to increase or decrease the number of [judges], either directly or indirectly, shall have prepared for it" by the Supreme Court, when the bill's sponsor presents the bill to the Supreme Court, a judicial note "of the need of a change in the number of judges." See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, pars. 42.61, 42.62, 42.67, and Pub. Act 84-1395, sec. 10, effective January 1, 1987.

This Court has recently been presented with situations which demonstrate the wisdom of the Judicial Note Act and the consequences when it is ignored. Chief circuit judges have asked the Supreme Court to allocate to their circuits additional associate judges from the limited "pool" of such judgeships the General Assembly has given to the Court (III. Rev. Stat. 1985 ch. 37, par. 160.2-1) because, in the main, their judgeship needs have been substantially increased by the following laws, none of which had a judicial note:

- Public Act 83-1517 (1984 III. Laws 4088, 4100-02 (effective July 1, 1985) ) adding to section 4-2 of the Juvenile Court Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 704-2) a "speedy adjudicatory hearing" provision. The effective date was delayed until April 1, 1986, by Public Act 84-12, (1985 III. Laws 248 (effective July 1, 1985) ), and a "tolling" provision was added effective July 1, 1987, by Public Act 84-1428, sec. 4.
- Public Act 84-7 (1985 III. Laws 211 (effective August 15, 1985)) extensively amending the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 1-101 *et seq.*) by providing new judicial procedures in cases of "healing art malprac-

tice." For example, the amendment would have required that a circuit judge be a member of and "preside over" a review panel in medical malpractice cases. The review panel would have been a procedure, not heretofore required, which necessitated additional judicial services. But see *Bernier v. Burris* (1986), 113 III. 2d 219.

- Public Act 84-272 (1985 III. Laws 2409, 2430-33 (effective January 1, 1986)) amending the Illinois Vehicle Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 95½, par. 1-100 et seq.) by requiring new judicial procedures in cases alleging a "drunk driving" offense. For example, in such cases a judicial hearing may be held to determine whether or not a "judicial driving permit" should be issued. See also Pub. Act 84-1394, sec. 5, effective September 18, 1986.
- Public Act 84-696 (1985 III. Laws 4437 (effective September 20, 1985)) amending the Illinois Domestic Violence Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 2301-1 et seq.) by allowing a petitioner, when court is closed, to file a petition "before any available circuit judge or associate judge." In most instances, a "duty judge" will need to be available for such cases. The same provision is included in the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986 (see Pub. Act 84-1305, art. II, sec. 217 (c) (1), effective August 21, 1986).

The Supreme Court is deeply concerned about the additional legislatively imposed responsibilities upon judges, without an assessment of the impact upon the judiciary as a whole, and again urges the General Assembly to invoke the Judicial Note Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*) whenever the purpose or effect of a bill or bill amendment is to directly or indirectly increase, or decrease, the number of judges in Illinois.

### Judges Pension Benefits And Funding Need Re-Examination

The Supreme Court believes that in two respects article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code, commonly called the Judges Pension System, needs to be re-examined: the method of computing a judge's annuity and the absence of a provision allowing a "cost of living" increase for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity. The Court believes, too, that the level of State contributions to the System requires re-evaluation.

Section 18-125 of the Code, as amended by Public Act 82-768 (1982 III. Laws 152, 159 (effective January 1, 1983) ), provides in relevant part that as of July 1, 1982, the retirement annuity "for any [judge] in service on or after [July 1, 1982] shall be the average salary for the final year of service as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-125(b).) Immediately prior to the enactment of that amendatory act, section 18-125 provided in relevant part that the annuity was based upon a judge's salary "on the last day of employment as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 108½, par. 18-125(d).) The validity of the amendment was challenged in *Felt v. Board of Trustees* (1985), 107 III. 2d 158, and the Court held the amendment unconstitutional as applied to judges in service on or

before January 1, 1983. The Supreme Court believes that section 18-125, as amended by Public Act 82-768, is in need of reconsideration, and the Court again suggests that consideration be given to returning section 18-125 to its former state that a judge's retirement annuity be based upon his salary "on the last day of employment as a judge."

Under the existing statutes a surviving spouse of a judge who contributed to the survivor's annuity benefit is entitled to an annuity in an amount scheduled by law. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-128.01, 18-133.) If the judgeannuitant at the time of his or her death was receiving the "cost of living" allowance (automatic increase in retirement annuity) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-125.1), then the survivor's annuity will be based upon the annuity that the judge-annuitant "was receiving immediately prior to his or her death, inclusive of annual increases in the retirement annuity to the date of death" (emphasis added) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-128.01(a)), but there is no provision in the statutes for future "cost of living" increases in the survivor's annuity. (In the case of a surviving spouse of a sitting judge the survivor's annuity is solely based on the judge's last salary or the annuity the judge would have been entitled to on the date of death. See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-128.01(b).) The ravage of inflation is common knowledge, and its devastating effect on persons on fixed-incomes is well known. The survivors of a judge who had faithfully served in public office at a financial sacrifice should not have to wholly suffer the adverse economic consequences of inflationary spirals by seeing their static annuity being diminished for reasons beyond their control. The General Assembly has provided a one-time "cost of living" allowance in the survivor's benefits provided by other State retirement systems. (See, e.g., Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 16-143.1.) Our Court again urges that consideration be given to establishing a "cost of living" allowance for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity.

By law the State of Illinois is required to make contributions to the Judges Pension System through annual appropriations in amounts based upon a statutory formula (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-131), and payments of the "required State contributions \*\*\* are the obligations of the State \*\*\*." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-132). The Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System of Illinois, which is responsible for administering the System, is required to submit an annual report. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-147.) The "Forty-Fourth Annual Report" for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1985, the latest available published report, paints a dim picture, turning darker and darker as each year passes, concerning the actuarial soundness of the System, for the reason that the State has not appropriated its contributions at the level required by law. The report points out that, while the Board of Trustees has requested the level of appropriations necessary to adequately fund the System, as it is required by law to do (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-140), its appropriation requests "have been arbitrarily reduced \*\*\* below the amounts specifically mandated \*\*\* and required" by law; e.g., for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1985 and 1986, the Board requested \$18.6 and \$20.8 million, respectively, but less than 50% of the amounts requested was appropriated (\$8.2 million for FY 85 and \$9 million for FY 86). "In fact," states the report at pages 8-9, "for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1985, State contributions were substantially below the actual benefit payouts." (Emphasis added.) (See, generally, pages 6-9 of report.) The report notes further that the actuarially accepted rate of funding ("security ratio") for public pensions is 66<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>%, but as of June 30, 1985, the security ratio for the Judges Pension System stood at 22.9%, "the lowest of any public employee retirement system in the State of Illinois." (See page 7 and, generally, page 12 of report.) The report concludes at pages 36 and 37 that the 22.9% rate of funding is "extremely low" and "indicates that considerably larger appropriations by the State of Illinois \*\*\* must be made to meet the System's accrued and accruing pension liabilities." (The deep concern of the Board of Trustees is echoed by the Comptroller of this State who reports that the Illinois public pension systems, including the Judges Pension System, "may be headed for financial trouble unless state appropriations are returned to a higher level." See Comptroller's news-release attached to his "State of Illinois Fiscal Condition Report" (November 27, 1985).) The Supreme Court concurs with the report of the Board of Trustees, and we again urge the General Assembly to appropriate the State contributions in an amount sufficient to restore the fiscal health of the Judges Pension System.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to reexamine article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, par. 18-101 *et seq.*) and consider providing therein that a judge's annuity shall be based upon the judge's salary on the last day of judicial service and that the survivor's annuity be increased by a "cost of living" allowance, and the Court again recommends that the Judges Pension System be adequately funded out of State appropriations.

### Obsolete Statute Providing for Election and Terms of Appellate Judges Should Be Repealed

In 1963, in anticipation of the effective date, January 1, 1964, of the 1962 amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Illinois Constitution (III. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964)), section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and terms of judges of the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 555) was enacted into law. (See III. Ann. Stat., ch. 46, par. 555, Historical Note, at 403 (Smith-Hurd 1965).) The Act, of course, implemented that part of the newly adopted judicial article which created the appellate court by establishing the number of appellate judges to be elected in 1964 and the length of their terms.

Section 1 of the Act was implemented when candidates were elected to the appellate court in the 1964 general election. Accordingly, the statute has served the purpose for which it was enacted and is now obsolete. Furthermore, section 1 of "An Act in relation to the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 25) establishes the number of appellate judges to be elected in each judicial district, and the 1970 Illinois Constitution establishes judges' terms of office (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10).

The Supreme Court therefore recommends again that the General Assembly repeal, as it has been long implemented and is now obsolete, section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and terms of judges of the Appellate Court" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 555).

# Statutes Providing For Direct Appellate Court Review of Administrative Agency Decisions Should Not Be Inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335

The 1970 Illinois Constitution provides, as did the 1870 Constitution (III. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964), sec. 7), that the "Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law." (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 6.) The first such grant of direct appellate court review of agency action occurred when the General Assembly provided for direct appellate court review of orders of the Pollution Control Board, effective July 1, 1970, as provided in the Environmental Protection Act (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1111/2, par. 1041). Following enactment of that Act, this Court adopted Supreme Court Rule 335, effective July 1, 1971, which sets forth procedures for direct appellate court review of administrative orders. (See, generally, Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 335, Committee Comments, and Historical and Practice Notes, at 467-69 (Smith-Hurd 1985).) Since then, the General Assembly has provided for direct appellate court review of certain orders of six more administrative bodies. See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46. par. 9-22 (State Board of Elections); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 1611 (Illinois State and Illinois Local Labor Relations Boards); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 1716 (Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 68, par. 8-111 (Human Rights Commission); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>, par. 10-201 (Illinois Commerce Commission).

Supreme Court Rule 335 is based upon the procedures followed under the Illinois Administrative Review Law (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 3-101 *et seq.*), Illinois Supreme Court rules governing civil appeals (Supreme Court Rule 301 *et seq.*), and Federal rules relating to appellate review of administrative orders (Fed. R. App. P. 15 *et seq.*). It is a general rule which has been adopted by our Court so that it would be "unnecessary for the rule to be revised [when] the legislature provides \*\*\* for direct review by the Appellate Court" of administrative agency orders. See Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 335, Committee Comments, at 467-68 (Smith-Hurd 1985).

The procedures provided in Rule 335 have worked well since its adoption in 1971; however, with the enactment of the Public Utilities Act, the Illinois Appellate Court has found inconsistencies in that Act's provisions for direct appellate court review of certain orders of the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) and Rule 335. In *Consumers Gas Co. v. Illinois Commerce Com.* (1986), 144 Ill. App. 3d 229, the appellate court found that section 10-201(b) of the Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1112/3, par. 10-201(b)) was inconsistent with Rule 335 in two respects. The court stated that Rule 335(a) "requires that a petition for review shall be filed with the appellate court, unlike section 10-201(b) which requires that a notice of appeal shall be filed with the secretary of [ICC]. [Rule 335(b)] requires that the petitioner seeking appellate review serve a copy of the petition for review on the administrative agency and all other parties of record. In contrast, under section 10-201(b) it is the clerk of the appellate court who is served with a copy of the notice of appeal which was filed with [ICC] in the first instance." (144 III. App. 3d 229, 235.) The court further observed that while section 10-204(b) of the Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>, par. 10-204(b)) requires an evidentiary hearing on a motion for stay in the appellate court, Supreme Court Rule 335(g) does not. (144 III. App. 3d 229, 236-37.) The appellate court then found unconstitutional those portions of the Public Utilities Act which are inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335.

The Consumers Gas Co. decision illustrates the confusion that can result when direct appeal provisions which are inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335 are enacted. (*Cf. City of Benton Police Dept. v. Human Rights Com.* (1986), 147 Ill. App. 3d 7.) The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to re-examine the Public Utilities Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>, pars. 10-201, 10-204) and other statutes which provide for direct appeals to the appellate court from an administrative agency, and to consider providing therein, to the extent necessary, procedures which are not inconsistent with Rule 335.

#### The Legislative Scheme Allowing State's Attorney Fees Should Be Re-Examined

The criminal costs statute provides that a defendant who is convicted of an offense must pay the costs of his prosecution. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 180-3.) Section 8(a) of "An Act concerning fees and salaries \*\*\*" (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a) ) establishes a schedule of State's Attorney fees, applicable principally to criminal prosecutions, which requires his fees to be "taxed as costs and to be collected from the defendant, if possible, upon conviction." Section 8(a) also states that a State's Attorney is entitled to appeal fees, which are to be assessed as costs when he successfully defends an appeal brought by a convicted criminal defendant. See also III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 5-120.

In *People v. Nicholls* (1978), 71 III. 2d 166, this Court considered the above-cited statutes and other statutory provisions governing fees and costs, and we said that the allowance and recovery of costs, being unknown at common law, is wholly grounded in statutory law. We referred to the defendant's contention that section 8 (now section 8(a)) is obsolete because it was originally enacted to provide compensation to State's Attorneys but now the office of State's Attorney is a salaried position (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, pars. 7, 22a). We then stated, and have since reiterated in *In re W.W.* (1983), 97 III. 2d 53, 58, that "[i]n light of present-day county budgeting and accounting

procedures, the provisions of section 8[(a)] [citation] relating to State's Attorney fees may appear to be a relic of another era which might well merit the attention of the legislature." (71 III. 2d 166, 179.) Too, the administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court has recommended, and this Court agrees, elimination of the State's Attorney appeal and *per diem* fees in section 8(a) which are taxed as costs against an unsuccessful criminal appellant (see *Nicholls* and *People v. Agnew* (1985), 105 III. 2d 275). See also *People v. Crete* (1985), 133 III. App. 3d 24, 34, *affirmed on other grounds* (1986), 113 III. 2d 156, where the appellate court noted that such fees are "considered obsolete in view of present day procedures."

Although section 8 was recently amended to allow a prosecution fee to a municipality for certain traffic convictions prosecuted by the municipal attorney (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(b)), the Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to re-examine section 8(a) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) "in light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures" and to consider abolishing the State's Attorney trial and appeal fees provided therein.

# Section 5-6-4(h) Of The Unified Code Of Corrections Should Be Amended To Prohibit Automatic Crediting Of Time Spent On Probation

Section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) states that where a defendant is resentenced after revocation of his probation, conditional discharge or supervision, the "[t]ime served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall be credited by the court against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4-(h); see also III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4.1(h).) In People v. Hollingsworth (1982), 89 III. 2d 466, defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The sentencing judge's order was ambiguous in that the order credited against defendant's sentence of imprisonment the time he served in custody after his arrest for the probation violation but did not expressly say anything about time served on probation. Relying on People v. Hills (1980), 78 Ill. 2d 500, we held that "filf the court decides to deny credit for probation time, it should say so; the point should not be left to inference or interpretation. If the court does not expressly deny credit, the defendant is entitled to it under section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections [citation], which contemplates that credit will usually be allowed." (89 III. 2d 466, 468.) Thus, if the order revoking probation, conditional discharge or supervision and sentencing defendant to imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is silent or ambiguous concerning unconfined probation time credit (see People v. Scheib (1979), 76 Ill. 2d 244), the time served while on probation will be automatically credited against the sentence of imprisonment. See also People v. Goodman (1984), 102 Ill. 2d 18, which permits credit under section 5-6-4(h) during the period probation, conditional discharge or supervision is tolled pursuant to section 5-6-4(a)(3) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(a)(3) ).

This Court believes the "automatic credit" provision of the Code would better serve the administration of justice if it were amended. As section 5-6-4(h) now stands, if, upon revoking defendant's probation, the judge sentences the defendant to short-term imprisonment, for example, and the sentencing order does not expressly say anything about probation time credit, or ambiguously says it, probation time credit will be given, even though such credit could make the sentence of imprisonment meaningless. Such an anomaly would defeat the purpose of the judge's sentence. Indeed, such a fact situation has been considered by the Illinois Appellate Court in several decisions. See People v. Tarter (1985), 131 III. App. 3d 703, where after revoking the defendant's conditional discharge the trial judge resentenced him to 14 days in jail which was rendered meaningless, a "most lugubrious" result, because the time he had already spent on conditional discharge exceeded 14 days, and People v. Austin (1983), 116 Ill. App. 3d 95, where defendant's sentence to 120 days in jail following probation revocation was rendered meaningless because time spent on probation had exceeded 120 days; see also People v. Weatherall (1985), 131 III. App. 3d 867, 870.

The Supreme Court, therefore, again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h)) to provide that, unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall *not* be credited against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment.

#### Section 5-8-1(c) Of The Unified Code of Corrections Should Be Reexamined

In People v. Crete (1986), 113 III. 2d 156, this Court addressed the question of whether section 5-8-1(c) of the Unified Code of Corrections permits the sentencing court to reduce or modify a sentence of imprisonment when the motion therefor is timely filed but not ruled upon until more than 30 days after the defendant is sentenced. Section 5-8-1(c) provides: "The trial court may reduce or modify a sentence, but shall not increase the length thereof by order entered not later than 30 days from the date that sentence was imposed. This shall not enlarge the jurisdiction of the court for any other purpose." III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c).

We examined the statute itself, considered the council commentary thereto, referred to appellate court decisions construing the statute, compared section 5-8-1(c) with a similar Federal provision, Rule 35(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and concluded "with some reluctance" that section 5-8-1(c)'s "explicit provision that the reduction or modification shall be 'by order entered not later than 30 days from the date that sentence was imposed' requires the finding that the motion must be ruled upon within 30 days of imposition of sentence" (113 III. 2d 156, 162). We commented that the purpose of section 5-8-1(c) is to provide the sentencing court with a meaningful means to review and, if appropriate, to modify or reduce the sentence, and we noted reasons for strict enforcement of the statute as well as reasons for relaxation of strict enforcement; however, we said the "language is clear and must be given its effect as written" (113 III. 2d 156, 163). We then recommended that the statute be modified by "an amendment similar to that effected to the [speedy trial statute] by Public Act 79-842" (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 103-5(f) ) or a provision similar to that provided in amended Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (1985). 113 III. 2d 156, 163.

The Supreme Court recommends that the General Assembly reexamine section 5-8-1(c) of the Unified Code of Corrections (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c)) and consider providing therein that, under certain circumstances, a timely motion to modify or reduce a sentence may be ruled upon more than 30 days following imposition of sentence.

## Trial Judge Should Determine Matters To Be Included In Presentence Report In Minor Offenses

Section 5-3-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) requires, unless the "parties agree to the imposition of a specific sentence," that a defendant convicted of a felony shall not be sentenced without the sentencing judge first considering "a written presentence report of investigation;" however, in other criminal cases the sentencing judge "may order" a presentence report. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-1.) While such a report is mandatory in sentencing for a felony (People v. Youngbey (1980), 82 III. 2d 556; see also People v. Harris (1985), 105 Ill. 2d 290 (report required before resentencing in felony probation revocation cases)), it is not, by the terms of section 5-3-1, required in minor offense cases (People v. Williams (1977), 45 III. App. 3d 287). Section 5-3-2(a) of the Code sets forth the matters which the presentence report "shall" contain, including, generally, the defendant's criminal history, his family background, special resources in the community that might be available to assist in the defendant's rehabilitation, the impact of the offense upon the victim, defendant's status since arrest, etc. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-2(a).) It is the content of the presentence report ordered by the sentencing judge, in his discretion, in minor offense cases, such as misdemeanors or traffic offenses, that causes some concern.

Probation officers, who are responsible for preparing the presentence report, and perhaps trial judges, view section 5-3-2(a) as requiring that the content of the report include all of the matters specified in the statute when the sentencing judge orders, in his discretion, a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Arguably that view is supported by case law. (*People v. Young* (1977), 52 III. App. 3d 671.) However, it is well recognized in this State, despite a recent trend to upgrade probation departments, that there is an insufficient number of probation officers and resources. Given these circumstances, probation officers and preparing written presentence reports

of investigation of defendants convicted of a felony, as required by section 5-3-1. The time and effort it takes a probation officer to prepare a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense, which includes all of the matters specified in section 5-3-2(a), obviously will lessen his or her availability to prepare presentence reports of defendants convicted of a felony. The sentencing judge would appear to be in the best position to know what matters he needs in a presentence report before sentencing a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Presumably, in most cases, such a report need not contain all of the matters required by section 5-3-2(a), and accordingly less time would be needed by a probation officer to prepare the report, allowing him or her to supervise, and prepare presentence reports, of felons.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly continue providing that presentence reports, when ordered in minor offense cases, shall contain only the matters that the sentencing judge directs be included.

# Persons Convicted Of A Minor Offense Should Be Allowed, Under Certain Conditions, To Expunge Their Criminal Records

In People v. Bushnell (1984), 101 III. 2d 261, our Court decided the question of whether a person, who led a law-abiding life for the 20 years following her conviction for a misdemeanor, could have her conviction record expunged. In Bushnell the defendant, in 1961, had been convicted of obtaining money under false pretenses, a misdemeanor, and was placed on probation for one year. She successfully completed probation. Then, in 1981, defendant petitioned the circuit court for an order to vacate her 1961 conviction so that she could then seek a court order to expunge her record of arrest and conviction. The circuit court considered that defendant had led a law-abiding life since her conviction and, in the interest of justice, granted her petition to vacate the conviction.

Our Court examined prior decisions of this Court and relevant statutory provisions, including section 5 of "An Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation" (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 206-5). Section 5, of course, refers to expungement of arrest and arrest-related records of a person not convicted; it does not permit expungement of judgments of conviction. We therefore concluded that a court does not have jurisdiction to expunge a record containing a judgment of conviction. But we went on to say that " 'there are obvious advantages in purging oneself of the stigma and disabilities which attend a criminal conviction' [citation]. In addition, we find merit to [the] argument that a person who has led a law-abiding life for 20 years after a certain misdemeanor conviction should be able to rid himself of the criminal record. However, since there is no statutory authority nor a common law or constitutional basis to grant such relief, the issue should more appropriately be addressed to the legislature." 101 Ill. 2d 261, 268.

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to provide statutory relief to persons who, having been convicted of certain minor offenses and having led a long law-abiding life thereafter, seek to expunge their criminal records.

### Inaccurate Terminology In Speedy Trial Statute Should Be Corrected

Section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, the so-called speedy trial statute, in several paragraphs refers to "an examination for competency ordered pursuant to Section 104-2 of this Act," "competency," and "incompetency." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, pars. 103-5(a), (b), (e).) Section 104-2 of the Code, however, was repealed, effective January 1, 1973, some 14 years ago, and was ultimately replaced by section 104-10 *et seq.* (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, pars. 104-10 *et seq.*). (See III. Ann. Stat., ch. 38, pars. 104-1 — 104-3, Historical Note, at 210 (Smith-Hurd 1980).) Furthermore, the relevant terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* refers to examinations to determine "fitness" or "unfitness," rather than "competency" or "incompetency" as formerly provided in repealed sections 104-1 — 104-3.

Our appellate court has recently noted that the present standard of "fitness" must be equated with "incompetence" for purposes of the speedy trial statute and that "[u]nfortunately, as a result of legislative oversight the reference to 'Section 104-2' and an examination for 'competency' [in section 103-5] was never changed to correspond to the present statutory provision nor was this section amended to reflect the new terminology of 'fitness' instead of 'competency.' " *People v. Sonntag* (1984), 128 III. App. 3d 548, 555, and cases cited therein; see also *People* v. *Clark* (1986), 148 III. App. 3d 669, 676-77 (the word "competency" in section 103-5 "must be understood to refer to \*\*\* fitness" in section 104-10 *et seq.*), and dissenting opinion in *People* v. *Williams* (1985), 137 III. App. 3d 816, 820-21 (Welch, J., dissenting).

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's assessment, and again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 103-5) so that it refers to the appropriate provision and incorporates the proper terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* of the Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 104-10 *et seq.*).

#### The Eavesdropping Statute Should Be Re-Examined

Article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 provides that a State's Attorney may secure approval from a "circuit judge" for an order authorizing or approving the use of an eavesdropping device. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*) However, experience has shown that on occasion no circuit judge will be available to rule on an application for use of such devices. For example, all of the circuit judges might be attending the constitutionally mandated annual meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference. (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 17.) In such situations, a hardship is worked on the State's Attorney who, it would seem, must wait for the return of a circuit judge in order to secure approval for the use of an eavesdrop.

To be noted, though, are pertinent provisions of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. Section 9 of article VI provides in part that "Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters \*\*\*." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 9.) The judges, who sit in the circuit court and possess and exercise its original jurisdiction, are of course the circuit judges and associate judges. Section 8 of article VI, however, provides that the Supreme Court "shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 8.) Our Rule 295 permits a chief judge to assign an associate judge to preside in any matters except the trial of felony cases. The rule then provides: "Upon a showing of need presented to the supreme court by the chief judge of a circuit, the supreme court may authorize the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year."

Considering the constitutional grant to the circuit courts of "original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters" which is exercised by both circuit and associate judges, the constitutional authority placed in this Court to determine matters assignable to associate judges, and our Rule 295, the Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq.).

There is another aspect of article 108A which is troublesome. Section 108A-11, as recently amended, requires State's Attorneys to file annually with the Supreme Court certain reports concerning the use of eavesdropping devices, and further requires this Court to file an annual eavesdropping report with the General Assembly. (Pub. Act 84-1395, sec. 6, effective January 1, 1987; Pub. Act 84-1428, sec. 6, effective July 1, 1987.) (Public Act 84-1395 provides that these reports are to be collected and compiled by the Supreme Court rather than by our administrative office as formerly provided in section 108A-11 (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-11); however, we have directed our administrative office to continue to collect and compile the reports.) These reports, however, arise from "the investigation of any felony" by law enforcement officials or agencies (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1) and are related to the prosecution of criminal offenses. It would therefore appear appropriate that the reporting and report-collection responsibilities are better reposed in an executive branch law enforcement agency rather than in our Court. Too, we note, as we did in our January 31, 1983, annual report to the General Assembly (reprinted in 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 32), that "'the proper relationship between the legislature and the court is one of cooperation and assistance' [citation] in matters concerning the administration of justice and functioning of our court and judicial system, but our constitutional duty to administer and supervise the courts, through the chief justice with the assistance of our administrative office [III. Const. art. VI, sec. 16], is greatly hindered when the General Assembly purports to mandate that the [Supreme Court] perform [administrative] functions as determined by the legislature."

For these reasons the Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider re-examining the reporting and report-collection requirements in section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as amended by Public Acts 84-1395 and 84-1428.

# Statutory Provisions Relating To The Selection Of Jurors Should Be Uniform

As a result of this Court's decision in *People v. Jackson* (1977), 69 III. 2d 252, the General Assembly amended section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code). That section now reads: "After examination by the court the jurors may be examined, passed upon, accepted and tendered by opposing counsel as provided by Supreme Court rules." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 115-4(f).) The Supreme Court, in 1982, adopted Rule 434, now Rule 434(a), which provides: "In criminal cases the parties shall pass upon and accept the jury in panels of four, commencing with the State, unless the court, in its discretion, directs otherwise \*\*\*." (103 III. 2d R. 434(a).) See *People v. Moss* (1985), 108 III. 2d 270,274.

However, similar and related sections in "An Act concerning jurors \*\*\*" (Jurors Act) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 78, pars. 21, 23) were not amended and, accordingly, do not appear to be in complete harmony with section 115-4(f) of the Code and Supreme Court Rule 434(a). Section 21 of the Jurors Act provides for the examination of prospective jurors and for their selection in panels of four. Section 23 makes the provisions of section 21 applicable to "both civil and criminal cases." Thus, there appears to exist a conflict between sections 21 and 23 of the Jurors Act and section 115-4(f) of the Code.

In addition, the procedure for jury selection in criminal cases, as provided in section 115-4(f) and Rule 434(a), is sound and consideration should be given to adopting that procedure in civil cases. Too, given recent legislative action concerning jurors (see Pub. Act 84-1428, secs. 9 through 13, effective July 1, 1987 (elimination of nearly all statutory occupational exemptions from jury duty) ), it would now seem propitious to modernize jury selection in civil cases as suggested.

The Court again urges the General Assembly to consider amending sections 21 and 23 of the Jurors Act to conform with section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to make the jury selection procedure in civil cases "as provided by Supreme Court rules."

## Section 2-616(d) Of The Code Of Civil Procedure Requires Reconsideration

The Illinois Appellate Court has ruled that, in certain circumstances, the application of the "relation back" statute unfairly penalizes a plaintiff bringing an action against a land trust beneficiary. (*Foster v. Leong* (1985), 139 Ill. App. 3d 492.) The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court and concurs with its call for corrective legislative action.

The relation-back statute, section 2-616(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, allows a person not originally named as a defendant to an action to be added as a party after the statute of limitations has run, provided five conditions are met. Section 2-616(d), including the condition in question here, provides: "A cause of action against a person not originally named a defendant is not barred by lapse of time under any statute or contract prescribing or limiting the time within which an action may be brought or right asserted, if all the following terms and conditions are met: \*\*\* (4) the person, within the time that the action might have been brought or the right asserted against him or her, knew that the original action was pending and that it grew out of a transaction or occurrence involving or concerning him or her; \*\*\*" (Emphasis added.) III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 2-616(d) (4).

In Foster v. Leong (1985), 139 Ill. App. 3d 492, the plaintiff brought a timely filed negligence action for injuries she sustained at a restaurant. A bank as land trustee was named defendant and was served after the statute of limitations had run. The bank identified the Leongs (defendants) as the land trust beneficiaries, and the bank was dismissed. Plaintiff then filed an amended complaint, naming defendants, which was dismissed because, the statute of limitations having expired, the condition specified in section 2-616(d) (4) was not met. The appellate court said that a land trustee sued within the statute of limitations but served after the statute has expired does not necessarily satisfy section 2-616(d) (4)'s condition that the beneficiary knew that the "original action was pending" within the statute of limitations period. The court noted that the mere filing of a suit against the land trustee is insufficient for the beneficiary to know that the action was pending and that, in effect, section 2-616(d) (4) "imposes an additional requirement on a plaintiff in that suit must not only be filed within the statute of limitations but service must be had upon the land trustee within the limitations period." (139 III. App. 3d 492, 495.) The court characterized the result as "unfairly penaliz[ing]" the plaintiff, and urged that section 2-616(d) "be amended so that the naming of the land trustee in effect names the beneficiary." 139 Ill. App. 3d 492, 495.

The Supreme Court commends to the General Assembly's attention the need for reconsideration of section 2-616(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 2-616(d) ) in light of the "unfair penalty" resulting to a plaintiff in the circumstances above-described.

## Statutes Governing Civil Motion Practice Should Be Clarified

Our courts continue to be confronted with a recurring problem in the application of the statutes governing civil motion practice when a party files a hybrid motion combining, in one motion, motions for dismissal and/or judgment under Code of Civil Procedure sections 2-615 (dismissal on pleadings), 2-619 (involuntary dismissal based upon defects or defenses), and 2-1005 (summary judgment). Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, pars. 2-615, 2-619, 2-1005.

The appellate court has aptly stated the problem:

"We raise \*\*\* a problem which appears to be recurring with undesirable frequency. Both defendants in this case \*\*\* have filed what purport to be combined sections 2-615 and 2-619 motions. [Citation.] No effort is made in either to apply the specific motion to specific portions of the complaint, nor to otherwise delineate their intended application. This approach to motion practice creates a hybrid motion which disregards the differences in theory and application each motion possesses and the potential prejudice which may result from the continued utilization of such a combined motion procedure [citation] and constitutes a practice which our supreme court has expressly disapproved [citation]." (*Rothe v. Maloney Cadillac, Inc.* (1986), 142 III. App. 3d 937, 939, *appeal allowed*, S. Ct. Doc. 63693.)

As noted in *Rothe*, this Court has expressly disapproved "hybrid motions." See Janes v. First Federal Savings & Loan Association (1974), 57 III. 2d 398 (combining in a single motion motions to dismiss and for summary judgment).

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to clarify the application of sections 2-615, 2-619, and/or 2-1005 of the Code of Civil Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, pars. 2-615, 2-619, 2-1005) in reference to hybrid-combined motions by providing, for example, that if a combined motion is filed, the movant must clearly show which points the movant relies upon under section 2-615, under section 2-619, and under section 6-1005.

# Applicability of the Unemployment Insurance Act To Closely Held Family Corporations Should Be Studied

Whether an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation, for whom the corporation made contributions to the unemployment trust fund, and who is otherwise eligible for unemployment benefits, is ineligible to receive unemployment compensation is a question this Court addressed in *Garland v. Department of Labor* (1984), 104 III. 2d 383. In *Garland* the Department of Labor (now the Department of Employment Security) denied unemployment benefits to plaintiffs, for the period they claimed unemployment, merely because during the period claimed they retained the status of corporate officers. Each plaintiff was an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation engaged in the construction business. Plaintiffs, as employees of the corporations, became unemployed solely because they were laid off as a result of the seasonal nature of the business, but they retained their status as corporate officers. Except for the retention of the corporate officer positions, there was no question that plaintiffs were eligible for benefits under the Unemployment Insurance Act (Act). See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq*.

The Court examined the Act in sections 100 (declaration of public policy), 206 (definition of employment), 234 (definition of wages), 239 (definition of unemployed individual), 1400 (payment of employer's contributions), and 2100 (handling of funds) (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 300, 316, 344, 349, 550, and 660), and concluded that plaintiffs were "unemployed individuals" and entitled to unemployment benefits. The Court specifically observed that the Act "contains no exclusionary provision which would deny benefits to an otherwise eligible claimant merely because he is an officer of a corporation." (104 III. 2d 383, 389.) However, in response to the contention that disallowing benefits to plaintiffs would "prevent such business owners and operators from manipulating their own employment status in order to subsidize the family (corporate) income with unemployment benefits," we noted that the Appellate Court (Garland v. Department of Labor (1984), 121 III. App. 3d 562, and Scott v. Board of Review (1984), 123 III. App. 3d 187) "considered this argument and concluded that the potential for abuse can only be eliminated by legislative action. We agree." (104 III. 2d 383, 391-92.) Furthermore, the concurring opinion commented that "the unemployment compensation system in its existing form is subject to manipulation and abuse by unscrupulous corporate owners and officers," that the Act is "not intended as a means of supplementing the income of corporate stockholders and officers in the form of unemployment benefits," and that the General Assembly should "reconsider the provisions of the Act as they pertain to assessments upon salaries of officer-employees in light of the potential for abuse." 104 III. 2d 383, 393 (Underwood, J., concurring, joined by Ryan, C.J.).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to study the provisions of the Unemployment Insurance Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq.*) as they apply to officer-employees of closely held family corporations.

# Procedures For Notice By Publication In Corporate Dissolution Cases Brought By The Attorney General Should Be Uniform

An action may be brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act of 1983 to dissolve a corporation (1) if the corporation's certificate of incorporation is obtained through fraud, (2) if the corporation has exceeded or abused its authority, or (3) if the corporation, its officers or directors have falsely or incompletely answered interrogatories propounded to them by the Secretary of State. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.50 (a).) If the Attorney General seeks to dissolve a corporation for abandonment of its corporate franchise, however, the action is brought under "An Act providing for the dissolution

of corporations in certain cases" (Corporation Dissolution Act). III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 190 et seq.

In proceedings under both acts, the circuit clerk's office causes the issuance of a summons as in other civil cases (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, pars. 12.60(a), 192). In a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Corporation Dissolution Act, if process is returned not found, then service by publication is made by the circuit clerk. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 192.) But, if process is returned not found in a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act, service by publication is made in an entirely different manner. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.60(b).) First, "the Attorney General shall cause publication to be made," rather than the circuit clerk's office. Second, the Attorney General "may include in one notice the names of any number of corporations against which actions are then pending in the same court." Finally, notice is published at least once a week for two consecutive weeks, rather than for the three weeks specified in the Corporation Dissolution Act.

The existence of two separate methods of service by publication in corporate dissolution cases brought by the Attorney General's office causes needless confusion in circuit clerks' offices. The clerk must ascertain the statutory basis for a complaint in order to determine whether notice should conform to the Corporation Dissolution Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 192) or whether the notice procedures of the Business Corporation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.60(b) ) must be followed. The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to examine the statutory provisions governing service by publication in corporate dissolution cases with an eye toward establishing a single notice procedure to be followed by circuit clerks' offices in these cases.

# The Election Code Provisions Governing Modification Of Boundaries Of Election Precincts By County Boards Should Be Clarified

The proper scope of authority granted to certain county boards for modifying the number and size of election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, pars. 11-1, 11-2) has been the subject of confusion and debate for a number of years. Our Court suggests that the General Assembly review sections 11-1 and 11-2 and provide a clarification of legislative intent, especially as to the permissible modification of election precinct boundaries and population by county boards.

The relationship between section 11-1 and section 11-2 of the Election Code has allowed for conflict of interpretation for many years. The issue of whether those two statutes allow for the county board to consolidate precincts has been a major point of controversy. The permissible limits of modification under these two statutory provisions have been the subject of at least two conflicting formal opinions of the Attorney General's office. (See 1976 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 139 and 1979 III. Att'y Gen. Op.

60.) Too, the Illinois Appellate Court has been called upon to interpret the consolidation issue of precincts under sections 11-1 and 11-2. (See *Town of Naples v. County of Scott* (1982), 111 Ill. App. 3d 186.) In concluding that the county board does not have the power to consolidate precincts under the Election Code, the majority opinion stated that the legislature should "reevaluate the relevant statutes for purposes of clarification and possible amendment, authorizing consolidation of election precincts \*\*\*" (111 Ill. App. 3d 186, 192), and the specially concurring opinion said, "The statutory language is confusing and \*\*\* urgently requires legislative clarification" (111 Ill. App. 3d 186, 194 (Green, J., specially concurring)).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to review and, where necessary, to clarify the authority given to certain county boards to modify election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code.

# Illinois Commerce Commission, Not Circuit Court, Should Determine Rates Charged By Municipal Utility To Consumers Outside Of Municipality

Should the circuit court, in absence of agreement between the parties, fix and determine the rates to be charged to consumers outside of a municipality's corporate limits for water pumped to them by a municipally owned and operated water utility? The Illinois Appellate Court thought not (see *Inland Real Estate Corp. v. Village of Palatine* (1982), 107 III. App. 3d 279, 284), and this Court agrees.

Two statutory provisions are implicated: section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Code) and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (Act). Section 11-117-4 of the Code provides in part that a municipality may sell water to consumers or users outside its corporate limits from a water plant owned and operated by the municipality, and for that purpose it may lay water mains, construct and operate pumping stations, etc., in which case, to allow the municipality a fair return to cover financing, construction, etc., the municipality and the party representing the consumers may enter into a contract for water rates to be charged; however, if the rates cannot be agreed upon, then "such rates shall be fixed and determined by the Circuit Court of the county in which the municipality which has financed, constructed, operated and maintained the improved [water] facilities is located." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4.) Section 3-105 of the Act defines "public utility" and specifically excludes from the definition "public utilities that are owned and operated by any \*\*\* municipal corporation of this State \*\*\*." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>, par. 3-105.) The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), of course, has general supervision over all public utilities, unless otherwise provided, including rate-making. See, generally, III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1113/3, par. 4-101 et seq.

In Inland Real Estate Corp., the appellate court ruled that section 3-105's predecessor, section 10.3 of the Act, eliminates from the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction and review municipally owned public utilities, and that no other language of the Act "manifests an intention of the legislature to provide otherwise or \*\*\* distinguishes municipal ownership of a utility within its corporate limits from ownership beyond its territorial boundaries." (107 III. App. 3d 279, 282.) The court said that section 10.3 (now section 3-105) is plain and unambiguous, and "[i]f the General Assembly had intended to create an exception for utilities owned by a municipality but located and serving customers outside its corporate limits, it has not so stated \*\*\*. Although we believe that such utilities should come within the authority of the ICC, we are of the opinion that any expansion of its jurisdiction to include municipally owned utilities beyond their corporate limits must come through the legislative process." (107 III. App. 3d 279, 284.) See also subsequent appeal after remand, 146 III. App. 3d 92, 100 (1986).

The Supreme Court concurs with the appellate court, and we add that the fixing and determination of utility rates, as provided in section 11-117-4 of the Code, is a responsibility better reposed in an executive or legislative agency which possesses special expertise, such as the Illinois Commerce Commission, rather than in the circuit court. The Court again invites the General Assembly to consider removing from section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4) the nonjudicial function that the circuit court shall fix and determine water utility rates, and, to the extent, necessary, amending section 11-117-4 of the Code and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>, par. 3-105) by placing such function in the Illinois Commerce Commission.

## The Use And Disclosure Of The "Rule Of 78's" In Consumer Loan Transactions Should Be Closely Examined

How extensively does the law require disclosure, in a consumer loan contract, of the amount of interest to be charged a borrower when the borrower prepays the loan? Is the mere reference in the contract to the "Rule of 78's" legally sufficient disclosure? These questions were addressed by the Court in *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 III. 2d 1, and we concluded, after analyzing the relevant Federal and State laws, that the mere reference to the Rule of 78's in a consumer loan contract is legally sufficient disclosure. But we expressed grave concern about the apparent injustice resulting from the use of the Rule of 78's.

Pursuant to the Federal Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. sec. 1601 *et seq.* (1982) ) and Federal Regulation Z (12 C.F.R. sec. 226 (1981) ), which implements the principles of the Truth in Lending Act, a lender in a consumer loan transaction must disclose in the loan agreement that, if the borrower prepays the loan, the borrower will receive a refund of the unearned finance charge. Typically, the lender discloses that the refund credit of interest charged for the period prepaid will be pursuant to the Rule of 78's method without explanation of how the Rule of 78's is higher in the first months of the loan than in the last months

and is greater than that provided in the actuarial method which measures true interest yield. Accordingly, under the Rule of 78's refunds of unearned finance charges on prepayment of a loan are always lower than under the actuarial method.

In Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc. (1986), 114 III. 2d 1, the Court ruled that disclosure under the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z does not require the lender to explain the operation of the Rule of 78's and that, because the disclosure required by the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (see III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 1211/2, par. 261 et seq.) is not more extensive than that required by the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, the lender's mere reference to the Rule of 78's in the loan agreement, but lack of explanation of its operation, does not violate the Illinois Act. (114 Ill. 2d 1, 8-18.) However, in response to the contention that the Rule of 78's is harsh and violates the public policy of this State, the Court said: "[T]he decision to prohibit the use of the Rule of 78's in consumer credit transactions is not a matter for the courts, but rather involves policy decisions more properly addressed by the legislature. [Citation.] We decline, therefore, to restrict or prohibit use of the Rule of 78's on public policy grounds, but we urge the legislature to promptly consider this matter which reflects an apparent injustice under the law as it concurrently exists." 114 Ill. 2d 1, 18.

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to closely examine Illinois consumer credit statutes and the disclosure required thereunder and under the Federal Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, which has been substantially revised (see *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 Ill. 2d 1, 12), insofar as that Act and Regulation apply to Illinois law, and to consider whether or not the use of the Rule of 78's should be restricted or prohibited.

# The Reference To Supreme Court Rule 302(a) In Workers' Compensation And Occupational Diseases Acts Should Be Deleted

Section 19(f) (2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (Acts) (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (2), 172.54(f) (2) ) provides that appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission "shall be taken to the Supreme Court in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 302(a)."

Prior to February 1, 1984, Rule 302(a) provided: "Appeals from final judgments of circuit courts shall be taken directly to the Supreme Court \*\*\* (2) *in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission* \*\*\*." (Emphasis added.) Effective February 1, 1984, however, Rule 302(a) was amended by deleting from subparagraph (2) the language emphasized above, and Rule 22 was amended to provide that such appeals be taken to the Industrial Commission division of the Illinois Appellate Court. (94 III. 2d Rules 22(g), 302(a); Yellow Cab Co. v. Jones (1985), 108 III. 2d 330.) Thus, as provided in Supreme Court Rule 22(g), appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission are now taken to the appellate court's Industrial Commission division, not to the Supreme Court. Obviously, the reference to our Court and Rule 302(a) in both Acts is now incorrect and misleading.

The Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider removing the reference to "Supreme Court" and "Supreme Court Rule 302(a)" presently contained in section 19(f) (2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f) (2), 172.54(f) (2) ).

## Penalty Provisions Of The Workers' Compensation Act Are In Need Of Clarification

In Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, and Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, a majority of the Court in each decision ruled that the Industrial Commission's penalty awards to the injured employee for unreasonable delay in payment of compensation by the employer under sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act) were not contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence. However, as pointed out in the dissenting opinion in each decision, the penalty provisions of the Act, sections 19(k) and 19(l), should be re-examined. See dissenting opinion in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, 14 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting, joined by Underwood and Moran, JJ.), and in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, 26 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting).

Section 19(k) of the Act states in relevant part that "where there has been any unreasonable or vexatious delay of payment \*\*\* of compensation \*\*\*, then the Commission may award compensation additional to that otherwise payable under this Act equal to 50% of the amount payable at the time of such award. Failure to pay compensation in accordance with [section 8(b)] shall be considered unreasonable delay." (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.19(k).) Section 19(l) of the Act provides in pertinent part that where "the employer \*\*\* shall without good and just cause fail, neglect, refuse or unreasonably delay the payment of weekly compensation benefits \*\*\* during the period of temporary total disability \*\*\* the Commission shall allow to the employee additional compensation in the sum of \$10 per day for each day that a weekly compensation payment has been so withheld or refused, provided that such additional compensation shall not exceed the sum of \$2,500." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.19(l).) In the dissenting opinion in each Board of Education decision, it was observed that it appeared the penalties for failure to pay compensation for temporary total disability were assessed under both section 19(k) and section 19(l) for the same alleged delay or default of the employer (93 III. 2d 1, 15, 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26), and in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 28, it was noted the Industrial Commission has with increasing frequency been awarding penalties under sections 19(k) and 19(l). (See also, e.g., Continental Distributing Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 407, and Tal Rauhoff Construction Co. v. Industrial Com. (1986), 149 III. App. 3d 892.) In the dissenting opinions, it was further observed that sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Act "appear to be overplapping and confusing, and are in need of clarification by the General Assembly" (93 III. 2d 1, 14), and that "it is imperative that the legislature reconsider the various penalty provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act and clarify their applicability" (93 III. 2d 20, 27).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to reexamine sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(k), 138.19(l)) and clarify when penalties may be assessed thereunder.

# Legislative Guidelines Are Needed For Rehabilitation Programs Ordered Under The Workers' Compensation Act

In several cases that have come before our Court, we have considered the rehabilitation provision of section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act). (See, e.g., Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 91 III. 2d 278, Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 86 III. 2d 489, and Kropp Forge Co. v. Industrial Com. (1981), 85 III. 2d 226.) In pertinent part section 8(a) requires that the employer pay for a work-related injured employee's necessary medical, surgical and hospital expenses, and further requires that the "employer shall also pay for treatment, instruction and training necessary for the physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation of the employee, including all maintenance costs and expenses incidental thereto. If as a result of the injury the employee is unable to be self-sufficient the employer shall further pay for such maintenance or institutional care as shall be required." III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a).

In Hunter the Industrial Commission, without taking evidence, ordered under section 8(a) of the Act the employer to provide all necessary medical expenses, treatment, instruction, and training necessary for the injured employee's physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation, including all maintenance costs and expenses, and necessary tuition costs and expenses to attend a university. This Court pointed out that, unlike workers' compensation statutes in other States, section 8(a) of the Illinois Act does not set forth a detailed scheme on the question of vocational rehabilitation but rather only states that the employer "shall also pay" for rehabilitative efforts when "necessary." The Court stated further that States, such as Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New Hampshire, have established procedures under which the injured employee is examined and evaluated by a public or local rehabilitation agency or by trained medical personnel of the State's compensation board, which then makes a recommendation as to whether rehabilitation assistance is necessary, and, if so, what it should be. We then stated that the "value of such a procedure is obvious. A court, rather than being compelled to gauge the necessity and value of a proposed rehabilitation program itself, is able to receive recommendations from trained rehabilitation personnel, which it can review." (86 III. 2d 489, 498.) We further stated that since Illinois does not have such a procedure, the nature and form of rehabilitation requested appears to be based on the claimant's wish unless, of course, he has received rehabilitation counseling through a public or private agency. To the same effect is our observation in *Zenith* where in paraphrasing *Hunter*, we said section 8(a) does not provide for "any statutory procedures to govern proposed rehabilitation programs." 91 III. 2d 278, 287.

The Supreme Court believes that the lack of legislative procedures to assist the courts and Commission in determining the extent of necessary vocational rehabilitation is a continuing concern. Too, our belief is shared by others. (See e.g., Donlevy and Moriarty, Vocational Rehabilitation Needs Legislative Rehabilitation, 1 CBA Record 28 (1987); Kuster, Vocational Rehabilitation in Workers' Compensation: A New Perspective, 74 III. B.J. 334 (1986); Power and North, Rehabilitation In Illinois, 73 Ill. B.J. 323 (1985); Gianforte, Industrial Rehabilitation In Illinois -An Evolving Process, 71 III. B.J. 668 (1983).) Cases in which the issue is raised continue to be appealed. (See, e.g., National Tea Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 97 III. 2d 424, C.D. Turner & Sons, Inc. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 231, and McLean Trucking Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 213.) In National Tea Co. we said, after quoting section 8(a): "The legislature has failed to set forth any procedures or standards to aid the Commission in determining the extent to which rehabilitation is 'necessary.' In view of the frequency with which this issue arises, it seems evident that some flexible guidelines should be established." (97 Ill. 2d 424, 431.) We then observed that the Commission has by rule taken a step in that direction but that the rule appeared to be applicable in limited situations. (97 III. 2d 424, 431.) And we noted, as we did in Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 91 Ill. 2d 278, that in Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com. (1981), 86 Ill. 2d 489, we observed that other States by statute "require employees seeking rehabilitation to be evaluated by State medical personnel or by a rehabilitation agency. The examiner then recommends whether, and what form of, rehabilitation assistance is necessary. [Citation.] This procedure \*\*\* could prove invaluable in assessing the feasibility of a program in which the claimant wishes to participate. It will also alleviate the concerns that rehabilitation costs will be 'routinely' awarded [citation], or based solely upon the claimant's wishes. [Citation.]" 97 Ill. 2d 424, 432.

In this Court's most recent decision dealing with this subject, we once again pointed out the lack of statutory guidelines for determining the need for rehabilitation and the development of individualized rehabilitation programs, and we noted that generalized rehabilitation awards were incomplete decisions of the Commission and therefore not final determinations. (*International Paper Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1984), 99 III. 2d 458, 464-66.) The Court then said:

"We view, with concern, what appears to be a growing practice of the Commission to routinely order employers to pay for \*\*\* rehabilitation of employees before sufficient evidence is presented to enable the Commission to order a specific plan of rehabilitation. Determination of the specific program \*\*\* requires further deliberation by either the litigants or the arbitrator. If judicial review is allowed before this determination is made, the courts will invariably be faced with piecemeal review of such cases, as litigants dissatisfied with the [ordered] rehabilitation program repeat the entire administrative and judicial review process. It is not unusual, in [workers'] compensation cases, for five years to pass between the time of injury and final judicial determination. \*\*\* The piece-by-piece review process \*\*\* can only exacerbate what is already an intolerably long delay. We hold \*\*\* that decisions of the Industrial Commission which include generalized rehabilitation awards that require further determination as to the extent and nature of such rehabilitation are interlocutory and, therefore, not reviewable by the circuit court." (99 III. 2d 458, 466.)

See Donlevy and Moriarty, Vocational Rehabilitation Needs Legislative Rehabilitation, 1 CBA Record 28 (1987), 31, where the authors discuss the impact of our decision in *International Paper Co.* 

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly examine whether rehabilitation counseling and procedures through public or private agencies should be provided for to assist the Industrial Commission and the courts where rehabilitation is contemplated under section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a)).

### Statute Governing Modification Of Child Custody Judgments Should Be Re-Examined

Section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Act) controls the modification of child custody judgments and, where there was once certainty, there is now some confusion because of a recent amendment to section 610.

Prior to July 1, 1982, section 610(b) of the Act provided the standards to be used by the trial judge in proceedings to determine whether a prior child custody judgment should be modified. The standards applied to all modification proceedings, whether or not the prior custody judgment was made less than (section 610(a)) or more than (section 610(b)) two years before, although a motion to modify could be made in "emergency" situations within two years following the prior custody judgment but the section 610(b) standards applied at the hearing in which the modification question was ultimately determined. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1979, ch. 40, pars. 610(a), (b).) Effective July 1, 1982, however, section 610 was amended by Public Act 82-715 (1981 III. Laws 3813, 3814-15) to make the standards revised thereby applicable only to proceedings in which the custody judgment sought to be modified was made more than two years before. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 610(b).) In short, by prefacing section 610(b) with the amendatory phrase "After the expiration of the 2 year period following a custody judgment specified in [section 610(a)]," the legislature has removed from section 610(a) the standards in section 610(b) which, prior to the 1982 amendment, had applied to section 610(a) custody modification proceedings where the prior judgment was made less than two years before. Now there are no express statutory standards to guide trial judges in making modification decisions under section 610(a). But see

III. Ann. Stat., ch. 40, par. 610, Supp. to Historical and Practice Notes, at 42-43 (Smith-Hurd 1986 (pocket part)) where it is intimated that the section 610(b) standards apply to section 610(a) modification hearings.

The Illinois Appellate Court discussed the effect of the 1982 amendment in *In re Custody of Carter* (1985), 137 Ill. App. 3d 439, and concluded that "through legislative oversight" the legislature "inadvertently failed to amend [section 610(a)] to state what standards to apply for \*\*\* motions filed within two years" (137 Ill. App. 3d 439, 442). (See also *In re Marriage of Clark* (1986), 149 Ill. App. 3d 613, 614-15, and *Mullins v. Mullins* (1986), 142 Ill. App. 3d 57, 70-72.) The Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly re-examine section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 610) with a view toward expressly providing that the revised standards in section 610(b) apply to all modification proceedings commenced under section 610.

# Procedures In The Mental Health And Developmental Disabilities Code For Involuntary Admission Following The First Admission Should Be Revised

Section 3-813 of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code (Code) provides that a person subject to involuntary admission and hospitalization may be initially admitted for up to 60 days which may be further extended for additional 180-day periods. (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91½, par. 3-813.) In situations where sequential orders of admission and hospitalization are entered by the circuit court and appeals are taken from one or more of the "interim" orders in the sequence, the appeal from one order pends in the reviewing court while a subsequent petition for extended hospitalization pends in the circuit court. The time frame in section 3-813 of the Code for filing subsequent petitions makes it impossible, as a practical matter, for the reviewing court to decide the appeal of an order before a subsequent petition must be filed. (An egregious example of the petition-appeal-subsequent petition situation is found in *People* v. *Lang* (1986), 113 III. 2d 407. See also *In re King* (1986), 148 III. App. 3d 741, 745.) In addition, no remedy is provided where a petition for admission and hospitalization is not heard by the circuit court within the statutory time frame. See III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91½, pars. 3-706, 3-800(b); see also *In re King* (1986), 148 III. App. 3d 741.

Recently, the appellate court commented on the aboveidentified deficiencies in the Code and urged corrective legislative action. (*People v. Williams* (1986), 146 III. App. 3d 638, 640, *In re Williams* (1986), 140 III. App. 3d 708.) In *In re Williams* (1986), 140 III. App. 3d 708, 713, the court said: "We urge the legislature to review the procedures which have been mandated for civil commitments and to revise those provisions which have presented the State and the courts with \*\*\* difficulties \*\*\*. We urge particular attention to the necessity of providing a means of dealing with petitions which overlap as a result of appellate review and of providing a remedy for a patient who does not receive a hearing within a reasonable time."

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's conclusion that there is a need to provide a means of dealing with petitions which overlap as a result of appellate review and to provide a remedy for a patient who does not receive a hearing within a reasonable time, and we urge the General Assembly to consider revising the relevant provisions of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch.  $91\frac{1}{2}$ , par. 1-100 et seq.).

# THE APPELLATE COURT

#### Jurisdiction and Organization

The Appellate Court is the intermediate court of review in the Illinois judicial system. Jurisdiction is conferred upon it by article VI, section 6 of the Constitution, which is included in Appendix A. Generally, appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court may be taken as a matter of right to the Appellate Court, except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. The Appellate Court may also exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. Acting pursuant to article VI, section 6, the General Assembly has provided that "final orders or determinations" of the Pollution Control Board (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 1111/2, par. 1041), "judgements" of the State Board of Elections concerning disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 46, par. 9-22), and final orders of the Illinois State Labor Relations Board, the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board and the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 48, pars. 1611, 1716) may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court.

Appellate Court judges are elected for 10 year terms (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10). Exercising its authority under article VI, section 5, the General Assembly has mandated the election of 18 Appellate Court judges from the First District and 4 judges from each of the other four districts. Article VI, section 5 requires the Supreme Court to establish the organization of the Appellate Court. It has done so in its Rule 22.

According to that rule, the First District of the court sits in Chicago, the Second District in Elgin, the Third District in Ottawa, the Fourth District in Springfield and the Fifth District in Mt. Vernon. The Supreme Court prescribes by order the number of divisions in each district and for the assignment of judges to divisions. The presiding judge of each division, who is chosen for a one year term, designates judges of the division to sit in panels of three, and such a panel constitutes the division for purposes of rendering a decision in a case. Concurrence of two of those three judges is necessary to a decision.

Judges of each district appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 18(a).) As of December 31, 1987, the Appellate Court clerks were Gilbert S. Marchman, First District; Loren J. Strotz, Second District; Bradley T. Fedoroa, Third District; Darryl Pratscher, Fourth District; and Walter T. Simmons, Fifth District. As required by Supreme Court Rule 24, each district maintains a research department supervised by a director of research and staffed by the Supreme Court

#### 1987 Appellate Court Caseload Summary

There were 7,651 total new filings in 1987, compared with 7,334 in 1986, an increase of 4%. Dispositions in 1987 amounted to 7,451, compared to 7,007 cases in 1986, an increase of 6%. There were 7,371 cases pending at the end of 1987, compared

with 6,868 in 1986, an increase of 7%. 2,024 cases were disposed of with opinions in 1987, compared with 1,761 in 1986, an increase of 15%. During 1987, Appellate Court judges disposed of 2,725 cases by Rule 23 order, compared with 2,740 in 1986, a decrease of almost 1%.

#### Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Appellate Court

Supreme Court Rule 22(e) creates an executive committee of the Appellate Court and provides for meetings of all judges of that court. Traditionally, the Appellate Court holds an annual meeting during the latter part of the year.

On November 12, 1987, the Appellate Court held its annual meeting, with Judge Glenn T. Johnson (1st District) presiding as chairman. In attendance were 34 appellate judges and staff of the administrative office of the courts.

Matters considered at the meeting included:

- (1) Introduction of Samuel D. Conti, the new director of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts.
- (2) Appointment of Appellate Court members to the Illinois Courts Commission. Appointed to the commission as members were Judges Francis Lorenz (1st District) and Allan Stouder (3rd District), and as alternates Judges David Linn (1st District) and Frederick Green (4th District).
- (3) Selection of Judge Moses W. Harrison (5th District) as the next chairman of the Illinois Appellate Court.

Director Conti delivered brief remarks to the assembled judges. He noted that he, with the Supreme Court's approval, is in the process of reorganizing the administrative office, with the view that the office's delivery of services to the courts will be enhanced, thereby creating an environment to help the judiciary perform the judging function more effectively and efficiently. With regard to the Appellate Court, he remarked that the administrative office will reevaluate the court's automation system, including word-processing, and he referred to innovative automation programs in other jurisdictions. Director Conti commented that the administrative office should study closely the volume of appeals and delay in processing appeals, and referred to programs in other courts that use as the record on appeal a videotape of the trial proceedings. He discussed, too, his plans for obtaining a larger appropriation to fund the attendance of appellate judges at out-of-State judicial education programs.

# Administrative Committee Of The Illinois Appellate Court

The administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court, created by order of the Supreme Court, studies and recommends methods by which the Appellate Court might improve the processing of appeals. The administrative office is the secretary to the committee.

As of December 31, 1987, the members of the administrative committee were:

Hon. Tobias Barry (3rd District), Chairman
Hon. Calvin C. Campbell (1st District)
Hon. Frederick S. Green (4th District)
Hon. Charles E. Jones (5th District) (until April 30)
Hon. Daniel J. McNamara (1st District)
Hon. Philip G. Reinhard (2nd District)
Hon. John J. Sullivan (1st District)
Supreme Court Liaison (vacant)

During 1987 the administrative committee did not meet.

### Supreme Court Assignment Of Judges To The Appellate Court

Article VI, sections 15 and 16, of the 1970 Illinois Constitution allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge, with his consent, to judicial service, and to assign temporarily a sitting judge to any court, except that an associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge. During 1987, the Supreme Court made these assignments to the Illinois Appellate Court:

Second District-

Fifth District-

First District— Blanche M. Manning, Cook County Circuit Judge (March 16, 1987 and until further notice.)

> James C. Murray, Cook County Circuit Judge (Nov 30, 1987 and until November 30, 1988)

Lawrence D. Inglis, 19th Circuit Circuit Judge (Dec 1, 1987 and until further notice.)

William R. Nash, 17th Circuit Circuit Judge (Dec 3, 1987 and until further notice.)

Horace L. Calvo, 3rd Circuit Circuit Judge (Oct 1, 1987 and until further notice.)

Henry Lewis, 2nd Circuit Circuit Judge (Oct 1, 1987 and until further notice.)

# THE CIRCUIT COURTS

#### Jurisdiction and Organization

The trial level court of general jurisdiction in Illinois is known as the Circuit Court. It has original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters, except: (1) in matters relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office; (2) where the Supreme Court exercises its discretionary original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus; and (3) by statute, the review of orders of the State Board of Elections. There are no courts of special or limited jurisdiction in Illinois. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4 and 5.) No judge of the Circuit Court has the power to review the decision of another and there are no trials *de novo*.

The State is divided into 22 judicial circuits by statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.1). Three circuits, Cook County and the 12th and 18th Circuits consists of a single county. The other 19 judicial circuits are composed of two or more contiguous counties as provided by law. Each judicial circuit has but one, unified Circuit Court.

There are two categories of judges in the Circuit Courts: (1) circuit judges and (2) associate judges. All judges must be licenced attorneys (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 11.) Circuit judges are initially elected, either on a circuit-wide basis or from the county where they reside. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, pars. 72.2, 72.42-1.) They serve for 6 year terms. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10.) In the Cook County Circuit, judges are elected from the City of Chicago, from the entire county or from the area outside Chicago. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.42.)

The circuit judges in each circuit select by secret ballot a chief judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the chief judge has general administrative authority over his court. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 7.)

Associate judges are appointed for four year terms by the circuit judges in their respective circuits. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs, 8, 10.) Like circuit judges, associate judges may exercise the full constitutional jurisdiction of the Circuit Court. However, Article VI, section 8 of the Constitution directs the Supreme Court to provide by rule for matters to be assigned to associate judges. The Court discharges this responsibility through Supreme Court Rule 295, discussed below.

#### 1987 Circuit Court Caseload Summary

The number of cases filed in the Circuit Courts of Illinois during 1987, excluding "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County, was 3,945,481 compared with 3,797,007 in 1986, an increase of 3.9%.

The number of cases disposed in 1987 was 4,249,285, com-

pared with 3,930,199 in 1986, an increase of 8.1%. Again these figures exclude "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County.

Cases pending at the end of 1987 totaled 876,125 compared with 863,081 at the end of 1986, an increase of 1.5%.

## 1987 Conference Of Chief Circuit Judges

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, a Conference of Chief Circuit Judges meets regularly to consider problems relating to the administration of the circuit courts and such other matters as may, from time to time, be referred to the Conference by the Supreme Court. As of December 31, 1987, the chief circuit judges were:

First Circuit: Hon. William A. Lewis Second Circuit: Hon. Terrence J. Hopkins Third Circuit: Hon. P.J. O'Neill Fourth Circuit: Hon. Michael R. Weber Fifth Circuit: Hon. Ralph S. Pearman Sixth Circuit: Hon. Rodney A. Scott Hon. John W. Russell Seventh Circuit: **Eighth Circuit:** Hon. David K. Slocum Ninth Circuit: Hon. William L. Randolph Tenth Circuit: Hon. Robert E. Manning **Eleventh Circuit:** Hon. William T. Caisley Twelfth Circuit: Hon. Michael A. Orenic Thirteenth Circuit: Hon. Alexander T. Bower Fourteenth Circuit: Hon. L.E. Ellison Fifteenth Circuit: Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr. Sixteenth Circuit: Hon. Joseph M. McCarthy Hon. David F. Smith Seventeenth Circuit: **Eighteenth Circuit:** Hon. Carl F.J. Henninger Nineteenth Circuit: Hon. Fred A. Geiger Twentieth Circuit: Hon. Richard A. Hudlin, IV **Twenty-First Circuit:** Hon. Patrick M. Burns Hon. Harry G. Comerford Cook County

Honorable Ben Miller was the liaison justice from the Supreme Court during calendar year 1987. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 42, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is the secretary of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges. The Conference met in January, February, March, April, May, June, September, October, November and December 1987.

#### **Out-of-Circuit Assignments**

During 1987, the Supreme Court entered 247 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to the Circuit Court of Cook County for a one or two week period. Through this assignment process, a total of 391 additional judge work weeks obtained to assist in processing Cook County cases. This is the equivalent of the work of approximately 6 or 7 additional full-time judges.

In 1987, the Supreme Court also entered 54 orders assigning circuit and associate judges to downstate circuits other than their home circuits. Like the Cook County assignments, these assignments are for limited periods of time.

#### **Rule 295 Assignments**

Article VI, section 8 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 authorizes the Supreme Court to "provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." In implementing this authority, the Supreme Court has provided in Rule 295 that an associate judge may hear any matter except the trial of criminal cases in which a defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. However, upon a showing of need, the Supreme Court may authorize the chief judge of a circuit to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of those criminal cases.

In 1987, the Supreme Court approved 196 requests from the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County to assign individual associate judges to hear criminal cases in which the defendant may be punished by imprisonment for more than one year. Court was for a period of six months.

The Court also approved 161 requests from downstate chief judges for permission to assign associate judges to these criminal cases. These requests were made for a total of 782 judgemonths, with most requests, 104 of them, made for six month periods.

# THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

The Illinois Constitution provides, in section 17 of article VI, that there shall be "an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice." Supreme Court Rule 41 implements section 17 by establishing membership in the Conference, creating an Executive Committee to assist the Court in conducting the Conference, and appointing the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts as secretary of the Conference.

The Judicial Conference membership includes the Supreme Court Justices, Appellate Court judges and all Circuit Court judges. The Supreme Court appoints six judges from Cook County and six judges from outside Cook County to serve three year terms on the Executive Committee.

In 1987, the Executive Committee members were:

Hon. Charles J. Durham, Chairman
Hon. Anthony M. Peccarelli, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Alexander T. Bower
Hon. Michael C. Close
Hon. William Cousins, Jr.
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham
Hon. Marvin D. Dunn
Hon. Joseph Schneider
Hon. John M. Telleen
Hon. Wayne C. Townley, Jr.
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh, Liaison

During 1987, the Executive Committee:

- (1) Selected the site, topics and faculty for the 1987 annual program of the Illinois Judicial Conference.
- (2) Monitored the work of the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee in planning the annual Associate Judge Seminar.
- (3) Monitored the work of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education in planning the yearly Regional Seminar Series.
- (4) Monitored the work of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education in planning and presenting the Annual New Judge Seminar.
- (5) Approved the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee's Study Committee Report on Adoption Law, and recommended that the Supreme Court accept the report.
- (6) Reviewed and approved the Study Committee to update the Juvenile Forms Handbooks Report, and recommended that the Supreme Court approve the report.

#### 1987 Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Judicial Conference

The thirty-fourth annual meeting of the Judicial Conference was conducted on Wednesday-Friday, September 2-4, 1987 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. Four hundred and twelve of the four hundred fifteen judges of the circuit and reviewing courts were present.

James A. Gainey of the National Center for State Courts addressed the opening session of the Conference. Chief Justice William G. Clark presented a "state of the judiciary" address at the dinner session on the opening evening. Justice Seymour Simon presided at the Thursday luncheon program honoring recently retired judges.

Six different topics were offered during the Conference. Each presentation was  $2\frac{1}{2}$  hours in length. The topics were:

Judicial Ethics and Conduct Civil Law Criminal Law Evidence Injunctions in Declaratory Relief Sanctions

Each of the topics was presented by committees comprised of judges assisted by professor-reporters from various law schools.

#### 1987 Associate Judge Seminar

The Annual Associate Judge Seminar Program is prepared by a committee appointed by the Executive Committee with the approval of the Supreme Court. The Coordinating Committee for the 1987 program was comprised of the following judges.

Hon. Francis Barth, Chairman
Hon. W. Charles Witte, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Lester A. Bonaguro
Hon. J. David Bone
Hon. Alan W. Cargerman
Hon. Michael J. Colwell
Hon. Loretta C. Douglas
Hon. Jerry D. Flynn
Hon. Blanche M. Manning
Hon. Joe Billy McDade
Hon. Julia M. Nowicki
Hon. Marjan P. Staniac
Hon. Jane D. Waller
Hon. William S. Wood
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham, Liaison

The Associate Judge Seminar was presented at the Hyatt Regency Hotal in Chicago on Wednesday-Friday, March 18-20, 1987. Three hundred fifty-four of the three hundred sixty-five
associate judges in Illinois were present.

Justice Daniel P. Ward addressed the attendants at the Thursday luncheon. Judge Jane D. Waller orally presented the report of the Study Committee on Adoption Law at the Wednesday opening session.

All associate judges were required to attend a session on judicial ethics and conduct. Each seminar attendant elected to attend three of the following topics. All topical presentations were prepared by judges with the assistance of law professors:

Civil Law Contempt Criminal Domestic Relations Evidence

#### 1987 New Judge Seminar

Under the direction of Justice Seymour Simon, the Supreme Court liaison to the new judge educational programs, the subcommittee on judicial education prepared and presented the New Judge Seminar on November 16-19, 1987 at the Holiday Inn in Chicago. The entire program was presented by judicial faculty. All sixty-two of the judges who assumed judicial office since the last new judge seminar attended the program. Appellate, circuit and associate judges were in attendance. At the opening session program Justice Simon offered introductory remarks and Judge Charles J. Durham, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, described the general operation of the continuing judicial education programs of the Conference. Samuel D. Conti gave an overview of the evolution and structure of the Illinois judicial system.

A panel of experienced judges presented a session on judicial ethics and conduct, followed by a discussion of the law of contempt. Following dinner Judges Earl Arkiss, Alan Cargerman and John Shonkwiler discussed the use of contempt in a courtroom. On the second day of the program, Judge Allen Hartman spoke on motion practice and various judges spoke on trial practice. During the luncheon Judge Abraham Lincoln Marovitz of the United States District Court spoke on his experience and offered many helpful suggestions on the transition from lawyer to judge.

Sessions on judgements and orders, instructions and criminal law were offered for the remainder of the second day and the morning of the third day. Judge Thomas R. Fitzgerald of Cook County and Carl F.J. Henninger of the 18th Circuit discussed instructions, including presentation of a mock conference on instructions in a criminal case. The criminal law session was presented by Judge Brian L. Crowe (Cook County), Judge Richard A. Lucas (18th Circuit), Judge Blanche M. Manning (1st District) and Robert S. Hill (2nd Circuit).

#### **1987 Regional Seminar Programs**

In 1987 the Judicial Conference conducted six programs. Each was a 2½ day format. The regional programs were selected, planned and monitored by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education. This committee was comprised of:

Hon. Allen A. Hartman, Chairman
Hon. Brent F. Carlson
Hon. Robert L. Carter
Hon. Marvin D. Dunn
Hon. Robert S. Hill
Hon. Carl A. Lund
Hon. Dom J. Rizzi
Hon. Warren D. Wolfson

The sites, topics and attendance for the program offered during 1987 were:

DATE	TOPIC	SITE	ATTENDANCE
2/26-28	Wards of the Court	Oakbrook	72
4/9-11	Evidence	Rockford	76
5/7-9	Wards of the Court	Urbana	57
5/28-30	Ethical Issues in		
	Law & Society	Galena	82
10/8-10	Ethical Issues in		
	Law & Society	Urbana	59
10/29-30	Judicial Management		
	of a Civil Case	Collinsville	e 64

The Wards of the Court seminars were presented by:

Hon. William S. White Hon. Francis Barth Hon. Henry A. Budzinski Hon. Robert J. Steigmann Hon. W. Charles Witte Hon. Stephen R. Yates Hon. Robert L. Carter Prof. Diane C. Geraghty Prof. Donald H.J. Hermann

The seminar focused on an introduction to mental health issues and discussed guardianships for minors and disabled adults.

The seminar also pointed out issues relating to the jurisdiction, delinquency and miscellaneous issues in the area of juveniles.

The Evidence program covered the topics of judicial notice, expert opinion, judicial knowledge and discoverability (privilege and work product). A discussion regarding federal rules of evidence that have been adopted formally or informally by the Illinois reviewing courts was also presented. The evidence program was presented by:

Hon. George M. Marovich Hon. Robert W. Howerton Hon. William E. Black Hon. Themis N. Karnezis Hon. Stephen L. Spomer Prof. James P. Carey Prof. John E. Corkery

The Ethical Issues in Law and Society seminars required the attendees to read *Billy Budd, Antigone* and other dramatic novels. During the  $2\frac{1}{2}$  day seminar, the attendees broke into small discussion groups led by the faculty. The faculty consisted of the following members:

Hon. George W. Unverzagt, Chairman Hon. William Cousins, Jr. Hon. Kenneth E. Gillis Hon. Roy F. Lawrence Hon. Albert G. Webber, III Prof. William Braithwaite Prof. Marc Kadish The final seminar presented during this year, Judicial Management of a Civil Case Trial, presented a comprehensive coverage of issues raised throughout the course of a trial. A videotape presentation displayed various issues which were then discussed by the faculty. The faculty was comprised of the following members:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson, Chairman Hon. Robert L. Carter Hon. Charles W. Chapman Hon. Robert W. Howerton Prof. Charles Purcell

#### **1987 Study Committees**

No study committee reports were reviewed by the Executive Committee in 1987.

# THE COURTS COMMISSION

Since July 1, 1971, disciplinary proceedings against judicial officers in Illinois have been bifurcated. The Judicial Inquiry Board, composed of lay persons, lawyers and judges, conducts investigations against judges, files formal voted complaints against judges with the Courts Commission, and prosecutes those complaints before the Commission. The five judges who comprise the Commission hear those complaints, make findings, and enter dispositive orders of dismissal or of imposition of sanctions. A judge may be disciplined by removal from office, suspension with or without pay, retirement, censure or reprimand. Pursuant to rule of the Commission, the Administrative Director is the Commission Secretary. For further information on the history of judicial discipline in Illinois, see the 1975 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois, pages 60-65 and the Prefatory Note, 1 III. Cts. Com., pages ix-xxii.

The judicial officers who have been appointed as members of the judicial disciplinary entities are, as of December 31, 1987:

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Judicial Inquiry Board: Circuit Judge Philip B. Benefiel, Second Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Edward H. Marsalek, Cook County

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Courts Commission: \*Supreme Court Judge Thomas J. Moran (chairman)

\*Circuit Judge James C. Murray, Cook County

\*Circuit Judge Rodney A. Scott, Sixth Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Arthur L. Dunne, Cook County (alternate) Circuit Judge John E. Sype, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (alternate)

Appointed by the Appellate Court to the Courts Commission: \*Appellate Court Judge Francis S. Lorenz, First Judicial District \*Appellate Court Judge Allan L. Stouder, Third Judicial District

Appellate Court Judge David Linn, First Judicial District (alternate)

Appellate Court Judge Frederick S. Green, Fourth Judicial District (alternate)

\*Present members of the Courts Commission.

During the period July 1, 1971 through December 31, 1987, the Judicial Inquiry Board had filed 44 formal complaints with the Courts Commission. The dispositions of the complaints by the Commission were as follows:

Respondents removed from office	- 3
Respondents suspended without pay	- 9
Respondents censured	- 3
Respondents reprimanded	- 9
Complaints dismissed	-17
Commission order expunged by Supreme Court	- 1
Complaints pending	- 2

Before reciting the 1987 activities of the Courts Commission, it should be noted that the Commission in 1987 authorized publication of an updated second volume of its Official Reports. The volume, 2 III. Cts. Com. 1-116, is a pamphlet containing all cases decided by the Commission since publication of 1 III. Cts. Com. in 1980. With publication of the updated pamphlet, all Courts Commission decisions have now been published in the Official Illinois Courts Commission Reports.

During 1987, four formal complaints were filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board with the Courts Commission; the Commission decided two of those complaints and one that had been filed in 1986. The 1987 activities of the Illinois Courts Commission were as follows.

(1) Complaint 86-CC-1 charged in four counts a Cook County circuit judge with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he, while a judge, engaged in a fee-splitting arrangement with lawyers, heard cases in which he had a financial or other conflict of interest, and failed to report real estate income to the tax authorities or disclose it on his judicial statements of economic interests. The complaint alleged that the judge continued to receive a division of lawyers' fees long after he assumed the bench; that the judge heard and referred to other judges for hearing court cases involving real estate in which he had a financial interest; that the judge heard cases in which lawyers with whom he had business relationships appeared; that the judge did not report income from his real estate dealings to Federal and State tax agencies and did not disclose fully his income and financial relationships in his statements of economic interests; and that his conduct violated Supreme Court Rules 61(c) (4), 61(c) (12), 61(c) (21) through 61(c) (23), 66 and 68. The judge resigned June 19, 1986, the day before the complaint was filed, and in a separate action the supreme court concluded that the resignation was effective June 19 (see M.R. 4001 (June 30, 1986)).

On January 28, 1987, the Commission rules that while the "charges against the respondent are most serious ones," "neither the Commission nor the Board has jurisdiction over the matter because of the respondent's resignation prior to the filing of the Complaint." See 2 III. Cts. Com. 100.

(2) Complaint 87-CC-1 charged in two counts an associate judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he, in 1986, while presiding in two juvenile matters in the circuit court of DuPage County, made "racially disparaging, intemperate, injudicious, and discourteous" remarks in one proceeding and "rude, intemperate, disparaging, and injudicious" remarks in the other proceeding. The complaint alleged that the respondent violated Supreme Court Rules 61(b), 61(c) (4) and 61(c) (8). The respondent resigned his judicial office, effective June 30, 1987.

On August 10, 1987, the Commission, noting that the respondent had resigned from judicial office, ordered that the complaint be dismissed. See 2 III. Cts. Com. 109.

(3) Complaint 87-CC-2 charged a Cook County circuit judge with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he, while presiding in three criminal cases during 1980, 1981 and 1986, made "disparaging, intemperate, injudicious, and rude" remarks to three women defense attorneys. The complaint alleged that the respondent violated Supreme Court Rules 61(b), 61(c) (4) and 61(c) (8). In a joint stipulation between the respondent and Judicial Inquiry Board, the respondent admitted the allegation of the complaint and his guilt to the charges, agreed to make a public apology, and accept a penalty of reprimand.

On July 30, 1987, the Commission found the respondent guilty of violating Supreme Court Rules 61(b), 61(c) (4) and 61(c) (8), and imposed the penalty of reprimand on the respondent. See 2 III. Cts. Com. 111.

(4) Complaint 87-CC-3 charged a circuit judge of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, in a three-count complaint, with willful misconduct and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he forced the resignation of his judicial secretary after she terminated her "long-standing personal, romantic and sexual relationship" with the respondent; that the respondent while presiding, in 1987, in a criminal case, "empaneled a jury in the absence of the parties and counsel for the parties"; and that the respondent "failed to cooperate with the Illinois Judicial Inquiry Board in its investigation of allegations of misconduct concerning his judicial behavior". The complaint alleged that the respondent violated Supreme Court Rules 61, 62(A), 63(A) (1) and 63(A) (4).

It is anticipated the Commission will hear this complaint in 1988.

(5) Complaint 87-CC-4 charged a Cook County circuit judge, in a two-count complaint, with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he, in 1983, "did for the purpose of obtaining a mortgage loan in the State of Florida execute and cause to be delivered to a bank certain documents which falsely claimed the existence of a \$15,000 down payment," and that he, in 1987, "pled guilty to a criminal information brought by the State of Florida concerning the execution and submission of these false documents, for which offense he was convicted" and fined. The complaint alleged that the respondent violated Supreme Court Rules 61 and 62.

The Commission is expected to set a hearing on the complaint in March of 1988.

# THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

#### Introduction

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (see Appendix B for historical development) is established pursuant to article VI, section 16 of the Constitution of 1970, to assist the Chief Justice to carry out his duties in exercising the administrative and supervisory authority of the Supreme Court over all the courts. As that authority encompasses every aspect of the judicial system, the functions of the Administrative Office cannot be exhaustively delineated. However, these functions generally include personnel and fiscal management, continuing judicial education, maintenance of records and statistics, service as secretary to the committees and other organizations, liaison activity with the legislative and executive branches, management of court facilities and equipment, administration of programs under several Supreme Court Rules, research and planning. (Compare 1974 A.B.A. Standards Relating to Court Organization (Standard 1.41) (responsibilities state court administrative offices).) Within each of these categories fall the specific duties of the Administrative Office which are reported in greater detail in this chapter.

Other functions of the Administrative Office are not explained separately below. The office is charged by Supreme Court Rule 21(d) with keeping filed copies of Appellate Court and Circuit Court rules. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 68, the Administrative Director is the custodian of verified statements of economic interest which are filed by Illinois judges for the years prior to 1987. Sealed statements filed under this rule may be opened only by the Supreme Court or by the Illinois Courts Commission when specifically authorized by the Supreme Court for use in proceedings of the Commission. Parties to an action may request from the Director information concerning unsealed lists of businesses in which a judge or members of his immediate family have a financial interest.

The Director and his staff appear before the appropriation committees of the General Assembly to testify concerning the State judicial budget, and they are frequently called upon to advise the judiciary committees on proposed legislation affecting the courts. They also address civic groups, bar associations, legislative commissions and court reform groups concerning court administration and the structure and operation of Illinois' unified court system. The educational responsibilities of the office additionally include answering telephone and mail inquiries from the general public about the court system.

Citizens, judges, lawyers, court administrators from other states, and persons from foreign nations visit the Administrative Office and the Illinois courts. One task of the Administrative Office is to explain the Illinois court system to them and arrange visits to courthouses and with judges. The office can also arrange for Illinois judges to visit the State's penal institutions in order to foster a greater understanding of the correctional system. The work of the Administrative Office has been greatly expanded in the last decade with the addition of two new departments. In 1978, a Probation Division was created to establish standards and provide salary subsidies for probation officers. The Supreme Court approved the addition of Judicial Management Information Services to the Administrative Office in late 1980. This staff plans and coordinates the installation of automated recordkeeping systems throughout the court system. The activities of these branches are detailed further in this chapter.

#### National Center For State Courts Study

In 1987, the National Center for State Courts completed a study of the Administrative Office at the request of the Supreme Court. This comprehensive study made a wide range of recommendations to the Court and, as adopted by the Court will assist the Administrative Office in continuing to be an effective force in the administration of the Illinois Court system. The implementation of the NCSC study recommendations will be completed in 1988 and will be fully addressed in the 1988 Supreme Court Annual Report.

#### Personnel

The Administrative Office maintains two locations — the headquarters in Springfield and a second office in Chicago. During 1987, the Administrative Office staff totaled 129. Positions were allocated as follows:

- 1 Director
- 1 Deputy Director
- 5 Assistant Directors
- 2 Staff Attorneys
- 1 Supervisor of Accounting
- 3 Assistant Supervisors
- 4 Administrative Assistants
- 1 Account Executive
- 14 Accountants
- 10 Secretaries
- 2 Statisticians
- 1 File Clerk
- 1 Messenger
- 45 J.M.I.S. Specialists
- 1 Supervisor of Probation
- 37 Probation Division Personnel

In April 1987, Arthur Young and Company completed a major personnel study for many of the nonjudicial employees in the judicial branch. Recommendations were made for implementation of a salary administration program and for the development of a performance appraisal system and a personnel policy manual. The General Assembly provided funds in State FY'88 to implement the first phase of the salary administration program. The commencement of the compensation plan is scheduled for calendar year 1988.

#### **Accounting Division**

Over two decades elapsed since the unified court system of Illinois, the Judicial Article, became effective January 1, 1964. Enactment of the Article provided the potential for centralizing the fiscal management of the judiciary within the Administrative Office, and specifically in the Accounting Division.

Prior to 1964, certain judicial costs were paid either by the County or the Auditor of Public Accounts, State of Illinois. As the unification of the judicial system occurred, fiscal management became centralized within the Accounting Division. The charts which follow graphically demonstrate how a statewide fiscal management system gradually replaced the independent and uncoordinated methods of payment.

In late 1987, a major effort was initiated to modernize the practices of the Accounting Division and enhance the management of public funds appropriated to the Illinois Courts.

## SUPREME COURT

Fiscal Year	Supreme Court Expenditures	Supreme Court Clerk's Expend.	Supreme Court Research's Expend.
1964 <sup>1</sup>			
1965	752,161.		
1966 <sup>1</sup>			
1967	864,905.		
1968 <sup>1</sup>			
1969	1,029,221.		
1970	652,144.	1999 - P	
1971	696,418. <sup>2</sup>		
1972	873,750.		
1973	996,899.		
1974	1,026,765.		
1975	1,136,733.	201,599. <sup>3</sup>	
1976	1,399,888.	206,870.	
1977	1,512,528.	220,437.	
1978	1,625,387.	246,681.	
1979	1,910,933.	250,538.	
1980	2,029,322.	267,937.	
1981	2,191,376.	285,366.	63,305. <sup>4</sup>
1982	2,557,692.	295,057.	93,914.
1983	2,480,703.	352,882.	128,624.
1984	2,282,005.	365,127.	147,333.
1985	2,881,038.	368,383.	157,467.
1986	2,938,135.	395,657.	199,816.
1987	3,191,626.	429,177.	208,677.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Includes \$12,159 special bill for Reporter of Decisions.

 $^{3}$ Operational costs of the Clerk's Office were assumed by the Accounting Division in 1975.  $^{4}$ Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1981.

Fiscal Year	Administrative Office Expenditures	Judicial Management Information System	Judicial Conference
1964 <sup>1</sup>			
1965	287,273.		87,715. <sup>1</sup>
1966			
1967	432,165.		134,080.
1968			
1969	484,693.		146,495.
1970	354,156.		127,903.
1971	399,549.		117,188.
1972	447,501.		92,324.
1973	453,018.		59,974.
1974	510,092.		112,233.
1975	534,045.		159,172.
1976	584,890.		170,608.
1977	625,536.		200,215.
1978	687,024.		189,147.
1979	712,448.		224,754.
1980	802,694.		241,215.
1981	926,726.		277,708.
1982	926,029.	493,646.2	328,730.
1983	1,070,888.	806,050.	323,412.
1984	1,154,801.	1,461,062.	340,304.
1985	1,283,349.	1,785,898.	372,896.
1986	1,452,244.	2,915,852.	401,875.
1987	1,520,162.	2,255,617.	448,561.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Judicial Management Information System established July 1, 1982.

### **Miscellaneous Accounts**

Fiscal Year	Travel-Cir. Judges Expenditures	Travel — Shorthand Reporters	Transcription Fees
1964 <sup>1</sup>		1	1
1965	172,150.	61,623.	207,471.
1966			
1967	247,836.	80,206.	235,396.
1968			
1969	366,001.	90,390.	349,406.
1970	179,815.	51,193.	223,474.
1971	214,979.	55,746.	278,634.
1972	204,390.	50,113.	357,114.
1973	215,465.	53,311.	399,889.
1974	235,418.	55,828.	507,106.
1975	274,981.	64,935.	574,964.
1976	310,759.	79,953.	812,882.
1977	278,528.	73,630.	780,674.
1978	235,034.	78,609.	1,067,552.
1979	322,023.	72,373.	1,066,562.
1980	454,200.	92,640.	1,286,069.
1981	448,505.	94,040.	1,424,900.
1982	488,923.	114,003.	1,496,414.
1983	494,390.	113,889.	1,561,916.
1984	464,514.	110,183.	1,456,692.
1985	528,779.	112,509.	1,308,767.
1986	518,354.	115,641.	1,391,584.
1987	503,235.	117,067.	1,677,136.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

Fiscal Year	Illinois Courts Commission	Impartial Medical	III. Jury (Pattern) Instruction
1964	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1
1969	1	7,722. <sup>3</sup>	1
1970	1	4,355.	3,244.4
1971	5,698. <sup>2</sup>	4,767.	1,193.
1972	873.	6,181.	151.
1973	2,841.	15,791.	-0-
1974	8,981.	14,477.	1,992.
1975	6,073.	19,966.	3,960.
1976	3,004.	18,140.	9,527.
1977	7,171.	8,012.	3,502.
1978	1,139.	11,619.	4,222.
1979	1,102.	9,022.	9,288.
1980	11,951.	9,662.	6,304.
1981	5,896.	9,608.	9,439.
1982	2,980.	6,106.	8,405.
1983	1,898.	6,125.	6,715.
1984	5,224.	5,089.	7,510.
1985	2,965.	4,694.	13,127.
1986	-0-	2,178.	13,167.
1987	7,260.	6,161.	19,796.

<sup>1</sup>Services not established during this period.

<sup>2</sup>Illinois Courts Commission created by Constitutional Amendment effective July 1, 1971.

<sup>3</sup>Impartial Medical legislation effective July 1, 1969.

<sup>4</sup>III. Jury Instruction appropriation legislated July 1, 1970.

Fiscal Year	Probation-Operation Expenditures	Probation Training	Probation Subsidy	Probation Grants/Aid	Probation D.U.I.
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1	. 1	1
1974	1	1	1	1	1
1975	1	1	1	1	1
1976	1	1	1	1	1
1977	1	1	1	1	1
1978	1	1	1	1	1
1979	91,689. <sup>2</sup>	48,838.2	3,115,735. <sup>2</sup>	1	4
1980	155,630.	266,374.	6,030,091.	1	4
1981	178,199.	309,334.	6,131,901.	1	4
1982	204,622.	401,528.	6,266,929.	1	4
1983	199,129.	422,098.	6,394,400.	1	4
1984	373,572.	458,333.	6,691,643.	1,231,091. <sup>3</sup>	4
1985	582,313.	469,333.	7,241,818.	7,177,197.	4
1986	672,132.	479,569.	9,247,377.	8,064,450.	99,528. <sup>4</sup>
1987	812,994.	591,173.	14,945,440.	9,444,184.	690,675.

<sup>1</sup>Probation Division was not under the auspices of the Supreme Court.

<sup>2</sup>Probation Operation expenditures, training and subsidy legislation became effective July 1, 1979.

<sup>3</sup>Legislation to provide Probation Grants-in-Aid became effective July 1, 1984.

<sup>4</sup>Legislation to provide Probation D.U.I. became effective FY 86.

Fiscal Year	Probation Research & Evaluation	Probation OSP — Individual Services	Probation Pre-Trial Services
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967			
1968			
1969			
1970			
1971			
1972			
1973			
1974			
1975			
1976			
1977			
1978			
1979			
1980			
1981			
1982			
1983			• •
1984			
1985			
1986			
1987	35,986.1	43,581.1	68,479.1

<sup>1</sup>Account established FY 87.

Fiscal Year	Out-of-State Education Programs	Court Reporters Recruitment	Appellate Co-Ordinator	Circ. Clerk Training	Circ. Clk. Stipend Additional Dutie
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	. , 1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	. 1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1	1	1
1974	1	1	1	1	1
1975	1	2,626. <sup>3</sup>	1	1	1
1976	1	10,000.	1	1	1
1977	1	9,994.	1	1	1
1978	1	19,146.	1	1	1
1979	1	23,859.	1	1	1
1980	1	24,608.	1	1	1
1981	1	25,483.	1	1	1
1982	10,548. <sup>2</sup>	31,067.	62,577.4	1	1
1983	17,598.	34,979.	66,137.	1	1
1984	18,463.	32,564.	10,607.	1	1
1985	23,371.	34,008.	4	36,086. <sup>5</sup>	176,750. <sup>5</sup>
1986	16,795.	35,342.	4	5,700.	353,500.
1987	22,750.	38,445.	4	3,173.	353,500.

<sup>1</sup>Services not established during this period.

<sup>1</sup>Services not established during this period.
<sup>2</sup>Appropriations authorized July 1, 1982 for Out-of-State Education Programs.
<sup>3</sup>Court Reporters' Recruitment inaugurated July 1, 1975.
<sup>4</sup>Appellate Co-ordinator appointed by Supreme Court July 1, 1982 on a pilot basis. Program discontinued in 1984.
<sup>5</sup>Circuit Clerk Training & Circuit Clerk Stipend for Additional Duties established in 1985.

# Appellate Court - First District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	836,447.1		
1968			
1969	957,233.		
1970	512,296.		
1971	608,368.		
1972	623,233.		
1973	741,860.		
1974	637,771.		
1975	941,718.	358,860.2	158,425. <sup>2</sup>
1976	1,222,205.	397,629.	197,965.
1977	1,212,142.	386,667.	180,229.
1978	1,234,358.	413,921.	210,516.
1979	1,298,080.	417,076.	208,770.
1980	1,487,668.	413,013.	226,541.
1981	1,623,868.	441,441.	275,689.
1982	1,723,072.	430,694.	312,482.
1983	1,768,842.	443,970.	353,195.
1984	1,857,066.	468,109.	375,884.
1985	1,942,327.	468,708.	434,115.
1986	2,189,087.	546,976.	468,674.
1987	2,183,056.	519,737.	463,229.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's and Research's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

### Appellate Court - Second District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	86,458.1		
1968			
1969	106,873.		
1970	64,861.		
1971	66,044.		
1972	79,024.		
1973	82,493.		
1974	88,218.		
1975	124,119.	268,324.2	
1976	152,150.	287,506.	30,461.3
1977	141,934.	309,874.	37,451.
1978	173,874.	317,982.	41,989.
1979	175,119.	375,267.	79,248.
1980	205,755.	246,542.	159,170.
1981	231,540.	288,564.	191,397.
1982	238,547.	303,559.	202,916.
1983	245,567.	357,693.	221,522.
1984	281,720.	419,705.	227,569.
1985	315,337.	365,170.	236,451.
1986	342,375.	388,354.	249,991.
1987	425,657.	575,267.	263,517.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1976.

# Appellate Court - Third District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	84,170.1		
1968			
1969	80,129.		
1970	46,812.		
1971	43,940.		
1972	57,293.		
1973	61,278.		
1974	71,525.		
1975	84,818.	131,607. <sup>2</sup>	
1976	101,180.	151,068.	
1977	109,943.	138,521.	
1978	121,066.	175,536.	
1979	124,554.	177,782.	23,741. <sup>3</sup>
1980	141,323.	180,585.	49,032.
1981	176,123.	236,182.	94,800.
1982	201,229.	226,183.	119,265.
1983	209,796.	232,874.	123,418.
1984	187,206.	241,589.	137,248.
1985	221,530.	320,972.	159,792.
1986	255,445.	322,063.	168,402.
1987	260,570.	313,698.	174,784.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1979.

### **Appellate Court** – Fourth District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	47,627.1		
1968			
1969	53,162.		
1970	29,200.		
1971	31,660.		
1972	57,794.		
1973	44,809.		
1974	48,326.		
1975	77,791.	136,401. <sup>2</sup>	
1976	105,672.	145,666.	
1977	103,684.	144,683.	50,236. <sup>3</sup>
1978	142,588.	137,982.	66,820.
1979	125,219.	144,914.	60,779.
1980	156,079.	145,973.	117,968.
1981	163,272.	157,872.	139,366.
1982	179,046.	177,658.	155,025.
1983	192,402.	191,016.	172,758.
1984	210,107.	193,966.	194,514.
1985	220,297.	204,962.	203,409.
1986	220,372.	213,049.	170,573.
1987	260,143.	225,291.	207,686.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

# Appellate Court - Fifth District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	91,669.1		
1968			
1969	83,452.		
1970	47,317.		
1971	46,679.		
1972	56,406.		
1973	57,783.		
1974	59,205.		
1975	79,174.	167,905. <sup>2</sup>	
1976	97,303.	175,418.	
1977	89,065.	162,764.	43,061. <sup>3</sup>
1978	103,518.	201,095.	52,505.
1979	132,597.	191,484.	75,305.
1980	142,943.	184,100.	95,330.
1981	176,032.	194,218.	105,756.
1982	168,807.	297,047.	114,365.
1983	182,085.	275,642.	108,122.
1984	190,866.	309,909.	130,651.
1985	197,398.	285,914.	145,110.
1986	212,300.	300,352.	155,525.
1987	226,522.	306,289.	161,792.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

Fiscal Year	Study of Illinois Judicial System	Mandatory Arbitration
1964		
1965		
1966		
1967		
1968		
1969		
1970		
1971		
1972		
1973		
1974		
1975		
1976		
1977		
1978		
1979		
1980		
1981		
1982		
1983		
1984		
1985		
1986		3.
1987	75,000.1	-0-1

<sup>1</sup>Account established FY 87

JUDICIAL PAYROLL

Fiscal Year	Supreme Ct. Judges	Supreme Ct. Clerk	Appellate Judges	Clerk – 1st District	Clerk — Other 4 Dist.	Circuit Judges (C)	Circuit Judges (A)	Court Reporters	Assoc. Judges (M)	Ret. Judges Recalled	Admin. Secretaries	Law Clerks — Appl. Judges	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (Cook)	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (DuPage)	Cir. Judge Assigned
1964									-						
1965	315,000.	15,000.	340,322.	26,250.	75,766.	4,166,746.	4,780,534.		3,823,152.		114,750.	258,008.			
1966									-						
1967	465,286.	20,000.	1,193,896.	35,000.	120,000.	5,523,274.	6,645,522.	Reg. 3,195,329.	4,557,332.	2,746.	186,462.	364,151.			
1968								P.D. Reg.	-						
1969	525,000.	24,512.	1,671,909.	36,458.	126,386.	6,704,912.	7,294,813.	P.D. 69,261. Reg. 4,338,498.	6,939,236.	31,765.	254,535.	426,806.			3,125.
1970	266,088.	20,000.	897,020.	20,000.	72,000.	3,794,794.	4,610,756.	P.D.         76,160.           Reg.         2,854,358.	4,365,147.	46,323.	128,028.	310,250.			16,250.
1971	280,000.	20,000.	922,024.	20,000.	72,000.	3,873,121.	4,643,743.	P.D. 98,443. Reg. 3,245,117.	4,329,842.	68,942.	126,101.	345,806.	27,025.		25,000.
1972	280,000.	20,000.	962,841.	20,000.	72,000.	4,053,720.	5,285,251.	P.D. 55,327. Reg. 3,701,794.	4,499,272.	92,485.	136,564.	727,281.	34,333.		36,288.
1973	287,291.	22,083.	1,058,728.	21,250.	76,315.	4,190,919.	5,406,694.	P.D.         72,550.           Reg.         4,074,062.	5,971,579.	62,162.	145,077.	879,366.	45,463.		45,189.
1974	297,499.	25,000.	1,199,999.	23,000.	84,000.	4,433,739.	5,720,602.	P.D.         106,547.           Reg.         4,624,713.	6,064,487.	32,429.	148,826.	1,028,824.	46,201.		55,000.
1975	297,499.	25,000.	1,293,635.	25,000.	92,000.	4,405,687.	5,690,143.	P.D.         109,999.           Reg.         5,217,363.	6,204,587.	106,650.	202,266.	1,092,311.	48,803.		45,833.
1976	348,188.	27,500.	1,507,190.	27,000.	100,000.	5,629,494.	7,478,888.	P.D.         124,999.           Reg.         5,637,411.	9,328,098.	91,687.	207,579.	1,277,890.	43,816.		7,505.
1977	349,999.	27,500.	1,486,215.	27,000.	100,000.	5,749,217.	7,761,743.	P.D.         159,983.           Reg.         6,177,448.	9,539,429.	92,563.	193,935.	1,275,823.	44,140.		10,915.
1978	344,293.	27,500.	1,481,761.	27,000.	100,000.	6,270,974.	8,047,685.	P.D.         43,284.           Reg.         7,929,516.	9,858,032.	81,875.	276,972.	1,443,714.	110,211.	9,933.	15,937.
1979	382,666.	29,958.	1,622,159.	29,458.	109,500.	7,455,716.	9,289,410.	P.D.         65,908.           Reg.         8,745,495.	11,364,089.	148,537.	308,707.	1,471,972.	154,436.	14,900.	16,280.
1980	405,999.	30,950.	1,643,218.	30,408.	112,667.	8,464,932.	10,373,391.	P.D.         172,987.           Reg.         9,790,950.	12,767,997.	319,432.	324,998.	1,595,704.	159,652.	18,500.	19,573.
1981	405,999.	32,792.	1,677,528.	32,192.	128,766.	8,454,295.	10,315,686.	P.D.         228,890.           Reg.         11,060,781.	12,791,881.	581,406.	349,999.	1,761,244.	241,069.	20,437.	17,889.
1982	405,999.	33,630.	1,748,997.	34,008.	136,033.	8,503,691.	10,465,200.	P.D.         234,111.           Reg.         11,787,083.	13,362,527.	590,736.	335,821.	1,924,703.	269,773.	22,481.	13,432.
1983	465,499.	35,500.	2,064,707.	35,000.	140,000.	9,659,598.	12,039,148.	P.D.         228,552.           Reg.         13,723,449.           P.D.         253,037.	16,414,030.	706,499.	350,300.	2,085,302.	292,070.	24,279.	18,375.
1984	525,000.	35,500.	2,369,346.	35,000.	140,000.	11,060,963.	13,547,210.	P.D.         252,937.           Reg.         15,658,328.           P.D.         264,730.	19,876,852.	803,910.	360,000.	2,159,097.	400,834.	30,000.	22,768.
1985	525,000.	50,000.	2,259,459.	45,000.	180,000.	10,963,752.	13,508,278.	P.D.         264,739.           Reg.         17,140,677.           P.D.         205,054.	20,002,002.	989,677.	360,000.	2,159,972.	466,640.	33,000.	26,768.
1986	595,000.	50,000.	2,301,960.	45,000.	180,000.	12,343,797.	14,173,797.	P.D.         305,054.           Reg.         18,404,717.	23,381,041.	1,191,249.	453,666.	2,287,903.	457,107.	30,250.	62,468.
1987	621,775.	52,500.	2,570,698.	47,250.	189,000.	12,911,049.	15,849,679.	P.D. 158,053. Reg. 19,881,662.	25,610,663.	1,090,861.	527,439.	2,515,041.	455,052.	24,750.	58,805.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

Cn <sup>2</sup>Establishment of Court Reporters' office – Cook County effective July 1, 1971.

'Establishment of Court Reporters' office - DuPage County effective July 1, 1978.

<sup>4</sup>Circuit Judges Assigned to Appellate Judgeships legislation effective July 1, 1969.



#### **PROBATION DIVISION**

During 1987, the Probation Division continued to make substantial progress toward its goal of improving and professionalizing probation services in Illinois. The year was highlighted by the implementation of the Performance Evaluation System for probation professional personnel; the revision of the hiring, promotion and training policies for probation personnel; and the Adult Probation Classification System Validation and Time Study.

In addition, the Probation Division's on-going coordination, monitoring, evaluation, and technical assistance responsibilities continued to be major priorities. Such activities included monitoring and auditing of reimbursements to counties, implementation and evaluation of programs operated by probation departments, coordination of statewide probation training, administration of the interstate compact for probationers, statewide data collection and analysis, probation operations compliance monitoring, and general technical assistance and problem-solving activities.

### Revision of Minimum Qualifications and Application/Eligibility Requirements for Probation Personnel

Continuing a process begun in 1986, the Division finalized and implemented (on July 1, 1987) the revisions to the *Policies Governing Hiring, Promotion and Training of Illinois Probation/Court Services Personnel* after considerable input from the probation community and the Conference of Chief Judges. Consistent with the Division's goal of improving and professionalizing probation in Illinois, the revised hiring and promotional policies are intended to upgrade the minimum qualifications for probation personnel.

In a parallel effort, the application form and eligibility list procedures were also revised to simplify and facilitate the application and certification process. The new hiring and promotional instructions require that every applicant for an entry level professional position in probation have a bachelor's degree from an accredited college or university. The new simplified instructions are now attached directly to the application forms packet. The application form now includes both hiring and promotional job applications, thereby simplifying the application process, reducing the printing costs and improving distribution logistics.

#### **Performance Evaluation System**

A required element in the probation department compensation schedules developed in 1986 was performance increments. Since most departments did not utilize performance evaluation systems that would comply with the performance increments of Chapter 38, Section 204-7, in 1987 the Probation Division contracted and worked with Arthur Young and Company for the development of and training on a statewide Model Performance Evaluation System for professional employees in probation/court services departments.

During the month of May, the Division provided training in the new system to all probation management and supervisory personnel by means of a series of eight two-day training sessions. The workshops included an overview of the system's development and operation, an orientation to the concept of performance management, a review of various implementation policies and procedures, and hands-on training in the "customizing" and "weighting" of job responsibilities and performance standards. The chief circuit court judges were trained in a series of judicial orientation sessions.

While Probation Division field staff were heavily involved in the performance evaluation training, their primary activity in terms of system implementation was in providing follow-up technical assistance to the departments. The Division's field staff also completed the detailed review and preliminary approval of each department's Performance Evaluation System prior to formal implementation.

### Adult Probation Classification System: Validation and Time Study

To provide a validity test of the Illinois Adult Probation Classification System instituted in 1984, the Probation Division contracted with the National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD) in February to conduct a validation and time study on the system under the direction of and with the assistance of the Division.

In February, a Study Advisory Group, consisting of representatives of twelve downstate probation departments and the Cook County Adult Probation Department, was brought together to work with staff from the Division and NCCD to design the Time Study format and methodology and to clarify specific objectives of the study. The departments represented on the Study Advisory Group had been carefully selected to provide a broad representative cross-section of Illinois probation; they would be the test sites for the Time Study.

The Time Study was designed to (1) determine the time expended by a line probation officer to properly supervise a probationer at maximum, medium and minimum levels of supervision; (2) to determine the impact of the intake function on the time availability of a line officer; and (3) to determine the time involved in presentence investigations, report preparation and waiting on sentencing hearings. The Study involved over 1,400 probation cases from all three levels of supervision, 580 case intakes processings and 280 presentence investigation processings. The results were forwarded to NCCD in June. A preliminary report was returned to the Division in August.

The Validation Study of the classification system's risk instrument began in May with the design of the data collection instrument. Subsequently, data was collected at thirteen sites on about 1,400 cases. The final Validation Study data was forwarded to NCCD in December. The final report on the Study will be forwarded to the Division in April, 1988.

#### **Sentencing Guidelines**

In July, the Illinois House of Representatives' Judiciary Committee II established a Subcommittee on Uniform Criminal Sentencing. The Supervisor of the Probation Division was asked to be a member of the Subcommittee's Professional Advisory Board and accepted after receiving permission to do so from the Chief Justice.

At an organizational meeting held in Chicago in August, the Division Supervisor distributed copies of Sentencing Guidelines as a Response to Sentencing Reform: A Study and Some Observations, a research paper prepared by Division staff, for Subcommittee and Advisory Board consideration.

### Sentencing Alternatives for Women Research Paper

The 85th General Assembly, Senate Resolution 181 (dated May 28, 1987), directed the A.O.I.C. to undertake a feasibility study on alternative sentencing for female offenders; the report to be submitted to the General Assembly on November 1st. During the research for the study, Division staff made over 100 contacts with knowledgeable institution and community correctional personnel across the country and utilized 81 professional articles and other documents in drafting the final study. The study, entitled Sentencing Alternatives for Illinois Female Offenders, was forwarded to the Illinois Citizens Assembly on October 30th.

#### Field Monitoring and Program Evaluation

To enhance the Division's ability to professionally monitor programs being implemented in probation across the state, Division field staff conducted a major review and revision of routine field monitoring techniques and developed a comprehensive field checklist to facilitate more focused site visits to the departments. Development of this field checklist assists staff in conducting structured field visits that are responsive to identified problems or issues within a broad range of programmatic and operational policy areas.

#### **DUI Programs**

During 1987, the Division allocated a total of 40 DUI positions dedicated to the supervision of limited specialized caseloads of high risk, repeat DUI offenders in 59 counties. In spite of legislative fiscal cutbacks, by the end of 1987, the Division was funding 74 DUI dedicated positions serving over 2,200 probationers in 21 departments.

#### **Offender Services Program**

The Offender Services Program (OSP) was designed to provide reimbursement to probation departments for the purchase of services to adult and juvenile probationers. Division staff reviewed and approved final OSP plans for 41 counties, effective June 1, 1987. These plans contained 115 contacts with a combined value of \$1,651,016. However, the legislature declined to fund the OSP program for FY '88 causing the loss of these critical contract services to probation thirty days after implementation.

#### **Community Resources/Inter-Agency Coordination**

Division staff expanded activities in the area of developing linkages with other state agencies to assure that probation and court services issues and needs are addressed when state or private social service policy is established and resources allocated. Such activities included:

- Serving on the Governor's Task Force on the Mentally Retarded and Mentally III Offender
- Securing an agreement with the Illinois Department of Public Aid making Juvenile Court wards eligible for Medicaid
- Participating in planning meetings with the Illinois Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and the Illinois Department of Alcohol and Substance Abuse in regard to the Dual Diagnosis client
- Coordinating a project funded by the Illinois State Board of Education on the utility of formal career assessments and career planning as part of an adult probation supervision plan
- Facilitating the creation of a state agency planning group to address issues relating to perpetrators of sexual abuse/offenses
- Establishing linkage with the Illinois Residential Services Authority
- Expanding coordination with the Illinois Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse on issues relating to DUI programs
- Facilitating the development of "Users' Agreements" between A.O.I.C., the Illinois Department of State Police and the Federal Bureau of Investigation for accessing criminal history information
- Working with the Illinois Secretary of State's office to develop guidelines for securing Restricted Driver's Permits for probationers whose driving privileges are revoked

#### **Fiscal Unit**

The budgeting process requires the Fiscal Unit to project funding for reimbursement to 88 probation and court services departments based upon different funding levels for programs and services, varying county fiscal years, and 66 separate compensation schedules. The Division's FY '87 appropriation amounted to \$11,199,300 for 442 Grants-in-Aid, \$1,250,00 for 92 DUI and \$15,600,000 for 1,333 Salary Subsidy positions. The FY '88 appropriation amounted to \$11,733,423 for 449 Grants-in-Aid, \$1,500,000 for 75 DUI and \$14,625,058 for 1,310 Salary Subsidy positions. In 1987, the State reimbursed to counties \$26,558,335 for probation officer salaries and travel. The Fiscal Unit of the Division processed approximately 375 vouchers totaling more than \$2,213,000 each month in 1987. In addition to budgeting for projected salary reimbursements, the Fiscal Unit also gathers data used in requesting funds required for staff training, operating expenses, research and evaluation projects as well as for implementing individualized services and programs and pretrial services.

Fifty-four field audits of records of county clerks, treasurers and probation departments were conducted in 1987. This is a significant increase over the number of field audits conducted in 1986 and can be attributed to the addition of two field auditors who joined our staff in June 1987 as well as the continuing automation of the Unit. The audits conducted in 1987 documented and verified the accuracy of reimbursement to counties for approved expenditures of probation services.

#### **Interstate Compact**

The Probation Division is responsible for administration of the Interstate Compact for adult and juvenile probationers (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 1003-3-11 *et. seq.*). At the beginning of 1987, the numbering system for counting Interstate Compact transactions was changed from counting each individual transaction one time only, i.e., progress report, travel request, termination, etc. Under the new tabulation system, the number of transactions recorded is approximately one-half of the numbers recorded under the former system. Using the new system, during 1987, the Division received and processed 20,924 supervision requests, queries and progress reports. Of these, 1,780 involved juvenile offenders. The total Interstate Compact workload decreased 1.7% from 1986.

#### **Probation Training**

During FY '88, increased coordination of all training programs provided to statewide probation staff occurred. The position of A.O.I.C. Probation Division Training Coordinator was established and filled. Needed changes in the area of accountability and fiscal control were implemented. Accomplishments included: development of a computerized database for training records; development and delivery, of in state specialized training for all new chief managing officers, all Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) programs statewide and all DUI programs statewide.

75% of all training programs for probation staff continued to be offered through two contractual agreements. In Cook County, responsibility for training was directed via the office of the chief circuit judge. Through a contract with the A.O.I.C., a full-time training coordinator was hired by Cook County to oversee the training program of the three departments responsible for probation services in Cook County, and administer the \$122,705.00 training budget.

Sangamon State University (SSU), through the Center for Legal Studies, continued to provide the basic training programs for all staff outside Cook County. Additionally, SSU developed and provided a portion of the advanced training for probation staff.

Increased input from local departments was utilized in the evaluation and design of all training programs. The Probation Division made a concentrated effort to network with all departments statewide in order to assure increased quality, relevance, and input in the area of training.

#### **Statistics**

There were 1,671 professional probation staff persons and 641 clerical personnel employed in Illinois probation departments at the beginning of calendar year 1987. Illinois juvenile detention, excluding Cook County, employed 148 professional staff and 91 support staff.

In FY '87, total state reimbursement to counties was \$19,476,309. Of this total 1987 reimbursements, \$462,440 was for the new DUI program in selected counties.

Illinois probation officers completed 16,699 adult presentence investigations and 6,702 other investigations during 1987. In addition, 8,674 juvenile social history investigations were completed along with 9,427 other juvenile investigations.

The adult probation caseload in Illinois totaled 83,175 cases on December 31, 1987. The December 1987 caseload was comprised of 30,835 felons, 11,802 misdemeanors, 13,677 DUI cases, and 4,190 traffic cases as well as 290 supervised pretrial release cases. In the twelve counties with Intensive Probation Supervision programs, the caseload at year-end totaled 554. The balance of 22,381 cases are administrative.

# POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS -**PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN 1987**

Circuit	County	(1) СМО	(2) WL	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION OFFICERS	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) Total Subsidized Positions
1st	Alexander	1	1							
	Jackson	1	5	1		4		1		5
	Johnson	1	5			-		1		5
	Massac									
	Pulaski	1								
	Saline	1	2	1	1	3				3
	Union	1	2			5				3
	Williamson	1	4	1	1	4				4
	Crawford			-						-
2nd		1	1							
	Franklin	1	3			1				1
	Jefferson	1	1							
	Wayne	1	2							
	White	1	3							
3rd	Bond	1								
	Madison	5	10	6	4	12	20	1		33
4th	Christian	1	2			1				1
	Clay	1	1							
	Clinton	1	2							
	Effingham	1	1			1				1
	Fayette	1	1							
	Jasper	1								
	Marion	1	3		2	1				1
	Montgomery	1	1							
	Shelby	1								
5th	Coles	1				5		1		6
Jui	Clark	1				5		1		0
	Edgar	1				1				1
	Vermillion	1	6		2	9		1		10
					2					
6th	Champaign	3	3	3		18	9	1		28
	DeWitt	1				1				1
	Douglas	1				1				1
	Macon	1	4	3		6		1		7
	Moultrie	1								
	Piatt	1				1				1
7th	Greene	1								
	Jersey	1	1							
	Macoupin	1	2		1	2				2
	Morgan	1	1		1	3		1		4
	Sangamon Adult	1	3		2	8		1		9
	Sangamon Juvenile	4				7	23	1		31
8th	Adams	2	1		1	8	8	1		17
	Calhoun	1				0	•			
	Cass	1	1			1				1
	Mason	1	-			1				1
	Menard	1								
	Pike	1								
	Schuyler	1								

#### KEY

CMO - CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL - ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES IPS - INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL. POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF \$12,000 ANNUALLY. COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

### POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS -**PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN 1987 (Continued)**

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE	(8) SUBSIDIZED	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED
Circuit	County	СМО	WL	IPS	DUI		OFFICERS	ASSISTANTS	GRANT POSITIONS	
9th	Fulton Hancock	1				1				1
	Knox	2				2	10	1		13
	McDonough Juvenile	1				1				1
	Ninth Adult	1	6			5				5
	Warren	1				1				1
10th	Marshall	1								
	Peoria Juvenile	1				9				9
	Peoria Adult	1	5	3		12	10			12
	Peoria Detention	1	2			10	13			13
	Tazewell	1	2		1	10				10
11th	Ford	1	1			2				2
	Livingston	1	1			3				3
	Logan McLean	1 1	2 4	3	1	1 11		1		12
	Woodford	1	4	3	1	1		I		1
12th	Will	1	7		1	9				9
13th	LaSalle	4	1			9	13			22
14th	Henry	1			1	7	10			7
1401	Mercer	1				2				2
	Rock Island	3	5		2	20		1		21
	Whiteside	1	1		1	7		1		8
 15th	Carroll	1				1				1
	JoDaviess	1				1				1
	Lee	1	2			3				3
	Ogle	1	2			8				8
	Stephenson	1	2			7				7
16th	DeKalb/Kane/Kendall	6		2	1	47	22	2		71
17th	Boone	1				2				2
	Winnebago	5	8			27	13	1		41
18th	DuPage	7	9		4	56	21			77
19th	Lake	7	7	6	5	41	18			59
	McHenry	3	2		1	21		1		22
20th	St. Clair	4	8	4	2	16	17			33
21st	Iroquois		1			1				1
	Kankakee	1	5			4		1		5
Cook	Cook Adult	10		23		263				263
Cook	Cook Juvenile	23		12		294				294
Cook	Cook Social Service	7	18		40	100		1		101
Cook	Cook-Circuit Court	2								
	STATEWIDE TOTALS	166	214	68	75	1,102	187	20		1,309

#### KEY

CMO - CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL – ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES IPS – INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL. POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF \$12,000 ANNUALLY. COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

### JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SERVICES

During 1987, the activities of the Judicial Management Information Services division focused on enhancement to and expansion of existing support functions, both manual and automated. Although a minimal amount of equipment was added, significant progress supplemented the capabilities of the computer devices within their respective networks.

### **Appellate Court Information System**

In preparation for designing a new case recordkeeping system for the Appellate Court, two statewide meetings (January and May) and four work group meetings were held to consider the merits of alternate proposals. By May, all five districts agreed in the selection of an approach which would require the use of a relational data base. Staff analyzed various relational data base management systems, presenting a recommendation to the Supreme Court in November.

During the spring of 1987, personal computers delivered to the Report of Decisions were linked with previously operational photocomposition equipment, and procedures for the transmission of opinions were developed. Staff worked with MEAD Data and West Publishing to explore various alternatives for providing LEXIS and WESTLAW access for appellate staff. In a related test, Illinois opinions were transmitted directly into the WESTLAW data base.

In May of 1987, justices' secretaries in the Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Districts began training on using personal computer communications capabilities to exchange documents. By the end of July, downstate Appellate Court offices could send documents, including opinions, to any justice or reviewing court clerk in Illinois. As an additional system feature, the justices' secretaries in the First District were trained during June 1987 to use their personal computers to access cases contained on the Appellate Court Information System.

#### Supreme Court Information System

During 1987, additional enhancements were incorporated to expand the capabilities of the Supreme Court Information System. Statistical reports previously prepared manually were programmed and derived from case information contained on the system. Reports containing filing and disposition information relevant to cases appealed from the Appellate Court were generated from the Supreme Court information system and forwarded to the respective Clerk's office of the Appellate Court.

Applications specifically developed for personal computer equipment installed in the Clerk's office provided support for Clerk's office to address Supreme Court Rule 68 and Corporation File recordkeeping requirements. Similar equipment installed in the Legal Research office introduced capability of word processing to the research staff.

#### **Circuit Information System Project**

In May 1987, the Supreme Court approved the distribution of the civil segment of the Procedures Manual, and it was released statewide in December 1987. Staff also began revising the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Procedures Manual.

Draft amendments to the Coding Manual were reviewed by the Disposition Reporting Subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee in October 1987.

In 1987, Cook County forwarded automated traffic disposition information on tape to be tested for transmittal to the Secretary of State's office and the Department of State Police, State Trooper's Division. 84% of the traffic dispositions statewide (based on 1983 statistics) originate in Cook, DuPage, Lake, McHenry, Peoria and St. Clair counties. Staff members have been working closely with each of the remaining automated circuits so that further implementation can proceed smoothly. The Department of State Police, DuPage County and the Administrative Office are discussing using DuPage County as the test site for transmitting automated criminal dispositions through the Administrative Office to the newly redesigned Computerized Criminal History system.

#### Judicial Management Advisory Committee

The Judicial Management Advisory Committee met in Collinsville and Springfield in April and July, respectively, to continue exploration of technological, financial, educational, interface and auditing questions. Judges and clerks interested in avoiding some of the major pitfalls in system development and procurement profit by participating in discussions with others who have encountered similar circumstances.

#### AGE OF PENDING CASES REPORTS

Since June 30, 1979, the Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office, has required chief circuit judges, individual trial judges and circuit clerks to submit the following semi-annual reports on pending cases:

Chief Judges — Summary age of pending cases report for each county, which includes (1) number of untried felony cases pending; (2) number of untried felony cases more than 180 days old (over 5 years old in Cook County); (3) steps taken or to be taken to insure the prompt disposition of such cases; (4) number of cases dismissed under the "speedy trial statute,". III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 103-5; (5) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending; (6) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) more than 2 years old (over 7 years old in Cook County); (7) a report on any category of cases in which there is unusual delay noted; and (8) number of complaints from attorneys or citizens concerning delay in processing cases. *Trial Judges* — (1) Individual reports on untried felony cases pending over 180 days (over 5 years old in Cook County); and (2) Individual reports on untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending over 2 years (over 7 years old in Cook County).

*Clerks* — Composite age of pending cases report for all cases by category, except traffic, ordinance and conservation violations.

#### MAINTENANCE OF EAVESDROPPING REPORTS

Section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (III. Rev. Stat., ch 38, par. 108A-11) requires the Administrative Office to collect information about orders entered under Illinois' eavesdropping statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*) and report that information to the General Assembly. Within 30 days of the expiration of an eavesdropping order, or within 30 days of the denial of an application for an order, the issuing or denying judge must report the following information to the Administrative Office: (1) whether any order, extension or subsequent approval of an emergency use of an eavesdropping device was requested; (2) the action taken on the request; (3) the period authorized in any order entered; (4) the felony specified in the order or application; (5) the identity of the requesting law enforcement agency and approving State's Attorney; and (6) the facilities where the eavesdropping device was to be used. In January of each year, the State's Attorney of each Illinois county must make a composite report on all eavesdropping orders entered in the circuit court of that county. That report must include (1) the information transmitted by judges in their reports; (2) the number of conversations and individuals overheard; (3) the cost and manpower required by use of an authorized eavesdropping device; (4) the number of arrests and trials resulting from those uses; (5) the number of motions to suppress the fruits of overheard conversations and the disposition of those uses. The Administrative Office compiles this information and transmits a report on it to the General Assembly each April.

In 1987, State's Attorneys and judges filed with the Administrative Office notices of 483 orders authorizing eavesdropping. Of these orders, 395 were original and 88 were extensions or modifications. As a result of this activity 65 persons were arrested.

Authorized eavesdropping devices are typically used in conjunction with controlled substances offenses, although a wide variety of other felonies were investigated through eavesdropping this year. The most common devices employed were telephone wiretaps and body microphones on undercover agents. Residences, business premises and law enforcement offices were frequent locations of eavesdropping activity.

### ADMINISTRATION OF RULE 39 – APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATE JUDGES

The number of associate judges in each circuit is determined both by population (one associate judge for every 35,000 inhabitants or fraction thereof) and by need. Chief judges in circuits where litigation is particularly heavy may file with the Director of the Administrative Office a statement supporting the circuit's need for additional associate judges. The Director then makes a recommendation to the Supreme Court which may allocate additional "permissive" associate judgeships to the circuit.

Once an opening exists in the office of associate judge, the circuit judges must fill the vacancy by election. The elective procedure is contained in Supreme Court Rule 39. This process is somewhat different in circuits with a population over 500,000 than in less populous circuits, but the basic selection mechanism is the same. The chief judge certifies to the Director the names of the candidates. The Director places those names on ballots which he distributes to the circuit judges. He then tabulates the results and certifies them to the chief judge, maintaining the secrecy of the ballots.

In 1987, the Director certified that the following attorneys were appointed as associate judges:

2nd Circuit 3rd Circuit	George W. Timberlake Michael J. Meehan
4th Circuit	John P. Coady James R. Harvey
5th Circuit	H. Dean Andrews David Bernthal
7th Circuit	Sue E. Myerscough George H. Ray
8th Circuit	Chet W. Vahle
9th Circuit	Larry W. Heiser
12th Circuit	Ludwig J. Kuhar Robert C. Lorz

14th Circuit	Jay M. Hanson Danny A. Dunagan
16th Circuit	Roger W. Eichmeier Pamela K. Jensen
17th Circuit	Gerald F. Grubb
18th Circuit	Edward R. Duncan, Jr. Perry R. Thompson
19th Circuit	Barbara Gilleron-Johnson
Cook County	Peter Bianco, Jr. Judith N. Cohen Bertina E. Lampkin John F. McBride Michael J. Murray Harvey Schwartz James F. Stack Margaret Stanton Karen Thompson-Tobin James H. Williams

### ADMINISTRATION OF SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d) IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS

The Administrative Director is charged with the responsibility of administering Supreme Court Rule 215(d), which allows a court to order an impartial medical examination of a party whose mental or physical condition is an issue. The examination must be made by a member or members of a panel of physicians chosen for their special qualifications by the Illinois State Medical Society. The examiner submits a report for the use of the court and the parties, and if the examiner's testimony is required at trial, it will be given without cost to the parties.

The charts which follow provide a profile of the use of Rule 215(d), both cumulative since its inception and for 1987. The 1987 statistical summary is divided into the categories of "orders," "examinations" and "costs," which refer to those entered, performed or charged in that year.

## IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS — SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d) 1987 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

			ORD	DERS				Totals
Orders Entered During 1987		Downstate 1		Cook County 6				7
ACTION		Law-Injury 2		Divorce Child Custody 5				7
Specialties Required	Neurology 0		Medicine )		Psychiatry 7	Otolaryngology 0	7	
Frequency of Use of Rule 215(d) By Judges		Ordered Exan	7 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 1 Case					
Disposition of Orders Entered During 1987	All Examinations Case Cancelle 0	Vac	rder for Examinations All Examinations Ordered Vacated In the Case were Performed 0 7			ase were Performed	7	
			EXAMIN	ATIONS				
IME Examinations Scheduled in 1987	Vacated by Or 0	Examinations Cancelled for Other Reasons 0 (Downstate 2) (Cook County 12)				14		
Specialties Required Exams Actually Performed	Neurology 1	Orthopedics 0	Internal Medicine 1			Psychiatry Otolaryngology 12 0		14
Number of Exams Performed by Individual IME — Frequency of Use Of Panelists	2 I.M. Experts Performed 1 Exam	Exp Perfo 2 Ex	2 I.M.2 I.M.ExpertsExpertsPerformedPerformed2 Exams4 Exams				7 I.M. Experts Performed a Total of 14 Exams	
Average Cost		Doumstata	CC	OST		Cash County		
Average Cost Per 1987 Case		Downstate \$2050.00		Cook County \$376.00				\$457.00
Average Cost Per 1987 Exam		Downstate \$850.00				Cook County \$312.00		\$442.00
Total Cost Per 1987 Cases		Downstate \$2050.00	-			Cook County \$5275.00		\$488.00

### CUMULATIVE STATISTICAL SUMMARY January 1970 – December 1987

### TOTAL ORDERS ENTERED - 731 TOTAL EXAMINATIONS PERFORMED - 1312

Orders Entered By Jurisdiction	Dowi 1	nstate 1	Attorney F	Registration 4	Judges Retire	ement System 2	Cook County 637		
Orders Entered By Nature	Mental Health Probate		Juvenile 2	Adoption 4	Criminal 29	Divorce Child Custody 502	Paternity 1	Civil Personal Injury 206	
Results of Scheduled Examinations		Cases Settled Before Trial		rial Cancelled Examinations			Testimony Required at Trial		
Specialties	Rheumatology 1	Hematology 1	Obstetrics 2	Cardiology 5	General Practice 8	Plastic Surgery 1	Pediatrics 3	Geriatrics 1	
Required Examinations Performed	Urology 3	Ophthalmology 10	Otolaryngology 8	Internal Medicine 33	Neurology 57	Orthopedics 88	Allergies 1	Psychiatry 1102	
	Radiology 1		Ave	erage Cost Per Exam Pe	erformed Including And \$227.00	cillary Cost and Testim	ony	-	

66

### ADMINISTRATION OF SUPREME COURT RULE 711

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 711 provides for the temporary licensing of law students or law graduates who have not yet had an opportunity to sit for a bar examination to appear in court, prepare pleadings or briefs, counsel with clients, negotiate in the settlement of claims and prepare and draft legal instruments. These services may be performed only for qualified agencies such as legal assistance programs, public defender offices and governmental offices, and the student must be supervised by a member of the Illinois bar. To be eligible for licensing, student must be certified by his or her dean as having received credit for at least 60% hourly credits required for graduation and as being in good academic standing and eligible under the school's criteria to undertake the activities authorized by the rule.

In 1987, 498 licenses were issued. Since the adoption of Rule 711 in May, 1969, a total of 9,117 senior law students have participated in this legal internship program. The following table indicates the use of Rule 711 in the last seven years.

1987	498
1986	603
1985	570
1984	551
1983	551
1982	479
1981	477

### ADMINISTRATION OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

As required by statute, the Administrative Office administers several times each year an Official Court Reporters' Proficiency Examination to determine the qualifications of applicants for the position of official court reporter. Official Court Reporter proficiency examinations consist of two voice questions and answers (Q & A) and two legal opinions sections. Each test is dictated by professional readers.

Candidates who pass this examination may, if a vacancy exists, be appointed to the post of official court reporter. By statute, the Supreme Court determines the number of official court reporters each circuit may appoint. The Court may increase or decrease the number of court reporters in any circuit after considering various factors provided for in the statutes (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 653).

During 1987, six official court reporter proficiency examinations were offered. Three were held in Chicago, and the other three in East Peoria, Illinois.

### OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS' DEVELOPMENT SEMINAR

The Annual Official Court Reporters' Development Seminar, to which all official court reporters in Illinois are invited, was held on Friday-Saturday, June 26-27, at the Hyatt Regency O'Hare. On Friday afternoon, Acting Administrative Director William Madden answered questions from reporters. This session was followed by three group presentations from various institutions:

> "Legal Terminology in Criminal Matters" MacCormac Junior College

"Update on Computer Compatible Theory" Chicago College of Commerce

#### "Serology" Triton College

On Saturday morning, G. Allen Sontag gave a talk on technology to become a better reporter. Dr. Mark McGovern, discussed stress in professionals, and Sally Cochran discussed professional ethics. Following this, Linda A. Valentino led a group discussion concerning new horizons in stenotyping.

The seminar also held a first ever speed writing contest for official court reporters. Awards were given to the top three qualifiers.

#### **SECRETARIAT**

The Administrative Office serves as secretary to many judicial organizations and committees. In addition to arranging meetings, recording minutes and keeping records, the office acts as a fact finding body, does research, conducts surveys and apprises judges of recent developments in procedural and substantive law. Among the bodies served by the Administrative Office in a secretariat capacity are: (1) the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference and its constituent committees, including the standing Subcommittee on Judicial Education, the New Judge Seminar Planning Committee, the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee and various study and seminar committees; (2) the Conference of Chief Judges; (3) the Courts Commission; (4) the Supreme Court Rules Committee; (5) the Judicial Management Advisory Committee; and (6) other study and advisory committees which may be appointed by the Supreme Court from time to time. The activities of these organizations are explained in greater detail in other sections of this report.

#### TRIAL COURT ADMINISTRATION SEMINAR

The Administrative Office has sponsored an annual Trial Court Administration Seminar since 1973. The purpose of the seminar is to provide trial court administrative personnel and the administrative assistants to the chief judges with the opportunity to develop their skills in trial court administration. The 1987 Trial Court Administration Seminar was held in Rosemont on June 11-12 with the following agenda:

#### June 11

\*"Education and Training Curriculum Development for Trial Court Administrators"

Samuel D. Conti, Regional Director Northeast Regional Office National Center for State Courts

Brenda J. Wagenknecht-Ivey, Staff Associate Institute of Court Management National Center for State Courts Denver, Colorado

Randy Kitchen, Court Administrator Jefferson County Beaumont, Texas

Karen A. Wick, Court Administrator Snohomish County Monroe, Washington

\*"Travel Regulations, Court Reporter Transcript Fees, Etc. A Round Table Discussion"

William M. Madden, Acting Director Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

#### June 12

\*"Labor Relations for the Uninitiated"

John Ritchie, Director, Employee Relations Suffolk County, Massachusetts

Lee Schwartz, Esq. Sidley & Austin — Chicago (Labor Counsel to Supreme Court of Illinois)

\*"Personnel Management — Hiring and Promotion Standards for Probation Personnel; Performance Evaluation; Salary Schedules and Other Standards"

R. Barry Bollensen, Supervisor, Probation Division Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

Doug Bowie, Deputy Supervisor, Probation Division Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

\*"Trial Court Sponsored Education and Public Relations Program"

"Rumplestiltskin vs. The Queen" by: The Journeymen Theatre Ensemble

\*"Circuit Court Financing"

Jim Gainey — Senior Staff Associate National Center for State Courts

#### **CIRCUIT CLERK TRAINING**

As part of the circuit clerk training program first begun in 1984, a series of one day "Statistical Workshops" for circuit clerks was held during the month of June in five locations throughout the state. Clerks were invited to bring staff most familiar with and responsible for compilation of the statistical data requested of clerks and who would be able to provide input and suggestions in discussing the kind of data that should be collected. Also invited were the Administrative Assistants to the chief circuit judges.

The workshops were held as a part of the Administrative Office's undertaking to evaluate the statistical reports and consider what information would best serve the future needs of the courts and the Administrative Office. Included in the agenda was discussion of the National Center for State Courts' suggestions and recommendations made as a part of their effort to develop a national data base of state court statistics and as a help to state courts in collecting more comprehensive and accurate statistics.

Attending the workshops were 46 clerks and 64 deputy clerks or other circuit court staff, representing 69 counties. Eighteen of the administrative assistants were in attendance.

### Distribution of Supreme Court Opinions and Legislative Summaries

Since April of 1975, the Administrative Office has regularly prepared and distributed synopses of select opinions filed by the Illinois Supreme Court. These summaries are distributed to each of the State's judicial officers within an average of 5 days of the filing of the opinions by the Supreme Court. In 1987, 40 Supreme Court opinions were summarized.

The office also analyzes legislation affecting court personnel, the operation of the court system and substantive and procedural law. Digests of 141 Public Acts were sent to Illinois judges.

#### PUBLICATIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts publishes and/or distributes several books or pamphlets which are available to the public. These publications can be obtained by contacting the Springfield or Chicago office:

- (1) A Short History of the Illinois Judicial System;
- (2) Manual on Recordkeeping;
- (3) Annual Report of the Administrative Office;
- (4) Annual and Biennial Reports of the Judicial Conference;
- (5) Handbooks for jurors in grand jury proceedings, and in criminal and civil cases;
- (6) A pamphlet on the history of the Supreme Court Building in Springfield;
- (7) Interim Report: Experimental Video-taping of Courtroom Proceeding;
- (8) Rules of Procedure of the Illinois Courts Commission;

- (9) Chief Circuit Judge's Manual on Guidelines for the Administration of Circuit Courts (draft form only);
- (10) Benchbook (Criminal Cases) for Illinois Judges;
- (11) Reading and Reference Materials used at seminars and conferences sponsored by the Judicial Conference;
- (12) Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Video-taping Court Proceedings;
- (13) Administrative Regulations Governing Court Reporters in the Illinois Courts;
- (14) Illinois Courtrooms, Bohn, William G., Supreme Court Commitee on Criminal Justice Programs (1972);
- (15) Benchbook for Use in Juvenile Proceedings;
- (16) Administrative Regulations Governing Minimum Qualifications for Illinois Probation Personnel;
- (17) Administrative Policy Statements Governing Eligibility of Illinois Probation Personnel for State Subsidy and Related Matters;
- (18) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase One Summary Report;
- (19) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase Two Summary Report;
- (20) Report of the Study on Bail Procedures of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1978);
- (21) Judicial Management Information System Standards;
- (22) Supplemental Report of the Study of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures (1980).

#### MEMBERSHIP IN ORGANIZATIONS

The Director, Deputy Director, and Assistant Directors are members of a number of organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice. Current memberships include:

- (1) Conference of State Court Administrators.
- (2) The American Judicature Society (The Director was a member of the Board of Directors until August 1981)
- (3) Illinois State Bar Association (and various committees and sections.)
- (4) American Bar Association
- (5) Chicago Bar Association
- (6) Chicago Council of Lawyers
- (7) Illinois Defender Project (Board of Commissioners.)
- (8) Council of State Governments
- (9) National Association Trial Court Administrators
- (10) Institute of Judicial Administration
- (11) Justinian Society of Lawyers
- (12) Appellate Lawyers Association

# **LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS**

During 1987, numerous bills affecting various areas of procedural and substantive law were passed by the General Assembly. A selection of bills having a direct and particular impact on the operation of the court system and court personnel are summarized below. References are to III. Rev. Stat., ch., par.

#### Child Support and Other Domestic Relations Law

P.A. 85-114 amends various statutes principally relating to child and spouse support. EFFECTIVE JULY 23, 1987. Amends pars. or essentially adds same new pars. in Illinois Public Aid Code (ch. 23, pars. 10-10, 10-16.2). Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (ch. 40, pars. 505, 706.1), Non-Support of Spouse and Children Act (ch. 40, pars. 1106, 1107, 1107.1), Revised Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act (ch. 40, pars. 1224, 1226.1). and Illinois Parentage Act of 1984 (ch. 40, pars, 2514, 2520): Provides for a "one-time" charge of 20% imposable upon amount of past-due child support owed on July 1, 1988, which has accrued under support order entered by court, said charge to be imposed as provided in new par. 10-21 of ch. 23; increases from 10% to 20% additional amount of support order that court shall order withheld to pay delinguency (dollar amount to be specified in all orders for withholding); changes method of service of delinquency notice in withholding cases by requiring only one method of service - "ordinary mail;" requires in withholding cases that obligor notify obligee and court clerk of address change within 7 days. Adds new par. 10-20 in ch. 23 that Dept. of Public Aid may establish child support enforcement amnesty program for responsible relatives who owe support under Article X of Public Aid Code. Allows court in new par. 505(a) (6) of ch. 40 to order parent with no net income due to unemployment but whose child is receiving Article X public aid to report to Dept. of Public Aid for job search, training or work programs.

P.A. 85-357 amends III. Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (ch. 40, pars. 506, 508). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Amends par. 506 to allow court to appoint attorney as child's guardian ad litem who is not same attorney appointed to represent child's interests regarding support, custody and visitation. Amends pars. 508(a), (b) to allow court to order other party to pay attorney fees expected to be incurred by party who lacks financial resources to obtain or retain legal representation, deletes words "other than the enforcement of a provision for child support" (par. 508(a) (1)), and removes restriction that award of attorney fees applies only to enforcement of child support judgment and instead provides that award of such fees to "prevailing party" applies to any proceeding to enforce order or judgment where court finds failure to comply with order or judgment was without cause. P.A. 85-1001 amends Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (ch. 40, par. 510). EFFECTIVE July 1, 1988. Adds to par. 510 provision that where child support order entered before effective date of par. 505 support guidelines and provided support payments below guidelines, "party receiving child support may petition the court one time for a modification to increase the amount of child support to the amount specified" in par. 505, and paying party may show payments were then and are now justified.

#### **Medical Malpractice**

P.A. 85-18 amends statute of limitations for actions against health care providers (ch. 110, par. 13-212). EFFECTIVE JULY 20, 1987, and APPLICABLE TO CASES FILED ON AND AFTER JANUARY 1, 1988. Amends par. 13-212 and adds new subpar. providing statute of limitations applicable to actions brought by minors: No action for injury or death damages against physician, dentist, otherwise, arising out of patient care shall be brought "more than 8 years" after date on which occurred act or ommission or occurrence alleged in such action to have been the cause of such injury/death "where the person entitled to bring the action was, at the time the cause of action accrued, under the age of 18 years," but in no event can action be brought after person's 22'nd birthday; if person under 18 when action accrued and as result of this Public Act the action is barred or there remains less than 3 years to bring action, then action may be brought within 3 years of this Public Act's effective date.

#### **Criminal Law and Procedure**

P.A. 85-207 amends Unified Code of Corrections (ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c)). EFFECTIVE AUGUST 21, 1987. Par. 1005-8-1 (sentence of imprisonment for felony) in subpar. (c) rewritten: allows motion to reduce sentence to be made, or court *sua sponte* to reduce sentence, within 30 days after sentence imposition; if motion to reduce is timely filed (that is, filed with court clerk within 30 days after sentence imposition together with notice of motion setting down motion for hearing within reasonable time), then court shall decide motion within a reasonable time, and for appeal purposes final judgment is not considered entered until the motion to reduce is decided by court order.

P.A. 85-236 amends Code of Criminal Procedure (ch. 38, par. 109-1(a)). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Amends par. 109-1(a) by essentially integrating the second sentence with the first sentence so that par. 109-1(a) in its entirety provides now, "A person arrested with or without a warrant shall be taken without unnecessary delay before the nearest and most accessible judge in that county, except when such county is a participant in a regional jail authority, in which event such person may be taken to the nearest and most accessible judge, irrespective of the

county where such judge presides, and a charge shall be filed." (Underlined words are new language.) Deletes requirement that person arrested on a warrant be brought before judge who issued warrant.

P.A. 85-388 amends ch. 38, par. 114-12(b). EFFECTIVE SEP-TEMBER 14, 1987. Adds new pars. 114-12(b) (1) — (4) providing good faith exception to motion to suppress evidence illegally seized, which does not apply to unlawful electronic eavesdropping or wiretapping or limit civil or criminal remedies pursuant to other law where person found to have conducted unreasonable search or seizure; otherwise admissible evidence not to be suppressed if peace officer in "reasonable and objective good faith belief" discovered evidence; good faith defined as evidence seized pursuant to search or arrest warrant believed valid by officer and free from obvious errors and material representations by State agents or evidence obtained pursuant to warrantless search incident to an arrest for violation of a law later declared invalid.

P.A. 85-449 amends various standards regarding assignment of offender to public service programs. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Amends Juvenile Court Act, "Probation Officers Act," and Unified Code of Corrections by adding new or amending pars. for placing offenders in "public or community service," which is defined and for which limited immunity is granted to officials or employees operating such programs when offender commits tortious acts. (See ch. 37, new pars. 701-15.1, 701-15.2, 701-22, 701-23; ch. 38, new pars. 204a-1(a), (b), (e), (f); ch. 38, new pars. 1005-1-18.1, 1005-1-18.2, 1005-5-7, 1005-5-8.) Amends various pars. in above Acts and Code to incorporate "public or community service" provisions. Provides in new par. 703-8(6) (f) of ch. 37 that nonjudicial adjustment plans include "participation in a public or community service program or activity."

P.A. 85-463 amends ch. 38, par. 115-1. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Adds to offenses which State has right to jury trial: "first degree murder, a Class X felony [and] criminal sexual assault."

P.A. 85-498 amends pars. 6321, 6322 in ch. 111<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> (Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Act). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Rewrites pars. 6321, 6322 providing court-ordered treatment of addict or person believed to be an addict who is convicted of a crime and who is eligible to elect treatment; adds to list of persons (addicts) convicted of a crime who are ineligible to elect treatment under supervision "person [who] has been convicted of residential burglary and has a record of one or more felony convictions."

P.A. 85-625 amends ch. 40, par. 1001. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Removes bar that husband and wife may not sue each other for a tort to the person committed during the marriage except for intentional tort involving spouse-inflicted physical harm; now provides, "A husband or wife may sue the other for a tort committed during the marriage."

P.A. 85-637 amends various statutes relating to care and custody of minors. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 20, 1987. Rewrites par. 2682 in ch. 23 to provide that county shelter care home and detention home shall comply "with minimum standards" established by Dept. of Corrections and that no minor, or minor alleged to be, neglected, abused, addicted, dependent, or requiring authoritative intervention "may be detained in any county detention home." Rewrites par. 2682.1 in ch. 23, "Educational services [in shelter care and detention homes] shall be provided in accordance with [ch. 122, pars. 14-7.03 or 18-3]." Provides in par. 2683 of ch. 23 that administrator (formerly, superintendent) and other shelter home personnel appointed by chief judge serve at appointing authority's pleasure and deletes requirement that such appointments are subject to county board confirmation. Amends par. 2685 in ch. 23 to allow county board to increase shelter care home and detention home levy up to .10% if approved by voters in referendum. Amends par. 2686 in ch. 23 by increasing tax levy for shelter care and detention homes from up to .015% to up to .10%, subject to referendum. Amends Juvenile Court Act (ch. 37, pars. 701-9, 703-3) by adding new par. 701-9(b) defining "home confinement" and by adding new par. 703-3(3) providing that minor taken into temporary custody may be detained in home confinement.

P.A. 85-674 amends victims bill of rights statute (ch. 38, par. 1404). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Adds in new par. 1404(20) that victims have right "To be informed at the sentencing hearing of the minimum amount of time during which the defendant may actually be physically imprisoned. The minimum actual imprisonment of a defendant shall be computed by subtracting any good conduct credit and good conduct credit for meritorious service for which the defendant may be eligible from the sentence set in accordance with [ch. 38, pars. 1005-8-1 through 1005-8-3]." Same as P.A. 85-482.

P.A. 85-802 amends ch. 38, par. 109-1. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Adds in par. 109-1(a), "Whenever a person arrested either with or without a warrant is required to be taken before a judge, and such person is in a different building than the building in which the judge is located, a charge may be filed against such person by way of a two-way closed television system, except that a hearing to deny bail to the defendant may not be conducted by way of closed circuit television." Amends par. 109-1(b) (3) by providing that judge shall "Schedule [Hold] a preliminary hearing in *appropriate* [those] cases [where the judge is without jurisdiction to try the offense]." Bracketed words deleted and new language underlined.

P.A. 85-881 adds new article 106A to Code of Criminal Procedure (ch. 38, pars. 106A-1 through 106A-4). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. In the prosecution of criminal sexual abuse or assault, or aggravation thereof, committed against child 12 or less years old, in connection with child's statements or testimony, allows court upon State's pretrial motion to order that child's statement or testimony be recorded on film or videotape which may be admitted in evidence provided that enumerated conditions are satisfied (e.g., child available to testify at trial, opportunity afforded at trial to cross examine child, etc.); allows court, on motion and in child's best interest, to order child's testimony to be taken outside of courtroom and to be televised on closed
circuit TV in courtroom for viewing by fact finder, and sets forth criteria for court's consideration in using television procedure. Directs court clerk to impound film or videotape of child's statement or testimony upon becoming part of court record and examination or use of recordings to be allowed only upon court's specific order; clerk to keep impounded recordings for 7 years following trial court judgment. Improper use or copying of impounded recordings is a Class 4 felony.

P.A. 85-892 implements constitutional amendment permitting denial of bail where offense charged is punishable by mandatory prison sentence. EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 4, 1987. Amends bail article of Code of Criminal Procedure in ch. 38. Adds in new pars. 110-1(c), (d) definitions of mandatory prison sentence and real and present threat to physical safety. Amends par. 110-4(a) by excepting from bail persons who are charged with mandatory prison offense and pose a real and present threat, and in new par. 110-4(c) puts burden of proof to deny such person bail on State. New par. 110-6.1 sets forth detailed procedures for denial of bail hearing in mandatory prison cases (e.g., when verified petition to deny bail must be filed, when hearing must be held, conduct of hearing (defendant's right to counsel, to testify and to cross examine; rules of admissibility of evidence at trail not applicable), clear and convincing standard applicable, dangerousness factors set out); and if person ordered held in detention, he must be brought to trial within 90 days or no longer held without bail, and 90 day period computation to omit period of delay caused by defendant's continuance motion; defendant entitled to appeal any order denying bail and State may appeal order rejecting bail denial motion. New par. 110-18 requires Supreme Court to annually reimburse county at \$50 per day, from funds appropriated for that purpose by legislature, for each day that county certifies that persons held in detention without bond in custody of sheriff.

P.A. 85-663 enacts Cannabis and Controlled Substances Tax Act (ch. 120, pars. 2151 through 2164). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Requires unlawful dealers in cannabis and controlled substances to purchase tax stamps which are to be affixed to item; provides tax schedule and penalties; dealer not immune from criminal prosecution "pursuant to Illinois law;" information in tax report or return not to be revealed or used against dealer in criminal proceeding (except in connection with violation of this Act) unless information independently obtained.

P.A. 85-743 amends statutes regarding drugs. EFFECTIVE SEP-TEMBER 22, 1987. Provides in new par. 1401.1 of ch. 56<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> new offense of controlled substance trafficking (brought or caused to be brought into Illinois); sets specially severe imprisonment and fine penalties for conviction of offense and in par. 1005-5-3(c) (2) (D) of ch. 38 makes said offense nonprobationable; and provides in par. 1005-8-1(a) of ch. 38 that determinate sentence of imprisonment for felony is as provided in par. 1005-8-1 "Except as otherwise provided in the statute defining the offense."

P.A. 85-935 amends Unified Code of Corrections (ch. 38, par. 1005-5-3). EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 2, 1987. Apparently part of the AIDS legislation package this public act, *inter alia*, adds pars.

1005-5-3(g), (h) in ch. 38: when defendant is convicted of certain sex, bodily harm or syringe offenses (ch. 38, pars. 11-14 through 11-19.2, pars. 12-13 through 12-16 and pars. 22-50, 22-51), he must undergo medical test for sexually transmissible disease, including HIV and AIDS, and results to be kept confidential by medical personnel and to be "delivered in a sealed envelope to the judge of the court in which the conviction was entered for the judge's inspection in camera." Judge may in his discretion reveal test results, and court shall order county to pay test cost which may be taxed against defendant.

#### Courts

P.A. 85-235 amends jury commissioners statute (ch. 78, par. 24). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Raises in par. 24 population from 40,000 to 75,000 for county to qualify for court-appointed (3) jury commissioners.

P.A. 85-237 amends circuit clerks automation fee statute (ch. 25, par. 27.3a). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Adds in par. 27.3a to class of cases which are subject to court automation fee paid to circuit clerk: defendants in any felony case.

P.A. 85-407 amends various statutes relating to jury service exemption. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 15, 1987. Repeals par. 4 in ch. 78 which provided exemptions from jury duty; amends par. 10.2 in ch. 78 by requiring that prospective jurors be excused if jury service would impose an undue hardship as defined in statute; makes technical correction in ch. 78, pars. 2, 4.1, 12, 33.1.

P.A. 85-469 amends jury commissioners statue (ch. 78, par. 24). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Raises in par. 24 population from 40,000 to 75,000 for county to qualify for court-appointed (3) jury commissioners. Same as P.A. 85-235.

P.A. 85-477 amends "county court finance fee" statute (ch. 34, par. 429.29). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Provides that \$5, and up to \$30, fee added to traffic fines shall be "placed in the county general fund" and used to fund county court system.

P.A. 85-690 amends various statutes regarding grand jury. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. In ch. 38, par. 112-2(a) and in ch. 78, pars. 9, 9.1, 16, 19 changes number of persons comprising grand jury from 23 to 16 and number for quorum from 16 to 12. In ch. 38, par. 112-4(d) and in ch. 78, par. 17 changes number of grand jurors to vote indictment from 12 to 9. In ch. 78, pars. 9, 9.1 changes number of persons comprising supplemental panel of grand jury from 20 to 12. In ch. 38, par. 112-5(b) changes number of grand jurors required to petition court for appointment of investigator(s) from foreman plus 11 others to foreman plus 8 others. In ch. 38, par. 112-6(b) and new par. 112-6(c) requires state's attorney not to disclose grand jury matters other than deliberations and vote; provides for limited disclosure of other grand jury matters in new disclosure par.

P.A. 85-756 creates III. Not-For-Profit Dispute Resolution Center Act (ch. 37, pars. 851 through 856). EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1987. In Cook County, Judicial Circuit mandates establishment of, and in other circuits allows chief judge to establish, dispute resolution fund from which disbursement by chief judge to any dispute resolution center shall not exceed \$200,000 annually. Dispute resolution centers to handle (mediate) disputes which include but are not limited to referrals from court system. Dispute resolution fund created by "party initiating the action at the time of filing the first pleading in all civil cases" paying to court clerk a \$1 fee; clerk to remit fund fees monthly to county treasurer; fund to be administered by chief judge, who subject to Supreme Court's authority, to make annual disbursements to qualified dispute resolution centers. Chief judge, subject to Supreme Court's authority, to adopt rules regarding operation and standards of dispute resolution centers to qualify for funding (some minimum statutory standards provided) and regarding types of court cases that may be referred to dispute resolution centers. Other provisions provided.

P.A. 85-865 increases number of appellate court judges (ch. 37, par. 25). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Increases number of appellate judges to be elected from 4 to 6 in the Second District Appellate Court and in the Fifth District Appellate Court.

P.A. 85-866 increases number of circuit and associate judges (ch. 37, pars. 72.2c, 72.2d, 160.2). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Increases number of circuit judges as follows: 3rd Circuit -1elected from Madison Co.; 6th Circuit - 1 elected from Champaign Co.; 7th Circuit – 1 elected from circuit; 16th Circuit – 1 elected from Kendall Co. and 1 elected from KeKalb Co.; 17th Circuit -1 elected from circuit; 19th Circuit -2 elected from Lake Co. and 1 elected from McHenry Co.; 20th Circuit - 1 elected from St. Clair Co. Increases number of associate judges as follows: Cook County Circuit – appears to add 25 "population formula" associate judges by providing that there shall be 1 associate judge for each 30,000 (was 35,000) or fraction thereof in population; provides that there shall be at least 10 associate judges for any circuit having a population of not less than 305,000 nor more than 309,000 (presently appears to affect only 7th Circuit by adding 1 associate judge).

P.A. 85-903 amends statutes affecting election of judges. EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 17, 1987. Accelerates effective date of Public Acts 85-865 (increasing number of appellate judges in 2nd and 5th Judicial Districts) and 85-866 (increasing number of circuit and associate judges in certain circuits) to December 1, 1987, from January 1, 1988. Amends certain provisions in P.A. 85-866 affecting new circuit judgeships created by the public act: in ch. 37, par. 72.2(c) (1) removes provision that each new at large circuit judge in 3rd and 20th Circuits must be a resident of Madison and St. Clair counties, respectively; in ch. 37, pars. 72.2(d) (1), (2) provides that the two new circuit judges in 16th Circuit and the one in the 7th Circuit will not be elected until 1990 and provides that in 16th Circuit one of the new circuit judges must be a resident of "and elected from" Kendall County and the other must be a resident of "and elected from" DeKalb County. Adds section 7-14(a) in Election Code (ch. 46, par. 7-14(a)) which in essence seems to abolish "field" election replacing it with "head to head" election of judicial candidates by providing in part, "Where there are 2 or more additional judgeships created in any judicial district or circuit, to be filled at the same general election and to be elected from the same district, circuit or county, each such additional judgeship shall be designated \*\*\* by a letter of the alphabet beginning with the letter 'A.' \*\*\* Each candidate for such additional judgeship shall specify \*\*\* upon the filing of his nominating petitions the judgeship for which he seeks nomination. Such candidates shall be nominated and elected for the judgeships which they have designated.''

P.A. 85-1008 amends judges pension statutes (ch. 1081/2, par. 18-112, 18-133). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 26, 1988. Amends definition of "service" for purpose of accruing credit in judges retirement system: service rendered after January 1, 1964, as a holdover master in chancery (par. 18-112(b)); period served as public defender (par. 18-112(e)); period served as Chicago policeman or "downstate" teacher and participated in policemen or teachers pension system (par. 18-112(f)) (new pars. 5-232, 16-130.1 provide for transferring credit from policemen and teachers pension systems to judges pension system); any period served as full time CTA attorney (new par. 18-112 (h)) - all transfer and buy-in credit is subject to conditions. Amends par. 18-133(a) (2) by providing that judge who "maxed out" and elected to discontinue making contributions, may before July 1, 1988, and while continuing to serve as judge, rescind the election to discontinue making contributions to the system and pay into the system the amount of discontinued contributions plus 5% annual interest. Par. 14-105.2 amended to allow active member of judges pension system to establish credit in State employees pension system for period he did not participate in that system (see par. 18-112(f)).

#### **Mandatory Arbitration**

P.A. 85-408 amends court-annexed mandatory arbitration statutes. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 15, 1987. Amends ch. 110, par. 2-1004A by providing that, when arbitration award is rejected and party wishes to proceed to trial, then party is to pay costs and "fees imposed" by Supreme Court rule and costs and fees to be turned over to State Treasury; adds new pars. 2-1007A, 2-1008A in ch. 110 requiring that expenses of mandatory arbitration be paid by the state and report annually to legislature.

#### **Mandatory Arbitration Funding**

P.A. 85-1007 amends mandatory arbitration statute in Code of Civil Procedure (ch. 110, par. 2-1009A). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 21, 1988. Creates Mandatory Arbitration Fund in State Treasury and requires court clerks to remit certain costs and fees for deposit therein. Creates new mandatory arbitration fee in counties having mandatory arbitration programs approved by the Supreme Court (par. 2-1009A): court clerk "shall charge and collect, in addition to any other fees, and arbitration fee of \$5 at the time of filing the first pleading, paper or other appearance filed by each party in all civil cases;" clerk to remit said fee

within one month after receipt to State Treasurer for deposit in Mandatory Arbitration Fund, "a special fund in the State Treasury for the purpose of funding mandatory arbitration programs."

#### **Juvenile Court Act**

P.A. 85-601 creates new Juvenile Court Act of 1987 (ch. 37, pars. 801-1 through 807-1). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Repeals old Juvenile Court Act (ch. 37, pars. 701-1 through 708-4) and creates in 112 pages, as reported in West's III. Legislative Service, a new Juvenile Court Act, which is said to be essentially a reorganization, a nonsubstantive recodification of present law; has a savings provision.

P.A. 85-984 amends Juvenile Court Act of 1987 (ch. 37, par. 805-14). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Delays effective date of new speedy adjudicatory hearing statute: provides that speedy adjudicatory provision now in effect (par. 805-14(a)) remains in force until July 1, 1988 (was January 1, 1988) and that new speedy adjudicatory provision (par. 805-14(b)) will take effect July 1, 1988, rather than January 1, 1988.

P.A. 85-908 amends various statutes to provide for new category of "truant minor in need of supervision." EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1988. Restores truancy classification as kind of matter subject to jurisdiction under Juvenile Court Act, adding new par. 702-3.2 in ch. 37: "Truant minor in need of supervision. Those who are reported by regional superintendents of schools [in counties other than Cook] as chronic truants to whom prevention, diagnostic, intervention and remedial services, and alternative programs and other school and community resources have been provided and have failed to result in the cessation of chronic truancy, or have been offered and refused. Chronic truant shall have the definition [specified in ch. 122, par. 26-2(a)]." Provides in new par. 705-2(1) (f) (1)(6) of ch. 37 for kinds of dispositional orders that may be entered when person found to be a truant minor in need of supervision; e.g., fine for each day absent from school, suspension of driver's license, etc. Probation department not to perform investigation or evaluation with regard to complaints indicating only that minor may be a chronic or habitual truant (ch. 37, par. 706-1(2) (a)). Adds new par. 704-6(5) in ch. 37 regarding evidence that minor is a chronic truant. Amends various other sections of Juvenile Court Act to incorporate reference to new classification of truant minor in need of supervision, and amends ch. 23, par. 5005 to allow in its discretion that Dept. of Children and Family Services may accept children adjudicated truant minors.

P.A. 85-985 amends Juvenile Court Act (ch. 37, par. 703-6). EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 22, 1987. Adds new provisions in par. 703-6 (detention-shelter care hearing). Provides in par. 703-6(2) ("probable cause finding") that court "shall require"documentation by Dept. of Children and Family Services [DCFS] or probation department as to efforts made to prevent or eliminate necessity of removing minor from his home, and court "shall consider" testimony of any person as to those efforts. And further provides in par. 703-6(2) that when court appoints temporary custodian, it may enter orders related thereto including provision of service to minor and his family to ameliorate cause contributing to probable cause finding or finding of existence of immediate and urgent necessity, and acceptance or referral of services not considered admission or evidence except on question of DCFS's efforts to reunite family; in making findings regarding efforts to prevent or eliminate minor's removal from home, "court shall state in writing its findings concerning the nature of the services that were offered or the efforts that were made to prevent removal of the child and the apparent reasons that such services or efforts could not prevent the need for removal." Adds new par. 703-6(8) that "any interested party" may file motion to modify or vacate temporary custody order on four grounds enumerated, and court clerk "shall set the matter for hearing not later than 14 days after such motion is filed."

#### **Human Rights Act**

P.A. 85-676 amends III. Human Rights Act (ch. 68, par. 8-111). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1988. Removes references to Administrative Review Law in connection with judicial review in appellate court of order of Human Rights Commission. Par. 8-111(A) (a) provides for judicial review of a "final" order (was "an order") of the Commission "by filing petition for review in the Appellate Court within 35 days after entry of the order of the Commission, in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 335." Par. 80111(B) (3) provides that appellate court may stay Commission order in accordance with the "applicable Supreme Court rules," pending disposition.

#### **Public Health**

P.A. 85-681 creates III. Sexually Transmissible Disease Control Act (ch. 111<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, pars. 7401 through 7410). EFFECTIVE JANU-ARY 1, 1988. Comprehensive Act to control sexually transmissible diseases; provides definitions, and confidentiality and reporting requirements; places duties in Dept. of Public Health. Person may be apprehended, examined or treated for sexually transmissible disease against his will upon court-issued warrant; sets forth evidence and proof for issuance of warrant; provides court proceedings for warrant to be in camera and record of proceedings to be sealed and impounded; person may be ordered placed in isolation or quarantine by court if clear and convincing evidence offered.

#### **Appeals in Labor Matters**

P.A. 85-924 amends labor relations statutes in connection with appeals taken directly to appellate court. EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1988. Makes virtually same amendment to Illinois Public Relations Act (ch. 48, par. 1609) (IPLRA) and Illinois Educational Labor Relations Act (ch. 48, par. 1707) (IELRA) by adding new pars. 1609(i), 1707(d): "An order of the Board dismissing a representation petition, determining and certifying that a labor organization has been fairly and freely chosen by a majority of employees

in an appropriate bargaining unit, determining and certifying that a labor organization has not been fairly and freely chosen by a majority of employees in the bargaining unit or certifying a labor organization as the exclusive representative of employees in an appropriate bargaining unit because of a determination by the Board that the labor organization is the historical bargaining representative of employees in the bargaining, is a final order. Any person aggrieved by any such order issued on or after [July 1, 1988] may apply for and obtain judicial review [as provided in the Administrative Review Law] except that such review shall be afforded directly in the Appellate Court for the district in which the aggrieved party resides or transacts business" in the appeal of such a final order of the Board under IPLRA, or "in the Appellate Court of a judicial district in which the Board maintains an office" in the appeal of such a final order of the Board under IELRA. Makes reference, in IPLRA, in par. 1611(e) (direct appeal to appellate court of unfair labor practice order of Board) to direct appeals provided in new par. 1609(i). Makes same amendment, in relation to direct appeal of final order of Board under IELRA, in par. 1716(a) of ch. 48 and par. 3-104 of ch. 110 (Code of Civil Procedure) (bracketed matter deleted and underlined matter added): judicial review shall be taken directly to "the Appellate Court of a [the] judicial district in which the Board maintains an [its principle] office."

1987

# **CASE LOADS**

# AND

# **STATISTICAL RECORDS**

# **JUDICIAL OFFICERS**

# OF THE

# **STATE OF ILLINOIS**

THE JUDICIAL DISTRICTS OF ILLINOIS SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURTS



77

## JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

#### (December 31, 1987)

FIRST DISTRICT

Daniel P. Ward Chicago William G. Clark Chicago Seymour Simon Chicago

#### SECOND DISTRICT

Thomas J. Moran Waukegan

THIRD DISTRICT

Howard C. Ryan Tonica

## FOURTH DISTRICT Ben Miller

Springfield

#### FIFTH DISTRICT

Joseph F. Cunningham Belleville

## TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1987

People's Cases General Docket	Pending at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	189	785	NA	755	219	+ 30
Petitions Allowed	34	NA	45	33	40	+12
Motions for Direct Appeal	1	0	NA	1	0	-1
Motions Allowed	1	NA	0	1	0	-1
Original Action Motions	4	22	NA	14	6	+ 2
Motions Allowed	4	NA	6	1	9	+ 5
Motions for Supervisory Orders	3	11	NA	9	5	+ 2
Motions Allowed	0	NA	1	1	0	NC
Direct Appeal — Statute Invalid	23	7	NA	19	11	-12
Direct Appeal — Capital	57	18	NA	13*	62	+ 5
Direct Appeal — Capital P.C. R651	10	3	NA	3	10	NC
Disciplinary Cases	15	33	NA	20	28	13
Certificates of Importance	0	0	NA	0	0	NC
Miscellaneous	0	15	0	14	1	+1
Total People's Cases	341	894	52	884	397	+ 56

\*64250 People v. Burchette was dismissed by order December 1, 1987.

Total Miscellaneous Cases

Civil Cases General Docket	Pending at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	123	762	NA	761	124	+1
Petitions Allowed	26	NA	88	85	29	+ 3
Motions for Direct Appeal	11	22	NA	23	10	-1
Motions Allowed	8	NA	7	12	3	- 5
Original Action Motions	4	33	NA	34	3	-1
Motions Allowed	3	NA	2	3	2	-1
Motions for Supervisory Orders	0	19	NA	18	1	+1
Motions Allowed	0	NA	2	2	0	NC
Direct Appeal — Statute Invalid	6	6	NA	5	7	+1
Certificates of Importance	1	1	NA	1	1	NC
Miscellaneous	0	4	1	4	0	NC
Total Civil Cases	182	847	100	948	180	-2
Miscellaneous Cases						
Miscellaneous Record	68	392	NA	404	56	-12
Miscellaneous Docket	6	108	NA	91	23	+17

	-				
Total All Cases 59	97 2241	152	2327	656	+ 59

NA

495

79

500

74

79

+5



# JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1987)

#### FIRST DISTRICT

#### **First Division**

Robert C. Buckley Calvin C. Campbell John M. O'Connor, Jr. William R. Quinlan

#### **Second Division**

Michael A. Bilandic Allen Hartman Anthony Scariano John J. Stamos

#### **Third Division**

Charles G. Freeman Daniel J. McNamara Dom J. Rizzi William S. White

#### **Fourth Division**

Mel R. Jiganti Glenn T. Johnson David Linn Mary Ann McMorrow

#### **Fifth Division**

Francis S. Lorenz Blanche M. Manning James C. Murray R. Eugene Pincham John J. Sullivan

#### SECOND DISTRICT

Marvin D. Dunn William V. Hopf Lawrence D. Inglis George W. Lindberg William R. Nash Philip G. Reinhard George W. Unverzagt Alfred E. Woodward

#### THIRD DISTRICT

Tobias Barry James D. Heiple Albert Scott Allan L. Stouder William B. Wombacher

#### FOURTH DISTRICT

Frederick S. Green James A. Knecht Carl A. Lund John T. McCullough Joseph R. Spitz

#### FIFTH DISTRICT

Horace L. Calvo Moses W. Harrison, II John M. Karns, Jr. Henry Lewis Thomas M. Welch

Appellate District		Number of Cases Pending January 1, 1987	Number of Cases Filed During Year	Cases	Number of Cases Disposed of	Disposed of	Disposed of	Number of Cases Pending December 31, 1987	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
<b>F</b>	Civil	1649 (8)	1933 (70)	20	1874 (26)	580 (5)	438 (9)	1728 (52)	+123
First	Criminal	2319	1909	247	1765	237	873	2710	+ 391
Second	Civil	587 (6)	702 (10)	11	816 (7)	308 (4)	229 (2)	484 (9)	-100
Second	Criminal	469	536	6	487	127	226	524	+ 55
76:00	Civil	309 (14)	431 (41)	2	459 (28)	175 (9)	137 (11)	283 (27)	-13
Third	Criminal	300	406	4	372	107	168	338	+ 38
<b>F</b>	Civil	284 (23)	540 (27)	5	583 (30)	186 (10)	193 (13)	246 (20)	- 41
Fourth	Criminal	200	359	2	336	96	179	225	+ 25
Fifth	Civil	444 (8)	514 (27)	3	502 (11)	150 (8)	140 (1)	459 (24)	+ 31
FIIUI	Criminal	307	321	3	257	58	142	374	+ 67
Totals	Civil	3273 (59)	4120 (175)	41	4234 (102)	1399 (36)	1137 (36)	3200 (132)	0
10(d)5	Criminal	3595	3531	262	3217	625	1588	4171	+ 576

# TREND OF CASES IN THE APPELLATE COURT 1987

( ) Denotes Industrial Commission Division cases.

# CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE APPELLATE COURT 1987

								METHO	d of d	ISPOSITIO	N			×			]
		Affir	med	Reve	rsed	Affirmed and Reversed	/or	Reve an Rema	d	Mod	ified	Vacated and/or Remanded		Dismissed		Disposed Of Without	
Appellate District	Type of Case	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	Opinion Or Order*	TOTALS
First	Civil	329	274	38	38	68	23	128	82	0	0	8	6	14	24	868	1900
FIISt	Criminal	126	724	8	10	29	81	66	47	1	0	5	9	2	2	655	1765
Second	Civil	156	144	31	10	54	21	64	39	0	0	2	1	5	16	280	823
Second	Criminal	70	180	4	6	19	12	28	17	0	0	5	4	1	7	134	487
Third	Civil	101	103	16	10	16	15	46	13	0	0	2	0	3	7	155	487
Third	Criminal	59	131	5	2	16	22	25	8	0	0	2	2	0	3	97	372
Fourth	Civil	105	153	16	16	25	10	46	20	0	0	1	3	3	4	211	613
Tourin	Criminal	56	140	4	4	16	17	19	7	0	0	0	6	1	5	61	336
Fifth	Civil	76	81	14	8	23	15	36	31	0	0	5	1	4	5	214	513
	Criminal	38	81	1	0	15	52	3	6	0	0	1	2	0	1	57	257
Total	Civil	767	755	115	82	186	84	320	185	0	0	18	11	29	56	1728	4336
10(a)	Criminal	349	1256	22	_22	95	184	141	85	1	0	13	23	4	18	1004	3217

\*Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23. Figures include Industrial Commission Division cases.

## CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE 23 1987

							METHOD	OF DISPOSI	TION WITHO	UT OPINION OR	ORDER					
			Dismissed		Dismissed	on Court's Ow	n Motion		Motion <sup>b</sup>							
Appellate District	Type of Case	Motion of Appellant	Motion of Appellee	Stipulation of Parties	Failure to Comply With Rules/Orders	Lack of Juris- diction/ No Final Appeal- able Order	Other	Leave <sup>a</sup> to Appeal Denied	for Leave to File Late Notice of Appeal Denied	Remanded With Direction For Further Proceeding	Dismissed in the Trial Court	Bail Order Entered	Confession of Error	Trans- ferred to Proper Court	Other Disposi- tions	Totals
<b>F</b> :	Civil	177	148	60	369	1	2	59	7	0	29	0	0	9	7	868
First	Criminal	54	10	0	546	0	0	0	7	0	1	23	8	1	5	655
C	Civil	52	40	13	127	2	10	18	2	0	13	0	0	0	3	280
Second	Criminal	39	10	0	67	1	0	0	2	1	9	0	0	1	4	134
Think	Civil	60	14	13	55	0	5	4	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	155
Third	Criminal	46	2	0	30	0	4	0	1	6	5	0	0	0	3	97
[th	Civil	70	21	28	57	9	0	10	2	0	12	0	1	0	1	211
Fourth	Criminal	22	4	0	22	7	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	61
C:44	Civil	67	18	19	39	20	7	29	2	1	10	0	0	0	2	214
Fifth	Criminal	30	3	0	3	4	2	0	4	2	7	2	0	0	0	57
Total	Civil	426	241	133	647	32	24	120	13	1	67	0	1	10	13	1728
Total	Criminal	191	29	0	668	12	6	0	16	9	24	25	10	2	12	1004

Figures include Industrial Commission Division cases.

<sup>a</sup>Includes Denial of Permissive Interlocutory.

<sup>b</sup>Includes Denial of Motion to File Late Record.

## TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR ALL CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1987

				Time Ela	ipsed	10 a		
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
<b>F</b> : 4	Civil	532 (10)	745 (12)	347 (4)	135	87	28	1874 (26)
First	Criminal	196	431	489	379	214	56	1765
Second	Civil	254 (1)	412 (4)	116 (2)	18	2	1	803 (7)
Second	Criminal	84	140	205	43	5	3	480
Third	Civil	134 (5)	293 (20)	29 (3)	3	0	0	459 (28)
Third	Criminal	106	214	44	5	3	0	372
Fourth	Civil	217 (8)	359 (20)	6 (2)	1	0	0	583 (30)
Fourth	Criminal	72	248	16	0	0	0	336
<b>F</b> (4)	Civil*	147	142	159	54	8	3	513
Fifth	Criminal	44	68	92	43	8	2	257
Tatal	Civil*	1284 (24)	1951 (56)	657 (11)	211	97	32	4232 (91)
Total	Criminal	502	1101	846	470	230	61	3210
GRAND TOTAL .		1786 (24)	3052 (56)	1503 (11)	681	327	93	7442 (91)

\*Fifth District Industrial Commission Division cases are included in CIVIL figures

## TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE BRIEFS WERE FILED AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1987

				Time Ela	ipsed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
	Civil	501 (10)	358 (4)	75	41	41	2	1018 (14)
First	Criminal	730	271	57	28	21	3	1110
c	Civil	329 (3)	178 (3)	11	3	0	0	521 (6)
Second	Criminal	245	55	1	1	1	0	303
Thind	Civil	240 (15)	78 (5)	2	0	0	0	320 (20)
Third	Criminal	195	70	1	0	1	0	267
<b>F</b> - 4	Civil	389 (18)	29 (5)	0	0	0	0	418 (23)
Fourth	Criminal	268	13	0	0	0	0	281
	Civil*	144	169	34	5	0	0	352
Fifth	Criminal	132	55	9	2	0	0	198
T-4-1	Civil*	1603 (46)	812 (17)	122	49	41	2	2629 (63)
Total	Criminal	1570	464	68	31	23	3	2159
GRAND TOTAL .		3173 (46)	1276 (17)	190	80	64	5	4788 (63)

\*Fifth District Industrial Commission Division cases are included in Civil figures

# ABSTRACT SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND RULE 23 ORDERS WRITTEN BY JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT

1987

			Opini	ons			
Appellate District	Majority	Per Curiam	Specially Concurring	Dissenting	Supplemental	Total	Rule 23 Orders
First	741 (10)	0	21	52 (2)	1	815 (12)	1251 (6)
Second	387 (8)	0	1	21	4	413 (8)	429 2 Dissents 2 Supplmntl (9)
Third	239 (5)	0	6 (1)	17 (2)	1	263 (8)	273 2 Sp. Concur 2 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (6)
Fourth	267 (5)	0	10	18 (4)	1	296 (9)	356 1 Sp. Concur 1 Dissent 1 Supplmntl (11)
Fifth	201 (8)	0	0	19 (2)	1	221 (10)	276 1 Sp. Concur 12 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (4)
Total	1835 (36)	0	38 (1)	127 (10)	8	2008 (47)	2585 4 Sp. Concur 17 Dissents 5 Supplmntl (36)

( ) Industrial Commission Division cases



## CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF ILLINOIS (December 31, 1987)

#### COOK COUNTY

#### **Circuit Judges**

Harry G. Comerford, Chief Judge

Wayne Andersen Earl Arkiss Martin C. Ashman James M. Bailey Peter Bakakos Miriam D. Balanoff Ronald I.P. Banks Frank W. Barbaro Francis Barth Carole K. Bellows Vincent Bentivenga Christy S. Berkos Richard B. Berland Edwin Berman Walter B. Bieschke Robert V. Boharic Anthony Bosco Everette A. Braden John M. Breen, Ir. Martin F. Brodkin Clarence Bryant Henry A. Budzinski Jerome T. Burke Philip J. Carey David Cerda Thomas P. Cawley Arthur J. Cieslik Michael C. Close Irwin Cohen \*Robert J. Collins William Cousins, Jr. Ronald Crane John W. Crilly John J. Crown Richard L. Curry Robert E. Cusack Michael F. Czaja Cornelius F. Dore, Jr. Arthur L. Dunne Charles J. Durham Robert J. Egan \*Morton C. Elden Glynn T. Elliott, Jr.

Paul R. Elward Robert D. Ericsson \*Hyman Feldman Edward C. Finnegan Richard J. Fitzgerald Thomas R. Fitzgerald Thomas E. Flanagan \*Philip Fleischman Lester D. Foreman Allen A. Freeman Marion W. Garnett Lawrence Genesen James A. Geocaris Michael Brennan Getty Louis J. Giliberto Kenneth E. Gillis Francis Glowacki Myron T. Gomberg Leonard R. Grazian Albert Green Alan J. Greiman Patrick S. Grossi Charles J. Grupp John W. Gustafson Sophia H. Hall Arthur N. Hamilton Jacques F. Heilingoetter Thomas J. Heneghan Thomas A. Hett James J. Heyda Edward C. Hofart Michael J. Hogan Leo E. Holt Mary Heftel Hooton John N. Hourihane Aaron Jaffe @Mel Richard Jiganti Eddie C. Johnson Sidney A. Jones III Michael S. Jordan Richard H. Jorzak Donald Joyce Aubrey F. Kaplan

Daniel J. Kelley Roger J. Kiley, Jr. §Brian M. Kilgallon Herman Knell Marilyn R. Komosa Walter J. Kowalski Franklin I. Kral Rosemary D. LaPorta Willard J. Lassers Jerome Lerner Leonard Levin David G. Lichtenstein Joseph K. Luby Daniel J. Lynch Robert G. Mackey Benjamin S. Mackoff Francis I. Mahon Thomas J. Maloney @Blanche M. Manning George M. Marovich Edward H. Marsalek Carl McCormick Lester D. McCurrie John J. McDonnell John A. McElligott \*John P. McGury Paddy McNamara Jill K. McNulty Howard M. Miller Angelo D. Mistretta Anthony S. Montelione Gerald S. Murphy James E. Murphy @James C. Murray \*Benjamin Nelson Richard E. Neville Odas Nicholson Irving R. Norman Benjamin Novoselsky Stuart A. Nudelman Thomas J. O'Brien Donald P. O'Connell

\*RETIRED JUDGE RECALLED @ASSIGNED APPELLATE §DECEASED 12/14/87 Frank Orlando John J. O'Toole Romie I. Palmer William E. Peterson Frank R. Petrone Albert S. Porter Will P. Prendergast James S. Quinlan, Jr. Thomas P. Quinn Thomas R. Rakowski Ellis E. Reidolds Monica D. Reynolds John W. Rogers Richard L. Samuels Gerald L. Sbarboro Stephen A. Schiller Joseph Schneider Anthony J. Scotillo David J. Shields

John J. Ahern Charles A. Alfano Harry B. Aron Charles I. Barish Ronald R. Bartkowicz Robert P. Bastone John J. Beatty §Samuel S. Berger John M. Berry Peter Bianco, Jr. Janice L. Bierman Michael B. Bolan Lester A. Bonaguro John E. Bowe Harry R. Buoscio Edward R. Burr Francis P. Butler Robert Cahill Eugene E. Campion Brent F. Carlson Lawrence W. Carroll Joseph N. Casciato Donna L. Cervini James J. Chrastka George Z. Chrones Carl J. Cipalla Judith Cohen Kenneth J. Cohen Thomas J. Condon Mary M. Conrad Joan M. Corboy

Harold A. Siegan Shelvin Singer Frank M. Siracusa Robert L. Sklodowski Dean J. Sodaro Irvin J. Solganick Pasquale A. Sorrentino \*Harry S. Stark lack G. Stein Adam N. Stillo Earl E. Strayhorn Fred Sudak Harold W. Sullivan James E. Sullivan Fred G. Suria, Jr. \*Alfred B. Teton Lucia T. Thomas Mary Thomas Michael P. Toomin

#### **Associate Judges**

Peter F. Costa Rosaland M. Crandell Abishi C. Cunningham Ronald S. Davis Julia Quinn Dempsey Barbara J. Disko John J. Divane Gino L. DiVito James G. Donegan Loretta C. Douglas Richard E. Dowdle Thomas P. Durkin Edward M. Fiala, Jr. Howard L. Fink #William F. Fitzpatrick John M. Flaherty Lawrence P. Fox Paul R. Foxgrover Nello P. Gamberdino Sheldon C. Garber Jerome Garoon Edwin A. Gausselin Marvin E. Gavin Francis A. Gembala Will E. Gierach Daniel P. Glecier Rene Goier Francis X. Golniewicz Calvin H. Hall Joseph W. Handy James L. Harris

Dean M. Trafelet \*Raymond E. Trafelet #lames Traina John P. Tully Anton J. Valukas John V. Virgilio \*Eugene L. Wachowski Alfred T. Walsh Daniel S. Weber \*Louis A. Wexler Claude E. Whitaker Alexander P. White Daniel John White Willie Whiting Warren D. Wolfson Stephen R. Yates James A. Zafiratos George J. Zimmerman

\*RETIRED JUDGE RECALLED #RETIRED 12/15/87

Miriam E. Harrison Curtis Heaston William J. Hibbler Ronald A. Himel Earl B. Hoffenberg Thomas E. Hoffman Dennis M. Horan Ann Houser Cornelius J. Houtsma, Jr. Arthur L. Janura, Jr. Evelyn F. Johnson Themis N. Karnezis Howard R. Kaufman Richard A. Kavitt John T. Keleher R.S. Kelly William A. Kelly Thaddeus L. Kowalski Robert W. Krop Richard A. LaCien Bertina E. Lampkin John G. Laurie Charles C. Leary Mitchell Leiken Philip S. Lieb Clarence S. Lipnick Daniel M. Locallo Charles M. Loverde

\$DECEASED 12/16/87 #RETIRED 12/30/87 Joseph M. Macellaio John K. Madden Ronald E. Magnes Jeffrey A. Malak John J. Mannion Wendell P. Marbly Charles M. May John McBride Brendan J. McCooey James P. McCourt Martin E. McDonough James J. Meehan Frank W. Meekins Patrick J. Morse Matthew J. Moran John E. Morrissey James V. Murphy, II Michael J. Murphy Michael J. Murray Robert F. Nix Julia M. Nowicki Daniel J. O'Brien John T. O'Donnell Ronald W. Olson Marcia B. Orr Donald D. Panarese Alfred J. Paul

Donnie Dickey Bigler Bill F. Green Thomas W. Haney Michael J. Henshaw

Arlie O. Boswell, Jr. Terry Foster

Larry O. Baker Philip B. Benefiel David M. Correll Don Al Foster Donald E. Garrison

Leo T. Desmond

Saul Anthony Perdomo Arthur C. Perivolidis Nicholas T. Pomaro Michael J. Pope Charles E. Porcellino Simon S. Porter Paul P. Preston Francis J. Reilly Robert Retke Ralph Reyna Wayne D. Rhine Edwin J. Richardson D. Adolphus Rivers Gerald T. Rohrer Joseph H. Romano Arthur Rosenblum George W. Rothschild Susan S. Ruffolo Marvin H. Ruttenberg John R. Ryan R.A. Salzman Norman Sands Harvard T. Savage lames M. Schreier Joseph R. Schwaba Harvey Schwartz Ann O'Laughlin Scott

### Thomas W. Sherard Philip M. Sheridan Robert M. Smierciak John M. Sorrentino Stewart D. Spitzer James F. Stack Marjan P. Staniec Margaret Stanton Mary Jane Theis Karen T. Tobin Morris Topol Joseph J. Urso William F. Ward, Jr. Cvril I. Watson Jack Arnold Welfeld Daniel G. Welter Gene Wilens James H. Williams Walter M. Williams Gerald T. Winiecki William S. Wood Robert R. Wooldridge Leo F. Wrenn Thomas J. Wynn Nicholas Zagone Michael C. Zissman

# FIRST CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William A. Lewis, Chief Judge

Louis G. Horman Snyder Howell Robert H. Howerton Donald Lowery George Oros

#### **Associate Judges**

C. David Nelson William G. Schwartz

## SECOND CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Terrence J. Hopkins, Chief Judge

Robert S. Hill Robert M. Keenan, Jr. Lehman Krause @Henry Lewis

#### Associate Judges

James V. Hill Bruce D. Irish Richard E. Richman William H. South Stephen Spomer James Williamson

David W. Watt, Jr.

Loren P. Lewis John I. Lundmark Patrick F. McLaughlin David Lee Underwood Robert William Whitmer

George W. Timberlake

@Horace L. Calvo Charles Chapman John L. DeLaurenti

Nicholas G. Byron Ellar Duffwilliams Wendell Durr

## THIRD CIRCUIT **Circuit Judges**

P.J. O'Neill, Chief Judge

A. Andreas Matoseian George Joseph Moran Philip J. Rarick P.E. Riley

### **Associate Judges**

Edward C. Ferguson George Filcoff Jonathan Isbell Lola Pearl Maddox

Michael J. Meehan Charles V. Romani, Jr. Daniel J. Stack

## FOURTH CIRCUIT **Circuit Judges**

Michael R. Weber, Chief Judge

**Associate Judges** 

Arthur Henken Dennis M. Huber William D. Kelley Robert W. Matoush

Mark M. Joy

David Sauer

#### Vernon L. Plummer, II Rolland F. Tipsword W.R. Todd

John P. Coady

Dennis L. Berkbigler

Richard H. Brummer

Joseph L. Fribley

Edward C. Eberspacher

James R. Harvey

Caslon K. Bennett Jerry A. Davis Rita B. Garman

H. Dean Andrews David G. Bernthal

David W. Slater Robert F.A. Stocke

## **FIFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges**

Ralph S. Pearman, Chief Judge

Paul C. Komada John P. O'Rourke Richard E. Scott

@Joseph R. Spitz William J. Sunderman James R. Watson

#### **Associate Judges**

Joseph C. Moore, II Joseph P. Skowronski, Jr. Ashton C. Waller

John L. Davis John Greanias Harold L. Jensen Frank W. Lincoln

Harry E. Clem John R. DeLaMar Scott B. Diamond

Richard J. Cadagin C. Joseph Cavanagh Claude J. Davis

J. David Bone Sue E. Myerscough George H. Ray

Thomas L. Brownfield Cecil J. Burrows Dennis K. Cashman

Paul A. Kolodziej Mark A. Schuering

## SIXTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Rodney Scott, Chief Judge

George S. Miller \*Joseph C. Munch Jerry L. Patton Stephen H. Peters John P. Shonkwiler Robert James Steigmann Creed D. Tucker

#### Associate Judges

Jeffrey B. Ford Paul M. Francis James A. Hendrian Donald R. Parkinson Arthur F. Powers, Jr. Warren A. Sappington John G. Townsend

#### \*RETIRED JUDGE RECALLED

## SEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John W. Russell, Chief Judge

Simon L. Friedman Joseph P. Koval Richard E. Mann Thomas G. Roady, Jr. Gordon Seator Raymond L. Terrell

#### **Associate Judges**

Thomas G. Russell Philip Schickedanz Dennis L. Schwartz Jeanne E. Scott Stuart H. Shiffman

## EIGHTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

David K. Slocum, Chief Judge

Robert W. Cook Edward B. Dittmeyer Carson D. Klitz Lyle E. Lipe Alfred L. Pezman Fred W. Reither Robert Welch

#### Associate Judges

Arthur R. Strong Chet W. Vahle John C. Wooleyhahn

Stephen G. Evans William D. Henderson Scott I. Klukos

Kenneth L. Bath Harry C. Bulkeley

Robert A. Barnes, Jr. Bruce W. Black James M. Bumgarner

J. Peter Ault C. Brett Bode Charles A. Covey Thomas G. Ebel

Richard M. Baner Keith E. Campbell Luther H. Dearborn

David L. Coogan W. Mark Dalton William D. DeCardy NINTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William L. Randolph, Chief Judge

Stephen C. Mathers Daniel J. Roberts @Albert Scott Max B. Stewart Charles H. Wilhelm

**Associate Judges** 

Larry W. Heiser \*Lewis D. Murphy Richard A. Porter Richard C. Ripple James B. Stewart

\*RETIRED 12/6/87

## TENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Robert E. Manning, Jr., Chief Judge

Robert J. Cashen Donald C. Courson Richard E. Eagleton

#### **Associate Judges**

Arthur H. Gross Joe Billy McDade Brian M. Nemenoff Jackson P. Newlin John A. Gorman Calvin Stone

William J. Reardon John A. Whitney William H. Young

## ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William T. Caisley, Chief Judge

Gerald G. Dehner Ronald C. Dozier Charles E. Glennon William M. Roberts Wayne C. Townley, Jr.

#### **Associate Judges**

Charles H. Frank John P. Freese Harold J. Frobish Joseph H. Kelley W. Charles Witte Robert R. Buchar

Raymond A. Bolden Vincent J. Cerri John F. Cirricione Thomas M. Ewert

William P. Denny Thomas R. Flood

Robert L. Carter Donald E. Norton

Joseph F. Beatty Robert Castendyck Martin E. Conway, Jr. Clarence A. Darrow

Clarke C. Barnes Thomas C. Berglund Michael P. Brinn TWELFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Michael A. Orenic, Chief Judge

Herman S. Haase William R. Penn

### Associate Judges

Bruce Douglas Falk Edwin B. Grabiec Ludwig J. Kuhar Rodney B. Lechwar Robert C. Lorz Michael H. Lyons Martin Rudman Edward D. Smith

THIRTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Alexander T. Bower, Chief Judge

Louis J. Perona Fred P. Wagner C. Howard Wampler Robert G. Wren

#### Associate Judges

James A. Lanuti Richard R. Wilder James J. Wimbiscus John David Zwanzig

## FOURTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

L.E. Ellison, Chief Judge

David DeDoncker Susan B. Gende Wilbur S. Johnson Edward Keefe Jeffrey W. O'Connor John Donald O'Shea John M. Telleen

#### **Associate Judges**

Dennis DePorter Danny A. Dunagan Jay M. Hanson John R. McClean, Jr. William K. O'Connor Timothy J. Slavin Ronald C. Taber

# Angelo F. Pistilli

FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John W. Rapp, Jr., Chief Judge

Francis X. Mahoney John L. Moore Harold D. Nagel Lawrence A. Smith, Jr.

### **Associate Judges**

Eric S. DeMar Richard E. DeMoss Martin D. Hill John E. Payne

## SIXTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Joseph M. McCarthy, Chief Judge

Melvin E. Dunn John A. Leifheit Rex F. Meilinger John L. Nickels

### Michael F. O'Brien Barry E. Puklin James F. Quetsch

#### Associate Judges

Thomas E. Hogan Robert C. Jenkins Pamela K. Jensen Richard D. Larson Gene Nottolini

#### John L. Petersen Timothy Q. Sheldon Grant S. Wegner James M. Wilson

SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John C. Layng, Chief Judge

David A. Englund @William R. Nash David F. Smith John E. Sype

#### **Associate Judges**

Galyn W. Moehring Michael R. Morrison John W. Nielson Alford R. Penniman K. Craig Peterson Bradner C. Riggs Richard W. Vidal

Wilson D. Burnell Patrick J. Dixon @Marvin D. Dunn

Thomas E. Hornsby

Tomas Magdich

Barry Anderson

Alan W. Cargerman

F.L. Lenz

Michael J. Colwell Roger W. Eichmeier Douglas R. Engel Robert Peter Grometer

Harris H. Agnew Daniel D. Doyle

Robert G. Coplan Robert J. French Gerald F. Grubb Frederick J. Kapala William A. Black John J. Bowman John M. Darrah

Edmund P. Bart Robert E. Byrne Thomas E. Callum Margaret O. Coffin Kevin P. Connelly Robert A. Cox Edward R. Duncan, Jr. Philip J.R. Equi

Henry L. Cowlin William D. Block Bernard E. Drew, Jr.

Ward S. Arnold Terrence J. Brady Wallace B. Dunn Conrad F. Floeter James C. Franz Michael John Fritz Barbara Gilleran-Johnson Harry D. Hartel, Jr.

Robert Bastien Carl H. Becker James K. Donovan

## EIGHTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Carl F.J. Henninger, Chief Judge

\*Helen C. Kinney Edward W. Kowal Robert D. McLaren Robert A. Nolan Anthony M. Peccarelli John S. Teschner

#### Associate Judges

Francis W. Faris, Jr. Michael R. Galasso C. Andrew Hayton Donald J. Hennessy Frederick Henzi James W. Jerz Roy F. Lawrence S. Keith Lewis Richard A. Lucas Ronald B. Mehling John J. Nelligan Maryellen Provenzale Charles E. Ruth S. Bruce Scidmore Perry R. Thompson

\*RETIRED 12/15/87

### NINETEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Fred A. Geiger, Chief Judge

John R. Goshgarian Roland A. Herrmann Jack Hoogasian @Lawrence D. Inglis Charles F. Scott Michael J. Sullivan

#### Associate Judges

William F. Homer Susan Fayette Hutchinson E. Thomas Lang Raymond J. McKoski Gary Neddenriep George W. Pease Haskell M. Pitluck John G. Radosevich Emilio V. Santi Thomas A. Schermerhorn Alvin Ira Singer Henry C. Tonigan, III Jane D. Waller Stephen E. Walter Albert L. Wysocki

## TWENTIETH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Stephen M. Kernan, Chief Judge

Patrick J. Fleming Richard R. Goldenhersh Richard A. Hudlin, IV Dennis R. Jacobsen Lloyd A. Karmeier Roger M. Scrivner William Starnes

## TWENTIETH CIRCUIT Associate Judges

Robert L. Craig Jan V. Fiss Jerry D. Flynn Robert P. LeChien Jerome F. Lopinot Earle McCaskill Sheila O'Brien Michael J. O'Malley Robert J. Saunders C. Glenn Stevens Milton Wharton

## TWENTY-FIRST CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Wayne P. Dyer, Chief Judge

Robert L. Dannehl Daniel W. Gould John F. Michela

### Associate Judges

Roger A. Benson

James R. Blunk

Patrick M. Burns

Dwight W. McGrew

Edward A. McIntire

## RATIO OF FILINGS PER JUDGE IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1987

	Number of	Population 1980 Census	Land Area	Total Number of Cases Filed	Nur	nber of Judge	es*	Number of Cases Filed
Circuit	Counties	(Official Count)	(Square Miles)	During 1987	Circuit	Associate	Total	Per Judge
1st	9	212,393	3,242	52,942	14	5	19	2,786
2nd	12	215,509	4,796	41,771	15	4	19	2,198
3rd	2	263,895	1,114	63,115	7	10	17	3,713
4th	9	247,907	5,425	53,830	12	6	18	2,991
5th	5	197,914	2,885	43,323	10	5	15	2,888
6th	6	368,776	3,178	85,096	11	10	21	4,052
7th	6	306,316	3,485	79,894	10	8	18	4,439
8th	8	156,437	3,918	30,889	11	5	16	1,931
9th	6	197,464	3,904	40,441	9	7	16	2,528
10th	5	360,497	2,129	82,829	9	11	20	4,141
11th	5	240,917	3,863	73,182	9	8	17	4,305
12th	1	324,460	847	106,325	5	12	17	6,254
13th	3	178,835	2,453	41,271	7	6	13	3,175
14th	4	309,192	2,492	77,847	12	10	22	3,539
15th	5	174,501	3,136	43,791	8	6	14	3,128
16th	3	390,231	1,472	124,278	11	13	27	4,603
17th	2	279,514	803	81,627	8	11	19	4,296
18th	1	658,177	331	209,246	9	23	32	6,539
19th	2	588,096	1,068	209,373	10	23	33	6,345
20th	5	358,338	2,652	78,107	11	11	22	3,550
21st	2	135,902	1,800	32,985	6	3	9	3,665
Downstate Total	101	6,156,271	54,993	1,652,162	204	197	401	4,120
Cook County	1	5,253,190	954	2,394,458**	174	170	344	6,961
State Total	102	11,418,461	55,947	4,046,620**	378	367	745	5,432

\*Count taken on December 31, 1987.

\*\*Does not include Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1987

Circuit	Pending at Start*	Filed	Reinstated	Total Added	Disposed Of	Pending at End*	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
1st	7,334	52,942	453	53,395	52,166	7,393	+ 59
2nd	11,837	41,771	65	41,836	41,117	11,120	- 717
3rd	20,935	6,315	95	63,210	66,059	20,710	- 225
4th	10,040	53,830	45	53,862 <sup>a</sup>	50,980	9,813	- 227
5th	11,652	43,323	52	43,375	44,459	11,777	+125
6th	18,887	85,096	248	85,344	84,639	18,542	- 345
7th	20,928	79,894	174	80,068	74,032	22,248	+1,320
8th	5,977	30,889	68	30,957	29,811	6,058	+ 81
9th	7,828	40,441	45	40,486	39,536	7,841	+13
10th	17,086	82,829	350	83,178 <sup>b</sup>	83,438	19,199	+ 2,113
11th	9,367	73,182	1,932	75,119 <sup>C</sup>	70,019	9,357	-10
12th	10,682	106,325	2,598	108,923	107,753	10,671	-11
13th	7,095	41,271	285	41,556	41,863	6,924	- 171
14th	15,531	77,847	1,608	79,455	79,536	14,490	-1,041
15th	7,282	43,791	236	44,027	39,350	7,470	+188
16th	14,976	124,278	374	124,652	122,002	16,718	+1,742
17th	26,315	81,627	45	81,672	86,145	24,462	-1,853
18th	17,250	209,246	10,178	219,424	221,376	18,268	+1,018
19th	16,937	209,373	2,376	211,749	209,972	16,596	- 341
20th	29,024	78,107	58	78,165	91,273	31,528	+ 2,504
21st	8,515	32,985	1	32,986	30,623	9,798	+1,283
Downstate Total	295,478	1,652,162	21,286	1,673,439	1,666,149 <sup>d</sup>	300,983	+ 5,505
Cook County	560,396	5,484,247	55,581	5,539,828	3,685,981	575,142	+14,746
State Total	855,874	7,136,409	76,867	7,213,267	5,352,130	876,125	+ 20,251

\*Includes all case categories with the exception of pending counts for Ordinance Violations, Conservation Violations and Traffic Violations.

(a) Includes -13 transferred cases.

(b) Includes -1 transferred cases.

(c) Includes + 5 transferred cases.

(d) Includes - 9 transferred cases.

100



## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					т <u> </u>	1	1		1		
				Over 5,000 Non-		515,000 less Non-	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Jury	Jury	Jury							
1st	Alexander	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	14 4 0 4 5 13	24 18 0 18 10 32	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	39 88 0 0 88 65 62	30 22 0 0 22 19 33	16 27 0 27 20 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 1 0 1 16 10	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 75 1 0 76 84 41
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	85% -1	59% +8	100%	42% +23	58% + 3	48% +7	0 0	70% - 15	10% _	0	46% - 8
1st	Jackson	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	158 111 3 + 7 121 102 169 46%	77 51 3 -7 47 72 60 43%	5 6 0 + 3 9 7 7 7	127 244 11 - 3 252 271 108 11%	76 72 2 0 74 80 70 40%	37 39 0 0 39 51 25 44%	11 0 1 0 1 9 3 100%	11 35 0 35 18 28 14%			115 297 67 0 364 392 87 10%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+11	-17	+ 2	-19	-6	-12	-8	+17	-	-	- 28
1st	Johnson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending At End* % Pending More	20 7 0 +1 8 11 17	13 14 0 -1 13 12 14	2 0 +1 1 2	12 26 0 -1 25 19 18	17 17 0 17 17 17 17	5 40 0 40 38 7	0 2 0 0 2 1 1	2 5 0 0 5 6 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38 68 0 0 68 81 25
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	59% - 3	50% +1	50% —	39% +6	53%	29% +2	0 +1	0 -1	100%	0	28% -13
1st	Massač	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	15 16 0 16 13 18 33%	7 10 0 10 5 12 50%	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	10 26 0 -1 25 24 11 9%	28 15 0 15 25 18 39%	13 30 0 30 37 6 33%		2 8 0 8 3 8 12%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%		37 141 0 0 141 145 33 3%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+3	+ 5	-1	9%	- 10	33%	0	+6		0	3% -4
1st	Роре	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	3 0 +3 3 2 4	11 6 0 -3 3 9 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 6 0 6 12 6	7 6 0 6 6 6 7	1 9 0 9 9 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 0 0 5 2 4	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 24 0 0 24 29 7
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	75% +1	60% - 6	0	83% -6	43% —	0	0	0 + 3	0 -1	0	0 - 5
1st	Pulaski	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	12 6 0 6 4 14 57%	6 4 0 4 3 7 57%	1 1 0 1 2 0	8 18 1 0 19 18 19 22%	18 7 1 0 8 13 13 54%	10 13 1 0 14 17 7 57%		2 4 0 4 2 4 50%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	15 51 1 0 52 47 20 45%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -) \dots$	+ 2	+1	-1	+1	- 5	-3	-	+ 2	-	_	+ 5

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# **COURTS DURING 1987**

						1			•			
Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
1st	Alexander	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	727 3,519 5 0 3,524 2,968 771 50%	 68 0 68 70 	2,582 0 0 2,582 2,083 	24 0 0 24 7 	186 34 0 0 34 33 188 81%	30 113 1 0 114 94 51 22%	129 178 0 178 192 115 27% - 14	42 122 3 0 125 109 58 3%	37 53 0 0 53 54 36 33%	103 110 0 110 107 106 59%
1st	Jackson	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Inventory (+ or -)	+44 1,834 14,913 298 0 15,211 15,873 1,655 32% -179		 9,905 105 0 10,010 10,347 		+2 344 133 2 0 135 66 413 72% +69	+ 21 326 1,046 28 0 1,074 1,128 272 4% - 54	- 14 164 507 16 0 523 593 94 - 70	+ 16 297 441 30 0 471 517 251 10% - 46	-1 30 48 3 0 51 46 35 54% +5	+3 56 342 17 0 359 382 33 12% -23
1st	Johnson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	315 3,959 6 0 3,965 3,938 292 40% - 23	 48 0 48 52  	3,287 0 3,287 3,232 —		61 19 0 19 15 65 71% +4	36 84 0 0 84 77 43 40% +7	48 175 6 + 20 201 215 28 7% - 20	28 96 0 -20 76 80 30 13% +2	25 36 0 36 44 17 29% -8	7 22 0 0 22 23 6 0 -1
1st	Massac	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	365 3,649 5 0 3,654 3,522 339 33% - 26		 2,780 0 2,780 2,626 		88 37 0 0 37 26 99 68% +11	41 114 0 0 114 126 29 24% -12	57 164 3 +16 183 203 37 8% -20	34 116 2 16 102 99 37 10% + 3	11 58 0 58 45 24 20% +13	20 45 0 45 59 6 33% - 14
1st	Роре	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	141 792 0 792 791 138 50%				38 11 0 11 4 44 80%	8 35 0 35 33 9 60%	19 52 0 52 57 14 43%	15 57 0 57 43 29 28%	8 12 0 12 16 4 0	5 10 0 10 11 4 0
1st	Pulaski	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	-3 324 2,676 45 0 2,721 2,800 291 62% -33		 2,208 0 0 2,208 2,245 	 1 0 1 0 	+6 106 22 0 0 22 22 106 82% -	+1 19 57 0 0 57 69 7 0 -12	-5 35 105 19 +14 138 132 41 51% +6	+14 10 50 22 -14 58 58 10 10% -	-4 9 18 0 0 18 12 15 33% +6	-1 71 74 0 0 74 109 36 79% -35

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000		15,000 less	icery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	tal ealth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misc Re	Emir Do	Tax	Mun Cc	Mental Health	Disse
st	Saline	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	66 37 0 0 37 39 64	46 29 0 29 9 66	8 3 0 3 0 10	44 96 0 96 90 50	42 43 0 0 43 30 55	6 37 0 37 30 13	0 3 0 3 2 1	13 31 0 31 3 41	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	80 266 0 266 251 95
		Than 12 mos	67% - 2	36% +20	70% +2	32% +6	51% +13	23% +7	0 +1	27% +28	0 + 2	0	23% +15
1st	Union	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	55 $41$ $0$ $+1$ $42$ $34$ $60$	18 11 0 -1 10 15 14	$3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1$	40 34 0 -2 32 41 28	45 23 0 23 33 37	26 15 0 15 13 25	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 6 0 6 9 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 463 0 0 463 464 7	43 127 0 0 127 114 56
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	37% +5	71% -4	0 - 2	61% -12	46% -8	76% -1	0	64% - 3	0	0 -1	43% +13
1st	Williamson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	217 177 0 0 177 186 208 37%	116 72 0 72 100 88 34%	12 4 0 4 10 6 67%	96 243 0 243 217 122 12%	66 95 0 95 81 80 30%	32 47 0 0 47 56 23 35%	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	2 1 0 1 0 3 67%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	174 405 0 405 367 212 34%
		Inventory (+ or -)	-9	- 28	-6	+ 26	+14	-9	+1	+1	-	-	+ 38
1st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated. Transferred. Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	560 399 3 +12 414 396 567	318 215 3 12 206 235 298	33 15 0 +7 22 24 27	388 781 12 - 7 786 757 414	329 300 3 303 304 330	146 257 1 0 258 271 130	11 6 1 0 7 12 6	75 96 0 96 59 113	7 2 0 2 1 8	9 463 0 463 464 8	563 1,454 69 0 1,523 1,510 576
		Than 12 mos	45% +7	43% - 20	52% -6	24% +26	43% +1	46% 	50% - 5	32% +38	75% +1	13% -1	28% +13
2nd	Crawford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	39 11 0 0 11 14 36 65%	70 18 0 18 36 52 77%	3 0 0 0 1 2 100%	171 60 0 60 79 152 84%	138 54 0 54 64 128 65%	50 28 0 28 26 52 83%	8 0 0 0 3 5 100%	21 23 0 23 14 30	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	90 150 0 150 149 91 58%
		Inventory (+ or -)	- 3	-18	-1	-19	-10	+ 2	-3	+9	_	_	+1
2nd	Edwards	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	4 3 0 3 3 4	25 19 0 19 12 32	0 0 0 0 0 0	21 17 0 17 12 25	42 24 0 24 18 46	11 6 0 6 8 12	1 0 0 0 0 1	9 5 0 5 1 13	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	11 52 0 0 52 48 15

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

# **COURTS DURING 1987**

 Circuit	1st	1st	1st	1st	2nd	2nd
County	Saline	Union	Williamson	Circuit Total	Crawford	Edwards
	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)
Total	948 3,781 0 0 3,781 5,204 1,203 31% + 255	645 3,970 9 0 3,979 3,844 621 37% - 24	2,035 13,604 85 0 13,689 13,226 2,083 32% + 48	7,334 52,942 453 0 53,395 52,166 7,393 40% + 59	$     \begin{array}{r}       1,700 \\       4,871 \\       0 \\       0 \\       4,871 \\       4,788 \\       1,656 \\       72\% \\       -44 \\     \end{array} $	377 1,067 0 1,067 949 422 70% +45
 Conservation Violations	- 21 0 21 21 - -			 544 0 544 574 	21 0 21 27 -	20 0 0 20 19 
 Traffic Violations	2,974 0 2,974 2,620 — — —		9,867 0 9,867 9,445 		3,291 0 3,291 3,171 - -	
 Ordinance Violations	 683 0 0 683 643  - -	1 0 1 5 		2,398 10 0 2,408 2,493 	 0 0 209 196 	
 Probate	150 103 0 103 58 195 52% +45	0 65 0 65 31 0 0 0	378 130 0 130 95 413 73% +35	1,351 554 2 0 556 350 1,523 76% +172	467 115 0 115 134 448 72% -19	61 28 0 28 19 70 76% +9
 Small Claims	225 987 0 987 913 299 18% +74	105 270 0 270 252 121 53% + 16	227 894 0 894 953 168 16% - 59	1,017 3,600 29 0 3,629 3,645 999 20% - 18	368 552 0 552 532 388 70% + 20	120 75 0 75 76 119 91% -1
 Misdemeanors	$     \begin{array}{r}       103 \\       181 \\       0 \\       0 \\       181 \\       141 \\       143 \\       22\% \\       +40 \\       +40 \\       \end{array} $	136 228 6 + 30 264 256 135 34% - 1	450 821 63 +56 940 980 410 14% -40	1,141 2,411 113 + 136 2,660 2,769 1,017 20% - 124	122 185 0 +22 207 211 118 75% -4	31 61 0 +6 67 69 30 60% -1
 Felony	70 200 0 200 187 77 10% +7	88 86 3 - 30 113 95 55 36% - 33	188 363 22 - 56 329 289 233 10% + 45	772 1,531 82 - 136 1,477 1,477 780 12% + 8	20 69 0 -22 47 46 21 6% +1	19 24 0 -6 18 15 21 29% +2
 Juvenile	52 67 0 67 77 42 41% -10	33 37 0 37 36 32 34% -1	34 121 0 0 121 101 54 19% + 20	239 450 3 0 453 431 259 32% + 20	41 42 0 42 64 19 74% -22	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 22\\ 0\\ 0\\ 22\\ 19\\ 4\\ 0\\ +3\\ \end{array} $
 Family	43 97 0 97 90 50 20% +7	28 50 0 50 42 36 67% +8	42 153 0 0 153 134 61 34% +19	375 903 17 0 920 957 338 45% - 37	92 $42$ $0$ $0$ $42$ $20$ $114$ $75%$ $+22$	20 22 0 0 22 13 29 55% +9

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000		15,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	tal alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chan	Misco Re	Emin Do	Тах	Muni Co	Mental Health	Disso Mâ
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	188 96 0 96 107 176	45 46 0 46 29 62	5 0 0 0 0 3 2	99 104 0 104 78 125	109 105 1 0 106 76 139	40 45 0 45 29 56	2 0 0 0 0 1 1	13 2 0 0 2 2 14	7 1 0 1 2 6	1 2 0 0 2 3 0	154 329 0 0 329 288 195
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	46% 12	48% +17	50% - 3	46% +26	47% +30	48% +16	100% -1	43% +1	83% -1	0 -1	27% + 41
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	24 5 0 +2 7 5 26 73%	8 6 0 -2 4 1 11 73%	5 0 0 0 1 4 100%	21 16 0 16 14 25 68%	41 22 0 22 19 45 71%	4 19 0 19 11 12 33%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 17 0 17 17 11 15 53%			32 32 0 0 32 48 19 21%
		Inventory (+ or – )	+2	+3	-1	+ 4	+4	+8	_	+6	_	-	-13
2nd	Hamilton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	16 12 0 +1 13 11 18	$ \begin{array}{c}     14 \\     9 \\     0 \\     -1 \\     8 \\     8 \\     14 \end{array} $	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	12 14 0 14 16 11	30 16 0 16 11 35	7 7 0 0 7 7 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 39 0 39 37 18
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	39% + 2	57% 0	100% 0	18% -1	57% +5	57% .0	0 0	100% 0	0	0	39% + 3
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	17 4 0 4 10 11	8 3 0 3 6 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 8 0 0 8 4 6	17 2 0 2 8 11	12 7 0 7 2 18	7 3 0 0 3 0 10	7 8 0 0 8 3 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	15 30 0 30 27 14
		Than 12 mos	73% -6	43% -1	0	14% + 3	90% -6	61% +6	30% + 3	13% +1	0	100% 0	50% - 1
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	$     123 \\     63 \\     0 \\     +4 \\     67 \\     63 \\     100   $	91 43 0 4 39 73 56	9 1 +1 +1 3 5 4	314 189 - 1 - 1 187 318 161	123 59 0 59 105 72	49 90 0 90 90 93 21	12 3 0 0 3 12 3	41 2 0 0 2 3 43	5 2 0 0 2 7 0	3 12 0 0 12 13 0	148 296 0 296 291 133
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	75% - 23	60% - 35	50% - 5	42% 153	64% - 51	14% - 28	67% -9	100% + 2	0 - 5	0 -3	65% 15
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	37 18 0 +3 21 14 44	24 9 0 -3 6 4 26	4 0 0 0 0 0 4	50 29 0 0 29 24 55	63 32 0 0 32 37 58	33 23 0 0 23 20 36	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	4 22 0 0 22 8 18	0 1 0 1 1 0	0 5 0 0 5 4 1	49 103 0 103 98 54
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	59% +7	81% +2	100%	62% +5	60% - 5	56% +3	100%	17% +14	0	0 +1	46% +5

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

## **COURTS DURING 1987**

	1												
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit	
210 98 0 98 47 261	50 71 0 71 44 77 12%	121 224 0 - 21 203 227 118 14%	181 449 0 + 21 470 453 176 22%	217 678 0 678 649 246 5%	0 121 0 121 91 0 76%	 56 0 56 48 			1,442 4,997 1 0 4,998 6,382 1,654 43%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Franklin	2nd	
+ 51	+ 27	-3	- 5	+ 29	0	_	_		212	Inventory (+ or – )			
17 22 0 0 22 25 8 50%	20 13 0 0 13 21 9	21 58 2 10 50 46 26 58%	157 127 0 +10 137 140 152 75%	62 148 0 148 117 100 62%	102 24 0 24 24 24 102 80%	 0 0 26 28 		9 0 9 9	523 1,586 2 0 1,588 1,491 554 68%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Gallatin	2nd	
-9	-11	+ 5	-5	+ 38	0	_	-	-	+ 31	Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$			
16 20 0 20 14 22 64% +6	6 6 0 6 5 7 43% +1	14 32 0 32 32 14 7% 0	8 74 0 74 58 24 4% +16	62 116 0 116 139 39 31% -23	68 35 0 35 57 46 52% - 22	2 0 0 2 2 	926 0 926 921 	18 0 0 18 23 	269 1,326 0 1,326 1,341 256 43% -13	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Hamilton	2nd	
28 16 0 16 5 37 60% +9	23 4 0 0 4 4 24 88% +1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 36 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 36 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ +17 \\ \end{array} $	14 21 0 21 29 4 0 -10	61 29 0 29 48 42 62% - 19	45 12 0 12 12 44 71% -1	3 0 3 4 -	 202 0 202 201 	5 0 5 2 	259 393 0 393 382 255 57% - 4	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Hardin	2nd	
144 201 0 201 164 171 65%	65 56 0 55 64 52 60%	93 192 0 - 22 170 184 62 13%	318 282 0 + 22 304 310 120 66%	389 693 0 693 753 219 11%	85 88 0 0 88 100 462 85%	195 0 195 195 194 —		95 0 95 84 —	2,012 7,275 0 7,275 7,427 1,679 60%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Jefferson	2nd	
+27 94 35 0 0 35 78 51	-13 29 18 0 0 18 37 10	- 31 39 72 0 - 13 59 57 41	- 198 104 263 0 +13 276 305 75	- 170 272 210 0 0 210 193 289	+377 591 102 0 0 102 90 603				- 333 1,394 3,141 0 3,141 3,179 1,366	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	Lawrence	2nd	
75% -43	30% 19	10% + 2	7% - 29	79% +17	86% +12		_	_	71% -28	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )			
				Over ,000	Law \$ or	15,000 less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
---------	---------------	--	--	--	---	---	---	--	---	--	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Ch	Mis	Em	Тах	W	Me	Dis
2nd	Richland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	52 18 0 + 3 21 22 51	67 13 0 -3 10 47 30		116 70 0 - 1 69 118 67	62 30 0 30 53 39	23 30 0 30 25 28	0 0 0 0 0 0	14 7 0 7 7 14	3 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 19 0 19 17 2	42 108 0 108 109 41
		Than 12 mos	69% 1	63% - 37	67% - 3	63% - 49	33% -23	36% +5	0	86%	100%	0 + 2	15% -1
2nd	Wabash	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	17 8 0 8 15 10 70%	21 37 1 0 38 29 30 33%	4 0 0 0 3 1 100%	44 108 12 0 120 99 65 32%	32 27 1 0 28 42 18 72%	11 16 0 16 20 7 86%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 9 0 9 2 7 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	1 1 0 1 1 1 100%	63 107 34 0 141 175 29 62%
 2nd	Wayne	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	-7 28	+9 61	-3	+ 21	-14 121	- 4 12	0	+ 7	+1	0	- 34
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	10 0 10 12 26 46% - 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 43 \\ 0 \\ -0 \\ 43 \\ 23 \\ 81 \\ 64\% \\ +20 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 67\% \\ -2 \end{array} $	56 0 56 99 76 66% - 43	35 0 35 65 91 68% - 30	$ \begin{array}{r}     14 \\     0 \\     0 \\     14 \\     12 \\     14 \\     79\% \\     + 2 \end{array} $		$3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -3$	0 0 0 0 1 100%		94 0 94 91 56 57% +3
2nd	White	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	41 14 0 +3 17 16 42 71%	38 15 0 -3 12 6 44 75%	3 0 +1 1 3 1 100%	78 37 0 -1 36 56 58 66%	103 53 0 53 51 105 65%	12 12 0 12 18 6 17%	6 0 0 3 3 100%	14 2 0 2 11 5 60%	3 2 0 2 2 3 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	68 149 0 149 156 61 34%
2nd	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	+1 586 262 0 +16 278 292 544 60%	+6 472 261 1 -16 246 274 445 62%	-2 45 3 1 +3 7 24 25 80%	- 20 1,048 708 11 - 3 716 917 826 57%	+ 2 881 459 2 0 461 549 787 61%	6 264 297 0 0 297 271 269 55%	-3 37 6 0 0 6 19 24 67%	-9 136 100 0 100 68 168 70%			-7 740 1,489 34 0 1,523 1,517 726 44%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -) \dots$	- 42	- 27	- 20	- 222	- 94	+ 5	-13	+ 32	-5	-1	-14
3rd	Bond	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	27 9 0 9 12 22	21 10 0 10 1 26	4 1 0 1 2 2	18 24 0 24 14 27	20 12 0 12 9 19	6 6 0 6 2 10	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 7 0 7 3 13	0 5 0 5 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0	21 81 0 81 63 39

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
70 61 0 61 92 39 74%	20 30 0 30 30 33 17 47%	64 117 0 0 117 119 62 19%	189 322 0 0 322 377 134 25%	199 287 1 0 287 307 180 61%	231 55 0 0 55 140 146 71%				1,158 3,001 1 0 3,002 3,516 856 51%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Richland	2nd
31 87 50 2 0 52 31 108 94% +21	$ \begin{array}{r} -3 \\ 62 \\ 42 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 43 \\ 42 \\ 63 \\ 84\% \\ +1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -2 \\ 31 \\ 116 \\ 1 \\ -27 \\ 90 \\ 96 \\ 26 \\ 19\% \\ -5 \\ \end{array} $	- 55 150 330 1 + 27 358 404 95 44% - 55		85 190 60 0 0 60 0 60 43 207 82% +17	- 153 0 0 153 73 - -			- 302 814 2,795 54 0 2,849 2,679 721 63% - 93	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Wabash	2nd
69 65 0 65 50 84 79% +15	21 69 0 0 69 62 28 36% +7	29 121 0 0 121 83 67 0 + 38	57 166 0 166 162 61 20% + 4	346 345 0 345 384 307 53% - 39	154 50 0 50 112 92 54% - 62	55 0 0 55 41 	 2,994 0 2,994 2,850  	53 0 53 48 	1,080 4,174 0 0 4,174 4,103 988 53% - 92	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pisposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Wayne	2nd
38 86 0 0 86 86 38 24%	26 41 0 0 41 20 47 38% +21	51 102 3 10 95 107 39 26% 12	51 187 4 +10 201 211 41 22% -10	127 239 0 239 295 71 27% - 56	150 91 0 91 92 149 55% -1	 22 0 0 22 30  		 61 0 61 51  -	809 4,895 7 0 4,902 4,880 713 49% - 96	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	White	2nd
885 718 2 0 720 625 962 962 69%	364 414 1 0 415 415 357 49%	503 1,163 6 -131 1,038 1,029 515 16%	1,382 2,467 5 + 131 2,603 2,729 1,030 43%	2,324 3,609 2 0 3,611 3,779 2,053 51%	2,144 781 0 781 914 2,369 77%	825 0 825 777 -	 27,620 0 27,620 26,356 	542 0 542 511 —	11,837 41,771 65 0 41,836 41,117 11,120 58%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	2nd
+77 12 51 0 0 51 11 37 47% +25	-7 14 29 0 0 29 16 26 47% +12	+12 24 39 0 0 39 28 36 13% +12	-352 69 150 0 150 131 90 45% +21	$ \begin{array}{r} -271 \\ 169 \\ 229 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 229 \\ 154 \\ 229 \\ 65\% \\ +60 \\ \end{array} $	+ 225 141 47 0 0 47 37 147 76% + 6			 72 0 72 26  	-717 554 1,930 0 1,930 1,617 728 60% +174	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Bond	3rd

			Law \$15		Law \$ or		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	,	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Ch	Mi	Em	Тах	Ŵ	We	Dis
3rd	Madison	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	1,993 461 23 + 1,719 2,203 1,039 3,157 80%	2,173 1,355 2 -1,719 -362 453 1,358 34%	227 83 0 +73 156 158 224 66%	685 579 7 - 73 513 562 637 43%	431 368 1 0 369 404 396 56%	339 496 2 0 498 500 337 56%	98 11 0 0 11 26 83 75%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 601 0 601 601 7	1,258 1,703 0 1,703 1,530 1,431 63%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+1,164	- 815	- 3	- 48	- 35	-2	- 15	-	-	-	+ 173
3rd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	2,020 470 23 + 1,719 2,212 1,051 3,179 80%	2,194 1,365 2 -1,719 -352 454 1,384 34%	231 84 0 + 73 157 160 226 66%	703 603 7 - 73 537 576 664 43%	451 380 1 0 381 413 415 57%	345 502 2 0 504 502 347 56%	98 11 0 11 26 83 75%	8 7 0 7 3 13	0 5 0 5 0 5 0	7 601 0 601 601 7 0	1,279 1,784 0 1,784 1,593 1,470 63%
	Christian	Inventory (+ or -)	+1,159	- 810 46	- 5	- 39 112	- 36	+ 2	- 15	+5	+ 5	- 55	+ 191
4th		Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	35 0 +4 39 30 71	25 0 - 4 21 17 50	$2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 4$	112 106 0 -2 104 93 123	128 56 0 56 35 149	12 0 0 12 9 41	3 0 0 3 0 9	1 0 0 1 0 14	2 0 0 2 35 2	6 0 6 55 6	236 0 236 271 82
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	54% +9	76% + 4	50% + 2	73% +11	71% +21	81% +3	67% +3	93% +1	0 - 33	0 - 49	9% - 35
4th	Clay	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	23 11 0 +1 12 19 16 31%	10 20 0 -1 19 21 8 13%	3 1 0 1 2 2 100%	27 31 0 31 42 16 50%	38 40 0 40 32 46 37%	6 15 0 15 17 4 0	1 0 0 0 1 0	9 10 0 10 8 11 55%	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	19 99 0 0 99 83 35 9%
4th	Clinton	Inventory (+ or -)         Pending at Start         Filed         Reinstated         Transferred         Net Added         Disposed of         Pending at End*	-7 46 22 0 0 22 22 22 46	-2 42 34 0 0 34 28 48	-1 2 3 0 0 3 2 3	-11 110 52 0 0 52 62 100	+ 8 35 20 0 0 20 26 29	-2 5 28 0 0 28 19 14	-1 1 0 0 1 0 2	+ 2 13 3 0 0 3 0 16	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	1 1 0 0 1 0 2	+16 48 113 0 0 113 115 46
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	53% —	44% +6	67% +1	77% 10	62% -6	17% +9	50% +1	81% +3	100%	50% +1	22% -2
4th	Effingham	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	71 48 0 +2 50 42 79	33 17 0 -2 15 26 22	3 1 0 +7 8 9 2	87 88 0 -7 81 118 50	36 24 0 0 24 32 28	19 53 0 0 53 54 18	0 1 0 1 0 1	6 15 0 15 8 13	4 0 0 0 4 0	1 0 0 0 1 0	70 161 0 161 142 89
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	46% +8	55% - 11	50% -1	26% - 37	54% - 8	56% 	0 +1	39% +7	0 -4	0 -1	27% +19

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
2,488 1,290 0 1,290 1,117 2,661 83% +173	343 657 0 0 657 764 236 21% - 107	399 1,035 60 -172 923 920 402 7% +3	3,977 5,760 0 + 172 5,932 6,382 3,527 43% - 450	3,088 6,218 0 0 6,218 6,857 2,449 29% - 639	2,875 723 0 0 723 521 3,077 80% + 202		35,869 0 35,869 37,951 —		20,381 61,185 95 0 61,280 64,442 19,982 59% - 399	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Madison	3rd
2,500 1,341 0 1,341 1,128 2,698 83% + 198	357 686 0 686 780 262 23% - 95	423 1,074 60 -172 962 948 438 7% +15	4,046 5,910 0 + 172 6,082 6,513 3,617 43% - 429	3,257 6,447 0 6,447 7,011 2,678 32% - 579	3,016 770 0 770 558 3,224 80% + 208			210 0 210 149 -	20,935 63,115 95 0 63,210 66,059 20,710 59% - 225	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	3rd
58 176 28 0 176 155 107 34% + 49	83 152 0 152 155 80 15% - 3	42 108 2 -13 95 103 36 3% -6	96 364 3 + 13 377 359 117 24% + 21	367 734 0 0 734 707 394 74% + 27	580 183 0 0 183 160 581 73% +1		4,990 0 4,990 4,848 — —	261 0 261 259 	1,840 7,516 33 0 7,549 7,367 1,866 60% + 26	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Christian	4th
16 83 0 0 83 72 27 30% +11	7 25 0 25 27 5 0 - 2	11 36 0 -1 35 31 15 13% +4	30 131 0 +1 132 145 17 35% -13	87 259 0 259 293 53 53 0 - 34	240 75 0 0 75 89 226 74% - 14				527 1,798 0 1,798 1,821 481 47% - 46	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Clay	4th
43 61 0 61 50 54 56%	9 46 0 46 36 19 10%	37 153 2 -14 141 128 47 0	131 655 4 +1 660 596 194 25%	140 357 0 357 354 143 28%	479 103 0 103 85 499 83%	6 0 6 6 	3,806 0 3,806 3,831 —		1,147 5,652 6 -13 5,639 5,529 1,267 55%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Clinton	4th
+11 83 115 0 0 115 111 87	+ 10 12 54 0 0 54 51 15 7%	+ 10 39 93 0 0 93 99 33 3%	+ 63 212 493 0 0 493 603 102 2%	+ 3 123 523 0 0 523 503 143 32%	+ 20 350 115 0 0 115 90 375 73%	23 0 0 23 21 			+ 120 1,149 7,727 0 0 7,727 7,243 1,057 48%	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Effingham	4th

				Over ,000	Law \$ or		ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ıt Nain		Municipal Corporations	ul alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Corj	Mental Health	Dissolu Mar
4th	Fayette	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred	29 18 0 0	36 45 0 0	5 1 0 0	84 64 0 0	117 57 0 0	48 31 0 0	1 0 0 0	23 14 0 0	6 2 0 0	3 0 0 0	138 110 0 0
		Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	18 13 38	45 57 24	1 2 4	64 110 38	57 115 59	31 49 30	0 1 0	14 34 3	2 0 8	030	110 206 42
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	50% +9	33% -12	75% -1	47% - 46	39% - 58	87% -18	0 -1	33% - 20	75%	0 - 3	24% 96
4th	Jasper	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	9 6 0 +1 7 5 11 46%	7 7 0 -1 6 11 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 17 0 0 17 17 13 8%	47 35 0 0 35 25 57 53%	3 15 0 15 15 3 33%	1 5 0 5 2 4	3 2 0 2 0 5 60%			15 46 0 46 43 18 11%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 2	- 5	-	-	+ 10	-	+ 3	+ 2	-	-	+ 3
4th	Marion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	132 79 0 +7 86 104 114 39% -18	56 61 0 - 7 54 59 51 35% - 5	6 2 0 2 6 2 0 -4	72 165 0 165 79 158 25% +86	65 55 0 55 42 78 53% +13	16 32 0 0 32 32 16 38% -	3 2 0 2 3 2 0 -1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 52 \\ 23 \\ 30 \\ 0 \\ + 29 \\ \end{array} $	0 6 0 6 6 0 0	0 5 0 5 4 1 0 +1	$     \begin{array}{r}       160 \\       296 \\       1 \\       0 \\       297 \\       296 \\       161 \\       43\% \\       +1 \\     \end{array} $
4th	Montgomery	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	52 37 0 37 30 70 50% +18	$ \begin{array}{r} 60\\ 43\\ 0\\ 43\\ 49\\ 54\\ 61\%\\ -6 \end{array} $	2 4 0 0 4 3 3 3 67% + 1	76 76 0 76 77 75 49% -1	68 28 0 28 45 51 63% - 17	31 50 0 50 57 24 25% +7	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 100%	25 44 0 0 44 36 33 61% +8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 7 0 0 7 7 0 0	71 192 0 0 192 208 55 16% - 16
4th	Shelby	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	30 15 0 +1 16 17 29 45%	14 10 0 -1 9 14 9	2 0 +1 1 0 3 100%	33 33 0 - 1 32 49 16 31%	46 24 0 24 16 54 70%	112 37 0 37 60 89 70%	4 0 0 0 0 4 100%	12 11 0 11 23 0 0	6 0 0 0 0 0 6 100%	7 2 0 2 1 8 88%	20 103 0 103 105 18 0
	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	- 1 454	- 5 304	+1	- 17 614	+ 8	- 23 278	- 18	- 12 105	- 56	+1 67	- 2 658
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	434 271 0 16 287 282 474	262 0 - 16 246 282 268	23 14 0 10 24 32 23	614 632 0 	339 0 0 339 368 551	278 273 0 0 273 312 239	18 12 0 0 12 7 23	105 152 0 0 152 132 125	56 11 0 0 11 46 21	67 22 0 0 22 72 17	658 1,356 1 0 1,357 1,469 546
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	46% +20	51 % - 36	65% -2	49% 25	58% - 29	62% - 39	52% +5	49% + 20	81% - 35	47% - 50	25% 112

			1										
	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	63 43 0 43 89 17	11 51 0 51 52 10	31 75 2 0 77 84 26	93 259 0 259 297 55	328 529 0 0 529 729 128	269 94 0 94 105 258		3,779 0 0 3,779 3,594 —		1,285 5,307 2 0 5,309 5,659 740	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Fayette	4th
	24% 46	0 -1	0 - 5	20% - 38	27% - 200	72% 11	-	_		47% - 545	Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
	24 17 0 17 23 18 67%	19 32 0 0 32 36 15 20%	18 31 0 -10 21 25 14 7%	24 122 0 +10 132 136 20 5%	32 113 0 113 75 70 30%	60 43 0 43 29 74 53%	20 0 20 13	1,610 0 1,610 1,592		275 2,173 0 0 2,173 2,082 324 37%	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Jasper	4th
-	-6	- 4	- 4	- 4	+ 38	+14	_	_		+ 49	$\dots$ Inventory (+ or – )		
	122 274 0 274 219 177 55% +55	$ \begin{array}{r} 60\\ 123\\ 0\\ 123\\ 114\\ 69\\ 55\%\\ +9\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 62\\ 185\\ 2\\ -23\\ 164\\ 134\\ 92\\ 15\%\\ +30\\ \end{array} $	$254 \\ 434 \\ 1 \\ + 23 \\ 458 \\ 404 \\ 308 \\ 41\% \\ + 54$	153 797 0 0 797 723 227 27% +74	538 149 0 149 156 531 77% -7		8,758 0 8,758 7,001 — —		1,700 11,647 4 0 11,651 9,562 2,017 48% + 317	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Marion	4th
	65 87 0 87 96 56 46% - 9	30 46 0 46 52 24 38% -6	52 120 0 120 118 54 15% +2	194 495 0 495 521 168 28% - 26	$     \begin{array}{r}       181 \\       782 \\       0 \\       0 \\       782 \\       743 \\       220 \\       16\% \\       + 39 \\       \end{array} $	422 142 0 142 172 392 68% - 30			153 0 153 141 -	1,330 8,434 0 0 8,434 • 8,251 1,280 44% -50	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Montgomery	4th
	25 38 0 38 26 37	18 28 0 28 21 25	14 41 0 - 4 37 34 17	62 264 0 + 4 268 255 75	102 313 0 0 313 291 124	280 110 0 110 123 267		2,356 0 2,356 2,244 —		787 3,576 0 0 3,576 3,466 781	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Shelby	4th
	51% +12	68% +7	0 + 3	0 +13	57% +22	66% -13	_		_	54% -6	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )		
	499 894 28 0 922 841 580	249 557 0 557 557 544 262	306 842 8 - 65 785 756 334	1,096 3,217 8 52 3,277 3,316 1,056	1,513 4,407 0 4,407 4,418 1,502	3,218 1,014 0 1,014 1,009 3,203			1,027 0 1,027 992 —	10,040 53,830 45 - 13 53,862 50,980 9,813	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	Circuit Total	4th
	51% +81	30% +13	8% +28	25% - 40	40% 	73% 			_	51% - 227	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )		

			Law \$15	Over ,000	Law \$ or		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munio Col	Mental Health	Dissol
5th	Clark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of	27 10 0 10 18	35 11 0 0 11 9	2 0 0 0 0 0	44 36 0 0 36 40	50 23 0 0 23 16	13 10 0 10 11	0 0 0 0 0	15 3 0 0 3 12	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	67 98 0 0 98 130
		Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	19 58% -8	37 78% +2	2 100%	40 90% - 4	57 79% +7	10 100% - 3	0	6 67% -9	0 0 —	0 0 -	35 40% - 32
5th	Coles	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	186 79 0 79 79 71 194	87 28 0 0 28 36 79	4 3 0 0 3 3 4	236 146 0 0 146 110 272	91 36 0 0 36 24 103	125 50 0 50 44 131	4 1 0 0 1 1 4	57 8 0 0 8 15 50	4 0 0 0 0 0 4	12 5 0 5 3 14	210 352 0 352 319 243
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	66% +8	84% -8	50% —	77% +36	81% +12	82% +6	100%	96% -7	100%	86% +2	55% +33
5th	Cumberland	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	15 9 0 9 6 18	27 13 0 13 14 26	1 2 0 0 2 1 2	25 22 0 0 22 17 30	44 16 0 16 17 43	0 1 0 1 1 2	1 0 0 0 0 1	7 5 0 5 2 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1	66 56 0 0 56 92 30
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	61% +3	69% - 1	50% +1	87% +5	67% -1	100% +2	100% —	60% + 3	0	0 +1	23%
ith	Edgar	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	43 20 0 20 16 47 64% +4	19 15 0 15 11 23 70% +4	2 0 0 0 0 0 2 100%	90 48 0 48 40 98 86% + 8	37 14 0 14 8 43 77% +6	21 22 0 22 28 15 93% -6	1 1 0 1 1 1 100%	6 1 0 1 0 7 86% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 2 0 2 9 0 0 -7	82 130 0 130 119 93 56% +11
5th	Vermilion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	284 154 8 +1 163 134 313 55%	98 50 3 1 52 70 80 50%	35 5 0 +7 12 8 39 74%	356 590 4 - 6 588 673 271 21%	105 126 0 126 110 121 42%	94 77 7 0 84 113 65 54%	35 4 0 4 21 18 89%	84 35 18 0 53 75 62 69%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 48 0 48 50 29 48%	315 621 1 0 622 588 349 35%
5th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	+ 29	- 18 266	+ 4	- 85 751	+ 16	- 29 253	- 17 41	- 22 169	4	- 2 50	+ 34
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	272 8 +1 281 245 591	117 3 -1 119 140 245	10 0 +7 17 12 49	842 4 6 840 880 711	215 0 215 175 367	160 7 0 167 197 223	6 0 0 6 23 24	52 18 0 70 104 135	0 0 0 0 0 4	56 0 0 56 62 44	1,257 1 0 1,258 1,248 750
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	58% +36	60% - 21	74% +5	58% - 40	66% + 40	76% - 30	0 - 17	79% - 34	0	0	4

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
51 32 0 32 37 46 85% -5	22 46 0 46 40 28 29% +6	21 42 0 0 42 41 22 23% +1	92 253 0 253 228 117 44% + 25	171 473 0 473 534 112 12% - 59	266 78 0 78 117 226 74% - 40	12 0 12 20 -	4,275 0 0 4,275 3,974 -		876 5,504 0 5,504 5,301 757 57% - 119	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Clark	5th
3 166 87 0 87 65 188 80% + 22	60 140 0 140 140 110 90 58% + 30	97 218 0 -33 185 179 103 45% +6	+ 23 199 644 0 + 33 677 652 224 33% + 25	682 1,232 0 1,232 1,367 547 46% -135	- 40 0 153 0 0 153 141 868 85% + 868			 167 0 0 167 160 	2,220 10,409 0 10,409 10,039 3,118 68% + 898	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Coles	5th
79 18 0 18 75 22 73% -57	37 11 0 0 11 10 38 71% +1	47 40 0 40 33 32 38% -15	152 68 0 68 94 126 92% - 26	76 90 0 90 96 70 84% -6	0 42 0 42 38 0 69% 0			21 0 021 38 	577 2,230 0 2,230 2,358 451 72% - 126	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Cumberland	5th
141 68 0 0 68 48 161 85% + 20	108 45 0 45 52 111 85% + 3	46 119 0 - 31 88 58 68 28% + 22	146 245 0 + 31 276 260 162 59% + 16	288 352 0 0 352 337 303 76% + 15	555 124 0 0 124 109 570 82% + 15	22 0 0 22 21 	2,342 0 0 2,342 2,212 -	 93 0 93 98 	1,592 3,663 0 3,663 3,427 1,704 75% + 112	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Edgar	5th
1,294 353 0 0 353 369 1,278 92% - 16	145 207 0 207 235 117 28% - 28	322 336 2 46 392 333 284 45% 38	608 543 0 +46 589 754 442 66% -166	1,095 1,644 9 -1 1,652 2,075 772 27% - 323	1,486 322 0 0 322 301 1,507 81% + 21			 269 0 269 177 	6,387 21,517 52 0 21,569 23,334 5,747 63% - 640	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Vermilion	5th
1,731 558 0 0 558 594 1,695 90% - 36	372 449 0 0 449 447 384 56% + 12	533 755 2 -110 647 644 509 41% -24	1,197 1,753 0 +110 1,863 1,988 1,071 59% -126	2,312 3,791 9 -1 3,799 4,409 1,804 42% -508	2,307 719 0 0 719 706 3,171 82% + 864	2,781 0 0 2,781 3,104 —	28,878 0 28,878 28,934 —	 652 0 652 547 	11,652 43,323 52 0 43,375 44,459 11,777 66% +125	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	5th

			Law \$15		Law \$ or		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chai	Mise Re	D	Tax	Mun Cc	Mer	Disse
6th	Champaign	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	552 252 13 + 21 286 317 521	154 152 1 	25 8 1 +10 19 21 23	393 460 1 13 448 445 396	179 145 0 145 104 220	81 156 1 0 157 151 87	33 7 0 7 29 11	6 2 0 0 2 4 4	0 4 0 0 4 4 0	11 51 0 51 45 17	604 923 5 0 928 1,039 494
		Than 12 mos	57% - 31	59% +42	39% - 2	48% + 3	59% + 41	59% +6	100% - 22	100% - 2	0	47% +6	46% 
6th	DeWitt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	26 30 0 +1 31 39 26 12%	18 6 0 6 8 6 50%	2 1 0 +2 3 3 2 50%	38 82 0 - 3 79 96 21 24%	18 24 0 24 17 15 20%	9 13 2 0 15 8 8 8 38%	2 0 0 0 2 0 0	2 10 0 10 12 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	44 84 2 0 86 93 20 0
6th	Douglas	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	27	-12 12	- 0	- 17 58	- 3	-1	-2	-2	- 0	- 0	- 24 62
	2005.00	Filed         Reinstated         Transferred         Net Added         Disposed of         Pending at End*         % Pending More         Than 12 mos         Inventory (+ or -)	27 0 +1 28 28 24 21% -3	12 18 0 -1 17 11 14 43% +2	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +2 \end{array} $	43 0 43 38 59 78% +1	21 0 21 8 34 50% +9	9 0 9 8 11 82% -		2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	1 0 0 1 1 0 0	1 0 1 1 0 0	85 0 0 85 70 75 51% +13
6th	Macon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	322 237 0 237 183 376 50%	177 147 0 147 147 117 207 50%	6 0 0 0 4 2 0	886 858 0 0 858 963 781 42%	283 310 0 310 291 302 27%	66 88 0 0 88 91 63 44%	1 13 0 13 13 11 27%	30 19 0 19 41 8 100%	45 95 0 95 89 51 14%	11 200 0 200 191 20 30%	461 827 0 827 771 517 36%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 54	+ 30	-4	- 105	+19	- 3	+ 10	- 22	+6	+9	+ 56
6th	Moultrie	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	30 9 0 9 15 24 75% -6	15 8 0 8 8 15 80%	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ \end{array} $	21 15 0 -1 14 14 21 81%	38 23 0 23 15 46 70% + 8	8 6 0 6 4 10 80% + 2		47 2 0 2 30 19 95% - 28	0 1 0 1 1 0		24 $48$ $0$ $0$ $48$ $36$ $33$ $+12$
6th	Piatt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	16 5 0 5 7 14	7 7 0 0 7 5 9	1 1 0 0 1 0 2	10 31 0 31 24 17	12 18 1 0 19 13 18	5 10 0 10 9 6	9 0 0 0 0 0 9	13 10 0 10 8 15	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 1	44 106 0 106 103 47
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	64% - 2	44% + 2	50% +1	29% +7	28% +6	33% +1	100%	80% +2	0	0 +1	30% + 3

		1								-		
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
734 937 3 0 940 975 699	274 292 0 0 292 286 280	338 756 61 - 1 816 914 242	352 956 76 +1 1,033 1,131 252	3,245 4,071 59 0 4,130 4,992 2,383	2,342 409 1 0 410 291 2,461	 2,042 0 2,042 1,816 	23,971 0 0 23,971 23,959 		9,323 35,623 222 0 35,845 36,645 8,286	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Champaign	6th
52% - 35	43% +6	5% 96	4% -100	41% 862	85% +119	_	_		56% 	Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
24 51 0 51 53 6	22 61 0 61 47 14	22 58 0 -17 41 35 25	73 483 0 +17 500 416 108	55 334 4 0 338 263 106	255 92 0 92 72 260	24 0 0 24 24 			610 4,952 8 0 4,960 4,352 617	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	DeWitt	6th
33% 		4% +3	0 + 35	0 + 51	69% +5	_	_	_	32% +7	Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
63 53 0 53 57 56 55% -7	16 15 0 15 17 13 92% -3	$ \begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 71 \\ 0 \\ 71 \\ 70 \\ 26 \\ 0 \\ +1 \end{array} $	76 222 0 0 222 209 89 11% +13	200 497 0 497 412 265 60% +65	261 70 0 70 69 260 77% -1		4,335 0 4,335 4,347 — —		836 5,549 0 5,549 5,429 929 57% + 93	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Douglas	6th
531 1,047 0 1,047 762 816 48% + 285	238 494 0 0 494 441 291 28% + 53	93 733 3 - 68 668 649 251 4% + 158	527 1,919 0 +68 1,987 2,018 652 24% +125	1,798 2,931 0 2,931 2,983 1,746 38% - 52	1,852 463 0 463 532 1,783 78% - 69	2,945 0 2,945 2,843 — —	19,847 0 19,847 19,675 —	47 0 47 44 	7,327 33,220 0 33,220 32,701 7,877 46% + 550	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Macon	6th
18 24 0 0 24 29 13 69%	9 66 0 66 67 8 0	18 35 0 -7 28 32 18 11%	19 267 0 +7 274 232 57 12%	34 145 3 0 148 132 50 30%	221 53 0 53 66 208 78%	0 0 0 0 0 0	1,564 0 1,564 1,497 —	 126 0 126 105 	502 2,394 3 0 2,397 2,284 527 59%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Moultrie	6th
- 5	-1		+ 38	+ 16	-13		_	-	+ 25	Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
12 25 0 25 20 17	14 45 0 45 48 11	32 89 4 - 19 74 67 39	59 187 5 +19 211 213 57	55 208 2 0 210 221 44	0 66 0 66 53 0		2,532 0 2,532 2,419 —	7 0 0 7 8	289 3,358 12 0 3,370 3,228 306	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Piatt	6th
41% +5	0 - 3	3% +7	2% -2	23% 11	70% 0		_		43% +17	Than 12 mos		

				Over ,000	Law \$ or		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ent main		unicipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rei	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Disso
6th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More	973 560 13 + 23 596 589 985	383 338 1 19 320 242 447	34 15 1 +13 29 30 33	1,406 1,489 1 -17 1,473 1,580 1,295	555 541 1 0 542 448 635	180 282 3 0 285 271 185	45 20 0 20 44 31	98 45 0 45 96 47	45 102 0 102 96 51	22 253 0 0 253 237 38	1,239 2,073 7 0 2,080 2,112 1,189
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	53% +12	54% +64	33% -1	46% 111	42% +80	55% +5	74% 14	89% - 51	0 +6	37% +16	40% - 50
7th	Greene	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos	5 7 1 0 8 6 7 57%	45 25 0 25 37 33 46%	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 50%	24 24 0 0 24 32 17 35%	5 13 0 13 11 7 0	2 12 0 12 12 12 0		14 11 0 0 11 24 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		23 58 0 58 51 30
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 2	-12	-	-7	+ 2	- 2	-	-13	-		+7
7th	Jersey	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	43 20 0 20 25 38 55% - 5	32 28 0 28 18 42 33% +10	7 1 0 1 2 6 6 7% -1	$ \begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 47 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 47 \\ 41 \\ 38 \\ 55\% \\ +6 \\ \end{array} $	27 25 0 25 29 23 35% - 4	$ \begin{array}{r} 15\\27\\0\\0\\27\\25\\17\\59\%\\+2\end{array} $		1 2 0 2 1 2 0 +1			36 136 0 136 123 49 8% +13
7th	Macoupin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	107 45 0 0 45 52 97 61% - 10	136 79 0 0 79 28 183 66% + 47	11 3 0 0 3 10 7 0 -4	332 189 0 0 189 284 218 45% -114	131 45 0 0 45 88 87 58% - 44	42 20 0 20 31 21 43% -21	2 2 0 0 2 1 3 33% +1	58 27 0 0 27 31 54 89% -4	12 2 0 2 0 14 86% +2	4 1 0 1 0 5 80% +1	275 278 0 0 278 379 145 40% - 130
7th	Morgan	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	59 41 0 +7 48 43 60 43% +1	25 31 0 -7 24 21 28 32% +3	3 5 0 +5 10 6 6 6	51 144 0 -5 139 149 33 9% -18	24 23 0 0 23 36 17 12% -7	4 66 0 0 66 57 6 0 + 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 16 0 16 13 0 0 -		1 3 0 3 4 0 -1	48 207 0 207 220 57 0 + 9
7th	Sangamon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	675 274 0 0 274 216 733 66% + 58	752 214 0 0 214 96 870 78% +118	45 25 0 0 25 27 32 61% - 13	916 1,654 7 0 1,654 1,602 891 45% - 25	819 210 0 210 236 793 79% - 26	552 279 0 0 279 162 669 72% +117	20 19 0 19 6 33 68% +13	144 18 0 0 18 2 160 89% +16	5 6 0 6 0 11 46% +6	0 385 0 0 385 385 0 0 0	601 1,176 0 0 1,176 1,030 231 45% - 370

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,3822,137302,1401,8961,60750%+225	573 973 0 973 906 617 34% + 44	528 1,742 68 - 112 1,698 1,767 601 4% + 73	1,106 4,034 81 + 112 4,227 4,219 1,215 15% + 109	5,387 8,186 68 0 8,254 9,003 4,594 40% - 793	4,931 1,153 1 0 1,154 1,083 4,972 81% + 41		 55,512 0 55,512 54,773 	 563 0 563 494 	18,887 85,096 248 0 85,344 84,639 18,542 51% - 345	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	6th
9 45 0 45 39 15 7% +6	4 10 0 10 10 4 25% —	21 45 0 -9 36 39 24 13% +3	25 64 0 +9 73 67 29 0 +4	22 171 0 0 171 169 24 0 +2	314 81 0 0 81 82 313 77% -1			 0 0 15 21 	515 2,594 1 0 2,595 2,586 506 54% - 9	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Greene	7th
24 29 0 29 30 23 52% -1	56 57 0 57 71 42 10% - 14	58 85 0 - 11 74 97 35 17% - 23	116 415 0 +11 426 448 94 1% - 22	$     \begin{array}{r}       102 \\       229 \\       0 \\       0 \\       229 \\       283 \\       48 \\       19\% \\       -54     \end{array} $	390 79 0 79 215 254 73% - 136		3,517 0 3,517 3,454 —	234 0 234 228 	939 4,938 0 4,938 5,091 711 42% - 228	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Jersey	7th
382 144 0 0 144 86 424 78% + 42	171 118 0 0 118 64 207 55% + 36	103 210 0 -63 147 130 120 19% +17	304 525 0 + 63 588 477 398 417 + 94	773 1,156 0 0 1,156 1,021 887 66% +114	940 255 0 255 157 971 79% + 31				3,783 8,790 0 8,790 8,353 3,841 64% + 58	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Macoupin	7th
23 103 0 0 103 102 21 17%	15 45 0 45 48 10 30%	30 134 0 - 37 97 95 32 6%	55 464 3 +37 504 508 56 0	121 909 0 909 975 85 0	512 181 0 181 98 595 72%		5,401 0 5,401 5,131 —	29 0 29 27 	971 7,983 3 0 7,986 7,697 1,006 48%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Morgan	7th
2 1,488 1,529 0 0 1,529 1,111 1,765 49% +277	-5 559 378 0 0 378 159 775 84% +216	+ 2 391 719 75 - 196 - 618 345 21% - 46	+1 887 2,268 62 +196 - 2,362 1,084 38% +197	- 36 3,825 8,773 21 0 - 8,215 4,533 46% + 708	+ 83 2,840 721 5 0  467 3,101 79% + 261				+35 14,519 54,422 170 0 - 49,239 16,026 59% +1,507	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Pending at Start Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Sangamon	7th

			Law \$15,	Over 6,000	Law \$´ or I	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	it iain		Municipal Corporations	lth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolu Marr
7th	Scott	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End*	3 0 0 3 0 6	8 20 0 20 15 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 18 0 18 20 10	6 13 0 0 13 11 8	2 2 0 0 2 3 1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 3 0 0 3 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 24 0 0 24 27 8
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	50% + 3	31% +5	0	10% - 2	13% +2	0 -1	100% —	0	0	0	13% - 3
7th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	892 390 1 + 7 398 342 941 64% + 49	998 397 0 - 7 390 215 1,169 72% + 171	$ \begin{array}{r} 68\\ 36\\ 0\\ +5\\ 41\\ 47\\ 53\\ 46\%\\ -15\\ \end{array} $	1,367 2,076 7 -5 2,078 2,128 1,207 44% -160	1,012 329 0 329 411 935 74% - 77	$ \begin{array}{r} 617 \\ 406 \\ 0 \\ 406 \\ 290 \\ 714 \\ 0 \\ +97 \\ \end{array} $	24 21 0 0 21 7 38 0 +14	217 77 0 0 77 74 217 88%	17 8 0 8 0 25 0 8	5 389 0 389 389 5 5 0	994 1,879 0 1,879 1,830 520 39% - 474
8th	Adams	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	104 66 0 + 8 74 81 97 37%	61 52 0 - 1 51 61 44 43%	$5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 0$	95 199 1 -2 198 206 87 30%	75 55 1 0 56 93 38 32%	23 81 0 81 69 35 34%	13 9 0 9 1 21 62%	6 2 0 2 4 4 4 50%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 70 0 70 88 31 65%	156 413 14 0 427 438 145 15%
8th	Brown	Inventory (+ or -)         Pending at Start         Filed         Reinstated         Transferred         Net Added         Disposed of         Pending at End         % Pending More         Than 12 mos         Inventory (+ or -)	-7 2 5 0 0 5 2 5 5 0 +3	-17 13 13 1 0 14 12 15 60% +2	-2 0 1 0 +2 3 1 2 0 +2		- 37 23 16 0 0 16 22 27 17 53% - 6	+12 2 10 0 10 3 9 22% +7	+8 1 1 0 0 1 0 2 50% +1	-2 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 +3	0 2 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0		-11 11 40 0 40 37 14 43% +3
8th	Calhoun	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	8 1 0 +2 3 4 5 60% -3	8 3 0 -2 1 6 5 60% -3		3 6 0 6 7 2 0 -1	9 6 0 6 5 10 50% +1	3 3 0 0 3 6 0 0 -3		0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 -	0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0		5 18 0 18 19 4 -1
8th	Cass	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	24 12 0 0 12 22 14 50% -10	7 3 0 3 5 5 5 40% -2	2 3 0 0 3 0 5 60% +3	28 31 0 0 31 37 22 36% -6	18 7 0 7 15 10 80% - 8	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 19 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ 22 \\ 8 \\ 13\% \\ -3 \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 4 0 4 5 3 100% -1	0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 88 0 0 88 80 32 22% + 8

 												,
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{array} $	5 17 0 0 17 21 1 0 -4	4 18 0 18 20 2 0 -2	17 72 0 72 70 19 11% +2	33 78 0 0 78 101 10 10% -23	92 28 0 28 44 76 70% - 16	- 4 0 4 5 -		23 0 23 13 	201 1,167 0 1,167 1,066 158 43% -43	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Scott	7th
1,932 1,860 0 1,860 1,382 2,250 55% + 318	810 625 0 0 625 373 1,039 72% + 229	607 1,211 75 - 316 970 999 558 19% - 49	1,404 3,808 65 + 316 4,189 3,932 1,680 34% + 276	4,876 11,316 21 0 11,337 10,764 5,587 48% +711	5,088 1,345 5 0 1,350 1,063 5,310 78% - 222	510 0 510 427 			20,928 79,894 174 0 80,068 74,032 22,248 58% +1,320	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	7th
175 236 0 236 323 88 71% - 87	44 204 0 204 204 44 2% -	118 335 11 - 95 251 207 162 18% + 44	181 612 5 + 95 712 634 259 33% + 78	600 2,168 0 2,168 1,901 867 32% + 267	674 259 4 0 263 332 605 63% - 69				2,379 13,563 4 0 13,567 13,431 2,530 39% +151	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Adams	8th
5 18 0 18 11 12 25% +7	8 17 0 0 17 12 13 46% +5	$ \begin{array}{r} 15\\33\\0\\0\\33\\25\\23\\13\%\\+8\end{array} $	28 108 8 0 116 112 32 9% +4	66 75 16 0 91 115 42 60% - 24	96 33 0 0 33 46 83 64% - 13		1,250 0 1,250 1,121 —	 0 26 36 	289 1,688 25 0 1,713 1,587 295 44% +6	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Brown	8th
4 8 0 8 10 2 50%	7 12 0 12 9 10 40%	8 7 0 7 12 3 67%	13 144 0 0 144 130 27 22%	11 32 3 0 35 33 13 39%	61 12 0 12 23 51 77%			 91 0 91 91 	140 927 3 0 930 910 132 52%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Calhoun	8th
-2 27 44 0 0 44 47 24	+ 3 7 52 0 0 52 43 16	- 5 33 58 0 0 58 61 30	+14 36 263 0 263 252 47	+ 2 55 219 0 0 219 219 219 55	-10 158 51 0 0 51 63 146	9 0 0 9 11				Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Cass	8th
38% -3	6% +9	7% -3	4% +11	6% —	72% -12	_	_	_	39% - 16	Than 12 mos		

				Over ,000		15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ <del>11</del>	ution of riage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Corj	Mental Health	Dissolution Marriage
8th	Mason	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	14 18 0 +2 20 13 21	19 26 0 -2 24 18 25	$2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ $	29 41 0 -2 39 41 27	40 38 0 0 38 40 38	15 63 0 0 63 58 20	1 2 0 0 2 2 1	5 6 0 6 7 4	2 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 64 0 64 58 38
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	71% +7	68% +6	50% —	82% -2	90% -2	75% +5	100%	100% -1	100% -1	0	71% +6
8th	Menard	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	11 8 0 +2 10 13 8 25%	3 3 -2 1 3 1 0	1 1 0 1 1 1 1	12 30 0 30 24 18 28%	15 11 0 11 14 12 33%	6 7 0 7 12 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 0 3 4 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 66 0 66 61 34 15%
8th	Pike	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	- 3	- 2 24	2	+6	- 3 31	-5 14	- 19	-1 12	- 3	- 8	+ 5
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	9 0 +1 10 18 35 80%	28 0 1 27 19 32 41%	1 0 1 0 3 33%	48 0 48 47 35 51%	26 0 26 22 35 40%	17 0 17 15 16 50%	26 0 26 0 45 42%	6 0 6 2 16 69%	1 0 1 0 4 75%	0 0 0 0 0 8 100%	115 0 115 113 46 26%
8th	Schuyler	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Yending at End	-8 9 2 0 +2 4 6 4	+8 10 4 0 -2 2 14 1	+1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+1 8 11 1 0 12 16 4	+4 17 11 0 0 11 13 15	+ 2 5 6 0 0 6 8 3	+ 26 2 0 0 0 0 2 0	+4 2 1 0 0 1 0 3	+1 2 0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 2 14 30 0 0 30 33 11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	75% - 5	100% -9	0	25% -4	47% - 2	33% -2	0 - 2	67% +1	0 - 2	0	36% - 3
8th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	215 121 0 +17 138 159 189	145 132 1 17 116 138 128	12 7 0 +6 13 9 16	228 389 2 - 6 385 395 218	228 170 1 0 171 224 175	79 206 0 206 193 92	36 38 0 38 5 69	31 26 0 26 23 34	7 6 0 6 7 6	57 70 0 70 88 39	315 834 14 0 848 839 324
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	50% - 26	50% - 17	31% +4	42% -10	53% - 53	42% +13	49% + 33	65% + 3	0% -1	72% 	25% +9
9th	Fulton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	46 26 0 26 32 40	18 23 0 0 23 24 17	4 6 1 0 7 7 6	33 75 0 0 75 76 30	35 49 0 49 53 31	19 34 0 34 41 11	2 3 0 3 5 0	9 17 0 0 17 13 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 6 0 6 6 6 0	69 229 5 0 234 241 61
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	54% -6	18% -1	17% +2	10% - <u>3</u>	13% -4	50% -8	0 - 2	54% +4	0	0	10% -8

Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
57 56 0 0 56 63 50 90% -7	71 90 0 - 28 62 103 30 30% - 41	128 278 0 +28 306 336 98 81% -30	85 213 0 213 253 45 89% - 40	246 89 0 89 73 262 100% +16				781 4,864 0 4,864 4,577 696 85% - 85	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Mason	8th
5 24 0 0 24 26 3 33% -2	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 30 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 28 \\ 25 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ +3 \\ \end{array} $	14 99 0 +3 102 86 30 0 +16	92 192 0 0 192 208 76 222% - 16	130 57 0 57 48 139 63% +9			24 0 0 24 32 	338 1,667 1 0 1,668 1,651 345 35% +7	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Menard	8th
40 68 0 68 59 49 18% +9	60 51 0 51 66 45 40% - 15	127 144 0 144 153 118 53% - 9	70 293 0 293 283 80 34% +10	749 107 0 107 75 781 89% + 32		3,203 0 3,203 2,794 		1,305 4,311 0 4,311 3,791 1,377 70% +72	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Pike	8th
4 36 0 36 36 4 0	29 27 0 -7 20 29 20 60% -9	50 125 0 +7 132 133 49 63% -1	21 58 0 58 64 15 33% -6	104 34 0 0 34 39 102 68% -2				311 1,388 1 0 1,389 1,435 265 60% - 46	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Schuyler	8th
172 469 0 0 469 452 189 35%	344 631 12 - 133 510 528 326 23%	577 1,773 + 133 1,919 1,836 660 41%	1,000 3,250 19 0 3,269 3,076 1,193 34%	2,218 642 6 648 699 2,169 78%			576 0 576 575 —	5,977 30,889 68 0 30,957 29,811 6,058 53%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	8th
+17 23 72 0 0 72 72 22 17%	-18 52 126 0 0 126 133 43 13%	+ 83 66 357 0 0 357 373 41 18%	+ 193 104 525 2 0 527 503 128 6%	- 49 541 183 0 0 183 180 526 71%				+ 81 1,060 7,104 9 0 7,113 6,944 993 46%	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Fulton	9th
	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ & & 57 \\ & 56 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 56 \\ & 63 \\ & 50 \\ \hline \\ & 90\% \\ & -7 \\ \hline \\ & 5 \\ & 24 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 24 \\ & 26 \\ & 3 \\ & 33\% \\ & -2 \\ \hline \\ & 24 \\ & 26 \\ & 3 \\ \hline \\ & 33\% \\ & -2 \\ \hline \\ & 40 \\ & 68 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 68 \\ & 59 \\ & 49 \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & +9 \\ \hline \\ & 40 \\ & 68 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 68 \\ & 59 \\ & 49 \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & +9 \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & +9 \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & 49 \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ & 18\% \\ \hline \\ & 18\% \\ &$	57 $71$ $56$ $90$ 0         0           0 $-28$ $56$ $62$ $63$ $103$ $50$ $30$ $90%$ $30%$ $-7$ $-41$ $5$ $10$ $24$ $30$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $-3$ $24$ $28$ $26$ $25$ $3$ $13$ $33%$ $0$ $-2$ $+3$ $40$ $60$ $68$ $51$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $-7$ $36$ $27$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $-7$ $36$ $29$ $4$ $20$ $0$ $-133$ $469$ $510$ <	57 $71$ $128$ $56$ $90$ $278$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $-28$ $+28$ $56$ $62$ $306$ $63$ $103$ $336$ $50$ $30$ $98$ $90%$ $30%$ $81%$ $-7$ $-41$ $-30$ $5$ $10$ $14$ $24$ $30$ $99$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $-3$ $+3$ $24$ $28$ $102$ $26$ $25$ $86$ $3$ $13$ $30$ $33%$ $0$ $0$ $-2$ $+3$ $+16$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ <	57 $71$ $128$ $85$ $56$ $90$ $278$ $213$ $0$ $-28$ $+28$ $0$ $56$ $62$ $306$ $213$ $50$ $30$ $98$ $45$ $90%$ $30%$ $81%$ $89%$ $-77$ $-41$ $-30$ $-40$ $5$ $10$ $14$ $92$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $24$ $28$ $102$ $192$ $26$ $25$ $86$ $208$ $3$ $13$ $30$ $76$ $33%$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$	$$ $$ $$ 57         71         128         85         246           56         90         278         213         89           0         0         0         0         0         0           56         62         306         213         89           63         103         336         253         73           50         30         98         45         262           90%         30%         81%         89%         100%           -7         -41         -30         -40         +16           5         10         14         92         57           0         1         0         0         0           24         28         102         192         57           26         25         86         208         48           3         13         30         76         139           33%         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           66         153         283         75<	57 $71$ $128$ $85$ $246$ $ 56$ $90$ $278$ $213$ $89$ $12$ $63$ $103$ $336$ $223$ $73$ $5$ $50$ $30$ $98$ $45$ $262$ $ 90%$ $30%$ $81%$ $89%$ $100%$ $ 7-7$ $-41$ $92$ $130$ $ 7-7$ $-41$ $92$ $130$ $ 7-7$ $-41$ $92$ $130$ $ 7-7$ $-41$ $92$ $130$ $ 7-7$ $7-41$ $92$ $130$ $ 7-7$ $7-41$ $92$ $130$ $ 7-7$ $7-41$ $92$ $57$ $3$ $70$ $79$ $ 73$ $73$ $74$ $70$ $79$ $ 77$ $749$ $ 73%$ $75$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	57         71         128         45         246         -         -         -         -         781	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

			Law \$15	Over 000	Law \$ or			eous Y	F		l ations		in of ge
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
9th	Hancock	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	24 5 0 +2 7 15 16	29 24 0 -2 22 22 29	$5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 2$	39 58 0 -1 57 63 33	30 39 0 0 39 38 31	10 23 0 23 16 17	2 0 0 0 0 2 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 0 2 3 2	55 106 0 106 106 55
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	69% - 8	62% —	50% - 3	42% -6	32% +1	29% +7	0 - 2	0	0	0 -1	40%
9th	Henderson	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	8 8 0 0 8 7 9 33%	12 17 0 17 18 11 55%	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	20 25 0 0 25 27 18 50%	16 17 0 17 7 26 42%	6 7 0 7 5 8 38%	2 0 0 0 0 2 100%	2 4 0 4 5 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	23 43 0 43 43 34 32 38%
9th	Knox	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start	+1	-1 46	+ 2	- 2 163	+10	+ 2	- 0	-1 60	- 0	2	+ 9
		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	60 3 +4 67 68 94 43%	31 1 -3 29 35 42 57%	2 1 +7 10 11 7 29%	241 8 8 24 288 116 45%	87 3 0 90 143 55 42%	69 2 0 71 84 68 57%	0 0 0 0 0	43 0 0 43 18 87 97%	1 0 1 1 0	36 0 36 28 9	355 5 0 360 410 155 27%
9th	McDonough	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	+6 31 25 0 0 25 20 35	-4 47 32 0 0 32 36 41	-1 7 5 0 0 5 7 5	- 47 53 67 0 0 67 57 71	- 53 67 45 0 0 45 54 66	-13 19 53 0 0 53 41 28	8 2 0 0 2 6 4	+ 27 22 24 0 0 24 30 11		+ 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 50 70 163 0 0 163 150 85
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	66% + 4	51% -6	60% - 2	47% +18	50% - 1	18% +9	50% - 4	27% 	0	0	28% +15
9th	Warren	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	31 17 0 0 17 18 30	24 9 0 9 18 15	3 5 0 0 5 5 3	19 52 0 0 52 51 20	32 25 0 0 25 35 22	4 6 0 0 6 8 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 9 0 0 9 7 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 2 2 0	29 123 0 0 123 109 43
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	59% 1	63% -9	33%	10% +1	27% 10	50% - 2	0	25% +2	0	0	19% +14
9th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	228 141 3 +6 150 160 224	176 136 1 - 5 132 153 155	27 20 2 + 8 30 34 25	327 518 8 - 9 517 562 288	288 262 3 0 265 330 231	139 192 2 0 194 195 134	14 5 0 5 13 6	96 97 0 0 97 73 117	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	5 47 0 47 40 11	451 1,019 10 0 1,029 1,050 431
		Than 12 mos	52% -4	53% - 21	32% -2	39% - 39	38% - 57	44% -5	0	81% +21	0	0 + 6	26% - 20

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
37 64 0 64 33 68 35% + 31	66 35 0 0 35 28 73 56% +7	$ \begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 87 \\ 0 \\ -28 \\ 59 \\ 67 \\ 33 \\ 6\% \\ -8 \\ \end{array} $	37 191 0 +28 219 209 47 15% +10	141 285 0 285 329 97 46% - 44	504 120 0 120 75 541 81% + 37	32 0 0 32 28 	2,531 0 2,531 2,590 		$     1,024 \\     3,669 \\     0 \\     0 \\     3,669 \\     3,699 \\     1,045 \\     61\% \\     + 21 $	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Hancock	9th
46 24 0 24 18 52 71% +6	17 19 0 0 19 23 13 33% -4	25 78 0 - 26 52 34 44 11% + 19	44 149 0 +26 175 158 60 5% +16	29 98 0 98 95 32 59% + 3	153 35 0 0 35 18 170 81% +17			69 0 69 64 	403 2,252 0 2,252 2,365 480 52% +77	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Henderson	9th
72 357 6 0 363 317 122 21% + 50	41 54 0 0 54 65 30 40% -11	57 195 0 195 155 115 5% + 58	$ \begin{array}{r} 151\\ 923\\ 0\\ 923\\ 784\\ 194\\ 5\%\\ + 43\\ \end{array} $	340 1,064 2 0 1,066 1,082 445 38% + 105	1,591 314 5 0 319 240 1,669 84% + 78	1,262 0 1,262 1,410 —	9,530 0 9,530 9,277 —	 87 0 87 75  	3,013 14,711 36 0 14,747 14,491 3,208 60% + 195	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Knox	9th
84 96 0 96 106 82 42% - 2	21 41 0 41 33 34 29% +13	50 222 0 -6 116 136 140 6% +90	431 676 0 +6 682 852 327 28% -104	464 545 0 0 545 773 238 45% - 226	250 124 0 0 124 92 276 63% +26		4,129 0 4,129 3,789 -		1,624 6,882 0 6,882 6,629 1,443 40% - 181	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	McDonough	9th
24 61 0 61 72 13 15% - 11	22 60 0 60 64 18 22% -4	38     106     0     -23     83     64     58     28%     +20	74 452 0 +23 475 455 93 0 +19	137 408 0 0 408 479 66 3% -71	265 106 0 106 86 285 71% + 20		4,024 0 4,024 3,722 -	23 0 0 23 5 	704 5,823 0 5,823 5,408 672 41% - 32	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Warren	9th
302 726 7 0 733 687 361 35% + 59	190 281 0 281 285 190 39% -	263 814 0 - 83 731 589 433 10% 170	803 2,748 0 + 83 2,831 2,831 762 15% - 41	1,215 2,925 4 0 2,929 3,261 1,006 35% - 209	3,304 882 5 0 887 691 3,467 79% +163	2,769 0 2,769 2,534 — —	26,445 0 26,445 25,675 	413 0 413 372 	7,828 40,441 45 0 40,486 39,536 7,841 53% +13	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	9th

				Over ,000		15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	t	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munici	Mental Health	Dissolu Mari
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	18 10 0 10 13 15	6 5 0 5 2 9	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array} $	15 22 0 -1 21 24 12	20 16 1 0 17 19 18	12 19 0 0 19 22 9	0 3 0 0 3 0 3	4 3 0 0 3 7 0	1 1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 65 0 0 65 64 32
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	40% - 3	44% + 3	0 +1	50% - 3	33% - 2	44% - 3		0 - 4	50% +1	0	28% +1
10th •	Peoria	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	850 437 24 + 38 499 551 798 49%	177 245 14 - 38 221 193 205 30%	89 16 4 +13 33 46 76 79%	443 722 17 14 725 612 556 43%	362 462 3 0 465 389 438 42%	261 326 9 0 335 291 305 55%	28 4 0 0 4 6 26 96%	165 179 20 0 179 202 162 41%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 627 0 627 624 15 0	593 1,079 16 0 1,095 1,010 678 35%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	- 52	+ 28	-13	+ 113	+ 76	+ 44	- 2	- 3	-	+ 3	+ 85
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	14 9 0 +1 10 8 14 36%	8 2 0 -1 1 7 4 75%	$3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ +2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0$	8 14 1 -1 14 11 11 55%	15 9 0 9 10 14 50%	5 2 0 2 2 5 80%		8 2 0 2 3 7 71%		0 1 0 1 0 1 0	19 27 0 0 27 21 25 64%
		Inventory (+ or – )	_	-4	-1	+ 3	-1	_	-	-1	-	+1	+6
10th	Stark	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2 5 0 5 1 6 50% +4	4 0 4 6 5 40% - 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 9 0 9 13 3 0 - 4	16 7 0 7 7 16 56%	0 6 0 6 5 1 0 +1		11 1 0 1 1 11 1 0 -10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0	11 28 0 0 28 21 18 17% +7
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	299 167 1 +13 181 172 308 52% +9	56 51 0 -13 38 49 45 40% -11	19 9 0 +4 13 12 20 50% +1	88 311 1 - 4 308 318 78 26% - 10	234 260 0 260 221 273 48% + 39	94 92 0 92 83 103 62% +9	6 14 2 0 16 12 10 50% +4	73 42 0 0 42 30 85 84% +12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	209 732 5 0 737 643 303 31% +94
10th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	1,183 628 25 + 52 705 745 1,141	254 307 14 52 269 257 268	112 26 4 +19 49 61 101	561 1,078 19 - 20 1,077 978 660	647 754 4 0 758 646 759	372 445 9 0 454 403 423	34 21 2 0 23 18 39	261 227 20 0 247 253 255	1 1 0 0 1 0 2	12 629 0 629 625 16	863 1,931 21 0 1,952 1,759 1,056
		% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	50% - 42	33% +14	70% 	41 % + 99	45% +112	57% + 51	77% +5	0 -6	50% +1	0 + 4	349 + 19

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
35 26 0 26 44 17 35% - 18	21 21 0 0 21 27 15 67% -6	2 $45$ $0$ $0$ $45$ $36$ $11$ $9%$ $+9$	14 104 1 0 105 92 27 7% +13	89 298 2 0 300 258 131 31% + 42	148 82 0 0 82 56 175 93%				417 2,555 5 0 2,560 2,454 478 48%	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Marshall	10th
1,729 1,586 2 0 1,588 938 2,379 60% + 650	275 475 44 0 519 460 334 50% + 59	215 661 0 - 35 626 697 185 26% - 30	+ 13 275 2,074 0 + 35 2,109 1,953 431 56% + 156	+ 42 1,975 7,994 42 0 8,086 7,686 2,325 7% + 350	+ 27 3,986 775 0 0 775 558 4,203 83% + 217		 29,074 0 29,074 33,760 		+61 11,435 50,230 195 -1 50,424 53,557 13,116 52% +1,681	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Peoria	• 10th
13 14 0 0 14 17 10 60% -3	3 12 0 0 12 4 11 27% +8	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ + 2 \end{array} $	6 42 0 42 34 14 29% + 8	11 50 0 50 41 20 45% +9	47 26 0 26 13 60 63% +13				$ \begin{array}{r} 164 \\ 1,202 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1,202 \\ 1,136 \\ 40 \\ 52\% \\ +40 \\ \end{array} $	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Putnam	10th
11 13 0 0 13 14 10 20% -1	14 14 0 0 14 20 8 38% -6	$ \begin{array}{r}     4 \\     14 \\     0 \\     -4 \\     10 \\     9 \\     5 \\     0 \\     +1 \\ \end{array} $	31 59 0 +4 63 68 26 15% -5	14 38 0 38 41 11 46% - 3	94 41 0 41 32 103 66% +9	14 0 0 14 16 	816 0 816 757 		222 1,088 0 1,088 1,045 213 47% -9	Pending at Start Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Stark	10th
770 572 0 0 572 541 801 84%	163 208 0 208 209 162 46%	145 347 34 - 92 289 325 109 5%	320 627 103 + 92 822 701 441 18%	266 1,491 2 0 1,493 1,532 227 4%	2,106 419 1 0 420 303 2,223 83%		 20,994 0 0 20,994 18,755 		4,848 27,754 149 0 27,903 25,246 5,188 63%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Tazewell	10th
 + 31 2,558 2,211 2 0 2,213 1,554 3,217 66% + 659	-1 476 730 44 0 774 720 530 49% +54	- 36 370 1,083 34 - 131 986 1,081 316 22% - 54	+ 121 646 2,906 104 + 131 3,141 2,848 939 44% + 293	- 39 2,355 9,871 46 0 9,917 9,558 2,714 8% + 359	+ 117 6,381 1,343 2 0 1,345 962 6,764 83% + 383	 4,719 0 0 4,719 4,763 		 292 0 0 292 267  	+ 340 17,086 82,829 350 -1 83,178 83,438 19,199 55% + 2,113	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	10th

				Over ,000		15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	lth Ith	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic Cor	Mental Health	Dissol
11th	Ford	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	29 7 0 +2 9 16 22	16 16 0 -2 14 18 12	2 3 0 0 3 3 2	37 31 0 0 31 42 26	26 27 0 0 27 20 33	13 19 0 19 14 18	9 0 0 0 9 0	6 7 0 7 8 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0	32 76 0 76 80 28
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	64% - 7	42% -4	50% —	35% 	46% +7	39% +5	0 -9	40% -1	0	0 -1	4% -4
11th	Livingston	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	81 49 3 +4 56 36 104	19 23 0 -4 19 11 22	13 2 1 +1 4 7 8	28 135 7 -1 141 122 47	57 48 0 0 48 29 76	38 45 0 45 29 55	7 11 0 0 11 10 8	10 6 0 6 1 16	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	5 2 0 2 6 1	117 234 0 234 202 149
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	54% +23	50% + 3	38% - 5	26% +19	57% +19	60% +17	0 + 1	69% +6	0 + 1		40% + 32
11th	Logan	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	71 33 0 0 33 45 59 56% - 12	31 8 0 0 8 29 10 50% - 21	3 2 0 2 3 2 0 -1	30 63 0 63 54 39 64% +9	65 21 0 21 23 63 79% -2	$ \begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 44 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 44 \\ 48 \\ 12 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{array} $	4 1 0 1 2 3 67% -1	4 6 0 6 6 4 75%	5 1 0 1 5 1 0 -4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	92 189 0 189 178 103 16% + 11
11th	McLean	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	406 197 10 + 23 230 186 457 58% + 51	191 109 7 -15 101 93 196 64% +5	35 9 0 +9 18 32 22 59% -113	222 463 71 -15 519 482 259 29% +37	282 120 2 0 122 124 280 68% - 2	66 114 2 0 116 100 82 50% +16	17 21 0 21 15 23 17% +6	9 0 2 5 5 5 80% - 4	1 4 0 4 2 3 3 33% +2	2 24 0 0 24 22 4 0 +2	283 675 2 0 677 701 259 6% - 24
11th	Woodford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	31 27 1 +2 30 28 31 42%	15 18 2 -2 18 23 12 75% -3	1 0 +1 1 2 0 , 0 -1	10 68 0 -1 67 55 22 5% +12	43 34 0 0 34 25 52 52 + 9	0 13 0 0 13 7 6 0 +6	0 10 0 10 5 5 0 +5	11 5 0 0 5 11 5 20% -6	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 33\% \\ +2 \end{array} $	2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 112 0 0 112 117 44 27% - 5
11th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	618 313 14 +29 356 311 673 57% +55	272 174 9 -23 160 174 252 62% -20	54 16 1 +11 28 47 34 50% -20	327 760 78 -17 821 755 393 31% +66	473 250 2 0 252 221 504 65% + 31	133 235 2 0 237 198 173 47% +40	+3 37 43 0 0 43 41 39 0 +2	40 24 2 0 26 31 35 60% -5	7 8 0 0 8 7 8 0 +1	10 26 0 26 31 5 0 -5	573 1,286 2 0 1,288 1,278 583 18% + 10

 						-						
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
38 29 0 0 29 57 10 10% - 28	15 54 0 54 47 22 18% +7	22 51 0 -8 43 46 18 11% -4	$ \begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 162 \\ 0 \\ +8 \\ 170 \\ 145 \\ 90 \\ 32\% \\ +26 \\ \end{array} $	106 154 0 154 209 51 14% - 55	267 98 0 98 134 231 66% - 36	25 0 0 25 22 	2,554 0 2,554 2,506 —		683 3,330 0 3,330 3,330 3,386 568 44% - 115	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Ford	11th
124 75 0 0 75 72 127 81% +3	+7 39 118 0 0 118 107 42 21% +3	88 158 4 - 29 133 150 71 1% - 17	+ 20 164 492 0 + 29 521 589 134 21% - 30		946 203 0 203 284 861 79% - 85	- 131 0 0 131 111 - -	 6,461 0 6,461 6,685 		1,891 8,984 47 0 9,031 9,270 1,878 57% - 13	Pending at Start 	Livingston	11th
43 121 0 1 122 123 41 29% -2	8 45 0 45 38 18 22% +10	61 96 0 96 100 53 9% -8	76 248 0 248 248 248 76 12%	$ \begin{array}{r} 111\\ 443\\ 0\\ 0\\ 443\\ 404\\ 150\\ 39\%\\ +39\\ \end{array} $	1,055 141 0 0 141 169 1,027 88% - 28		7,356 0 7,356 5,972 —		1,675 8,942 0 1 8,943 7,562 1,661 68% - 14	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Logan	11th
216 296 13 0 309 383 142 34% - 74	51 186 0 186 168 63 10% +12	341 621 80 0 701 620 400 9% +59	948 2,027 200 0 2,227 2,274 901 6% - 47	613 3,307 457 0 3,764 3,694 683 14% + 70	1,138 355 3 0 358 331 1,165 74% + 27	926 5 0 931 941 —			4,821 46,440 1,874 2 48,316 44,709 4,944 37% + 123	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	McLean	11th
36 51 0 51 77 10 50%	13 50 0 50 53 10 30%	13 74 3 -2 75 72 18 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 33\\253\\1\\+2\\255\\235\\52\\0\\0\\0\end{array} $	39 160 1 161 164 36 47%	0 137 0 137 153 0 45%	7 0 7 5 	4,450 0 4,450 4,047 -	— 15 0 15 11 —	297 5,486 8 0 5,494 5,092 306 35%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Woodford	11th
-26 457 572 13 0 585 712 330 51% -127	-3 126 453 0 0 453 413 155 17% + 29	+5 525 1,000 87 -34 1,053 988 560 8% +35	+ 19 1,285 3,182 201 + 39 3,422 3,491 1,253 10% - 32	- 3 1,024 4,798 490 0 5,288 5,234 1,076 18% + 52	0 3,406 934 3 0 937 1,071 3,284 - 77% - 122			 273 5 0 278 263 	+9 -9,367 73,182 1,932 -5 75,119 70,019 -9,357 47% -10	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	11th

			Law \$15	Over ,000		15,000 less	~	neous dy	Ľ		unicipal Corporations	£	ion of age
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolution 6 Marriage
12th	Will	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	868 522 42 + 204 768 809 827	307 460 12 - 204 268 209 366	88 7 3 + 52 62 90 60	739 3,059 55 - 52 3,062 3,197 604	542 757 17 0 774 669 647	359 868 14 0 882 871 370	27 14 2 0 16 21 22	411 217 2 0 219 253 377	2 8 0 0 8 3 7	3 125 0 0 125 127 1	1,336 1,597 22 0 1,619 1,894 1,061
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	28% 41	35% +59	25% -28	19% 	26% +105	28% +11	41 % - 5	62% - 34	29% +5	0 - 2	16% - 275
12th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	868 522 42 + 204 768 809 827	307 460 12 - 204 268 209 366	88 7 3 + 52 62 90 60	739 3,059 55 - 52 3,062 3,197 604	542 757 17 0 774 669 647	359 868 14 0 882 871 370	27 14 2 0 16 21 22	411 217 2 0 219 253 377	2 8 0 8 3 7	3 125 0 0 125 127 1	1,336 1,597 22 0 1,619 1,894 1,061
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	28% - 41	35% +59	25% - 28	19% 	26% +105	28% +11	41% -5	62% - 34	29% +5	0 - 2	16% - 275
13th	Bureau	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	84 40 2 + 10 52 57 79	20 33 4 -10 27 33 14	5 2 0 + 2 4 4 5	24 104 8 - 2 114 108 26	45 37 1 0 38 54 29	13 53 0 53 43 23	6 2 0 0 2 2 6	3 9 0 9 4 8	0 3 0 3 3 0	0 4 0 0 4 4 0	53 187 1 0 188 176 65
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	53% - 5	29% -6	40%	19% +2	45% 16	22% +10	83%	13% +5	0	0	17% +12
13th	Grundy	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	105 44 0 44 47 102 61%	32 34 0 34 16 70 69%	31 3 0 3 10 24 56%	164 80 1 0 81 201 44 44%	71 24 0 24 21 72 76%	35 34 0 34 37 32 70%	26 1 0 1 27 0	19 1 0 1 1 19 94%	3 3 0 3 5 0	3 4 0 4 4 3 75%	98 239 0 239 256 91 36%
13th	LaSalle	Inventory (+ or -)         Pending at Start         Filed         Reinstated         Transferred         Net Added         Disposed of         Pending at End         % Pending More         Than 12 mos	-3 541 270 10 +14 294 319 504 54%	+ 18 59 77 2 - 13 66 73 64 31%	-7 46 10 4 +11 25 28 41 63%	- 120 138 425 27 - 12 440 427 153 15%	+1 117 100 0 0 100 112 105 42%	-3 92 177 2 0 179 177 94 49%	- 26 6 14 0 0 14 4 16 13%		-3 1 0 0 0 0 1 100%		7 178 619 8 0 627 602 203 13%
13th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	- 37 730 354 12 + 24 390 423 685	+ 5 131 144 6 - 23 127 122 148	-5 82 15 4 +13 32 42 70	+15 326 609 36 -14 631 736 223	-12 233 161 1 0 162 187 206	+ 2 + 2 140 264 2 0 266 257 149	+10 38 17 0 0 17 33 22	-26 72 32 9 0 41 62 51	4 6 0 0 6 8 1		+25 +25 329 1,045 9 0 1,054 1,034 359
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55% - 45	46% +17	60% 	23% - 103	55% - 27	49% +9	32% - 16	63% - 21	0 - 3	0	19% + 30

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
381 1,418 49 0 1,467 1,613 235 14% - 146	138 229 2 0 231 301 68 19% - 70	799 1,236 164 0 1,400 1,238 961 28% +162	731 2,865 0 2,865 2,723 873 3% + 142	1,509 6,367 85 0 6,452 6,344 1,617 6% + 108	2,442 523 0 523 390 2,575 82% + 133				10,682 106,325 2,598 0 108,923 107,753 10,671 35% - 11	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Will	12th
381 1,418 49 0 1,467 1,613 235 14% 146	138 229 2 0 231 301 68 19% -70	799 1,236 164 0 1,400 1,238 961 28% +162	731 2,865 0 2,865 2,723 873 3% + 142	1,509 6,367 85 0 6,452 6,344 1,617 6% + 108	2,442 523 0 0 523 390 2,575 82% + 133			157 0 157 206 —	10,682 106,325 2,598 0 108,923 107,753 10,671 35% - 11	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	12th
38 84 2 0 86 106 18 0 - 20	25 86 0 0 86 79 32 19% +7	$ \begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 69 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 72 \\ 74 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{array} $	84 623 5 0 628 599 113 4% + 29	87 884 20 0 904 879 112 1% + 25	1,036 183 1 0 184 181 1,039 84% + 3				1,543 9,022 16 0 9,038 9,160 1,587 61% + 44	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Bureau	13th
171 78 0 0 78 85 164 84% -7	102 87 0 87 138 53 31% - 49	44 67 0 0 67 71 40 34% 4	338 686 0 0 686 750 274 63% - 64	88 340 0 340 323 105 22% + 17	307 128 0 128 95 332 63% + 25		4,724 0 4,724 4,810 -	116 0 116 122 	1,657 6,750 1 0 6,751 7,073 1,425 60% - 232	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Grundy	13th
104 248 3 0 251 284 71 21%	161 225 1 0 226 279 108 26%	$     108 \\     315 \\     10 \\     -40 \\     285 \\     243 \\     150 \\     6\% $	236 1,263 66 + 40 1,369 1,335 270 7%	533 2,122 59 0 2,181 2,217 497 7%	1,525 405 18 0 423 337 1,611 79%				3,895 25,499 222 0 25,721 25,630 3,912 47%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	LaSalle	13th
33 313 410 5 0 415 475 253 63% 60	-53 288 398 1 0 399 496 193 26% -95	+42 172 451 13 -40 424 388 208 10% +36	+ 34 658 2,572 71 + 40 2,683 2,684 657 36% - 1	- 36 708 3,346 79 0 3,425 3,419 714 8% + 6	+ 86 2,868 716 19 0 735 613 2,982 79% +114		28,805 18 0 28,823 29,072 		+17 7,095 41,271 285 0 41,556 41,863 6,924 53% -171	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	13th

			Law \$15,		Law \$1 or I		ery	aneous edy	t ain		unicipal Corporations	÷	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolu Marr
14th	Henry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	96 51 0 + 8 59 44 111 60% + 15	53 25 0 8 17 42 28 68% 25	4 1 0 + 3 4 3 5 40% + 1	$ \begin{array}{r}     43 \\     102 \\     1 \\     -3 \\     100 \\     102 \\     40 \\     13\% \\     -3 \\ \end{array} $	89 71 0 0 71 97 64 47% - 25	38 73 0 73 85 26 54% - 12	10 6 0 6 11 5 40% - 5	1 2 0 2 2 1 100%		0 5 0 5 5 0	71 248 4 0 252 242 81 31% + 10
14th	Mercer	Pending at Start Filed	33 11 0 +1 12 13 32 63% -1	29 10 0 -1 9 21 17 65% -12	3 1 0 1 3 1 100% -2	22 27 0 0 27 36 13 39% -9	42 33 0 0 33 33 42 62% -	3 21 0 21 17 7 29% +4		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0	60 106 0 106 95 71 55% +11
14th	Rock Island	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	491 208 2 + 21 231 275 454 62% - 37	196 137 4 -21 120 126 190 62% -6	48 13 2 +18 33 29 49 55% +1	601 902 8 	322 329 3 0 332 380 274 38% - 48	122 128 0 0 128 156 94 65% - 28	59 62 2 0 64 65 58 33% -1	59 99 1 0 100 63 96 31% + 37	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5 0 5 5 0 0	517 1,030 7 0 1,037 1,007 547 37% + 30
14th	Whiteside	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	141 105 0 0 105 98 148 65% +7	63 34 0 34 39 58 47% -5	5 0 0 0 1 4 75% -1	91 236 0 236 215 112 43% + 21	65 63 0 63 98 30 54% - 35	39 63 0 63 63 39 60%	1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0	28 10 0 10 7 31 80% + 3	0 4 0 4 1 3 0 +3	0 10 0 10 10 0 0	193 357 0 357 345 205 37% + 12
14th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	761 375 9 + 30 414 430 745 62%	341 206 4 - 30 180 228 293 59%	60 15 2 + 21 38 36 59 56%	757 1,267 9 - 21 1,255 1,293 721 43%	518 496 3 0 499 608 410 43%	202 285 0 0 285 321 166 60%	70 69 2 0 71 77 64 33%	88 111 1 0 112 72 128 43%	0 4 0 4 1 3 0	0 2 0 21 21 21 0 0	841 1,741 11 0 1,752 1,689 904 38%
15th	Carroll	Inventory (+ or -)         Pending at Start         Filed         Reinstated         Transferred         Net Added         Disposed of         Pending at End         % Pending More         Than 12 mos         Inventory (+ or -)		$ \begin{array}{c} -48 \\ 40 \\ 52 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ 47 \\ 28 \\ 59 \\ 49\% \\ +19 \\ \end{array} $	-1 1 0 0 +49 49 0 2 50% +1	$ \begin{array}{r} -36 \\ 33 \\ 39 \\ 0 \\ -49 \\ -10 \\ 35 \\ 36 \\ 69\% \\ +3 \end{array} $	-108 31 29 0 0 29 20 40 55% +9	-36 14 12 0 0 12 10 16 69% +2	6 3 5 0 0 5 5 3 67%	+ 40 50 8 0 0 8 11 47 89% - 3	+3 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 100%		+63 38 90 0 0 90 64 64 64 42%

	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	56 152 0 152 134 74 53%	31 95 0 95 99 27 19%	111 211 0 - 76 135 149 97 32%	205 760 0 + 76 836 790 251 33%	183 613 1 0 614 649 148 13%	1,134 194 0 194 237 1,091 85%	464 0 464 469 	12,039 0 12,039 12,140 		2,125 15,225 6 0 15,231 15,425 2,049 62%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Henry	14th
	+18 60 61 0 0 61 75 46 61% -14	-4 28 18 0 0 18 25 21 52% -7	$ \begin{array}{r} -14 \\ 124 \\ 34 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 30 \\ 59 \\ 95 \\ 88\% \\ -29 \\ \end{array} $	+46 +46 160 182 0 +4 186 187 159 75% -1	- 35 154 376 0 0 376 406 124 38% - 30	-43 259 91 0 0 91 83 267 69% +8	 118 0 118 118 	 1,651 0 1,651 1,679 		-76 977 2,810 0 2,810 2,904 895 65% -82	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Mercer	14th
	502 692 2 0 699 711 485 55% - 17	37 175 2 0 177 177 37 5%	415 618 18 - 37 599 582 432 37% + 17	1,811 2,463 272 + 37 2,772 2,771 1,852 34% + 41	1,234 3,530 13 0 3,543 3,768 1,009 21% - 225	2,964 572 0 0 572 964 2,572 80% - 392	 2,085 53 0 2,130 2,021  			9,378 47,087 1,259 0 48,346 48,568 8,705 51% - 673	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Rock Island	14th
	195 167 0 167 162 200 76% + 5	43 102 0 102 115 30 26% -13	91 274 0 - 28 246 248 95 27% + 4	273 1,024 0 + 28 1,052 1,073 246 53% - 27	398 1,442 0 1,442 1,323 517 44% +119	1,425 251 0 251 620 1,122 80% - 303	236 0 236 220 	8,220 0 8,220 7,875 —		3,051 12,725 0 12,725 12,639 2,841 62% - 210	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Whiteside	14th
1	813 1,072 2 0 1,074 1,082 805 60% - 8	139 390 2 0 392 416 115 23% - 24	741 1,137 18 - 145 1,010 1,038 719 42% - 22	2,449 4,429 272 + 145 4,846 4,781 2,508 38% + 59	1,969 5,961 14 0 5,975 6,146 1,798 28% - 171	5,782 1,108 0 1,108 1,904 5,052 81% - 730	2,903 53 0 2,956 2,828 —		477 2 0 479 491 	15,531 77,847 1,608 0 79,455 79,536 14,490 56% - 1041	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	14th
	72 40 0 40 59 53 59% -19	23 43 0 0 43 50 16 25% -7	12 71 2 0 73 44 41 41 0 +29	149 258 0 0 258 292 115 25% - 34	65 221 0 0 221 184 102 37% +37	400 68 0 68 122 340 85% -60		 2,355 3 0 2,358 2,271 		952 3,529 5 0 3,534 3,427 959 59% +7	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Carroll	15th

						15.000		s			su		of
				Over ,000		15,000 less	cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ent main		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domain	Tax	Muni	Mental Health	Dissô Ma
15th	Jo Daviess	Pending at Start	17 14	43 27	23	44 63	51 46	19 43	03	11 47	0	0	48 85
		Reinstated	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0 14	0 28	03	0 63	0 46	0 43	04	0 47	0	0	0 85
		Net Added Disposed of	14	28	1	43	40	33	1	24	0	0	89
		Pending at End	21	46	4	64	54	29	3	34	0	0	44
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	38%	57%	50%	36%	39%	24%	33%	21%	0	0	32%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 4	+ 3	+ 2	+ 20	+ 3	+ 10	+ 3	+ 23	-	_	-4
15th	Lee	Pending at Start	82	40	3	79	66	24	4	0	1	20 24	95 205
		Filed Reinstated	26 1	46	8	104	46	44	6 0	2	0	0	4
		Transferred	+12	- 12	+ 2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	39 37	34 12	11 11	109 83	47 32	44 38	6	23	0	24 18	209 226
		Pending at End	87	51	4	95	84	27	8	0	1	26	86
		% Pending More	(20)	550/	75.04	500/	570/	5000	25.07	0	1000/	(50)	2004
		Than 12 mos	62% +5	55% +11	75% +1	59% +16	57% +18	52% +3	25% +4	0	100%	65% +6	20% -9
15th	Ogle	Pending at Start	78	40	3	59	37	15	1	23	0	0	127
		Filed Reinstated	37	50 2	5	164	67 1	35	1	29 2	0	1	230
		Transferred	+ 2	- 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	40 56	50 54	5	168 173	68 56	35 32	1	31 42	0	1	230 241
		Pending at End	62	36	4	54	49	18	2	12	0	0	116
		% Pending More	520/	250/		110/	2004	2204	500/	0.07			100/
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	53% 	25% -4	0+1	11% - 5	20% +12	33% +3	50% +1	8% 	0	0	12% 
15th	Stephenson	Pending at Start	69	46	8	88	40	22	0	22	0	0	149
		Filed Reinstated	32 2	36	6	177	55	40	4	19 0	0	7	242
		Transferred	+ 5	- 5	+ 3	- 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	39 38	32 49	9 14	175 181	56 48	40 34	4	19 28	0	777	242 271
		Pending at End	70	29	3	82	40	28	0	13	0	0	120
		% Pending More	F 40/	2004	25.04	270/	2404	21.0/	0	150/			2004
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	54% +1	39% - 17	25% - 5	27% -6	34% +8	21% +6	0	15% -9	0	0	20% - 29
15th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	265 117	209 211	17 22	303 547	225 243	94 174	8 19	106	2	21	457
		Reinstated	4	4	1	11	243	0	19	105 2	1 0	35	852 4
		Transferred	+ 24	- 24	+ 54	- 53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	145 151	191 171	77 30	505 515	246 199	174	20 12	107 108	1	35	856 891
		Pending at End	262	221	17	331	275	118	16	106	2	28	430
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	47%	39%	40%	43%	37%	200/	10.9/	1000/	(10/	220/
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	- 3	+ 12	- 39%	+ 28	+ 50	+ 24	38% +8	49%	100%	64% +7	22% - 27
16th	DeKalb	Pending at Start	212 79	88 70	20 32	225 241	91	64 58	7	10	0	1	219
		Reinstated	0	0	0	1	66 0	0	16 0	22 0	0	7	346 0
		Transferred	+ 4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	83 75	66 90	32 12	242 315	66 77	58 73	16 35	22 7	0	777	346 311
		Pending at End	220	64	40	162	80	49	16	25	0	1	254
		0/ Donding Maria											
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	65%	61%	97%	34%	55%	53%	6%	0	0	0	39%

	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	37 46 0 46 41 42 55%	9 43 0 43 24 28 21%	50 104 0 - 56 48 55 43 7%	83 217 0 + 56 273 292 64 11%	94 256 0 256 260 90 30%	298 95 0 95 75 318 75%	350 0 350 269 —	3,651 0 3,651 3,606 -		806 5,275 2 0 5,277 5,084 884 47%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Jo Daviess	. 15th
	+ 5 66 110 0 110 77 96 54% + 30	+19 49 151 1 0 152 146 36 19% -13	-7 79 342 5 -35 312 219 124 3% +45	- 19 434 1,072 10 + 35 1,117 1,006 432 36% - 2	- 4 554 1,088 171 - 1 1,258 1,339 396 25% - 158	+ 20 637 150 0 0 150 105 667 81% + 30				+ 78 2,233 12,482 200 0 12,682 12,338 2,220 49% - 13	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Lee	15th
	49 176 1 0 177 162 64 16% +15	29 87 0 87 76 40 10% +11	$ \begin{array}{r} 43\\ 95\\ 3\\ 0\\ 98\\ 100\\ 41\\ 15\%\\ -2\\ \end{array} $	177 450 0 450 374 253 25% +76	83 527 2 0 529 494 118 3% + 35	296 118 7 0 125 149 272 68% - 24	454 0 0 454 395 —	6,110 0 6,110 5,885 — —	136 0 0 136 159 	1,060 8,772 23 0 8,795 8,453 1,141 31% + 81	Pending at Start Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Ogle	15th
	155 263 0 263 204 214 38% + 59	49 151 0 151 137 63 8% +14	$ \begin{array}{r} 147\\ 247\\ 0\\ 0\\ 247\\ 279\\ 115\\ 15\%\\ -32\\ \end{array} $	261 988 0 988 873 376 7% + 115	298 1,051 0 1,051 1,092 257 7% - 41	877 160 1 0 161 189 848 96% - 29		9,620 0 9,620 6,220 -		2,231 13,733 6 0 13,739 10,048 2,266 46% + 35	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Stephenson	15th
	379 635 1 0 636 543 469 42% +90	159 475 1 0 476 433 183 183 14% + 24	331 859 10 91 778 697 364 8% +33	1,104 2,985 10 + 91 3,086 2,837 1,240 23% + 136	1,094 3,143 173 -1 3,315 3,369 963 20% -131	2,508 591 8 0 599 640 2,445 84% - 63	1,578 0 1,578 1,151 — —		541 0 541 548 	7,282 43,791 236 0 44,027 39,350 7,470 47% + 188	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	15th
-	$ \begin{array}{r} 67\\ 202\\ 0\\ 202\\ 173\\ 94\\ 43\%\\ +27\\ \end{array} $	18 149 0 0 149 103 56 2% + 38	192 282 0 282 249 246 17% + 54	729 1,502 0 1,502 1,253 663 42% - 66	377 881 2 0 883 907 367 48% - 10	536 164 1 165 137 564 76% + 28				2,856 17,922 4 0 17,926 15,428 2,901 49% + 45	Pending at Start Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	DeKalb	16th

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or	15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	l lth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissol
16th	Kane	Pending at Start	1,061	548	43	719	444	210	37	150	2	157	1,095
		Filed Reinstated	585 30	424 5	50 9	3,496 103	463 10	316	24	180 0	7 0	1,080 0	1,991 0
		Transferred	+ 29	- 29	+7	-7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	644	400	66	3,592	473	317	24	180	7	1,080	1,991
		Disposed of	638	391	40	3,267	485	283	38	98	1	932	1,793
		Pending at End % Pending More	1,014	561	68	1,052	424	232	20	233	9	275	1,133
		Than 12 mos	51%	47%	31%	12%	35%	34%	38%	27%	25%	79%	10%
		Inventory (+ or – )	- 47	+13	+ 25	+ 333	- 20	+ 22	-17	+ 83	+ 7	+118	+ 38
16th	Kendall	Pending at Start	52	50	4	89	35	15	1	14	1	5	94
		Filed Reinstated	46 0	23 0	2	149	32	23	8	4	0	0	158
		Transferred	+ 2	-2	+ 2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	48	21	4	148	32	23	8	4	0	0	159
		Disposed of	49	43	3	168	36	24	2	12	0	5	149
		Pending at End	51	28	5	69	31	14	7	6	1	0	104
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	26%	43%	40%	62%	59%	29%	14%	50%	100%	0	29%
		Inventory (+ or – )	-1	- 22	+1	- 20	-4	-1	+6	-8	-	- 5	+ 10
16th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	1,325	686	67	1,033	570	289	45	174	3	163	1,408
		Filed Reinstated	710 30	517 5	84	3,886 105	561 10	397	48	206 0	7 0	1,087	2,495
		Transferred	+ 35	- 35	+9	-9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	775	487	102	3,982	571	398	48	206	7	1,087	2,496
		Disposed of	762	524	55	3,750	598	380	75	117	1	944	2,253
		Pending at End % Pending More	1,285	653	113	1,283	535	295	43	264	10	276	1,491
		Than 12 mos	52%	48%	67%	18%	40%	37%	21%	25%	0%	78%	16%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -) \dots$	- 40	- 33	+ 46	+ 250	- 35	+6	- 2	+ 90	+ 7	+113	+ 83
17th	Boone	Pending at Start	45	28	5	50	46	15	2	0	0	5	111
		Filed Reinstated	25 0	34 0	0	128	31	25 0	1	1	0	5	195 0
		Transferred	+7	-7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	32	27	0	128	31	25	1	1	0	5	195
		Disposed of	25	30	3	102	30	26	0	1	0	5	179
		Pending at End	52	25	2	76	47	14	3	0	0	5	127
		Than 12 mos	50%	32%	100%	43%	57%	43%	67%	0	0	100%	28%
		Inventory (+ or – )	+7	- 3	- 3	+ 26	+1	-1	+1	-	_	. —	+ 16
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start	824	451	37	1,741	366	178	11	92	1	8	1,295
		Filed Reinstated	384 5	264 2	17	2,631	405	141	9	221	1	313	1,723
		Transferred	+ 33	$-33^{2}$	+ 12	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	9
		Net Added	422	233	30	2,629	405	141	9	222	1	313	1,732
		Disposed of	337	254	22	2,513	359	112	4	173	2	318	1,585
		Pending at End	906	433	45	1,857	412	207	9	141	1	5	1,594
		Than 12 mos	65%	69%	50%	46%	44%	54%	46%	38%	0	29%	46%
		Inventory (+ or – )	+ 82	-18	+ 8	+116	+ 46	+ 29	-2	+ 49	_	-3	+ 299
17th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	869	479	42	1,791	412	193	13	92	1	13	1,406
		Filed	409 5	298 2	17	2,759	436	166	10	222	1	318	1,918
		Transferred	+40	$-40^{2}$	+ 12	10 	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	9
		Net Added	454	260	30	2,757	436	166	10	223	1	318	1,927
		Disposed of	362	284	25	2,615	389	138	4	174	2	323	1,764
		Pending at End	958	458	47	1,933	459	221	12	141	1	10	1,721
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	64%	67%	54%	45%	46%	53%	50%	0	0	E00/	45.07
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+89	- 21	+ 5	+142	+ 47	+ 28	-1	0 + 49	0	58% - 3	45% + 315

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,178 1,153 0 1,153 385 1,915	302 522 0 0 522 424 413	364 1,386 2 - 98 1,290 862 677	1,651 5,591 0 +98 5,689 6,335 1,052	1,349 7,289 187 0 7,476 6,558 2,259	1,933 645 4 0 649 833 1,701		 65,599 0 0 65,599 67,409 	41 0 0 41 52 —	11,243 96,941 351 0 97,292 97,623 13,038	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Kane	16tł
55% +737	31% +111	7% + 313	12% - 599	6% +910	62% - 232	_	-	_	31% +1,795	Than 12 mos Inventory ( + or – )		
63 53 0 53 82 35 57% - 28	56 61 0 61 85 32 34% - 24	65 113 11 - 16 108 120 53 13% - 12	114 328 4 +16 348 311 151 17% +37	98 239 1 0 240 246 92 27% - 6	121 78 1 0 79 100 100 40% - 21	65 0 65 35 			877 9,415 19 0 9,434 8,951 779 333% - 98	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Kendall	16th
1,308 1,408 0 0 1,408 640 2,044 55% +736		621 1,781 13 -114 1,680 1,231 976 10% +355	2,494 7,421 4 +114 7,539 7,899 1,866 21% -628	1,824 8,409 190 0 8,599 7,711 2,718 13% + 894	2,590 887 6 0 893 1,070 2,365 65% - 225	 6,715 0 0 6,715 7,295  		 244 0 244 240 	14,976 124,278 374 0 124,652 122,002 16,718 35% +1,742	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	16th
32 114 0 0 114 104 42 23% +10	134 62 0 62 70 126 62% -8	34 126 1 0 127 97 64 20% + 30	244 790 0 790 770 264 30% + 20	136 553 0 553 542 147 10% +11	257 83 0 0 83 53 287 76% + 30			8 0 8 7	1,144 10,032 1 0 10,033 10,089 1,281 44% +137	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Boone	17th
2,934 1,581 0 1,581 1,069 3,417 65%	348 463 0 463 493 319 34%	769 1,380 8 - 372 1,016 1,021 772 54%	6,212 7,942 1 + 372 8,315 8,162 5,413 48%	5,880 5,842 0 5,842 6,033 4,363 62%	4,024 677 7 0 684 1,423 3,287 83%	922 0 922 994 	46,455 — 0 46,455 50,930 —	 0 224 252 	25,171 71,595 44 0 71,639 76,056 23,181 58%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Winnebago	17th
+ 483 2,966 1,695 0 1,695 1,173 3,459 65%	- 29 482 525 0 0 525 563 445 41%	+3 803 1,506 9 -372 1,143 1,118 836 53%	- 799 6,456 8,732 1 + 372 9,105 8,932 5,677 47%	- 1,517 6,016 6,395 0 0 6,395 6,575 4,510 60%	-737 4,281 760 7 0 767 1,476 3,574 82%			 232 0 0 232 259 	- 1,990 26,315 81,627 45 0 81,672 86,145 24,462 57%	Pending at Start Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	17tl

			Law \$15,		Law \$ or		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	l lth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Ren	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissol Mai
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	1,531 766 188 + 889 1,843 1,848 1,526	672 1,384 153 - 889 448 585 735	158 65 29 + 219 313 255 216	1,777 5,814 401 - 219 5,996 5,777 1,996	1,087 961 133 0 1,094 1,238 943	450 666 11 0 677 800 327	113 106 7 0 113 111 115	1,010 2,915 14 0 2,929 3,115 824	11 8 0 8 7 12	7 101 0 101 99 9	1,814 3,347 280 0 3,627 3,526 1,915
		Than 12 mos	32% -5	25% +63	30% + 58	26% +219	41% 144	52% 	28% +2	64% 	58% +1	33% +2	18% +101
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,531 766 188 + 889 1,843 1,848 1,526 32% - 5	672 1,384 153 - 889 648 585 735 25% + 63	158 65 29 + 219 313 255 216 30% + 58	1,777 5,814 401 - 219 5,996 5,777 1,996 26% + 219	1,087 961 133 0 1,094 1,238 943 41% - 144	450 666 11 0 677 800 327 52% - 123	113 106 7 0 113 111 115 28% + 2	1,010 2,915 14 0 2,929 3,115 824 64% - 186	11 8 0 8 7 12 58% +1	7 101 0 101 99 9 33% +2	1,814 3,347 280 0 3,627 3,526 1,915 18% + 101
19th	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,279 654 187 + 378 1,219 1,355 1,146 35% - 133	537 854 91 - 377 568 624 481 16% - 56	157 77 19 + 91 187 215 129 30% - 28	1,202 3,196 198 - 92 3,302 3,501 1,003 10% - 199	662 804 99 0 903 914 651 29% - 11	229 545 32 0 577 559 247 36% + 18	80 101 16 0 117 129 68 24% -12	119 7 8 0 15 16 118 96% -1	9 9 4 0 13 16 6 0 - 3	0 41 0 41 41 0 0 	1,222 2,648 88 0 2,736 2,898 1,060 7% -162
19th	McHenry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	365 228 10 0 238 208 395 57% + 30	155 137 9 0 146 153 148 31% -7	60 52 2 0 54 63 51 33% - 9	373 801 19 0 820 823 370 19% - 3	244 250 4 0 254 276 222 31% - 22	86 114 0 0 114 147 53 28% - 33	74 4 1 0 5 38 41 93% - 33	30 9 2 0 11 22 19 63% -11	1 3 0 3 3 1 100%	0 5 0 5 3 2 0 +2	450 984 10 0 994 982 462 12% +12
19th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,644 882 197 + 378 1,457 1,563 1,541 40% - 103	692 991 100 - 377 714 777 629 19% - 63	217 129 21 + 91 241 278 180 31% - 37	1,575 3,997 217 - 92 4,122 4,324 1,373 13% - 202	906 1,054 103 0 1,157 1,190 873 29% - 33	315 659 32 0 691 706 300 35% - 15	154 105 17 0 122 167 109 50% - 45	149 16 10 26 38 137 91% - 12	10 12 4 0 16 19 7 14% - 3	0 46 0 46 44 2 0 +2	1,672 3,632 98 0 3,730 3,880 1,522 8% - 150
20th	Monroe	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	39 22 0 0 22 27 34 56% - 5	18 14 0 0 14 17 15 53% -3	8 4 0 4 6 6 83% -2	41 59 0 0 59 61 39 44% - 2	24 23 0 0 23 21 26 35% + 2	6 33 0 0 33 33 6 0	3 0 0 0 2 1 100% -2	4 3 0 3 2 5 40% +1	4 5 0 5 8 1 0 -3	0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	44 110 0 0 110 105 49 20% + 5

	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1	759 1,705 18 0 1,723 1,128 1,354 36%	353 977 4 0 981 901 433 32%	831 2,407 919 0 3,326 3,353 783 8%	2,171 6,583 1,337 0 7,920 7,749 2,899 26%	2,956 8,868 21 0 8,889 8,994 2,851 8%	1,550 1,124 37 0 1,161 1,507 1,330 44%				17,250 209,246 10,178 0 219,424 221,376 18,268 27%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	DuPage	18th
	+ 595	+ 80	- 48 831	+ 728	- 105 2,956	- 220				+ 1,018	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	Circuit Total	18th
1	1,705 18 0 1,723 1,128 1,354 36% + 595	977 4 0 981 901 433 32% + 80	2,407 919 0 3,326 3,353 783 8% - 48	2,171 6,583 1,337 0 7,920 7,749 2,899 26% + 728	2,536 8,868 21 0 8,889 8,994 2,851 8% - 105	1,550 1,124 37 0 1,161 1,507 1,330 44% - 220	14,041 437 0 14,478 15,728 —	157,348 6,187 0 163,535 164,593 —	60 2 0 62 62 	209,246 10,178 0 219,424 221,376 18,268 27%	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos		Tour
1	1,161 1,882 17 0 1,899 2,159 901	101 324 8 0 332 327 106	- 46 578 2,257 645 - 456 2,346 2,441 582	+ 728 890 3,361 647 + 456 4,464 4,473 881	1,417 6,142 120 0 6,262 5,909 1,770	2,583 980 75 0 1,055 732 2,906		 124,039 0 0 124,039 122,061 	586 0 586 639 —	+ 1,018 12,226 162,138 2,254 0 164,392 159,918 12,055	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Lake	19th
	35% 260	0 + 5	6% +4	13% -9	5% +353	74% + 323	_		_	32% 171	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )		
	104 335 3 0 338 352 90 27%	59 206 4 0 210 217 52 10%	383 797 12 - 162 647 803 227 16%	489 2,101 1 + 162 2,264 1,961 792 4%	1,105 2,838 45 0 2,883 3,177 811 3%	733 335 0 0 335 263 805 68%	2,422 0 2,422 1,781 —		419 0 419 443 —	4,711 47,235 122 0 47,351 50,054 4,541 27%	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	McHenry	19th
	-14	-7	- 156	+ 303	- 294	+ 72	_	_		- 170	Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
	1,265 2,217 20 0 2,237 2,511 991	160 530 12 0 542 544 158	961 3,054 657 - 618 3,093 3,244 809	$     1,379 \\     5,462 \\     648 \\     + 618 \\     6,728 \\     6,434 \\     1,673 $	2,522 8,980 165 0 9,145 9,086 2,581	3,316 1,315 75 0 1,390 995 3,711	0 16,053 0 16,053 12,690 0	0 159,234 0 0 159,234 160,400 0	0 1,005 0 1,005 1,082 0	16,937 209,373 2,376 0 211,749 209,972 16,596	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Circuit Total	19th
	34% - 274	3% -2	9% 	9% +294	4% + 59	73% +395	0	-0	0	30% - 341	Than 12 mos		
	15 25 0 25 31 9	7 32 0 32 26 13	28 58 0 58 68 18	50 167 0 167 167 166 51	82 222 0 0 222 223 81	183 85 0 0 85 93 171		2,143 0 2,143 2,213 —		556 3,094 0 3,094 3,210 526	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End	Monroe	20th
	33% -6	23% +6	22% 10	28% +1	37% -1	59% 12	-			43% - 30	% Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )		

			Law \$15	,000	Law \$ or	less	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	×	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	D	Σ	<u> </u>	Tax	Σ	Z	Ō
20th	Perry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	56 23 0 23 15 64	59 27 0 0 27 11 75	1 0 0 0 1 0	118 55 0 55 44 129	71 30 0 30 21 80	18 23 0 23 19 22	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 17 0 17 17 11 33	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	87 139 0 139 108 118
		Than 12 mos	59% +8	63% +16	0	75% +11	80% +9	32% +4	0 -1	79% +6	0	0	49% + 31
20th	Randolph	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	70 43 1 +21 65 43 92 45%	55 45 0 - 21 24 28 51 73%	4 0 0 0 2 2 100%	113 102 0 102 131 84 63%	62 41 0 41 38 65 71%	67 57 0 57 47 77 68%	4 1 0 1 0 5 80%	15 1 0 1 3 13 92%	2 1 0 1 0 3 67%	1 280 0 280 267 14 0	206 201 0 201 201 174 233 65%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 22	-4	-2	- 29	+ 3	+ 10	+1	-2	+1	+13	+ 27
20th	St. Clair	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2,056 489 10 + 294 793 798 2,043 70% - 13	593 706 6 - 294 418 313 676 46% + 83	49 39 1 +40 80 90 62 52% +13	544 2,757 20 - 40 2,737 2,319 465 21% - 79	351 523 5 0 528 389 499 47% + 148	265 281 4 0 285 262 384 48% +119	49 18 0 18 23 39 51% -10	1,690 404 0 404 236 1,881 78% +191		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	632 1,605 0 1,605 1,271 938 36% + 306
20th	Washington	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	25 14 0 +3 17 11 29 48% +4	17 14 0 -3 11 13 17 59% -	1 0 +2 2 2 1 100%	26 22 0 -2 20 23 23 23 74% -3	24 11 0 0 11 8 27 67% + 3	3 11 0 0 11 9 5 20% +2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 5 0 0 5 9 3 100% -4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 56 0 56 47 32 34% +9
20th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2,246 591 11 + 318 920 894 2,262 68% + 16	742 806 6 - 318 494 382 834 50% + 92	63 43 1 +42 86 101 71 57% +8	842 2,995 20 - 42 2,973 2,578 740 38% - 102	532 628 5 0 633 477 697 53% +165	359 405 4 0 409 370 494 49% + 135	57 19 0 19 25 45 56% - 12	1,743 430 0 430 261 1,935 78% + 192	6 6 0 0 6 8 4 50% -2	1 282 0 282 268 15 0% +14	992 2,111 0 0 2,111 1,705 1,370 41% + 378
21st	Iroquois	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	69 18 0 18 20 72 69%	49 28 0 0 28 16 56 71%	12 5 0 0 5 4 23 61%	79 111 0 0 111 73 101 58%	67 42 0 0 42 21 88 63%	41 31 0 0 31 17 58 66%	8 1 0 1 3 6 100%	7 14 0 0 14 15 6 83%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	1 2 0 2 3 0 0	110 138 0 0 138 111 136 39%
		Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	+ 3	+7	+11	+ 22	+ 21	+ 17	- 2	83%	- 100%	0	39% 

										×		
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
156 53 0 53 40 169 81%	6 15 0 15 6 15 40%	37 100 0 100 92 45 38%	45 155 0 155 136 64 48%	167 240 0 240 212 195 66%	405 62 0 62 53 414 88%			 63 0 63 41 	1,254 4,710 0 4,710 4,195 1,423 72%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Perry	20th
+13 255 50 0 0 50 30 275 88% +20	+9 44 45 0 0 45 21 68 56% +24	+8 32 79 1 0 80 72 40 13% +8	+19 132 313 0 0 313 296 149 41% +17	+ 28 257 429 0 0 429 509 177 45% - 80	+9 741 123 0 0 123 129 735 87% -6				+ 169 2,060 5,627 2 0 5,629 5,303 2,083 70% + 23	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Randolph	20th
3,472 3,870 0 3,870 2,423 5,262 56% + 1,790	305 578 0 0 578 626 245 22% - 60	374 980 0 - 81 899 885 265 265 8% - 109	6,568 4,302 0 + 81 4,383 6,139 7,347 41% + 779	4,191 5,406 0 5,406 5,801 3,047 16% -1,144	3,454 822 10 0 832 467 3,811 81% + 357	1,494 0 1,494 2,679 —	37,292 0 37,292 50,924 -		24,593 61,633 56 0 61,689 75,733 26,964 51% + 2,371	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	St. Clair	20th
14 21 0 21 22 13 62% -1	13 24 0 24 17 20 45% +7	32 53 0 0 53 71 14 0 -18	50 104 0 104 118 36 50% -14	55 144 0 0 144 168 31 32% - 24	271 88 0 0 88 78 281 72% +10	6 0 6 0	2,414 0 0 2,414 2,208 	 56 0 56 28 	561 3,043 0 3,043 2,832 532 60% - 29	Pending at Start Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Washington	20th
3,912 4,019 0 4,019 2,546 5,728 58%	375 694 0 694 696 361 30%	503 1,270 1 81 1,190 1,188 382 12%	6,845 5,041 0 + 81 5,122 6,855 7,647 41%	4,752 6,441 0 6,441 6,913 3,531 21%	5,054 1,180 10 0 1,190 820 5,412 81%	1,794 0 0 1,794 2,921 —	49,015 0 49,015 61,971 —	337 0 0 337 294 	29,024 78,107 58 0 78,165 91,273 31,528 53%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	20th
+ 1,816 130 69 0 0 69 74 129 89%		-121 96 112 0 0 112 50 166 42%	+802 195 290 0 290 308 177 36%	-1,221 183 462 0 0 462 399 246 45%	+ 358 637 166 0 166 121 682 78%				+ 2,504 1,726 7,960 0 7,960 7,742 2,004 61%	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Iroquois	21st

			Law \$15,			15,000 less	Sery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	_ <del>1</del>	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolu
21st	Kankakee	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	397 149 0 + 76 225 166 374	154 101 0 - 76 25 62 196	90 0 + 57 57 47 54	178 767 0 - 57 710 677 309	295 181 0 181 115 333	149 334 0 0 334 269 144	7 5 0 5 7 5	81 67 0 0 67 28 104		0 46 0 46 46 0	397 614 00 614 498 480
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	60% - 23	71% +42	52% - 36	38% +131	66% +38	49% - 5	20% - 2	51% +23	0	0	35% +83
21st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End* % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	466 167 0 +76 243 186 446 61% -20	203 129 0 -76 53 78 252 71% +49	102 5 0 +57 62 51 77 55% -25	257 878 0 57 821 750 410 43% +153	362 223 0 223 136 421 65% + 59	190 365 0 365 286 202 54% +12	15 6 0 6 10 11 64% - 4	88 81 0 81 43 110 53% + 22	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 48 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 48 \\ 49 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{array} $	507 752 0 0 752 609 616 36% +109
	Downstate Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	18,989 8,720 588 + 3,916 13,224 12,000 20,045 57% + 1,056	10,244 8,850 327 - 3,903 5,274 5,924 9,648 48% - 596	1,581 648 80 + 732 1,460 1,443 1,521 51% - 60	17,120 35,687 1,013 - 744 35,956 35,710 16,879 37% - 241	11,158 9,519 292 0 9,811 9,780 11,155 49% - 3	5,397 7,504 90 0 7,594 7,379 5,571 5,571 53% + 174	935 602 32 0 634 750 841 50% - 94	5,169 5,238 79 0 5,317 5,159 5,332 67% + 163	204 204 4 0 208 220 193 48% - 11	462 4,697 0 4,697 4,589 539 57% +77	19,177 35,852 593 0 36,445 35,450 19,560 32% + 383
	Cook	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	61,220 5,195 3,484 +14,581 23,260 23,317 61,163 77% -57	15,894 21,879 2,340 - 14,581 9,638 10,349 15,183 40% - 711	10,543 656 1,299 + 8,318 10,273 8,646 12,182* 50% + 1,639	94,360 101,520 6,501 - 8,080 99,941 88,463 105,857* 65% + 11,497	27,323 20,693 1,383 0 22,076 20,056 29,343 ** + 2,020	2,229 1,634 358 0 1,992 1,718 2,503 ** + 274	480 217 6 0 223 152 551 ** +71	40,485 6,033 64 0 6,087 7,461 39,058 ** - 1,427	304 46 0 0 46 41 309 ** + 5	199 5,623 0 5,623 5,680 142 ** - 57	13,382 24,151 554 0 24,705 23,293 14,794 ** + 1,412
	State Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	80,209 13,915 4,072 +18,497 36,484 35,317 81,208 67% +999	26,138 30,729 2,667 - 18,484 14,912 16,273 24,831 44% - 1,307	12,124 1,304 1,379 +9,050 10,429 10,089 13,703* 51% +1,579	111,480 137,207 7,514 - 8,824 135,897 124,173 122,736* 51% + 11,256	38,481 30,212 1,675 0 31,887 29,836 40,498 49% <sup>3</sup> + 2,017	7,626 9,138 448 0 9,586 9,097 8,074 $53\%^3$ + 448	1,415 819 38 0 857 902 1,392 50% <sup>3</sup> - 23	45,654 11,271 143 0 11,414 12,620 44,390 67% <sup>3</sup> -1,264	508 250 4 0 254 261 502 48% <sup>3</sup> -6	$ \begin{array}{r} 661\\ 10,320\\ 0\\ 10,320\\ 10,269\\ 681\\ 57\%^{3}\\ +20\\ \end{array} $	33,240 60,003 1,147 0 61,150 58,743 34,354 32% <sup>3</sup> + 1,795

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - i

\*Pigure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start  $\pm$  or  $\pm$  i tervening transactions. \*\*Not available for Cook County. #The misdemeanor category for Cook County includes ordinance violations, conservation violations, and all misdemeanors. aThe felony category for downstate includes felony complaints, preliminary hearings, indictments and informations. The felony category for Cook County does not include preliminary he ings of which 21,118 were pending at the start of 1987, 42,420 were filed, 1,062 were reinstated, 45,856 were disposed of, and 18,754 were pending at the end of 1987. These figures are included in the total column.

	Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
	289 653 0 0 653 677 210	106 181 0 0 181 89 208	285 545 1 90 456 387 323	668 1,790 0 +90 1,880 1,726 965	988 2,629 0 2,629 2,768 1,286	2,705 383 0 0 383 284 2,803	450 0 450 370 —			6,789 25,025 1 0 25,026 22,881 7,794	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More	Kankakee	21st
	45% 79	47% +102	9% +38	29% +297	33% + 298	87% +98	-	_	_	56% +1,005	Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$		
	419 722 0 722 751 339 62%	147 257 0 257 152 265 40%	381 657 1 - 90 568 437 489 20%	863 2,080 0 + 90 2,170 2,034 1,142 30%	1,171 3,091 0 3,091 3,167 1,532 35%	3,342 549 0 549 405 3,485 85%	463 0 463 374 -	22,160 0 22,160 20,749 	352 0 352 356 	8,515 32,985 1 0 32,986 30,623 9,798 57%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	21st
	- 80	+ 118	+ 108	+ 279	+ 361	+143	_	_	-	+1,283	Inventory (+ or – )		
	25,449 27,701 167 0 27,868 23,400 29,946 60% + 4,497	6,545 11,294 70 0 11,364 10,880 6,966 39% +421	11,317 26,204 2,239 - 2,974 25,469 24,738 11,897 21% + 580	39,833 82,379 2,933 + 2,966 88,278 89,400 40,482 34% + 649	$50,801 \\ 123,201 \\ 1,415 \\ -2 \\ 124,614 \\ 125,882 \\ 48,021 \\ 319\% \\ -2,780 \\ \end{array}$	71,097 18,890 186 0 19,076 18,926 72,387 79% +1,290	- 79,024 539 0 79,563 78,532 - - -		9,367 9 0 9,376 9,081 - -	295,478 1,652,162 21,286 -9 1,673,439 1,666,149 300,983 50% +5,505	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Downstate Total	a.
	23,744 37,201 26,795 0 63,996 55,973 31,774 ** + 8,030	11,336 19,705 9 0 19,714 14,303 16,747 ** + 5,411	9,458 18,503 2,370 0 20,873 18,274 12,057 <sup>a</sup> ** + 2,599	166,274 336,976 6,500 0 343,476 363,665 146,085 ** - 20,189	37,138 52,949 1,527 - 238 54,238 48,299 43,192* ** + 6,054	22,949 11,651 0 11,651 10,966 23,634* ** + 685	####		#####	560,396 5,484,247 <sup>1</sup> 55,581 0 5,539,828 <sup>1</sup> 3,685,598 <sup>2</sup> 575,132* ** + 14,736	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End % Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Cook	
	49,193 64,902 26,962 0 91,864 79,373 61,720 60% <sup>3</sup>	17,881 30,999 79 0 31,078 25,183 23,713 39% <sup>3</sup>	20,775  44,707  4,609  - 2,974  46,342  43,012  23,954a  21%3	206,107 419,355 9,433 + 2,966 431,754 453,065 186,567 34% <sup>3</sup>	87,939 176,150 2,942 240 178,852 174,181 91,213* 31% <sup>3</sup>	94,046 30,541 186 0 30,727 29,892 96,021* 79% <sup>3</sup>	79,024 539 # 79,563 78,532 —		9,367 9 4 9,376 9,081 —	855,874 7,136,4091 76,867 -9 7,213,2671 7,352,130 <sup>2</sup> 876,115*	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	State Total	
+	- 12,527	+ 5,832	+ 3,179	- 19,540	+ 3,274	+1,975			-	+ 20,241	Inventory (+ or –)		

<sup>1</sup>Includes 3,190,928 "hang-on" tickets (parking violations) for District One (City of Chicago) and other appropriate municipalities. <sup>2</sup>Includes 1,102,845 "hang-on" tickets (parking violations) for District One (City of Chicago) and other appropriate municipalities. <sup>3</sup>Includes downstate counties only — not Cook County.
	C	Total Law Jury ases Disposed Of	f		er of Law Jury C minated By Verdi		Average Time Elapsed in Months
Circuit	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	For Cases Terminated By Verdict
1st	396	24	420	39	4	43	31.3
2nd	292	24	316	11	1	12	26.2
3rd	1,051	160	1,211	55	26	81	30.6
4th	282	32	314	19	1	20	22.2
5th	245	12	257	16	1	17	27.6
6th	589	30	619	33	2	35	28.9
7th	342	47	389	25	1	26	31.5
8th	159	9	168	35	1	36	25.1
9th	160	34	194	5	2	7	23.5
10th	745	61	806	27	0	27	29.0
11th	311	47	358	12	2	14	27.1
12th	809	90	899	40	0	40	23.2
13th	423	42	465	21	1	22	31.7
14th	430	36	466	15	3	18	29.7
15th	151	30	181	7	1	8	25.8
16th	762	55	817	58	5	63	29.7
17th	362	25	387	21	1	22	25.6
18th	1,848	255	2,103	72	8	80	20.6
19th	1,563	278	1,841	67	6	73	24.1
20th	894	101	995	44	8	52	35.2
21st	186	51	237	8	4	12	29.6
Downstate Total	12,000	1,443	13,443	630	78	708	26.9
Cook County	23,317	8,646	31,963	631	402	1,033	66.3
State Total	35,317	10,089	45,406	1,261	480	1,741	50.3

#### SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1987

#### SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW CASES TERMINATED BY VERDICT

	Cas	ses Terminated	By Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts		apsed Between and Date of Ve	
	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Downstate Total	708	108.8	3.4	26.9
Cook County	1,033	151	8	66.3
State Total	1,741	151	3.4	50.3

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve			1		Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	iry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	erdict	1		
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Average Law Over \$15,000	Fime Lapse ( Law \$15,000 or Less	Months)
1st	Alexander	5 102 11 13 2 4 39 34 39 34 186 396	0 7 1 3 0 2 0 1 10 24	5 109 12 16 2 6 39 35 196 420	0 18 1 0 1 1 0 3 15 39	0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 21 1 1 1 1 0 3 15 43			- 3 0 1 1 1 - 1 4 11							- 13.3 - 23.1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	
2nd 2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	14 3 107 5 11 10 63 14 22 15 12 16 292	1 0 3 1 0 0 5 0 5 3 3 3 3 24	15 3 110 6 11 10 68 14 27 18 15 19 316	0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 11	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 6 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 12			- 1 0 0 0 - - - 0 0 1	- 2 - 1 0 - - - 1 1 6					 27.4  28.0 24.5 11.5   25.0 26.1 25.4		
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	12 1,039 1,051	2 158 160	14 1,197 1,211	1 54 55	0 26 26	1 80 81	0 17 17	0 5 5	0 13 13	0 15 15	1 8 9	0 6 6	0 4 4	0 13 13	30.7 37.5 37.3		30.7 30.6 30.6
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	30 19 22 42 13 5 104 30 17 282	8 2 9 2 0 6 3 0 32	38 21 24 51 15 5 110 33 17 314	1 2 0 1 0 5 5 3 19	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 2 3 0 1 0 5 5 3 20	0 1 1 0  1 0 3	0 0  0  3 1 2 6	0 0 2  0  0 1 0 3	1 0  1  1 1 0 4	0 0  0  0 1 1 2	0 0  0  0 1 0 1		0 1 0  0  0 0 0 0 1	29.6 30.6 13.4 28.5  17.0 26.5 20.4 22.4	 19.5    19.5	29.6 30.6 15.4  28.5  17.0 26.5 20.4 22.2
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	18 71 6 16 134 245	0 3 1 0 8 12	18 74 7 16 142 257	0 5 1 2 8 16	0 0 0 1 1	0 5 1 2 9 17	0 0 0 0 0		1 0 1 2 4	 1 1 0 2 4					 27.6 24.5 20.5 29.5 27.4	  29.3 29.3	27.6 24.5 20.5 29.5 27.6
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	317 39 28 183 15 7 589	21 3 1 4 1 0 30	338 42 29 187 16 7 619	16 3 2 11 1 0 33	0 0 2 0 0 2	16 3 2 13 1 0 35	3 0 2 0  5	2 0 0 1 0 - 3	3 1 1 1 1 7	2 0 1 5 0 	2 1 0 0 0 	0 1 0 1 0 	2 0 1 0 	2 0 2 0  4	30.2 32.0 24.4 32.4 19.9  30.4	  16.6   16.1	30.2 32.0 24.4 30.0 19.9  28.9

#### STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1987

#### STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1987

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury ated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	erdict			
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Average Law Over \$15,000	ime Lapse ( Law \$15,000 or Less	(Months) Total
7th 7th	Greene	6 25 52 43 216 0 342	2 2 10 6 27 0 47	8 27 62 49 243 0 389	3 0 2 20 0 25	0 0 1 0 0 1	3 0 3 20 0 26	0  .0 1  1	1  1  5	0  0 1  1	1 2 4 7	1 0 _4 5	0 0 3 3	0  0 0  0	0  0 4  4	24.7  27.2 33.7  32.1	  16.4  16.4	24.7  23.6 33.7  31.5
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	81 2 4 22 13 13 13 18 6 159	5 1 0 2 1 0 0 9	86 3 4 22 15 14 18 6 168	11 0 22 1 0 1 0 35	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	12 0 0 22 1 0 1 0 36	2  9 0  0 -11	3  2 0  0  5	3  2 0  0  5	1  3 0  0  3	0  1 0  0  1	1  1 - 0 - 2	2  0  0  0	0  4 0  1  5	24.5  23.5 39.1  63.2  25.4	14.7 — — — — — — — — — 14.7	23.7 — 23.5 39.1 — 63.2 — 25.1
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	32 15 7 68 20 18 160	7 4 0 11 7 5 34	39 19 7 79 27 23 194	1 0 1 2 0 1 5	1 1 0 0 0 0 2	2 1 2 0 1 7	1 0 1 - 0 2	0 1 0  0 1	1 0 1  0 2	0 0 0  0 0	0 0 1 0  0 1	0 0 0 	0 0 0  0 0	0 0 0 - 1 1	8.1 	20.8 17.5 — — — 19.1	14.4 17.5 35.1 15.3  53.1 23.5
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	13 551 8 1 172 745	0 46 3 0 12 61	13 597 11 1 184 806	0 20 0 0 7 27	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 20 0 0 7 27	- - - 1 3	0  0 0	4  1 5	- 6  1 7	6  0 6		0 — 1 1	- 1 - 0 1	27.7 		 27.7  32.6 29.0
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	16 36 45 186 28 311	3 7 3 32 2 47	19 42 48 218 30 358	1 2 1 8 0 12	0 0 2 0 2	1 2 1 10 0 14	0 0 0 1 -	0 0 1 -	0 1 0 3 	1 1 2 - 5	0 0 2 	0 0 0 	0 0 0 	0 0 1 - 1	24.7 22.8 30.1 32.2  29.7	  11.6  11.6	24.7 22.8 30.1 27.9  27.1
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	809 809	90 90	899 899	40 40	0 0	40 40	0 0	15 15	14 14	5 5	4 4	1 1	0 0	1 1	23.2 23.2	-	23.2 23.2
13th 13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	57 47 319 423	4 10 28 42	61 57 347 465	2 2 17 21	0 0 1 1	2 2 18 22	1 0 0 1	0 0 4 4	0 0 1 1	0 0 4 4	0 0 7 7	1 0 1 2	0 1 0 1	0 1 1 2	25.7 60.6 30.0 32.5		25.7 60.6 29.2 31.7
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	44 13 275 98 430	3 3 29 1 36	47 16 304 99 466	3 1 11 0 15	0 1 2 0 3	3 2 13 0 18	1 0 3  4	0 1 2 - 3.	0 0 2 	1 0 1 2	0 1 2 - 3	0 0 0 -	0 0 1  1	1 0 2  3	41.8 36.5 28.9  32.0		41.8 25.8 27.5  29.7

			w Jury Cas Disposed Of			r of Law Ju inated by V					Time Lapse I	For All Law Ju	iry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	rdict	1		
										,						Average T	ime Lapse (	Months)
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	10 10 37 56 38 151	0 1 11 4 14 30	10 11 48 60 52 181	1 0 2 4 0 7	0 0 1 0 0 1	1 0 3 4 0 8	0  1 0  1	0  2  2	1 1 0 - 2	0  0  0	0  0 1  1	0  0 1  1	0  0 0  0	0  1 0  1	21.9 	 8.1  8.1	21.9  27.0 26.0  25.8
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	75 638 49 762	12 40 3 55	87 678 52 817	5 49 4 58	1 4 0 5	6 53 4 63	0 3 0 3	1 9 1 11	0 9 2 11	2 12 0 14	0 6 1 7	3 6 0 9	0 3 0 3	0 5 0 5	37.3 30.4 24.0 30.6	13.8 20.9 — 19.4	33.3 29.7 24.0 29.7
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	25 337 362	3 22 25	28 359 387	3 18 21	0 1 1	3 19 22	0 3 3	0 2 2	0 8 8	0 2 2	1 1 2	0 2 2	2 0 2	0 1 1	40.9 24.1 26.5	5.9 5.9	40.9 23.2 25.6
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	1,848 1,848	255 255	2,103 2,103	72 72	8 8	80 80	13 13	27 27	18 18	11 11	3 3	5	0 0	3	21.4 21.4	10.8 10.8	20.6 20.6
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	1,355 208 1,563	215 63 278	1,570 271 1,841	56 11 67	3 3 6	59 14 73	6 1 7	15 0 15	15 2 17	13 5 18	4 1 5	1 1 2	1 1 2	1 3 4	21.8 38.9 23.7	15.5 17.8 33.2	21.5 33.7 24.1
20th 20th	Monroe	27 15 43 798 11 894	6 1 2 90 2 101	33 16 45 888 13 995	3 3 0 38 0 44	2 0 0 6 0 8	5 3 0 44 0 52	2 0 - 3 - 5		2 0 - 0 - 2	0 0 - 8 - 8	0 2  10  12	0 0 2 2 2	0 0 - 7 - 7	0 1 - 10 - 11	15.3 40.9  40.0  38.4	13.1 — 20.2 — 17.7	14.4 40.9  37.3  35.2
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	20 166 186	4 47 51	24 213 237	1 7 8	3 1 4	4 8 12	2 0 2	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 5 5	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 1	1 1 2	67.7 34.5 38.6	10.0 29.1 11.7	24.4 33.8 29.6
	Downstate Total	12,000	1,443	13,443	630	78	708	88	121	131	132	80	55	28	67	28.3	16.1	26.9
	Cook County	23,317	8,646	31,963	631	402	1,033	8 <sup>a</sup>	9 <sup>a</sup>	6 <sup>a</sup>	20 <sup>a</sup>	32 <sup>a</sup>	39 <sup>a</sup>	50 <sup>a</sup>	467 <sup>a</sup>	66.38	24.6	66.3
	State Total	35,317	10,089	45,406	1,261	480	1,741	96 <sup>a</sup>	130 <sup>a</sup>	137 <sup>a</sup>	152 <sup>a</sup>	112 <sup>a</sup>	94 <sup>a</sup>	33 <sup>a</sup>	534 <sup>a</sup>	47.4	23.2	50.3

#### STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1987

a Includes Law cases over \$15,000 only in Cook County.

						NOT CONVIC	CTED				
					Reduc	ed or Dismissed		Tried	d But Not Co	onvicted	
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Dismissed by State Dismissed Mo. State, Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	107 608 94 126 43 73 193 125 370 1,739	40 354 54 66 25 51 91 95 237 1,013	0 10 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 14	1 0 1 0 0 3 1 6	38 172 34 47 15 36 64 62 182 650	3 121 20 16 15 15 26 30 56 302	0 0 1 0 0 90 91	0 4 0 0 0 1 0 1 6	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	63 253 40 59 18 22 102 30 133 720
2nd 2nd	Crawford Edwards	66 18 227 50 32 17 205 74 119 108 84 108 1,108	48 13 109 20 11 10 59 36 91 60 27 33 517	1 0 0 1 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 8	0 2 1 0 3 2 2 0 15 0 2 0 27	25 6 63 11 1 0 37 32 51 34 17 22 299	22 6 45 9 4 6 19 14 21 25 8 11 190	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 4 118 30 21 7 146 28 28 48 57 85 590
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	28 1,092 1,120	7 419 426	0 2 2	2 4 6	4 230 234	1 172 173	0 5 5	0 4 4	0 2 2	21 673 694
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	115 32 146 99 78 35 193 130 36 864	34 5 57 60 33 20 58 49 19 333	1 0 4 2 0 2 0 0 9	1 0 27 4 1 0 0 1 0 34	16 4 15 29 12 10 27 21 13 147	12 1 24 23 7 10 28 18 5 128	1 0 0 2 0 1 0 0 4	2 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 6	1 0 0 1 0 2 0 4	81 27 89 39 51 15 125 87 17 531
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	39 242 34 89 377 781	6 49 24 45 151 275	0 0 0 3 3	1 0 11 0 6 18	0 11 7 14 72 104	5 36 5 31 61 138	0 0 0 2 2	0 2 0 0 7 9	0 0 1 0 0 1	33 193 10 44 225 505
6th 6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	1,039 51 70 772 37 86 2,055	566 36 52 199 9 57 919	0 1 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 10 10	381 13 30 108 3 31 566	0 22 22 68 4 14 130	0 0 5 0 1 6	11 0 0 18 0 1 30	174 0 0 1 0 175	468 15 18 570 28 29 1,128
7th 7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	50 100 216 132 996 19 1,513	25 62 148 52 571 10 868	0 0 0 20 0 20	10 2 0 0 2 0 14	5 43 84 14 316 5 467	10 17 63 36 322 5 453	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 0 1 2 6 0 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 38 67 80 382 9 601
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	346 25 12 61 141 34 65 41 725	175 18 3 40 78 14 34 15 377	8 0 0 0 3 0 0 11	8 0 2 4 0 0 0 14	49 6 1 18 51 3 27 5 160	106 11 1 23 8 7 9 184	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2	4 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171 7 9 21 63 20 32 26 349

		•	LOIN					CIIA	1115				ONVIC			SPOSI				
		Found		ry	d By Ju	onvicte	C			urt	l By Co						Guilty	Plea of		
		Unfit to																		
		Stand Trial			ass	CL					ass	CI					ass	Cl		
Circuit	County		4	3	2	1	Х	м	4	3	2	1	Х	м	4	3	2	1	Х	м
son son sac ope aski line son	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 3	0 3 0 0 0 2 0 1 6	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 3	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 8	0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 93 19 8 3 2 18 5 40 197	25 81 12 26 5 9 40 10 41 249	17 43 7 23 2 7 30 13 34 176	10 16 2 1 0 4 10 2 8 53	0 2 0 1 0 2 0 4 9	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
ards klin ton din son nce and ash yne hite	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 4	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 1 40 12 10 1 48 4 10 10 11 27 179	9 1 50 12 7 4 44 12 6 15 20 29 209	3 2 16 3 2 1 39 7 10 20 13 20 136	1 0 4 0 1 4 1 3 5 4 24	0 5 1 0 0 2 0 0 5 13	0 0 2 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 6
son	Bond Madison Circuit Total	0 0 0	2 1 3	1 3 4	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 3	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	5 158 163	1 191 192	11 196 207	1 67 68	0 40 40	0 10 10
Clay iton nam ette sper rion nery elby	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 3	1 0 0 1 1 0 2 0 5	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 2	0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 10 31 16 13 2 17 29 10 150	29 7 17 13 20 3 8 17 5 149	26 6 31 9 11 6 5 33 0 187	1 6 0 1 2 4 3 1 19	1 0 4 1 2 0 6 0 0 0 14	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 3 3
oles and Igar Iion	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2 3	0 0 2 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 1 1	11 60 2 8 49 130	9 47 0 18 91 165	8 66 4 13 58 149	2 11 0 2 9 24	3 7 1 0 9 20	0 0 0 2 2
Vitt glas con trie liatt	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	5 0 3 0 8	1 0 19 0 20	6 0 21 1 0 28	4 0 15 0 0 19	3 0 5 0 0 8	6 0 6 0 0 12	3 0 2 0 0 5	0 0 8 0 0 8	2 0 6 0 8	5 0 3 0 0 8	2 0 2 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	121 4 5 240 7 4 381	158 4 3 148 11 10 334	125 5 7 5 5 13 230	22 2 14 4 1 45	8 0 1 4 0 1 14	0 0 2 0 0 2
rsey ipin gan non cott	Green Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 3 0 4	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 3 0 4	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 9 11 28 71 1 127	10 15 35 26 147 6 239	8 11 25 20 111 2 177	0 2 4 25 0 33	0 1 1 9 0 12	0 0 0 1 0 1
own oun Cass son Pike yler	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 1 1 0 1 0 7	2 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 3 0 1 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 2 1 6 12 8 13 8 92	63 4 3 8 28 4 10 14 134	47 1 0 4 15 4 5 3 79	7 0 1 3 2 2 1 16	1 0 0 3 2 1 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

						NOT CONVIC	CTED				
				1	Redu	ced or Dismissed		Trie	d But Not Co	onvicted	
	1					Dismissed by State					1
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Dismissed Mo. State, Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	138 128 61 155 138 86 700	36 56 46 38 50 45 271	1 1 0 2 0 5	1 3 4 3 2 3 16	14 19 14 27 9 20 103	16 33 27 7 37 22 142	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	2 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	96 71 14 117 88 41 427
10th 10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	36 861 13 13 455 1,380	15 294 6 8 223 548	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 23 0 0 1 24	4 215 1 2 117 339	11 42 5 6 98 162	0 8 0 0 5 13	0 8 0 0 2 10	0 0 0 0 0 0	21 564 7 5 232 829
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	57 179 106 620 67 1,031	33 66 52 250 46 447	4 7 0 0 2 13	0 2 0 2 7 11	14 24 33 133 17 221	11 29 17 4 19 80	2 0 1 3 0 6	2 4 0 9 1 16	0 0 1 99 0 100	24 113 54 370 23 584
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	1,640 1,640	879 879	44 44	65 65	754 754	0 0	10 10	6	0 0	751 751
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	74 71 282 427	4 45 70 119	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 3	3 21 26 50	0 21 40 61	0 0 3 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	70 25 199 294
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	229 65 619 268 1,181	120 32 256 64 472	5 6 3 2 16	2 0 10 0 12	37 23 200 25 285	74 5 37 35 151	1 0 1 1 3	1 0 5 1 7	0 0 0 0 0	109 31 358 204 702
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	80 111 252 100 287 830	67 92 130 82 92 403	0 0 4 2 26 32	0 0 3 3 7 13	54 30 84 15 35 218	9 61 35 0 13 118	0 2 1 2 3 8	4 0 3 0 8 15	0 0 0 0 0 0	13 19 122 78 195 427
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	251 963 135 1,349	145 406 81 632	9 18 3 30	17 31 0 48	117 253 59 429	1 99 17 117	1 2 2 5	0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0	96 557 54 707
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	79 1,250 1,329	44 829 873	0 6 6	3 42 45	25 468 493	15 302 317	0 6 6	1 4 5	0 0 0	35 421 456
18th	DuPage	3,343 3,343	1,787 1,787	28 28	56 56	1,463 1,463	224	25 25	8	2 2	1,540 1,540
19th 19th	Lake	3,303 846 4,149	2,171 517 2,688	110 9 119	5 26 31	1,487 308 1,795	511 164 675	19 2 21	19 7 26	24 1 25	1,130 328 1,458
20th 20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	68 112 72 975 70 1,297	34 60 22 196 40 352	0 1 0 19 1 21	1 0 3 1 0 5	22 23 10 85 21 161	5 36 7 84 18 150	0 0 1 0 1	1 0 2 6 0 9	3 0 0 0 0 3	34 52 50 779 30 945
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	60 542 602	8 301 309	0 0 0	0 4 4	4 187 191	1 100 101	0 9 9	3 1 4	0 0 0	52 241 293
	Downstate Total Cook County State Total	29,163 $-^1$ 29,163 <sup>2</sup>	14,508 9,631 <sup>2</sup> 24,139	$383$ $-1$ $383^2$	462 -1 $462^2$	9,129 - <sup>1</sup> 9,129 <sup>2</sup>	3,996 671 4,667	228 1,225 1,453	184 79 263	315 $-^1$ $315^2$	14,593 18,163 <sup>1</sup> 32,756

<sup>1</sup>Not available for Cook County <sup>2</sup>Downstate Counties only. <sup>2</sup>See pages 14, 16, 18, 20, 22 & 24 of Cook County annual report for figures.

			LUIN				NULI	СПА	113	NDA			DNVICT		1013	POSIT	DIS			
		Found		ry	l By Ju	nvicted	Сс			urt	By Co						Guilty	Plea of		
		Unfit to																		
		Stand																		
Circuit	County	Trial	4	3	2	Cla 1	Х	м	4	3	2	Clá 1	Х	M	4	3	2	Cla 1	Х	м
9t	Fulton	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	28	28	24	. 7	3	0
	Hancock	1 1	1 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	19 5	12 7	31 0	7	1 0	0 0
	Knox	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	3	2	0	0	0	29	32	32	11	2	0
	McDonough Warren	0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	1	2 1	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	26 11	32 15	23 5	2 5	03	1 0
9t	Circuit Total	2	4	2	2	0	3	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	118	126	. 115	33	9	1
10t	Marshall Peoria	03	0 1	0 6	0 3	0 7	0 12	0 3	0	0 4	0 4	0 3	0 1	0 0	10 134	6 146	4 189	0 35	1 8	0
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	1	0
	Stark	0	0 0	0 2	0 1	0	1 1	0 0	0 2	0 3	0 3	0 0	0 1	0 0	3 68	2 64	0 76	0 7	0 4	0
10t	Circuit Total	3	1	8	4	7	13	3	9	7	7	3	2	0	217	219	272	42	14	1
11t	Ford	0	0 0	0 1	1 1	03	1 3	0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0 1	0	0	5 25	11 37	6 30	0 8	0 3	0 0
	Logan	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	21	10	13	0	1	0
		0	2 0	9 1	1 1	2	4 0	0 0	2 0	2 0	1 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	84 4	139 6	99 10	19 0	6 0	0 0
11t	Circuit Total	0	2	13	4	5	9	0	3	5	3	2	1	0	139	203	158	27	10	0
12t	Will	10 10	6 6	5 5	12 12	3 3	19 19	6 6	4 4	6 6	2 2	3 3	1 1	3 3	105 105	197 197	266 266	83 83	28 28	2 2
13t	Bureau	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	20	17	2	2	0
	Grundy LaSalle	1 3	0 0	0	0 1	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	7 65	7 66	9 50	1 10	0 14	1 3
13t	Circuit Total	5	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	93	76	13	14	4
14t	Henry	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	24	43	26	5	1	0
	Mercer Rock Island	05	0 1	0 3	0 3	1 2	0 1	1 0	0 1	0 1	0 2	0	0 0	0	13 79	10 132	3 95	1 21	0 16	2 1
14t	Whiteside	0 5	0 1	1 6	0 3	1 4	03	0 1	1 4	1 3	0 4	0 0	0 1	0	65 181	51 236	66 190	11 38	6 23	03
15t	Carroll	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	0	0
	Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	4	0	1	0
		0	0 1	1 3	0 1	0 1	0 0	0 0	2 0	4 3	0 3	1 1	0 1	0	37 12	47 10	28 32	2 6	0 4	0
15t	Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0	5 6	7 11	1 2	2 3	0 1	0 0	11 13	7 14	4	02	0 1	0	40 98	74 143	39 108	4 12	1 6	0
16t	· DeKalb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	32	32	28	14	0	0
	Kane Kendall	0 0	2 0	8 0	1 1	0 0	2 0	0	1 2	2	2 0	2 1	2 0	0 0	136 9	164 13	180 18	40 7	13 2	2 0
16t	Circuit Total	0	2	8	2	0	2	1	3	3	3	3	2	0	177	209	226	61	15	2
17t	Boone	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	7	5	5	1	1
17t	Winnebago	0 0	4 5	2 2	1 1	0 0	4 4	5 5	2 2	3	2 2	1 1	1 1	2 2	93 108	145 152	118 123	19 24	17 18	2 3
18t	DuPage Circuit Total	5 5	31 31	50 50	21 21	13 13	10 10	2 2	24 24	27 27	9	2	7	0	473 473	510 510	287 287	49 49	24 24	0
19t	Lake	2	0	1	4	5	10	4	10	9	1	0	1	0	325	306	339	80	34	0
19t	McHenry Circuit Total	1	2	0	7 11	1 6	0 11	0	0	1 10	1	0	0	0	106 431	115 421	74 413	18 98	3 37	0
20t		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	2	3	0	0	0	7	9	5	3	0	0
201	Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	17	22	2	0	1
	Randolph St. Clair	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 0	0 5	1 11	0 7	0	0	0	0	0	0 5	11 121	16 324	15 196	4 51	3 32	0 8
20t	Washington Circuit Total	0 0	0 1	0 2	0 1	0 5	0 12	0 7	1 5	0 5	03	0	0 1	0 5	14 162	9 375	4 242	1 61	1 36	0 9
	Iroquois	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	24	4	1	0
	Kankakee Circuit Total	0 1	0	0	0	0	1 2	1	2	1 1	2	1	2	0	73 80	68 83	67 91	17 21	5	0
	Downstate Total	47	97	164	99	64	117	54	97	116	67	25	23	13	3,805	4,638	3,908	845	363	50
	Cook County	145	38	45	47	18	135 <sup>2</sup>	103 <sup>2</sup>	236	460	444	224	630 <sup>2</sup>	155 <sup>2</sup>	3,313	4,386	3,633	1,148	1,650 <sup>2</sup>	82 <sup>2</sup>
	State Total	192	135	209	146	82	252	157	333	576	511	249	653	168	7,118	9,024	7,541	1,993	2,013	132

 $^{1}$ Pleas of guilty at preliminary hearings (1,416), included in total, but not in break down by class.  $^{2}$ Clerk reports include sentences where defendant was charged with a class X or murder, but found guilty of a lesser included offense.

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES IN 1987

					1										SENT	TENCI	ES															
		Death	Nat Li	ural fe				tate sonment				Impr	Sta	ite ent &	Fine		(Illin	mprisc nois De	odic onmen epartn ection	nent	(Illir	Perio risonm nois De f Corro	epartn	nent		Perio Impriso cal Co Institu	nmer	onal		Perio risonm cal Co Institu	ent & rrectio	
		Class	Cla	1			1	lass					Cla					Cla	1			Cla		L .		Cla				Cla		
Circuit	County	M	M	X	М	X	1	2	3	4	м	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 3 0 2 0 2 0 4	4 9 1 0 0 9 2 9	6 16 2 6 1 0 13 3 16	2 21 5 3 0 9 0 10	0 18 4 3 0 0 6 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1st	Circuit Total	1	0	0	2	12	34	63	55	38	0	1	3	1	3	3	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	1	1	0
2nd	Crawford Edwards	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 5 2 0 0 1 0 10	0 6 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 5 15	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 5 1 13	0 0 10 1 0 1 1 2 5 4 3 9 36	1 0 16 0 1 3 2 4 3 1 4 3 1 4 5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 14 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 36 \\ \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0 0 16	1 0 1 0 6 0 0 0 4 0 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 0 3	2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 5	1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 13 13	0 40 40	1 55 56	6 74 80	2 58 60	3 20 23	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 2 2
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 5 5	1 0 4 1 2 0 6 1 0 15	2 1 2 0 1 2 3 1 1 1 3	9 2 10 5 2 2 24 19 0 73	3 1 7 1 7 1 11 7 2 40	6 2 4 2 3 0 1 1 1 20	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 1 4 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 1 4	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 3	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3 4	3 7 1 1 12 24	1 5 0 2 6 14	2 5 1 5 20 33	1 6 0 7 23 37	1 13 0 3 9 26	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES IN 1987

															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death	Nat Li	ural fe			Impris	tate sonment				Impr	Sta	ent &	Fine		(Illir	mpriso nois De f Corr	epartr ectior	nent	(Illir	risonn nois D f Corr	odic nent & epartr rectior	nent		Perio mpriso cal Co Institu	onmer rrectio ution)		(Loo	Perio risonm cal Cor Institu	ent & rrectio ution)	
		Class	Cla	ass			C	lass					Cla					Cla					ass			Cla				Cla		
Circuit	County	М	M	Х	М	X	1	2	3	4	М	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 4 0 9	14 0 1 10 0 1 26	17 1 2 19 3 0 42	44 2 3 55 2 2 107	34 0 1 73 3 3 114	35 0 143 2 0 180	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 6 0 6	0 1 1 1 11 0 14	0 2 2 25 0 31	8 8 13 9 50 1 89	4 6 14 8 40 1 73	1 4 3 6 26 0 40	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	1 0 0 1 0 2	1 0 0 1 0 2
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 1 1 1 0 6	6 0 2 1 3 1 0 1 14	17 0 2 4 0 2 0 25	22 0 5 4 10 2 1 3 47	3 0 4 2 1 2 0 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 1 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 4 0 0 0 4	0 1 0 4 0 0 1 6	0 0 0 0 3 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 0 0 3	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	4 1 0 4 0 3 12	2 7 1 8 0 5 23	2 8 0 10 2 1 23	9 2 0 5 0 3 19	2 4 0 10 3 0 19	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 5 0 6	0 1 0 7 1 9	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 6 0 6	0 0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 7 0 9	0 0 2 0 7 0 9	0 1 2 0 5 0 8
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 0 0 0 4	1 21 1 0 6 29	0 36 0 0 6 42	0 63 0 0 28 91	1 49 0 1 22 73	3 49 1 0 13 66	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 1	1 10 0 0 0 11	0 9 0 0 0 9	1 5 0 0 0 6
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 6 3 10 0 19	0 9 0 11 1 21	4 12 5 39 2 62	2 19 2 66 4 93	2 1 1 33 3 40	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2 0 4	0 1 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 7 0 7	0 1 1 6 0 8	0 1 0 3 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 2 0 2

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES IN 1987

															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death	Nati					ate onment				Impr	Sta isonm		Fine		(Illir	mpriso nois D	odic onmen epartn rection	nent	(Illin	risonm nois D	odic nent & epartn rection	nent		Peri Impriso cal Co Instit	rrectio		(Loo	Perio risonm cal Cor Institu	nent & rrectio	on
		Class	Cla	155			C	ass					Cla	iss				CI	ass			CI	ass			CL	ass			Cla		-
Circuit	County	М	м	Х	М	Х	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	0	0 0	0 0	11 11	41 41	54 54	97 97	63 63	26 26	0 0	7 7	2 2	2 2	0 0	2 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 3 4	4 0 9 13	2 0 8 10	2 1 16 19	4 2 33 39	9 0 16 25	0 0 0	1 0 5 6	0 0 0	1 0 3 4	1 0 0 1	3 0 2 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 0 4	4 0 17 3 24	4 1 17 9 31	7 0 30 16 53	12 1 53 8 74	4 0 21 18 43	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	1 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 5 1	0 0 3 4 5	1 0 8 7 25	0 1 19 5 33	2 0 17 1 19	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 3 2 0 5	0 0 2 0 2 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 0 3	0 6 0 0 0 0 6	
15th 16th	Circuit Total DeKalb Kane	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 3	8 0 17 1 18	12 8 19 1 28	41 4 36 0 40	58 6 43 0 49	39 5 21 0 26	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 3 0 3	0 0 4 4	0 0 4 4	0 0 3 3	0 0 3 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 4	0 2 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 9 9	0 21 21	2 11 13	1 28 29	0 39 39	1 37 38	1 0 1	1 1 2	2 2 4	0 6 6	0 4 4	2 1 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	1 1	0 0	0 0	1 1	32 32	26 26	70 70	155 155	90 90	0 0	9 9	8 8	. 6 6	7 7	14 14	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	3 3	11 11	17 17	10 10	2 2	8 8	2 2	
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 0 3	46 3 49	38 11 49	50 22 72	67 20 87	45 13 58	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 3 3	0 0 0	0 3 3	0 3 3	0 0 0	0 1 1	1 1 2	0 1 1	1 1 2	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 5 5	
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 20 0 21	0 0 3 44 1 48	3 0 4 36 0 43	4 8 7 64 0 83	3 2 9 86 0 100	3 1 2 31 3 40	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0 0 1	
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	2 8 10	4 8 12	8 26 34	7 25 32	2 12 14	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
	Downstate Total	5	01	01	111	58	581	1,220	1,352	899	1	33	26	61	56	57	7	9	14	12	1	5	14	10	5	40	48	31	4	44	51	
	Cook County*	5	27	13	280	1,977	932	1,837	1,727	1,165	0 <sup>3</sup>	0 <sup>3</sup>	0 <sup>3</sup>	0 <sup>3</sup>	0 <sup>3</sup>	0 <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	13	11	10	0	1	3	1
	State Total	10	27 <sup>2</sup>	13 <sup>2</sup>	391	2,035	1,513	3,057	3,079	2,064	1	33	26	61	56	57	7	9	14	12	1	5	14	10	9	53	59	41	4	45	54	1

\*Does not include 1,416 pleas of guilty at preliminary hearing. Break down by class not available.

Note: In instances where there was a sentence to natural life, it was reported as a sentence to the Illinois Department of Corrections.

<sup>1</sup>Breakdown not available <sup>2</sup>Does not include downstate

 $^3$  Included in State Imprisonment only category  $^4$  Includes 1 class M and 1 class X as reported by the Clerks Office.

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1987 - continued

							v				SENTE	ENCES								
			on or Conc n Periodic		0		on or Conc her Discre		0		on or Cond lo Discreti				Found Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	ed	
			Cla	155			Cla	ass			Cla	ass				CI	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
1st	Alexander	2	0	1	0	1	10	18	7	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0 .	0	0	63
	Jackson	0	1	1	0	9	29	63	74	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	253
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	15	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
	Massac	0	7	5	2	1	11	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	3	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Saline	0	2	0	1	1	15	32	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
	Union	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
				-	-	-				-	0								- ·	
	Williamson	0	3	2	3	0	14	28	26	0		2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	133
1st	Circuit Total	2	13	9	6	16	101	178	145	0	3	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	720
2nd	Crawford	0	1	4	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Edwards	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Franklin	0	0	5	6	0	5	27	18	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	118
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
	Hamilton	0	1	0	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	Hardin	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Jefferson	1	7	26	14	1	13	10	20	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	146
		0	0	1	14	0	4	7	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
		0	2	0	0	0	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	Wabash	0	0	0	0	2	14	14	9	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	48
	Wayne	1	0	1	0	0	7	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
	White	0	0	0	1	3	11	19	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85
2nd	Circuit Total	2	12	37	27	7	62	103	97	1	6	3	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	590
3rd	Bond	0	4	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	Madison	3	46	40	18	5	75	94	114	4	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	673
3rd	Circuit Total	3	50	40	21	5	76	94	115	4	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	694
4th	Christian	0	7	10	5	0	11	15	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81
	Clay	0	1	1	2	0	3	4	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	Clinton	0	4	0	7	0	17	8	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89
	Effingham	0	0	3	3	0	3	9	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
	Fayette	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
	Jasper	0	4	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Marion	1	26	16	7	0	13	11	8	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	125
	Montgomery	0	6	4	8	2	11	5	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
	Shelby	0	0	.0	2	0	1	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
4th	Circuit Total	1	48	35	35	2	64	67	90	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	531
5th	Clark	1	0	3	4	0	_	5	6		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		22
oui						0	5		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Coles	4	35	24	24	2	23	15	19	0	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	193
	Cumberland	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Edgar	0	0	0	0	0	7	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
	Vermilion	0	2	3	1	3	35	64	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	225
5th	Circuit Total	5	39	30	29	5	72	95	72	0	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	505

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1987 - continued

											SENTE	NCES								
			on or Con h Periodic				on or Conc her Discre				on or Conc lo Discreti		0	F	ound Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	ed	
			CL	ass			Cla	ass			Cla	ass				C	ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
6th	Champaign DeWitt Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	0 1 0 1 1 0 3	0 2 36 2 4 46	0 2 96 4 2 106	0 1 3 110 1 4 119	10 0 1 0 0 11	90 1 2 1 1 6 101	132 2 0 6 1 2 143	87 3 2 14 2 0 108	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	468 15 18 570 28 29 1,128
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 3 15 0 18	0 0 2 6 16 0 24	0 1 0 3 11 0 15	0 0 2 0 3 0 5	0 3 12 8 45 1 69	2 9 16 11 87 3 128	1 4 8 21 29 1 64	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 3 0 5 2 10	3 0 0 4 0 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	25 38 67 80 382 9 601
8th	Adams Brown	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	13 0 0 1 1 1 1 6	18 0 1 5 1 2 7 34	18 0 0 3 4 3 0 28	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3	19 1 0 2 7 0 1 2 32	28 0 1 4 10 1 5 3 52	21 0 1 2 5 0 5 8 42	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171 7 9 21 63 20 32 26 349
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	3 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 8 0 0 3 0 11	1 5 0 0 6 0 12	0 7 0 0 5 0 12	2 0 3 0 0 5	24 3 0 24 4 2 57	19 0 5 31 5 12 72	28 1 4 22 4 10 69	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 9 0 0 0 2 11	0 4 0 0 0 1 5	0 7 0 0 0 1 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	96 71 14 117 88 41 427
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 2 0 0 5	5 1 0 0 0 6	5 0 0 0 0 5	0 7 0 0 1 8	0 122 0 0 52 174	0 97 1 1 47 146	1 87 1 3 56 148	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	21 564 7 5 232 892
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 8 25 2 35	0 0 8 29 0 37	0 0 21 17 0 38	0 3 0 5 0 8	3 19 2 29 7 60	9 17 3 39 3 71	3 21 0 30 1 55	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 6 0 6	0 1 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 113 54 370 21 584

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1987 - continued

											SENTE	INCES								
			on or Cono n Periodic				on or Con her Discre				on or Cond lo Discreti		0	F	ound Unf	it To Be Se	entenced o	or Execute	ed	
			Cla	ass			CL	ass				ass					ass			Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	1 1	28 28	22 22	7 7	32 32	153 153	123 123	79 79	0 0	751 751									
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	12 7 0 19	12 5 0 17	7 2 1 10	0 1 2 3	2 0 32 34	3 0 30 33	7 5 43 55	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 3	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	70 25 199 294
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 1 3 0 4	0 1 40 0 41	0 0 38 0 38	0 0 16 0 16	1 0 2 3 6	20 2 28 52 102	33 8 44 44 129	21 10 43 49 123	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 3 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	109 31 358 204 702
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 9 18 0 27	1 0 6 2 1 10	2 0 5 3 1 11	0 0 1 0 1	3 1 9 11 17 41	3 1 24 7 53 88	0 2 14 9 35 60	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	13 19 122 78 195 427
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 2 1 3	1 14 13 28	1 7 7 15	0 5 6 11	6 23 2 31	24 117 1 142	25 93 3 121	25 98 2 125	0 0 0 0	0 13 0 13	2 25 0 27	1 12 0 13	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	96 557 54 707
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 5 5	0 13 13	0 8 8	0 5 5	1 2 3	4 71 75	7 95 102	13 47 60	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 5 5	0 7 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	35 421 456
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	3	25 25	11 11	32 32	20 20	190 190	385 385	356 356	2 2	4 4	10 10	16 16	000	1 1	0 0	3	00	0 0	1,540 1,540
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	13 4 17	123 32 155	88 33 121	65 20 85	34 0 34	168 20 188	159 38 197	221 45 266	0 1 1	2 0 2	2 13 15	3 16 19	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1,130 328 1,458
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 2 0 2	2 0 0 11 0 13	2 0 0 23 0 25	2 0 17 0 19	0 2 0 18 0 20	3 13 8 121 1 146	3 13 7 217 8 248	2 8 9 87 10 116	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	3 2 0 1 0 6	4 0 0 1 5	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	34 52 50 779 30 945
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	4 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 11 11	12 43 55	8 44 52	4 62 66	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	52 241 293
	Downstate Total	64	646	637	531	236	1,994	2,627	2,338	8	52	113	109	0	3	1	2	0	5	14,593
	Cook County*	298 <sup>1</sup>	845	905	494	269 <sup>2</sup>	597	1,057	871	333 <sup>3</sup>	833	1,175	1,043	0	1	7	5	6	5	16,751*
	State Total	362	1,491	1,542	1,025	475	2,591	3,684	1,109	341	885	1,288	1,152	0	4	8	7	6	10	31,344*

<sup>\*</sup>Does not include 1,416 pleas of guilty at preliminary hearings. Break down of class not available. <sup>1</sup>Includes 8 class M and 164 class X as reported by Clerks Office. <sup>2</sup>Includes 6 class M and 84 class X as reported by Clerks Office. <sup>3</sup>Includes 13 class M and 176 class X as reported by Clerks Office.

#### FISCAL YEAR 1987 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

			Revenue of Cle	erks Office			Clerks Oper	rating Expenses	
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
1st	Alexander	\$44,904	\$6,080	\$2,304	\$53,288	\$15,000	\$23,400	\$9,549	\$47,949
150	Jackson	291,133	40,068	4,911	336.112	28.850	110,847	26.521	166.218
		52,243	9,349	3,587	65,179	23,000	28,795	4,802	56,597
	Johnson								
	Massac	57,365	7,998	2,994	68,357	17,600	48,753	11,477	77,830
	Pope	12,337	1,737	0	14,074	16,500	5,000	4,595	26,095
	Pulaski	40,802	6,350	0	47,152	17,000	15,240	4,150	36,390
	Saline	125,700	14,938	4,205	144,843	24,857	65,400	33,735	123,992
	Union	77,607	10,632	3,482	91,721	20,000	41,918	32,062	94,000
	Williamson	264,634	32,812	20,155	317,601	29,000	115,581	15,514	160,095
lst	Circuit Total	\$966,725	\$129,964	\$41,638	\$1,138,327	\$191,807	\$454,954	\$142,405	\$789,166
2nd	Crawford	\$85,860	\$13,448	\$2,726	\$101,234	\$24,000	\$40,059	\$28,816	\$92,875
	Edwards	22,658	3,086	841	26,585	18,200	13,871	8,312	40,383
	Franklin	136,853	17,408	10,126	164,387	25,820	64,859	12,034	102,713
	Gallatin	28,203	3,354	3,139	34,696	19,000	14,700	4,140	37,840
	Hamilton	28,616	3,607	1,027	33,250	14,500	10,796	4,166	29,462
	Hardin	9,104	451	0	9,555		8,350	,	27,286
		'				16,718	,	2,218	
	Jefferson	139,006	0	0	139,006	26,500	86,968	18,382	131,850
	Lawrence	60,176	7,983	5,009	73,168	20,600	35,765	9,394	65,759
	Richland	64,031	8,303	3,455	75,789	24,100	39,482	8,924	72,506
	Wabash	66,092	7,039	7,344	80,475	19,500	37,787	14,986	72,273
	Wayne	67,124	10,722	2,731	80,577	18,000	54,500	22,624	95,124
	White	80,662	10,787	5,233	96,682	26,151	57,478	13,266	96,895
2nd	Circuit Total	\$787,585	\$86,188	\$41,631	\$915,404	\$253,089	\$464,615	\$147,262	\$864,966
Brd	Bond	\$48,832	\$5,161	\$0	\$53,993	\$22,000	\$26,337	\$11,353	\$56,690
	Madison	1,829,158	153,129	397,822	2,380,109	40,000	923,753	167,563	1,131,316
3rd	Circuit Total	\$1,877,990	\$158,290	\$397,822	\$2,434,102	\$62,000	\$950,090	\$178,916	\$1,191,006
th	Christian	\$132,941	\$18,461	\$3,305	\$154,707	\$26,263	\$105,822	\$27,258	\$159,343
	Clay	41,274	4,741	4,377	50,391	21,838	42,310	6,610	70,758
	Clinton	96,586	15,010	6,798	118,394	27,034	73,722	24,832	125,588
	Effingham	131,617	18,067	11,622	161,306	22,375	83,194	23,286	128,855
	Fayette	94,087	15,016	6,204	115,307	20,000	49,200	15,298	84,498
		42,027	5,190	3.080	50,296	22,377	21,504	6,029	49.910
	Jasper		,						
	Marion	207,570	30,085	16,316	253,971	26,018	132,660	21,621	180,299
	Montgomery	143,619	13,447	10,913	167,979	24,000	87,157	20,828	131,985
	Shelby	64,137	9,179	1,564	74,880	22,000	51,468	21,500	94,968
th	Circuit Total	\$953,858	\$129,195	\$64,173	\$1,147,221	\$211,905	\$647,038	\$167,263	\$1,026,206
th	Clark	\$99,212	\$0	\$4,945	\$104,157	\$21,500	\$39,532	\$7,397	\$68,249
	Coles	237,171	0	4,875	242,046	26,100	99,706	8,994	134,800
	Cumberland	75,174	0	4,742	79,916	16,560	10,703	4,991	32,254
	Edgar	74,366	8,196	1,884	84,446	25,000	57,200	22,798	10,998
	Vermilion	352,145	23,711	15,909	391,765	26,500	241,382	51,717	319,599
ith	Circuit Total	\$838.068	\$31,907	\$32,355	\$902.330	\$115,660	\$448,523	\$95.897	\$660.080
	Circuit rotar	4030,000	451,507	666,264	\$302,330	\$115,000	Ψ <b>Τ</b> ΤΟ, 525	499,097	\$000,000

#### FISCAL YEAR 1987 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

			Revenue of Cler	rks Office			Clerks Oper	rating Expenses	
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
5th	Champaign	\$640,031	\$77,954	\$107,790	\$825,775	\$28,499	\$370,881	\$37,411	\$436,791
	DeWitt	90,167	10,604	0	100.771	20,000	57,468	13,090	90,558
	Douglas	97,791	14,478	4,577	116,846	31,000	88,579	10,342	129,921
	Macon	599,745	77,070	15,711	692,526	37,469	420,499	120,000	577,968
	Moultrie	58,544	0	1,301	59,845	22,750	46,171	24,403	93,324
	Piatt	58,918	2.623	1,843	63,384	25,000	86,530	23,836	135,366
oth	Circuit Total	\$1,545,196	\$182,729	\$131,222	\$1,858,584	\$164,718	\$1,070,128	\$229,082	\$1,463,928
th	Greene	\$39,194	\$2,050	\$4,180	\$45,424	\$21,500	\$47,200	\$9,805	\$78,505
	Jersey	119,123	8,942	4,898	132,963	21,000	44,074	9,332	74,906
	Macoupin	315,990	22,142	8,410	346,532	27,000	167,279	68,121	262,400
		130,043	2,427	0,410	132,470	26,000	79,227	9,803	115,030
	Morgan Sangamon	767,968	92,403	95,118	955,489	33,000	501,664	42,810	577,474
	0	18,047	92,403	519	18,566	17,800	12,100	4,204	34,104
7th	Scott	\$1,390,365	\$127,964	\$113,125	\$1,631,454	\$146,800	\$851,544	\$144,075	\$1,142,419
th	Adams	\$277,974	\$24,670	\$10,206	\$312,850	\$28,000	\$155,516	\$46,835	\$230,351
	Brown	32,161	3,356	2,083	37,600	15,800	19,864	3,899	39,563
	Calhoun	16,905	204	1,108	18,217	15,500	4,711	4,887	25,098
	Cass	53,561	624	3,533	57,718	22,000	28,075	9,000	59,075
	Mason	76,269	8,033	3,858	88,160	22,000	45,743	16,839	84,582
	Menard	31,658	0	1,458	33,116	24,833	36,939	11,359	73,131
	Pike	101,442	0	0	101,442	24,000	47,664	9,167	80,831
	Schuyler	27,810	2,859	0	30,669	20,500	24,710	6,027	51,237
th	Circuit Total	\$617,780	\$39,746	\$22,246	\$679,772	\$172,633	\$363,222	\$108,013	\$643,868
th	Fulton	\$128,770	\$2,638	\$9,171	\$140,579	\$25,000	\$58,874	\$29,138	\$113,012
	Hancock	71,553	6,714	894	79,161	24,500	41,376	6,631	72,507
	Henderson	36,466	0	4,173	40,639	18,900	32,745	9,380	61,025
	Knox	364,445	38,307	2,471	405,223	22,500	166,838	23,958	213,296
	McDonough	126,445	18,201	7,844	152,490	21,500	90,225	24,807	136,532
	Warren	107,245	14,873	0	122,118	23,500	64,500	30,750	118,750
9th	Circuit Total	\$834,924	\$80,733	\$24,553	\$940,210	\$135,900	\$454,558	\$124,661	\$715,116
0th	Marshall	\$56,013	\$6,996	\$2,414	\$65,423	\$19,000	\$41,770	\$12,104	\$72,874
	Peoria	814,145	114,849	37,741	966,735	35,100	585,768	362,966	983,834
	Putnam	19,320	3,288	1,018	23,626	17,750	6,306	4,717	28,773
	Stark	16,782	0	3,174	19,956	18,872	14,731	4,342	37,945
	Tazewell	412,944	61,397	28,697	503,038	30,098	307,088	45,000	382,186
0th	Circuit Total	\$1,319,204	\$186,530	\$73,044	\$1,578,778	\$120,820	\$955,663	\$429,129	\$1,505,612
1th	Ford	\$53,742	\$8,131	\$1,665	\$63,538	\$21,500	\$27,005	\$10,256	\$58,761
	Livingston	157,515	24,229	1,150	182,894	30,000	90,647	24,641	145,288
	Logan	139,822	24,139	4,996	168,957	24,750	126,213	28,427	179,390
	McLean	662,057	102,734	43,405	808,196	30,405	419,797	77,862	528,064
	Woodford	91,973	5,562	0	97,535	27,500	74,295	16,684	118,479
1th	Circuit Total	\$1,479,047	\$164,795	\$51,216	\$1,321,118	\$134,155	\$737,957	\$157,870	\$1,029,982

#### FISCAL YEAR 1987 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

			Revenue of Cle	erks Office			Clerks Ope	erating Expenses	
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
12th	Will	\$1,479,047	\$183,580	\$64,028	\$1,726,655	\$43,990	\$1,131,196	\$128,272	\$1,303,458
	Circuit Total	\$1,479,047	\$183,580	\$64,028	\$1,726,655	\$43,990	\$1,131,196	\$128,272	\$1,303,458
13th	Bureau	\$156,390	\$21,908	\$2,637	\$180,935	\$28,000	\$109,268	\$15,000	\$152,268
	Grundy	118,695	15,372	5,488	139,555	28,000	63,550	21,809	113,359
	LaSalle	453,753	59,215	26,054	539,002	26,500	353,068	40,607	420,175
	Circuit Total	\$728,838	\$96,495	\$34,179	\$859,512	\$82,500	\$525,886	\$77,416	\$685,802
14th	Henry	\$215,403	\$38,395	\$11,424	\$265,222	\$27,600	\$148,045	\$45,079	\$220,724
	Mercer	65,126	7,175	2,741	75,042	23,600	31,700	11,861	67,161
	Rock Island	3,064,218	102,990	333,585	3,500,793	33,000	366,868	48,001	447,869
	Whiteside	252,152	34,243	1,826	288,221	27,000	138,290	18,732	184,022
	Circuit Total	\$3,596,899	\$182,803	\$349,576	\$4,129,278	\$111,200	\$684,903	\$123,673	\$919,776
15th	Carroll	\$64,324	\$9,024	\$0	\$73,348	\$18,000	\$29,025	\$15,755	\$62,780
	Jo Daviess	79,084	19,790	1,471	100,345	21,000	46,877	8,478	76,355
	Lee	213,570	29,220	1,868	244,658	30,000	146,829	26,595	203,424
	Ogle	168,372	23,947	3,116	195,435	28,000	108,539	28,351	164,890
	Stephenson	206,319	31,422	4,461	242,202	27,000	128,368	18,368	173,736
	Circuit Total	\$731,669	\$113,403	\$10,916	\$855,988	\$124,000	\$459,638	\$97,547	\$681,185
16th	DeKalb	\$293,526	\$47,449	\$6,033	\$347,058	\$33,000	\$226,942	\$37,841	\$297,783
	Kane	1,484,241	214,005	105,955	1,804,201	39,000	1,103,560	278,231	1,420,791
	Kendall	114,575	19,541	5,143	139,259	27,000	83,781	29,129	139,910
	Circuit Total	\$1,892,342	\$281,045	\$117,131	\$2,290,518	\$99,000	\$1,414,283	\$345,201	\$1,858,484
17th	Boone	\$153,593	\$3,981	\$5,516	\$163,090	\$20,962	\$122,595	\$18,248	\$161,805
	Winnebago	1,260,921	164,025	29,927	1,454,873	34,000	763,360	114,623	911,983
	Circuit Total	\$1,414,514	\$168,006	\$35,443	\$1,617,963	\$54,962	\$885,955	\$132,871	\$1,073,788
18th	DuPage	\$3,489,536	\$500,286	\$315,430	\$4,305,252	\$53,545	\$2,937,200	\$3,222,296	\$6,213,041
	Circuit Total	\$3,489,536	\$500,286	\$315,430	\$4,305,252	\$53,545	\$2,937,200	\$3,222,296	\$6,213,041
19th	Lake	\$2,411,845	\$356,445	\$120,715	\$2,889,005	\$40,110	\$1,539,270	\$67,229	\$1,646,609
	McHenry	747,203	117,955	39,337	904,495	38,000	624,729	223,195	885,924
	Circuit Total	\$3,159,048	\$474,400	\$160,052	\$3,793,500	\$78,110	\$2,163,999	\$290,424	\$2,532,533
20th	Monroe	\$63,670	\$8,364	\$1,920	\$73,954	\$26,060	\$46,606	\$11,992	\$84,658
	Perry	93,525	12,026	0	105,551	25,500	46,149	13,070	84,719
	Randolph	112,666	14,616	5,574	132,856	24,900	59,797	18,922	103,619
	St. Clair*	1,355,710	178,830	122,513	1,657,053	37,672	653,886	56,132	747,690
	Washington	52,266	1,862	3,885	58,013	24,000	25,736	4,881	54,617
	Circuit Total	\$1,677,833	\$215,698	\$133,892	\$2,027,423	\$100,460	\$178,288	\$48,865	\$327,613
21st	Iroquois	\$175,109	\$18,222	\$12,483	\$205,814	\$26,500	\$100,040	\$16,511	\$143,051
	Kankakee	420,221	49,275	17,661	487,157	26,000	205,211	35,958	267,169
	Circuit Total	\$595,330	\$67,497	\$30,144	\$692,971	\$52,500	\$305,251	\$52,469	\$410,220
	Downstate Total <sup>1</sup>	\$31,001,802	\$3,601,246	\$2,243,223	\$36,846,271	\$2,547,442	\$18,737,765	\$6,483,415	\$27,768,592
	Cook County	\$34,103,871	\$0	\$2,900,000	\$37,003,871	\$55,000	\$40,504,685	\$4,389,531	\$44,949,216
	State Total <sup>1</sup>	\$65,105,673	\$3,601,246	\$5,143,223	\$73,850,142	\$2,602,412	\$59,242,450	\$10,872,946	\$72,717,808

\*Not Reported at time of printing <sup>1</sup>Totals do not include St. Clair County.

#### DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeiture	25		
								State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipalities, Townships & Road Districts	Cou	inty Traffic	State Police Overweights	Juvenile Traffic Drug Funds Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund	Other	State Total
1st	Alexander	\$294,923 1,216,844	\$18,008 288,734	\$17,384 133,639	\$42,371 173,096	\$8,713 13,395	\$0 7,322	\$11,000 71,375	\$16,794 123,645	\$36,507 215,737
	Johnson	128,956	8,433	57,572	91,839	1,740 0	12,862 332	20,642 12,577	20,335 20,522	55,579 33,431
	Massac	304,650 88,522	3,166	7,586	66,699 14,047	425	863	3,480	7,783	12,551
	Pulaski	124,378 864,485	3,822 72,971	8,955 61,226	45,149 126,198	1,830 8,475	0 1,000	9,757 25,318	10,209 20,731	21,796 55,524
	Union Williamson	281,310	16,325 99,794	31,085	53,239	10,259	0	12,962	17,917 51,594	41,138 383,784
1st	Circuit Total	1,084,848 \$4,388,916	\$535,946	51,422 \$386,551	161,864 \$774,502	262,822 \$307,659	4,997 \$27,376	64,371 \$231,482	\$289,530	\$856,047
2nd	Crawford	\$710,642	\$52,064	\$14,714	\$65,703	\$1,050	\$0	\$17,906	\$25,164	\$44,120
	Edwards Franklin	218,291 1,293,876	2,980 66,944	8,217 0	24,520 145,613	5,089 3,495	0 5,841	5,345 26,176	9,959 38,296	20,393 73,808
	Gallatin	131,994	13,415	25,143	42,253	4,565	0	8,128	8,438	21,131
	Hamilton	136,050 122,472	5,527 3,925	9,460 8,908	19,751	860 1,770	113 513	4,511 2,018	7,191 2,606	12,675 6,907
	Jefferson	1,210,804	68,604	75,801	4,582 107,775	605	9.172	31,069	37,797	78,643
	Lawrence	441,276	22,053	15,593	53,899	5,580	475	12,884	17,063	36,002
	Richland	433,638 486,776	43,173 42,015	19,100 13,282	37,908 27,662	0 135	0 403	7,563 9,580	7,784 17,906	15,374 28,024
	Wayne	379,253	10,245	22,626	81,380	2,462	0	16,009	21,836	40,307
2nd	White	417,262 \$5,982,334	29,932 \$360,877	50,218 \$263,462	104,106 \$715,112	32,634 \$58,245	264 \$16,781	21,075 \$162,264	17,084 \$211,124	71,057 \$448,414
3rd	Bond	\$464,638	\$11,762	\$17,777	\$29,562	\$0	\$15	\$7,318	\$10,077	\$17,410
3rd	Madison	6,838,349 \$7,302,987	1,082,367 \$1,094,129	39,546 \$57,323	505,311 \$534,873	276,044 \$276,044	0 \$15	220,158 \$227,476	181,908 \$191,985	678,110 \$695,520
4th	Christian	\$1,373,349	\$97,633	\$61,748	\$114,146	\$32,870	\$1,718	\$40,443	\$62,536	\$137,567
	Clay	283,175 433,825	18,044 94,951	22,785	40,481	6,336	2,100	10,865	17,997	37,298 65,628
	Clinton Effingham	455,825 851,986	59,225	58,498 57,010	75,040 173,755	630 26,455	901	27,893 38,945	36,204 49,626	115,026
	Fayette	621,612	39,944	39,922	91,655	94,649	404	33,666	30,316	159,035
	Jasper	198,339	7,946	20,291	64,647	6,935	619	13,039	14,817	35,410
	Marion	1,346,844 719,885	139,443 60,182	46,470 81.097	193,525 167,280	7,875 76,380	1,424 362	51,915 46,228	66,850 53,101	128,064 176,071
	Shelby	653,934	34,269	39,721	52,628	1,015	573	16,797	33,083	51,468
4th	Circuit Total	\$6,482,949	\$551,367	\$427,633	\$973,157	\$253,145	\$8,101	\$279,791	\$364,530	\$905,567
5th	Clark Coles	\$483,440 2,090,251	\$25,931 133,245	\$21,823 58,078	\$75,424 103,731	\$242,006 7,425	\$0 0	\$48,143 35,697	\$28,841 57,462	\$318,990 100,584
	Cumberland	280,536	10,038	0	0	0	0	9,172	13,400	22,572
	Edgar	642,750 3,919,944	43,823	15,441	44,801	4,298	2,554	15,199	17,631	39,682
5th	Circuit Total	\$7,416,921	267,951 \$480,988	59,073 \$154,415	222,576 \$446,532	33,875 \$287,604	17,240 \$19,794	81,532 \$189,743	122,053 \$239,387	254,700 \$736,528

			AN	D OF COLL	ECTIONS N	ADE FOR O	THERS			
						Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeiture	es		
	*							State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipalities, Townships & Road Districts	Cou Criminal	unty Traffic	State Police Overweights	Juvenile Traffic Drug Funds Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund	Other	State Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	\$3,570,485 755,750 695,208 9,013,850 552,927 1,010,451 \$15,598,671	\$533,389 63,870 33,011 564,896 5,141 18,908 \$1,219,215	\$68,484 24,685 8,190 184,959 32,133 14,897 \$333,348	\$321,801 58,977 95,191 160,479 45,239 63,321 \$745,008	\$20,740 2,420 4,632 11,330 1,935 2,085 \$43,142	\$1,784 0 1,165 2,754 0 0 \$5,706	\$104,879 16,377 18,423 111,965 9,491 13,287 \$274,422	\$156,291 17,444 30,375 113,732 16,811 20,408 \$355,061	\$283,697 36,241 54,595 239,780 28,237 35,780 \$692,077
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	\$22,133 436,190 1,356,272 1,340,123 7,198,767 141,451 \$10,494,936	\$22,165 59,817 75,805 107,861 429,652 862 \$696,162	\$580 19,581 49,189 63,808 89,533 1,319 \$224,010	\$41,660 86,008 98,378 88,613 419,122 21,029 \$754,810	\$0 6,321 2,034 5,805 178,975 1,530 \$194,665	\$0 4,599 0 1,528 8,803 0 \$14,930	\$7,227 15,786 33,285 32,697 102,464 3,385 \$194,844	\$11,379 36,072 54,503 47,768 150,798 3,675 \$304,195	\$18,606 62,778 89,822 87,798 441,040 8,590 \$708,634
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	\$2,146,160 192,055 41,064 393,118 436,990 264,939 400,910 216,296 \$4,091,532	\$227,250 5,482 3,749 27,813 53,301 14,284 18,019 6,469 \$356,367	\$54,780 11,094 10,093 27,934 20,637 12,463 9,557 9,309 \$155,867	\$89,094 32,897 21,574 37,775 69,020 29,034 106,179 26,518 \$412,091	\$1,145 1,415 0 1,060 4,637 465 30,616 825 \$40,163	\$6,485 0 2,250 0 34 254 278 \$9,301	\$40,273 3,285 3,225 6,966 20,439 6,688 7,232 2,968 \$91,076	\$55,718 7,781 7,904 16,597 28,773 11,490 12,224 11,812 \$152,299	\$103,621 12,481 11,129 26,873 53,849 18,677 50,326 15,883 \$292,839
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	\$1,748,800 667,062 272,320 3,228,401 1,036,403 856,766 \$7,809,752	\$67,634 34,761 5,794 184,653 101,725 51,846 \$446,413	\$31,276 26,939 6,315 41,386 55,817 96,852 \$258,585	\$101,008 48,742 50,529 110,639 67,974 0 \$378,892	\$20,641 0 1,245 23,690 7,090 10,974 \$63,640	\$203 2,760 82 38 7,890 0 \$10,973	\$27,976 14,723 9,177 54,129 31,904 23,275 \$164,184	\$31,645 21,401 11,930 67,258 42,749 28,353 \$203,336	\$80,465 38,884 22,434 145,115 89,633 62,602 \$439,133
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	\$451,343 8,225,763 195,978 156,257 4,664,972 \$13,694,313	\$17,573 575,391 1,489 6,791 516,903 \$1,118,147	\$14,477 138,757 2,435 9,892 131,281 \$296,842	\$40,385 160,065 28,345 15,295 246,608 \$491,328	\$600 41,302 0 11,504 \$52,956	\$531 1,076 1,000 0 9,621 \$12,228	\$10,180 84,862 4,679 4,307 102,254 \$206,282	\$16,310 127,024 5,089 5,439 94,557 \$248,419	\$27,621 254,264 10,768 9,746 217,486 \$519,885

#### DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

#### DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

						Fines, Penalties,	Assessments & Forfeiture	25		
								State		
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipalities, Townships & Road Districts	Cou Criminal	unty Traffic	State Police Overweights	Juvenile Traffic Drug Funds Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund	Other	State Total
11th	Ford	\$320,508 1,338,887 1,078,962 2,134,640 711,021 \$5,584,018	\$21,577 65,670 79,413 750,002 49,585 \$966,247	\$18,827 24,675 19,655 107,461 7,965 \$178,583	\$43,902 239,913 190,655 288,389 115,608 \$878,467	\$3,208 8,750 2,045 160,425 3,877 \$178,305	\$2,752 1,875 0 0 170 \$4,797	\$13,212 43,337 39,050 123,783 22,709 \$242,091	\$15,099 48,930 40,381 176,193 22,368 \$302,971	\$34,271 102,892 81,476 460,401 49,124 \$728,164
12th	Will	\$13,793,401	\$1,414,783	\$289,995	\$591,835	\$719,296	\$1,204	\$375,229	\$137,788	\$1,233,517
12th	Circuit Total	\$13,793,401	\$1,414,783	\$289,995	\$591,835	\$719,296	\$1,204	\$375,229	\$137,788	\$1,233,517
13th	Bureau	\$1,265,572	\$52,527	\$87,153	\$138,124	\$24,756	\$12,057	\$36,398	\$39,816	\$113,027
	Grundy	1,340,970	76,392	36,468	109,688	4,128	61	15,947	24,975	45,111
	LaSalle	3,505,241	216,182	92,690	231,033	46,393	0	83,405	104,946	234,744
	Circuit Total	\$6,111,783	\$345,101	\$216,311	\$478,845	\$75,277	\$12,118	\$135,750	\$169,737	\$392,882
14th	Henry	\$2,410,255	\$234,416	\$106,101	\$281,715	\$13,815	\$0	\$76,209	\$49,772	\$139,796
	Mercer	771,576	17,203	12,861	41,565	5,649	646	3,947	4,830	15,072
	Rock Island	5,359,480	725,094	56,801	389,631	483,760	23,343	198,232	118,583	823,918
	Whiteside	2,906,822	188,574	103,517	152,823	15,203	10,778	57,133	51,203	134,317
	Circuit Total	\$11,448,133	\$1,165,287	\$279,280	\$865,734	\$518,427	\$34,767	\$335,521	\$224,388	\$1,113,103
15th	Carroll	\$675,592 500,467 1,460,584 1,182,011 1,856,091 \$5,674,745	\$35,585 54,707 122,827 164,394 128,393 \$505,906	\$12,014 24,950 44,679 40,401 24,237 \$146,281	\$55,757 58,821 171,832 161,033 167,770 \$615,213	\$825 6,515 51,820 5,857 3,643 \$68,660	\$306 0 8,693 24,840 590 \$34,429	\$14,156 19,736 53,449 46,940 44,308 \$178,589	\$27,720 29,921 104,659 53,096 54,489 \$269,885	\$43,007 56,172 218,621 130,733 103,030 \$551,563
16th	DeKalb	\$696,838	\$276,878	\$61,308	\$216,014	\$1,073	\$0	\$42,641	\$60,579	\$104,293
	Kane	9,211,274	1,241,156	85,941	491,748	74,914	0	279,800	400,044	754,758
	Kendall	922,334	121,960	31,422	106,943	2,015	8,306	22,822	38,435	71,216
	Circuit Total	\$10,830,446	\$1,625,109	\$178,671	\$814,705	\$78,002	\$8,306	\$345,263	\$499,058	\$930,266
17th	Boone	\$993,319	\$93,247	\$23,219	\$179,156	\$590	\$69	\$31,492	\$49,874	\$82,025
	Winnebago	4,543,909	1,070,889	212,090	732,185	73,212	22,671	188,797	256,174	540,944
	Circuit Total	\$5,537,228	\$1,164,136	\$235,309	\$911,341	\$73,802	\$22,830	\$220,289	\$306,048	\$622,969
18th	DuPage	\$21,412,846	\$5,168,519	\$395,900	\$852,568	\$87,878	\$39,827	\$386,782	\$679,927	\$1,194,414
18th	Circuit Total	\$21,412,846	\$5,168,519	\$395,900	\$852,568	\$87,878	\$39,827	\$386,782	\$679,927	\$1,194,414
19th 19th	Lake	\$7,403,940 3,099,496 \$10,503,436	\$3,442,972 1,346,818 \$4,789,790	\$230,739 77,174 \$307,913	\$488,673 229,834 \$718,507	\$161,769 41,973 \$203,742	\$53,368 30,959 \$84,327	\$524,805 102,023 \$626,828	\$443,126 116,702 \$559,828	\$1,183,068 291,657 \$1,474,725

#### AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS Fines, Penalties, Assessments & Forfeitures State Juvenile Traffic Traffic & Municipalities, County Maintenance & Townships & State Police Drug Funds Criminal Conviction State **Road Districts** Criminal Traffic Overweights Prevention Surcharge Fund Other Circuit County Child Support Total \$58,226 \$15,851 \$32,320 \$0 \$0 \$13,515 \$25,137 \$38,652 20th . . . . Monroe . . . . . . . \$553,609 912,438 44,044 111,928 87,400 2,445 9,663 24,133 25,457 56,230 Perry .... Randolph ..... 1,412,766 71,627 58,013 72,225 4,635 0 19,925 41,445 66,005 St. Clair\* 6,193,235 1,097,111 24,576 443,532 280,086 41,306 255,973 338,536 915,901 Washington . . . . 305,591 13,388 15,681 83,650 7,442 6,406 14,612 18,438 46,898 20th . . . . . Circuit Total \$9,377,638 \$1,281,786 \$226,046 \$719,126 \$294,608 \$57,375 \$328,157 \$449,013 \$1,129,151 21st . . . . . Iroquois . . . . . . . . \$788,993 \$35,594 \$25,868 \$181,342 \$153,570 \$1,497 \$48,117 \$40,336 \$243,520 Kankakee 3,457,382 201,097 47,492 191,598 11,505 0 62,751 83,088 157,344 \$73,360 \$372,940 \$165,075 \$1,497 \$110,868 \$123,424 \$400,864 Circuit Total \$4,246,375 \$236,691 21st . . . . . \$5,085,642 \$14,044,754 \$4,040,319 \$417,490 \$5,317,383 Downstate Total<sup>1</sup> \$187,783,317 \$25,540,721 \$6,293,894 \$16,069,086 \$32,972,718 \$4,278,837 \$1,069,709 \$618,632 \$115,833 \$1,698,501 \$2,599,534 \$5,032,500 Cook County . \$59,228,936 State Total<sup>1</sup> \$247,012,253 \$58,239,386 \$9,364,479 \$15,114,463 \$4,658,951 \$533,323 \$7,015,884 \$8,893,428 \$21,101,586

\*Not reported at time of printing.

<sup>1</sup>Totals do not include St. Clair county.

\*\*Other includes: Conservation, III. Tollway Authority, Driver Education Fund, Violent Crime Assistant Fund, etc.

164

# DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY

#### DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

				Fees of Others			Misce	llaneous Disburseme	ents	Total
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Sheriff	Fund to Finance Court System	Other	Total	Restitution	Other	Total	Amount Distributed
1st	Alexander	\$5,477	\$927	\$9,054	\$1,610	\$17,068	\$28,719	\$0	\$28,719	\$454,980
	lackson	33,465	5,222	60,238	12,637	111,562	57,589	525,807	583,396	2,723,008
	Johnson	4,028	538	13,568	14,918	33,052	11,331	0	11,331	386,762
	Massac	4,815	1,556	12,386	2,650	21,407	11,909	61,649	73,558	542,120
	Pope	685	587	2,250	9,433	12,955	500	0	500	139,327
	Pulaski	4,241	2,397	9,420	778	16,836	14,298	2,898	17,196	238,132
	Saline	18,501	7,069	20,657	7,787	54,014	38,717	0	38,717	1,273,135
	Union	8,524	5,451	12,080	7,450	33,505	733	10,488	11,221	467,823
	Williamson	85,629	4,898	43,311	6,521	140,359	35,407	0	35,407	1,957,478
1st	Circuit Total	\$165,365	\$28,645	\$182,964	\$63,784	\$440,758	\$199,203	\$126,142	\$325,345	\$7,708,065
2nd	Crawford	\$6,001	\$1,600	\$16,686	\$4,582	\$28,869	\$9,464	\$55,446	\$64,910	\$981,020
	Edwards	2,450	439	3,550	1,947	8,386	6,598	0	6,598	289,385
	Franklin	28,245	2,467	21,956	8,828	61,496	21,904	0	21,904	1,663,641
	Gallatin	2,268	10,240	0	5,009	17,517	6,676	18,655	25,331	276,784
	Hamilton	4,602	3,089	0	2,043	9,734	4,602	0	4,602	197,799
	Hardin	545	90	905	907	2,447	0	0	0	149,241
	Jefferson	35,253	9,139	19,465	4,778	68,635	34,246	266,608	300,854	1,911,116
	Lawrence	5,415	1,272	10,090	0	16,777	4,427	3,678	8,105	594,105
	Richland	7,503	2,287	9,271	2,536	21,597	8,477	1,155	9,632	580,395
	Wabash	8,306	2,378	8,042	4,760	23,486	25,250	2,431	27,681	648,886
	Wayne	12,040	6,135	10,722	19,249	48,146	17,975	109,363	127,388	709,295
0.1	White	7,767	4,145	16,875	2,598	31,385	19,230	17,084	47,528	751,488
2nd	Circuit Total	\$120,395	\$43,280	\$117,562	\$57,237	\$338,474	\$158,849	\$485,634	\$644,483	\$8,753,155
3rd	Bond	\$3,941	\$1,470	\$5,641	\$3,152	\$14,204	\$17,153	\$17,268	\$34,421	\$589,774
	Madison	41,792	1,965	142,024	88,317	274,098	82,127	0	82,127	9,499,908
3rd	Circuit Total	\$45,733	\$3,435	\$147,665	\$91,469	\$288,302	\$99,280	\$17,268	\$116,548	\$10,089,682
4th	Christian	\$16,026	\$16,834	\$21,931	\$3,776	\$58,567	\$7,428	\$24,505	\$31,933	\$1,874,943
	Clay	5,584	3,345	5,035	3,424	17,577	16,009	84,368	100,377	519,737
	Clinton	14,850	5,729	19,185	5,480	45,244	25,352	0	25,352	798,538
	Effingham	13,538	4,125	27,369	13,654	58,686	0	0	0	1,315,779
	Fayette	16,916	1,819	20,695	273	39,703	21,793	4,629	26,422	1,018,293
	Jasper	6,150	1,099	9,698	1,917	18,864	3,525	7,208	10,733	356,230
	Marion	16,810	2,664	41,409	8,967	69,850	22,354	5,490	27,844	1,952,040
	Montgomery	23,180	9,155	26,460	4,858	63,653	22,229	184,239	206,468	1,474,636
	Shelby	9,714	2,290	10.692	4,637	27,333	6,605	14,064	20,669	880,022
4th	Circuit Total	\$122,768	\$47,249	\$182,474	\$46,986	\$399,477	\$125,295	\$364,530	\$449,798	\$10,190,218
5th	Clark	\$38,664	\$1,337	\$21,973	\$0	\$61,974	\$0	\$60	\$60	\$987,642
	Coles	20,906	32,189	31,735	10,831	95,661	0	0	0	2,581,550
	Cumberland	14,506	563	7,865	0	22,934	773	0	773	336,853
	Edgar	9,018	2,278	12,465	3,780	27,451	15,092	75,100	90,192	904,230
	Vermilion	9,811	45,883	56,624	17,398	129,666	0	910	910	4,854,820
5th	Circuit Total	\$92,905	\$82,200	\$130,662	\$32,009	\$337,776	\$15,865	\$76,070	\$91,935	\$9,665,095

#### DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

				Fees of Others			Misce	llaneous Disbursem	ents	Total
		State's		Fund to Finance						Amount
Circuit	County	Attorney	Sheriff	Court System	Other	Total	Restitution	Other	Total	Distributed
6th	Champaign	\$50,882	\$21,357	\$98,760	\$69,319	\$240,318	\$85,758	\$44,949	\$130,707	\$5,148,881
	DeWitt	2,038	4,959	13,818	8,383	29,198	11,614	190,645	202,259	1,170,980
	Douglas	4,040	3,745	20,798	3,117	31,700	13,853	164,515	178,368	1,096,263
	Macon	94,637	69,519	96,661	60,645	321,462	70,506	226,395	296,901	0
	Moultrie	6,146	3,257	6,724	2,699	18,826	4,795	196,264	201,059	883,562
	Piatt	6,365	5,762	9,210	10,339	31,676	0	12,227	12,227	1,187,260
6th	Circuit Total	\$164,108	\$108,599	\$245,971	\$154,502	\$673,100	\$186,526	\$834,995	\$1,021,521	\$20,169,274
7th	Greene	\$2,362	\$431	\$9,346	\$1,971	\$14,110	\$1,233	\$2,140	\$3,373	\$122,627
/	Jersey	6,644	2,060	19,998	24,848	53,550	14,075	39,521	53,596	771,520
	Macoupin	12,815	6,704	23,864	10,156	53,539	32,000	120,202	152,202	1,875,207
	Morgan	15,660	4,089	26,284	8,950	54,983	28,635	306,552	335,187	2,078,373
	Sangamon	94,438	7,775	122,066	28,406	252,685	103,536	6,191	109,727	8,940,526
	Scott	810	851	3,758	962	6,381	2,814	8,766	11,580	191,212
7th	Circuit Total	\$132,729	\$21,910	\$205,316	\$75,293	\$435,248	\$182,293	\$483,372	\$665,665	\$13,979,465
7tn		\$132,729	\$21,910	\$205,516	\$75,295	\$435,240	\$102,295	\$403,372	\$005,005	\$13,9/9,465
8th	Adams	\$28,719	\$11,042	\$41,100	\$15,915	\$96,776	\$78,805	\$360,740	\$439,545	\$3,157,226
	Brown	3,515	867	5,645	1,166	11,193	6,842	0	6,842	272,044
	Calhoun	2,920	820	2,570	9,950	16,260	5,191	8,536	13,727	117,596
	Cass	7,676	1,886	8,530	1,333	19,425	14,099	17,915	32,014	564,952
	Mason	9,556	5,567	16,001	2,684	33,808	13,622	9,923	23,545	691,150
	Menard	4,227	1,529	5,040	3,316	14,112	5,160	7,085	12,245	365,754
	Pike	7,250	2,035	0	15,641	24,926	0	0	0	609,917
	Schuyler	3,766	1,915	4,705	960	11,346	7,348	919,998	927,346	1,213,167
8th	Circuit Total	\$67,629	\$25,661	\$83,591	\$50,965	\$227,846	\$131,067	\$1,324,197	\$1,455,264	\$6,991,806
9th	Fulton	\$4,894	\$4,303	\$21,321	\$10,264	\$40,782	\$7,404	\$212,711	\$220,115	\$2,292,,080
	Hancock	9,795	2,637	11,070	0	23,502	0	0	0	939,890
	Henderson	5,629	218	7,937	1,477	14,901	3,871	5,385	9,256	381,549
	Knox	20,770	7,925	44,472	24,718	97,885	1,572	85,234	86,806	3,894,885
	McDonough	17,958	6,070	19,250	7,621	50,889	0	18,201	18,201	1,420,652
	Warren	17,851	5,400	19,329	8,054	50,634	656	10.223	10.879	1,129,579
9th	Circuit Total	\$76,537	\$26,553	\$123,379	\$52,134	\$278,603	\$13,503	\$331,754	\$345,257	\$9,958,635
10th	Marshall	\$5,009	\$8,480	\$8,665	\$1,738	\$23,892	\$11,463	\$39,256	\$50,719	\$626,010
	Peoria	79,455	215,635	99,073	89,023	483,186	80,251	0	80,251	9,917,677
	Putnam	590	0	4,225	432	5,247	1,341	5,240	6,581	250,843
	Stark	2,626	387	857	40	3,910	3,428	145,103	148,531	351,052
	Tazewell	25,593	40,895	79,475	31,187	177,150	21,141	20,317	41,458	5,995,858
10th	Circuit Total	\$113,273	\$265,397	\$192,295	\$122,420	\$693,385	\$117,624	\$209,916	\$327,540	\$17,141,440
		4113,273	4200,001	4152,255	4.111, 110	4055,505	\$117,021	\$205,510	4521,540	\$17,171,770

#### DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

				Fees of Others			Misce	llaneous Disbursem	ents	Total
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Sheriff	Fund to Finance Court System	Other	Total	Restitution	Other	Total	Amount Distributed
11th	Ford	\$4,646	\$14,459	\$12,030	\$5,249	\$36,394	\$4,478	\$0	\$4,478	\$479,957
	Livingston	12,715	7,338	32,005	8,856	60,914	308	111,315	111,623	1,944,574
	Logan	13,749	16,863	35,653	6,646	72,911	0	69,371	69,371	1,592,443
	McLean	70,890	200,977	151,180	15,313	438,360	6,405	1,104,779	1,111,184	5,290,437
	Woodford	10,412	6,832	20,865	5,176	43,285	3,258	40,392	43,650	1,020,238
	Circuit Total	\$112,422	\$246,469	\$251,733	\$41,240	\$651,864	\$14,449	\$1,325,857	\$1,340,306	\$10,327,649
12th	Will	\$295,576	\$39,953	\$297,843	\$83,069	\$716,441	\$39,694	\$797,949	\$837,643	\$18,877,615
12th	Circuit Total	\$295,576	\$39,953	\$297,843	\$83,069	\$716,441	\$39,694	\$797,949	\$837,643	\$18,877,615
13th	Bureau	\$1,123	\$6,588	\$0	\$26,905	\$34,616	\$9,157	\$112,639	\$121,796	\$1,812,815
	Grundy	0	7,809	16,875	640	25,324	3,497	640	4,137	1,638,090
	LaSalle	43,750	2,556	77,800	22,364	146,470	29,279	696,643	725,922	5,152,282
	Circuit Total	\$44,873	\$16,953	\$94,675	\$49,909	\$206,410	\$41,933	\$809,922	\$851,855	\$8,603,187
14th	Henry	\$13,930	\$8,691	\$59,568	\$10,649	\$92,838	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,265,121
	Mercer	3,898	1,414	8,332	4,651	18,295	0	236,447	236,447	1,113,019
	Rock Island	88,671	9,179	145,371	17,237	260,458	13,065	908,069	921,134	8,536,516
	Whiteside	31,320	40,379	41,225	22,779	136,063	0	14,957	14,957	3,637,073
	Circuit Total	\$137,819	\$60,023	\$254,496	\$55,316	\$507,654	\$13,065	\$1,159,473	\$1,172,538	\$16,551,729
15th	Carroll	\$5,480	\$7,330	\$11,184	\$6,620	\$30,614	\$1,840	\$39,690	\$41,350	\$894,099
	Jo Daviess	4,497	3,835	16,846	3,048	28,226	4,687	1,570	6,257	729,600
	Lee	8,998	4,569	39,900	13,750	67,217	0	26,192	26,192	2,111,952
	Ogle	14,325	36,132	28,394	18,703	98,554	57,338	82,035	139,373	1,915,499
	Stephenson	24,239	38,306	42,459	15,671	120,675	4,321	26,049	30,370	2,430,566
	Circuit Total	\$57,539	\$90,172	\$138,783	\$57,792	\$345,286	\$68,186	\$175,536	\$243,722	\$8,081,716
16th	DeKalb	\$31,612	\$3,698	\$65,219	\$17,306	\$117,205	\$0	\$13,605	\$13,605	\$1,485,514
	Kane	243,630	91,933	272,727	162,437	770,727	0	0	0	12,555,604
	Kendall	2,595	679	28,805	4,526	36,605	22,109	12,481	34,590	1,325,074
	Circuit Total	\$277,837	\$96,310	\$366,751	\$184,269	\$924,537	\$22,109	\$26,086	\$48,195	\$15,366,192
17th	Boone	\$17,505	\$23,500	\$37,643	\$12,764	\$91,412	\$24,328	\$32,173	\$56,501	\$1,518,879
	Winnebago	76,145	183,568	227,344	87,824	574,881	32,476	799,951	832,427	8,507,325
	Circuit Total	\$93,650	\$207,068	\$264,987	\$100,588	\$666,293	\$56,804	\$832,124	\$888,928	\$10,026,204
18th	DuPage	\$322,440	\$150,543	\$691,932	\$255,087	\$1,420,002	\$0	\$5,350,626	\$5,350,626	\$35,794,875
18th		\$322,440	\$150,543	\$691,932	\$255,087	\$1,420,002	\$0	\$5,350,626	\$5,350,626	\$35,794,875
19th	Lake	\$59,983	\$510,407	\$513,697	\$274,554	\$1,358,641	\$275,242	\$286,800	\$562,042	\$14,670,075
	McHenry	36,170	164,480	168,362	118,939	487,951	75,834	222,601	298,435	5,831,365
	Circuit Total	\$96,153	\$674,887	\$682,059	\$393,493	\$1,846,592	\$351,076	\$509,401	\$860,477	\$20,501,440

			AND	OF COLLECTION	NS MADE FO	R OTHERS (	CONT.)			
				Fees of Others			Misce	llaneous Disbursem	ents	Total
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Sheriff	Fund to Finance Court System	Other	Total	Restitution	Other	Total	Amount Distributed
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair* Washington Circuit Total	\$5,765 8,550 10,115 17,339 5,326 \$47,095	\$0 3,665 8,626 0 206 \$12,497	\$11,115 18,024 18,550 255,373 9,978 \$313,040	\$2,173 3,524 3,114 54,147 651 \$63,609	\$19,053 33,763 40,405 326,859 16,161 \$436,241	\$12,022 25,862 89,506 15,555 7,854 \$150,797	\$51,992 52,423 0 476,342 0 \$580,747	\$64,014 78,285 89,506 491,897 7,854 \$731,554	\$781,725 1,324,088 1,810,547 9,493,111 489,223 \$13,898,694
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	\$660 16,376 \$17,036	\$2,062 13,191 \$15,253	\$28,095 65,686 \$93,781	\$2,386 24,520 \$26,906	\$33,203 119,773 \$152,976	\$6,971 0 \$6,971	\$0 250,786 \$250,786	\$6,971 250,786 \$257,757	\$1,315,491 4,425,472 \$5,740,963
	Downstate Total <sup>1</sup>	\$2,603,855	\$2,257,106	\$5,032,612	\$2,093,303	\$11,986,876	\$1,994,899	\$16,205,517	\$18,200,416	\$278,710,785
	Cook County	\$299,013	\$1,507	\$0	\$2,408,101	\$2,708,621	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$105,291,321
	State Total <sup>1</sup>	\$2,902,868	\$2,258,613	\$5,032,612	\$4,501,404	\$14,695,497	\$1,994,899	\$16,205,517	\$18,200,416	\$384,002,106

\*Not Reported at time of printing <sup>1</sup>Totals do not include St. Clair County

168

# DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY

#### ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS – 1987-1988 PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES (EXCLUDING DETENTION)

		Ch	ief Managing					ervisors			Line Officers	– Speci	alists		
Circuit	County	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Total Pro- fessional Staff	Support Staff
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson/Pope Massac Pulaski Saline Union	1			1 1 1 1	1				1	8	1	1 6	2 12 1 1 1 8 1	1 2 1 1 2 1
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	1 3	0	0	5	1	0	0	1 1	1	7 16	2 3	7	11 37	2 12
2nd	Crawford/Lawrence Edwards/Richland and Wayne Franklin/Hamilton Gallatin/Hardin/ Wabash/White				1 1 1						1 2 2	1	1	2 3 5 4	1 3 3
2nd	Jefferson Circuit Total	0	0	0	1 5	0	0	0	0	0	1 6	1	4	2 16	1 9
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	3 3	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	23 23	6	0	1 36 37	4
4th 4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0	0	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9	0	0	0	0	0	2 2 5 9	1 1 2	3 1 1 5	4 2 3 2 1 7 2 1 25	1 1 1 1 3 2 1 11
5th 5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	1 1 2	0	0	1 1 2	0	1	1	0	1 1 2	3 10 13	1 3 4	1 1 2 4	1 7 2 19 29	1 2 3 6
6th 6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	1 1 2	1	0	1 1 1 1 4	0	1	1 1 2	0	1	15 7 22	5 1 4 10	1 1 1 3	26 2 14 1 2 47	2 1 1 4 1 9
7th 7th	Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon-A Sangamon-B Circuit Total	1 1 1 2 5	0	0	1 1 2	2 3 5	0	0	0	1 1 1 3	4 4 11 19	2 10 12	1 1 2	1 2 6 8 15 16 48	1 2 1 3 3 10
8th 8th	Adams Brown/Schuyler Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Circuit Total	1 1 2	0	0	1 1 1 5	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	7	12 1 3 2 1 1 21	3 1 1 1 6

#### ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS – 1987-1988 PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES (EXCLUDING DETENTION)

		Ch	ief Managing	Officers	(CMO'S)		Supe	ervisors			Line Officer	s — Speci	ialists		
Circuit	County	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Total Pro- fessional Staff	Support Staff
9th 9th	Fulton-J Hancock-J Knox-J McDonough-J Warren/Henderson Circuitwide-A Circuit Total	1 1 2	0	1 1 1 1 4	0	2 2	0	0	0	1 1 2	8 8	1 2 1 1 5	0	2 1 4 2 2 12 23	1 1 1 3 7
10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark Peoria-A Peoria-J Tazewell Circuit Total	1 1 1 3	0	0	1	3	1 1	1 1 2	1 1	0	18 7 25	8 2 10	- 2 1 3	3 22 10 14 49	7 2 5 14
11th 11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	1	1 1	0	1 1 1 3	0	1	1	0	1	2 10 1 13	1 6 1 8	1 5 1 7	2 6 4 21 3 36	2 1 3 1 7
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	1 1	0	0	0	1 1	0	1 1	0	0	9 9	6 6	0	18 18	5 5
13th 13th	Bureau/Grundy/LaSalle Circuit Total	1 1	1 1	0	0	0	1 1	1 1	0	0	3 3	4 4	0	11 11	2 2
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	1 1 1 1 4	1	1	0	0	1 2 1 4	1 1 2	0	0	4 1 17 4 26	3 1 6 2 12	1 1 2	9 3 30 10 52	2 2 5 3 12
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	1 1 1 3	0	0	1 1 2	0	1 1	1	1	0	1 3 4 4 12	2 2 2 6	1	2 2 6 8 9 27	1 1 2 3 3 10
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	1	1	1	1	0	3	3	1 1 2	2	2 15 1 18	3 11 1 15	1 10 11	8 48 2 58	3 12 1 16
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	1	1 1 2	2 2	0	0	2 2	1	0	0	1 15 16	1 17 18	0	3 39 42	1 10 11
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2 2	1	1	1	0	- 5 5	1	2	1	49 49	0	11 11	74 74	16 16
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	3 1 4	1 1 2	1 1 2	1	0	3 2 5	1 1 2	1 1 2	0	30 10 40	9 7 16	11 4 15	61 28 89	12 5 17
20th 20th	St. Clair Circuit Total	2 2	0	0	0	0	2 2	1	0	0	17 17	6 6	4 4	32 32	9
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1 1	2 2	3	2 2 4	3 10 13	1 1
Cook	Adult Juvenile Social Service Circuit Total	11 18 9 38	0	6 6	0	43 28 25 96	0	15 15	0	5	286 159 445	180 180	102 102	340 354 193 887	117 124 48 289
	Downstate Total Cook Total State Total	43 38 81	10 0 10	11 6 17	42 0 42	13 96 109	30 0 30	21 15 36	10 0 10	17 5 22	348 445 793	149 180 329	91 102 193	784 887 1,671	352 289 641

#### ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS – 1987-1988 JUVENILE DETENTION

Circuit	County	Chief Managing Officers	Supervisors	Line Staff	Total Professional Staff	Support
3rd	Madison	2	5	22	29	1
6th	Champaign	1	1	9	11	
7th	Sangamon	2	3 .	13	18	4
8th	Adams	1	1	10	12	3
9th	Кпох	1	3	10	14	2
10th	Peoria	1	4	17	22	2
13th	ĽaSalle	2	3	10	15	
15th	Ogle		1	10	11	2
16th	Kane	2	1	22	25	3
17th	Winnebago	1	3	10	14	3
18th	DuPage	2	3	18	23	4
19th	Lake	1	2	12	15	11
20th	St. Clair	2	4	19	25	2
	State Total	18	34	182	234	37

172

### ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS - PETITIONS

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 – DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Referrals Ma	ide To:			Number	r of Juvenile Petitic	ons Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
1st	Alexander	0	0	137	137	16	4	36	0	56
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	36	1	10	0	47
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	28	0	8	0	36
	Massac				0					0
	Роре	12	0	0	12	8	1	3	0	12
	Pulaski	18	0	0	18	7	2	9	0	18
	Saline	95	0	0	95	51	1	13	2	67
	Union	35	0	0	35	18	3	6	8	35
	Williamson	0	305	0	305	50	7	45	20	122
1st	Circuit Total	160	305	137	602	214	19	130	30	393
2nd	Crawford	42	0	0	42	35	0	5	0	40
	Edwards	22	0	0	22	19	0	3	0	22
	Franklin/Hamilton	64	120	0	184	45	2	27	3	77
	Gallatin	9	0	0	9	3	0	5	1	9
	Hardin	4	0	0	4	1	0	2	1	4
	Jefferson	55	0	0	55	24	1	29	1	55
	Lawrence	18	0	2	20	13	0	1	4	18
	Richland	29	0	0	29	18	4	7	0	29
	Wabash	44	0	0	44	35	0	6	1	42
	Wayne	69	0	0	69	64	0	5	0	69
	White	41	0	51	92	24	0	17	0	41
2nd	Circuit Total	397	120	53	570	281	7	107	11	406
3rd	Bond	29	0	0	29	19	3	6	1	29
	Madison	487	0	0	487	420	10	215	24	669
3rd	Circuit Total	516	0	0	516	439	13	221	25	698
4th	Christian	160	0	15	175	97	3	38	9	147
	Clay	44	0	0	44	22	0	1	0	23
	Clinton	44	0	0	44	37	0	5	2	44
	Effingham	54	0	0	54	37	1	11	3	52
	Fayette	12	0	63	75	31	0	18	2	51
	Jasper	32	0	0	32	28	0	2	2	32
	Marion	0	0	0	0	88	2	35	1	126
	Montgomery	3	0	12	15	32	0	15	4	51
4+b	Shelby Circuit Total	349	0	90	0 439	372	6	125	23	0 526
4th										
5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland	29	0	0	29	26	0	7	0	33 .
	Edgar	60	0	20	80	35	1	8	1	45
	Vermilion	0	0	20	270	141	5	52	0	45 198
5+b	Circuit Total	89	0	290	379	202	6	67	1	276
5th		07	U	290	3/9	202	0	0/	1	270

## ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS - PETITIONS

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Referrals Ma	ide To:			Number	of Juvenile Petitio	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas	0 0 0	0 232 0	164 0 0	164 232 0	185 44 5	1 0 0	103 16 0	0 1 0	289 61 5
6th	Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	563 64 95 722	0 0 0 232	218 0 0 382	781 64 95 1,336	418 48 95 795	1 0 0 2	56 0 0 175	10 0 0	485 48 95 983
7th	Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Circuit Total	17 15 194 0 226	0 41 0 1,015 1,056	0 0 271 0 271	17 56 194 271 1,015 1,553	3 37 149 35 265 489	0 1 4 5 3 13	9 14 39 3 1 66	5 2 2 3 0	17 54 194 46 269 580
8th	Adams Cass Calhoun Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	0 52 10 63 29 0 36 190	110 0 0 0 0 0 0 110	0 0 27 0 0 0 27	110 52 10 90 29 0 36 327	50 41 4 24 51 33 243	7 2 0 0 5 3 0 17	52 9 4 15 0 12 1 93	4 0 0 0 4 2 10	113 52 8 55 29 70 36 363
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 23 0 0 47 70	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	150 74 13 192 139 181 749	150 74 36 192 139 228 819	43 32 16 34 18 47 190	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	26 0 3 11 21 11 72	1 0 1 9 2 1 1	71 32 20 54 41 59 277
10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark Peoria Tazewell Circuit Total	76 821 244 1,141	5 0 0 5	5 0 0 5	86 821 244 1,151	16 264 125 405	0 5 0 5	8 144 83 235	0 56 0 56	24 469 208 701

#### ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS - PETITIONS

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 – DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Referrals Ma	de To:			Number	r of Juvenile Petitio	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford	72 0 0 6 31	0 0 910 1,046 0	0 593 0 0 38	72 593 910 1,052 69	37 85 18 131 19	14 4 0 6 1	0 27 29 38 11	0 2 0 10	51 118 47 185 32
11th	Circuit Total	109	1,956	631	2,696	290	25	105	13	433
12th	Will Circuit Total	35 35	303 303	0 0	338 338	133 133	7 7	68 68	10 10	218 218
13th 13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	43 0 35 78	0 0 0 0	2 141 254 397	45 141 289 475	65 55 141 261	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	65 56 141 262
14th	Henry . Mercer . Rock Island . Whiteside . Circuit Total	0 0 5 5	172 0 266 0 438	0 35 0 271 306	172 35 266 276 749	68 19 91 47 225	1 0 2 0 3	22 0 71 0 93	5 0 12 0 17	96 19 176 47 338
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	7 43 232 0 151 433	0 0 0 0 0 0	111 0 0 628 0 739	118 43 232 628 151 1,172	35 26 118 59 99 337	0 0 2 5 2 9	5 17 42 18 50 132	2 0 1 151 154	42 43 162 83 302 632
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 248 0 248	247 0 0 247	0 0 20 20	247 248 20 515	115 325 53 493	2 2 1 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	117 327 54 498
17th	Boone	0 0 0	0 1,757 1,757	97 0 97	97 1,757 1,854	40 221 261	1 5 6	40 210 250	3 10 13	84 446 530
18th	DuPage Circuit Total	4 4	50 50	672 672	726 726	746 746	23 23	102 102	18 18	889 889
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	0 190 190	1,070 446 1,516	0 0 0	1,070 636 1,706	152 134 286	0 2 2	0 56 56	0 0 0	152 192 344

#### ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS - PETITIONS

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

	1		Referrals Ma	de To:			Number	of Juvenile Petitio	ns Filed	
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	33 18 45 572 17 685	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	33 18 45 572 17 685	28 14 23 410 17 492	0 0 2 6 0 8	5 3 20 130 0 158	0 1 0 26 0 27	33 18 45 572 17 685
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	69 0 69	0 424 424	0 0 0	69 424 493	35 125 160	2 6 8	20 38 58	1 7 8	58 176 234
Cook Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	0 0	0 0	20,598 20,598	20,598 20,598	13,885 13,885	88 88	5,750 5,750	0 0	19,723 19,723
	Downstate Total	5,716	8,519	4,866	19,101	7,314	185	2,313	454	10,266
	Cook Total	0	0	20,598	20,598	13,885	88	5,750	0	19,723
	State Total	5,716	8,519	25,464	39,699	21,199	273	8,063	454	29,989

# ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 – DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Nu	umber of Adjudication	ons		N	Number of Juvenile Crin	ninal Prosecutions	
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted	Total
1st	Alexander	13	2	32	0	47	0	0	0	0
	Jackson	12	0	1	0	13	0	0	0	0
	Johnson	2	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Massac	-				0				0
	Pope	8	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	4	1	8	0	13	0	0	0	0
	Saline	17	1	2	1	21	1	5	5	11
	Union	11	2	5	7	25	17	0	0	17
	Williamson	10	2	6	2	20	1	2	2	5
1st	Circuit Total	77	8	56	10	151	19	7	7	33
2nd	Crawford	31 2	0	2	0 12	33 17	0	0	0	0
	Edwards Franklin/Hamilton	20	0	10	12	31	0	0	0	0
	Gallatin	20	0	1		4	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	8	1	10	0	19	0	0	0	0
	Lawrence	8	0	1	3	12	0	0	0	0
	Richland	1	0	1	12	14	0	0	0	0
	Wabash	9	0	1	0	10	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	15	0	3	36	54	0	0	0	0
	White	20	0	13	0	33	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	117	1	46	64	228	0	0	0	0
	Bond	10	3	3	1	17	0	0	0	0
3rd	Madison	85	6	88	21	200	2	0	0	2
and	Circuit Total	95	9	91	22	200	2	0	0	2
3rd		95	5	21	22	217	2	0	0	2
4th	Christian	84	1	34	9	128	0	0	0	0
	Clay	6	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Clinton	20	0	3	0	23	0	0	0	0
	Effingham	23	1	9	0	33	0	0	0	0
	Fayette	13	0	7	1	21	0	0	0	0
	Jasper	25	0	2	2	29	0	0	0	0
	Marion	61	1	21	1	84	0	0	0	0
	Montgomery	25	0	8	2	35	0	0	0	0
	Shelby					0				0
4th	Circuit Total	257	3	85	15	360	0	0	0	0
5th	Clark	6	0	6	0	12	0	0	0	0
	Coles/Cumberland					0				0
	Edgar	7	0	4	1	12	0	0	0	0
	Vermilion	86	1	0	0	87	0	0	0	0
5th	Circuit Total	99	1	10	1	111	0	0	0	0

#### ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 – DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Nu	mber of Adjudicatio	ons		N	Number of Juvenile Crin	ninal Prosecutions	
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted	Total
6th	Champaign	90	0	0	0	90	0	1	1	2
	DeWitt	21	0	7	1	29	0	0	0	0
	Douglas	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Macon	112	0	0	0	112	1	3	2	6
	Moultrie	48	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	0
	Piatt	95	0	0	0	95	2	2	2	6
6th	Circuit Total	371	0	7	1	379	3	6	5	14
7th	Greene/Scott	3	0	6	6	15	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	37	1	12	2	52	0	0	1	1
	Macoupin	12	0	8	0	20	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	32	4	2	1	39	0	0	0	0
	Sangamon	87	0	0	0	87	0	4	4	8
7th	Circuit Total	171	5	28	9	213	0	4	5	9
8th	Adams	14	5	26	4	49	0	0	0	0
	Cass	4	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Calhoun	2	3	3	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Mason	18	0	8	0	26	0	0	0	0
	Menard	24	5	0	0	29	0	0	0	0
	Pike	41	2	10	4	57	0	0	0	0
	Schuyler	28	1	1	1	31	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	131	16	51	9	207	0	0	0	0
9th	Fulton	15	1	20	3	39	0	2	0	2
	Hancock	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0
	Henderson	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Knox	28	0	4	3	35	2	1	1	4
	McDonough	8	0	12	1	21	0	0	0	0
	Warren	25	0	11	1	37	0	1	0	1
9th	Circuit Total	87	1	47	8	143	2	4	1	7
10th	Marshall/Putnam/									
	Stark	16	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
	Tazewell	21	0	0	0	21	1	0	0	1
10th	Circuit Total	37	0	0	0	37	3	1	0	4
11th	Ford	37	14	0	0	51	7	7	7	21
	Livingston	35	1	12	0	48	0	0	0	0
	Logan	18	0	21	0	39	2	0	0	2
	McLean	39	6	0	0	45	2	0	0	2
	Woodford	10	1	7	1	19	0	0	0	0
11th	Circuit Total	139	22	40	1	202	11	7	7	25

178

#### ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Nu	mber of Adjudicatio	ons		Ν	umber of Juvenile Crir	ninal Prosecutions	
Circuit	County	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted	Total
12th	Will Circuit Total	88 88	0 0	55 55	4 4	147 147	0 0	3 3	0 0	3
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle	24 20 52 96	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	24 20 53 97	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
13th	Circuit Total Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside	24 8 73 35	1 0 3 0	9 0 92 0	0 0 9 0	34 8 177 35	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0
14th	Circuit Total Jo Daviess Lee Ogle	140 8 4 24 42 53 131	4 0 1 2 0 3	101 7 16 15 22 61	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	254 9 11 41 59 75 195	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	47 92 8 147	2 2 0 4	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 1	49 95 9 153	0 3 0 3	0 2 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 6 0 6
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	31 124 155	0 0 0	18 110 128	0 2 2	49 236 285	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
18th	DuPage Circuit Total	292 292	25 25	0 0	0 0	317 317	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	105 48 153	0 1 1	0 6 6	0 0 0	105 55 160	4 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	4 0 4
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	7 9 13 251 8 288	0 0 6 0 6	2 0 2 97 0 101	0 0 9 0 9	9 9 15 363 8 404	0 0 2 0 2	1 0 2 0 3	1 0 2 0 3	2 0 6 0 8
21st	Iroquois Kankakee	23 116 139	1 5 6	13 33 46	1 7 8	38 161 199	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 1
Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	4,432 4,432	63 63	1,892 1,892	616 616	7,003 7,003	0 0	5 5	4	9 9
	Downstate Total	3,210	116	960	173	4,459	49	40	29	118
	Cook Total	4,432	63	1,892	616	7,003	0	5	4	9
	State Total	7,642	179	2,852	789	11,462	49	45	33	127

1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1987

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope	20 26 1 27 0	12 13 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	6 5 2 3	39 44 6 29 3
1st	Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	24 4 13 115	7 9 0 41	3 0 14 17	0 0 32 33	0 0 1 1	2 0 3 26	0 36 13 63 233
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin	36 0 28 5	1 2 0	0 4 2 0	0 0 19 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 4 0	38 7 53 5
	Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland	3 1 36 0 2	0 0 0 0 8	0 0 0 6 0	0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 16 0 0	4 1 52 8 12
2nd	Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	11 10 17 149	0 0 0 11	0 21 2 35	0 0 0 23	0 0 0 0	3 7 8 41	14 38 27 259
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	2 77 79	1 0 1	1 130 131	0 25 25	0 0 0	4 31 35	8 263 271
4th	Christian Clay Clinton	78 12 18	7 0 0	14 9 9	0 0 0	6 0 0	5 3 25	110 24 52
	Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby	10 17 9 82 12 22		0 13 8 17 1 0			8 4 1 4 2 0	28 34 19 103 15 22 407
4th	Circuit Total Clark Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	260 13 24 22 78 137	18 2 0 0 0 2	71 5 41 14 22 82	0	6 0 0 0 0	52 5 4 5 18 32	407 25 69 41 118 253
### 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY – DECEMBER 31, 1987

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
6th	Champaign	93	0	41	51	7	48	240
our	DeWitt	1	0	2	7	0	-10	
		4	0	2	/	0	3	16
	Douglas	5	4	3	0	2	1	15
	Macon	80	0	46	6	4	44	180
	Moultrie	42	0	0	0	0	0	42
	Piatt	43	7	17	0	0	0	67
6th	Circuit Total	267	11	109	64	13	96	560
7th	Greene	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Jersey	17	4	11	3	0	6	41
	Macoupin	17	3	78	0	0	17	115
	Morgan	26	5	2	20	2	0	
	Sangamon	95	0	43	37	2	22	55
	Scott	1	0	0	0	0		197
7.1			0	0	Ů	0	2	3
7th	Circuit Total	158	12	134	60	2	47	413
3th	Adams	41	2	34	16	6	8	107 0
	Calhoun	3	4	4	0	0	1	12
	Mason	16	1	10	7	0	8	42
	Menard	10				0	0	42
	Pike/Calhoun	7	0	16	0	0	10	0
			0		0	0	18	41
0.1	Schuyler/Brown	2	11	8	0	0	3	24
8th	Circuit Total	69	18	72	23	6	38	226
9th	Fulton	13	0	16	14	1	15	59
	Hancock	7	0	19	19	0	2	47
	Henderson	1	0	6	4	0	0	11
	Knox	33	0	4	0	27	3	67
	McDonough	12	0	9	4	7	2	34
	Warren	26	0	6	7	0	5	51
9th	Circuit Total	92	0	60	48	35	27	44 262
10th	Marshall/Putnam/							101
10th	Stark	55	62	0	16	0		
		55	63	0	16	0	42	176
	Peoria	240	0	15	4	1	260	520
	Tazewell	17	0	63	12	5	2	99
10th	Circuit Total	312	63	78	32	6	304	795
11th	Ford	10	7	15	1	5	4	42
	Livingston	32	3	28	7	0	80	150
	Logan	7	0	6	3	0	1	130
	McLean	74	6	33	17	7	24	
	Woodford	28	2	11	6	6	5	161
11+b	Circuit Total	151	18	93	34		-	58
11th		151	10	75	54	18	114	428

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
12th	Will	84 84	0 0	10 10	28 28	0 0	19 19	141 141
13th	Bureau/Grundy/ LaSalle Circuit Total	83 83	0 0	67 67	23 23	0 0	31 31	204 204
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	38 7 127 70 242	0 0 5 0 5	39 11 15 20 85	10 4 0 7 21	0 0 0 1 1	7 5 0 24 36	94 27 147 122 390
15th	Carroll	7 6 56 52 121	0 0 0 0 0	18 6 7 22 53	0 2 6 12 20	0 0 8 0 8	33 6 2 116 157	58 20 0 79 202 359
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	40 107 2 149	2 4 0 6	21 111 17 149	6 29 10 45	0 0 0 0	17 37 1 55	86 288 30 404
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	26 172 198	0 0 0	12 77 89	2 59 61	40 1 41	2 20 22	82 329 411
18th	DuPage Circuit Total	253 253	26 26	113 113	0 0	0 0	0 0	392 392
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	110 52 162	0 0 0	36 49 85	17 61 78	0 2 2	6 7 13	169 171 340
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	8 8 11 145 3 175	0 0 71 0 71	0 0 5 5 1 11	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 5 0 6	3 5 1 26 6 41	11 13 18 252 10 304
21st	Iroquois/Kankakee Circuit Total	107 107	0 0	21 21	0	0 0	2 2	130 130
Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	4,998 4,998	0 0	0 0	32 32	3,058 3,058	13,322 13,322	21,410 21,410
	Downstate Total	3,363	303	1,565	618	145	1,188	7,182
	Cook Total	4,998	0	0	32	3,058	13,322	21,410
	State Total	8,361	303	1,565	650	3,203	14,510	28,592

# 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1987

### 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

			State Comm	itments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositi	on	,	Detention As Disposition	
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
1st	Alexander	0	14	3	17	11	1	0	5	17	0	5	5	0	0	0
	Jackson	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2
	Massac				0	1. N. 1. 7.				0			0			0
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	1	. 1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	0	0	2	2	5	2	2	4	13	29	22	51	0	0	0
	Union	0	18	0	18	9	0	0	5	14	0	3	3	0	0	0
	Williamson	0	6	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12	1	0	1
1st	Circuit Total	0	39	9	48	25	3	2	14	44	41	38	79	1	2	3
2nd	Crawford				0					0			0			0
	Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Franklin/Hamilton	0	7	5	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	2	2
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	50	4	54	50	4	15	14	83	0	8	8	0	0	0
	Lawrence				0					0		5. <sup>0</sup>	0			0
	Richland	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	4	0	3	3	0	2	2
	Wabash	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	0	4	3	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0
	White	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	19	34	0	0	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	0	62	12	74	71	5	16	50	142	0	26	26	0	5	5
3rd	Bond	0	8	0	8	6	2	0	1	9	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Madison	0	0	7	7	0	2	3	0	5	223	0	223	62	0	62
3rd	Circuit Total	0	8	7	15	6	4	3	1	14	223	1	224	62	0	62
4th	Christian	0	24	4	28	11	3	2	8	24	21	0	21	18	0	18
	Clay	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clinton	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	11	13
	Effingham	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	4	11	11	1	12	. 5	2	7
	Fayette	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	4
	Jasper	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	4	4	0	1	1
	Marion	0	150	1	151	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0
	Montgomery	4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Shelby		170	0	0	20	2		10	42	22	27	0	27	10	0
4th	Circuit Total	4	178	8	190	20	3	6	13	42	33	27	60	27	16	43
5th	Clark	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	8	5	0	5
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	5	0	5
	Vermilion	0	0	6	6	0	4	0	2	6	36	0	36	34	0	34
5th	Circuit Total	1	3	11	15	0	4	0	2	6	62	0	62	44	0	44

# 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION

			State Comm	itments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Disposition	on	A	Detention s Disposition	
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 0 1	10 9 1 14 0 1 35	21 2 0 29 3 2 57	31 11 14 3 3 93	0 0 1 1 0 0 2	0 0 4 0 0 4	0 0 23 0 0 23	0 2 0 4 0 1 7	0 2 1 32 0 1 36	174 0 0 0 0 0 174	0 4 1 142 0 1 148	174 4 142 0 1 322	96 0 0 0 0 96	0 2 52 0 8 64	96 2 52 0 8 160
7th	Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Circuit Total	0 6 0 0 0 6	12 14 0 2 0 28	0 1 3 3 30 37	12 21 3 5 30 71	3 1 0 2 9 15	1 2 0 0 1 4	0 1 4 0 21 26	8 3 2 2 10 33	12 7 6 4 34 63	0 4 0 80 448 532	0 3 17 1 0 21	0 7 17 81 448 553	0 0 3 20 23	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 2 3 20 25
8th	Adams Cass Calhoun Mason Menard Pike Schuyler/Brown Circuit Total	0 0 0 21 0 0 21	0 3 0 8 0 12 3 26	5 1 0 1 0 0 2 9	5 4 0 9 21 12 5 56	12 3 0 0 0 6 1 22	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 1 0 0 0 7	0 0 1 0 4 64 1 70	18 3 1 4 70 2 99	46 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 46	0 10 6 0 0 1 18	46 10 1 6 0 0 1 64	89 0 0 0 0 0 0 89	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	89 0 1 0 0 0 90
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	1 1 2 1 3 11 19	2 3 0 5 0 1 11	3 5 2 6 3 12 31	2 3 1 0 1 0 7	2 1 0 0 0 0 3	2 0 1 11 0 14	0 28 1 2 1 6 38	6 32 2 3 13 6 62	2 1 0 77 0 1 81	10 4 5 0 14 8 41	12 5 77 14 9 122	0 2 0 23 2 0 27	1 1 0 0 1 5 8	1 3 0 23 3 5 35
10th	Marshall/Putnam Stark Peoria Tazewell Circuit Total	3 0 0 3	0 1 0 1	8 32 5 45	11 33 5 49	2 10 3 15	3 1 1 5	3 9 14 26	3 2 3 8	11 22 21 54	4 0 10 14	3 0 53 56	7 0 63 70	0 0 1 1	5 0 0 5	5 0 1 6
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 22 1 3 1 27	0 0 12 2 14	0 22 1 15 3 41	0 4 0 1 0 5	3 5 0 7 0 15	0 0 7 1 8	0 1 0 1 3 5	3 10 0 16 4 33	0 8 2 72 0 82	1 5 0 0 7 13	1 13 2 72 7 95	0 4 8 29 0 41	0 11 0 6 17	0 15 8 29 6 58

# 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION

#### JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

			State Comm	nitments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositi	on		Detention As Disposition	1
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	0 0	4 4	14 14	18 18	0	0 0	1 1	0 0	1 1	0	165 165	165 165	0	33	3
13th 13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 2 0 2	0 0 1 1	11 2 1 14	11 4 2 17	0 1 1 2	0 0 1 1	0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 2 3 5	3 7 121 131	8 7 0 15	11 14 121 146	7 10 47 64	16 10 0 26	23 20 47 90
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 4	5 0 11 11 27	7 0 13 11 31	0 0 10 0 10	4 14 6 2 26	3 0 24 14 41	2 1 0 3 6	9 15 40 19 83	0 0 14 45 59	12 7 7 7 33	12 7 21 52 92	2 0 7 32 41	4 1 1 2 8	6 1 8 34 49
15th 15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 9 2 19 30 61	1 0 4 19 24	2 9 2 23 49 85	0 1 2 2 6	0 1 4 29 5 39	0 0 3 0 11 14	0 6 0 2 0 8	0 8 8 33 10 67	0 0 5 0 5	2 1 4 21 20 48	2 1 4 26 20 53	3 0 1 2 5 11	1 0 8 1 9 19	4 0 9 3 14 30
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	5 0 0 5	12 5 2 19	17 5 2 24	3 10 4 17	2 0 0 2	7 26 0 33	16 0 0 16	28 36 4 68	10 249 0 259	40 0 8 48	50 249 8 307	1 54 0 55	13 0 0 13	14 54 0 68
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 0 0	7 112 119	0 17 17	7 129 136	5 147 152	0 0 0	2 74 76	0 10 10	7 231 238	0 817 817	26 0 26	26 817 843	0 38 38	6 0 6	6 38 44
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	0 0	4 4	1 1	5 5	35 35	28 28	41 41	0 0	104 104	270 270	1 1	271 271	193 193	0 0	193 193
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 0 3	3 0 3	7 4 11	0 4 4	32 9 41	0 4 4	39 21 60	108 0 108	0 21 21	108 21 129	13 0 13	0 41 41	13 41 54
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 59 0 59	2 2 6 31 0 41	2 2 6 90 0 100	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 3 0 4	0 0 385 0 385	2 3 1 9 5 20	2 3 1 394 5 405	0 0 187 0 187	1 1 0 0 1 3	1 1 0 187 1 190

			State Comm	nitments				Placements			Pen	Detention ding Dispositio	on	ļ	Detention As Disposition	
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 15 17	2 15 17	2 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 2	0 0 0	3 1 4	3 0 3	6 25 31	9 25 34	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Cook	Juvenile Circuit Total	0 0	1,245 1,245	611 611	1,856 1,856	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	6,019 6,019	0 0	6,019 6,019	1,037 1,037	0 0	1,037 1,037
	Downstate Total	39	683	397	1,119	423	150	384	287	1,229	3,325	797	4,122	1,013	239	1,252
	Cook Total	0	1,245	611	1,856	0	0	0	0	0	6,019	0	6,019	1,037	0	1,037
	State Total	39	1,928	1,008	2,975	423	150	384	287	1,229	9,344	797	10,141	2,050	239	2,289

## 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

### 1987 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY – DECEMBER 31, 1987

						DECEMBER 01,			
Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
Nue de la company de la company									
1st	Alexander	110	44	39	29	44	0	266	17
	Jackson	251	99	520	37	239	4	1,150	34
	Johnson	20	22	6	3	78	0	129	0
	Massac	64	20	22	24	52	0	182	5
	Pope	16	8	8	3	91	0	126	1
	Pulaski	43	6	0	0	20	0	69	0
	Saline	99	38	58	16	94	1	306	3
	Union	26	20	14	2	88	0	150	3
	Williamson	172	110	286	35	108	0	711	132
1st	Circuit Total	801	367	953	149	814	. 5	3,089	195
2nd	Crawford	53	36	33	10	73	0	205	5
	Edwards	9	28	9	3	27	0	76	4
	Franklin	130	72	133	18	72	0	425	3
	Gallatin	33	18	9	0	15	0	75	3
	Hamilton	15	8	6	6	19	0	54	0
	Hardin	9	14	9	1	6	0	39	3
	Jefferson	158	61	36	32	249	0	536	9
	Lawrence	43	13	7	0	117	0	180	6
	Richland	24	10	13	3	31	0	81	0
	Wabash	38	36	13	5	54	0	146	0
	Wayne	36	42	22	8	34	0	142	3
	White	69	23	6	7	59	0	164	5
2nd	Circuit Total	617	361	296	93	756	0	2,123	41
3rd	Bond	32	21	11	0	31	0	95	6
	Madison	468	187	120	11	466	0	1,252	102
3rd	Circuit Total	500	208	131	11	497	0	1,347	108
4th	Christian	133	120	74	21	544	0	892	14
	Clay	49	18	28	14	49	0	158	2
	Clinton	55	27	68	6	276	0	432	3
	Effingham	45	42	43	19	99	0	248	17
	Fayette	56	36	9	11	29	0	141	1
	Jasper	19	31	29	0	16	0	95	2
	Marion	193	91	207	36	300	0	827	30
	Montgomery	69	26	25	15	79	0	214	0
	Shelby	39	34	7	3	11	0	94	0
4th	Circuit Total	658	425	490	125	1,403	0	3,101	77
5th	Clark	42	4	0	2	32	0	80	2
	Coles/Cumberland	154	41	29	15	166	0	405	8
	Edgar	39	17	5	2	55	0	118	1
	Vermilion	257	127	110	97	411	0	1,002	28
5th	Circuit Total	492	189	144	116	664	Ő	1,605	39
							~	.,000	

1987 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1987

						DECEMBER 51,			
Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon	383 23 35 241	103 42 44 63	47 81 44 26	32 8 13 9.	235 26 53 260	0 0 0	800 180 189 600	31 2 4 23
6th	Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	36 48 766	44 41 337	35 24 257	2 4 68	5 72 651	0 0 1	122 189 2,080	0 0 60
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	20 42 80 62 379 3 586	22 15 161 40 170 4 412	46 24 23 127 199 5 424	13 3 39 8 0 0 63	52 16 194 158 1,191 12 1,623	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2	153 102 497 395 1,939 24 3,110	1 10 2 6 41 0 60
8th	Adams Cass Calhoun Mason Menard Pike Schuyler/Brown Circuit Total	177 25 17 33 25 13 290	81 58 20 30 18 46 253	49 42 8 7 6 16 128	2 32 4 4 0 1 43	170 53 77 65 102 80 547	0 0 0 0 0 0	479 210 126 139 0 151 156 1,261	34 1 2 2 7 0 46
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	120 44 11 145 84 40 444	51 7 4 32 36 17 147	19 2 0 5 6 2 34	10 0 2 0 1 13	79 35 17 171 77 26 405	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	279 88 32 355 203 86 1,043	2 2 3 9 3 5 24
10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark Peoria Tazewell Circuit Total	95 550 300 945	198 177 60 435	72 490 46 608	134 16 19 169	268 138 906 1,312	0 0 0 0	767 1,371 1,331 3,469	9 66 19 94

### 1987 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1987

			ATTIC/TE / IDOI			DECEMBER 51,			
Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
11th	Ford	55 80 54 316 61 566	9 48 75 78 42 252	51 11 76 76 34 248	22 5 19 7 24 77	37 75 132 288 277 809	0 0 0 1 1	174 219 356 765 439 1,953	0 5 2 14 0 21
12th	Will	607	28	39	2	290	0	966	52
12th	Circuit Total	607	28	39	2	290	0	966	52
13th	Bureau	47	40	5	4	32	0	128	0
	Grundy	31	15	4	0	29	0	79	0
	LaSalle	182	154	64	31	159	0	590	3
	Circuit Total	260	209	73	35	220	0	797	3
14th	Henry	89	82	38	10	426	0	645	4
	Mercer	18	14	3	2	37	0	74	2
	Rock Island	445	94	43	11	205	199	997	29
	Whiteside	239	125	29	3	655	1	1,052	11
	Circuit Total	791	315	113	26	1,323	200	2,768	46
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	24 18 81 145 268	14 48 53 165 280	17 44 26 13 100	0 10 6 11 27	213 88 493 756 1,550	0 0 8 0 8	215 208 0 667 1,090 2,180	0 3 6 8 17
16th	DeKalb	91	91	65	3	81	0	331	13
	Kane	515	99	367	25	555	0	1,561	37
	Kendall	50	17	28	10	77	7	189	6
	Circuit Total	656	207	460	38	713	7	2,081	56
17th	Boone	77	39	16	4	214	0	350	23
	Winnebago	587	549	1,309	29	674	0	3,148	97
	Circuit Total	664	588	1,325	33	888	0	3,498	120
18th	DuPage	1,490	315	846	111	745	0	3,507	130
18th	Circuit Total	1,490	315	846	111	745	0	3,507	130

Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
19th	Lake	1,104	295	0	0	455	61	1,915	130
	McHenry	359	141	98	24	155	0	777	33
	Circuit Total	1,463	436	98 ~	24	610	61	2,692	163
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	24 41 41 709 15 830	7 5 9 68 4 93	7 10 16 162 4 199	1 1 32 3 38	84 80 106 342 88 700	1 0 0 4 0 5	124 137 173 1,317 114 1,865	8 1 10 185 0 204
21st 21st	Iroquois/Kankakee	315 315	12 12	3 3	4 4	161 161	0 0	495 495	23 23
Cook	Adult	16,646	2,137	269	209	3,272	0	22,533	815
	Social Service	180	3;796	6,439	2,716	2,428	0	15,559	13
	Circuit Total	16,826	5,933	6,708	2,925	5,700	0	38,092	846
	Downstate Total	14,009	5,869	6,969	1,265	16,681	290	45,030	1,579
	Cook Total	16,826	5,933	6,708	2,925	5,700	0	38,092	846
	State Total	30,835	11,802	13,677	4,190	22,381	290	83,122	2,425

1987 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY - DECEMBER 31, 1987

# 1987 ANNUAL ADULT INVESTIGATION SURVEY

				Pre	esentence li	nvestigations	,					Othe	r Investigat	ions		
Circuit	County	Felony	Long Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Felony	Short Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Supple- Mental	For Other Counties	For Other States	Bond/ RDR	Other Pre- Conviction	Other	Total
1st	Alexander	10	2	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	7	2	0	0	0	11
	Jackson	70	ō	0	70	0	0	0	0	7	32	0	0	0	0	39
	Johnson	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10	12
	Massac				0				0							0
	Pope	6	1	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
	Saline	27	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Union	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Williamson	51	2	1	54	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	.0	0	2
1st	Circuit Total	172	7	2	181	1	0	0	1	14	41	8	0	0	10	73
2nd	Crawford	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15
2110	Edwards	5	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0 O	0	2
	Franklin/Hamilton	62	3	9	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gallatin	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	15	7	0	0	0	22
	Hardin	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	14	4	0	0	0	18
	Jefferson	56	18	25	99	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	.0	0	1
	Lawrence	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12
	Richland	8	1	1	10	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wabash	5	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
	Wayne	12	5	2	19	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
	White	4	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
2nd	Circuit Total	173	27	41	241	0	1	4	5	1	66	18	0	0	0	85
3rd	Bond	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Madison	55	2	0	57	0	0	0	0	3	25	12	0	0	0	40
3rd	Circuit Total	59	2	0	61	0	0	0	0	3	25	12	0	0	0	40
4th	Christian	29	0	1	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Clay	4	0	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Clinton	14	6	6	26	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	30
	Effingham	11	6	2	19	0	0	0	0	3	14	0	0	0	0	17
	Fayette	15	2	4	21	0	0	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0
	Jasper	4	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Marion	26	4	3	33	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montgomery	28	3	0	31	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	6
	Shelby	4	5	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4th	Circuit Total	135	29	21	105	0	0	0	0	10	45	0	0	0	3	58
5th	Clark	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Coles/Cumberland	42	0	0	42	0	7	34	41	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
	Edgar	8	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	22	2	0	0	0	24
	Vermilion	155	81	34	270	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
5th	Circuit Total	207	83	35	325	0	7	34	41	3	22	6	0	0	1	32

# 1987 ANNUAL ADULT INVESTIGATION SURVEY

				Pre	esentence Ir	nvestigations	,					Othe	r Investigati	ons		
Circuit	County	Felony	Long Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Felony	Short Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Supple- Mental	For Other Counties	For Other States	Bond/ RDR	Other Pre- Conviction	Other	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie	108 12 23 404 29	26 0 42 46 9	0 0 7 3 0	134 12 72 453 38	0 0 0 0	0 13 0 1 0 0	278 32 0 0 0	278 45 0 1 0	1 0 4 0	24 0 13 0 2 0	7 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 0	42 0 65 0 0 3	131 92 5 0 0	205 92 85 4 3 3
6th	Piatt Circuit Total	4 580	3 126	15 25	22 731	0	14	0 310	324	0 5	39	8	2	110	228	392
7th	Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Circuit Total	12 8 0 54 83 157	19 18 0 30 23 90	70 15 0 4 0 89	101 41 0 88 106 336	0 0 12 7 0 19	0 0 28 27 0 55	0 10 51 263 0 324	0 10 91 297 0 398	0 7 0 0 2 9	0 8 0 2 2 12	0 5 0 0 1 6	0 7 0 0 0 7	0 13 0 0 0 13	0 0 85 0 0 85	0 40 85 2 5 132
8th	Adams Cass Calhoun Mason Menard Pike Schuyler/Brown Circuit Total	137 19 1 2 13 13 13 12 197	3 58 7 21 11 11 26 137	0 86 1 14 0 12 1 114	140 163 9 37 24 36 39 448	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	54 7 1 0 0 0 0 0 62	28 1 0 22 0 6 57	86 8 1 0 22 0 6 123	83 0 0 0 0 0 0 83	0 0 17 0 2 0 19	1 0 0 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 45 5 0 0 0 0 0 92	126 45 5 17 0 4 0 197
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	22 7 2 76 21 15 143	12 3 6 17 8 9 55	13 4 1 8 3 1 30	47 14 9 101 32 25 228	1 1 0 4 1 3 10	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 0 4 1 3 11	0 0 1 1 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 1 3
10th	Marshall/Putnam Stark Peoria Tazewell Circuit Total	30 110 137 277	54 37 6 97	0 5 2 7	84 152 145 381	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 38 7 45	2 10 3 23	2 1 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 57 10 71
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	25 36 39 272 15 387	0 2 13 10 1 26	0 0 2 3 0 5	25 38 54 205 16 418	0 0 33 0 33	4 0 0 1 5	15 0 0 0 0 15	19 0 33 1 53	94 5 4 0 0 103	0 18 133 25 26 202	0 3 21 2 0 26	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 13 4 0 18	94 27 171 31 27 350

#### **1987 ANNUAL ADULT INVESTIGATION SURVEY**

### JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

				Pre	esentence li	nvestigations						Othe	r Investigat	ions		
Circuit	County	Felony	Long Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Felony	Short Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Supple- Mental	For Other Counties	For Other States	Bond/ RDR	Other Pre- Conviction	Other	Total
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	160 160	0	0 0	160 160	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	11 11	11 11	5 5	8 8	3	0	38 38
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	6 3 46 55	0 1 8 9	3 1 4 8	9 5 58 72	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	13 0 0 13	0 14 52 66	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	13 14 52 79
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	19 6 148 44 217	1 4 11 1 17	0 0 4 0 4	20 10 163 45 238	0 0 1 1 2	1 0 7 7 15	0 0 10 0 10	1 0 18 0 27	2 0 0 3 5	0 8 86 36 130	1 0 7 10 18	0 43 1,394 1 1,438	0 0 0 0 0	0 278 1 14 293	3 329 1,488 64 1,884
15th 15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	5 4 18 36 152 215	0 1 0 24 10 35	0 1 0 44 4 49	5 6 18 104 166 299	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 25 0 0 25	1 0 39 0 0 40	1 0 64 0 0 65	0 0 0 1 1	23 0 0 80 11 114	1 0 3 2 6	0 0 71 0 71	4 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 19 170 189	28 0 173 184 385
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	13 55 7 75	19 55 4 78	48 0 0 48	80 110 11 201	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 31 11 42	0 16 0 16	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 397 0 397	0 444 11 455
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	15 111 126	7 15 22	3 10 13	25 136 161	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	36 41 77	6 66 72	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	42 107 149
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	678 678	46 46	216 216	940 940	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	44 44	1 1	0 0	3	0 0	0 0	48 48
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	597 30 627	105 26 131	87 26 113	789 82 871	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 1	80 3 83	0 37 37	0 22 22	1,868 3 1,871	0 0 0	0 59 59	1,948 124 2,072
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	16 17 10 287 51 381	0 0 1 4 0 5	0 0 2 3 0 5	16 17 13 294 51 391	1 2 75 0 79	0 0 8 0 8	0 0 0 0 0	1 1 2 83 0 87	0 0 3 0 3	0 0 1 37 0 38	0 0 1 16 0 17	0 0 52 0 52	0 0 1 0 1	19 5 3 19 0 46	19 5 5 128 0 157

# **1987 ANNUAL ADULT INVESTIGATION SURVEY**

				Pre	esentence Ir	nvestigations						Othe	r Investigati	ions		
Circuit	County	Felony	Long Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Felony	Short Misd	Form Traffic	Total	Supple- Mental	For Other Counties	For Other States	Bond/ RDR	Other Pre- Conviction	Other	Total
21st 21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	24 24 48	0 0 0	0 0 0	24 24 48	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 2 2 2
Cook	Adult Social Service Circuit Total	7,597 7,597	777 777	272 272	8,646 0 8,646	0	1 1	0	1 0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0
	Downstate Total	5,069	1,022	825	6,916	148	194	794	1,136	439	1,010	246	3,452	132	1,423	6,702
	Cook Total	7,597	777	272	8,646	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State Total	12,666	1,799	1,097	15,562	148	195	794	1,137	439	1,010	246	3,452	132	1,423	6,702

#### 1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

			Violations Reported		Court Acti	ons Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander	5	4 0	9 1	0 0	5 0	5 0	0	4	4
	Johnson Massac Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Pulaski	0 9	2 8	2 17	0 0	0 4	04	0 1	2 2	23
1st	Union Williamson Circuit Total	1 0 16	1 4 19	2 4 35	1 0 1	0 0 9	1 0 10	1 2 5	0 2 11	1 4 16
2nd	Crawford Edwards	1 2	0 0	1 2	1 0 2	0	1 0	0	0	0 0
	Franklin/Hamilton Gallatin Hardin Jefferson	8 1 0 3	12 1 0 11	20 2 0 14	2 1 0 0	4 0 0 2	6 1 0 2	3 0 0	00010	10 0 10
	Lawrence Richland Wabash	2	0	0 2 7	0	2 0	0 2 1	0	0	0 0 1
2nd	Wayne White Circuit Total	8 0 31	9 1 35	17 1 66	0 0 5	0 0 8	0 0 13	0 0 4	5 1 23	5 1 27
3rd	Bond Madison	1 158	1 101	2 259	0 31	1 35	1 66	0 30	1 24	1 54
3rd	Circuit Total	159	102	261	31	36	67	30	25	55
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham	17 1 10	18 5 17	35 6 27 0	6 0 0	13 0 4	19 0 4	4 0 1	15 2 5	19 2 6
	Fayette Jasper Marion	3 0 12	8 2 19	11 2 31	0 0 4	3 0 6	3 0 10	0 0 1	8 2 13	8 2 14
4th	Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	2 45	0 69	2 0 114	1	1 27	2 0 38	0	0	0 0 51
5th	Clark	0	4	4	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion	5 11 35	2 22 27	7 33 62	0 4 2	2 7 17	2 11 19	0 8 2	2 14 16	2 22 18
5th	Circuit Total	51	55	106	6	26	32	11	35	46

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
6th	Champaign	46	31	77	0	18	18	0	48	48
	DeWitt	7	6	13	4	3	7	0	6	6
	Douglas	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
	Macon	78	63	141	3	49	52	3	48	51
	Moultrie	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Piatt	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
6th	Circuit Total	133	105	238	8	71	79	3	107	110
7th	Greene/Scott	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Jersey	2	5	7 .	1	1	2	1	4	5
	Macoupin	21	21	42	7	5	12	2	9	11
	Morgan	6	12	18	0	2	2	0	8	8
	Sangamon	30	127	157	2	24	26	5	42	47
7th	Circuit Total	59	166	225	11	32	43	8	63	71
8th	Adams	12	16	28	2	15	17	3	19	22
	Cass	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Calhoun	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Mason	9	8	17	4	5	9	2	6	8
	Menard	2	2	4	0	2	2	0	2	2
	Pike	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Schuyler/Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	25	29	54	6	23	29	5	29	34
9th	Fulton	2	11	13	0	2	2	0	9	9
	Hancock	1	4	5	0	1	1	0	2	2
	Henderson	6	9	15	0	1	1	0	2	2
	Knox	0	17	17	0	1	1	0	8	8
	McDonough	4	3	7	0	4	4	. 1	2	3
	Warren	5	1	6	5	0	5	0	1	1
9th	Circuit Total	18	45	63	5	9	14	1	24	25
10th	Marshall/Putnam									
	Stark	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	51	40	91	8	43	51	9	31	40
	Tazewell	3	8	11	0	3	3	0	8	8
10th	Circuit Total	66	48	114	8	46	54	9	39	48

### JANUARY 1, 1987 – DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violat	ions	Court Actio	ns New Offense Viola	ations
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
11th	Ford	1 46	0 7	1 53	0 1	1 22	1 23	0 0	0	0
	Logan McLean	22 58	8 74	30 132	7 27	15 34	22 61	0 35	8 28	8 63
11th	Woodford Circuit Total	1 128	6 95	7 223	0 35	1 73	1 108	2 37	4 46	6 83
12th	Will Circuit Total	7 7	20 20	27 27	4 4	5	9 9	4 4	17 17	21 21
13th	Bureau	9 11	2 15	11 26	0 0	6 2	6 2	1 2	3 9	4 11
13th	LaSalle Circuit Total	35 55	21 38	56 93	7 7	15 23	22 30	4 7	11 23	15 30
14th	Henry	18 2 34 40	24 11 47 32	42 13 81 72	2 0 0 8	7 2 18 28	9 2 18 36	1 0 5 9	22 8 36 22	23 8 41 31
14th	Circuit Total	94	114	208 12	10	55	65 9	15	88	103 0
15th	Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	2 37 8 31 89	1 33 4 16 55	3 70 12 47 144	0 37 3 9 51	0 37 5 26 75	0 74 8 35 126	0 33 0 1 34	1 33 4 24 62	1 66 4 25 96
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	18 109 24 151	47 137 11 195	65 246 35 346	4 12 6 22	8 55 18 81	12 67 24 103	4 10 1 15	29 73 10 112	33 83 11 127
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	3 66 69	6 59 65	9 125 134	2 24 26	2 52 54	4 76 80	1 10 11	4 51 55	5 61 66
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	165 165	105 105	270 270	20 20 <	110 110	130 130	13 13	108 108	121 121

JANUARY 1,	1987 —	DECEMBER	31, 1987
------------	--------	----------	----------

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	itions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake	56	10	66	21	3	24	8	0	8
	McHenry	39	30	69	14	27	41	6	22	28
19th	Circuit Total	95	40	135	35	30	65	14	22	36
20th	Monroe	2	0	2	0	4	4	0	0	0
	Perry	4	3	7	0	3	3	0	3	3
	Randolph	13	2	15	3	4	7	0	2	2
	St. Clair	64	76	140	12	39	51	10	32	42
	Washington	3	0	3	. 0	0	0	0	2	2
20th	Circuit Total	86	81	167	15	50	65	10	39	49
21st	Iroquois	1	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kankakee	13	20	33	3	10	13	2	18	20
21st	Circuit Total	14	24	38	3	10	13	2	18	20
Cook	Juvenile	0	862	862	0	0	0	26	862	888
	Circuit Total	0	862	862	0	0	0	26	862	888
т. С.	Downstate Total	1,556	1,505	3,061	320	853	1,173	244	991	1,235
	Cook Total	0	862	862	0	0	0	26	862	888
	State Total	1,556	2,367	3,923	320	853	1,173	270	1,053	2,123

#### 1987 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

			Violations Reported		Court Acti	ons Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	itions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander	58 125 28	11 21 10	69 146 38	3 42 8	55 48 2	58 90 10	2 3 0	9 16 3	11 19 3
	Massac	11 21 64 40	3 5 74 13	0 14 26 138 53	0 21 9 24	2 0 25 8	0 2 21 34 32	2 0 8 1	3 5 32 5	0 5 5 40 6
1st	Williamson Circuit Total	156 503	40 177	196 680	26 133	9 149	35 282	4 20	8 81	12 101
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin/Hamilton Gallatin	0 17 52 9	4 3 26 8	4 20 78 17	0 0 12 5	0 6 9	0 6 21 6	0 0 8 0	4 3 11 4	4 3 19
	Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland	6 67 3 15	2 53 5 6	8 120 8 21	2 0 0 6	2 7 1 9	4 7 1 15	0 1 0 1	4 0 9 3 5	4 0 10 3 6
2nd	Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	29 19 9 226	12 10 4 133	41 29 13 359	9 0 1 35	7 5 2 49	16 5 3 84	4 0 2 16	3 0 2 44	7 0 4 60
3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	4 169 167	4 153 157	8 316 324	0 22 22	2 51 59	2 73 75	0 66 66	4 73 77	4 139 143
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette	69 26 54 23 22 4	62 23 52 25 12 8	131 49 106 48 34 12	21 13 6 6 1	33 12 11 5 8	54 25 17 11 9 4	11 3 0 6 0	35 14 17 14 11 5	46 17 17 20 11
	Jasper	150 26	97 9	247 35 0	37 0	80 5	117 5 0	25 0	54 8	6 79 8 0
4th	Circuit Total	374	288	662	87	155	242	46	158	204
5th	Clark Coles/Cumberland Edgar Vermilion	20 75 13 85	10 39 25 11	30 114 38 96	5 24 7 16	12 39 6 69	17 63 13 85	6 4 8 3	8 16 17 8	14 20 25 11
5th	Circuit Total	193	85	278	52	126	178	21	49	70

#### 1987 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

×			Violations Reported		Court Acti	ons Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt	60 8	86 12	146 20	0	15	15 7	0	40 11	40 11
	Douglas Macon	35 45	24 82	59 127	22	22 19	44 25	6 12	21 58	27 70
	Moultrie	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	7	7
6th	Piatt Circuit Total	148	211	0 359	33	58	0 91	0 18	137	155
7th	Greene/Scott	9	9	18	9	0	9	7	2	9
	Jersey	3 139	11 185	14 324	0 56	4 25	4 81	52	33	9 85
	Morgan	73 294	34 216	107	1	15	16	1	4	5 93
7th	Sangamon Circuit Total	294 518	455	510 973	53 119	65 109	118 228	32 93	61 108	201
8th	Adams	58	43	101	6	27	33	9	28	37
	Cass	29 0	21	50	12	7	19 0	3	15	18
	Mason	28	25	53	13	11	24	10	12	22
	Menard	27	4	31	0	27	27	0	4	4
	Pike Schuyler/Brown	13	0	19	0	6	6	0	3	0
8th	Circuit Total	158	100	258	31	81	112	22	64	86
9th	Fulton	59	29	88	22	9	31	10	7	17
	Hancock	14	9	23	11	6	17	2	5	1
	Knox	30	34	64	18	18	36	12	19	31
	McDonough	26	4	30	19	4	23	2	11	13
	Warren	23	7	30	16	10	26	1	2	3
9th	Circuit Total	155	86	241	88	50	138	28	44	72
10th	Marshall/Putnam	22		24	'					
	Stark	23 16	8	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	192	67	21 259	11	16 128	16 139	12	19	31
10th	Circuit Total	231	80	311	11	144	155	12	24	36

#### 1987 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	ations
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
11th	Ford	43	0 34	43 125	0 33	43 51	43 84	0 7	0 24	0 31
	Logan McLean	130 134	27 170	157 304	88	43 17	131 39	15 10	12 52	27 62
11th	Woodford	52 450	24 255	76 705	16 159	36 190	52 349	7 39	17 105	24 144
12th	Will Circuit Total	46 46	74 74	120 120	17 17	9 9	26 26	21 21	14 14	35 35
13th	Bureau Grundy	10 4	1	11 5	0.0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0
13th	LaSalle Circuit Total	23 37	13 15	36 52	0 0	2 2	2 2	0 0	6 6	6 6
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside	75 9 181 51	61 6 83 50	136 15 264 101	20 2 86	30 7 64 17	50 9 150 18	16 2 20 4	27 2 46	43 4 66
14th	Circuit Total	316	200	516	109	17	227	4 42	26 101	30 143
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	38 35 170 169 355 767	16 33 62 179 26 316	54 68 232 348 381 1,083	4 5 0 19 227 255	9 18 0 10 125 162	13 23 0 29 352 417	1 6 0 13 5 25	12 25 0 12 4 53	13 31 0 25 9 78
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	79 286 10 375	94 315 8 417	173 601 18 792	15 27 7 49	3 18 3 24	18 45 10 73	26 25 5 56	12 40 3 55	38 65 8 111
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	44 221 265	40 275 315	84 496 580	13 9 22	20 32 52	33 41 74	10 6 16	18 31 49	28 37 65
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	645 645	680 680	1,325 1,325	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0

			Violations Reported		Court Act	ions Technical Violati	ons	Court Action	ns New Offense Viola	tions
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	497 70 567	717 111 828	1,214 181 1,395	52 26 78	137 38 175	189 64 253	77 22 99	153 65 218	230 87 317
20th 20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	22 23 45 195 14 299	9 11 9 120 8 157	31 34 54 315 22 456	10 2 10 19 1 42	11. 7 29 57 3 107	21 9 39 76 4 149	3 1 4 38 1 47	7 8 4 20 1 40	10 9 8 58 2 87
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	17 78 95	2 4 6	19 82 101	7 18 25	11 60 71	18 78 96	0 1 1	1 3 4	1 4 5
Cook Cook	Adult Circuit Total	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
	Downstate Total	6,535	5,035	11,570	1,367	1,884	3,251	688	1,431	2,119
	Cook Total			0			0			0
	State Total	6,535	5,035	11,570	1,367	1,884	3,251	688	1,431	2,119

#### ILLINOIS INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISION PROGRAM - 1987

Admissi	ons	Discharged 1	987		Caseload Distr	ibution, December 31,	1987	
January	50	Technical Rev.	68	Phase I	250	Champaign		44
February	34	New Off. Rev.	40	Phase II	179	Cook		180
March	44	Completed	108	Phase III	125	Jackson		13
April	57	Absconded	13		554	Kane		33
May	55	Other	8		554	Lake		76
June	62	Open	327			Macon		44
July	49					Madison		69
August	42					McLean		21
September	51					Peoria		35
October	37					Saline		8
November	33					St. Clair		24
December	50					Williamson		7
TOTAL	564						TOTAL	554

# CASELOAD POPULATION PROFILE, DECEMBER 31, 1987

	Age		Race		Sex		Fel	ony Class
16-under	2	Black	253	М		488	Class I	42
17-20	139	Hisp.	24	F		76	Class II	203
21-30	282	White	287				Class III	197
31-40	96	Other	0				Class IV	122
41-50	30							
51-over	. 15							

#### **1987 RESTITUTION SURVEY**

			County	Amount	of Restitution C	ollected		Restitution Co	ellected By		Number of Orderec Restit	to Pay
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
1st	Alexander		X	21,613.64	775.00	22,388.64	0.00	22,388.64	0.00	22,388.64	47	12
	Jackson		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	57,589.33	0.00	57,589.33	106	16
	Johnson	X		6,442.14	1,597.11	8,039.25	0.00	8,039.25	0.00	8,039.25	10	2
	Massac	X				0.00				0.00		
	Роре	X	· · ·	500.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00	7	2
	Pulaski		X	4,058.77	0.00	4,058.77	0.00	4,058.77	0.00	4,058.77	0	0
	Saline		X	27,754.34	1,594.38	29,348.72	0.00	29,348.72	0.00	29,348.72	56	20
	Union	X		4,982.35	1,757.00	6,739.35	6,739.35	0.00	0.00	6,739.35	28	7
	Williamson		X	35,406.81	3,548.69	38,955.50	3,131.79	416.90	0.00	3,548.69	124	10
1st	Circuit Total			100,758.05	9,272.18	110,030.23	9,871.14	122,341.61	0.00	132,212.75	378	69
2nd	Crawford	X		9,464.16	0.00	9,464.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18	6
	Edwards Franklin/	Х		5,901.39	697.00	6,598.39	0.00	6,598.39	0.00	6,598.39	33	6
	Hamilton	X		4,979.57	160.00	5,139.57	3,895.00	0.00	0.00	3,895.00	79	4
	Gallatin	X		2,393.90	1,268.05	3,661.95	0.00	3,661.95	0.00	3,661.95	14	4
	Hardin	X		485.56	0.00	485.56	0.00	485.56	0.00	485.56	3	0
	Jefferson		X	31,777.67	2,731.90	34,509.57	0.00	34,509.57	0.00	34,509.57	0	0
	Lawrence	X		4,426.72	0.00	4,426.72	0.00	4,426.72	0.00	4,426.72	7	0
	Richland	X		8,477.04	0.00	8,477.04	0.00	8,477.04	0.00	8,477.04	16	0
	Wabash	X		16,315.45	1,574.56	17,890.01	309.00	17,581.01	0.00	17,890.01	47	5
	Wayne		X	17,002.66	972.00	17,974.66	0.00	17,974.66	0.00	17,974.66	38	12
	White	X		15,871.02	2,086.50	17,957.52	0.00	17,957.52	0.00	17,957.52	22	9
2nd	Circuit Total			117,095.14	9,490.01	126,585.15	4,204.00	111,672.42	0.00	115,876.42	277	46
3rd	Bond	X		14,373.89	0.00	14,373.89	0.00	14,373.89	0.00	14,373.89	11	2
	Madison	X		71,476.10	14,276.41	85,752.51	366.40	85,386.11	0.00	85,752.51	200	94
3rd	Circuit Total			85,849.99	14,276.41	100,126.40	366.40	99,760.00	0.00	100,126.40	211	96
4th	Christian		X	34,179.80	8,532.53	42,712.33	49.68	0.00	42,662.65	42,712.33	67	32
	Clay	X		14,840.69	1,168.00	16,008.69	0.00	16,008.69	0.00	16,008.69	10	7
	Clinton		X	23,911.67	0.00	23,911.67	0.00	23,911.67	0.00	23,911.67	34	15
	Effingham	X		19,007.57	48.52	19,056.09	0.00	19,056.09	0.00	19,056.09	35	2
	Fayette		X	0.00	0.00	21,792.65	0.00	21,792.65	0.00	21,792.65	37	12
	Jasper	X		2,890.30	858.82	3,749.12	0.00	3,749.12	0.00	3,749.12	15	4
	Marion	Х		19,751.13	2,602.69	22,353.82	15,529.62	6,824.20	0.00	22,353.82	64	14
	Montgomery		Х	0.00	0.00	22,229.06	0.00	22,229.06	0.00	22,229.06	42	1
	Shelby	X		7,289.56	1,258.59	8,548.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32	9
4th	Circuit Total			121,870.72	14,469.15	180,361.58	15,579.30	113,571.48	42,662.65	171,813.43	344	96
5th	Clark Coles/	Х		2,443.28	1,363.06	3,806.34	0.00	3,806.34	0.00	3,806.34	26	9
	Cumberland		X	29,200.01	1,448.22	30,648.23	30,003.62	644.61	0.00	30,648.23	156	24
	Edgar		x	13,927.20	1,164.36	15,091.56	0.00	15,091.56	0.00	15,091.56	25	18
	Vermilion	x		0.00	0.00	139,797.49	139,797.49	0.00	0.00	139,797.49	238	37
5th	Circuit Total			45,570.49	3,975.64	189,343.62	169,801.11	19,542.51	0.00	189,343.62	445	88

#### **1987 RESTITUTION SURVEY**

			County	Amount	of Restitution C	ollected		Restitution Co	ollected By		Number of Ordered Restit	
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
6th	Champaign		Х	104,125.16	14,744.34	118,869.50	0.00	118,869.50	0.00	118,869.50	220	70
	DeWitt	X		6,658.00	4,878.93	11,536.93	11,536.93	0.00	0.00	11,536.93	19	18
	Douglas	X		15,166.15	211.30	15,377.45	0.00	15,377.45	0.00	15,377.45	19	1
	Macon	X		69,214.42	4,869.71	74,084.13	4,869.71	69,214.42	0.00	74,084.13	80	21
	Moultrie	X		7,812.00	1,968.15	9,780.15	0.00	9,780.15	0.00	9,780.15	12	16
	Piatt	X		22,625.48	707.90	23,333.38	0.00	23,333.38	0.00	23,333.38	19	8
6th	Circuit Total			225,601.21	27,380.33	252,981.54	16,406.64	236,574.90	0.00	252,981.54	369	134
7th	Greene/Scott	X		3,209.50	503.31	3,712.81	0.00	1,306.10	2,406.71	3,712.81	21	0
	Jersey		Х	12,437.93	1,787.99	14,225.92	0.00	14,255.92	0.00	14,255.92	17	12
	Macoupin	X		24,884.14	9,153.44	34,037.58	0.00	34,037.58	0.00	34,037.58	61	13
	Morgan	X		19,050.00	1,248.00	20,298.00	0.00	20,298.00	0.00	20,298.00	70	7
	Sangamon — A		Х	100,253.96	0.00	100,253.96	0.00	100,253.96	0.00	100,253.96	145	0
	Sangamon — J	Х		0.00	10,915.70	10,915.70	10,915.70	0.00	0.00	10,915.70	0	46
7th	Circuit Total			159,835.53	23,608.44	183,443.97	10,915.70	170,151.56	2,406.71	183,473.97	314	78
8th	Adams		Х	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	78,804.78	0.00	78,804.78	143	21
	Brown		Х	4,088.20	0.00	4,088.20	0.00	4,088.20	0.00	4,088.20	16	1
	Calhoun	Χ		3,595.61	1,594.94	5,190.55	0.00	5,190.55	0.00	5,190.55	6	4
	Cass	X	·	3,508.33	904.00	4,412.33	0.00	3,650.33	0.00	3,650.33	24	5
	Mason		Х	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
	Menard	Х		7,868.32	510.86	8,379.18	0.00	8,379.18	0.00	8,379.18	30	7
	Pike	X		7,710.41	55.00	7,765.41	7,765.41	0.00	0.00	7,765.41	46	8
	Schuyler		Х	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,348.11	0.00	7,348.11	11	3
8th	Circuit Total			26,770.87	3,064.80	29,835.67	7,765.41	107,461.15	0.00	115,226.56	276	49
9th	Fulton	Х		49,615.94	4,831.35	54,447.29	4,831.35	0.00	49,615.94	54,447.29	155	16
	Hancock	X		42,246.21	3,771.38	46,017.59	3,771.38	42,246.21	0.00	46,017.59	66	16
	Henderson	X		6,465.74	1,512.45	7,978.19	0.00	3,663.64	4,314.55	7,978.19	13	8
	Knox	X		40,652.54	5,216.13	45,868.67	44,399.07	1,469.60	0.00	45,868.67	232	35
	McDonough	Х		51,167.54	2,214.59	53,302.13	2,214.59	0.00	51,167.54	53,382.13	131	12
	Warren	Х		9,779.48	3,691.20	13,470.68	343.34	270.43	12,856.91	13,470.68	45	13
9th	Circuit Total			199,927.45	21,237.10	221,164.55	55,559.73	47,649.88	117,954.94	221,164.55	642	100
10th	Marshall/Putnam											
	Stark		Х	16,724.26	0.00	16,724.26	0.00	16,724.26	0.00	16,724.26	101	14
	Peoria		Х	80,251.12	3,806.00	84,057.12	0.00	80,251.12	0.00	80,251.12	0	19
	Tazewell		X	43,594.07	5,228.27	48,822.34	48,822.34	0.00	0.00	48,822.34	186	28
10th	Circuit Total			140,569.45	9,034.27	149,603.72	48,822.34	96,975.38	0.00	145,797.72	287	61
11th	Ford		Х	3,546.85	57.89	3,604.74	0.00	3,604.74	0.00	3,604.74	19	4
	Livingston	X		30,825.29	3,121.19	33,946.40	32,644.55	1,301.93	0.00	33,946.48	128	35
	Logan	Х		17,140.21	2,445.60	19,505.81	19,585.81	0.00	0.00	19,585.81	96	3
	McLean	Х		53,253.02	3,797.63	57,050.65	57,050.65	0.00	0.00	57,050.65	359	29
	Woodford	Х		12,981.92	2,244.29	15,226.21	15,226.21	0.00	0.00	15,226.21	59	17
11th	Circuit Total			117,747.29	11,666.60	129,413.89	124,507.22	4,906.67	0.00	129,413.89	661	88

#### **1987 RESTITUTION SURVEY**

											Orderec	Individuals to Pay
			County	Amount	of Restitution Co	ollected		Restitution Co	Пестеа ву		Restit	l
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	Х		0.00 0.00	3,505.67 3,505.67	3,505.67 3,505.67	2,069.25 2,069.26	1,436.42 1,436.42	0.00 0.00	3,505.67 3,505.67	0 0	40 40
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	X X X		64,427.29 29,538.68 122,390.12 216,356.09	3,620.09 4,029.59 5,229.62 12,879.30	68,047.38 33,568.27 127,619.74 229,235.39	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	68,047.38 33,568.27 127,619.74 229,235.39	68,047.38 33,568.27 127,619.74 229,235.39	32 19 147 198	16 15 60 91
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside	X X X	x	21,896.15 3,030.59 53,193.54 6,391.52 84,511.80	9,618.18 761.48 4,400.40 689.54 15,469.60	31,514.33 3,792.07 57,593.94 7,081.06 99,981.40	28,998.08 3,709.07 57,633.94 1,933.04 92,274.13	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	2,516.25 83.00 0.00 5,148.02 7,747.27	31,514.33 3,792.07 57,633.94 7,081.06 100,021.40	60 34 312 20 426	42 21 25 9 97
15th	Carroll JoDaviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	X X X	x x	6,391.52 11,898.98 63,401.80 5,334.97 24,597.30 111,624.57	689.54 706.76 1,783.85 2,029.10 4,132.76 9,342.01	7,081.06 12,605.74 65,185.65 7,364.07 28,730.06 120,966.58	1,933.04 10,615.24 65,185.65 7,364.07 28,730.06 113,828.06	0.00 1,990.50 0.00 49,974.06 0.00 51,964.56	5,148.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 5,148.02	7,081.06 12,605.74 65,185.65 57,338.13 28,730.06 170,940.64	251 45 107 64 146 613	58 7 19 7 31 122
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	x	x	49,662.72 104,737.66 166,410.00 320,810.38	6,770.28 28,813.00 4,141.69 39,724.97	56,433.00 133,550.66 170,551.69 360,535.35	56,433.00 133,550.66 0.00 189,983.66	0.00 0.00 166,410.00 166,410.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	56,433.00 133,550.66 166,410.00 356,393.66	72 274 24 370	25 71 20 116
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	X X		13,981.99 100,850.29 114,832.28	5,297.57 18,946.22 24,243.79	19,279.56 119,796.51 139,076.07	539.00 92,603.24 93,142.24	18,740.55 27,193.27 45,933.82	0.00 0.00 0.00	.19,279.55 119,796.51 139,076.06	19 185 204	12 86 98
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	Х		313,161.58 326,511.13	29,757.67 17,035.78	342,919.25 343,546.91	342,919.25 343,546.91	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	342,919.25 343,546.91	1,310 0	0 69
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	Х	x	256,935.69 82,679.76 339,615.45	55,607.15 26,063.59 81,670.74	312,542.84 108,743.35 421,286.19	312,542.84 32,909.59 345,452.43	0.00 75,833.76 75,833.76	0.00 0.00 0.00	312,542.84 108,743.35 421,286.19	622 202 824	76 103 179
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington	X X X X X		5,050.63 7,996.33 86,928.97 59,447.38 1,787.44	952.16 435.00 670.96 418.33 78.00	6,002.79 8,431.33 87,599.93 59,865.71 1,865.44 163,765.20	0.00 0.00 44,310.64 0.00	6,002.79 8,431.33 87,599.93 15,555.07 1,865.44 119,454.56	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	6,002.79 8,431.33 87,599.93 59,865.71 1,865.44 163,765.20	24 21 38 186 15 284	4 3 7 4 5 23
20th	Circuit Total Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	X X		161,210.75 20,689.22 14,184.02 34,873.24	2,554.45 850.00 3,356.58 4,206.58	21,539.22 17,540.60 39,079.82	44,310.64 21,539.22 0.00 21,539.22	0.00 17,540.60 17,540.60	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	21,539.22 17,540.60 39,079.82	61 61 84 145	23 2 13 15
Cook	Adult Juvenile Social Service	х	x x	0.00 0.00 339,466.86 339,466.86	0.00 38,237.47 0.00 38,237.47	0.00 38,237.47 339,466.86 377,704.33	0.00 0.00 339,466.86 339,466.86	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 38,237.07 339,466.86 377,704.33	0 0 1,121 1,121	0 271 0 271
	Downstate Total Cook Total State Total			3,075,260.12 339,466.86 3,414,726.98	355,173.32 38,237.47 393,410.79	3,614,252.64 377,704.33 3,991,956.97	1,707,876.28 339,466.86 2,047,343.14	1,631,634.27 0.00 1,631,634.27	405,154.98 0.00 405,154.98	3,744,665.53 377,704.33 4,122,369.86	7,488 1,121 8,609	1,730 271 2,001

# ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS

			Pro	bationers Orc	lered to P.S	Б.Е.				P.S.E. He	ours Ordere	ed				P.S.E. Ho	urs Comple	ted	
Circuit	County	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
1st	Alexander Jackson	6 43 1	4 29 4	11 65 2	14 36 4	5 14 1	40 187 12	449 5,154 210	250 2,775 253	2,030 6,615 70	1,345 5,717 490	150 900 0	4,224 21,161 1,031	0 2,495 0	200 2,019 210	210 4,494 12	540 2,679 272	15 352 2	965 12,039 504
	Massac		4 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	490 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski Saline	5 70	0 35	0 30	0 40	0	5 181	430 10,420	0 3,750	0 3,175	0 4,150	0 372	430 21,867	380 9,610	0 3,401	0 2,823	0 3,801	0 360	380 19,995
	Union Williamson	1 10	5 26	8 67	2 32	1 3	17 138	84 994	144 2,320	686 7,995	270 4,156	9 450	1,193 15,915	82 900	124 2,216	516 7,718	30 3,941	8 199	760 14,974
1st 2nd	Circuit Total	136 3	103 13	183 30	128	30	580 46	17,741 560	9,492 1,696	20,571 940	16,120 0	1,809	65,821 3,196	13,467 560	8,178 1,696	15,773 940	11,263 0	936 0	49,617 3,196
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin/	3	4	30	1	1	46 11	401	287	940 195	30	20	933	603	287	195	110	20	1,215
	Hamilton Gallatin	3 2	5 1	52 5	22 0	12 1	94 9	178 550	832 500	6,540 570	2,871 0	500 80	10,921 1,700	163 400	832 225	4,800	1,431 0	500 20	7,726
	Hardin Jefferson	1 0 4	0 1 0	0 4 0	0 1 0	1 1 0	2 7 4	75 0 400	0 25 0	0 300 0	0 360 0	60 20 0	135 705 400	52 0 200	0 25 0	0 160 0	0 240 0	38 0 0	90 425 200
	Richland Wabash	3 10	5 8	03	1 2	0	9 36	580 1,380	760 565	0 365	240 440	0 829	1,580 3,579	480 693	760 474	0 102	80 16	0 679	1,320 1,964
2nd	Wayne White Circuit Total	4 0 33	14 1 52	9 1 106	8 0 35	27 1 57	62 3 283	236 0 4,360	1,173 20 5,858	1,175 240 10,325	855 0 4,796	1,190 50 2,749	4,629 310 28,088	346 0 3,497	813 20 5,132	1,111 8 7,684	472 0 2,349	1,209 15 2,481	3,951 43 21,143
3rd	Bond	0	2	0	0	0	205	0	200	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
3rd	Madison Circuit Total	123 123	120 122	61 61	151 151	25 25	480 482	15,106 15,106	5,678 5,878	7,025 7,025	11,071 11,071	1,185 1,185	40,065 40,265	7,439 7,439	2,668 2,668	5,390 5,390	5,049 5,049	1,040 1,040	21,588 21,588
4th	Christian Clay Clinton	11 10 6	31 10 7	15 3 6	15 9 8	25 1 6	97 33 33	1,883 1,194 1,240	1,344 914 588	1,410 260 808	1,612 1,620 1,760	1,520 80 752	7,769 4,068 5,148	803 1,617 90	1,280 1,101 543	1,197 305 260	1,132 1,872 521	4,758 464 174	9,170 5,439 1,588
	Effingham Fayette	11 1	30 16	29 1	15 2	12 3	97 23	1,481 120	3,137 2,030	4,520 80	2,416 80	956 90	12,510 2,400	780 667	1,936 871	1,648 383	400 80	1,873 290	6,729 2,290
	Jasper Marion Montgomery	2 23 27	14 18 9	3 35 12	3 21 11	17 45 5	39 142 64	600 4,598 10,650	625 1,998 650	390 6,250 1,280	555 3,952 876	745 2,768 272	2,915 19,566 13,728	121 1,470 5,696	381 1,644 992	230 6,076 1,100	379 2,809 828	593 1,440 184	1,704 13,440 8,800
4th	Shelby Circuit Total	5 96	11 146	2 106	0 84	15 129	33 561	299 22,065	870 12,156	200 15,198	0 12,871	375 7,558	1,744 69,848	222 11,474	430 9,178	200 11,479	0 8,109	275 10,051	1,123 50,290
5th	Clark Coles/	0	0	0	1	9	10	0	0	0	20	324	344	81	0	0	20	207	308
	Cumberland Edgar	109 0	54 2	12 1	41 1	30 23	246 27	7,099 0	2,795 58	1,175 20	1,911 210	1,070 528	14,050 816	4,725 0	2,475 50	980 13	1,574 27	746 212	10,500 302
5th	Vermilion Circuit Total	17 126	85 141	91 104	172 215	65 127	430 713	2,815 9,914	5,213 8,066	10,709 11,904	10,595 12,736	3,862 5,784	33,194 48,404	2,366 7,172	4,222 6,747	9,211 10,204	8,440 10,061	2,947 4,112	27,188 38,298

# ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS

# JANUARY 1, 1987 — DECEMBER 31, 1987

			Pro	bationers Orc	lered to P.S	.E.				P.S.E. He	ours Ordere	ed				P.S.E. Hou	urs Comple	ted	
Circuit	County	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt	192 3	127 2	114 4	187 0	136 4	756 13	21,449 400	9,265 80	10,924 200	14,165 0	6,325 40	62,128 720	13,282 200	6,779 80	16,889 100	11,261 0	5,682 0	53,893 380
	Douglas Macon Moultri	6 6 7	15 4 2	13 1 2	5 0 0	5 86 30	44 97 41	590 625 697	764 250 58	556 30 42	230 0 0	130 6,605 613	2,270 7,510 1,410	825 240 522	755 100 52	673 0 38	882 0 0	164 5,186 590	3,299 5,526 1,202
6th	Piatt Circuit Total	8 222	4 154	3 137	0 192	19 280	34 985	1,010 24,771	240 10,657	170 11,922	0 14,395	820 14,533	2,240 76,278	220 15,289	0 7,766	100 17,800	0 12,143	240 11,862	560 64,860
7th	Greene/Scott Jersey Macoupin Morgan	7 5 2 10	10 9 2 37	15 12 14 25	30 24 7 10	0 9 10 12	62 59 35 94	830 1,121 268 1,642	640 612 52 2,850	1,920 1,057 1,264 3,910	720 1,138 386 1,486	0 90 432 760	4,110 4,018 2,402 10,648	344 744 268 1,042	208 433 307 2,045	502 987 1,037 2,233	708 832 136 1,408	0 90 432 200	1,762 3,086 2,180 6,928
7th	Sangamon-A Sangamon-J Circuit Total	33	97 155	94 160	1 72	0 16 47	225 16 491	4,727 8,588	14,556 18,710	8,870 17,021	20 3,750	0 573 1,855	28,173 573 49,924	2,802 5,200	4.406 7,399	5,414 10,173	20 3,104	0 516 1,238	12,642 516 27,114
8th	Adams Cass Calhoun Mason	25 5 0	82 17 0	18 3 0	6 6 1	15 12 0	146 43 1 0	1,695 1,240 0	2,830 1,045 0	695 328 0	240 560 240	405 815 0	5,865 3,988 240 0	1,246 40 0	2,582 975 0	635 60 0	133 380 0	444 590 0	5,040 2,045 0 0
	Menard Pike Schuyler/ Brown	20	2 6 43	2 4	2 0 2	10 7 20	18 17 69	160 0 410	190 185 1,175	50 325 100	115 0 40	625 256 880	1,140 766 2,605	90 0 49	180 165 660	50 285 100	100 0 40	300 32 630	720 482 1,479
8th	Circuit Total	35	150	28	17	64	294	3,505	5,425	1,498	1,195	2,981	14,604	1,425	4,562	1,130	653	1,996	9,768
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	30 3 2 3 3 0 41	18 2 1 55 8 2 86	1 0 4 0 0 5	5 0 21 0 0 26	0 14 3 0 2 22	54 19 6 86 11 4 180	3,996 180 140 496 560 0 5,372	2,054 150 16 1,428 320 200 4,168	240 0 453 0 0 693	744 0 3,102 0 0 3,846	0 782 48 34 0 40 904	7,034 1,112 204 5,513 880 240 14,983	2,336 585 0 100 32 0 3,053	1,054 1,140 0 1,220 195 160 3,769	160 0 493 0 0 653	184 0 2,130 0 2,314	0 559 0 34 0 20 613	3,734 2,284 0 3,977 227 180 10,402
10th	Marshall/ Putnam/ Stark Peoria-A Peoria-J Tazewell	7 350 0 98	32 175 0 31	0 0 0 60	3 0 0 30	9 0 88 83	51 525 88 302	350 30,096 0 14,732	1,577 15,048 0 2,423	0 0 7,245	150 0 4,562	675 0 3,215 3,470	2,752 45,144 3,215 32,432	0 14,088 0 9,287	0 6,863 0 1,587	0 0 5,167	0 0 2,983	0 0 2,062 3,130	1,235 20,951 2,062 22,154
10th 11th	Circuit Total Ford Livingston Logan	455 3 7 5	238 4 45 22	60 0 8 13	33 8 27 12	180 5 25 10	966 20 112 62	45,178 230 722 660	19,048 146 2,241 1,599	7,245 0 870 1,335	4,712 550 963 1,155	7,360 345 593 445	83,543 1,271 5,389 5,194	23,375 62 804 140	8,450 66 1,322 780	5,167 0 587 575	2,983 86 645 755	5,192 0 432 115	46,402 214 3,790 2,365
11th	McLean Woodford Circuit Total	28 4 47	4 23 98	34 15 70	12 27 86	23 5 68	101 74 369	3,742 260 5,614	252 1,999 6,237	3,097 2,006 7,308	1,316 1,853 5,837	853 181 2,417	9,260 6,299 27,413	4,306 260 5,572	184 432 2,784	1,599 1,219 3,980	1,015 1,260 3,761	794 16 1,357	7,898 3,187 17,454

#### ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS

			Pro	bationers Ord	lered to P.S						ours Order					P.S.E. Ho	urs Comple	ted	
Circuit	County	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
12th 12th	Will Circuit Total	13 13	0	0 0	8 8	47 47	68 68	3,425 3,425	00	0	1,625 1,625	1,583 1,583	6,633 6,633	1,383 1,383	0 0	0	369 369	1,787 1,787	3,539 3,539
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 1 1	1 1 8 10	0 2 2 4	0 0 13 13	32 14 5 51	33 17 29 79	0 0 250 250	100 80 642 822	0 280 360 640	0 0 2,190 2,190	915 400 172 1,487	1,015 760 3,614 5,389	0 0 470 470	82 80 419 581	0 230 314 544	180 0 1,918 2,098	817 184 95 1,096	1,079 494 3,216 4,789
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	1 2 34 20 57	8 14 214 43 279	1 1 79 27 108	0 22 226 47 295	46 6 339 63 454	56 45 892 200 1,193	240 97 4,207 6,730 11,274	1,020 559 8,691 3,898 14,168	80 84 8,020 3,434 11,618	0 1,731 14,591 3,136 19,458	2,494 235 9,403 3,927 16,059	3,834 2,706 44,912 21,125 72,577	0 80 5,896 7,470 13,446	576 372 9,873 3,892 14,713	80 509 12,201 3,272 16,062	0 862 14,071 2,475 17,408	1,759 384 9,789 3,616 15,548	2,415 2,207 51,830 20,725 77,177
15th	Carroll JoDaviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 16 28 44 88	5 6 71 230 113 425	0 50 118 96 264	2 0 10 140 35 187	8 7 122 31 5 173	15 13 269 547 293 1,137	0 0 1,153 1,546 5,668 8,367	280 490 1,848 3,692 4,025 10,335	0 0 5,025 3,211 3,934 12,170	64 0 688 1,192 717 2,661	410 270 3,035 739 173 4,627	754 760 11,749 10,380 14,517 38,160	0 0 670 916 2,254 3,840	191 340 2,459 2,821 2,530 8,341	40 0 6,029 2,654 1,800 10,523	64 0 836 1,230 486 2,616	294 180 2,944 645 619 4,682	589 520 12,938 8,266 7,689 30,002
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	3 0 2 5	281 0 2 283	45 0 5 50	40 0 12 52	59 0 0 59	428 0 21 449	300 0 30 330	6,229 0 290 6,519	3,901 0 1,120 5,021	2,235 0 2,080 4,315	1,552 0 0 1,552	14,217 0 3,520 17,737	556 0 16 572	4,694 0 150 4,844	1,945 0 560 2,505	1,479 0 960 2,439	1,422 0 0 1,422	10,096 0 1,686 11,782
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	8 91 99	28 141 169	16 258 274	16 88 104	17 208 225	85 786 871	1,690 8,574 10,264	1,589 9,590 11,179	1,367 22,832 24,199	1,832 10,249 12,081	480 10,138 10,618	6,958 61,383 68,341	1,418 6,478 7,896	1,125 7,494 8,619	635 13,860 14,495	932 5,588 6,520	388 10,758 11,146	4,498 44,178 48,676
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	125 125	100 100	246 246	215 215	34 34	720 720	12,472 12,472	6,526 6,526	24,340 24,340	39,756 39,756	1,876 1,876	84,970 84,970	8,259 8,259	4,485 4,485	19,818 19,818	19,715 19,715	0	52,277 52,277
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	535 25 560	986 62 1,048	467 29 496	762 43 805	60 135 195	2,810 294 3,104	67,243 3,418 70,661	38,098 2,062 40,160	46,445 2,475 48,920	73,000 5,496 78,496	2,450 2,929 5,379	227,236 16,380 243,616	40,288 1,147 41,435	27,711 1,720 29,431	44,869 1,375 46,244	39,007 3,901 42,908	2,105 2,715 4,820	153,980 10,858 164,838
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	2 1 5 29 3 40	3 2 5 5 0 15	3 4 6 20 2 35	0 0 0 12 0 12	4 0 7 0 0 11	12 7 23 66 5 113	153 141 462 5,520 490 6,766	200 40 207 480 0 927	200 520 670 2,070 360 3,820	0 0 1,230 0 1,230	118 0 260 0 0 378	671 701 1,599 9,300 850 13,121	113 100 371 2,390 187 3,161	200 0 171 240 0 611	172 740 498 1,610 126 3,146	0 0 360 0 360	88 0 152 0 240 480	573 840 1,192 4,600 553 7,758
21st	Iroquois Kankakee Circuit Total	0 8 8	0 106 106	0 47 47	0 224 224	0 0 0	0 385 385	0 890 890	0 2,881 2,881	0 4,407 4,407	0 8,652 8,652	0 0 0	0 16,830 16,830	0 696 696	0 1,627 1,627	0 2,380 2,380	0 4,759 4,759	0 0 0	0 9,462 9,462
Cook .	Adult Juvenile Social Service Circuit Total	0 0 14 14	0 0 770 770 770	0 0 1,597 1,597	0 0 1,775 1,775	0 96 0 96	0 96 4,156 4,252	0 0 1,988 1,988	0 0 62,554 62,554	0 0 190,350 190,350	0 0 227,940 227,940	0 2,880 0 2,880	0 2,880 482,832 485,712	0 0 600 600	0 0 15,160 15,160	0 0 60,622 60,622	0 0 41,020 41,020	0 2,880 117,402 120,282	0 2,880 234,804 237,684
	Downstate Cook State Total	2,367 14 2,381	3,880 770 4,650	2,544 1,597 4,141	2,954 1,775 4,729	2,278 96 2,374	14,023 4,252 18,275	286,913 1,988 288,901	199,212 62,554 261,766	245,845 190,350 436,195	261,801 227,940 489,741	92,774 2,880 95,654	1,086,545 485,712 1,572,257	178,121 600 178,721	139,885 15,160 155,045	205,150 60,622 265,772	160,981 41,020 202,001	81,859 120,282 202,141	767,231 237,684 1,004,915

# CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY



NOTE: This table as well as all the tables that follow on the Circuit Court of Cook County do not include post-trial proceedings.

#### STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR 1987

TREND OF CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

	COUNTY DEPARTMENTS		- Pending		Rein-	Trans-	Total	Disposed	Adjust-	Pending	Inventory Increase (+)	% Percent	No. Dispose As a % of
Division	Type of Case		At Start	Filed	stated	ferred	Added	Of	ment	At End	Decrease (-)	Change	Total Addee
	Law Ad Damnum	Jury	61,220	a) 5,195	3,484	14,581	23,260	b) 23,317	0	61,163	- 57	-0.09%	100.25%
L	Cases Over \$15,000	Non-Jury	15,894	c) 21,879	2,340	- 14,581		d) 10,349	0	15,183	- 711	- 4.68%	107.38%
A	Тах		600	175	58	0	233	215	0	618	18	2.91%	92.27%
Ŵ	Condemnation		480	217	6	0	223	152	0	551	71	12.89%	68.16%
	Miscellaneous Remedy		2,229	1,634	358	0	1,992	1,718	0	2,503	274	10.95%	86.24%
	Sub-Total		80,423	29,100	6,246	0	35,346	35,751	0	80,018	- 405	-0.51%	101.15%
Chancery	Chancery		13,131	12,612	1,082	0	13,694	12,915	0	13,910	779	5.60%	94.31%
Demestie	Domestic Relations		13,382	24,151	554	0	24,705	23,293	0	14,794	1,412	9.54%	94.28%
Domestic Relations	Reciprocal Non-Support		4,315	3,535	14,832	0	18,367	14,425	-1255	7,002	2,687	38.37%	78.54%
Relations	Sub-Total		17,697	27,686	15,386	0	43,072	37,718	-1255	21,796	4,099	18.81%	87.57%
С	Тах		39,841	5,845	0	0	5,845	7,262	0	38,424	-1,417	- 3.69%	124.24%
0	Mental Health		199	5,623	0	0	5,623	5,680	0	142	- 57	- 40.14%	101.01%
U	Adoptions, Marriages of Minors, & Protec		1,043	2,164	0	0	2,164	2,114	-1	1,092	49	4.49%	97.69%
T	Municipal Corporations and Election Mat	ters	304	46	0	0	46	41	0	309	5	1.62%	89.13%
Y	Sub-Total		41,387	13,678	0	0	13,678	15,097	-1	39,967	-1,420	- 3.55%	110.37%
Probate	Estates, Guardianships, & Disabled Adults		22,949	11,651	0	0	11,651	10,966	- 2	23,634	685	2.90%	94.12%
	Delinquency		8,635	13,866	9	0	13,875	10,764	0	11,746	3,111	26.49%	77.58%
	Dependency/Neglected		2,695	5,737	0	0	5,737	3,435	0	4,997	2,302	46.07%	59.87%
Juvenile	Minors in Need of Authoritative Intervent		6	102	0	0	102	104	0	4	-2	- 50.00%	101.96%
			11,336	19,705	9	0	19,714	. 14,303	0	16,747	5,411	32.31%	72.55%
Criminal	Felony (Indictments & Informations)		9,458	18,503	2,370	0	20,873	18,274	0	12,057	2,599	21.56%	87.55%
County Dep	partments Sub-Total		196,381	132,935	25,093	0	158,028	145,024	-1258	208,129	11,748	5.64%	91.77%
	MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENTS					2					••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
D	Law Ad Damnum Cases	Jury	10,543	656	1,299	8,318	10,273	8,646	12	12,182	1,639	13.45%	84.16%
1	\$15,000 or Less	Non-Jury	94,360	101,520	6,501	- 8,080	99,941	88,463	19	105,857	11,497	10.86%	88.52%
S	Small Claims		37,138	52,949	1,527	- 238	54,238	48,299	115	43,192	6,054	14.02%	89.05%
P	Тах		44	13	6	0	19	47	0	16	- 28	-175.00%	247.37%
I	Felony (Indictments & Informations)		1,960	4,294	1,329	0	5,623	5,769	-10	1,804	- 156	- 8.65%	102.60%
C	Felony (Preliminary Hearings)		21,118	42,420	1,062	0	43,482	45,856	10	18,754	- 2364	-12.61%	105.46%
Т	Housing		14,192	8,081	301	0	8,382	7,141	0	15,433	1,241	8.04%	85.19%
S	Paternity		18,386	31,502	11,963	0	43,465	39,434	1,263	23,680	5,294	22.36%	90.73%
one Thru	Misdemeanors, Ordinance Violations & C		166,274	336,976	6,500	0	343,476	363,665	0	146,085	- 20,189	-13.82%	105.88%
SIX	Traffic Cases*		xxxx	1,581,973	0	0	1,581,973	1,830,792	XXXX	xxxx	xxxx	xxxx	115.73%
5	Parking (Hang-on) Cases		xxxx	3,190,928	0	0	3,190,928	1,102,845	XXXX	xxxx	xxxx	xxxx	34.56%
Municipal E	Departments Sub-Totals	And a second	364,015	5,351,312	30,488	0	5,381,800		e) 1409	367,003	2,988	0.81%	65.80%
	I – County & Municipal		560,396		55,581	0	5,539,828		e) 151	575,132	14,736	2.56%	66.54%

\*Traffic cases include both Major and Minor cases. (a) Includes 379 cases removed from special calendar (b) Includes 393 cases placed on special calendar (c) Includes 56 cases removed from special calendar (d) Includes 198 cases placed on special calendar. (e) Adjustment figures reflect either a reorganization in the Division or a physical inventory was taken.

# TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1987

		CIAC		UKI		UN CC		DUKING	1307			
		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein- stated	Trans- ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Adjust- ment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase + Decrease -	% Percent Change	No. Dispose As A % of Total Addee
	DIST. 1	9,013	a) 439	1,184	6,919	8,542	b) 7,077	0	10,478	1,465	13.98%	82.85%
LAW	DIST. 2	441	a) 439 66	30	132	228	212	0	457	1,405	3.50%	92.98%
JURY	DIST. 2	315	48	37	331	416	374	- 58	299	- 16	- 5.35%	89.90%
CASES										97		95.47%
\$15,000	DIST. 4	246	27	6	276	309	295	83	343		28.28%	
OR LESS	DIST. 5	193	34	17	217	268	238	-13	210	17	8.10%	88.81%
	DIST. 6	335	42	25	443	510	450	0	395	60	15.19%	88.24%
LAW	DIST. 1	92,007	c) 96,293	6,116	- 6,919	95,490	d) 84,202	0	103,295	11,288	10.93%	88.18%
NON-JURY	DIST. 2	682	971	86	- 116	941	1,074	0	549	-133	-24.23%	114.13%
CASES	DIST. 3	401	1,013	139	- 258	894	891	15	419	18	4.30%	99.66%
\$15,000	DIST. 4	414	906	23	- 227	702	576	0	540	126	23.33%	82.05%
OR LESS	DIST. 5	248	699	50	- 180	569	534	4	287	39	13.59%	93.85%
	DIST. 6	608	1,638	87	- 380	1,345	1,186	0	767	159	20.73%	88.18%
	DIST. 1	27,536	29,959	790	0	30,749	26,371	0	31,914	4,378	13.72%	85.76%
	DIST. 1 PRO SE	4,692	6,329	296	0	6,625	5,329	0	5,988	1,296	21.64%	80.44%
	DIST. 2	1,268	2,274	55	- 16	2,313	2,538	0	1,043	- 225	- 21.57%	109.73%
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST. 3	392	1,959	143	- 73	2,029	2,133	106	394	2	0.51%	105.13%
	DIST. 4	625	2,511	57	- 49	2,519	2,204	0	940	315	33.51%	87.50%
	DIST. 5	410	1,638	56	- 37	1,657	1,740	8	335	- 75	- 22.39%	105.01%
	DIST. 6	2,215	8,279	130	- 63	8,346	7,984	1	2,578	363	14.08%	95.66%
	DIST. 1	25	13	6	0	19	28	0	16	- 9	- 56.25%	147.37%
	DIST. 2	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 3	19	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	- 19	- 100.00%	0.00%
TAX	DIST. 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 6	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 1	0	1,382	0	0	1,382	1,382	0	0	0	0.00%	100.00%
	DIST. 2	323	554	466	0	1,020	926	0	417	94	22.54%	90.78%
FELONY	DIST. 3	286	547	115	0	662	750	-10	188	- 98	- 52.13%	113.29%
(INDICTMENT &	DIST. 4	514	564	413	0	977	1,091	0	400	-114	- 28.50%	111.67%
INFORMATION)	DIST. 5	120	353	121	0	474	518	0	76	- 44	- 57.89%	109.28%
	DIST. 6	717	894	214	0	1,108	1,102	0	723	6	0.83%	99.45%
	DIST. 0	13,493	35,933	0	0	35,933	37,420	0	12,006	- 1487	-12.39%	104.14%
	DIST. 2	2,230	1,451	0	0	1,451	2,302	0	1,379	- 851	-61.71%	158.65%
FELONY	DIST. 2 DIST. 3	2,230	1,431	0	0	1,431	1,659	0	2,829	- 50	-1.77%	103.11%
(PRELIMINARY	DIST. 4	642		870	. 0	2,572	2,527	10	697	55	7.89%	98.25%
HEARINGS (1)			1,702 776	192	0	968		0		-143	-174.39%	114.77%
	DIST. 5	225		0	0	966	1,111 837		82			
	DIST. 6	1,649	949					0	1,761	112	6.36%	88.20%
	DIST. 1	14,175	8,029	300	0	8,329	7,114	0	15,390	1,215	7.89%	85.41%
	DIST. 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
HOUSING*	DIST. 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 6	17	52	1	0	53	27	0	43	26	60.47%	50.94%
	DIST. 1	17,494	27,376	11,040	0	38,416	35,624	1,174	21,460	3,966	18.48%	92.73%
	DIST. 2	127	450	171	0	621	435	89	402	275	68.41%	70.05%
PATERNITY**	DIST. 3	85	99	10	0	109	194	0	0	- 85	- 100.00%	177.98%
COLEMPT 1	DIST. 4	339	1,213	222	0	1,435	1,045	0	729	390	53.50%	72.82%
	DIST. 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
	DIST. 6	341	2,364	520	0	2,884	2,136	10	1,099	758	68.97%	74.06%

#### TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1987**

						0						
		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein- stated	Trans- ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Adjust- ment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase + Decrease -	% Percent Change	No. Dispose As A % of Total Adde
	DIST. 1	143,269	283,865	0	0	283,865	296,733	0	130,401	- 12,868	- 9.87%	104.53%
MISDEMEANORS,	DIST. 2	3,350	6,877	0	0	6,877	8,678	0	1,549	- 1,801	-116.27%	126.19%
ORDINANCE	DIST. 3	4,792	10,330	0	0	10,330	13,692	0	1,430	- 3,362	- 235.10%	132.55%
VIOLATIONS, &	DIST. 4	3,029	10,478	6,500	0	16,978	13,969	0	6,038	3,009	49.83%	82.28%
	DIST. 5	3,741	9,101	0	0	9,101	12,437	0	405	- 3,336	- 823.70%	136.66%
VIOLATIONS (1)	DIST. 6	8,093	16,325	0	0	16,325	18,156	0	6,262	-1,831	- 29.24%	111.22%
	DIST. 1	-	e) 852,174	0	0	852,174	f) 854,307	-	-	_	_	100.25%
	DIST. 1 HANG-ON	-	3,089,789	0	0	3,089,789	g) 1,025,112	-	-	_	-	33.18%
	DIST. 2		h) 143,405	0	0	143,405	i) 195,110	_	-	-	—	136.06%
	DIST. 2 HANG-ON	-	18,721	0	0	18,721	14,637	_	-	_	-	78.18%
	DIST. 3	-	j) 185,857	0	0	185,857	k) 252,388	-	-	_		135.80%
	DIST. 3 HANG-ON	-	19,235	0	0	19,235	l) 11,617	_	-	_	-	60.40%
TRAFFIC***	DIST. 4	-	m) 113,567	0	0	113,567	n) 154,934	_	-	_	_	136.43%
	DIST. 4 HANG-ON	-	12,640	0	0	12,640	o) 12,850		-	-	_	101.66%
	DIST. 5	-	p) 139,146	0	0	139,146	q) 192,225	_	-	_	-	138.15%
	DIST. 5 HANG-ON	-	21,338	0	0	21,338	r) 19,335	_	-	_	-	90.61%
	DIST. 6	-	s) 147,824	0	0	147,824	t) 181,828	-			_	123.00%
	DIST. 6 HANG-ON	_	29,205	0	0	29,205	u) 19,294	_	_	_	-	66.06%
	DIST. 1	321,704	1,341,792	19,732	0	1,361,524	1,355,587	1,174	330,948	9,244	2.79%	99.56%
	DIST. 2	8,421	156,048	808	0	156,856	211,275	89	5,796	- 2,625	- 45.29%	134.69%
DISTRICT TOTALS****	DIST. 3	9,169	201,462	444	0	201,906	272,100	53	5,559	- 3,610	- 64.94%	134.77%
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST. 4	5,809	130,968	8,091	0	139,059	176,641	93	9,687	3,878	40.03%	127.03%
	DIST. 5	4,937	151,747	436	0	152,183	208,803	-1	1,395	- 3,542	- 253.91%	137.21%
	DIST. 6	13,975	178,367	977	0	179,344	213,706	11	13,628	- 347	- 2.55%	119.16%
GRAND TOTALS — MUNI DEPARTMENTS (PAGE 2&3		364,015	2,160,384	30,488	0	2,190,872	2,438,112	1,419	367,013	2,998	0.82%	111.29%

\* Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two thru Five.

\*\* All paternity matters in District Five are filed and disposed of in District Four.

\*\*\* Traffic includes both Major and Minor cases and "Hang-on" (Parking Tickets) cases.

\*\*\*\* Hang-on (Parking Tickets) cases are not calculated in District totals. (1) Indicates the dispositions of charges and not cases.

(2) Adjustments reflect change due to a physical inventory.

(a) Includes 402 cases removed from special calendar.

(b) Includes 334 cases placed on special calendar.

(c) Includes 298 cases removed from special calendar.

(d) Includes 1,522 cases placed on special calendar.

(e) Includes 1,149 D.U.I. violations.

(f) Includes 746 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(g) Includes 36 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(h) Includes 7,828 D.U.I. violations.

(i) Includes 239 finding of guilty with jail sentence.(j) Includes 7,545 D.U.I. violations.

(k) Includes 362 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(I) Includes 1 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(m) Includes 1,935 D.U.I. violations.

(n) Includes 316 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(o) Includes 1 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(p) Includes 6,112 D.U.I. violations.

(q) Includes 327 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(r) Includes 2 finding of guilty with jail sentence. (s) Includes 5,900 D.U.I. violations.

(t) Includes 961 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

(u) Includes 21 finding of guilty with jail sentence.

#### LAW

## IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES DURING 1987

#### AGE OF PENDING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1987

			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Totals
		Number Pending	7,327	4,307	7,619	12,412	15,237	14,261	61,163
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	12.0%	7.0%	12.5%	20.3%	24.9%	23.3%	100%
OVER \$15,000		Number Pending	409	322	577	1,056	3,757	9,062	15,183
\$15,000	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	2.7%	2.1%	3.8%	7.0%	24.7%	59.7%	100%

#### AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

	Law	Jury Cases Termin	ated by Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts		Elapsed Between D g and Date of Verd	
Calendar	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Standard	621	151	8	66.38
Special	10**	90	42	63.5
Total	631	151	8	66.3

\*Reflects time case is handled in Jury Trial Section and does not include time on special calendars. \*\*Indicates cses which were at one time on a special calendar.

	Law Jury Case	es Disposed of by Any Means Including Verdict						
	Total Number of Cases Disposed		Elapsed Between D and Date of Dispos					
Calendar	of During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average				
Total	22,532	151	1	39.1				

#### CASES DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE JURY TRIAL SECTION DURING THE PERIOD

		Ca	ses* Assigned	d for Trial c	or Pre-Trial			
		٨	Method of D	isposition				
		Dismi	ssed	ludgment	Judgment	Total Cases Returned to	Total	
Law Jury Trial Section		For Want of Prosecution	/	on Finding	on Verdict	Assignment Judge**	Cases Assigned	
Total	5,113	127	3,138	1,218	630	1,654	5,710	

\*Includes law, tax, condemnation and miscellaneous remedy suits heard and disposed of by judges in the Jury Trial Section.

\*\*Includes mistrials

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, LAW JURY CASES DURING 1987

## AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

			Law Jury Cases Termina	ated by Verdict	
		Number of Verdicts		s Elapsed Between Dating and Date of Verdict	
		Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
District One	_	325	48	3	26.4
District Two	-	20	53	1.1	19.9
District Three	_	21	22.1	1.9	12.3
District Four	_	0	-	_	_
District Five	_	15	32.3	7.8	21.0
District Six	_	21	33.3	1	16.6
TOTAL		402	53	1	24.6

		All Law Jury	Cases Disposed of by	Any Means Including Ve	erdict		
		Total Number of Cases Disposed	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition				
		of During the Period		Minimum	Average		
District One	—	115,592	48	1	8.5		
District Two	_	257	97	0.6	12.5		
District Three	_	344	74.3	0.7	11.6		
District Four	_	283	58.8	0.8	17.4		
District Five		210	41.8	0.9	12.0		
District Six	-	413	121.2	0.7	11.8		
TOTAL		117,099	121.2	0.6	8.6		

#### LAW

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, DECEMBER 31, 1987

#### AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

	DISTRICT 1			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Totals
			Number Pending	114	128	325	1,232	3,864	5,295	10,958
	LAW CASES OVER \$15,000	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	1.0%	1.2%	3.0%	11.2%	35.3%	48.3%	100.0%
		Non-Jury	Number Pending	23,831	19,119	18,043	21,434	26,215	57,406	166,048
			% of Total Pending Inventory	14.4%	11.5%	10.9%	12.9%	15.8%	34.6%	100.0%

DISTRICT 2			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Totals
		Number Pending	4	5	20	58	189	181	457
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.9%	1.1%	4.4%	12.7%	41.4%	39.6%	100.0%
OVER \$15,000	Non-Jury	Number Pending	17	3	0	. 4	58	467	549
		% of Total Pending Inventory	3.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%	10.6%	95.1%	100.0%

DISTRICT 3			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Total
	l	Number Pending	0	0	0	12	53	234	299
LAW CASES OVER \$15,000	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	17.7%	78.3%	100.0%
	New	Number Pending	0	1	0	10	43	365	419
\$13,000	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.4%	10.3%	87.1%	100.0%

DISTRICT 4			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Total
	Luni	Number Pending	0	0	10	40	48	245	343
LAW CASES OVER \$15,000	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	11.7%	14.0%	71.4%	100.0%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	0	0	0	4	90	846	940
		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	9.6%	90.0%	100.0%

DISTRICT 5			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Total
	Lumi	Number Pending	0	0	0	1	50	159	210
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	23.8%	75.7%	100.0%
OVER \$15,000		Number Pending	0	0	0	0	14	273	287
410,000	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	95.1%	100.0%
## LAW

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, DECEMBER 31, 1987 — continued

## AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 6			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Total
LAW Jury CASES		Number Pending	0	0	3	16	99	277	395
	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	25.1%	70.1%	100.0%
OVER \$15,000	OVER	Number Pending	0	0	0	2	155	610	767
\$15,000 Non-Jui	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	20.2%	79.5%	100.0%

DISTRICT TOTALS			1982 & Earlier	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	During 1987	Total
LAW Jury CASES	Number Pending	118	133	358	1,359	4,303	6,391	12,662	
	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.9%	1.1%	2.8%	10.7%	34.0%	50.5%	100.0%	
OVER \$15,000	OVER	Number Pending	23,848	19,123	18,043	21,454	26,575	59,967	169,010
\$15,000 Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	14.1%	11.3%	10.7%	12.7%	15.7%	35.5%	100.0%	

## IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1987



## COUNTY

## TREND OF CASES IN THE COUNTY DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1987

Тур	e of Cases	Pending at Start	Filed	Term- inated	Pending at End
(A) TAX					
(1) Special Assessments	a. Chicago	712	141	91	762
(1) Special Assessments	b. Suburban	575	27	21	581
(2) Tax Deeds		3,555	3,872	1,335	6,092
(3) Scavenger Tax Deeds	(3) Scavenger Tax Deeds			81	769
(4) Inheritance Tax Petitions		663	121	218	566
(5) Inheritance Tax Reassessmer	nts	254	14	15	253
(6) Tax Refund Petitions	268	8	8	268	
(7) Tax Objections		32,013	1,389	5,180	28,222
(8) Tax Condemnation (in conjun	71	0	0	71	
(9) Other	(9) Other			313	871
SUB-TOT	AL	39,841	5,876	7,262	38,455
B) ADOPTIONS		1,036	2,164	2,113	1,087
C) MENTAL HEALTH					
(1) Commitment Petitions	a. Adults	197	5,569	5,639	127
(1) Commitment Petitions	b. Minors	2	43	41	4
(2) Restoration Petitions	a. Adults	0	0	0	0
(2) Restoration Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
	a. Adults	0	0	0	0
(3) Discharge Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
SUB-TOT	「AL	199	5,612	5,680	131
(D) MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS		304	46	41	309
(E) MARRIAGE OF MINORS		7	0	1	6
GRAND	TOTAL	41,387	13,698	15,097	39,988

## JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1987

## CASES ASSIGNED DURING YEAR 1987

New Cases	Reinstated	Cases From Other Calendars	Total
19,723	3,485	14,632	37,840

## PETITION RECOMMENDED CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Delinquent	Abuse Neglect, Dep.	Minor in Need of Supervision	Total
13,884	5,674	88	19,646

#### CASES REFERRED BUT DIVERTED

Delinquent	Abuse Neglect, Dep.	Minor in Need of Supervision	Total
963	28	48	1,039

## JUVENILE

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1987

DESCRIPTION OF CHARGES	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
DELINQUENT CHARGES			
Homicide/Manslaughter/Attempt	41	12	53
Rape/Sex Offenses/Attempt	605	39	644
Agg. Assault/Battery/Kidnapping/Attempt	1,719	296	2,015
Armed Robbery/Attempt	213	16	229
SUB-TOTAL SERIOUS, VIOLENT CHARGES	2,578	363	2,941
Arson/Attempt	94	3	97
Robbery/Attempt	1,108	96	1,204
Burglary/Attempt	1,923	62	1,985
Theft Over \$300/Attempt	362	40	402
Auto Theft/Attempt	422	44	466
SUB-TOTAL Serious property charges	3,909	245	4,154
Weapons Charges/Attempt U.U.W.	867	77	944
Lesser Charges/Attempts Against Persons	1,781	327	2,108
Lesser Theft Charges/Attempt	2,319	355	2,674
Lesser Property Charges/Attempt	4,114	202	4,316
Drug Charges	571	53	624
SUB-TOTAL LESSER DELINQUENT CHARGES	9,652	1,014	10,666
Other Charges	631	78	709
Misc. Charges/Attempt	121	13	134
SUB-TOTAL OTHER DELINQUENT CHARGES	752	91	843
TOTAL ALL DELINQUENT CHARGES	16,891	1,713	18,604
Runaway	27	48	75
Beyond Control/Ungovernable	10	8	18
Addicted to Drugs/Alcohol	_	_	_
Other Status Offenses	1	1	2
TOTAL STATUS OFFENDER CHARGES	38	57	95
Abuse	1,627	1,625	3,252
Neglect	2,493	2,221	4,714
Dependency	1,033	911	1,944
TOTAL ABUSE, NEGLECT, DEPENDENCY	5,153	4,757	9,910
OTHER CHARGES	. 64	27	91
TOTAL ALL CHARGES	22,146	6,554	28,700

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1987

## NATURE OF DISPOSITION OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS\*

				Meth	od of Dispos	sition				
DISTRICT	Transferred to Criminal Division or Superseded by Information or Indictment or Probable Cause Finding	No Probable Cause	Bond Forfeiture W/ or W/O Warrant	Dismissed for Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off — Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Off Call and Other Dismissal	Total
District 1	16,865	4,282	3,237	9	11,054	64	1,557	228	124	37,420
District 2	933	68	146	0	25	0	1,122	0	8	2,302
District 3	1,030	55	168	0	67	0	299	2	38	1,659
District 4	1,239	297	167	0	621	1	181	0	11	2,517
District 5	410	20	48	0	579	0	48	0	6	1,111
District 6	700	20	49	0	11	1	49	0	7	837
TOTAL	21,177	4,742	3,815	9	12,357	66	3,256	230	194	45,846

\*Indicates the disposition of felony preliminary hearings on felony charges and not cases.

#### IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

#### TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1987

Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
9,458	18,503	2,370	18,274	12,057

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1987

District	Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
District One	0 1,382		0	1,382	0
District Two	323	554	466	926	417
District Three	286	547	115	750	188*
District Four	514	564	413	1,091	400
District Five	120	353	121	518	76
District Six	717	894	214	1,102	723
Total	11,418	22,797	3,699	24,043	13,861

\*Pending at end reflects adjustment due to physical inventory.

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

			Number	of Felony Cases	s Pending*		
				tments & Inform			
			Year Ca	ase Filed			
	1987	1986	1985	1984	1983	1982 & Prior	Total
Criminal Division*	9,769	1,705	156	93	43	291	12,057
Municipal District 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Municipal District 2	409	91	80	39	37	106	762*
Municipal District 3	236	32	1	0	1	49	319*
Municipal District 4	436	75	8	2	0	108	629*
Municipal District 5	155	31	27	19	49	53	334*
Municipal District 6	697	141	23	13	14	72	960*
Totals	11,702	2,075	295	166	144	679	15,061*

\*Totals include warrants outstanding now being counted as pending by the Clerks' office.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE DURING 1987

## GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) AND SENTENCES IMPOSED ON THE DEFENDANTS\*

			Local Imprisonment				Probation			Conditional Discharge				
	State		Periodic				Some				Some			
	Imprisonment		Imprisonment	With**	Sub-		Jail	With**	Sub-		Jail	With**	Sub-	
	Only (a)	Only	Only	Conditions	Total	Only	Time	Conditions	Total	Only	Time	Conditions	Total	Total
Total Pleas	94	0	1	0	1	615	103	600	1,318	4	1	0	5	1,418

\*Not necessarily different defendants

\*\*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, etc.

(a)Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine.

#### IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1987

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	t Convicted					
	Transferr	ed, Reduced, or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
1,250	3,343	1,854	422	494	7,363	1,090	65	1,155	8,518

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from the Criminal Division to a Municipal District for trial.

\*\*Includes those who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction. \*Not necessarily different defendants.

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To <sup>b</sup> Stand Trial	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
10,904	1,946	345	13,195	145	13,340

"Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Includes defendants transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings from the Municipal Department.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1987 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprison			Local Imp	risonment			Prol	pation		Con	ditional Discl	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	* Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
5	34	6,511	-	36	6	42	2,776	1,746	1,993	6,515	2	63	65	23	0	13,195

\*Includes sentences of payments of fine only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and also any sentences imposed in absentia.

### IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1987

#### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences				· · · ·		
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	67				4 <sup>c</sup>	2 <sup>c</sup>	4 <sup>c</sup>			0	74
Class X		0	1,240				90 <sup>c</sup>	108 <sup>c</sup>	44 <sup>c</sup>			1	1,482
Class One			600		2	0	88	74	119	0	6	7	896
Class Two			1,280		11	1	582	545	408	0	4	5	2,836
Class Three			1,092		8	2	822	480	698	0	30	5	3,137
Class Four			838		7	2	834	314	461	2	16	5	2,479
Total Pleas	0	0	5,117		28	5	2,420	1,523	1,730	2	56	23	10,904

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

<sup>a</sup>Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

<sup>c</sup>Clerk reports include sentences where defendant was charged with a Class X or Murder but found guilty of a lesser included offense.

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	2	4	120		1 <sup>c</sup>		8 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>C</sup>	5 <sup>c</sup>			0	145
Class X		7	410				86 <sup>c</sup>	56 <sup>c</sup>	38 <sup>c</sup>		2 <sup>c</sup>	0	599
Class One			112		0	0	28	22	34	0	0	0	196
Class Two			231		2	0	82	47	53	0	1	0	416
Class Three			149		2	1	96	51	84	0	4	0	387
Class Four			82		1	0	48	30	42	0	0	0	203
Total Bench Trials	2	11	1,104		6	1	348	211	256	0	7	0	1,946

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

<sup>a</sup>Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

<sup>c</sup>Clerk reports include sentences where defendant was charged with a Class X or Murder but found guilty of a lesser included offense.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	3	18	74				1 <sup>c</sup>	1 <sup>c</sup>				0	97
Class X		5	121		1 <sup>c</sup>							0	127
Class One			16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Class Two			33		0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	41
Class Three			21		0	0	4	2	5	0	0	0	32
Class Four			25		1	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	32
Total Jury Trials	3	23	290		2	0	. 8	12	7	0	0	0	345

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. \*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. bIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc. \*Clerk reports include sentences where defendant was charged with a Class X or Murder but found guilty of a lesser included offense.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO **DURING 1987**

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted					
	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried I	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other* Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
0	118	14	103	9	244	0	0	0	244

(a)Not necessarily different defendants.

\*Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction, etc.

## METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
769	23	6	798	0	798

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1987 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences	
Sentences	

							_	Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	orisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	1	253		0	0	0	131	178	222	531	6	7	13	0	0	798

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of fine, restitution, community service work, etc. \*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include any sentences imposed in abstentia.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1987

## GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent <sup>a</sup>		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	<sup>-</sup> 0	1									0	1
Class X		0	19									0	19
Class One			37	0	0	0	6	9	5	0	0	0	57
Class Two			52	0	0	0	28	55	37	0	1	0	173
Class Three			93	0	0	0	56	91	75	2	3	0	320
Class Four			36	0	0	0	37	20	101	4	1	0	199
Total Pleas	0	0	238	0	0	0	127	175	218	6	5	0	769

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	2									0	2
Class One			2	0	0.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Class Two			1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	4
Class Three			3	0	0	0	3	2	2	0	1	0	11
Class Four			1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Total Bench Trials	0	0	10	0	0	0	4	3	4	0	2	0	23

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonr	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	1	0									0	1
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Three			3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. \*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1987

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted									
	Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted												
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted				
18	51	22	62	0 .	153	32	5	37	190				

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*Not necessarily different defendants.

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed	5	Found Unfit To	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
554	34	5	593	0	593

<sup>a</sup> Not necessarily different defendants.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1987 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Prol	pation		Con	ditional Disch	arge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	185		2	0	2	101	168	102	371	13	22	35	0	0	593

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include any sentences imposed in abstentia.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE **DURING 1987**

#### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent <sup>a</sup>		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	35									0	35
Class One			21	0	0	0	2	2	4	1	0	0	30
Class Two			33	0	0	0	40	35	11	2	6	0	127
Class Three			70	0	1	0	30	99	39	6	11	0	256
Class Four			14	0	1	0	21	22	42	3	2	0	105
Total Pleas	0	0	174	0	2	0	93	158	96	12	19	0	554

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. <sup>a</sup>Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent <sup>a</sup>		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Only Periodic With Imprisonment Other <sup>b</sup> Only Conditions C			With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	3								*	0	3
Class One			1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
Class Three			0	0	0	0	5	6	3	1	3	0	18
Class Four			3	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	7
Total Bench Trials	0	0	8	0	0	0	7	10	5	1	3	0	34

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. \*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	2									0	2
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. "Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR **DURING 1987**

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

	Not Convicted													
	Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted													
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted					
29	70	32	68	5	204	30	5	35	239					

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Four to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes those who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction. "Not necessarily different defendants.

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
888	36	9	933	0	933

" Not necessarily different defendants.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1987 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprisonment <sup>®</sup> Local Imprisonment						Prol	bation		Con	ditional Disch	large				
				Periodic			-	With							Unfit	
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Imprison- ment Only	With Conditions	Total	Only	Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other	To Be Sentenced	Total
0	2	432		0	0	0	181	172	123	476	22	1	23	0	0	933

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. \*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and also any sentences imposed in abstentia

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1987**

## GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	4									0	4
Class X		0	37									0	37
Class One			56	0	0	0	11	7	7	0	0	0	81
Class Two			90	0	0	0	44	58	29	3	0	0	224
Class Three			177	0	0	0	80	81	37	10	1	0	386
Class Four			45	0	0	0	34	20	50	7	0	0	156
Total Pleas	0	0	409	0	0	0	169	166	123	20	1	0	888

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

<sup>a</sup>Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

		×				Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	8									0	8
Class One			4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
Class Two			3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	6
Class Three			5	0	0	0	6	1	0	2	0	0	14
Class Four			0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total Bench Trials	0	0	21	0	0	0	9	4	0	2	0	0	36

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

\*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sent	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent <sup>a</sup>		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	2	0					*				0	2
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	2	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	9

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. \*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. \*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1987

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

			Not	Convicted								
	Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted											
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted			
272	4	20	4	0	300	17	1	18	318			

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Five to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. "Not necessarily different defendants.

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
195	20	3	218	0	218

Not necessarily different defendants.

## TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1987 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	State Imprisonment <sup>4</sup> Local Imprisonment						Prol	pation		Con	ditional Disch	narge				
				Periodic				With							Unfit	
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Imprison- ment Only	With Conditions	Total	Only .	Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	** Other	To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	40		0	0	0	53	66	58	177	0	1	0	1	0	218

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc. \*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include any sentences imposed in abstentia.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE **DURING 1987**

#### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent'		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
Class Two			5	0	0	0	11	18	8	0	1	0	43
Class Three			16	0	0	0	29	16	11	0	0	0	72
Class Four			9	0	0	0	11	16	36	0	0	0	72
Total Pleas	0	0	33	0	0	0	51	55	55	0	1	0	195

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. "Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0 .	3	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			3	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	8
Class Four			2	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	8
Total Bench Trials	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	9	3	0	0	0	20

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	entª		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Class Four			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Jury Trials	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. \*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX **DURING 1987**

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted													
	Transferre	d, Reduced or		Tried I	But Not Convicte	d							
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other* Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted				
0	7	35	12	9	63	56	3	. 59	122				

\*Includes those who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction. "Not necessarily different defendants.

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION - CONTINUED

	Con	victed		Found Unfit To	
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
902	90	18	1,010	0	1,010

<sup>a</sup> Not necessarily different defendants.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1987 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences

	Stat Imprison		Local Imprisonment					Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
×	Life			Periodic Imprison-With				With Some	With**			With**			Unfit To Be	
Death	Sentence	Other	Only	ment Only	Conditions	Total	Only	Jail Time	Conditions	Total	Only	Conditions	Total	Other	Sentenced	Total
0	3	497		0	0	0	79	209	158	446	20	43	63	1	0	1,010

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. \*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of fine, restitution, community service work, etc. "Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and also any sentences imposed in abstentia.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX **DURING 1987**

#### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent <sup>a</sup>		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	2									0	2
Class X		0	76									0	76
Class One			67	0	0	0	5	1	3	1	0	0	77
Class Two			99	0	0	0	20	73	19	5	14	0	230
Class Three			86	0	0	0	18	63	32	4	11	1	215
Class Four			101	0	0	0	24	54	96	10	17	0	302
Total Pleas	0	0	431	0	0	0	67	191	150	20	42	1	902

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. \*Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

						Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	1	6									0	7
Class X		0	18									0	18
Class One	·		12	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	17
Class Two			7	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	12
Class Three			8	0	0	0	5	6	3	0	0	0	22
Class Four			7	0	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	0	14
Total Bench Trials	0	1	58	0	0	0	11	13	7	0	0	0	90

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

"Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

			- 19 			Sente	ences						
		State Imprisonme	ent"		Local Imprisonn	nent		Probatio	n	Conditio	onal Discharge		
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Only	With Other <sup>b</sup> Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	1	2									0	3
Class X		1	3									0	4
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	5
Total Jury Trials	0	2	8	0	0	0	1	5	1	0	1	0	18

h.

\*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc. "Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1987

## NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF MISDEMEANORS, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS\*

		Method of Disposition																
		Not Convicted									Convicted							
District		Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Other Dismissal	Discharge/ Speedy Trial Statute	Found Not Guilty	Sub-Total	Per	onment/ iodic onment Local	Probation	Conditional Discharge	Supervision	Fine Only and Ordered to Pay	Sub-Total	Total
District One	65,221	4,761	7,443	60,264	119,771	305	16	4,326	3,960	266,067	18	4,157	2,086	1,609	16,583	6,113	30,566	296,633
District Two	1,167	4	51	203	3,028	8	2	271	31	4,765	3	243	214	147	2,205	1,101	3,913	8,678
District Three	1,354	19	125	486	3,973	5	2	212	268	6,444	6	395	231	172	3,300	3,114	7,218	13,662
District Four	2,737	9	96	321	3,500	6	0	1,437	229	8,335	20	398	268	256	2,918	1,774	5,634	13,969
District Five	1,394	14	292	345	3,228	8	0	460	206	5,947	0	582	186	202	3,389	2,098	6,457	12,404
District Six	3,508	96	142	1,293	5,470	1	0	531	347	11,388	. 1	465	19	692	4,468	1,100	6,745	18,133
TOTAL	75,381	4,903	8,149	62,912	138,970	333	20	7,237	5,041	302,946	48	6,240	3,004	3,078	32,863	15,300	60,533	363,479

4

 $^{\ast}$  Indicates the disposition of charges and not cases.

## TRAFFIC

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX MAJOR TRAFFIC CASES DURING 1987

	Dist I	Dist II	Dist III	Dist IV	Dist V	Dist VI	Totals
Total Cases Filed	47,767	16,037	17,414	8,699	14,801	16,599	121,317
Case Violations						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Drive Permit	458	169	183	4	286	175	1,275
D.U.I.	11,491	7,828	7,545	1,935	6,112	5,900	40,811
Sworn Report	2,296	1,141	1,410	243	912	834	6,836
Suspended Lic.	32,047	6,562	8,099	6,372	7,351	9,397	69,828
Reckless Drive	294	185	73	72	54	109	787
Accident	979	75	54	57	42	127	1,334
	202	75					
Drag Race			50	16	44	57	446
Total Cases	47,767	16,037	17,414	8,699	14,801	16,599	121,317
Court Finding of Guilty							
Fines Paid	14,886	4,427	3,441	3,360	4,727	3,322	34,163
Prob. Cau. & Guilty	829	1,018	1,961	836	669	1,524	6,837
Jail	620	213	341	321	259	513	2,267
Cond. Dis. & Prob.	2,637	661	579	466	486	1,486	6,315
Total Guilty	18,972	6,319	6,322	4,983	6,141	6,845	49,582
Court Finding of							
Not Guilty							
No Probable Cause	10	0	0	0	0	3	13
Sup Term W/WoC	5,547	6,729	8,717	7,630	7,105	8,630	44,358
Discharged	2,717	4,400	3,723	3,191	4,077	6,238	24,346
Leave to File Den	3	9	13	4	13	0,238	42
S.O.L.			2.895	704			
	3,547	2,049			2,419	1,927	13,541
Non-Suit	207	44	181	2	6	265	705
Nolle-Pros.	103	3	25	0	1	18	150
DWP	128	3	7	0	1	13	152
Death Sug. C/A	36	30	21	8	9	34	138
Total Not Guilty	12,298	13,267	15,582	11,539	13,631	17,128	83,445
Court Finding on							
Judicial Driving							
Permits	651	138	417	12	278	378	1,874
Court Finding of							
Pending							
Supervision	1.637	2,918	4.045	1,787	2,584	3,859	16.830
		15	4,043	9	2,584	38	1,470
Non Appearance Motion W/Fee	1,322 1,662	0	0	0	36	38	1,470
		-	-		-	-	
Continued	96,870	29,872	43,615	14,987	27,472	34,817	247,633
Bond Judgement	19,536	2,243	2,878	1,991	2,172	4,182	33,002
Bond Forf. Warr.	40,632	5,850	6,302	3,960	4,139	9,001	69,884
Total Pending	161,659	40,898	56,890	22,734	36,403	51,897	370,481
Total Court							
Cases Heard	193,580	60,622	79,211	39,268	56,453	76,248	505,382
Total Tickets Handled					,		
By The Court in	244.247	76.650	06.605	17.047	74.054	00.017	(2)(1)
The Year	241,347	76,659	96,625	47,967	71,254	92,847	626,699

## TRAFFIC

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX MINOR TRAFFIC CASES DURING 1987

	Dist I	Dist II	Dist III	Dist IV	Dist V	Dist VI	Totals
Total Cases Filed	804,407	127,368	168,443	104,868	124,345	131,225	1,460,656
Court Finding of Guilty							
Fines Paid	34,063	9,838	16,493	3,489	6,991	9,330	80,143
Prob. Cause & Guilty	5,251	3,242	6,594	2,243	1,238	4,622	23,190
lail	198	47	45	59	94	483	926
Cond. Dis. & Prob.	352	70	54	59	88	132	755
Total Guilty	39,954	13,197	23,125	5,850	8,411	14,567	105,014
Court Finding of Not Guilty							
No Probable Cause	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Super. Term W/WoC	211,383	99,188	123,762	79,193	107,224	63,011	683,761
Discharged	266,867	10,553	10,319	20,290	15,903	18,195	342,127
Leave to File Den	34	531	931	2	493	139	2.130
S.O.L.	32,213	30,768	33,179	15,286	22,443	10.856	144,745
Non-Suit	123,075	4,227	11,603	4,020	2,121	28,123	173,169
Nolle-Pros.	320	17	46	2	2	372	759
DWP	81,400	100	240	6	569	1.413	83,728
Death Sug. C/A	106	47	240	11	28	39	259
Total Not Guilty	715,398	145,431	180,108	118,810	148,783	122.148	1,430,678
	715,550	143,431	100,100	110,010	140,705	122,140	1,450,070
Court Finding on							
Judicial Driving							
Permits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court Finding							
of Pending							
Supervision	29,539	7,517	6,513	1,780	6,056	15,244	66,649
Non Appearance	210,375	2,637	4,770	3,562	3,897	4,174	229,415
Motion W/Fee	22,510	0	0	0	0	0	22,510
Continued	399,104	123,393	165,516	103,479	122,543	112,385	1,026,420
Bond Judgement	76,175	4,193	3,028	4,582	3,956	7,102	99,036
Bond Forf. Warr.	203,743	21,630	17,980	13,069	10,217	24,270	290,909
Total Pending	941,446	159,370	197,807	126,472	146,669	163,175	1,734,939
Total Court							
Cases Heard	1,696,789	317,998	401,040	251,132	303,863	299,890	3,270,712
Pre-Court Pleas							
of Guilty	67,685	16,896	27,251	13,718	15,259	21,380	162,189
Total Tickets Handled							
By The Court in							
The Year	2,568,890	462,262	596,734	369,718	443,467	452,495	4,893,476

## TRAFFIC

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX PARKING CASES DURING 1987

	Dist 1	Dist II	Dist III	Dist IV	Dist V	Dist VI	Totals
Total Cases Filed	3,089,789	18,721	19,235	12,640	21,338	19,205	3,180,928
Court Finding of Guilty							
Fines Paid	11,368	993	1,056	392	1,066	1,803	16,678
Prob. Cause & Guilty	45	995	1,289	944	98	2,552	5,923
Jail	36	0	1	1	2	21	61
Cond. Dis. & Prob.	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Total Guilty	11,449	1,988	2,347	1,337	1,167	4,376	22,664
Court Finding of Not Guilty							
No Probable Cause	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Super. Term W/WoC	54	1,392	803	1,350	1,121	577	5,297
Discharged	7,949	1,546	1,166	977	2,637	1,373	15,648
Leave to File Den	5	27	28	0	137	0	197
S.O.L.	362	743	2,385	394	6,756	413	11,053
Non-Suit	236,409	8,399	4,124	8,301	6,659	11,251	275,143
Nolle-Pros.	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
DWP	5,324	14	22	0	38	54	5,452
Death Sug. C/A	0	9	0	25	0	5	39
Total Not Guilty	250,104	12,131	8,528	11,047	17,348	13,674	312,832
Court Finding onf Judicial Driving Permits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court Finding		0	· · · · ·	· · · · ·			
of Pending							
Supervision	18	389	82	710	98	168	1,465
Non Appearance	0	16	111	6	45	42	220
Motion W/Fee	3	0	0	0	45	42	3
Continued				-	-	9,430	79,424
Bond Judgement	4,040	11,646 27	14,881 19	22,811 19	16,616 20	9,430	128
Bond Forf. Warr.			141	19			
	0	3,323			120	893	4,674
Summons	2,041,964	0	0	0	0	0	2,041,964
Total Pending	2,046,027	15,401	15,234	23,743	16,899	10,574	2,127,878
Total Court							
Cases Heard	2,307,580	29,520	26,109	36,127	35,414	28,624	2,463,374
Pre-Court Pleas							
of Guilty	763,559	515	742	477	872	1,339	767,504
Total Tickets Handled							
By The Court in							
The Year	6,160,928	48,756	46,086	49,244	57,624	49,168	6,411,806

# APPENDIX A CONSTITUTION OF 1970 ARTICLE VI — THE JUDICIARY

## Section 1. Courts

The judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, an Appellate Court and Circuit Courts.

## Section 2. Judicial Districts

The State is divided into five Judicial Districts for the selection of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges. The First Judicial District consists of Cook County. The remainder of the State shall be divided by law into four Judicial Districts of substantially equal population, each of which shall be compact and composed of contiguous counties.

## Section 3. Supreme Court-Organization

The Supreme Court shall consist of seven Judges. Three shall be selected from the First Judicial District and one from each of the other Judicial Districts. Four Judges constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Supreme Court Judges shall select a Chief Justice from their number to serve for a term of three years.

## Section 4. Supreme Court-Jurisdiction

(a) The Supreme Court may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review.

(b) Appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death shall be directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for direct appeal in other cases.

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases.

## Section 5. Appellate Court-Organization

The number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each Judicial District shall be provided by law. The Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of Appellate divisions in each Judicial District. Each Appellate division shall have at least three judges. Assignments to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court. A majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision. There shall be at least one division in each Judicial District and each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court.

## Section 6. Appellate Court-Jurisdiction

Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court are a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court and except that after a trial on the merits in a criminal case, there shall be no appeal from a judgment of acquittal. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals to the Appellate Court from other than final judgments of Circuit Courts. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. The Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law.

#### Section 7. Judicial Circuits

(a) The State shall be divided into Judicial Circuits consisting of one or more counties. The First Judicial District shall constitute a Judicial Circuit. The Judicial Circuits within the other Judicial Districts shall be as provided by law. Circuits composed of more than one county shall be compact and of contiguous counties. The General Assembly by law may provide for the division of a circuit for the purpose of selection of Circuit Judges and for the selection of Circuit Judges from the circuit at large.

(b) Each Judicial Circuit shall have one Circuit Court with such number of Circuit Judges as provided by law. Unless otherwise provided by law, there shall be at least one Circuit Judge from each county. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, Cook County, Chicago, and the area outside of Chicago shall be separate units for the selection of Circuit Judges, with at least twelve chosen at large from the area outside Chicago and at least thirty-six chosen at large from Chicago. (c) Circuit Judges in each circuit shall select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the Chief Judge shall have general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court.

### Section 8. Associate Judges

Each Circuit Court shall have such number of Associate Judges as provided by law. Associate Judges shall be appointed by the Circuit Judges in each circuit as the Supreme Court shall provide by rule. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, at least one-fourth of the Associate Judges shall be appointed from, and reside, outside Chicago. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges.

## Section 9. Circuit Courts-Jurisdiction

Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters except when the Supreme Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. Circuit Courts shall have such power to review administrative action as provided by law.

#### Section 10. Terms of Office

The terms of office of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges shall be ten years; of Circuit Judges, six years; and of Associate Judges, four years.

#### Section 11. Eligibility For Office

No person shall be eligible to be a Judge or Associate Judge unless he is a United States citizen, a licensed attorney-at-law of this State, and a resident of the unit which selects him. No change in the boundaries of a unit shall affect the tenure in office of a Judge or Associate Judge incumbent at the time of such change.

#### Section 12. Election And Retention

(a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. A person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions. (b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, removal, or upon the conclusion of his term without retention in office. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office.

(c) A vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointment.

(d) Not less than six months before the general election preceding the expiration of his term of office, a Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge who has been elected to that office may file in the office of the Secretary of State a declaration of candidacy to succeed himself. The Secretary of State, not less than 63 days before the election, shall certify the Judge's candidacy to the proper election officials. The names of Judges seeking retention shall be submitted to the electors, separately and without party designation, on the sole question whether each Judge shall be retained in office for another term. The retention elections shall be conducted at general elections in the appropriate Judicial District, for Supreme and Appellate Judges, and in the circuit for Circuit Judges. The affirmative vote of three-fifths of the electors voting on the question shall elect the Judge to the office for a term commencing on the first Monday in December following his election.

(e) A law reducing the number of Appellate or Circuit Judges shall be without prejudice to the right of the Judges affected to seek retention in office. A reduction shall become effective when a vacancy occurs in the affected unit.

#### Section 13. Prohibited Activities

(a) The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for Judges and Associate Judges.

(b) Judges and Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or in a political party. Service in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not disqualify a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge.

## Section 14. Judicial Salaries And Expenses — Fee Officers Eliminated

Judges shall receive salaries provided by law which shall not be diminished to take effect during their terms of office. All salaries and such expenses as may be provided by law shall be paid by the State, except that Appellate, Circuit and Associate Judges shall receive such additional compensation from counties within their district or circuit as may be provided by law. There shall be no fee officers in the judicial system.

#### Section 15. Retirement – Discipline

(a) The General Assembly may provide by law for the retirement of Judges and Associate Judges at a prescribed age. Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge.

(b) A Judicial Inquiry Board is created. The Supreme Court shall select two Circuit Judges as members and the Governor shall appoint four persons who are not lawyers and three lawyers as members of the Board. No more than two of the lawyers and two of the non-lawyers appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The terms of Board members shall be four years. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled for a full term in the manner the original appointment was made. No member may serve on the Board more than eight years.

(c) The Board shall be convened permanently, with authority to conduct investigations, receive or initiate complaints concerning a Judge or Associate Judge, and file complaints with the Courts Commission. The Board shall not file a complaint unless five members believe that a reasonable basis exists (1) to charge the Judge or Associate Judge with willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to charge that the Judge or Associate Judge is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties. All proceedings of the Board shall be confidential except the filing of a complaint with the Courts Commission. The Board shall prosecute the complaint.

(d) The Board shall adopt rules governing its procedures. It shall have subpoena power and authority to appoint and direct its staff. Members of the Board who are not Judges shall receive per diem compensation and necessary expenses; members who are Judges shall receive necessary expenses only. The General Assembly by law shall appropriate funds for the operation of the Board.

(e) A Courts Commission is created consisting of one Supreme Court Judge selected by that Court, who shall be its chairman, two Appellate Court Judges selected by that Court, and two Circuit Judges selected by the Supreme Court. The Commission shall be convened permanently to hear complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Commission shall have authority after notice and public hearing, (1) to remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a Judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties.

(f) The concurrence of three members of the Commission shall be necessary for a decision. The decision of the Commission shall be final.

(g) The Commission shall adopt rules governing its procedures and shall have power to issue subpoenas. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the expenses of the Commission.

#### Section 16. Administration

General administrative and supervisory authority over all courts is vested in the Supreme Court and shall be exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with its rules. The Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. The Supreme Court may assign a Judge temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to serve temporarily as an Associate Judge on any Circuit Court. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for expeditious and inexpensive appeals.

#### Section 17. Judicial Conference

The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31.

#### Section 18. Clerks Of Courts

(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District.

(b) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election, or for the appointment by Circuit Judges, of clerks and other non-judicial officers of the Circuit Courts and for their terms of office and removal for cause.

(c) The salaries of clerks and other non-judicial officers shall be as provided by law.

## Section 19. State's Attorneys-Selection, Salary

A State's Attorney shall be elected in each county in 1972 and every fourth year thereafter for a four year term. One State's Attorney may be elected to serve two or more counties if the governing boards of such counties so provide and a majority of the electors of each county voting on the issue approve. A person shall not be eligible for the office of State's Attorney unless he is a United States citizen and a licensed attorney-at-law of this State. His salary shall be provided by law.

# APPENDIX B ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS Historical Development

The predecessor of the present Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts was a statutory creature into which the General Assembly breathed life in 1959. The entity was known as the Court Administrator's Office, and it so existed until 1964. The office in those past years was chiefly concerned with studying caseloads to determine the needs of particular courts for assistance and to provide a statistical background for further studies.

The 1964 Judicial Article directed that the "Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties." That provision was retained, virtually intact, by Section 16, Article VI of the 1970 Constitution. Thus, the fledgling administrator's office of 1959 was continued and conferred with constitutional dignity in 1964 and 1970. Two Illinois constitutional commentators, Messrs. Braden and Cohn, in analyzing this section have stated that "only five (states) have a constitutional office similar to the administrative director provided by Illinois . . .", and the authors noted that the constitutional grant of administrative power to the Supreme Court as exercised by the Chief Justice through the Administrative Director is an excellent "mechanism for a coordinated and efficient administration of the judicial system." Braden and Cohn, *The Illinois Constitution:* 

An Annotated and Comparative Analysis, on page 333.

During the years that it has been in existence, the Administrative Office has developed and correspondingly it has taken on, and has been assigned by the Supreme Court, greater duties and responsibilities. The growth of the office has been carefully nurtured by a succession of highly qualified and distinguished men: Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the federal court system; Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; Hon. John C. Fitzgerald, a retired Circuit Judge and former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University, Chicago; John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad; and Roy O. Gulley, former Chief Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit; and acting Director William M. Madden.

Today, the administrative office has more than 125 employees who serve the Supreme Court and supervise the activities of all the courts in the State and court-related personnel.

The appointment of Samuel D. Conti as Director during 1987 and the implementation of the recommendations contained in the National Center for State Court report on the administrative office should serve to enable the administrative office to address the administrative needs of the Illinois Judicial system in the coming years.

# APPENDIX C JUDICIAL SALARY STRUCTURE

Supreme Court Justices — \$93,266 Appellate Court Judges — \$87,780 Circuit Court Judges — \$80,599 Associate Judges — \$75,113

## **APPENDIX**

## GENEALOGY OF JUDGES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT





(Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois) (69179-1,500-12-88)