Frank R. Fuhr Chief Judge

Victoria A. Bluedorn Trial Court Administrator



Office of the Chief Judge 14th Judicial Circuit State of Illinois

PRESS RELEASE May 27, 2020 FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

1317 Third Avenue, Rm. 304 Rock Island, Illinois 61201

Telephone: (309) 558-3918 (309) 558-3289 Fax: (309) 558-3263 E-mail: ffuhr@co.rock-island.il.us vbluedorn@co.rock-island.il.us

RE: Pandemic-related Administrative Orders - COVID-19 - Resumption of Court Hearings

As you know, we continue to operate under Pandemic-related Administrative Orders in the 14th Judicial Circuit which includes Rock Island County. The Illinois Supreme Court entered an Order allowing us to resume some court operations on June 1st with limitations. I have entered a new Circuit-wide Administrative Order to allow us to ease some of the restrictions currently in place on June 1, 2020. The post-June 1st, 2020 limitations include, but are not limited to, hourly case limitations per courtroom to keep the total courthouse population under control for the foreseeable future. That will be modified over time as the circumstances dictate.

Each Division in Rock Island County, via the Presiding Judges, will have their own specific policies and procedures to address each Division's needs with many Judges continuing to conduct remote hearings. As to Henry, Mercer and Whiteside counties, the Presiding Circuit Judges will have the authority to enact specific policies and procedures to address their individual courthouse and case needs. As an aside, most, if not all, circuits throughout the State of Illinois are also ramping up court operations on June 1st.

As we begin to expand the types of hearings we are conducting in the courthouse, I would ask you to keep in mind the following guidelines when scheduling and attending court hearings (these may be subject to change):

- Arrive no more than 10 minutes before the hearing and promptly leave after your hearing ends;
- Bring only your client to the hearing depending on the type of hearing;
- Wear a face covering while at the Rock Island County Justice Center;
- Maintain social distancing at all times within the Justice Center;
- Do not congregate inside or outside the courtroom;
- Limit the number of status hearings on a case or consider conducting them remotely;
- Limit negotiating on a case at the Justice Center Judges may not allow extensive negotiations during hearings for everyone's safety;

Page 2 May 27, 2020

- Do not have your client enter the well of the courtroom without first checking with the judge;
- You and your clients are encouraged to bring your own hand sanitizer and pen in the event supplies run short;
- Avoid touching handrails and other "high-touch" areas the best you can.

We have been assured that the building will be regularly sanitized for your health and welfare, but we also need your cooperation. Each courtroom will be limiting the number of hearings to ensure we do not have more than 10 people in the courtroom. Jury trials will have their separate policies and procedures. I would encourage you to continue conducting remote hearings whenever possible as allowed by the Judge presiding over your case.

Thank you for your continued patience, courtesy and cooperation. Stay safe.

With regards,

Though Achy

Chief Judge Frank R. Fuhr 14th Judicial Circuit of Illinois

FRF/sll

Frank R. Fuhr Chief Circuit Judge

FRF/sll

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 14TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT STATE OF ILLINOIS

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 20 C A - 4 3

WHEREAS, on May 20, 2020 the Illinois Supreme Court entered Order, M.R. 303070, In re: Illinois Courts Response to COVID-19 Emergency; and

WHEREAS, paragraph five (5) of said Order states as follows:

"Effective June 1, 2020, the Court's order of March 17, 2020, is modified so that each circuit may return to hearing court matters, whether in person or remotely, according to a schedule to be adopted for each county by the chief judge in each circuit. The circuit courts shall continue, to the extent possible, to allow for appropriate social distancing and attempt to reduce the number of persons appearing personally for court appearances."

The Chief Judge, Fourteenth Judicial Circuit, designates the Presiding Judge of each county to adopt a schedule for hearing court matters. Judges shall continue, to the extent possible, to allow for appropriate social distancing and attempt to reduce the number of persons appearing personally for court appearances. Judges shall take into consideration the Supreme Court Guidelines for resuming Illinois Judicial Branch Operations During COVID-19 pandemic.

WHEREAS, paragraph nine (9) of said order states as follows:

"The Chief Judges of each circuit may continue trials until further order of this Court. The continuances occasioned by this Order serve the ends of justice and outweigh the best interest s of the public and defendants in a speedy trial. Therefore, such continuances shall be excluded from speedy trial computations contained in section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (725 ILCS 5/103-5 (West 2018)) and section 5-601 of the Illinois Juvenile Court Act (705 ILCS 405/5-601 (West 2018)). Statutory time restrictions in section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 and section 5-601 of the Juvenile Court Act shall be tolled until further order of this Court. This provision also applies when a trial is delayed when the court determines proper distancing and facilities limitations prevent the trial from proceeding safely. The judge in the case must find that such limitations necessitated the delay and shall make a record thereof."

The Chief Judge, Fourteenth Judicial Circuit, designates the judge assigned to a criminal or civil case to make the determination, to decide, whether a trial is to be continued. If a trial is continued due to proper distancing and facilities' limitations causing the continuance, the judge assigned to that case must find that such limitations necessitate the continuance, the delay, and shall make a record of such finding.

The requirement of in person admonishments pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 402 is temporarily suspended for all defendants charged with a traffic or misdemeanor offense who are represented by counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 26th day of May FILED in the CIRCUIT COURT of ROCK ISLAND COUNTY GENERAL DIVISION

Frank R. Fuhr Chief Judge, 14th Judicial Circuit

FRF/sll

Clerk of the Clreuit Cour

MAY 26 2020

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

)

)

In re:

Illinois Courts Response to COVID-19 Emergency

M.R. 30370

Order

Article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 vests the judicial power of our State in the Supreme Court, an Appellate Court, and the Circuit Courts. That constitutional grant of power creates a corresponding duty of service to the People of Illinois. To fulfill that duty, the judiciary's mission is to protect the rights and liberties of all by providing equal access to justice, resolving disputes, and upholding the rule of law. Those principles have always remained fundamental, even in times of crisis.

Article VI also gives general administrative and supervisory authority over the judicial branch to the Supreme Court. In the exercise of that authority, this Court has issued a series of orders governing court functions during the COVID-19 pandemic. The March 17, 2020 order directed Illinois courts to hear "essential court matters and proceedings." The order further authorized courts to conduct both essential and nonessential matters and proceedings remotely, subject to constitutional and practical limitations.

Our concerns about the health and safety of all court users, staff, and judicial officers during these extraordinary circumstances are ongoing, and our duty to the People of Illinois is ever present. That duty requires courts to resume operations as quickly and fully as possible. Various approaches for doing so based on local public health data have emerged at the national and state levels. We have considered those approaches in charting a path forward.

Pursuant to the exercise of its general administrative and supervisory authority over all Illinois courts as conferred on this Court pursuant to Article VI, Section 16 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Effective June 1, 2020, the Court's order of March 17, 2020, is modified so that each circuit may return to hearing court matters, whether in person or remotely, according to a schedule to be adopted for each county by the chief judge in each circuit. The circuit courts shall continue, to the extent possible, to allow for appropriate social distancing and attempt to reduce the number of persons appearing personally for court appearances.

The factors which may be considered by the chief judge in determining whether matters may be safely heard include, but are not limited to, the following: deadlines which apply to a case or class of cases; the length of time any applicable deadline has been suspended by order of the Supreme Court or the Circuit Court; applicable information from public health authorities; limitations in court facilities or staffing; and anticipated prejudice to any class of cases as a result of continued delay. Chief judges should also take into consideration the Supreme Court Guidelines for Resuming Illinois Judicial Branch Operations During the COVID

19 pandemic. Chief circuit judges should understand that local conditions may change, and their plans should contain contingencies in that event.

Local plans should continue to promote the use of remote hearings where appropriate. To the extent that the Court's order of March 17, 2020 prohibits in-person proceedings on nonessential matters, this provision is relaxed according to the plan adopted by the chief circuit judge in each circuit.

Additionally, the April 7, 2020, order regarding Illinois Courts Response to COVID-19 Emergency/Impact on Trials is modified to read as follows:

In the exercise of the general administrative and supervisory authority over the courts of Illinois conferred on this Court pursuant to Article VI, Section 16 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16); in view of the state of emergency that has been declared by the Governor of the State of Illinois in order to prevent the spread of the novel coronavirus; and in the interests of the health and safety of all court users, staff, and judicial officers during these extraordinary circumstances, and to clarify this Court's orders of March 20, 2020 and April 3, 2020, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's orders of March 20, 2020 and April 3, 2020 are amended as follows:

The Chief Judges of each circuit may continue trials until further order of this Court. The continuances occasioned by this Order serve the ends of justice and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendants in a speedy trial. Therefore, such continuances shall be excluded from speedy trial computations contained in section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (725 ILCS 5/103-5 (West 2018)) and section 5-601 of the Illinois Juvenile Court Act (705 ILCS 405/5-601 (West 2018)). Statutory time restrictions in section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 and section 5-601 of the Juvenile Court Act shall be tolled until further order of this Court. This provision also applies when a trial is delayed when the court determines proper distancing and facilities limitations prevent the trial from proceeding safely. The judge in the case must find that such limitations necessitated the delay and shall make a record thereof.

Order entered by the Court.



IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of said Court, this 20th day of May, 2020.

yn Takt (dos

Clerk, Supreme Court of the State of Illinois



SUPREME COURT GUIDELINES FOR RESUMING ILLINOIS JUDICIAL BRANCH OPERATIONS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Introduction

In response to the Supreme Court's March 17, 2020 order, Illinois Judicial Branch operations in courthouses and court facilities have been largely confined to emergency and essential matters. As directed by this order, courts across the state have adeptly responded to the COVID-19 pandemic and worked to balance public health and safety with access and openness.

As Illinois moves through the phases of this pandemic, our courts must plan for increased in-person access to the judicial system as restrictions are eased. The following guidance, referenced in the Supreme Court's M.R. Order 30370 entered May 20, 2020, is intended to provide courts with important considerations as they chart a path forward. The content also will help to standardize, to the extent possible, the information and communication provided by courts to lawyers, litigants, the media, and the public. While most of the provisions are applicable to trial courts, reviewing courts may find the content useful, as well.

These guidelines recognize that each jurisdiction is uniquely positioned to address COVID-19 challenges based on local conditions. The considerations highlighted here are offered as best practices to aid in the administration of justice while maintaining practices to mitigate risk of COVID-19 resurgence and protecting court personnel and the public.

It is also important to recognize that these considerations are fluid and courts will continuously need to identify and evaluate important novel issues that require further research, analysis, planning, and implementation. As such, this document will be reviewed and updated as necessary to inform on additional matters as the courts learn from their experiences and as conditions evolve throughout the remainder of the pandemic.

Whatever the new "normal" brings, a silver lining for the judicial branch is the culture shift in the effective use of video conference technology and remote work capacity. For those jurisdictions without resources to take advantage of these efficiencies, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is exploring various options for enhanced statewide support of video conferencing and other remote technology.

I. Supreme Court's May 20, 2020 Order

As noted in the Supreme Court's May 20, 2020 order, chief circuit judges are authorized to develop plans for resumption of court operations in their circuits. Plans for each circuit, which may even differ from county to county, should be based on the factors specified in the order and with due consideration to the guidelines in this document.

The local plans should continue to promote the use of remote hearings where appropriate. To the extent that the March 17, 2020, order prohibits in-person proceedings on non-essential matters, that provision is relaxed in accordance with a chief judge's local plan. This directive acknowledges both the successful use of remote hearings during the stay-at-home order and the reality that jurisdictions will need to take the appropriate amount of time to gradually resume holding matters in the courthouse. In most jurisdictions, this will be a slow process and the pace will be dependent on local conditions which may change rapidly.

The May 20, 2020, order also modifies the April 7, 2020, order which provides that chief judges may continue trials until further order of the Court. Such continuances remain excluded from speedy trial computations and statutory time restrictions are tolled until further order of the Court. The May 20 modification states that this provision also applies when a trial is delayed when the court determines proper distancing and facilities limitations prevent the trial from proceeding safely. The judge in the case must find that such limitations necessitated the delay and shall make a record thereof. This May 20 modification acknowledges the significant challenges most courts will immediately face in resuming trials at the courthouse, and jury trials in particular, in a manner that assures the safety of all participants.

II. Plan to Triage and Prioritize Cases

During the remainder of the Governor's stay-at-home order and prior to termination of local administrative orders restricting access to the courthouses, judges and court staff should continue to plan and prioritize cases. If possible and where appropriate, judges should hold informational meetings with local bar associations (via Zoom or other video conference service) or hold smaller meetings with attorneys and litigants about their cases.

The May 20, 2020, order includes factors which may be considered by the chief judge in determining whether matters may be safely heard. These include: deadlines which apply to a case or class of cases; the length of time any applicable deadline has been suspended by order of the Supreme Court or the Circuit Court; applicable information from public health authorities; limitations in court facilities or staffing; and anticipated prejudice to any class of cases as a result of continued delay.

Courts should carefully consider data for each case type to determine capacity to manage the urgent matters and the extent of the backlog resultant from the pandemic, and plan accordingly. While this iterative process will vary greatly from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, some proceedings will be obvious priorities. As indicated in the May 20 order, chief circuit judges should understand that local conditions may change, and their plans should contain contingencies if restrictions on inperson court operations need to be resumed for the health and safety of personnel and court users.

III. Health and Safety of Court Personnel, Litigants and the Public

Most individuals do not come to court by choice. As such, courts have a particularly compelling responsibility to make certain that courthouses are safe. Procedures that involve large numbers of individuals, such as summonsing for jury duty, need to be implemented in a manner that not only assures safety but also makes individuals *feel* safe before and after they arrive at the courthouse. Public health authorities must inform these decisions, and local public health departments may have guidance specific to convening jurors and jury trials.

Courts will need to limit access to courtrooms and impose social distancing practices consistent with Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines and local public health authorities. This will require an ongoing working relationship with local health departments to keep pace with COVID-19 case activity and the requirements for current conditions. Local health authorities will provide direction on the screening criteria that is appropriate for pandemic conditions in the court community.

All practical measures should be taken within the courthouse and courtrooms to ensure a clean environment and prevent the spread of the virus, including:

- 1. Within the courthouse, provide hand sanitizer at multiple locations within the courtroom, circuit clerk's offices, and public spaces.
- 2. Notify all entering the courthouse that wearing a mask/face covering is required (consistent with current public health requirements). If possible, have extra masks/face coverings on hand if someone arrives at the courthouse without one. Notices to come to court should advise recipients: (1) to bring a mask/face covering, (2) those without a mask/face covering will only be provided one IF they are available, and (3) if you have no mask/face covering and none are available at the courthouse, you may be refused entry.
- 3. Purchase plexiglass germ shields and install them in key locations, such as the circuit clerk's office, courtrooms, law library, etc. These can be secured with C-clamps for easy removal without causing permanent damage to counters, benches, desks, etc.
- 4. Establish routine protocols for disinfecting and cleaning any keyboards, desks, counters, written self-help materials, etc.
- 5. Enhance and increase regular courthouse cleaning schedules.

All possible measures should be taken within the courthouse and courtrooms to maintain social distancing consistent with current public health requirements:

- 1. Ask the local public health department to do an assessment of each courthouse and give recommendations about establishing traffic patterns for people entering the building and maintaining the required distance.
- Evaluate where lawyers, bailiffs, parties, court reporters, and interpreters are situated within the courtroom and rearrange as necessary to maintain social distancing. If all necessary people cannot be in the courtroom at the same time while observing social distancing guidelines, consider having one or more parties join remotely from another location.
- 3. Use tape to mark required spacing for social distancing.
- Subject to constitutional limitations, entry into the courthouse should be limited to lawyers and named parties. Self-represented litigants should be allowed to bring one friend or family member with them into the courthouse.

- 5. To ensure public access and health and safety, monitor the number of people entering and exiting the courthouse and provide entry to only a certain number of people at a time.
- 6. If represented by counsel, only require the litigant to appear when necessary.
- 7. Employ a system to facilitate social distancing and avoid crowding. Options include lawyers and litigants leaving a cellphone number and asked to wait in a place where social distancing can be observed. Another option is purchasing pager-type devices, similar to those used in restaurants, that can be distributed when litigants check-in.

IV. Rethinking Court Appearances

The restrictions associated with the pandemic, compounded by significant levels of backlogged cases, will challenge most courts for the foreseeable future. Particularly in larger jurisdictions, courts will need to continue to limit in-person matters until a plan can be implemented consistent with social distancing restrictions. The following are important options that courts should consider:

- 1. Hearing most cases and proceedings remotely, if possible, with limited exceptions, such as jury trials.
- 2. Requiring meaningful action at every court appearance, not just an opportunity for parties to exchange documents or schedule matters.
- Holding matters such as status hearings, briefing schedules, uncontested matters, case management conferences, pre-trial conferences, motions, and bond calls remotely unless otherwise ordered.
- Allowing all involved in a case to appear remotely, including the judge, circuit clerk, lawyers, parties, interpreters, and court reporters. Carefully consider who needs to come to court and for what reason.
- 5. Using interpreters remotely when possible. If interpreters must be used in-person, provide simultaneous interpreting equipment to allow interpreters to maintain the appropriate distance.
- 6. Providing clear instructions to parties about remote proceedings and determine how to ensure proceedings typically open to the public remain open.
- 7. Determining the number of staff required to be present within the courthouse and consider if their role can be done remotely.
- 8. Developing online parenting classes in English and Spanish.

Consider altering traditional work processes, for example:

- Provide a process for how to electronically exchange what was traditionally provided in a
 paper/hard copy in advance of or during in-person court appearances, including sensitive
 documents such as social history, notice of probation violation, pre-sentence investigation,
 GAL report, etc. Consider the role secure and encrypted email can play in such exchanges.
- 2. Allow for e-signature on documents if consistent with Supreme Court Rules and orders.
- 3. Implement automatic briefing schedules.
- 4. Consider ruling on fully briefed matters without oral argument. If oral argument is necessary, hold it remotely where possible.

All possible measures should be taken to avoid large "cattle calls" or move them to a facility that allows for appropriate social distancing Some suggested practices include:

- 1. Limiting the number of cases on each call and stagger the times (i.e. five cases at 9:00, 10:00, 11:00, 1:30, 2:30, 3:30, etc.).
- 2. Holding court outside of normal business hours upon the agreement of all participants.
- Changing current schedules for more efficient assignment of cases, i.e. assigning certain types of cases to be heard on certain days/certain hours of the day or assigning certain types of matters to particular courtrooms.

All possible measures should be taken to ensure social distancing for juries. Some suggested practices include:

- 1. Limiting the number of jurors coming to the courthouse by better identifying the number likely needed for trials.
- 2. Identifying the largest courtrooms within your courthouse and holding jury trials there.
- 3. Considering allowing the jury to deliberate within the courtroom if jury deliberation rooms are not large enough.
- 4. Investigating the use of other, larger non-court facilities.

V. Maintaining a High Level of Assistance and an Open Court

All possible measures should be taken so that court patrons have access to service during the pandemic. Suggested practices include:

- 1. Exploring ways that courts can:
 - a. Improve training and information sharing for front-line staff, like building security and those staffing the circuit clerk's office front desk, to ensure they can provide effective information and referrals to resources at that first point of contact.
 - b. Make resources and information for self-represented litigants available in the lobby or in one location so that the court patrons do not traverse the courthouse before finding the information they need.
 - c. Consider developing and utilizing a chatbot to provide the public with court assistance.
- 2. Investigating new models of service delivery such as:
 - a. Remote operation of courthouse help desks, self-help centers, and law libraries where practicable.
 - b. Providing e-filing help remotely, enabling remote "friend of the court" assistance, and filing by mail for litigants who qualify for an e-filing exemption.
 - c. Providing a mechanism for case participants experiencing difficulties connecting to their remote hearings to contact designated court staff, such as a phone number or online chat.
 - d. Utilizing a centralized hotline model for disseminating legal information.
 - e. Expanding or creating a new legal aid advice hotline
 - f. Exploring use of remote mediation, arbitration, and facilitation, as consistent with court rules, to potentially resolve cases.

Next Steps

1.6.1.1

The pandemic will no doubt continue to present novel issues and challenges to the administration of justice for the foreseeable future. Court leadership teams should be certain to regularly meet with other local court stakeholders (prosecutors, public defenders, local bar associations, etc.) to evaluate and receive feedback on pandemic response in the court system. Identifying responses that worked well, in addition to opportunities for improvement, will facilitate local response plan improvements and incorporation of "lessons learned" into existing EP-COOP and separate pandemic-specific response plans.