	Page 1
1	
2	TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING ON:
3	
4	ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT
5	STATUTORY COURT FEES TASK FORCE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Record of proceedings taken before RHONDA K.
12	WEILAND, CSR, by Zoom videoconference, commencing at
13	9:03 o'clock A.M., on the 30th day of August, 2022,
14	upon the public hearing of the above-entitled cause.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- 1 APPEARANCES:
- 2 MR. STEVEN PFLAUM, Task Force Chair
- Task Force Members: 3
- MR. DAVID FRIESS 4
- 5 MR. CHIRAG BADLANI
- MR. EUGENE DOHERTY 6
- 7 MR. THOMAS DONNELLY
- MR. MICHAEL FIELLO 8
- 9 MS. KATHERINE KEEFE
- MR. THOMAS KLEIN 10
- 11 MR. LEROY MARTIN, JR.
- 12 MR. JONATHAN PILSNER
- MS. ELIZABETH ROBB 13
- 14 MR. JUSTIN SLAUGHTER
- 15 MR. BRIAN STEWART
- 16 MR. ADAM VAUGHT

17 Members of the Staff Members of the Public

20

18

19

- 21
- 22

23

24

Page 3 1 INDEX 2 TESTIFIERS PAGE 3 Judge Jorge Ortiz 6 Ms. Angelica Jimenez, National Center for 4 11 5 Youth Law 6 Pastor Norma Patterson, Debt Free Justice 18 7 Illinois coalition 8 Judge George Timberlake (Retired) 23 9 Mr. Andersson, CTAA sponsor, Illinois House of 28 Representatives and Chicago Jobs Council 10 11 Mr. Brian Gaughan, LEAP 37 Ms. Parle Roe-Taylor, Cook County Public 12 42 Defender's Office 13 14 Ms. Aimee Galvin, Stand for Children, Debt 54 15 Free Justice Illinois coalition 16 Mr. CJ Beck, Chicago Appleseed Center for Fair 57 17 Courts 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4

MR. PFLAUM: Good morning, everyone, and
 thank you for attending. My name is Steven Pflaum.
 I'm a partner in the Chicago firm of Neal, Gerber &
 Eisenberg, and I'm the chair of the Illinois Supreme
 Court Statutory Court Fee Task Force.

6 We are here this morning, of course, on a Zoom teleconference for the second public hearing 7 that the task force has held. The purpose of this 8 9 public hearing is for the task force members to receive comments, testimony by interested 10 11 individuals and organizations and agencies with 12 respect to the draft task force report that we 13 circulated a little bit more than 45 days ago.

14 In terms of where we go from here, the 15 task force will be considering the testimony that we receive today as well as the written comments that 16 17 were submitted last week, and we appreciate those 18 who took the time to provide the very thoughtful 19 comments that we've received. We are going to give them very careful consideration. I anticipate that 20 21 we will make some revisions and tweaks to the draft 22 report that you've seen in light of the testimony that we have received. 23

24

And then ultimately, sometime early this

fall, the task force anticipates submitting its 1 2 report to the Illinois Supreme Court and to the 3 Illinois General Assembly for its consideration. As those on this live stream are aware and those 4 5 watching it later undoubtedly as well are aware, that the task force draft recommendations do include 6 7 legislative proposals as well as some proposed revisions to the Illinois Supreme Court rules. 8 9 Those are submitted for consideration by the individuals advised with the authority to act on 10 11 them. So the format for today then is we --12

13 those who expressed an interest in testifying today 14 have signed up. They're here on a Zoom as opposed 15 to through the live stream and going to -- each speaker will have 10 minutes, but I do want to --16 17 there will be an opportunity for the task force 18 members to ask questions of the speakers; and, you 19 know, there will be additional time allotted as 20 needed, especially if any questions that might be 21 received. 22 So our first speaker --

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- Judge Ortiz to
 24 be at home.

Page 6 1 MR. PFLAUM: Okay. Are we ready? Is that 2 okay. Judge Ortiz was scheduled to be our first. 3 He's here, right, Judge? 4 MR. ORTIZ: I am here. 5 MR. PFLAUM: Our first speaker this morning is Judge Jorge Ortiz. 6 7 Judge, welcome, and if you'd introduce yourself, and thank you for participating. 8 9 MR. ORTIZ: Thank you, Mr. Pflaum, and 10 good morning, everyone. I serve in the 19th 11 Judicial Circuit in Lake County, and my current 12 assignment is in juvenile court; and let me just say 13 the views I'm about to express are solely my views, 14 not those of the 19th Judicial Circuit. 15 And so I took an assignment in juvenile 16 court about two years ago, and I quickly noticed 17 that the vast majority of the parties in court are 18 represented by the public defender's office; and I 19 also quickly noticed that there are several fees and 20 assessments routinely imposed on these people even 21 though they are indigent. 22 And one day I began researching fee and 23 assessment waivers and was surprised to learn that 24 there is no such provision for juvenile court cases;

and I believe this is fundamentally unfair, and it unduly burdens indigent youth and their families. And frankly, very little is collected by way of these fees and assessments, and I routinely waive or reduce them in many cases.

Courts and counties do not rely upon these 6 7 fees or assessments to sustain or support operations and nor should they, and in my view they should be 8 9 eliminated at a minimum for indigent parties if not 10 entirely. These parties should work on 11 rehabilitation without being saddled with onerous fees and costs. 12 So thank you. 13 14 MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Judge.

15 Does anyone have any questions for Judge 16 Ortiz?

MR. SLAUGHTER: Yeah, hi.

18 MR. PFLAUM: Representative Slaughter, go19 ahead. Did you have a question?

20 MR. SLAUGHTER: Yes. I'm sorry. 21 Judge Ortiz, thank you so very much for 22 taking some time to be with us this morning. Could 23 you go into a little bit, just a little bit more? 24 You were articulating how, and one of the things I

17

1 was concerned about, was was the revenue from the 2 fines and fees being utilized in any way, shape, or 3 form for operations. I believe that you said that 4 they weren't. Is that accurate? And so where do --5 where does the revenue --

6 MR. ORTIZ: Well, and I'll just speak for 7 my circuit. You know, very little is collected, 8 frankly; and we -- and I wish I had the figures for 9 you because last year we looked at this because some 10 of our county board members expressed an interest in 11 having -- seeing that these fees are waived, and 12 very little is actually collected.

13 So you might have the detention fees. 14 You've got all these other fees. Public defender 15 fees being assessed when these folks are indigent to 16 begin with being represented by the public defender. 17 So very little by way of these fees or assessments 18 is collected; and frankly, you know, these fees 19 shouldn't be relied upon to sustain the operations.

Now, I understand that there's certainly many costs attendant to running a juvenile detention center or running juvenile court in general; but, you know, again, we are talking about the vast majority of these people being indigent. And so

we're setting them up for failure if you're going to 1 2 be entering, ultimately entering judgments against 3 them or their parents who are indigent. Instead of having them concentrate on rehabilitation, you know, 4 5 on improving themselves, what we see is a lot of these folks are saddled with these judgments 6 7 ultimately which in some jurisdictions I understand that collection efforts begin against these folks. 8 9 So I'm sorry I can't answer your question specifically. And I know that, you know, your being 10 11 a legislator, you have to address ways to fund these 12 types of operations; but again, frankly, if we're 13 about rehabilitating these minors and providing them 14 a fresh start in life, we should not be saddling 15 them with these fees, especially if they are

16 indigent.

MR. SLAUGHTER: Judge Ortiz, you answered the question quite well. Again, thank you for your service and for being on with us this morning. Thank you. MR. ORTIZ: Thank you very much. MR. PFLAUM: Does any -- do any other task

23 force members have any questions for Judge Ortiz?
24 I do have one. Judge, the task force's

1	draft report does recommend that legislation be
2	enacted abolishing assessments and fees in juvenile
3	delinquency cases but preserving juvenile's
4	liability for restitution in particular, and the
5	task force recommends that the general at least
6	the draft report, our draft report recommended that
7	the General Assembly pass legislation in the form of
8	a pending bill known as Senate Bill 3621.
9	Do you know the extent to which that bill
10	is consistent with your with the views that you
11	just expressed? Do you join in that support? Or
12	perhaps you're not familiar with that bill.
13	MR. ORTIZ: No, I did review the bill, and
14	it is, it is pretty consistent with my views.
15	With respect to restitution, you know,
16	obviously victims need to be made whole; and
17	sometimes the orders for restitution are quite
18	significant. However, the court must make an
19	assessment as to whether or not that particular
20	minor or family has the present ability to pay the
21	restitution. So I think in terms of victims, I
22	think that perhaps there should still be a provision
23	to allow for restitution to be ordered.
24	MR. PFLAUM: Okay. Thank you, Judge.

Page 11 Thank you, Mr. Pflaum. MR. ORTIZ: MR. PFLAUM: Hearing no other questions for Judge Ortiz, our next speaker is Angelica Jimenez from the National Center for Youth Law. Ms. Jimenez, I want to thank you both for your testimony today and also for the written comments that were submitted by the National Center. The floor is yours. Whoops, you're on mute. MS. JIMENEZ: Thank you for letting me know. Good morning, Chairman Pflaum. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Angie Jimenez, and I'm a senior attorney with the National Center for Youth, and I'm also an Illinois native. We strongly support the task force recommendation to abolish fines and fees in juvenile court in the form of Senate Bill 3621. We want to bring attention to egregious practices that our youth are subjected to when charged with municipal ordinance violations. We urge the task force to consider the harms administrative adjudications

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 fees in the system.

2 First, ProPublica's recent investigations 3 identified a disturbing pattern of ticketing students for school-based offenses. Students were 4 5 cited for conduct as minor as littering, making loud noises, or using offensive words. Horrifyingly, 6 7 reporters found that over 11,800 tickets were issued over the course of three school years to children as 8 9 young as eight. Significant racial disparities were also found with nearly 20 percent of tickets being 10 11 given to Black students who comprise only 9 percent of the student population. 12 13 Youth are forced to navigate 14 quasi-judicial proceedings commonly held at police 15 stations and village halls without -- before lawyers and not judges without the right to counsel. They 16 17 often plead guilty in order to pay with significant

18 variation across municipalities. Most youth had 19 already been punished by their schools, some even 20 expelled, and now forced to pay hefty fines and 21 fees.

22 Second, these assessments undermine and 23 directly contradict youth rehabilitation. Youth 24 unable to pay aren't learning a lessen. They face

severe stress that destroys their trust in the fairness of the system which can negatively impact compliance. These youth are forced to financially rely on their families, if their families can help, or find a way to pay themselves, de-prioritizing their education.

In contrast, youth whose families can afford to pay learn there's no meaningful consequence. As a result, as the task force has found, holding families jointly liable can harm the families at a time significant importance.

12 Third, this practice fails to consider the 13 development needs -- developmental needs by 14 punishing youth in the same manner as adults. The 15 task force cites DOJ recommendations for special 16 practices for youths' best interest. Administrative 17 adjudications routinely assess fees and fines 18 without consideration of ability to pay.

Fourth, municipal fines are most frequently assessed against low income families and youth of color. The task force found that youth of color are more likely to be arrested and detained than their white peers. This is the same for administrative adjudications.

1	Low income families may be forced to
2	choose between paying these assessment or paying
3	necessities. No family should be forced to make
4	that choice. Multiple families we spoke with had no
5	idea how they were going to pay the fees and fines
6	even if they were given more time to pay.
7	If youth are unable to pay, they might
8	face additional costs, continued court oversight,
9	and possible contempt charges. These youth end up
10	paying even more in the end with devastating
11	consequences to their future opportunities.
12	Finally, ending assessments would benefit
13	municipalities. A funding structure that is heavily
14	relying upon revenues from assessments of low income
15	families is unsustainable. In fact, it is likely
16	that counties would actually experience cost savings
17	if they eliminate these fees imposed on youth.
18	While it appears that the counties don't
19	collect don't collect and don't use collection
20	agencies for juvenile court assessments, in
21	administrative adjudications they do. Now, states
22	that have eliminated fees and fines in juvenile
23	court have found that these revenue raising tools
24	were actually cost prohibitive. Thus, abolishing

fines and fees is the best course of action for 1 2 everyone involved. 3 In conclusion, we applaud the task force for your work to improve our courts by addressing 4 the unjust barriers that fines and fees create. 5 Thank you. 6 MR. PFLAUM: 7 Thank you, Ms. Jimenez. Any questions from task force members? 8 9 MR. FIELLO: Yes, I have a question. 10 MR. PFLAUM: Judge Fiello, go ahead. 11 MR. FIELLO: Do you happen to know whether 12 this using ordinance violations against children in 13 school, whether that is something that is new or 14 just newly discovered? 15 MS. JIMENEZ: I believe it's newly discovered. Senate Bill 100 that was enacted a few 16 17 years ago really aimed to eliminate that practice in 18 schools by prohibiting the schools themselves from 19 issuing these fines and fees, issuing tickets on 20 students. However, what they are seeing in practice 21 after the enactment of this law is that they are 22 working with police officers and the police officers 23 are doing that. So they're not violating the law; 24 however, they find there's another way to do it. So

it's more recent in terms of how it's being 1 2 administered. 3 And the senate bill also really focused on coming up with alternatives and really focusing on 4 5 the students' needs. So we are seeing that this trend moving forward towards reform, we're seeing 6 7 some steps backwards. MR. FIELLO: Thank you. 8 9 MS. JIMENEZ: Thank you. 10 MR. PFLAUM: Any other questions? 11 Ms. Jimenez, do you have -- is there draft legislation that's been suggested that would 12 13 implement the measure that you are advocating for? 14 MS. JIMENEZ: We've been working with our 15 partners on Senate Bill 3621, so we have been working with the entire coalition, the Debt Free 16 17 Justice coalition of Illinois. And so in our 18 conversations to be -- as we said, we strongly 19 support the bill; and if it is possible to include 20 that specifically, and we understand that 21 restitution is not part of that, but if municipal 22 ordinance violations could be, really because we believe it goes to the essence of the bill and what 23 24 the bill is aimed to address, that that would be the 1 way.

2 So we are committed to working with our 3 partners, and we have been in communication with them to have that as a possible provision to the 4 bill once it gets reintroduced in the next 5 legislative session. 6 7 MR. PFLAUM: Is there draft legislative language that's been --8 9 MS. JIMENEZ: We started -- yes. I'm 10 sorry. We have started working on draft legislative language. We just need to -- we need to pass that 11 on for review. 12 13 MR. PFLAUM: Thank you. 14 MS. JIMENEZ: Thank you. 15 MR. PFLAUM: Any other questions for 16 Ms. Jimenez? 17 Thank you for appearing and for your 18 testimony. 19 MS. JIMENEZ: Thank you. 20 MR. PFLAUM: -- testimony. 21 Our next speaker is Pastor Norma Patterson 22 from the Debt Free Justice Illinois coalition. Again, I want to thank the coalition for its written 23 2.4 comments which the task force is considering as

well; and, Pastor Patterson, we appreciate you coming today to testify. Good morning. The floor is yours.

MS. PATTERSON: Good morning, everyone.

5 I'm Pastor Norma Patterson, and I'm a 6 member of this task force. I'm a pastor in East 7 St. Louis, Good Shepherd of Faith United Church of Christ, and I'm also a retired teacher from teaching 8 9 in St. Louis public schools for 25 years, 16 years as a teacher in the classroom, 9 years as an 10 administrator; and I've seen children in middle 11 12 school and in high school targeted because of that 13 pipeline that extends from school to prison. People 14 say it's not there, that it's invisible, but I'm 15 here to tell you that it's real, it's documented on a regular basis, and certain children are targeted. 16

17 As a result of my experience in the school 18 district and my experience as a pastor in 19 East St. Louis with church members suffering from 20 these fees and fines because their children are 21 targeted and they are responsible for those kids --22 and sometimes they are not the parents; sometimes they're the grandparents -- our community organizers 23 24 have been at the forefront of this coalition

4

1	campaign to end juvenile fees and fines by
2	connecting with impacted youth and families in
3	Illinois. On behalf of our community organizers, I
4	provide my testimony and full support of the task
5	force findings and recommendations under New
6	Initiative 3 regarding assessment and fines in
7	juvenile delinquency cases.
8	The Gamaliel Network, and I'm a president
9	of Gamaliel of Illinois, is a faith-based
10	multiethnic, multiracial grassroots organization
11	whose mission is to train ordinary people, primarily
12	low income people, from communities of color to
13	effectively participate in the political
14	environment. The social economic decisions that
15	offort their liver oftentimes are overlocked because

15 affect their lives oftentimes are overlooked because 16 the people don't know what to look for. If they're 17 not invited to participate in their lives and what 18 happens to them, then we don't even know what's 19 going on in the communities, most people don't know.

We are a part of central southern Illinois and mostly the metro east community. These are the counties that we are connected with. These youth and their families that are affected by the juvenile justice system are in Cass County, Morgan County,

1	Sangamon County, Macon County, Madison County,
2	St. Clair County, Monroe County, Randolph County,
3	Jackson County, and Franklin County. Sometimes
4	because we're in the lower part of the state of
5	Illinois we are totally ignored, but we are on board
б	with the bill that we want to push, we want this
7	bill passed, because our children are suffering at a
8	higher rate than most children in other counties.
9	Our community organizers have been working
10	on the campaign to eliminate juvenile fees and fines
11	advancing Senate Bill 3621 since November of 2021.
12	Over the course of four months last spring, we
13	interviewed about fifty impacted youth through
14	one-on-one meetings in the counties mentioned
15	earlier.
16	We want to uplift the following youth
17	stories when extremely I'm sorry, which
18	exemplifies and harms and long-term consequences
19	that the youth and their parents face as a result of
20	fees and fines imposed upon them. The youth wish to
21	remain anonymous, so the names of the youths of
22	these anecdotes have been changed to preserve their
23	anonymity.
24	Jay, who is now a 17 year olds has been

1 17-year-old has been involved in the county's 2 juvenile system for several years. His first 3 contact with juvenile justice system was at the age 4 of 13, and he was fined for the incident. His 5 parents had to pay the fine off, and it was a huge 6 strain on his family. Jay informed that his parents 7 couldn't sleep from the financial burden.

There are 27 housing projects in the city 8 9 of East St. Louis. No other city in the state of Illinois with a population of 27,000 has 27 -- I'm 10 11 sorry, 27 housing projects. Who has that in their 12 community? And so the children are lumped together. 13 They go to school together. They go to the play to 14 the park together. They don't have any outside 15 connections other than each other. What laws, what government allows that to happen? I've been to 16 17 other states, and I don't know anywhere where I've 18 seen that happen.

Dennis is now 16 years old but was involved in a traffic accident when he was 15 years old. He was arrested in a county, one of the ones that I named, after the accident for not having a license. He received a \$1700 fine which he and his family are still paying off in monthly installments

1 a year later, and they still haven't paid it off.
2 Does that sound familiar, people who went to college
3 paying student loans 40 years, 20 years and still
4 haven't paid them off?

5 Dennis can't obtain a license because of 6 this incident. He has to work in order to pay off 7 the fine; and not being able to obtain a license has 8 added chronic stress with his commute, his needs for 9 work, and his school commitments.

The majority of the youth in Illinois 10 11 juvenile system are low income youth of color, which means that the youth and families of color bear a 12 13 disproportionate burden in the assessment of 14 juvenile fees and fines across Illinois. Through 15 the interviews we conducted, we learned that grandparents also bear the financial burdens of 16 17 assessments since they're often the only caregivers for many children and teenagers across Illinois. 18

Juvenile assessments can amount to over thousands of dollars; and even with monthly payment plans, these financial burdens create a significant long-term financial and social challenges for impacted youth and families. Low income families of color end up paying much more with exact same

1	offenses and charges in juvenile court than their
2	Caucasian counterparts and more privileged
3	counterparts pay. It is time to end such unjust and
4	unequitable practices in the state of Illinois
5	because fees and fines only hurt youth and families.
6	For all these reasons, we strongly support
7	the task force recommendation to abolish assessments
8	and fines in juvenile delinquency cases by enacting
9	legislation substantially in the form of SB
10	Senate Bill 3621. I'm a preacher, you guys, so I
11	can't just read it. I have to testify and preach to
12	you a little bit. Okay? Thank you.
13	MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Pastor Patterson.
14	We do appreciate that.
15	Anyone have any questions?
16	Hearing none, again, thank you very much
17	for your testimony.
18	Our next speaker this morning, Retired
19	Judge George Timberlake.
19 20	
	Judge George Timberlake.
20	Judge George Timberlake. Judge Timberlake. You're on mute,
20 21	Judge George Timberlake. Judge Timberlake. You're on mute, something we've all experienced during the age of
20 21 22	Judge George Timberlake. Judge Timberlake. You're on mute, something we've all experienced during the age of Zoom. There we go.

1	Thank you for the sermon, Ms. Patterson.
2	That's exactly the kind of story that needs to be
3	told throughout the state of Illinois, and I'm from
4	southern Illinois. I've been retired for a long
5	time, but the subject is one that I have examined in
6	many ways as chief judge, how to manage review
7	hearings; deal with the other members of the court
8	system to collect these fines and because of that
9	experience, both as a chief but much more so I spent
10	15 years as an associate judge, and during that time
11	this is the call I got to do a lot.
12	So tell me, if we spend an entire court
13	day having people show up because they owe fines,
14	fees, costs, and restitution, what do those
15	resources cost? More so, let's talk about what
16	could be important financially in the justice system
17	and that is restitution. Here's a situation that I
18	often encountered and one of these being personal.
19	I've been in the real estate business investments
20	for a long, long time. A young man high on meth
21	burned down one of my garages. So I'm insured but
	buined down one of my garages. So i m insured bac
22	had a \$500 deductible. So the fine rather, the
22 23	

The state attorney is a friend of mine and 1 2 a person I respect. After or towards the end of the 3 young man's probation period, they dismissed the Fines, fees, and costs were paid. 4 case. Restitution was not. 5 So the financial part of the justice 6 7 system ought to be to make people whole if they've had a real loss. Now, that varies all over the 8 9 place, but the question really is how much money are 10 we spending in court resources to recover how much 11 money that is likely never to be paid, just as 12 Reverend Patterson has said. That happens in the 13 adult court, of course, and sometimes for good 14 reason.

15 For juveniles, I suggest this is nuts. 16 What we're trying to do in the justice system is 17 repair the harm that a child has caused to another. 18 Restitution may well be the only thing that should 19 be ordered in terms of fines, fees, and costs, but 20 let's go farther than that. The court resources, 21 the time for defendants, the time for attorneys, the 22 time for judges, clerks, bailiffs, and all of that, 23 judge that against the harm cost -- caused to an 24 individual who's been ordered to pay restitution and

doesn't get it, either because someone refuses or because someone simply doesn't have the education and job skills to be able to go forward. We ought to be concentrating on that sort of stuff. If we're going to stop crime, then you have to intervene in people's lives in a way that's meaningful to them. Restitution can do that.

Several of you have heard my speeches 8 9 about restorative justice. This is the point right here where we can consider that restorative justice 10 11 is a -- not a replacement for but the forum in which 12 restitution is determined. Not by us, not by the 13 system, rather by that person or company or whomever 14 has been harmed has the opportunity to say, okay, I 15 want you to pay me a thousand dollars. Kid says, I don't have a thousand dollars, you know. I work 16 17 after school and make 30 bucks a week.

Instead, restorative justice gives the opportunity for that person who has been victimized, who has been harmed, to speak directly to the person who caused that harm and then work out their individual arrangements as to what are you going to do for me. I've been harmed; I want you to hear it; and if you're sincere, here's what you could do to

help me. Doesn't mean write a check. Doesn't mean
 that we got to see each other every Friday for the
 next ten years of our lives because you owed money.

4 Instead, let's get to a point where 5 justice is served at a local level on a personal level, not on a system level, because the system 6 7 hasn't done a very good job of carrying this through. If you survey the amount of moneys owed to 8 9 circuit clerks throughout the state of Illinois, it will boggle your mind. The opportunity to recover 10 11 that entire amount is infinitesimal. The amount that you're going to write off because finally 12 13 somebody dies or because the judge realizes it's 14 never going to be paid because the guy isn't 15 capable, let's go to the justice involved in this.

16 And what's just been said today, what's 17 being considered by the court, what's being 18 considered in the legislature is just that. Let's 19 make victims whole. Let's help offenders progress 20 beyond whatever behavior or life condition created. 21 That's justice. I thought that's what this system 22 was about, and I think that's what we strive to do 23 every day.

24

Thanks.

Page 28 MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Judge Timberlake. 1 2 Do any task force members have any 3 questions for the Judge? 4 Thank you very much for your testimony --5 MR. TIMBERLAKE: Thank you. 6 MR. PFLAUM: -- thoughtful. 7 Our next speaker is former Representative Steve Andersson, a member of the -- is he here? 8 9 MR. ANDERSSON: I am here. 10 MR. PFLAUM: Great. Good to you see, 11 Representative. 12 MR. ANDERSSON: Thank you. 13 MR. PFLAUM: Member of the original 14 Statutory Court Fee Task Force. Welcome. 15 MR. ANDERSSON: Thank you very much. As 16 you said, my name is Steve Anderson. For the 17 record, I've been a licensed attorney for 30 years, 18 about the first 20 of which I was also a local 19 prosecutor. So I spent a great deal of my time, at 20 least once a week, in our local traffic courts in 21 Kane County actually doing a lot of the work that 22 we're talking about here today including suspending a lot of people's drivers' licenses for not showing 23 24 up, entering ex parte judgments for people not

showing up, and creating part of the problem that I
 think we are here to address.

3 I really wanted to compliment Judge Timberlake's commentary about the inability to 4 5 collect on a lot of these imposed fees. That is the literal truth. As you mentioned, I'm a member of 6 7 the original task force, and it was a pleasure to serve with several of you who are on the current 8 9 task force, and I was the sponsor of the CTAA in 10 short.

11 So this is a area that is near and dear to 12 my heart, and I want to thank the members of the 13 task force for your hard work on this. When I had 14 the opportunity to review your draft report, I 15 really was impressed. You've taken what we started with and really launched it to the next level. 16 So 17 my compliments to you on what I see as an excellent first draft and an excellent debate about where we 18 19 go in the future. So thank you very much for that. 20 I also am speaking specifically though as

21 a lobbyist with the Chicago Jobs Council. The 22 Chicago Job Council's mission surrounds removing 23 impediments to work opportunities; and in this case 24 specifically, we are dealing with the issue of

driver's license suspensions and how that affects people's lives in general. We also comprise a transit table which is comprised of actually many of the organizations that you're hearing from today or who have received testimony to advocate for this issue.

Specifically what we are dealing with is 7 to outline our position on the harms of fees to the 8 9 lowest income people, specifically fees resulting from a failure to appear in traffic court. 10 At 11 present, over 100,000 people in Illinois have 12 suspended licenses for failure to appear in traffic 13 These suspensions often are just an court. 14 extension of the traffic ticket debt collection 15 process. This is because even though a driver's 16 license suspension is initially imposed after a 17 person's failure to appear in traffic court, many 18 circumstances, many court clerk systems thereafter 19 also enter the ex parte judgment, and then the 20 Secretary of State cannot reinstate the license 21 until the ticket is paid and they go back to court 22 to seek reinstatement. As a result in many places 23 failure to appear suspensions become failure to pay 24 suspensions in real practice.

Like other debt-driven suspensions, the 1 2 real-world impact of a failure to appear suspension 3 devastates communities of color. According to our research data, the top ten ZIP codes in the 4 5 Chicagoland region with the most failure to appear suspensions have predominantly Black and Latino 6 7 residents. Nine of those ZIP codes have a majority of Black residents, and one of them has a majority 8 9 of Latino residents, all with above average poverty levels. 10 11 Minority workers are hit the hardest. 12 Only 16.2 percent of Latino and 19.7 percent of 13 Black workers can work from home compared to 14 30 percent of white workers. This means workers 15 needing driver's licenses are the most likely to 16 have licenses suspended for failure to appear. 17 In many states fines and fees to fund law 18 enforcement distort police priorities and ultimately 19 impede public safety. In cities where police 20 departments receive more funding from fees, police 21 solve violent crimes at a significantly lower rate. 22 You heard that correct. According to our research, 23 a 1 percent increase in debt-based revenues, money from fines, fees, and forfeitures, was associated 24

with a 3.7 percent decrease in violent crime clearance rates. In other words, or perhaps more simply put, the focus of law enforcement shifts from what their main purpose would be which would be to clear those violent crime rates instead to collections.

7 Fines and fees that often underline a failure to appear driver's license suspension put 8 9 minority workers in really an impossible situation. They either choose to drive illegally because they 10 11 have inadvertently missed a traffic court appearance 12 date or they lose their employment and subsequently 13 their ability to live. It really becomes a spiral 14 downward, if you will. In fact, if they take that 15 risk and they drive while suspended, oftentimes that 16 means they're now receiving another ticket for 17 driving while license suspended, which is more 18 serious and more significant. So you'll see a 19 spiral downwards amongst people because they make an 20 impossible choice, the choice either to work or not 21 work based on one incidental failure to appear.

We believe those failures to appear, by the way, are at the root of the system which is that it's complicated and it's difficult for people who

are not familiar with the court system to always 1 2 make their court dates or to understand exactly when 3 and where they are. Among our survey respondents served by Workforce Development adult education 4 programs across the state, 25 percent have 5 experienced a suspended or revoked license. 6 On the 7 one hand, our government services are trying to help get people employed, there's no doubt about that; 8 9 but on the exact opposite hand we are using the Secretary of State's office to hold them back 10 11 unnecessarily. Suspending driver's licenses for 12 failure to appear does not promote public safety or 13 help collect unpaid fines and fees for traffic 14 violations.

15 Suspensions hurt people's ability to earn a living and find housing, which is bad for the 16 17 business community and ultimately bad for the entire 18 governmental system. Failure to appear in court for 19 driver's license suspensions for fines and fees 20 should not dictate a person's ability to work, 21 provide for themselves and their family, and live. 22 We believe state legislative and court 23 reforms can restore integrity to the criminal 24 justice system and align fees and fines with the

system's broader goals. Reforms are urgently needed
 to protect low income families from being trapped in
 ruinous debt and people from being penalized,
 sometimes incarcerated, simply because they can't
 pay court fines and fees.

6 Specifically to your report, our comments 7 here today are directed at your New Initiative No. 4, which is debt collection. We would ask you 8 9 specifically to consider adopting House Bill 5588, that's House Bill 5588, Representative Nick Smith is 10 11 the sponsor, into your recommendations. Ιt 12 eliminates suspensions for failure to appear in 13 While this is not specifically a fee, it court. 14 will result in the reduction of the fees needed to 15 reinstate drivers' licenses at a later point; and as 16 I indicated, if our system is designed to allow 17 people to address their justice system issues and 18 still allow them to work, we are in a much better 19 place.

Also remember, the only remedy for a failure to appear is not a driver's license suspension. Sometimes when the police stop an individual, they will give them what amounts to personal recognizance where they do not ask for

1	anything, or they'll post a statutory cash bond for
2	that same issue. In neither of those cases, so two
3	out of three cases, those people will not receive a
4	driver's license suspension. But only in one case
5	somewhere someone posts a driver's license will they
6	suffer this significant penalty. So we're asking
7	that things be aligned more to what I would
8	characterize as the more common collection method.
9	Finally, and this is a separate item and a
10	personal item, so I'm now not speaking for the
11	Chicago Jobs Council, speaking only for myself as
12	the sponsor, is I would ask that you, as you
13	indicate in your report, advocate for the repeal of
14	the sunset. When we created the original CTAA, that
15	was my solution to getting a lot of different
16	organizations on board. There was a great deal of
17	anxiety over how much of a change we were making and
18	whether we might have miscalculated the impact of
19	the various changes in fees.
20	And so our suggestion, my suggestion
21	honestly, was let's create a sunset. We did, that
22	got the bill passed, but what we've seen and what
23	you've seen since then is that it has not
24	dramatically impacted either positively or

1	negatively the variety of different agencies. So
2	with that being the case, the reason for the sunset
3	no longer exists. So I fully support your
4	recommendation in that and ask that as this moves
5	forward that that remain there and that we remove
6	that sunset. This is not this is not something
7	that needs a sunset any further.
8	And with that, I thank you for the
9	opportunity to speak and, of course, I'm happy to
10	answer any questions.
11	MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Representative.
12	Does anyone have any questions for
13	Representative Andersson?
14	MR. SLAUGHTER: Mr. Chair.
15	MR. PFLAUM: Go ahead. Yes.
16	MR. SLAUGHTER: Representative Andersson,
17	Retired, thank you so very much for being with us
18	this morning. I also want to just thank you and
19	we're so grateful for the time and energy and effort
20	that you put into this subject matter.
21	Often throughout your testimony you were
22	referring to some data and reports and statistics.
23	Can you just make sure that the task force has what
24	you were what you were reading from and
referencing. And I think it's very, very valuable 1 2 obviously to be able to lean on the data and the 3 stats. So I know all the members appreciate that approach as well. 4 So thank you, Steve. 5 6 MR. ANDERSSON: It's my pleasure. We do 7 have that all, and we will submit it. Thank you very much for that opportunity. 8 9 MR. PFLAUM: Thank you. No, we welcome that, and that could be submitted online. 10 11 Thank you, Representative Slaughter, for 12 that suggestion. 13 Any other questions for Representative 14 Andersson? 15 Again, thank you. 16 Our next speaker this morning is Brian 17 Gaughan from an organization known as LEAP. 18 Mr. Gauqhan. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Good morning. Thanks for 20 allowing me to speak to you folks today. Greetings, Chair Steven Pflaum and members of the Statutory 21 22 Court Fee Task Force. I speak here today in favor of the proposed Initiative 3 because I believe that 23 24 ending fines and fees for minors would strengthen

police community trust and lower recidivism amongst minors.

3 My name is Brian Gaughan, and I'm weighing in on this issue as a retired Illinois law 4 5 enforcement officer and as a speaker for Law Enforcement Action Partnership, also known as LEAP. 6 7 LEAP is a nonprofit group of police officers, prosecutors, judges, and other criminal justice 8 9 professionals who speak of our experience from within the criminal justice system. Our mission is 10 11 to make communities safer by advocating for the focus of law enforcement resources to be placed on 12 13 the greatest threats to public safety and working 14 towards healing police community relations.

As a police officer, I saw firsthand that our young people needed support and positive interactions from officers in their community, not financial penalties. Every effort to positively engage with young people serve to keep them out of the criminal justice system which improves community trust.

Unfortunately, when youth get into trouble, they face financial consequences. Juvenile fees and fines, the cost in monetary sanctions that

are imposed on youths and their families for the 1 2 youth's involvement in the juvenile delinguency 3 system, actually operate as a regressive tax on the families who can very least afford it. These youths 4 5 and their families are charged a range of fees, including for detention, probation, supervision, and 6 electronic monitoring. These juvenile fines and 7 fees are counterproductive actually. They come with 8 9 harsh penalties and other negative consequences for nonpayment. They fill our courtrooms with families 10 11 who are there solely because they're unable to pay The fact is these don't generate net 12 the fines. 13 revenue because most families with children in a 14 justice system are low income.

As the statutory court fees report points out, often there's little attempt even made to collect those fees; and since we realize that's the case, what we should do is do away with those harsh juvenile fees that keep children engaged with the criminal justice system which studies have shown does lead to recidivism.

And lastly, these fines and fees, they in no way deter crime and, in fact, they increase it; and they do that by pushing families further into

poverty and force them to make decisions between 1 2 paying the rent and feeding their family or paying fines and fees which in turn leads to continued 3 interactions with the justice system. Implementing 4 this initiative would eliminate fines and fees 5 imposed on the Juvenile Court Act of 1987. 6 States 7 from every region of the country are taking similar 8 action to eliminate juvenile fees and fines. In 9 just this past year alone Louisiana, Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, and New Hampshire 10 11 eliminated juvenile fees and fines following in the footsteps of California, Nevada, Maryland, and 12 13 several other states. Illinois now has the 14 opportunity to join those states in a very much 15 commonsense bipartisan reform approach that will 16 help Illinois youth and families.

17 I believe this initiative will also help 18 improve police community trust which is so key to 19 what's going on in this country. The police are 20 only as strong as our relationship with the public 21 because they prevent and solve crimes, big and 22 small, based in large part on the information from victims and witnesses. Victims won't report crime 23 24 if they don't believe in the system. A recent

1 report actually found out that more than half, it's 2 mind-blowing to me that more than a half of all 3 violent crimes went unreported between 2006 and 2010 4 in this country.

When people see that their family is 5 weighed down by juvenile justice debt, who do they 6 7 blame? They blame the figure that they see, and that's the police. They blame the police. And when 8 9 they blame the police, they're not going to talk to the police. And when they don't speak to us, crimes 10 11 don't get reported, witnesses don't come forward. As a result, all residents suffer. 12

I urge you to join me in supporting this initiative to protect struggling families and improve police community trust which will result in a better Illinois for all of us. Thanks much for the opportunity to share my experience and support of this bill. Be well.

MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan. Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Gaughan? Okay. And thank you very much for very helpful testimony.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thanks.

24

MR. PFLAUM: Our next speaker I believe
 will be Deputy Public Defender Parle Roe-Taylor from
 the Cook County Public Defender's Office.

4

Ms. Roe-Taylor, welcome.

5 MS. ROE-TAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you. I 6 appreciate the opportunity to provide comment to the 7 task force regarding the draft report today. As you 8 said, my name is Parle Roe-Taylor, and I am a deputy 9 public defender with the law office of the Cook 10 County Public Defender.

11 The office has and remains strongly in support of all efforts to reduce the impact of court 12 13 assessments for our clients, and we are pleased that 14 the task force is taking up and proposing solutions 15 to implement challenges to the Criminal and Traffic Assessment Act. I'm here today to comment on the 16 17 implementation proposals as well as to commend the 18 task force for their excellent work that you've done 19 so far and speak in favor of our recommendations and 20 the new initiatives detailed in the draft report and 21 highlight a few areas where our office believe that 22 the recommendations could go further to address the additional issues. 23

24

We are in agreement that removal of the

sunset on and to continue to document the impact of 1 2 the Criminal and Traffic Assessment Act. We 3 believe, as stated earlier by the Representative, that there is no longer a need for that and that it 4 is our position that the collection of the 5 additional information will assist in evaluating the 6 7 impact of the act; and that is one of the things 8 that we have all learned, that it is that data that 9 helps guide the decisions that we make, and that 10 would be incredibly helpful for us to have. Moving 11 forward it remains essential that we're able to 12 better understand the assessments of people who are 13 accused and how to balance the county budgets in 14 order for us to continue to do the work that we have 15 for each of the courts systems.

16 We are also of the opinion that we need to 17 close the loopholes that defeat the goals of the 18 Criminal and Traffic Assessment Act. I've been a 19 lawyer for more than 30 years, I have handled 20 numerous matters in the court system, and it is 21 always heart-wrenching when part of the negotiations 22 is how a client is going to pay the fees and the 23 costs associated, whether some are mandatory or 24 whether some are actually part of the state's offer

1 or a prosecutor's offer. For a client who stands 2 before us indigent where the assessment has already 3 been made by the court that they are indigent and 4 now as part of whatever system -- sentence, I'm 5 sorry, they are responsible for, they now have this 6 financial burden.

7 Those types of instances are a work-around 8 for the act, and we have to make sure that those are 9 prohibited moving forward. And so we commend the 10 task force on considering how to get rid of those 11 instances where people are not given an opportunity 12 just to pay the restitution and avoid court fines 13 and fees.

14 Another oppressive portion that we have to 15 consider are the late fees, and there was -- I believe the Representative also talked about the 16 17 piling on and what that means not just for the 18 traffic but also for our clients who lose their jobs 19 because they were taken into custody. They fall 20 behind because of their inability to get back to court, sometimes to get to work because just the 21 22 financial burdens that they have, and the piling on of these costs and these fees further digs a hole 23 24 for them that becomes impossible to get out. And,

yeah, sometimes they reoffend. A lot of times they
 are trying to balance their family's needs versus
 their own.

4 We also echo the testimony that came 5 regarding the juvenile costs and fees as it relates to not just those minors but of course their 6 7 families. So many children are unable to get the paper route that used to be available or to cut 8 9 grass or do those things where they could save some money. They live in urban areas where they may just 10 11 not have access to those weekend or those jobs.

And certainly considering that there's 12 13 harm that is there working out restitution, we need 14 to be creative. We always need to be creative in 15 how to make a victim or complaining witness whole, but we have to challenge our imaginations of how to 16 17 do this. I've seen some very creative instances 18 where -- and I believe someone made the reference to 19 restorative justice court as well as sitting down 20 with a complaining witness and asking them what can 21 we do in situations where there isn't great bodily 22 harm or other instances to make sure that you know this juvenile will be rehabilitated and will 23 24 contribute to what harm you suffered. So I think

1 that we can do that. So I do -- we do echo the 2 positions that have been taken regarding juveniles 3 who find themselves burdened with these costs and 4 assessments.

I would also like to address some of the 5 6 other issues that we have with our clients who are 7 reentering the system who are sentenced to the Illinois Department of Corrections who are also 8 9 saddled with fees and costs when they return. Т think mostly everyone on this task force can 10 11 appreciate the difficulty that clients have in 12 reentering. Every program won't take them. Every 13 employer will not consider them. Some of those 14 clients, a lot of those clients, have difficulty 15 getting jobs. Getting back into the workforce is 16 one of the main ways to even be able to support themselves, and oftentimes because of the felony 17 18 convictions they are looking at fines that they're 19 responsible for, and they're looking for ways to pay 20 that and to take care of themselves.

It's an undue burden. They have just been penalized in a system that sought to rehabilitate them, but the reality of that is that they are unable to pay. They're unable to find jobs with

liveable wages such that they can pay off the 1 2 thousands of dollars that have been assessed against 3 them. And it is shocking to see as you sit in court, and as I have, when you just hear the judges 4 5 and the prosecutors say, well, the agreement is \$10,000 that's being assessed or \$25,000 knowing 6 7 that that client becomes more unemployable the longer they stay in prison. 8

9 And then when they are released, somehow 10 there's this expectation that they begin to pay, and 11 often I have sat through hearings where there have 12 been findings that the person is unwilling to pay 13 because they did not get a job even if they can show 14 the log that they went to several different places. So we commend you on the consideration that those 15 16 not be part of agreements by the prosecution and the 17 defense in plea agreements.

I also want to raise just one other major issue for us, and that is the cost that is actually legislated, on the books, regarding the reimbursement of the public defender's office; and while it is not imposed, it is still in existence. And this is very contrary to the court who has made the assessment and the finding that the person is

indigent; and in a felony case they can be 1 2 responsible for up to \$5,000 of our services. When 3 there's a finding absent some later showing that this person has the ability to pay is very 4 inconsistent with the court's original finding of 5 indigence for the purpose of appointing a lawyer 6 from our office. Because of this inconsistency and 7 no showing that this person has any ability after 8 9 the case is complete, it is just another layer of a burden placed upon our clients. 10

11 Most of our revenue comes from other 12 agencies, right, and the state. And a lot of our 13 clients are taxpayers. Their money is being spent 14 even for the prosecution of their own cases, but 15 then to try to tack it on at the very end after that matter is complete if they are not successful is 16 almost like a double tax for them to now have to pay 17 18 back into the system for the use of a system that 19 they were being -- that they were seeking justice 20 in.

So we commend you for the consideration of eliminating and we would ask the task force to propose eliminating that particular section of the legislation as our clients are being, again, unduly burdened with the cost of our services when they
 have already been found to be entitled to our
 services.

So I would like to thank you for the opportunity to be heard today and for all of your work as proposed in the draft report. Thank you.

7 MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Ms. Roe-Taylor.
8 Does anyone have any question?
9 Chirag Badlani, go ahead.

10 MR. BADLANI: Ms. Roe-Taylor, thank you 11 for your testimony today and for the public 12 defender's written comments as well. One just 13 question of clarification on the reimbursement 14 legislation. I believe I caught you said that it's 15 not imposed. Is that just a policy in Cook County, or are you aware of some state-wide policy regarding 16 the lack of enactment of that or enforcement of that 17 18 legislation?

MS. ROE-TAYLOR: So Cook has imposed that provision from time to time, not currently, but it has happened under a previous public defender. It is a very difficult position to be in. Certainly it's not a position even when it was happening that we were making that proposal to our clients or

advising them, it was actually that the state was telling or the judge was telling our client that they would be seeking reimbursement and that notice had to be given at the time that we were resolving the case.

Was it happening at other jurisdictions? 6 7 I don't have the data on that, but I know that as long as that piece of legislation sits there, it can 8 9 be imposed. So while it is currently not a 10 practice, I have no reason to think that it will not 11 rear its head again and be pushed as a revenue stream to come from our office because we are the 12 13 ones that are the least likely to be able to have a revenue stream coming from the services that we 14 15 provide to indigent clients. 16 MR. BADLANI: Thank you. 17 MR. PFLAUM: Any other questions? MR. FIELLO: 18 Yeah, I wanted to follow up. 19 MR. PFLAUM: Judge Fiello. 20 MR. FIELLO: I think this is imposed in 21 other counties. In fact, it's imposed in my county. 22 And I know it's not in Cook County right now, but from your testimony it sounds like you've been there 23 24 quite some time so you may have some information

1 about when it was.

2	So my question to you is, if you know,
3	when it was being imposed, how often was this
4	assessed against defendants, whether those
5	assessments were paid and when they if they were
6	paid, where did the money come from? In other
7	words, did it was it assessed and taken out of
8	maybe bond that the person had put up or was there
9	some proceedings after the case was over to collect
10	it?
11	And finally, it doesn't sound like the
12	public defender's office relied on this as a revenue
13	stream, so I'm guessing but you can correct me if
14	I'm wrong that not, not having the ability for
15	this to be assessed is not going to hamper the
16	ability of the public defender to do their job.
17	MS. ROE-TAYLOR: So I'll try to take that
18	in parts. Please remind me if I miss any of those
19	questions.
20	So I can tell you that the imposition of
21	the payment was not as often because, as you can
22	imagine, some judges recognize that the additional
23	burden placed on, you know, a person before them
24	would be just buried and in debt. So often and

there were some fundamental objections that were 1 2 being made, and judges would often say I'm not going 3 to assess, even though I can, I'm not going to do it because this is a misdemeanor case. You know, it's 4 There's no way that you'll be able to pay 5 \$500. that in addition to all the other things. 6 So even 7 where the request was made by the prosecutor, judges would actually go on the record and say I'm not 8 9 going to impose that at this time. I've never seen a full collection though 10

11 there was an order often that it be paid if that 12 client had posted bond. And the schedule was never 13 very clear, but a portion of it was paid, and then 14 they were told you need to pay that amount and the 15 clerk's office would be the designated place for it to go into the bucket and to be spread about; and 16 17 it's not even that our office was getting it in 18 total. And oftentimes it had to be written in on a 19 lot of orders because it was not standard.

20I think you also asked about -- oh, I'm21sorry, if you can remind me, Mr. Fiello.

22 MR. FIELLO: The only other thing that I 23 mentioned, I think you've covered all the points 24 that I asked, which are they weren't assessed often;

1 if they were paid, they were paid out of the bond 2 money normally and there wasn't -- at least you 3 didn't experience any sort of post case collection 4 efforts.

5 MS. ROE-TAYLOR: No, we did not. We had -- there was so much confusion when it did 6 7 There were some clients who actually sent happen. checks to our office. We had to certainly get those 8 9 right over to the clerk's office so that those 10 could, you know, be given against whatever the debt 11 was. But no, there was no huge influx that affected 12 our budget so we could hire more people or that we 13 could, you know, get more resources and then it went 14 away.

15 So we have enjoyed the fact that that no 16 longer is a practice, but we do live in fear that it 17 could rear its head again. And I feel very bad for you that it is in your jurisdiction because it is 18 19 such an inconsistent message to the person who's 20 being appointed a lawyer for our office; and then 21 once they get their case resolved, they're being 22 told, oh, yeah, by the way, that free lawyer isn't 23 really free. You're going to have to pay a portion 24 of their salary for their services. It is

1 unfortunate. 2 MR. FIELLO: Thank you. 3 MS. ROE-TAYLOR: Thank you. MR. PFLAUM: Does anyone have more 4 5 questions? 6 Again, thank you, Ms. Roe-Taylor, both for 7 your testimony today and also for the very careful comments that you and your office submitted, the 8 9 written comments, which were also very valuable. Thank you for that. 10 11 MS. ROE-TAYLOR: Thank you. 12 MR. PFLAUM: Our next speaker this morning is Aimee Galvin. 13 14 Ms. Galvin, I have you identified as with 15 the ISBA although I realized you're, I believe, with an organization called Stand for Children. 16 So welcome, and the floor is yours. 17 18 MS. GALVIN: Thank you so much. And yes, 19 I am with Stand for Children, and I'm speaking on 20 behalf of Stand and the Debt Free Justice Illinois 21 coalition. 22 So thank you, Chairman Pflaum and members of the Statutory Court Fee Task Force, for holding 23 2.4 this hearing to gather public comments on the task

force draft report. As I said, my name is Aimee
 Galvin, and I'm the government affairs director with
 Stand for Children Illinois.

Stand for Children Illinois is a nonprofit 4 that advocates for educational equity and racial 5 justice to create a better future for us all. As a 6 member of the Debt Free Justice Illinois coalition, 7 8 Stand is committed to eliminating harmful and 9 economically unjust fees and fines imposed on youth and their families in the juvenile justice system. 10 Juvenile court fees and fines undermine youths' 11 12 success by perpetuating cycles of debt and can 13 prolong a child's involvement with the justice 14 system further delaying plans like college and 15 career, and I think we have heard a lot of 16 testimony, you know, to that effect today.

Stand for Children Illinois and the Debt 17 18 Free Justice Illinois coalition strongly support the 19 task force's findings and recommendations under New 20 Initiative 3 that calls for legislation abolishing 21 assessments and fines in juvenile delinguency cases. 22 Debt Free Justice Illinois is part of a national movement that has brought together organizations 23 24 from across the political spectrum to end the

harmful practice of juvenile fines and fees. Since
 2015, twenty states have reduced or eliminated
 juvenile fines and fees, and it's time for Illinois
 to do the same.

Debt Free Justice Illinois is proudly 5 bipartisan and enjoys support from groups that stand 6 7 on both sides of the political line and spectrum as well as support from a wide variety of stakeholders 8 9 including judges, state's attorneys, probation officials, youth correction officers, and law 10 11 enforcement leaders that have joined us in our call 12 to end juvenile fines and fees.

13 Thank you to the task force for 14 recommending that the General Assembly pass 15 legislation substantively in the form of SB 3621 which, as we've covered today, will eliminate all 16 17 juvenile fines and fees in delinquency cases. This 18 bill will take Illinois one step closer to achieving 19 a more just and rehabilitative system for young 20 people in our state. We strongly support the task 21 force's recommendation and encourage the General 22 Assembly to enact that legislation in the form of SB 3621. 23

24

So thank you so much.

Thank you, Ms. Galvin. 1 MR. PFLAUM: And 2 thank you also for the written comments that were 3 submitted on behalf of the coalition. Does anyone have questions for Ms. Galvin? 4 5 Okay. Thanks again for being here this 6 morning. 7 Our last scheduled speaker is CJ Beck from the Chicago Appleseed Center. 8 9 Good morning, Mr. Beck. MR. BECK: Good morning. 10 Thank you very 11 So hello. My name is CJ Beck, and I'm here much. today on behalf of Chicago Appleseed Center for Fair 12 13 The Chicago Appleseed is a nonprofit that Courts. 14 seeks equitable and fair solutions to systemic 15 injustices in Illinois' courts and criminal legal 16 system. 17 So I'm here today in support of the 18 recommendations made in the Illinois Statutory Court 19 Fee Task Force drafted report. The issue of 20 reducing court fines and fees are of particular 21 importance to the Chicago Appleseed because fines 22 and fees perpetuate inequality as opposed to serving justice. 23 24 Court fines and fees construct a cycle of

1	debt as punishment for people in the court system,
2	disproportionately impacting low income people and
3	communities of color. For example, a 2017 study by
4	the Fines and Fees Justice Center found that on
5	average monetary punishment increases by \$34,864 per
6	100,000 residents for every 1 percent increase in
7	the Black population. Moreover, collection of these
8	debts are generally inefficient and do not
9	contribute to significant portion of funding towards
10	the court system. The recommendations that the task
11	force have made minimize the burden of legal
12	financial obligations on individuals in Illinois,
13	and are undoubtedly a step in the right direction.
14	I would like to emphasize that the
15	Criminal and Traffic Assessment Act passed in 2019
16	was a significant step forward for creating a more
17	just Illinois court system. The CTAA has helped
18	has created some clarity in court costs and fees for
19	court staff as well as litigants and has helped
20	minimize the cost burden on individuals and
21	families. Therefore, we strongly support the
22	elimination of the sunset day of January 1st,
23	2024. Termination of the provisions in this law
24	would effectively undercut substantial efforts to

move the courts towards greater progress and
 dispensation of equitable justice.

3 While there were many important recommendations in the report from standardizing 4 5 procedures for assessment waiver applications to improved data reporting, I would like to highlight 6 two initiatives that are critical to creating a more 7 equitable court system. These initiatives are 8 9 assessments and fines in juvenile delinquency cases and assessments and fines imposed on defendants 10 11 sentenced to the department of corrections.

12 Chicago Appleseed supports the task force recommendation to abolish assessments and fees in 13 14 juvenile delinquency cases because youth are rarely 15 independently able to pay these fines and fees and 16 the burden falls on their families. As 17 fundamentally shown in the proposal of SB 3621, 18 assessing fines and fees for juveniles and their 19 families undermines the rehabilitative emphasis of 20 the Juvenile Court Act of 1987. Furthermore, these 21 fees compound youth hardship by doing nothing to 22 address the root issues that influence youth towards 23 coming into contact with the legal system. Failure 24 to pay these fines and fees create barriers to

reentry such as harming the young person's credit
 and limiting their eligibility for student loans,
 rental leases, or car loans.

What is proven to be most effective for 4 5 kids and their families is providing resources to ameliorate the challenges that lead to system 6 7 involvement. Support for SB 3621 is fully in line with the Illinois Department of Child and Family 8 9 Services Family First Prevention Act that provides comprehensive services to families to limit youth 10 11 legal system involvement. As such, we at Chicago Appleseed urge stakeholders to voice support for 12 this act. 13

14 Similarly, the task force recommendation 15 to create a sliding scale of fees for people who have been sentenced to the department of corrections 16 17 is a point of progress. However, we implore the 18 task force to go further and abolish fees for people 19 sentenced to IDOC regardless of how long they will 20 be incarcerated because court costs can act as a 21 significant barrier to reentry from incarceration. Incorporating the findings from this fines and fees 22 task force, the Illinois Criminal Justice 23 2.4 Information Authority research and analysis unit

displays the collateral consequences of fines and 1 2 fees imposed on this population which can amount to 3 individuals foregoing basic needs such as groceries, utilities, rent, among others. Inability to pay 4 5 fines considering that the average annual salary for this population amount to \$15,000 also led to more 6 7 than half of the individuals surveyed in the report saying that it negatively impacted their credit. 8 9 Credit issues can lead to eligibility challenges as far as loans, education, and housing, as previously 10 11 stated.

12 We strongly encourage robust push beyond sliding scale methodology towards elimination of 13 14 fees for IDOC-involved individuals to support reentry into their communities. Research in a 15 variety of systems demonstrates that fines and fees 16 17 do not create a significant source of revenue for 18 court systems or the counties where they are seated. 19 The key 2019 study from the Brennan Center called 20 fines and fees an inefficient source of government 21 revenue citing costs of collection, diversion of 22 resources from core responsibilities to collection 23 efforts, and costs of imposing sanctions on those 24 who remain unable to pay. While the prospect of

1	lost revenue is generally unappealing, collecting
2	monetary sanctions has considerable and widely
3	distributed costs for the court system and usually
4	results in spending dollars to collect pennies. The
5	Brennan Center study found that 10 U.S. court
6	jurisdictions spent on average 41 cents for every
7	dollar they collected. Profit from court fees is so
8	low that reducing court fees would not have a
9	significant impact on the court's revenue.
10	So in sum, the report makes significant
11	headway in reducing the amount of court fees and is
12	an important step towards a fully taxpayer-funded
13	court system in Illinois that will eliminate court
14	costs and fees entirely.
15	Want to thank you for your time and work
16	on this report and thank you for allowing me to
17	speak this morning.
18	MR. PFLAUM: Thank you, Mr. Beck.
19	Does anyone have any questions that they
20	wish to pose to Mr. Beck?
21	Chirag Badlani.
22	MR. BADLANI: I have a question. I don't
23	know, Mr. Beck, if you're poised to answer this, or
24	maybe Ms. Jimenez who spoke earlier, but there's

1	been obviously a lot of testimony today on SB 3621,
2	and I just want to understand the Publico
3	investigation regarding municipal fines and fees
4	that are imposed. Is the thinking, Ms. Jimenez or
5	others on the at this hearing who have testified
6	to this issue, that SB that bill potentially
7	might be amended to include this or would there be
8	sort of separate legislation that is contemplated?
9	I think I missed that point that was raised earlier.
10	MS. JIMENEZ: Yeah, no, thank you for
11	raising that. We have been working with the Debt
12	Free Justice Illinois coalition which some of the
13	individuals testifying today on and really trying to
14	raise this issue. When the bill, the language was
15	originally drafted and introduced, this was really
16	before a lot of the investigation, the series came
17	out that was published in April of this year. So
18	really we are working we're working with them to
19	potentially include that within that bill, within
20	Senate Bill 3621. The number may change as the
21	in the new session. But that is our intention and
22	that has been our conversations.
23	If that is something that would not be
24	successful, because we are committed to doing this

and we are committed to moving this forward, it's 1 2 possible that it could be introduced as a separate 3 bill, but the hope was to really include this as part of a part of comprehensive juvenile justice 4 5 reform and really identifying that for the youth. Because we are speaking to this specifically to 6 7 youth. Right? We are not speaking to municipal ordinance violations against adults. 8

9 So that is our intention, to do that going 10 forward, and we are hoping to have the support from 11 the task force today on that. So really that's why 12 we were here today and that's why we were -- that's 13 our original intention, but our strategy would be to 14 have this introduced in this legislature. Whether 15 it's part of that bill or a separate bill, that we 16 are still working on at this point.

MR. BADLANI: Thank you for thatclarification.

19

MR. PFLAUM: Thank you.

20 Any other questions for Ms. Jimenez or 21 Mr. Beck?

Again, Mr. Beck, I want to thank you both for your testimony today and for the written comments that were submitted by the Chicago 1 Appleseed Center. We appreciate all of that.

2 That wraps up. That's our last speaker, 3 scheduled speaker for this morning, and I want to again thank all of our speakers for taking the time 4 to appear this morning and to share their views and 5 their experience with us. You know, the task force 6 7 collectively has very broad experience, but we have no claim that our knowledge and experience is 8 9 encyclopedic, and it's invaluable to hear from 10 members of the public who can supplement our 11 experience with their own and share their views with us. 12 So we appreciate your time and we appreciate 13 your efforts and assistance in the important work of 14 our task force.

15 At this point the public hearing will be 16 adjourned. The meetings of the task force will 17 reconvene to consider the written and oral testimony 18 and to further act on our draft report.

19Alison Spanner, do we have a separate Zoom20link for our meeting, or are we to stay on this21particular Zoom?22MS. SPANNER: We will stay on this Zoom.

23 MR. PFLAUM: Okay. So the public hearing24 will now be adjourned. The live stream can be

	Page 66
1	stopped. Anyone on the Zoom who is not a task force
2	participant, again, thank you and now would be a
3	good time to sign off.
4	(WHEREUPON, at 10:24 A.M. the
5	public hearing was concluded.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 67
1	CERTIFICATE
2	OF
3	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
4	
5	
6	I, RHONDA K. WEILAND, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, CSR
8	License No. 084-004438, do hereby certify that I
9	stenographically reported the proceedings had at the
10	public hearing, as aforesaid, and that the foregoing
11	transcript is a true and accurate record of the
12	proceedings had therein.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do set my hand at
14	Chicago, Illinois, this 13th day of September, 2022.
15	flionellulede
16	
	Certified Shorthand Reporter
17	License No. 084-004438
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

[& - affect]

&	2017 58:3	57 3:16	adam 2:16
	2017 58:15 61:19	6	added 22:8
& 4:3	2021 20:11		addition 52:6
0	2022 1:13 67:14	6 3:3	additional 5:19
084-004438 67:8	2024 58:23	9	14:8 42:23 43:6
67:17	23 3:8	9 12:11 18:10	51:22
1	25 18:9 33:5	9:03 1:13	address 9:11
1 31:23 58:6	25,000 47:6	a	16:24 29:2
10 5:16 62:5	27 21:8,10,11	a.m. 1:13 66:4	34:17 42:22
10,000 47:6	27,000 21:10	ability 10:20	46:5 59:22
100 15:16	28 3:9	13:18 32:13	addressing 15:4
100,000 30:11	3	33:15,20 48:4,8	adjourned 65:16
58:6	3 19:6 37:23	51:14,16	65:24
10:24 66:4	55:20	able 22:7 26:3	adjudications
11 3:4	3.7 32:1	37:2 43:11	11:23 13:17,24
11,800 12:7	30 26:17 28:17	46:16 50:13	14:21
13 21:4	31:14 43:19	52:5 59:15	administered
13th 67:14	30th 1:13	abolish 11:18	16:2
15 21:20 24:10	34,864 58:5	23:7 59:13	administrative
15,000 61:6	3621 10:8 11:19	60:18	11:23 13:16,24
16 18:9 21:19	16:15 20:11	abolishing 10:2	14:21
16.2 31:12	23:10 56:15,23	14:24 55:20	administrator
17 20:24 21:1	59:17 60:7 63:1	abolition 11:24	18:11
1700 21:23	63:20	absent 48:3	adopting 34:9
1711 67:15	37 3:11	access 45:11	adult 25:13 33:4 adults 13:14
18 3:6	4	accident 21:20	64:8
19.7 31:12	4 34:8	21:22	advancing 20:11
1987 40:6 59:20	40 22:3	accurate 8:4	advised 5:10
19th 6:10,14	41 62:6	67:11	advised 5.10 advising 50:1
1st 58:22	42 3:12	accused 43:13	advocate 11:24
2	45 4:13	achieving 56:18 act 5:10 40:6	30:5 35:13
20 12:10 22:3	5		advocates 55:5
28:18	5,000 48:2	42:16 43:2,7,18 44:8 58:15	advocating
2006 41:3	500 24:22 52:5	59:20 60:9,13,20	16:13 38:11
2010 41:3	54 3:14	65:18	affairs 55:2
2015 56:2	5588 34:9,10	action 15:1 38:6	affect 19:15
		40:8	
		10.0	

Veritext Legal Solutions

afford 13:8 39:4	andersson 3:9	65:12,12	assist 43:6
aforesaid 67:10	28:8,9,12,15	approach 37:4	assistance 65:13
age 21:3 23:21	36:13,16 37:6,14	40:15	associate 24:10
agencies 4:11	anecdotes 20:22	april 63:17	associated 31:24
14:20 36:1	angelica 3:4 11:3	area 29:11	43:23
48:12	angie 11:14	areas 42:21	attempt 39:16
ago 4:13 6:16	annual 61:5	45:10	attendant 8:21
15:17	anonymity 20:23	arrangements	attending 4:2
agreement 42:24	anonymous	26:22	attention 11:20
47:5	20:21	arrested 13:22	attorney 11:14
agreements	answer 9:9	21:21	25:1 28:17
47:16,17	36:10 62:23	articulating 7:24	attorneys 25:21
ahead 7:19	answered 9:17	asked 52:20,24	56:9
15:10 36:15	anticipate 4:20	asking 35:6	august 1:13
49:9	anticipates 5:1	45:20	authority 5:10
aimed 15:17	anxiety 35:17	assembly 5:3	60:24
16:24	appear 30:10,12	10:7 56:14,22	available 45:8
aimee 3:14 54:13	30:17,23 31:2,5	assess 13:17 52:3	average 31:9
55:1	31:16 32:8,21,22	assessed 8:15	58:5 61:5 62:6
align 33:24	33:12,18 34:12	13:20 47:2,6	avoid 44:12
aligned 35:7	34:21 65:5	51:4,7,15 52:24	aware 5:4,5
alison 65:19	appearance	assessing 59:18	49:16
allotted 5:19	32:11	assessment 6:23	b
allow 10:23	appearances 2:1	10:19 14:2 19:6	back 30:21
34:16,18	appearing 17:17	22:13 42:16	33:10 44:20
allowing 37:20	appears 14:18	43:2,18 44:2	46:15 48:18
62:16	applaud 15:3	47:24 58:15	backwards 16:7
allows 21:16	appleseed 3:16	59:5	bad 33:16,17
alternatives 16:4	57:8,12,13,21	assessments 6:20	53:17
ameliorate 60:6	59:12 60:12	7:4,7 8:17 10:2	badlani 2:5 49:9
amended 63:7	65:1	12:22 14:12,14	49:10 50:16
amount 22:19	applications	14:20 22:17,19	62:21,22 64:17
27:8,11,11 52:14	59:5	23:7 42:13	bailiffs 25:22
61:2,6 62:11	appointed 53:20	43:12 46:4 51:5	balance 43:13
amounts 34:23	appointing 48:6	55:21 59:9,10,13	45:2
analysis 60:24	appreciate 4:17	assignment 6:12	barrier 60:21
_			
anderson 28:16	18:1 23:14 37:3 42:6 46:11 65:1	6:15	

[barriers - chicago]

barriers 15:5	63:6,14,19,20	buried 51:24	57:8,12 58:4
59:24	64:3,15,15	burned 24:21	61:19 62:5 65:1
based 12:4 19:9	bipartisan 40:15	business 24:19	central 19:20
31:23 32:21	56:6	33:17	cents 62:6
40:22	bit 4:13 7:23,23	с	certain 18:16
basic 61:3	23:12	california 40:12	certainly 8:20
basis 18:16	black 12:11 31:6	call 24:11 56:11	45:12 49:22
bear 22:12,16	31:8,13 58:7	called 54:16	53:8
beck 3:16 57:7,9	blame 41:7,7,8,9	61:19	certificate 67:1
57:10,11 62:18	blowing 41:2	calls 55:20	certified 67:3,6
62:20,23 64:21	board 8:10 20:5	campaign 19:1	67:16
64:22	35:16	20:10	certify 67:8
began 6:22	bodily 45:21	capable 27:15	chair 2:2 4:4
behalf 19:3	boggle 27:10	capable 27.15 car 60:3	36:14 37:21
54:20 57:3,12	bond 35:1 51:8	care 46:20	chairman 11:12
behavior 27:20	52:12 53:1	career 55:15	54:22
believe 7:1 8:3	books 47:20	careful 4:20 54:7	challenge 45:16
15:15 16:23	brennan 61:19	caregivers 22:17	challenges 22:22
32:22 33:22	62:5	carrying 27:7	42:15 60:6 61:9
37:23 40:17,24	brian 2:15 3:11	case 25:4 29:23	change 35:17
42:1,21 43:3	37:16 38:3	35:4 36:2 39:18	63:20
44:16 45:18	bring 11:20	48:1,9 50:5 51:9	changed 20:22
49:14 54:15	broad 65:7	52:4 53:3,21	changes 35:19
benefit 14:12	broader 34:1	cases 6:24 7:5	characterize
best 13:16 15:1	brought 55:23	10:3 19:7 23:8	35:8
better 34:18	bucket 52:16	35:2,3 48:14	charged 11:21
41:16 43:12	bucks 26:17	55:21 56:17	39:5
55:6	budget 53:12	59:9,14	charges 14:9
beyond 27:20	budgets 43:13	cash 35:1	23:1
61:12	burden 21:7	cass 19:24	check 27:1
big 40:21	22:13 44:6	caucasian 23:2	checks 53:8
bill 10:8,8,9,12	46:21 48:10	caught 49:14	chicago 3:10,16
10:13 11:19	51:23 58:11,20	cause 1:14 11:24	4:3 29:21,22
15:16 16:3,15,19	59:16	caused 25:17,23	35:11 57:8,12,13
16:23,24 17:5	burdened 46:3	26:21	57:21 59:12
20:6,7,11 23:10	49:1	center 3:4,16	60:11 64:24
34:9,10 35:22	burdens 7:2	8:22 11:4,7,15	67:14
41:18 56:18	22:16,21 44:22	0.22 11.4,/,13	

-h ² 1	-l		20.11.50.2
chicagoland	classroom 18:10	college 22:2	38:11 58:3
31:5	clear 32:5 52:13	55:14	61:15
chief 24:6,9	clearance 32:2	color 13:21,22	community
child 25:17 60:8	clerk 30:18	19:12 22:11,12	18:23 19:3,21
child's 55:13	clerk's 52:15	22:24 31:3 58:3	20:9 21:12
children 3:14	53:9	colorado 40:10	33:17 38:1,14,17
12:8 15:12	clerks 25:22	come 39:8 41:11	38:20 40:18
18:11,16,20 20:7	27:9	50:12 51:6	41:15
20:8 21:12	client 43:22 44:1	comes 48:11	commute 22:8
22:18 39:13,19	47:7 50:2 52:12	coming 16:4	company 26:13
45:7 54:16,19	clients 42:13	18:2 50:14	compared 31:13
55:3,4,17	44:18 46:6,11,14	59:23	complaining
chirag 2:5 49:9	46:14 48:10,13	commencing	45:15,20
62:21	48:24 49:24	1:12	complete 48:9
choice 14:4	50:15 53:7	commend 42:17	48:16
32:20,20	close 43:17	44:9 47:15	compliance 13:3
choose 14:2	closer 56:18	48:21	complicated
32:10	coalition 3:7,15	comment 42:6	32:24
christ 18:8	16:16,17 17:22	42:16	compliment 29:3
chronic 22:8	17:23 18:24	commentary	compliments
church 18:7,19	54:21 55:7,18	29:4	29:17
circuit 6:11,14	57:3 63:12	comments 4:10	compound 59:21
8:7 27:9	codes 31:4,7	4:16,19 11:7	comprehensive
circulated 4:13	collateral 61:1	17:24 34:6	60:10 64:4
circumstances	collect 14:19,19	49:12 54:8,9,24	comprise 12:11
30:18	24:8 29:5 33:13	57:2 64:24	30:2
cited 12:5	39:17 51:9 62:4	commitments	comprised 30:3
cites 13:15	collected 7:3 8:7	22:9	concentrate 9:4
cities 31:19	8:12,18 62:7	committed 17:2	concentrating
citing 61:21	collecting 62:1	55:8 63:24 64:1	26:4
city 21:8,9	collection 9:8	common 35:8	concerned 8:1
cj 3:16 57:7,11	14:19 30:14	commonly 12:14	concluded 66:5
claim 65:8	34:8 35:8 43:5	commonsense	conclusion 15:3
clair 20:2	52:10 53:3 58:7	40:15	condition 27:20
clarification	61:21,22	communication	conduct 12:5
49:13 64:18	collections 32:6	17:3	conducted 22:15
clarity 58:18	collectively 65:7	communities	confusion 53:6
	_	19:12,19 31:3	

[connected - custody]

connected 19:22	contribute 45:24	country 40:7,19	courts 3:17 7:6
connecting 19:2	58:9	41:4	15:4 28:20
connections	conversations	county 3:12 6:11	43:15 57:13,15
21:15	16:18 63:22	8:10 19:24,24	59:1
consequence	convictions	20:1,1,1,2,2,2,3	covered 52:23
13:9	46:18	20:3 21:21	56:16
consequences	cook 3:12 42:3,9	28:21 42:3,10	create 15:5
14:11 20:18	49:15,19 50:22	43:13 49:15	22:21 35:21
38:23 39:9 61:1	core 61:22	50:21,22	55:6 59:24
consider 11:23	correct 31:22	county's 21:1	60:15 61:17
13:12 26:10	51:13	course 4:6 12:8	created 27:20
34:9 44:15	correction 56:10	15:1 20:12	35:14 58:18
46:13 65:17	corrections 46:8	25:13 36:9 45:6	creating 29:1
considerable	59:11 60:16	court 1:4,5 4:5,5	58:16 59:7
62:2	cost 14:16,24	5:2,8 6:12,16,17	creative 45:14
consideration	24:15 25:23	6:24 8:22 10:18	45:14,17
4:20 5:3,9 13:18	38:24 47:19	11:19 14:8,20,23	credit 60:1 61:8
47:15 48:21	49:1 58:20	23:1 24:7,12	61:9
considered	costs 7:12 8:21	25:10,13,20	crime 26:5 32:1
27:17,18	14:8 24:14,24	27:17 28:14	32:5 39:23
considering 4:15	25:4,19 43:23	30:10,13,17,18	40:23
17:24 44:10	44:23 45:5 46:3	30:21 32:11	crimes 31:21
45:12 61:5	46:9 58:18	33:1,2,18,22	40:21 41:3,10
consistent 10:10	60:20 61:21,23	34:5,13 37:22	criminal 33:23
10:14	62:3,14	39:15 40:6	38:8,10,20 39:20
construct 57:24	council 3:10	42:12 43:20	42:15 43:2,18
contact 21:3	29:21 35:11	44:3,12,21 45:19	57:15 58:15
59:23	council's 29:22	47:4,23 54:23	60:23
contemplated	counsel 12:16	55:11 57:18,20	critical 59:7
63:8	counterparts	57:24 58:1,10,17	csr 1:12 67:7
contempt 14:9	23:2,3	58:18,19 59:8,20	ctaa 3:9 29:9
continue 43:1,14	counterproduc	60:20 61:18	35:14 58:17
continued 14:8	39:8	62:3,5,7,8,11,13	current 6:11
40:3	counties 7:6	62:13	29:8
contradict 12:23	14:16,18 19:22	court's 48:5 62:9	currently 49:20
contrary 47:23	20:8,14 50:21	courtrooms	50:9
contrast 13:7	61:18	39:10	custody 44:19
ant 15.0	50.10	dovolonmontol	63:24
------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------
cut 45:8	59:10	developmental	
cycle 57:24	defender 8:14,16	13:13	doj 13:15
cycles 55:12	42:2,9,10 49:21	dictate 33:20	dollar 62:7
d	51:16	dies 27:13	dollars 22:20
data 31:4 36:22	defender's 3:13	different 35:15	26:15,16 47:2
37:2 43:8 50:7	6:18 42:3 47:21	36:1 47:14	62:4
59:6	49:12 51:12	difficult 32:24	donnelly 2:7
date 32:12	defense 47:17	49:22	double 48:17
dates 33:2	delaying 55:14	difficulty 46:11	doubt 33:8
david 2:4	delinquency	46:14	downward
day 1:13 6:22	10:3 19:7 23:8	digs 44:23	32:14
24:13 27:23	39:2 55:21	directed 34:7	downwards
58:22 67:14	56:17 59:9,14	direction 58:13	32:19
days 4:13	demonstrates	directly 12:23	draft 4:12,21 5:6
de 13:5	61:16	26:20	10:1,6,6 16:11
deal 24:7 28:19	dennis 21:19	director 55:2	17:7,10 29:14,18
35:16	22:5	discovered 15:14	42:7,20 49:6
	department 46:8	15:16	55:1 65:18
dealing 29:24 30:7	59:11 60:8,16	dismissed 25:3	drafted 57:19
	departments	disparities 12:9	63:15
dear 29:11	31:20	dispensation	dramatically
debate 29:18	deputy 42:2,8	59:2	35:24
debt 3:6,14	designated 52:15	displays 61:1	drive 32:10,15
16:16 17:22	designed 34:16	disproportionate	driven 31:1
30:14 31:1,23	destroys 13:1	22:13	driver's 30:1,15
34:3,8 41:6	detailed 42:20	disproportiona	31:15 32:8
51:24 53:10	detained 13:22	58:2	33:11,19 34:21
54:20 55:7,12,17	detention 8:13	distort 31:18	35:4,5
55:22 56:5 58:1	8:21 39:6	distributed 62:3	drivers 28:23
63:11	deter 39:23	district 18:18	34:15
debts 58:8	determined	disturbing 12:3	driving 32:17
decisions 19:14	26:12	diversion 61:21	
40:1 43:9	devastates 31:3	document 43:1	e
decrease 32:1	devastating	documented	earlier 20:15
deductible 24:22	14:10	18:15	43:3 62:24 63:9
defeat 43:17	development	doherty 2:6	early 4:24
defendants	13:13 33:4	doing 15:23	earn 33:15
25:21 51:4	13.13 33.4	U	
		28:21 59:21	

east 18:6,19	elizabeth 2:13	environment	extent 10:9
19:21 21:9	emphasis 59:19	19:14	extremely 20:17
echo 45:4 46:1	emphasize 58:14	equitable 57:14	f
economic 19:14	employed 33:8	59:2,8	face 12:24 14:8
economically	employer 46:13	equity 55:5	20:19 38:23
55:9	employment	especially 5:20	fact 14:15 32:14
education 13:6	32:12	9:15	39:12,23 50:21
26:2 33:4 61:10	enact 56:22	essence 16:23	53:15
educational 55:5	enacted 10:2	essential 43:11	fails 13:12
effect 55:16	15:16	estate 24:19	failure 9:1 30:10
effective 60:4	enacting 23:8	eugene 2:6	30:12,17,23,23
effectively 19:13	enactment 15:21	evaluating 43:6	31:2,5,16 32:8
58:24	49:17	ex 28:24 30:19	32:21 33:12,18
effort 36:19	encountered	exact 22:24 33:9	34:12,21 59:23
38:18	24:18	exactly 24:2 33:2	failures 32:22
efforts 9:8 42:12	encourage 56:21	examined 24:5	fair 3:16 57:12
53:4 58:24	61:12	example 58:3	57:14
61:23 65:13	encyclopedic	excellent 29:17	fairness 13:2
egregious 11:20	65:9	29:18 42:18	faith 18:7 19:9
eight 12:9	energy 36:19	exemplifies	fall 5:1 44:19
eisenberg 4:4	enforcement	20:18	falls 59:16
either 26:1 32:10	31:18 32:3 38:5	existence 47:22	familiar 10:12
32:20 35:24	38:6,12 49:17	exists 36:3	22:2 33:1
electronic 39:7	56:11	expectation	families 7:2 13:4
eligibility 60:2	engage 38:19	47:10	13:4,7,10,11,20
61:9	engaged 39:19	expelled 12:20	14:1,4,15 19:2
eliminate 14:17	enjoyed 53:15	experience 14:16	19:23 22:12,23
15:17 20:10	enjoys 56:6	18:17,18 24:9	22:23 23:5 34:2
40:5,8 56:16	enter 30:19	38:9 41:17 53:3	39:1,4,5,10,13
62:13	entering 9:2,2	65:6,7,8,11	39:24 40:16
eliminated 7:9	28:24	experienced	41:14 45:7
14:22 40:11	entire 16:16	23:21 33:6	55:10 58:21
56:2	24:12 27:11	express 6:13	59:16,19 60:5,10
eliminates 34:12	33:17	expressed 5:13	family 10:20
eliminating	entirely 7:10	8:10 10:11	14:3 21:6,24
48:22,23 55:8	62:14	extends 18:13	33:21 40:2 41:5
elimination	entitled 1:14	extension 30:14	60:8,9
58:22 61:13	49:2		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[family's - free]

	1	1	
family's 45:2	62:8,11,14 63:3	32:7 33:13,19,24	54:23 55:1
far 42:19 61:10	felony 46:17	34:5 37:24	56:13 57:19
farther 25:20	48:1	38:24 39:7,12,22	58:11 59:12
favor 37:22	fiello 2:8 15:9,10	40:3,5,8,11	60:14,18,23
42:19	15:11 16:8	44:12 46:18	64:11 65:6,14,16
fear 53:16	50:18,19,20	55:9,11,21 56:1	66:1
fee 4:5 6:22	52:21,22 54:2	56:3,12,17 57:20	force's 9:24
28:14 34:13	fifty 20:13	57:21,24 58:4	55:19 56:21
37:22 54:23	figure 41:7	59:9,10,15,18,24	forced 12:13,20
57:19	figures 8:8	60:22 61:1,5,16	13:3 14:1,3
feeding 40:2	fill 39:10	61:20 63:3	forefront 18:24
feel 53:17	finally 14:12	firm 4:3	foregoing 61:3
fees 1:5 6:19 7:4	27:12 35:9	first 5:22 6:2,5	67:10
7:7,12 8:2,11,13	51:11	12:2 21:2 28:18	forfeitures 31:24
8:14,15,17,18	financial 21:7	29:18 60:9	form 8:3 10:7
9:15 10:2 11:18	22:16,21,22 25:6	firsthand 38:15	11:19 23:9
12:1,21 13:17	38:18,23 44:6,22	floor 11:8 18:2	56:15,22
14:5,17,22 15:1	58:12	54:17	format 5:12
15:5,19 18:20	financially 13:3	focus 32:3 38:12	former 28:7
19:1 20:10,20	24:16	focused 16:3	forum 26:11
22:14 23:5	find 13:5 15:24	focusing 16:4	forward 16:6
24:14,23 25:4,19	33:16 46:3,24	folks 8:15 9:6,8	26:3 36:5 41:11
29:5 30:8,9	finding 47:24	37:20	43:11 44:9
31:17,20,24 32:7	48:3,5	follow 50:18	58:16 64:1,10
33:13,19,24 34:5	findings 19:5	following 20:16	found 12:7,10
34:14 35:19	47:12 55:19	40:11	13:10,21 14:23
37:24 38:24	60:22	footsteps 40:12	41:1 49:2 58:4
39:5,8,15,17,19	fine 21:5,23 22:7	force 1:5 2:2,3	62:5
39:22 40:3,5,8	24:22	4:5,8,9,12,15 5:1	four 20:12
40:11 43:22	fined 21:4	5:6,17 9:23 10:5	fourth 13:19
44:13,15,23 45:5	fines 8:2 11:18	11:17,22 13:9,15	franklin 20:3
46:9 55:9,11	11:24 12:20	13:21 15:3,8	frankly 7:3 8:8
56:1,3,12,17	13:17,19 14:5,22	17:24 18:6 19:5	8:18 9:12
57:20,22,24 58:4	15:1,5,19 18:20	23:7 28:2,14	free 3:6,15 16:16
58:18 59:13,15	19:1,6 20:10,20	29:7,9,13 36:23	17:22 53:22,23
59:18,21,24	22:14 23:5,8	37:22 40:1 42:7	54:20 55:7,18,22
60:15,18,22 61:2	24:8,13,23 25:4	42:14,18 44:10	56:5 63:12
61:14,16,20 62:7	25:19 31:17,24	46:10 48:22	
L		1	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[frequently - help]

I			
frequently 13:20	generally 58:8	governmental	hard 29:13
fresh 9:14	62:1	33:18	hardest 31:11
friday 27:2	generate 39:12	grandparents	hardship 59:21
friend 25:1	george 3:8 23:19	18:23 22:16	harm 13:10
friess 2:4	gerber 4:3	grass 45:9	25:17,23 26:21
full 19:4 52:10	getting 35:15	grassroots 19:10	45:13,22,24
fully 36:3 60:7	46:15,15 52:17	grateful 36:19	harmed 26:14
62:12	give 4:19 34:23	great 28:10,19	26:20,23
fund 9:11 31:17	given 12:11 14:6	35:16 45:21	harmful 55:8
fundamental	44:11 50:4	greater 59:1	56:1
52:1	53:10	greatest 38:13	harming 60:1
fundamentally	gives 26:18	greetings 37:20	harms 11:23
7:1 59:17	go 4:14 7:18,23	groceries 61:3	20:18 30:8
funded 62:12	15:10 21:13,13	group 38:7	harsh 39:9,18
funding 14:13	23:22 25:20	groups 56:6	head 50:11
31:20 58:9	26:3 27:15	guessing 51:13	53:17
further 36:7	29:19 30:21	guide 43:9	headway 62:11
39:24 42:22	36:15 42:22	guilty 12:17	healing 38:14
44:23 55:14	49:9 52:8,16	guy 27:14	hear 26:23 47:4
60:18 65:18	60:18	guys 23:10	65:9
furthermore	goals 34:1 43:17	h	heard 26:8 31:22
59:20	goes 16:23	half 41:1,2 61:7	49:5 55:15
future 14:11	going 4:19 5:15	halls 12:15	hearing 1:2,14
29:19 55:6	9:1 14:5 19:19	hamper 51:15	4:7,9 11:2 23:16
g	26:5,22 27:12,14	hampshire 40:10	30:4 54:24 63:5
galvin 3:14	40:19 41:9	hand 33:7,9	65:15,23 66:5
54:13,14,18 55:2	43:22 51:15	67:13	67:10
57:1,4	52:2,3,9 53:23	handled 43:19	hearings 24:7
gamaliel 19:8,9	64:9	happen 15:11	47:11
garages 24:21	good 4:1 6:10	21:16,18 53:7	heart 29:12
gather 54:24	11:12 18:2,4,7	happened 49:21	43:21
gaughan 3:11	25:13 27:7	happening 49:23	heavily 14:13
37:17,18,19 38:3	28:10 37:19	50:6	hefty 12:20
41:19,21,24	57:9,10 66:3	happens 19:18	held 4:8 12:14
general 5:3 8:22	government	25:12	hello 57:11
10:5,7 30:2	21:16 33:7 55:2	happy 36:9	help 13:4 27:1
56:14,21	61:20		27:19 33:7,13
			40:16,17

[helped - informed]

helped 58:17,19	11:15 16:17	impose 52:9	34:2 39:14 58:2
helpful 41:23	17:22 19:3,9,20	imposed 6:20	inconsistency
43:10	20:5 21:10	14:17 20:20	48:7
helps 43:9	22:10,14,18 23:4	29:5 30:16 39:1	inconsistent 48:5
hi 7:17	24:3,4 27:9	40:6 47:22	53:19
high 18:12 24:20	30:11 38:4	49:15,19 50:9,20	incorporating
higher 20:8	40:13,16 41:16	50:21 51:3 55:9	60:22
highlight 42:21	46:8 54:20 55:3	59:10 61:2 63:4	increase 31:23
59:6	55:4,7,17,18,22	imposing 61:23	39:23 58:6
hire 53:12	56:3,5,18 57:15	imposition 51:20	increases 58:5
hit 31:11	57:18 58:12,17	impossible 32:9	incredibly 43:10
hold 33:10	60:8,23 62:13	32:20 44:24	independently
holding 13:10	63:12 67:7,14	impressed 29:15	59:15
54:23	imaginations	improve 15:4	index 3:1
hole 44:23	45:16	40:18 41:15	indicate 35:13
home 5:24 31:13	imagine 51:22	improved 59:6	indicated 34:16
honestly 35:21	impact 13:2 31:2	improves 38:20	indigence 48:6
hope 64:3	35:18 42:12	improving 9:5	indigent 6:21 7:2
hoping 64:10	43:1,7 62:9	inability 29:4	7:9 8:15,24 9:3
horrifyingly	impacted 19:2	44:20 61:4	9:16 44:2,3 48:1
12:6	20:13 22:23	inadvertently	50:15
house 3:9 34:9	35:24 61:8	32:11	individual 25:24
34:10	impacting 58:2	incarcerated	26:22 34:23
housing 21:8,11	impede 31:19	34:4 60:20	individuals 4:11
33:16 61:10	impediments	incarceration	5:10 58:12,20
huge 21:5 53:11	29:23	60:21	61:3,7,14 63:13
hurt 23:5 33:15	implement 16:13	incident 21:4	inefficient 58:8
i	42:15	22:6	61:20
idea 14:5	implementation	incidental 32:21	inequality 57:22
identified 12:3	42:17	include 5:6	infinitesimal
54:14	implementing	16:19 63:7,19	27:11
identifying 64:5	40:4	64:3	influence 59:22
idoc 60:19 61:14	implore 60:17	included 24:23	influx 53:11
ignored 20:5	importance	including 28:22	information
illegally 32:10	13:11 57:21	39:6 56:9	40:22 43:6
illinois 1:4 3:7,9	important 24:16	income 13:20	50:24 60:24
3:15 4:4 5:2,3,8	59:3 62:12	14:1,14 19:12	informed 21:6
5.15 4.4 5.2,5,0	65:13	22:11,23 30:9	

		• (1 - 2.12	
initially 30:16	involved 15:2	jonathan 2:12	64:4
initiative 19:6	21:1,20 27:15	jorge 3:3 6:6	justin 2:14
34:7 37:23 40:5	61:14	jr 2:11	juvenile 6:12,15
40:17 41:14	involvement	judge 3:3,8 5:23	6:24 8:21,22
55:20	39:2 55:13 60:7	6:2,3,6,7 7:14,15	10:2 11:18
initiatives 42:20	60:11	7:21 9:17,23,24	14:20,22 19:1,7
59:7,8	isba 54:15	10:24 11:3	19:23 20:10
injustices 57:15	issue 29:24 30:6	15:10 23:19,20	21:2,3 22:11,14
installments	35:2 38:4 47:19	24:6,10 25:23	22:19 23:1,8
21:24	57:19 63:6,14	27:13 28:1,3	38:23 39:2,7,19
instances 44:7	issued 12:7	29:4 50:2,19	40:6,8,11 41:6
44:11 45:17,22	issues 34:17	judges 12:16	45:5,23 55:10,11
insured 24:21	42:23 46:6	25:22 38:8 47:4	55:21 56:1,3,12
integrity 33:23	59:22 61:9	51:22 52:2,7	56:17 59:9,14,20
intention 63:21	issuing 15:19,19	56:9	64:4
64:9,13	item 35:9,10	judgment 30:19	juvenile's 10:3
interactions	j	judgments 9:2,6	juveniles 25:15
38:17 40:4	jackson 20:3	28:24	46:2 59:18
interest 5:13	january 58:22	judicial 6:11,14	k
8:10 13:16	jay 20:24 21:6	12:14	k 1:11 67:6
interested 4:10	jimenez 3:4 11:4	jurisdiction	kane 28:21
intervene 26:5	11:5,10,14 15:7	53:18	katherine 2:9
interviewed	15:15 16:9,11,14	jurisdictions 9:7	keefe 2:9
20:13	17:9,14,16,19	50:6 62:6	keep 38:19 39:19
interviews 22:15	62:24 63:4,10	justice 3:6,15	key 40:18 61:19
introduce 6:7	64:20	16:17 17:22	kid 26:15
introduced	job 26:3 27:7	19:24 21:3	kids 18:21 60:5
63:15 64:2,14	29:22 47:13	24:16 25:6,16	kind 24:2
invaluable 65:9	51:16	26:9,10,18 27:5	klein 2:10
investigation	jobs 3:10 29:21	27:15,21 33:24	know 5:19 8:7
63:3,16	35:11 44:18	34:17 38:8,10,20	8:18,23 9:4,10
investigations	45:11 46:15,24	39:14,20 40:4	9:10 10:9,15
12:2	join 10:11 40:14	41:6 45:19	11:11 15:11
investments	41:13	48:19 54:20	19:16,18,19
24:19	joined 56:11	55:6,7,10,13,18	21:17 26:16
invisible 18:14	jointly 13:10	55:22 56:5	37:3 45:22 50:7
invited 19:17		57:23 58:4 59:2	50:22 51:2,23
		60:23 63:12	

52:4 53:10,13	learning 12:24	line 56:7 60:7	63:1,16
55:16 62:23	leases 60:3	link 65:20	loud 12:5
65:6	led 61:6	literal 29:6	louis 18:7,9,19
knowing 47:6	legal 57:15 58:11	litigants 58:19	21:9
knowledge 65:8	59:23 60:11	littering 12:5	louisiana 40:9
known 10:8	legislated 47:20	little 4:13 7:3,23	low 13:20 14:1
37:17 38:6	legislation 10:1	7:23 8:7,12,17	14:14 19:12
1	10:7 16:12 23:9	23:12 39:16	22:11,23 34:2
lack 49:17	48:24 49:14,18	live 5:4,15 32:13	39:14 58:2 62:8
lack 49.17 lake 6:11	50:8 55:20	33:21 45:10	lower 20:4 31:21
	56:15,22 63:8	53:16 65:24	38:1
language 17:8 17:11 63:14	legislative 5:7	liveable 47:1	lowest 30:9
	17:6,7,10 33:22	lives 19:15,17	lumped 21:12
large 40:22 lastly 39:22	legislator 9:11	26:6 27:3 30:2	m
late 44:15	legislature 27:18	living 33:16	
	64:14	loans 22:3 60:2,3	macon 20:1
latino 31:6,9,12	leroy 2:11	61:10	madison 20:1
launched 29:16	lessen 12:24	lobbyist 29:21	main 32:4 46:16
law 3:5 11:4	letting 11:10	local 27:5 28:18	major 47:18
15:21,23 31:17	level 27:5,6,6	28:20	majority 6:17
32:3 38:4,5,12	29:16	log 47:14	8:24 22:10 31:7
42:9 56:10	levels 31:10	long 20:18 22:22	31:8
58:23	liability 10:4	24:4,20,20 50:8	making 12:5
laws 21:15	liable 13:10	60:19	35:17 49:24
lawyer 43:19	license 21:23	longer 36:3 43:4	man 24:20,23
48:6 53:20,22	22:5,7 30:1,16	47:8 53:16	man's 25:3
lawyers 12:15	30:20 32:8,17	look 19:16	manage 24:6
layer 48:9	33:6,19 34:21	looked 8:9	mandatory
lead 39:21 60:6	35:4,5 67:8,17	looking 46:18,19	43:23
61:9	licensed 28:17	loopholes 43:17	manner 13:14
leaders 56:11	licenses 28:23	lose 32:12 44:18	martin 2:11
leads 40:3	30:12 31:15,16	loss 25:8	maryland 40:12
lean 37:2	33:11 34:15	lost 62:1	matter 36:20
leap 3:11 37:17	life 9:14 27:20	lot 9:5 23:24	48:16
38:6,7	light 4:22	24:11 28:21,23	matters 43:20
learn 6:23 13:8	limit 60:10	29:5 35:15 45:1	mean 27:1,1
learned 22:15	limiting 60:2	46:14 48:12	meaningful 13:8
43:8		52:19 55:15	26:6

[
means 22:12	minutes 5:16	multiracial	neither 35:2
31:14 32:16	miscalculated	19:10	net 39:12
44:17	35:18	municipal 11:21	network 19:8
measure 16:13	misdemeanor	13:19 16:21	nevada 40:12
meeting 65:20	52:4	63:3 64:7	never 25:11
meetings 20:14	missed 32:11	municipalities	27:14 52:10,12
65:16	63:9	12:18 14:13	new 15:13 19:5
member 18:6	mission 19:11	mute 11:9 23:20	34:7 40:10,10
28:8,13 29:6	29:22 38:10	n	42:20 55:19
55:7	monetary 38:24	name 4:2 11:13	63:21
members 2:3,17	58:5 62:2	28:16 38:3 42:8	newly 15:14,15
2:18 4:9 5:18	money 25:9,11	55:1 57:11	nick 34:10
8:10 9:23 15:8	27:3 31:23	named 21:22	nine 31:7
18:19 24:7 28:2	45:10 48:13	names 20:21	noises 12:6
29:12 37:3,21	51:6 53:2	national 3:4 11:4	nonpayment
54:22 65:10	moneys 27:8	11:7,15 55:22	39:10
mentioned 20:14	monitoring 39:7	native 11:16	nonprofit 38:7
29:6 52:23	monroe 20:2	navigate 12:13	55:4 57:13
message 53:19	monthly 21:24	neal 4:3	norma 3:6 17:21
meth 24:20	22:20	near 29:11	18:5
method 35:8	months 20:12	nearly 12:10	normally 53:2
methodology	morgan 19:24	necessities 14:3	notice 50:3
61:13	morning 4:1,6	need 10:16 17:11	noticed 6:16,19
metro 19:21	6:6,10 7:22 9:19	17:11 43:4,16	november 20:11
mexico 40:10	11:12 18:2,4	45:13,14 52:14	number 63:20
michael 2:8	23:18 36:18	needed 5:20 34:1	numerous 43:20
middle 18:11	37:16,19 54:12	34:14 38:16	nuts 25:15
mind 27:10 41:2	57:6,9,10 62:17	needing 31:15	0
mine 25:1	65:3,5	needs 13:13,13	o'clock 1:13
minimize 58:11	move 59:1	16:5 22:8 24:2	objections 52:1
58:20	movement 55:23	36:7 45:2 61:3	obligations
minimum 7:9	moves 36:4	negative 39:9	58:12
minor 10:20	moving 16:6	negatively 13:2	obtain 22:5,7
12:5	43:10 44:9 64:1	36:1 61:8	obviously 10:16
minority 31:11	multiethnic	negotiations	37:2 63:1
32:9	19:10	43:21	offenders 27:19
minors 9:13	multiple 14:4	TJ,21	
37:24 38:2 45:6			
	Í.	1	

[offenses - penalty]

offenses 12:4	26:14,19 27:10	outside 21:14	partnership 38:6
23:1	29:14 36:9 37:8	overlooked	parts 51:18
offensive 12:6	40:14 41:17	19:15	pass 10:7 17:11
offer 43:24 44:1	42:6 44:11 49:5	oversight 14:8	56:14
office 3:13 6:18	opposed 5:14	owe 24:13	passed 20:7
33:10 42:3,9,11	57:22	owed 27:3,8	35:22 58:15
42:21 47:21	opposite 33:9	р	pastor 3:6 17:21
48:7 50:12	oppressive 44:14	page 3:2	18:1,5,6,18
51:12 52:15,17	oral 65:17	paid 22:1,4 25:4	23:13
53:8,9,20 54:8	order 12:17 22:6	25:11 27:14	pattern 12:3
officer 38:5,15	43:14 52:11	30:21 51:5,6	patterson 3:6
officers 15:22,22	ordered 10:23	52:11,13 53:1,1	17:21 18:1,4,5
38:7,17 56:10	25:19,24	paper 45:8	23:13 24:1
officials 56:10	orders 10:17	parents 9:3	25:12
oftentimes 19:15	52:19	18:22 20:19	pay 10:20 12:17
32:15 46:17	ordinance 11:22	21:5,6	12:20,24 13:5,8
52:18	15:12 16:22	park 21:14	13:18 14:5,6,7
oh 23:23 52:20	64:8	parle 3:12 42:2,8	21:5 22:6 23:3
53:22	ordinary 19:11	part 16:21 19:20	25:24 26:15
okay 6:1,2 10:24	organization	20:4 25:6 29:1	30:23 34:5
23:12 26:14	19:10 37:17	40:22 43:21,24	39:11 43:22
41:22 57:5	54:16	44:4 47:16	44:12 46:19,24
65:23	organizations	55:22 64:4,4,15	47:1,10,12 48:4
old 21:1,19,21	4:11 30:4 35:16	parte 28:24	48:17 52:5,14
olds 20:24	55:23	30:19	53:23 59:15,24
once 17:5 28:20	organizers 18:23	participant 66:2	61:4,24
53:21	19:3 20:9	participate	paying 14:2,2,10
onerous 7:11	original 28:13	19:13,17	21:24 22:3,24
ones 21:21 50:13	29:7 35:14 48:5	participating 6:8	40:2,2
online 37:10	64:13	particular 10:4	payment 22:20
operate 39:3	originally 63:15	10:19 48:23	51:21
operations 7:7	ortiz 3:3 5:23	57:20 65:21	peers 13:23
8:3,19 9:12	6:2,4,6,9 7:16,21	parties 6:17 7:9	penalized 34:3
opinion 43:16	8:6 9:17,21,23	7:10	46:22
opportunities	10:13 11:1,3	partner 4:3	penalties 38:18
14:11 29:23	ought 25:7 26:3	partners 16:15	39:9
opportunity	outline 30:8	17:3	penalty 35:6
5:17 11:13			

	1	1	
pending 10:8	17:20 23:13	40:18,19 41:8,8	present 10:20
pennies 62:4	28:1,6,10,13	41:9,10,15	30:11
people 6:20 8:24	36:11,15 37:9,21	policy 49:15,16	preserve 20:22
18:13 19:11,12	41:19 42:1 49:7	political 19:13	preserving 10:3
19:16,19 22:2	50:17,19 54:4,12	55:24 56:7	president 19:8
24:13 25:7	54:22 57:1	population	pretty 10:14
28:24 30:9,11	62:18 64:19	12:12 21:10	prevent 40:21
32:19,24 33:8	65:23	58:7 61:2,6	prevention 60:9
34:3,17 35:3	piece 50:8	portion 44:14	previous 49:21
38:16,19 41:5	piling 44:17,22	52:13 53:23	previously 61:10
43:12 44:11	pilsner 2:12	58:9	primarily 19:11
53:12 56:20	pipeline 18:13	pose 62:20	priorities 31:18
58:1,2 60:15,18	place 25:9 34:19	position 30:8	prioritizing 13:5
people's 26:6	52:15	43:5 49:22,23	prison 18:13
28:23 30:2	placed 38:12	positions 46:2	47:8
33:15	48:10 51:23	positive 38:16	privileged 23:2
percent 12:10,11	places 30:22	positively 35:24	probation 25:3
31:12,12,14,23	47:14	38:18	39:6 56:9
32:1 33:5 58:6	plans 22:21	possible 14:9	problem 29:1
period 25:3	55:14	16:19 17:4 64:2	procedures 59:5
perpetuate	play 21:13	post 35:1 53:3	proceedings
57:22	plea 47:17	posted 52:12	1:11 12:14 51:9
perpetuating	plead 12:17	posts 35:5	67:9,12
55:12	please 51:18	potentially 63:6	process 30:15
person 25:2	pleased 42:13	63:19	professionals
26:13,19,20	pleasure 29:7	poverty 31:9	38:9
47:12,24 48:4,8	37:6	40:1	profit 62:7
51:8,23 53:19	point 26:9 27:4	practice 13:12	program 46:12
person's 30:17	34:15 60:17	15:17,20 30:24	programs 33:5
33:20 60:1	63:9 64:16	50:10 53:16	progress 27:19
personal 24:18	65:15	56:1	59:1 60:17
27:5 34:24	points 39:15	practices 11:20	prohibited 44:9
35:10	52:23	13:16 23:4	prohibiting
pflaum 2:2 4:1,2	poised 62:23	preach 23:11	15:18
6:1,5,9 7:14,18	police 12:14	preacher 23:10	prohibitive
9:22 10:24 11:1	15:22,22 31:18	predominantly	14:24
11:2,12 15:7,10	31:19,20 34:22	31:6	projects 21:8,11
16:10 17:7,13,15	38:1,7,14,15		

[prolong - reentry]

prolong 55:13	31:19 33:12	quite 9:18 10:17	receiving 32:16
promote 33:12	38:13 40:20	50:24	recidivism 38:1
proposal 49:24	42:2,3,9,10	r	39:21
59:17	47:21 49:11,21	racial 12:9 55:5	recognizance
proposals 5:7	51:12,16 54:24	raise 47:18	34:24
42:17	65:10,15,23 66:5	63:14	recognize 51:22
propose 48:23	67:10	raised 63:9	recommend 10:1
proposed 5:7	publico 63:2	raising 14:23	recommendation
37:23 49:6	published 63:17	63:11	11:18 23:7 36:4
proposing 42:14	punished 12:19	randolph 20:2	56:21 59:13
propublica's	punishing 13:14	-	60:14
12:2	punishment 58:1	range 39:5	recommendati
prosecution	58:5	rarely 59:14 rate 20:8 31:21	5:6 13:15 19:5
47:16 48:14	purpose 4:8 32:4	rates 32:2,5	34:11 42:19,22
prosecutor	48:6	rates 52.2,5 read 23:11	55:19 57:18
28:19 52:7	push 20:6 61:12	reading 36:24	58:10 59:4
prosecutor's	pushed 50:11	ready 6:1	recommended
44:1	pushing 39:24	real 18:15 24:19	10:6
prosecutors 38:8	put 32:3,8 36:20	25:8 30:24 31:2	recommending
47:5	51:8	reality 46:23	56:14
prospect 61:24	q	•	recommends
prospect 61:24 protect 34:2	q anasi 12.14	realize 39:17	recommends 10:5
	quasi 12:14	realize 39:17 realized 54:15	
protect 34:2	quasi 12:14 question 7:19	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13	10:5
protect 34:2 41:14	quasi 12:14 question 7:19 9:9,18 15:9 25:9	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17	10:5 reconvene 65:17
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5	quasi 12:14 question 7:19 9:9,18 15:9 25:9 49:8,13 51:2	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4	quasi 12:14 question 7:19 9:9,18 15:9 25:9 49:8,13 51:2 62:22	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8
protect 34:2 41:14 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:18	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 42:6	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:185:207:159:23	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 42:6 50:15	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:185:207:159:2311:215:816:10	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 50:15 provides 60:9	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:185:207:159:2311:215:816:1017:1523:15	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12
protect34:241:14proudly56:5proven60:4provide4:1819:433:2142:650:15provides60:9providing9:13	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:185:207:159:2311:215:816:1017:1523:1528:336:10,12	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17 reason 25:14	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12 reduced 56:2
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 42:6 50:15 provides 60:9 providing 9:13 60:5	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:185:207:159:2311:215:816:1017:1523:1528:336:10,1237:1341:20	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17 reason 25:14 36:2 50:10	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12 reduced 56:2 reducing 57:20
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 50:15 provides 60:5 provision 60:5 60:24	quasi12:14question7:199:9,1815:949:8,1351:262:22questions5:185:207:159:2311:215:816:1017:1523:1528:336:10,1237:1341:2050:1751:19	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17 reason 25:14 36:2 50:10 reasons 23:6	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12 reduced 56:2 reducing 57:20 62:8,11
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 42:6 50:15 provides 60:9 providing 9:13 60:5 provision 6:24 10:22 17:4	quasi 12:14 question 7:19 9:9,18 15:9 25:9 49:8,13 51:2 62:22 62:22 questions 5:18 5:20 7:15 9:23 11:2 15:8 16:10 17:15 23:15 28:3 36:10,12 37:13 41:20 50:17 51:19 54:5 57:4 62:19	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17 reason 25:14 36:2 50:10 reasons 23:6 receive 4:10,16	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12 reduced 56:2 reducing 57:20 62:8,11 reduction 34:14
<pre>protect 34:2 41:14 proudly 56:5 proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 42:6 50:15 provides 60:9 providing 9:13 60:5 provision 6:24 10:22 17:4 49:20</pre>	quasi 12:14 question 7:19 9:9,18 15:9 25:9 49:8,13 51:2 62:22 questions 5:18 5:20 7:15 9:23 11:2 15:8 16:10 17:15 23:15 28:3 36:10,12 37:13 41:20 50:17 51:19 54:5 57:4 62:19 64:20	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17 reason 25:14 36:2 50:10 reasons 23:6 receive 4:10,16 31:20 35:3	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12 reduced 56:2 reducing 57:20 62:8,11 reduction 34:14 reentering 46:7
protect 34:2 41:14 proudly proven 60:4 provide 4:18 19:4 33:21 42:6 50:15 provides 60:9 providing 9:13 60:5 provision 60:5 provision 52 10:22 17:4 49:20 provisions 58:23	quasi 12:14 question 7:19 9:9,18 15:9 25:9 49:8,13 51:2 62:22 62:22 questions 5:18 5:20 7:15 9:23 11:2 15:8 16:10 17:15 23:15 28:3 36:10,12 37:13 41:20 50:17 51:19 54:5 57:4 62:19	realize 39:17 realized 54:15 realizes 27:13 really 15:17 16:3 16:4,22 25:9 29:3,15,16 32:9 32:13 53:23 63:13,15,18 64:3 64:5,11 rear 50:11 53:17 reason 25:14 36:2 50:10 reasons 23:6 receive 4:10,16	10:5 reconvene 65:17 record 1:11 28:17 52:8 67:11 recover 25:10 27:10 reduce 7:5 42:12 reduced 56:2 reducing 57:20 62:8,11 reduction 34:14 reentering 46:7 46:12

		-	
reference 45:18	relationship	reporting 59:6	restorative 26:9
referencing 37:1	40:20	reports 36:22	26:10,18 45:19
referring 36:22	released 47:9	representative	restore 33:23
reform 16:6	relied 8:19 51:12	7:18 28:7,11	result 13:9 18:17
40:15 64:5	rely 7:6 13:4	34:10 36:11,13	20:19 30:22
reforms 33:23	relying 14:14	36:16 37:11,13	34:14 41:12,15
34:1	remain 20:21	43:3 44:16	resulting 30:9
refuses 26:1	36:5 61:24	representatives	results 62:4
regarding 19:6	remains 42:11	3:10	retired 3:8 18:8
42:7 45:5 46:2	43:11	represented 6:18	23:18 24:4
47:20 49:16	remedy 34:20	8:16	36:17 38:4
63:3	remember 34:20	request 52:7	return 46:9
regardless 60:19	remind 51:18	research 31:4,22	revenue 8:1,5
region 31:5 40:7	52:21	60:24 61:15	14:23 39:13
regressive 39:3	removal 42:24	researching 6:22	48:11 50:11,14
regular 18:16	remove 36:5	residents 31:7,8	51:12 61:17,21
rehabilitate	removing 29:22	31:9 41:12 58:6	62:1,9
46:22	rent 40:2 61:4	resolved 53:21	revenues 14:14
rehabilitated	rental 60:3	resolving 50:4	31:23
45:23	reoffend 45:1	resources 24:15	reverend 25:12
rehabilitating	repair 25:17	25:10,20 38:12	review 10:13
9:13	repeal 35:13	53:13 60:5	17:12 24:6
rehabilitation	replacement	61:22	29:14
7:11 9:4 12:23	26:11	respect 4:12	revisions 4:21
rehabilitative	report 4:12,22	10:15 25:2	5:8
56:19 59:19	5:2 10:1,6,6	respondents	revoked 33:6
reimbursement	29:14 34:6	33:3	rhonda 1:11
47:21 49:13	35:13 39:15	responsibilities	67:6
50:3	40:23 41:1 42:7	61:22	rid 44:10
reinstate 30:20	42:20 49:6 55:1	responsible	right 6:3 12:16
34:15	57:19 59:4 61:7	18:21 44:5	26:9 48:12
reinstatement	62:10,16 65:18	46:19 48:2	50:22 53:9
30:22	reported 41:11	restitution 10:4	58:13 64:7
reintroduced	67:9	10:15,17,21,23	risk 32:15
17:5	reporter 67:3,7	16:21 24:14,17	robb 2:13
relates 45:5	67:16	24:24 25:5,18,24	robust 61:12
relations 38:14	reporters 12:7	26:7,12 44:12	roe 3:12 42:2,4,5
		45:13	42:8 49:7,10,19

Veritext Legal Solutions

Г <u> </u>	1	1	
51:17 53:5 54:3	18:13,17 21:13	serious 32:18	35:6 58:9,16
54:6,11	22:9 26:17	sermon 24:1	60:21 61:17
root 32:23 59:22	schools 12:19	serve 6:10 29:8	62:9,10
route 45:8	15:18,18 18:9	38:19	significantly
routinely 6:20	seated 61:18	served 27:5 33:4	31:21
7:4 13:17	second 4:7 12:22	service 9:19	similar 40:7
ruinous 34:3	secretary 30:20	services 33:7	similarly 60:14
rules 5:8	33:10	48:2 49:1,3	simply 26:2 32:3
running 8:21,22	section 48:23	50:14 53:24	34:4
S	see 9:5 27:2	60:9,10	sincere 26:24
saddled 7:11 9:6	28:10 29:17	serving 57:22	sit 47:3
46:9	32:18 41:5,7	session 17:6	sits 50:8
saddling 9:14	47:3	63:21	sitting 45:19
safer 38:11	seeing 8:11	set 67:13	situation 24:17
safety 31:19	15:20 16:5,6	setting 9:1	32:9
33:12 38:13	seek 30:22	severe 13:1	situations 45:21
salary 53:24	seeking 48:19	shape 8:2	skills 26:3
61:5	50:3	share 41:17 65:5	slaughter 2:14
sanctions 38:24	seeks 57:14	65:11	7:17,18,20 9:17
61:23 62:2	seen 4:22 18:11	shepherd 18:7	36:14,16 37:11
sangamon 20:1	21:18 35:22,23	shifts 32:3	sleep 21:7
sat 47:11	45:17 52:10	shocking 47:3	sliding 60:15
save 45:9	senate 10:8	short 29:10	61:13
save 43.9 savings 14:16	11:19 15:16	shorthand 67:3	small 40:22
saw 38:15	16:3,15 20:11	67:7,16	smith 34:10
sav 50.15 saying 61:8	23:10 63:20	show 24:13	social 19:14
saying 01.0 says 26:15	senior 11:14	47:13	22:22
sb 23:9 56:15,23	sent 53:7	showing 28:23	solely 6:13 39:11
59:17 60:7 63:1	sentence 24:23	29:1 48:3,8	solution 35:15
63:6	44:4	shown 39:20	solutions 42:14
scale 60:15	sentenced 46:7	59:17	57:14
61:13	59:11 60:16,19	sides 56:7	solve 31:21
schedule 52:12	separate 35:9	sign 66:3	40:21
scheduled 6:2	63:8 64:2,15	signature 67:15	somebody 27:13
57:7 65:3	65:19	signed 5:14	sorry 7:20 9:9
school 12:4,8	september 67:14	significant 10:18	17:10 20:17
15:13 18:12,12	series 63:16	12:9,17 13:11	21:11 44:5
10.10 10.12,12		22:21 32:18	52:21

		1	
sort 26:4 53:3	spent 24:9 28:19	statistics 36:22	struggling 41:14
63:8	48:13 62:6	stats 37:3	student 12:12
sought 46:22	spiral 32:13,19	statutory 1:5 4:5	22:3 60:2
sound 22:2	spoke 14:4 62:24	28:14 35:1	students 12:4,4
51:11	sponsor 3:9 29:9	37:21 39:15	12:11 15:20
sounds 50:23	34:11 35:12	54:23 57:18	16:5
source 61:17,20	spread 52:16	stay 47:8 65:20	studies 39:20
southern 19:20	spring 20:12	65:22	study 58:3 61:19
24:4	st 18:7,9,19 20:2	stenographically	62:5
spanner 65:19	21:9	67:9	stuff 26:4
65:22	staff 2:17 58:19	step 56:18 58:13	subject 24:5
speak 8:6 11:13	stakeholders	58:16 62:12	36:20
26:20 36:9	56:8 60:12	steps 16:7	subjected 11:21
37:20,22 38:9	stand 3:14 54:16	steve 28:8,16	submit 37:7
41:10 42:19	54:19,20 55:3,4	37:5	submitted 4:17
62:17	55:8,17 56:6	steven 2:2 4:2	5:9 11:7 37:10
speaker 5:16,22	standard 52:19	37:21	54:8 57:3 64:24
5:23 6:5 11:3	standardizing	stewart 2:15	submitting 5:1
17:21 23:18	59:4	stop 26:5 34:22	subsequently
28:7 37:16 38:5	stands 44:1	stopped 66:1	32:12
42:1 54:12 57:7	start 9:14	stories 20:17	substantial
65:2,3	started 17:9,10	story 24:2	58:24
speakers 5:18	29:15	strain 21:6	substantially
65:4	state 20:4 21:9	strategy 64:13	23:9
speaking 29:20	23:4 24:3 25:1	stream 5:4,15	substantively
35:10,11 54:19	27:9 30:20 33:5	50:12,14 51:13	56:15
64:6,7	33:22 48:12	65:24	success 55:12
special 13:15	49:16 50:1	strengthen 37:24	successful 48:16
specifically 9:10	56:20 67:7	stress 13:1 22:8	63:24
16:20 29:20,24	state's 33:10	strive 27:22	suffer 35:6 41:12
30:7,9 34:6,9,13	43:24 56:9	strong 40:20	suffered 45:24
64:6	stated 43:3	strongly 11:17	suffering 18:19
spectrum 55:24	61:11	16:18 23:6	20:7
56:7	states 14:21	42:11 55:18	suggest 25:15
speeches 26:8	21:17 31:17	56:20 58:21	suggested 16:12
spend 24:12	40:6,13,14 56:2	61:12	suggestion 35:20
spending 25:10	stations 12:15	structure 14:13	35:20 37:12
62:4			
1			

[sum - thank]

sum 62:10	34:12	5:6,17 9:22,24	testified 63:5
sunset 35:14,21	sustain 7:7 8:19	10:5 11:17,22	testifiers 3:2
36:2,6,7 43:1	system 12:1 13:2	13:9,15,21 15:3	testify 18:2
58:22	19:24 21:2,3	15:8 17:24 18:6	23:11
supervision 39:6	22:11 24:8,16	19:4 23:7 28:2	testifying 5:13
supplement	25:7,16 26:13	28:14 29:7,9,13	63:13
65:10	27:6,6,21 32:23	36:23 37:22	testimony 4:10
support 7:7	33:1,18,24 34:16	42:7,14,18 44:10	4:15,22 11:6
10:11 11:17	34:17 38:10,20	46:10 48:22	17:18,20 19:4
16:19 19:4 23:6	39:3,14,20 40:4	54:23,24 55:19	23:17 28:4 30:5
36:3 38:16	40:24 43:20	56:13,20 57:19	36:21 41:23
41:17 42:12	44:4 46:7,22	58:10 59:12	45:4 49:11
46:16 55:18	48:18,18 55:10	60:14,18,23	50:23 54:7
56:6,8,20 57:17	55:14 56:19	64:11 65:6,14,16	55:16 63:1
58:21 60:7,12	57:16 58:1,10,17	66:1	64:23 65:17
61:14 64:10	59:8,23 60:6,11	tax 39:3 48:17	texas 40:10
supporting	62:3,13	taxpayer 62:12	thank 4:2 6:8,9
41:13	system's 34:1	taxpayers 48:13	7:13,14,21 9:18
supports 59:12	systemic 57:14	taylor 3:12 42:2	9:20,21 10:24
supreme 1:4 4:4	systems 30:18	42:4,5,8 49:7,10	11:1,5,10,12
5:2,8	43:15 61:16,18	49:19 51:17	15:6,7 16:8,9
sure 36:23 44:8	t	53:5 54:3,6,11	17:13,14,17,19
45:22	table 30:3	teacher 18:8,10	17:23 23:12,13
surprised 6:23	tack 48:15	teaching 18:8	23:16,23 24:1
surrounds 29:22	take 32:14 46:12	teenagers 22:18	28:1,4,5,12,15
survey 27:8 33:3	46:20 51:17	teleconference	29:12,19 36:8,11
surveyed 61:7	56:18	4:7	36:17,18 37:5,7
suspended 30:12	taken 1:11 29:15	tell 18:15 24:12	37:9,11,15 41:19
31:16 32:15,17	44:19 46:2 51:7	51:20	41:22 42:5,5
33:6	talk 24:15 41:9	telling 50:2,2	49:4,6,7,10
suspending	talked 44:16	ten 27:3 31:4	50:16 54:2,3,6
28:22 33:11	talking 8:23	term 20:18	54:10,11,18,22
suspension	28:22	22:22	56:13,24 57:1,2
30:16 31:2 32:8	targeted 18:12	termination	57:10 62:15,16
34:22 35:4	18:16,21	58:23	62:18 63:10
suspensions 30:1	task 1:5 2:2,3	terms 4:14 10:21	64:17,19,22 65:4
30:13,23,24 31:1	4:5,8,9,12,15 5:1	16:1 25:19	66:2
31:6 33:15,19	,.,.,		

[thanks - vast]

thanks 23:23	25:21,21,22	truth 29:6	unfair 7:1
27:24 37:19	28:19 36:19	try 48:15 51:17	unfortunate
41:16,24 57:5	49:20,20 50:4,24	trying 25:16	54:1
thing 25:18	52:9 56:3 62:15	33:7 45:2 63:13	unfortunately
52:22	65:4,12 66:3	turn 40:3	38:22
things 7:24 35:7	times 45:1	tweaks 4:21	unidentified
43:7 45:9 52:6	today 4:16 5:12	twenty 56:2	5:23
think 10:21,22	5:13 11:6,13	two 6:16 35:2	unit 60:24
27:22 29:2 37:1	18:2 27:16	59:7	united 18:7
45:24 46:10	28:22 30:4 34:7	types 9:12 44:7	unjust 15:5 23:3
50:10,20 52:20	37:20,22 42:7,16	u	55:9
52:23 55:15	49:5,11 54:7	u.s. 62:5	unnecessarily
63:9	55:16 56:16	ultimately 4:24	33:11
thinking 63:4	57:12,17 63:1,13	9:2,7 31:18	unpaid 33:13
third 13:12	64:11,12,23	33:17	unreported 41:3
thomas 2:7,10	told 24:3 52:14	unable 12:24	unsustainable
thought 27:21	53:22	14:7 39:11 45:7	14:15
thoughtful 4:18	tools 14:23	46:24,24 61:24	unwilling 47:12
28:6	top 31:4	unappealing	uplift 20:16
thousand 26:15	total 52:18	62:1	urban 45:10
26:16	totally 20:5	undercut 58:24	urge 11:22 41:13
thousands 22:20	traffic 21:20	underline 32:7	60:12
47:2	28:20 30:10,12	undermine	urgently 34:1
threats 38:13	30:14,17 32:11	12:22 55:11	use 14:19 48:18
three 12:8 35:3	33:13 42:15	undermines	usually 62:3
ticket 30:14,21	43:2,18 44:18	59:19	utilities 61:4
32:16	58:15	understand 8:20	utilized 8:2
ticketing 12:3	train 19:11	9:7 16:20 33:2	V
tickets 12:7,10	transcript 1:2	43:12 63:2	valuable 37:1
15:19	67:11	undoubtedly 5:5	54:9
timberlake 3:8	transit 30:3	58:13	variation 12:18
23:19,20,23 28:1	trapped 34:2	undue 46:21	varies 25:8
28:5	trend 16:6	unduly 7:2 48:24	variety 36:1 56:8
timberlake's	trouble 38:23	unemployable	61:16
29:4	true 67:11	47:7	various 35:19
time 4:18 5:19	trust 13:1 38:1	unequitable 23:4	vast 6:17 8:23
7:22 13:11 14:6	38:21 40:18	_	
23:3 24:5,10,20	41:15		

[vaught - zoom]

Page 22

		1	1
vaught 2:16	way 7:3 8:2,17	28:21 29:13,23	young 12:9
versus 45:2	13:5 15:24 17:1	31:13 32:20,21	24:20,23 25:3
victim 45:15	26:6 32:23	33:20 34:18	38:16,19 56:19
victimized 26:19	39:23 52:5	42:18 43:14	60:1
victims 10:16,21	53:22	44:7,21 49:6	youth 3:5 7:2
27:19 40:23,23	ways 9:11 24:6	62:15 65:13	11:4,15,21 12:13
videoconference	46:16,19	workers 31:11	12:18,23,23 13:3
1:12	we've 4:19 16:14	31:13,14,14 32:9	13:7,14,21,21
view 7:8	23:21 35:22	workforce 33:4	14:7,9,17 19:2
views 6:13,13	56:16	46:15	19:22 20:13,16
10:10,14 65:5,11	week 4:17 26:17	working 15:22	20:19,20 22:10
village 12:15	28:20	16:14,16 17:2,10	22:11,12,23 23:5
violating 15:23	weekend 45:11	20:9 38:13	38:22 40:16
violations 11:22	weighed 41:6	45:13 63:11,18	55:9 56:10
15:12 16:22	weighing 38:3	63:18 64:16	59:14,21,22
33:14 64:8	weiland 1:12	world 31:2	60:10 64:5,7
violent 31:21	67:6	wraps 65:2	youth's 39:2
32:1,5 41:3	welcome 6:7	wrenching 43:21	youths 13:16
virginia 40:9	28:14 37:9 42:4	write 27:1,12	20:21 39:1,4
voice 60:12	54:17	written 4:16	55:11
W	went 22:2 41:3	11:6 17:23	Z
wages 47:1	47:14 53:13	49:12 52:18	zip 31:4,7
waive 7:4	whereof 67:13	54:9 57:2 64:23	zoom 1:12 4:7
waived 8:11	white 13:23	65:17	5:14 23:22
waiver 59:5	31:14	wrong 51:14	65:19,21,22 66:1
waivers 6:23	whoops 11:9	y	
want 5:16 11:5	wide 49:16 56:8	veah 7:17 45:1	
11:19 17:23	widely 62:2	50:18 53:22	
20:6,6,16 26:15	wish 8:8 20:20	63:10	
26:23 29:12	62:20	year 8:9 20:24	
36:18 47:18	witness 45:15,20	21:1 22:1 40:9	
62:15 63:2	67:13	63:17	
64:22 65:3	witnesses 40:23	years 6:16 12:8	
wanted 29:3	41:11	15:17 18:9,9,10	
50:18	words 12:6 32:2	21:2,19,20 22:3	
watching 5:5	51:7	22:3 24:10 27:3	
	work 7:10 15:4	28:17 43:19	
	22:6,9 26:16,21		
·	Varitarit		

Illinois Code of Civil Procedure

Article II, Part E

Rule 207, Signing and Filing Depositions

Ukipkpi"cpf"Hknkpi"Fgrqukvkqpu

(a) Submission to Deponent; Changes; Signing. Unless signature is waived by the deponent, the officer shall instruct the deponent that if the testimony is transcribed the deponent will be afforded an opportunity to examine the deposition at the office of the officer or reporter, or elsewhere, by reasonable arrangement at the deponent's expense, and that corrections based on errors in reporting or transcription which the deponent desires to make will be entered upon the deposition with a statement by the deponent that the reporter erred in reporting or transcribing the answer or answers involved. The deponent may not otherwise change either the form or substance of his or her answers. The deponent shall provide the officer with an electronic or physical address to which notice is to be sent when the transcript is available for examination and signing. When the deposition is fully transcribed, the officer shall deliver to the deponent, at the address supplied,

notice that it is available and may be examined at a stated place at stated times, or pursuant to arrangement. After the deponent has examined the deposition, the officer shall enter upon it any changes the deponent desires to make, with the reasons the deponent gives for making them. If the deponent does not appear at the place specified in the notice within 28 days after the mailing of the notice, or within the same 28 days make other arrangements for examination of the deposition, or after examining the deposition refuses to sign it, or after it has been made available to the deponent by arrangement it remains unsigned for 28 days, the officer's certificate shall state the reason for the omission of the signature, including any reason given by the deponent for a refusal to sign. The deposition may then be used as fully as though signed, unless on a motion to suppress under Rule 211(d) the court holds that the reasons given by the deponent for a refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

(b) Certification, Filing, and Notice of Filing.(1) If the testimony is transcribed, the officer

shall certify within the deposition transcript that the deponent was duly sworn by the officer and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the deponent. A deposition so certified requires no further proof of authenticity (2) Deposition transcripts shall not be filed with the clerk of the court as a matter of course. The party filing a deposition shall promptly serve notice thereof on the other parties and shall file the transcript and any exhibits in the form and manner specified by local rule.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.