

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

COURT CONVENED AT 10:30 A.M., MONDAY SEPTEMBER 21, 2026

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ANNOUNCEMENTS:

CIVIL DOCKET

132438 Danielle Hensley, Appellant, v. Nornat Management Service et al.,
Appellees. Appeal, Appellate Court, First District.

This matter coming before the Court on the Court's own motion, with the parties being given notice and with the court being fully advised in the premises.

The Court finds:

1. Appellant has filed a reply brief and points out or identifies numerous inaccurate citations in appellees' brief.
2. The Court has examined appellees' brief and has noted inaccurate citations and several citations that do not support appellees' arguments.

Appellees cite the following for propositions of law they do not appear to support:

- (a) On page 7: *Vision Point of Sale, Inc. v. Haas*, 226 Ill. 2d 334, 346-47 (2007).
- (b) On page 8: *People ex rel. Brazen v. Finley*, 119 Ill. 2d 485, 492 (1988).
- (c) On page 12: *People v. Robinson*, 217 Ill. 2d 43, 54 (2005).
- (d) On page 14: *In re D.T.*, 212 Ill. 2d 347, 355 (2004).
- (e) On page 15: *LAS, Inc. v. Mini-Tankers, USA, Inc.*, 342 Ill. App. 3d 997, 1001 (5th Dist. 2003).
- (f) On page 17: *Ianotti v. Chicago Park District*, 250 Ill. App. 3d 628, 632 (1st Dist. 1993).
- (g) On page 20: *People v. Brooks*, 233 Ill. 2d 146 (2009), and *Bright v. Dicke*, 166 Ill. 2d 204 (1995).

- (h) On page 21: *Alwin v. Village of Wheeling*, 371 Ill. App. 3d 898, 910 (1st Dist. 2007).
- (i) On page 21: *People v. Gersch*, 135 Ill. 2d 384, 399 (1990).
- (j) On page 21: *Dus v. Provena St. Mary's Hosp.*, 968 N.E. 2d 1178 (2012).
- (k) On page 26: *Jordan v. Macedo*, 2025 IL 130671, ¶ 36.
- (l) On page 26: *Hinkle v. Womack*, 303 Ill. App. 3d 105, 110 (1st Dist. 1999).
- (m) On page 27: *People v. Richardson*, 2015 IL App (1st) 113075, ¶ 11.
- (n) On page 28: *Bright v. Dicke*, 166 Ill. 2d 204, 210-11 (1995).
- (o) On page 28: *Jones v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co.*, 2018 IL App (1st) 170710, ¶¶ 17, 20.
- (p) On page 28: paragraphs 17 and 26 of *Jones* and page 353 of *Vision*.
- (q) On page 28: *People v. Walker*, 119 Ill. 2d 465, 475 (1988), and *People v. Santiago*, 236 Ill. 2d 417, 428 (2010).
- (r) On page 28: paragraph 26 of *Jones*, 2018 IL App (1st), 170710.
- (s) On page 29: paragraphs 17, 20, and 26, of *Jones*, 2018 IL App (1st), 170710.
- (t) On page 29: pages 210-11 of *Bright*.
- (u) On page 30: page 210 of *Bright* and page 475 of *Walker*.

Appellees cite the following inaccurately:

- (v) On pages 14 and 15: page 382 of *People v. Illgen*, 145 Ill. 2d 353 (1991).
 - (w) On page 28: *Bright v. Dicke*, 166 Ill. 2d 204, 210-211 (1995).
3. Supreme Court Rule 341(h)(7) requires the arguments in a brief to contain the contentions of the party and the “reasons therefore with citations of the authorities relied on.” Ill. S. Ct. R. 341(h)(7) (eff. Oct. 1, 2020).
 4. Supreme Court Rule 375 prescribes a procedure for the court to follow to determine if a party or attorney has willfully failed to comply with the appeal rules. Ill S. Ct. R. 375 (eff. Feb. 1. 1994).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- A. The oral argument currently scheduled for Wednesday September 23, 2026, is stricken.
- B. On or before October 19, 2026, appellees shall file a written response and show cause why sanctions should not be imposed pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 375(a) and (b).
- C. Appellees are directed to respond to each inaccurate citation and unsupported argument and provide reason for each: (a) attorney negligence; (b) artificial intelligence (AI) related error, or; (c) some other reason. Appellees should identify any other inaccurate citations or unsupported arguments not listed in paragraph 2.
- D. Appellees should indicate in their response whether they request a hearing.
- E. Appellees are directed to address what sanctions, if any, the Court should impose for the inaccurate citations and unsupported arguments.

Order entered by the Court.

Rochford, J., took no part.