

## **ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE**

OF THE

# **ILLINOIS COURTS**

1984 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

# **ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE**

OF THE

# **ILLINOIS COURTS**

1984 ANNUAL REPORT to the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS AUG. 26, 1818

> Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois (51837 - 1,800 - 6-86)



SUPREME COURT BUILDING Springfield, Illinois

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL FROM ROY O. GULLEY	10
THE ILLINOIS JUDICIAL SYSTEM	11
IN MEMORIAM	12
JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS	13
THE SUPREME COURT	14
Jurisdiction and Organization	14
Administrative and Supervisory Authority	14
1984 Supreme Court Caseload Summary	14
	14
	14
	15
	15
	16
	16
	18
	18
	19
	31
	31
	31
	31
	32
	32
	32
	34
	34
,	34
	34
	35
6	35
	35
	36
	39
6	39
	40
	40
	40
	41
	42
THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	44
	44
Personnel	44
Accounting Division	44
	58
Judicial Management Information Services	61
	63
Uniform Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts	64
	65
Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports	65
	65
Rule 39 — Appointment of Associate Judges	65

Rule 215(d) — Impartial Medical Experts	66
Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students	69
Administration of Official Court Reporters	70
Secretariat	70
Educational and Informational Functions	70
Trial Court Administration Conference	70
Circuit Clerk Training Program	71
Distribution of Supreme Court Opinion and Legislative Summaries	71
Publications of the Administrative Office	71
Membership in Organizations	72
LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS	73
1984 CASELOADS, STATISTICAL RECORDS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS	
SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURT DISTRICTS	74
THE SUPREME COURT	76
Justices of the Supreme Court	76
Number of Cases Decided with Full Opinions 1964-1984	77
Petitions for Leave to Appeal 1964-1984	78
Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court During 1984	79
Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court After Allowance of Petitions	15
for Leave to Appeal, Motions for Direct Appeals & Motions	
in Original Action Cases During 1984	80
Trend of All Cases Filed & Disposed of in the Supreme Court During 1984	80
THE APPELLATE COURT	81
Judges of the Appellate Court	81
Cases Disposed of by Opinion or Rule 23 Order 1964-1984	82
Trend of All Cases in the Appellate Court During 1984	83
Trend of Cases in the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate	00
Court During 1984	83
All Cases Disposed of in the Appellate Court During 1984	63 84
All Cases Disposed of Without Opinion or Order Pursuant to Supreme	04
	0.5
Court Rule 23 During 1984	85
Time Lapse Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition for All	06
Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1984	86
Time Lapse Between Date Briefs Were Filed and Date of Disposition	07
for Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1984	87
Abstract Summary of the Number of Opinions and Rule 23 Orders	
Written by Judges of the Appellate Court During 1984	88
THE CIRCUIT COURTS	89
The Judicial Circuits	89
Circuit Court Judicial Officers	90
Cases Filed, Reinstated and Disposed of 1964-1984	99
Ratio of Filings Per Judge in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1984	100
Trend of All Cases in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1984	101
Summary Report on Law Cases Disposed of in the Circuit Courts of	
Illinois During 1984	144
Summary Report on Law Cases Terminated by Verdict	144
Statistical Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed of During 1984	145
Dispositions in 1984 of Defendants Charged With a Felony	148
Sentences Imposed on Defendants Charged and Convicted of	
Felonies During 1984	152
Fiscal Year 1984 Total Financial Activity as Reported by the Clerks	
of the Circuit Courts	160
Fiscal Year 1984 Fines, Add-on Penalties, Assessments, and Certain	
Fees Distributed by Clerks of the Circuit Courts	161
1984 Select Characteristics of Illinois Probation Departments	164

1984 Select Characteristics on Juvenile Cases	167
1984 Adult and Juvenile Probation and Conditional Discharge Violation Summary	173
THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY	176
Organizational Chart	176
Trend of Cases in the Circuit Court of Cook County	177
Trend of Cases in the Municipal Department, Circuit Court of Cook	
County During 1984	178
In the Law Division, County Department, Statistical Report on Law	
Cases During 1984	180
In the Municipal Department, Average Time Interval Between Date of	
Filing and Date of Disposition of Law Jury Cases During 1984	181
Number of Law Jury Cases Pending in the Cook County Law Division	
at the End of Each Month from January 1971 through December 1984	182
Average Age of Law Jury Cases Disposed of Each Month from January	
1971 through December 1984 in the Law Division	183
Statistical Report on Cases Filed in the Law Division During 1979 – 1984	184
In the Law Division, Statistical Report on Law Cases During January	
through December 1984	185
In the Law Division, Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Section, Statistical	
Report on Tax, Condemnation and Miscellaneous Remedy Cases	
Disposed of During 1981 through 1984	186
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Jury and Non-Jury	
Cases (\$15,000 or less) Filed During 1979-1984	187
In the Municipal Department, Age of Pending Law Cases As of December 31, 1984	189
Number of Law Jury Cases Pending in the Cook County Municipal	
Department at the End of Each Month from January 1971 through	
December 1984	191
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Cases (\$15,000	
or less) During January through December 1984	192
In the Chancery Division, Statistics on Chancery Cases During 1980-1984	194
Analysis of Chancery Cases for 1973 through 1984	194
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Housing Cases	
During 1984	195
In the Domestic Relations Division, Cases Heard and Disposed of	
During 1984	196
In the Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Housing Paternity Cases	
During 1984	197
Monies Held and Collections Made for Distribution to Others — In	
the Support Section, Domestic Relations Division, Fiscal	
Year 1981-1984	198
Trend of all Cases in the County Division During 1984	199
In the County Division, Mental Health Cases Disposed of During 1984	199
In the Probate Division, Analysis of Probate Cases for 1973-1984	200
Movement of Cases, Inventories Filed and Value Thereof in 1984	200
In the Law Division — Tax Section, County Division — Tax Section, and	
Municipal Department, Statistical Report on Tax Cases During 1984 In the Surety Section, Bond Certificate Authorizations and Civil	201
	200
Forfeitures During 1984 In the Juvenile Division, Initial Action on Cases Referred to the	202
Division, Cases Adjusted, and Petition Recommended Cases	
	202
Referred to the Division During 1984 Table of Offenses Commenced by Juvenile Petition During 1984	203
Dispositions of Juvenile Cases During 1984	204
In the Municipal Department, Nature of Dispositions of Felony	208
Preliminary Hearings During 1984	209
,	209

In the Criminal Division and Municipal Department, Trend of Cases			
Charging Defendants With Offenses During 1984	210		
In the Criminal Division and Municipal Department, Age of Pending			
Felony Cases on December 31, 1984			
In the Criminal Division, Table of Felony Offenses Commenced by			
Indictment and Information During 1984	212		
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on			
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony			
Cases During 1984	217		
Number of Writs and Petitions Filed and Summary of Fines			
Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony Cases During 1984	218		
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Types of	210		
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1984	219		
In the Municipal Department, District One, Table of Felony Offenses	215		
Commenced by Information During 1984	221		
Guilty Pleas Accepted at Preliminary Hearings (Informations)			
by Type of Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1984	223		
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	445		
Cases During 1984	224		
In the Municipal Department, District Two, Table of Felony Offenses	221		
Commenced by Information During 1984	225		
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	225		
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony			
Cases During 1984	227		
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	221		
Cases During 1984	228		
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of	220		
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1984	228		
In the Municipal Department, District Three, Table of Felony Offenses	220		
Commenced by Information During 1984	229		
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	225		
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony			
Cases During 1984	233		
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	255		
Cases During 1984	234		
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of	234		
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1984	234		
In the Municipal Department, District Four, Table of Felony Offenses	234		
	236		
Commenced by Information During 1984 Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on Felony	230		
	237		
Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony Cases During 1984	231		
	220		
Cases During 1984	238		
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of	220		
Felony and Sentences Imposed During 1984	238		
In the Municipal Department, District Five, Table of Felony Offenses	241		
Commenced by Information During 1984	241		
Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	242		
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony Cases During 1984	242		
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	242		
Cases During 1984 Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court by Type of Felony and	242		
	243		
Sentences Imposed During 1984 In the Municipal Department, District Six, Table of Felony Offenses	243		
Commenced by Information During 1984	244		
Commenced by information During 1904	244		

Method of Disposition of Defendants and Sentences Imposed on	
Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor Convictions in Felony Cases	
During 1984	244
Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution Ordered in Felony	
Cases During 1984	246
Guilty Pleas and Convictions by Court and Jury by Type of Felony	
and Sentences Imposed During 1984	247
In the Municipal Department, Comparison of New Criminal Complaints	
Filed With New Charges Filed During 1984	250
In the Municipal Department, Nature and Number of Dispositions of	
Misdemeanor, Ordinance and Conservation Violations During 1984	250
In the Municipal Department, Summary of Fines Imposed and Restitution	
Ordered With Collection Rates in Misdemeanor, Ordinance and	
Conservation Violation Cases During 1984	251
In the Municipal Department, Nature and Number of Dispositions of	
Traffic Violation Cases During 1984	252
Appendix A — Constitution of 1970: Article VI — The Judiciary	253
Appendix B — Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts — Historical Development	
Appendix C — Judicial Salary Structure	
Appendix D — Genealogy of Judges of the Illinois Supreme Court 1818 — date	257

\*\*

Ŗ

**R**.

8

## REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR HON. ROY O. GULLEY



## Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

ROY O. GULLEY DIRECTOR SUPREME COURT BUILDING SPRINGFIELD 62706 217/782-7770

30 North Michigan Avenue Chicago 60602 312/793-3250

To: The Honorable Chief Justice and Justices of the Supreme Court:

I tender herewith the annual report of the Administrative Officer for calendar year 1984. This year's report presents information and statistics on new developments in all three levels of the Illinois court system.

As I observed in last year's report, 1984 brought the retirement of Justice Robert Underwood after many years of public service. This year's report features a biographical tribute to Justice Underwood.

In 1984, the Supreme Court handed down 200 full opinions and ruled on 1,468 petitions for leave to appeal. This year's report contains graphs illustrating the Court's caseload over time, including a new graph comparing the number of petitions for leave to appeal filed with the number of those petitions allowed. Also, cases on the Court's miscellaneous docket and miscellaneous record are tabulated for the first time this year.

There were 6,916 new filings in the Appellate Court in 1984 and 6,891 dispositions. The number of cases disposed of in that court by opinion and by Supreme Court Rule 23 order appear in graph form in this report. Effective February 1, 1984, an Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court was created. Its activities and functions are explained in the Appellate Court section of the report, and its caseload is analyzed in a separate table in the statistical portion of the report.

In the Circuit Courts, 3,809,151 cases were filed in 1984 and 4,071,131 cases were disposed of. For the Circuit Court of Cook County, this year's report sets forth the types of sentences imposed on misdemeanor convictions in felony cases in the Criminal Division and Municipal Districts. Also new this year are tables on fines imposed and restitution ordered in felony, misdemeanor, and ordinance and conservation violation cases in the Circuit Court of Cook County. The misdemeanor and ordinance and conservation violation statistics include data on collection rates.

This office continues to discharge its constitutional responsibility to assist the Court in exercising "general administrative and supervisory authority over all courts." Among the additional functions assumed by our office this year are the sponsorship of the first Circuit Clerk Training Program, held in Collinsville, and the implementation of the Intensive Probation Supervision program by the Probation Division.

As in every organization, the Administrative Office's personnel changes periodically. I am pleased to announce that in August of this year, Assistant Director Brent Carlson was appointed an associate judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County. Brent was employed in the Chicago office for 9 years, where he assisted in planning and organizing many of the educational programs of the Judicial Conference. We will miss Brent, but we wish him the best in his judicial career.

Respectfully submitted,

Roy O. Gulley

Director

ROG/ls



## **IN MEMORIAM**

## **Appellate Court Judges**

John T. Reardon (Retired)\* Kenneth E. Wilson

## **Circuit Judges**

J. Waldo Ackerman (Retired), 7th Circuit Nathan M. Cohen (Retired), Cook County Robert F. Cotton (Retired), 5th Circuit Russell R. DeBow, Cook County Rudolph F. Desort (Retired), Superior Court of Cook County Lynndon Hancock (Retired), County Court of Saline County John Q. Lawless (Retired), County Court of Brown County Richard J. Petrarca, Cook County Paul D. Reese (Retired), 1st Circuit Edward D. Rosenberg (Retired), Cook County Frank G. Schneiderjon, 4th Circuit Harold C. Sewell (Retired), 17th Circuit Milo Yelvington (Retired), County Court of Jasper County

## **Associate Judges**

Eugene O. Duban, 7th Circuit Arthur A. Ellis, Cook County Carl F. Faust (Retired), Cook County Irwin Field (Retired), Cook County Frank Glazer, Cook County James R. Hansgen (Retired), 15th Circuit Robert M. Hoenig, Cook County J. Warren McCaffrey (Retired), Cook County Marvin J. Peters (Retired), Cook County William D. Vanderwater (Retired), 16th Circuit March 16, 1984 May 4, 1984

November 23, 1984 May 23, 1984 December 1, 1984 November 20, 1984 April 29, 1984 July 13, 1984 July 25, 1984 November 21, 1984 June 26, 1984 March 2, 1984 April 28, 1984 October 10, 1984 February 23, 1984

January 20, 1984 November 18, 1984 June 30, 1984 November 16, 1984 June 18, 1984 February 5, 1984 January 15, 1984 February 8, 1984 October 4, 1984 March 18, 1984

\*served by assignment from the 8th Circuit

## JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS

During 1984, a total of 52 Illinois judges left the judicial system. Most of these judges retired either for health reasons or to return to the practice of law. Several judges reached the compulsory retirement age of 75 (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 23.71).

#### **Supreme Court Justice**

Robert C. Underwood December 3, 1984

#### **Appellate Court Judges**

Jay J. Alloy, 3rd District November 30, 1984

Robert J. Downing, 1st District December 3, 1984

Glenn K. Seidenfeld, 2nd District December 3, 1984

Harold F. Trapp, 4th District December 3, 1984

### **Circuit Judges**

Clarke C. Barnes, 14th Circuit December 3, 1984

Leonard Brody, 19th Circuit June 30, 1984

Marion E. Burks, Cook County September 30, 1984

Robert J. Collins, Cook County December 3, 1984

John J. Crowley, Cook County December 1, 1984

Cornelius F. Dore, Jr., Cook County December 3, 1984

Morton C. Elden, Cook County December 3, 1984

Bruce R. Fawell, 18th Circuit December 3, 1984

John Gannon, Cook County December 3, 1984

L. Michael Getty, Cook County December 3, 1984

Paul M. Hickman, 5th Circuit December 31, 1984

Leonard Hoffman, 13th Circuit July 31, 1984 Peter N. Kamberos, Cook County December 3, 1984

William B. Kane, Cook County November 30, 1984

George W. Kasserman, Jr., 4th Circuit December 3, 1984

John J. Kaufman, 19th Circuit December 3, 1984

Neil E. Mahoney, 16th Circuit July 1, 1984

John P. McGury, Cook County February 29, 1984

Jack M. Michaelree, 4th Circuit December 3, 1984

Don A. Moore, Cook County December 3, 1984

Charles R. Norgle, Sr., 18th Circuit October 31, 1984

Kenneth C. Prince, Cook County December 3, 1984

John F. Reynolds, Cook County July 1, 1984

Bruce Saxe, 2nd Circuit December 3, 1984

James N. Sherrick, 6th Circuit December 3, 1984

Harry D. Strouse, Jr., 19th Circuit December 3, 1984

James E. Strunck, Cook County December 3, 1984

Alfred B. Teton, Cook County December 3, 1984

Louis A. Wexler, Cook County December 3, 1984

Howard Lee White, 7th Circuit August 31, 1984

C. M. Wilson, 10th Circuit December 3, 1984

Bernard B. Wolfe, Cook County December 3, 1984

Arthur V. Zelezinski, Cook County December 3, 1984

#### Associate Judges

Lawrence T. Allen, Jr., 5th Circuit July 5, 1984 Donald T. Anderson, 16th Circuit August 31, 1984 Patrick M. Coolahan, 18th Circuit August 1, 1984 Henry X. Dietch, Cook County July 5, 1984 Russell J. Dolce, Cook County June 30, 1984 Meyer H. Goldstein, Cook County December 3, 1984 John J. Hogan, Cook County December 1, 1984 Matthew A. Jurczak, 5th Circuit July 2, 1984 Brocton D. Lockwood, 1st Circuit March 31, 1984 Martin G. Luken, Cook County July 1, 1984 Francis J. Maher, Cook County July 2, 1984 Edwin L. Martay, Cook County December 3, 1984 John M. Murphy, Cook County August 3, 1984 Robert A. Sweeney, Cook County March 3, 1984 \*The following circuit judges retired from the Circuit Court of Cook County in late 1983, but their names were not included in the 1983 Annual Report. James H. Felt December 28, 1983 Maurice D. Pompey December 29, 1983 Raymond S. Sarnow December 28, 1983

## THE SUPREME COURT

#### Jurisdiction and Organization

The Illinois Supreme Court is the highest court in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is primarily appellate, but it has original jurisdiction in several categories of cases listed in the 1970 Constitution. It hears appeals from decisions both of the Appellate Court and of the Circuit Courts and its appellate caseload consists of discretionary appeals and appeals as of right. For a more detailed description of the Court's jurisdiction, see sections 4 and 9 of article VI of the Constitution of 1970, in Appendix A.

Three of the seven Justices of the Court are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four judicial districts. Justices are elected for 10 year terms. Four Justices constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 2, 3 and 10.

The Court is in session in Springfield for five terms each year during the months of January, March, May, September and November. At each term, the Court issues opinions, holds conferences, hears oral arguments, rules on motions, considers modifications to Supreme Court rules and meets with the Administrative Director to consider administrative and budgetary matters.

#### Administrative and Supervisory Authority

General administrative and supervisory authority over the unified Illinois judicial system is vested by the Constitution in the Supreme Court. Acting in accordance with the Court's rules, the Chief Justice, who is selected for a three year term, exercises this authority. The Court appoints an Administrative Director and staff to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16.) In addition to the general grant of administrative authority contained in section 16 of article VI, the Constitution also identifies specific administrative powers which the Court shall or may exercise. These powers include:

- Prescribing the number of appellate divisions in each judicial district;
- (2) Assignment of judges to appellate divisions;
- Prescribing the time and place for appellate divisions to sit;
- (4) Providing for the manner of appointing associate judges;
- (5) Providing for matters assignable to associate judges;
- (6) In the absence of a law, filling judicial vacancies by appointment;
- (7) Prescribing rules of conduct for judges;
- (8) Assignment of retired judges to judicial service;
- (9) Appointment of an Administrative Director and staff;

- (10) Temporary assignment of judges;
- (11) Providing for an annual Judicial Conference and reporting thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly;
- (12) Appointment of the Supreme Court Clerk and other nonjudicial officers of the Court.

To complement these enumerated duties, the Court possesses other administrative functions pursuant to statute or which are inherent in the operation of the Court. The annual judicial budget prepared by the Administrative Director is approved by the Court. The Court employs three law clerks for each Justice as well as staff attorneys and other research department personnel. It selects a Marshal and Supreme Court Librarian. The Court also appoints the State Appellate Defender and two persons to the Appellate Defender Commission; a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Illinois Defender Project, and judicial members of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority and the Board of Trustees of the Judges' Retirement System. From time to time, the Court appoints committees, as the need arises, to study and suggest amendments in substantive and procedural law, Supreme Court rules, and other matters affecting the administration of justice.

#### 1984 Supreme Court Caseload Summary

During the 1984 terms, the seven Justices handed down 200 full opinions and 36 supervisory orders; ruled on 59 petitions for rehearing; and ruled on 1,468 petitions for leave to appeal. Of the petitions for leave to appeal, 166 or 11% were allowed. The Court received 2,044 new filings in 1984 on the general docket, miscellaneous docket, and miscellaneous record and admitted 2,486 new lawyers to the practice of law in Illinois.

#### **Clerk of the Supreme Court**

Since July 19, 1982, Juleann Hornyak has served as Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court. In general, the duties of the Clerk include the receipt and processing of filings and the maintenance of dockets, records, files and statistics on the activities of the Court. During 1984, the staff of the Clerk's office consisted of 14 full-time employees and 2 part-time employees.

#### The Supreme Court Marshal

Since February 8, 1976, the Supreme Court's Marshal has been Mr. Louie F. Dean. The Marshal attends each term of the Court and performs such other duties, at the direction of the Court, which are usually performed by the county sheriff in the Circuit Courts.

#### **Reporter of Decisions**

The Supreme Court appointed Stephen D. Porter to serve as Reporter of Decisions for the Supreme and Appellate Courts effective January 1, 1976. The Reporter's office is located in Bloomington and is responsible for the editing and printing of the official reports of Supreme and Appellate Court opinions. Each year the Reporter supervises the publication of 25 paperback advance sheets and approximately 12 to 14 hard-bound volumes of the official reports. The Reporter's office also prepares the headnotes and index for the Supreme Court opinions, including the 10-volume indexes that appear in volumes 70 III. 2d, 80 III. 2d and 90 III. 2d.

#### Justice Underwood Retires

On December 3, 1984, Justice Robert C. Underwood retired from the Supreme Court. He had announced more than a year before that he would retire in December of 1984 so that his successor could be elected in the 1984 general election.

Justice Underwood was born in Gardner, Grundy County, Illinois on October 27, 1915. He graduated from Gardner-South Wilmington Township High School, received his college education at Illinois Wesleyan University in Bloomington and his legal education at the University of Illinois, and was admitted to the Illinois bar in October of 1939. He engaged in the private practice of law in Bloomington until 1946, while serving as City Attorney of Normal and as an assistant State's Attorney of McLean County from 1942 to 1946.

In 1946 Justice Underwood was elected judge of the County Court of McLean County, and was re-elected three times, serving in that post until April 1962. During his 16 years as County Judge, Justice Underwood was a tireless advocate for more foster homes and improved facilities for juvenile offenders. His work on behalf of juveniles drew Statewide recognition and praise, and his peers in the Illinois County and Probate Judges Association elected him to every office in that organization, including president. He was also appointed a member of the Illinois Commission on Children and served there as vice-chairman.

On April 10, 1962, Justice Underwood was elected to the Supreme Court, from the old Third District, to fill the vacancy created by the death of Justice George W. Bristow. He was subsequently retained as a Supreme Court Justice from the Fourth Judicial District in 1970, under the provisions of the Judicial Article of 1962, and again in 1980. On September 8, 1969, he was elected by his colleagues as Chief Justice and was twice re-elected to that post. His more than six years of service as Chief Justice — September 8, 1969 to January 1, 1976 — represents one of the longest periods of tenure, if not the longest, in the history of Illinois. He had been the senior Justice of the Supreme Court since December of 1976.

On December 3, 1984, the date he retired from office, Justice Underwood had continuously served over 38 years as a judge, including nearly 23 years as a Supreme Court Justice — one of the longest periods of judicial tenure in modern times. Throughout his service on the Court, he has been described as a "stabilizing influence; a rock of integrity," "\*\*\* a holder of high office whose integrity [is] beyond question," "no subterfuge \*\*\* always straightforward and honest," and "the hardest working" among the Justices. It has been said that his "understanding of the Supreme Court's general administrative and supervisory authority over all the courts, and the prudent exercise of that authority while chief justice, is widely admired and respected." Yet, he "is a man who has not forgotten his common background."

During his tenure as Chief Justice, including the difficult transitional period between the 1962 Judicial Article and the 1970 Constitution and its implementation, Justice Underwood effectively exercised the Court's administrative and supervisory power, bringing about improved administration in all courts of Illinois. His administrative leadership was recognized in 1976 when he received the Illinois State Bar Association's Award of Merit. Some of Justice Underwoods accomplishments as Chief Justice include:

- He shepherded the development and adoption of the first rules of judicial conduct having the force of law. Supreme Court Rules 61-71 have been described as the "most comprehensive and stringent" code of judicial conduct in the nation, and they were extensively consulted by the drafters of the American Bar Association's Code of Judicial Conduct.
- He was one of the first State chief justices to effectively use an annual report to make recommendations to the legislature for improvements in the courts and in substantive and procedural law.
- He strongly advocated timely disposition of lawsuits and supported implementation of plans to reduce delay in disposition of litigation, especially in the circuit court of Cook County.
- He strongly advocated stability in the office of chief judge and urged the selection of chief judges who possess administrative talents.
- During his term as Chief Justice, new Supreme Court rules for the registration and discipline of attorneys were adopted, and the Supreme Court's Order on Uniform Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts was implemented in 67 circuit clerks' offices.

There are other examples of Justice Underwood's work beyond the narrow and traditional scope of "judging." He had a keen interest in the work of the Illinois Judicial Conference — he served (1967-1970; 1977-1984) as the Court's liaison officer to the Conference's executive committee. And he had articles published in the University of Illinois Law Forum, Loyola University Law Journal, Northwestern University Law Review, Notre Dame Lawyer, and Illinois Bar Journal.

The primary obligation of a Justice of the Supreme Court is, of course, to decide cases and to reduce to writing the reasons for the decision. Justice Underwood's opinions are carefully and deliberately crafted — there are no loose words or phrases, no sweeping generalizations, no swaying from the issues to be decided. His opinions are closely reasoned and well-written. His opinion-writing has been described as "capable, conservative and [even] brilliant."

He knew the limits of judicial power and followed a course of prudence. Through the years, he carefully balanced the scales of justice. Of particular note are Justice Underwood's opinions dealing with the relationship between the decision of legal issues and the operation of the judicial system — he was a strong proponent for the orderly and efficient functioning of the judicial system.

Justice Underwood's opinions are contained in 81 volumes of the Illinois Reports, 25 Ill. 2d through 105 Ill. 2d. There can be found Justice Underwood's first opinion for the Court, *People* v. *Mosby*, 25 Ill. 2d 400, filed September 28, 1962, and his first dissenting opinion, *In re Estate of Wolfner*, 27 Ill. 2d 221, 227, filed February 1, 1963. In those volumes there is also found the kind and number of Justice Underwood's opinions:

Opinions for the Court (Majority)	780
Dissenting Opinions and Statements	117
Concurring and Specially Concurring	
Opinions and Statements	27
Concurring in Part and Dissenting	
in Part Opinions	19
TOTAL	943

#### Supreme Court Rules Committee

The Supreme Court has a standing committee on rules. This Committee was first organized in 1963 in anticipation of the increased responsibility of the Supreme Court in the area of rulemaking under the 1964 constitutional amendment. During calendar year 1984 the Committee consisted of the following persons:

Professor Jo Desha Lucas, University of Chicago School of Law, Chairman
Murray R. Conzelman, Esq., Waukegan
Lawrence Gunnels, Esq., Chicago
Hon. Harold L. Jensen, Circuit Judge, 6th Circuit, Urbana
William J. Jovan, Esq., Chicago
Watts C. Johnson, Esq., Princeton
Sidney Z. Karasik, Esq., Chicago
Fred Lambruschi, Esq., Chicago
Carl W. Lee, Esq., Belleville
Hon. Richard Mills, Justice, Appellate Court of Illinois, 4th District

- Hon. William R. Quinlan, Circuit Judge, Cook County, Chicago
  Hon. Dom Rizzi, Justice, Appellate Court of Illinois, 1st District
  Peter M. Sfikas, Esq., Chicago
  Robert L. Stern, Esq., Chicago
- Hon. John E. Sype, Circuit Judge, 17th Circuit, Rockford

Justice Thomas J. Moran of the Supreme Court of Illinois was the Supreme Court's Liaison to the Rules Committee during calendar year 1984. The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts served as secretary to the Committee.

Except when extraordinary matters must be considered, the Supreme Court Rules Committee meets in Chicago on the last Friday of February, April, June, October and December each year. In 1984, the Committee met only during these months. The staggered meeting dates are intended to facilitate attendance by the Supreme Court's liaison Justice.

During calendar year 1984, the Committee considered many proposals for changes in the Supreme Court Rules. Those proposals which were adopted by the Supreme Court are summarized in the following section. These matters were a small portion of the recommendations for change discussed at the Committee's meetings. Recommendations come from various sources. In some instances, the Supreme Court agrees upon a rule in principle and refers the proposal to the Committee to be put into rule form. In other instances, proposals are prompted by court decisions, actions by Congress or the Illinois General Assembly, and communications from the organized bar, law professors, individual attorneys or even members of the public at large.

## New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Illinois Supreme Court

In the exercise of its inherent power to adopt rules governing practice and procedure, supplemented by constitutional directives to exercise that authority in specific areas (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 16 and 17), the Illinois Supreme Court adopted, amended or repealed the following rules in 1984:

Effective February 1, 1984, Rules 22 (Appellate Court Organization), 302 (Direct Appeals to the Supreme Court), and 315 (Leave to Appeal From the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court) were amended.

Effective May 1, 1984, Rule 2-103 (Private Communications Recommending or Soliciting Professional Employment) was amended.

Effective July 1, 1984, Rules 291 (Proceedings Under the Administrative Review Act), 303 (Appeals From Final Judgments of the Circuit Court in Civil Cases), 304 (Appeals From Final Judgments that Do Not Dispose of an Entire Proceeding), 315 (Leave to Appeal From the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court), 335 (Direct Review of Administrative Orders by the Appellate Court), 341 (Briefs), 342 (Appendix to the Brief; Abstract) 344 (Number of Copies, Service, and Form and Method of Reproduction of Briefs and Abstracts), 401 (Waiver of Counsel), 501 (Definitions), 502 (Statutory References), 503 (Multiple Charges under These Rules), 505 (Notice to Accused), 526 (Bail Schedule-Traffic Offenses), 527 (Bail Schedule-Conservation Offenses), 529 (Fines, Penalties and Costs on Written Pleas of Guilty in Minor Traffic and Conservation Offenses), 530 (Applicability of 10% Cash Deposit Statute), 551 (Traffic and Conservation Offenses for Which a Court Appearance is Required), 552 (Uniform Tickets-Processing), 554 (Substitution of Cash Bail for Driver's License or Bond Certificate), 555 (Returning Bail or Documents), 556 (Procedure if Defendant Fails to Appear), 606 (Perfection of Appeal), 706 (Fees of Applicants), 756 (Registration and Fees), 758 (Mental Disability or Addiction to Drugs or Intoxicants), 759 (Restoration to Active Status), 767 (Reinstatement), 2-102 (Professional Notices, Letterheads and Offices), and 4-101 (Preservation of Confidences and Secrets of a Client) were amended.

Also effective July 1, 1984, Rules 292 (Form of Summons in Proceedings to Review Orders of the Industrial Commission) and 774 (Interim Suspension) were adopted, Rules 761 (Conviction of Crime), 766 (Confidentiality and Privacy) and 773 (Costs) were completely revised and Rule 769 (Clerk not to Announce Filing) was repealed.

Effective September 14, 1984, Rule 703 (Educational Requirements) was amended, and effective October 1, 1984, Rule 220 (Expert Witnesses) was adopted.

Effective November 1, 1984, Rules 292 (Form of Summons in Proceedings to Review Orders of the Industrial Commission) and 721 (Professional Service Corporations and Associations for the Practice of Law) were amended. Finally, Rule 651 (Appeals in Post-Conviction Proceedings) was amended effective December 1, 1984.

Particularly significant changes in the Supreme Court Rules are summarized below:

#### Rule 22

A new paragraph (g) was added to this rule, creating the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court. As described in the Appellate Court section of this report, the new division hears direct appeals from orders of the Circuit Court reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission. Rules 292, 302 (a), 315 (a), 342 (a) and 344 (a) were added or amended to accommodate the re-routing of workers' compensation appeals from the Supreme Court to the Appellate Court.

#### Rule 220

This new rule was adopted to eliminate the last-minute disclosure of expert witnesses. It defines "expert witnesses" and "consulting experts." Generally, a party must disclose the identity of an expert witness and the substance of the expert's opinion within 90 days of learning of that opinion or at the first pretrial conference in the case, whichever is later. Information need not be provided, in most situations, about a consulting expert. Paragraph (c), which regulates the discovery of an expert's opinion, requires a party retaining an expert to answer interrogatories or submit a signed report on (1) the subject matter on which the expert is expected to testify, (2) the expert's conclusions and opinions and the bases therefor, and (3) the expert's qualifications. Under this rule, the parties have a continuing duty to reveal changes in an expert's opinion.

#### Rule 315

Paragraph (d) of this rule was amended to eliminate a provision that allowed any party to request transmittal of the record on appeal to the Supreme Court from the Appellate Court either before or after a petition for leave to appeal was acted upon.

#### Rule 401

Paragraph (b) of this rule was amended to require transcription of the verbatim report of waiver of counsel in criminal cases only when ordered by the trial court.

#### Rule 501

Paragraph (g) was added to this rule to allow Illinois residents and residents of member jurisdictions of the Nonresident Violator Compact of 1977 to obtain release from custody without bail following arrests for minor traffic offenses by signing a written promise to comply with the terms of the Uniform Citation and Complaint.

#### Rule 503

Paragraph (a) of this rule was amended to discourage police officers from issuing multiple citations for offenses arising out of the same act. However, where a person is charged with more than one offense arising out of the same occurrence and is released from custody on bail or a promise to comply, a court appearance generally will be required on each charge.

#### Rule 529

This rule was amended to incorporate current statutes requiring the collection of certain fines, penalties and costs following a written plea of guilty entered without a court appearance in minor traffic and conservation offense cases.

#### Rule 556

Paragraph (a) of this rule was amended to modify the procedure to be followed if a person who is accused of a traffic offense deposits his or her driver's license or executes a promise to comply, but does not appear in court on the scheduled appearance date. If the driver resides in Illinois, the Secretary of State will suspend his or her driving privileges, rather than his or her driver's license. In the case of a non-resident of Illinois, the Secretary of State will notify the appropriate driver's licensing authority.

#### Rule 651

This rule was amended to make appeals from post-conviction proceedings involving a judgment imposing a sentence of death directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right, rather than to the Appellate Court.

#### Rule 761

This rule was amended to require an Illinois attorney convicted of a felony or misdemeanor to notify the Administrator of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission within 30 days of the entry of the judgment of conviction. Other portions of this rule were revised and reorganized.

#### Rule 766

In the process of the complete revision of this rule, it was amended to allow the Supreme Court or a member thereof to permit the Administrator of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission to disclose information about disciplinary proceedings in the interests of justice. Also, disciplinary proceedings under Supreme Court Rules 759, 761, 763, 767 and 774 are now classified private but not confidential.

#### **Rule** 773

This rule was amended to make the imposition of costs upon an attorney-respondent who is disciplined under Rules 753, 754, 761, 762 or 763 mandatory rather than discretionary. This Rule was also completely revised.

#### Rule 774

This new rule was adopted to give the Supreme Court the authority to suspend an attorney from the practice of law temporarily during the pendency of a criminal indictment, criminal information, disciplinary proceedings or disciplinary investigation.

#### Rule 2-103

This rule was amended to permit an attorney to advertise his services by way of a general mailing not directed to persons known to require legal services with respect to a specific matter or problem. It prohibits an attorney from targeting a mailing at a person or category of persons known by the attorney to have an immediate, potential need for legal services.

### Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court

Article VI, section 12 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides that, in the absence of a law providing for the filling of vacancies in the office of Supreme Court Justice, appellate or circuit judge, such vacancies may be filled by appointment of the Supreme Court. Exercising this authority, the Supreme Court, during 1984, made the following appointments of attorneys and sitting judges (an asterisk (\*) after a judge's name indicates that he or she was a sitting judge who was elevated to higher judicial office):

> William E. Black\*, 18th Circuit Effective December 3 Claude J. Davis, 7th Circuit Effective August 7

Cornelius F. Dore, Jr., Cook County Effective December 3

L. Michael Getty, Cook County Effective December 3 Edward W. Kowal\*, 18th Circuit Effective December 3

Robert D. McLaren\*, 18th Circuit Effective December 3

Louis J. Perona, 13th Circuit Effective December 1

### Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service

Article VI, section 15 (a) of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge to active service, with his consent. A retired associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge. In 1984, 3 retired appellate judges, 15 retired circuit judges and 2 retired associate judges were assigned to active judicial service. Those judges and their assignments were:

**Appellate Court** Mayer Goldberg First District (January 1-August 31) George W. Kasserman, Jr.\* Fifth District (December 3-31) John M. O'Connor, Jr. First District (all year) Harry D. Strouse, Jr.\* Second District (December 3-31) Harold F. Trapp Fourth District (December 3-31) Lloyd A. Van Deusen\* Second District (January 1-August 31) Albert G. Webber, III\* Fourth District (all year)

\*Retired Circuit Judge

Circuit Court		
Norman N. Eiger	Cook County	
	(all year)	
Morton C. Elden	Cook County	
	(December 3-31)	
Hyman Feldman	Cook County	
	(July 16-December 31)	
Philip Fleischman	Cook County	
	(January 1-November 9)	
Herbert R. Friedlund	Cook County	
	(February 16-December 31)	
James A. Geroulis	Cook County	
	(all year)	
Benjamin J. Kanter**	Cook County	
	(January 3-December 31)	
Benjamin Nelson	Cook County	
	(all year)	
Harry S. Stark	Cook County	
	(all year)	

Alfred B. Teton

Raymond E. Trafelet

Eugene L. Wachowski

Blair Varnes\*\*

Dian vanies

Cook County (December 3-31) Cook County (all year) 18th Circuit (January 1-15) Cook County (all year)

\*\*Retired Associate Judge

## 1984 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly

Article VI, section 17 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides:

"The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31."

The Chief Justice, on behalf of the Supreme Court, submitted the 1984 report on January 31, 1985. The text of the report is set forth below:

> SUPREME COURT State of Illinois

CHIEF JUSTICE WILLIAM G. CLARK Richard J. Daley Center Chicago, Illinois 60602

January 31, 1985

Honorable Philip J. Rock, President Senate of the State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

Honorable Michael J. Madigan, Speaker House of Representatives State of Illinois Capitol Building Springfield, Illinois 62706

#### Gentlemen:

The following report is submitted in accordance with section 17 of article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 which provides:

"The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31."

In making the suggestions contained in this and in prior reports, the Supreme Court is fully cognizant of the respective roles of the General Assembly and the courts, and does not intend to intrude upon the prerogatives of the General Assembly in determining what legislation should be enacted. It is gratifying, however, to note that the General Assembly over the years has acted to implement many of the suggestions made by the Court. I respectfully submit that the attached suggestions merit the consideration of the General Assembly.

Respectfully,

William G. Clark Chief Justice

cc: Members of the General Assembly

## The Legislative Scheme Allowing State's Attorney Fees Should Be Re-examined

The criminal costs statute provides that a defendant who is convicted of an offense must pay the costs of his prosecution. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 180-3.) Section 8 of "An Act concerning fees and salaries \*\*\*" (Supp. to III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 53, par. 8) establishes a schedule of State's Attorney fees, applicable principally to criminal prosecutions, which requires his fees to be "taxed as costs and to be collected from the defendant, if possible, upon conviction." Section 8 also states that a State's Attorney is entitled to appeal fees, which are to be assessed as costs when he successfully defends an appeal brought by a convicted criminal defendant. See also III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 110, par. 5-120.

In People v. Nicholls (1978), 71 III. 2d 166, this Court considered the above-cited statutes and other statutory provisions governing fees and costs, and we said that the allowance and recovery of costs, being unknown at common law, is wholly grounded in statutory law. We referred to the defendant's contention that section 8 is obsolete because it was originally enacted to provide compensation to State's Attorneys but now the office of State's Attorney is a salaried position (see III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 53, pars. 7, 22a). We then stated, and have since reiterated in *In re W.W.* (1983), 97 III. 2d 53, 58, that "[i]n light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures, the provisions of section 8 [citation] relating to State's Attorney fees may appear to be a relic of another era which might well merit the attention of the legislature." (71 III. 2d 166, 179.) Too, the administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court has recommended, and this Court agrees, elimination of the State's Attorney appeal and *per diem* fees in section 8 which are taxed as costs against an unsuccessful criminal appellant (see *Nicholls* and *People v. Agnew* (1985), 105 Ill. 2d 275).

The Supreme Court invites the General Assembly to reexamine section 8 (Supp. to Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983), ch. 53, par. 8) "in light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures" and to consider abolishing the state's attorney trial and appeal fees provided therein.

## Section 5-6-4(h) Of The Unified Code Of Corrections Should Be Amended To Prohibit Automatic Crediting Of Time Spent On Probation

Section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) states that where a defendant is resentenced after revocation of his probation, conditional discharge or supervision, the "[t]ime served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall be credited by the court against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4-(h); see also III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4.1(h).) In People v. Hollingsworth (1982), 89 III. 2d 466, defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The sentencing judge's order was ambiguous in that the order credited against defendant's sentence of imprisonment the time he served in custody after his arrest for the probation violation but did not expressly say anything about time served on probation. Relying on People v. Hills (1980), 78 Ill. 2d 500, we held that "[i]f the court decides to deny credit for probation time, it should say so; the point should not be left to inference or interpretation. If the court does not expressly deny credit, the defendant is entitled to it under section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections [citation], which contemplates that credit will usually be allowed." (89 III. 2d 466, 468.) Thus, if the order revoking probation, conditional discharge or supervision and sentencing defendant to imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is silent or ambiguous concerning unconfined probation time credit (see People v. Scheib (1979), 76 Ill. 2d 244), the time served while on probation will be automatically credited against the sentence of imprisonment. See also People v. Goodman (1984), 102 Ill. 2d 18, which permits credit under section 5-6-4(h) during the period probation, conditional discharge or supervision is tolled pursuant to section 5-6-4(a)(3) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(a)(3) ).

This Court believes the "automatic credit" provision of the Code would better serve the administration of justice if it were amended. As section 5-6-4(h) now stands, if, upon revoking defendant's probation, the judge sentences the defendant to short-term imprisonment, for example, and the sentencing order does not expressly say anything about probation time credit, or ambiguously says it, probation time credit will be given, even though such credit could make the sentence of imprisonment meaningless. Such an anomaly would defeat the purpose of the judge's sentence. Indeed, such a fact situation has recently been considered by the Illinois Appellate Court. (See *People v. Austin* (1983), 116 Ill. App. 3d 95, where after revoking the defendant's probation the trial judge resentenced her to 120 days in jail which was rendered meaningless because the time she had already spent on probation exceeded 120 days.) The Supreme Court, therefore, again recommends the General Assembly consider amending section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h)) to provide that, unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall *not* be credited against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment.

## Trial Judge Should Determine Matters To Be Included In Presentence Report In Minor Offenses

Section 5-3-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) requires, unless the "parties agree to the imposition of a specific sentence," that a defendant convicted of a felony shall not be sentenced without the sentencing judge first considering "a written presentence report of investigation;" however, in other criminal cases the sentencing judge "may order" a presentence report. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-1.) While such a report is mandatory in sentencing for a felony (People v. Youngbey (1980), 82 Ill. 2d 556; see also People v. Harris (1985), 105 III. 2d 290 (report required before resentencing in felony probation revocation cases) ), it is not, by the terms of section 5-3-1, required in minor offense cases (People v. Williams (1977), 45 Ill. App. 3d 287). Section 5-3-2(a) of the Code sets forth the matters which the presentence report "shall" contain, including, generally, the defendant's criminal history, his family background, special resources in the community that might be available to assist in the defendant's rehabilitation, the impact of the offense upon the victim, defendant's status since arrest, etc. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-2(a).) It is the content of the presentence report ordered by the sentencing judge, in his discretion, in minor offense cases, such as misdemeanors or traffic offenses, that causes some concern.

Probation officers, who are responsible for preparing the presentence report, and perhaps trial judges, view section 5-3-2(a) as requiring that the content of the report include all of the matters specified in the statute when the sentencing judge orders, in his discretion, a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Arguably that view is supported by case law. (*People v. Young* (1977), 52 III. App. 3d 671.) However, it is well recognized in this State, despite a recent trend to upgrade probation departments, that there is an insufficient number of probation officers and resources. Given these circumstances, probation officers and preparing written presentence reports of investigation of defendants convicted of a felony, as required by section 5-3-1. The time and effort it takes a probation officer

to prepare a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense, which includes all of the matters specified in section 5-3-2(a), obviously will lessen his or her availability to prepare presentence reports of defendants convicted of a felony. The sentencing judge would appear to be in the best position to know what matters he needs in a presentence report before sentencing a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Presumably, in most cases, such a report need not contain all of the matters required by section 5-3-2(a), and accordingly less time would be needed by a probation officer to prepare the report, allowing him or her to supervise, and prepare presentence reports, or felons.

The Supreme Court recommends that the General Assembly consider providing that presentence reports, when ordered in minor offense cases, shall contain only the matters that the sentencing judge directs be included.

## The Laws Governing The Various Court-Related Departments Providing Services To The Court Are In Need Of Re-Examination

The General Assembly has authorized the counties of this State to provide certain social and correctional services, under the auspices of the Circuit Courts, to persons who come into contact with the criminal and juvenile justice system. These services are provided usually by court-related agencies such as probation departments, court services departments, and county shelter care and detention home departments. The various but similar statutory provisions governing the powers, duties, organization, procedures, appointment of personnel, etc. of these courtrelated departments are, however, scattered throughout the statutes and are, in many instances, when taken together, confusing, duplicative, and inconsistent.

A cursory review of the statutes creating these departments (see III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 23, par. 2681 et seq.; ch. 37, par. 706-1 et seg.; and ch. 38, par. 204-1 et seg.) demonstrates a need for legislative review. For example, compare section 3 of the County Shelter Care and Detention Home Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 23, par. 2683) and sections 6-3 - 6-5 of the Juvenile Court Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, pars. 706-3 - 706-5) (chief judge appoints superintendent and director) with section 9 of "An Act providing for a system of probation \*\*\*" (Probation Act) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 204-1) (circuit judges appoint chief probation officer). Furthermore, within the Probation Act itself there are inconsistencies; e.g., section 9 provides that the "judges of the circuit court of [the] county" "appoint [the] chief probation officer" (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 204-1), but section 16 provides that the "Chief Circuit Judge of each circuit shall appoint the Chief Officer," sometimes referred to in section 16 as "chief management officer" or "chief managing officer" (Supp. to Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 204-8(2)(d)). Too, the Probation Act contains overlapping provisions; e.g., compare the two provisions dealing with probation officers' power to arrest, sections 11 and 16(12) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 204-3, and Supp. to III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 204-8(12) ).

If the General Assembly should re-examine the various statutory provisions governing court-related departments, it might do so with a view not only to clarify but also to consolidate the provisions in a single code, or it might study the desirability of merging or consolidating departments that perform the same or similar functions or serve the same or similar clientele. In any event, it would appear that the various statutory provisions affecting these departments should be clarified and coordinated. At a minimum consideration should be given to clarifying the internal inconsistencies and duplication in the Probation Act.

The Supreme Court invites the General Assembly to consider clarifying the statutory provisions relating to court-related departments providing probation and court services, and shelter care and detention services.

## Motions In Arrest Of Judgment Based On The Failure Of A Charge To State An Offense Should Be Required To Show That The Charge Defect Actually Prejudiced The Defense

Section 114-1(a) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 114-1(a) ) lists the grounds upon which a defendant may move to dismiss a charge against him. Among them is that "[t]he charge does not state an offense \*\*\*." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 114-1(a)(8).) Section 114-1(b) states that many of the grounds listed in section 114-1(a) are waived if a motion to dismiss is not filed "within a reasonable time after the defendant has been arraigned." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 114-1(b).) However, the failure of the charge to state an offense is exempted from this waiver rule, and section 116-2(b) of the Code states that a court "shall grant" a timely motion in arrest of judgment when "[t]he indictment, information or complaint does not charge an offense \*\*\*." III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 116-2(b)(1).

This Court recently considered these provisions in *People v. Smith* (1984), 99 III. 2d 467. There, we reaffirmed our holding in *People v. Lutz* (1978), 73 III. 2d 204, that a motion in arrest of judgment based on the failure of the charge to state an offense need not show that the defect prejudiced the defense. We contrasted this rule with the standard applicable to a challenge to the sufficiency of a charge made for the first time on appeal. In *Smith*, we referred to *People v. Gilmore*, (1976), 63 III. 2d 23, and stated that a conviction premised on a defective charge will not be reversed on appeal if the charging instrument "advised the defendant of 'the precise offense charged with sufficient specificity to prepare his defense' and permit him to plead the conviction as a bar to future prosecution." 99 III. 2d 467, 475.

The Supreme Court believes that a defendant should not be permitted to put the State through a full trial and learn its strategies and evidence, only to win dismissal of the charge on a motion in arrest of judgment based on a defect in the charging instrument which could have been brought to the State's attention before trial. A post-trial challenge to the sufficiency of a charging instrument, whether made in the Circuit Court or in the Appellate Court, should be successful only if accompanied by proof that any defect *actually prejudiced* the defense. But, as we held in *Smith*, the present wording of sections 114-1 and 116-2 does not permit a trial court to consider the prejudice to the defendant in ruling on a motion in arrest of judgement based on the failure of the charge to state an offense. The Supreme Court recommends that these provisions be re-examined by the General Assembly with a view toward requiring that such a motion be accompanied by a showing of actual prejudice.

## Persons Convicted Of A Minor Offense Should Be Allowed Under Certain Conditions, To Expunge Their Criminal Records

Last year, in *People v. Bushnell* (1984), 101 III. 2d 261, our Court decided the question of whether a person, who led a law-abiding life for the 20 years following her conviction for a misdemeanor, could have her conviction record expunged. In *Bushnell* the defendant, in 1961, had been convicted of obtaining money under false pretenses, a misdemeanor, and was placed on probation for one year. She successfully completed probation. Then, in 1981, defendant petitioned the Circuit Court for an order to vacate her 1961 conviction so that she could then seek a court order to expunge her record of arrest and conviction. The Circuit Court considered that defendant had led a law-abiding life since her conviction and, in the interest of justice, granted her petition to vacate the conviction.

Our Court examined prior decisions of this Court and relevant statutory provisions, including section 5 of "An Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 206-5). Section 5, of course, refers to expungement of arrest and arrest-related records of a person not convicted; it does not permite expungement of judgments of conviction. We therefore concluded that a court does not have jurisdiction to expunge a record containing a judgment of conviction. But we went on to say that " 'there are obvious advantages in purging oneself of the stigma and disabilities which attend a criminal conviction' [citation]. In addition, we find merit to [the] argument that a person who has led a law-abiding life for 20 years after a certain misdemeanor conviction should be able to rid himself of the criminal record. However, since there is no statutory authority nor a common law or constitutional basis to grant such relief, the issue should more appropriately be addressed to the legislature." 101 Ill. 2d 261, 268.

The Supreme Court is aware that the General Assembly is considering legislation to allow expungement of criminal records of persons convicted of certain offenses (see e.g., Senate Bill 17), and the Court urges continued legislative deliberation to provide statutory relief to persons who, having been convicted of certain minor offenses and having led a long law-abiding life thereafter, seek to expunge their criminal records.

#### The Eavesdropping Statute Should Be Re-Examined

Article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 provides that a State's Attorney may secure approval from a "circuit judge" for an order authorizing or approving the use of an eavesdropping device. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-1.) However, experience has shown that on occasion no circuit judge will be available to rule on an application for use of such devices. For example, all of the circuit judges might be attending the constitutionally mandated annual meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference. (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 17.) In such situations, a hardship is worked on the State's Attorney who, it would seem, must wait for the return of a circuit judge in order to secure approval for the use of an eavesdrop.

To be noted, though, are pertinent provisions of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. Section 9 of article VI provides in part that "Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters \*\*\*." (III. Const. art. VI, sec. 9.) The judges, who sit in the Circuit Court and possess and exercise its original jurisdiction, are of course the circuit judges and associate judges. Section 8 of article VI, however, provides that the Supreme Court "shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." (III. Const. art. VI. sec. 8.) Our Rule 295 permits a chief judge to assign an associate judge to preside in any matters except the trial of felony cases. The rule then provides: "Upon a showing of need presented to the supreme court by the chief judge of a circuit, the supreme court may authorize the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year."

Considering the constitutional grant to the Circuit Courts of "original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters" which is exercised by both circuit and associate judges, the constitutional authority placed in this Court to determine matters assignable to associate judges, and our Rule 295, the Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq..).

## Illinois Commerce Commission, Not Circuit Court, Should Determine Rates Charged By Municipal Utility To Consumers Outside Of Municipality

Should the Circuit Court, in absence of agreement between the parties, fix and determine the rates to be charged to consumers outside of a municipality's corporate limits for water pumped to them by a municipally owned and operated water utility? The Illinois Appellate Court thought not (see *Inland Real Estate Corp. v. Village of Palatine* (1982), 107 III. App. 3d 279, 284), and this Court agrees.

Two statutory provisions are implicated: section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Code) and section 10.3 of the Public Utilities Act (Act). Section 11-117-4 of the Code provides in part that a municipality may sell water to consumers or users outside its corporate limits from a water plant owned and operated by the municipality, and for that purpose it may lay water mains, construct and operate pumping stations, etc., in which case, to allow the municipality a fair return to cover financing, construction, etc., the municipality and the party representing the consumers may enter into a contract for water rates to be charged; however, if the rates cannot be agreed upon, then "such rates shall be fixed and determined by the circuit court of the county in which the municipality which has financed, constructed, operated and maintained the improved [water] facilities is located." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4.) Section 10.3 of the Act defines "public utility" and specifically excludes from the definition "public utilities that are owned and operated by any \*\*\* municipal corporation of this State \*\*\*." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 111 2/3, par. 10.3.) The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), of course, has general supervision over all public utilities, unless otherwise provided, including rate-making. See, generally, III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 111 2/3, par. 8.

In Inland Real Estate Corp., the Appellate Court ruled that section 10.3 of the Act eliminates from the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction and review municipally owned public utilities, and that no other language of the Act "manifests an intention of the legislature to provide otherwise or \*\*\* distinguishes municipal ownership of a utility within its corporate limits from ownership beyond its territorial boundaries." (107 III. App. 3d 279, 282.) The court said that section 10.3 is plain and unambiguous, and "[i]f the General Assembly had intended to create an exception for utilities owned by a municipality but located and serving customers outside its corporate limits, it has not so stated \*\*\*. Although we believe that such utilities should come within the authority of the ICC, we are of the opinion that any expansion of its jurisdiction to include municipally owned utilities beyond their corporate limits must come through the legislative process." 107 III. App. 3d 279, 284.

The Supreme Court concurs with the Appellate Court, and we add that the fixing and determination of utility rates, as provided in section 11-117-4 of the Code, is a responsibility better reposed in an executive or legislative agency which possesses special expertise, such as the Illinois Commerce Commission, rather than in the Circuit Court. The Court again invites the General Assembly to consider removing from section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4) the nonjudicial function that the Circuit Court shall fix and determine water utility rates, and, to the extent necessary, amending section 11-117-4 of the Code and section 10.3 of the Public Utilities Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 111 2/3, par. 10.3) by placing such function in the Illinois Commerce Commission.

## Applicability of the Unemployment Insurance Act To Closely Held Family Corporations Should Be Studied

Whether an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation, for whom the corporation made contributions to the unemployment trust fund, and who is otherwise eligible for unemployment benefits, is ineligible to receive unemployment compensation is a question this Court addressed in Garland v. Department of Labor (1984), 104 Ill. 2d 383. In Garland the Department of Labor denied unemployment benefits to plaintiffs, for the period they claimed unemployment, merely because during the period claimed they retained the status of corporate officers. Each plaintiff was an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation engaged in the construction business. Plaintiffs, as employees of the corporations, became unemployed solely because they were laid off as a result of the seasonal nature of the business, but they retained their status as corporate officers. Except for the retention of the corporate officer positions, there was no question that plaintiffs were eligible for benefits under the Unemployment Insurance Act (Act). Ill Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 300 et seq.

The Court examined the Act in sections 100 (declaration of public policy), 206 (definition of employment), 234 (definition of wages), 239 (definition of unemployed individual), 1400 (payment of employer's contributions), and 2100 (handling of funds) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, pars. 300, 316, 344, 349, 550, 660), and concluded that plaintiffs were "unemployed individuals" and entitled to unemployment benefits. The Court specifically observed that the Act "contains no exclusionary provision which would deny benefits to an otherwise eligible claimant merely because he is an officer of a corporation." (104 III. 2d 383, 389.) However, in response to the contention that disallowing benefits to plaintiffs would "prevent such business owners and operators from manipulating their own employment status in order to subsidize the family (corporate) income with unemployment benefits," we noted that the Appellate Court (Garland v. Department of Labor (1984), 121 III. App. 3d 562, and Scott v. Board of Review (1984), 123 III. App. 3d 187) "considered this argument and concluded that the potential for abuse can only be eliminated by legislative action. We agree." (104 III. 2d 383, 391-92.) Furthermore, in his concurring opinion Justice Underwood commented that "the unemployment compensation system in its existing form is subject to manipulation and abuse by unscrupulous corporate owners and officers," that the Act is "not intended as a means of supplementing the income of corporate stockholders and officers in the form of unemployment benefits," and that the General Assembly should "reconsider the provisions of the Act as they pertain to assessments upon salaries of officeremployees in light of the potential for abuse." 104 III. 2d 383, 393 (Underwood, J., concurring, joined by Ryan, C.J.).

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to study the provisions of the Unemployment Insurance Act (III. Rev. Stat.,

1983, ch. 48, par. 300 et seq.) as they apply to officer-employees of closely held family corporations.

## The Statute Which Continues To Allow A Court To Grant A Preliminary Injunction Without Previous Notice To All Parties Should Be Re-Examined

Prior to 1967 "An Act to revise the law in relation to injunction" (Injunction Act) provided for injunctive relief with prior notice to the defendant, and without prior notice where the plaintiff's rights would be "unduly prejudiced" if the injunction were not "issued immediately." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1965, ch. 69, par. 3.) In 1967 the Injunction Act was amended by the addition of a new section providing for temporary restraining orders (TRO) without notice (III. Rev. Stat. 1967, ch. 69, par. 3-1) and by some language modifications in section 3, including denomination of the relief therein as a preliminary injunction (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1967, ch. 69, par. 3). (See, generally, Stocker Hinge Mfg. Co. v. Darnel Industries, Inc. (1983), 94 Ill. 2d 535, 541.) However, section 3 retained the verbiage concerning the granting of injunctive relief both with and without notice. The amended sections were subsequently incorporated into the Code of Civil Procedure, former section 3-1 of the Injunction Act (TROs) being designated as section 11-101 of the Code and former section 3 (preliminary injunctions) being designated as section 11-102. (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 110, pars. 11-101, 11-102.) Accordingly, under the present statutory scheme, upon a showing that "immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage will result to the applicant," either a TRO without notice or a preliminary injunction without notice may issue. Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 110, pars. 11-101, 11-102.

This dual system of allowing injunctive relief in essentially the same circumstances has caused some confusion among the bench and bar. The Illinois Appellate Court has recently stated, in an effort to clarify the statutory scheme for injunctive relief, that:

"We believe the structure contemplated by [sections 11-101 and 11-102] is the three-tiered system of injunctive relief similar to that practiced in the Federal court which consists of [TROs], preliminary injunctions and permanent injunctions. [Citations.] The first proceeding is the [TRO]. A [TRO] is a drastic, emergency remedy which may issue only in exceptional circumstances and for a brief duration. [Citations.] The purpose of a [TRO] is to maintain the status quo until a hearing can be had on an application for a preliminary injunction. \*\*\*." (Jurco v. Stuart (1982), 110 III. App. 3d 405, 408; see also Peoples Gas Light & Coke Co. v. City of Chicago (1983), 117 III. App. 3d 353, 355.)

Too, one commentator has expressed the view, which is shared by many, that "in the drafting of the 1967 [TRO] amendment, the previous statutory provision for obtaining a preliminary injunction without notice was not removed from the Injunction Act. This resulted in the Injunction Act providing for the obtaining of a [TRO] or a preliminary injunction without notice — an illogical and inharmonious situation. \*\*\* [Section 11-102] is in need of correction to bring harmony out of chaos and to make the distinction between a [TRO] and a preliminary injunction meaningful." Fins, *Guide to Illinois Code of Civil Procedure* (1981), pp. 320, 321.

The Supreme Court renews its suggestion that the General Assembly consider clarifying the preliminary injunction statute (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 110, par. 11-102) by eliminating that part of it which allows a court to grant a preliminary injunction without notice so that there will be a clearer understanding by the bench and bar of those meaningful distinctions between TROs and preliminary injunctions as intended by the General Assembly.

## The Election Code Provisions Governing Modification Of Boundaries Of Election Precincts By County Boards Should Be Clarified

The proper scope of authority granted to certain county boards for modifying the number and size of election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code (Supp. to Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 46, pars. 11-1, 11-2) has been the subject of confusion and debate for a number of years. Our Court suggests that the General Assembly review sections 11-1 and 11-2 and provide a clarification of legislative intent, especially as to the permissible modification of election precinct boundaries and population by county boards.

The relationship between section 11-1 and section 11-2 of the Election Code has allowed for conflict of interpretation for many years. The issue of whether those two statutes allow for the county board to consolidate precincts has been a major point of controversy. The permissible limits of modification under these two statutory provisions have been the subject of at least two conflicting formal opinions of the Attorney General's office. (See 1976 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 139 and 1979 III. Att'y Gen. Op. 60.) Too, the Illinois Appellate Court has been called upon to interpret the consolidation issue of precincts under sections 11-1 and 11-2. (See Town of Naples v. County of Scott (1982), 111 Ill. App. 3d 186.) In concluding that the county board does not have the power to consolidate precincts under the Election Code, the majority opinion stated that the legislative should "reevaluate the relevant statutes for purposes of clarification and possible amendment, authorizing consolidation of election precincts \*\*\*" (111 III. App. 3d 186, 192), and the specially concurring opinion said, "The statutory language is confusing and \*\*\* urgently requires legislative clarification" (111 III. App. 3d 186, 194 (Green, J., specially concurring)).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to review and, where necessary, to clarify the authority given to certain county boards to modify election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code.

### Statutory Provisions Relating To The Selection Of Jurors Should Be Uniform

As a result of this Court's decision in *People v. Jackson* (1977), 69 III. 2d 252, the General Assembly amended section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code). That section now reads: "After examination by the court the jurors may be examined, passed upon, accepted and tendered by opposing counsel as provided by Supreme Court rules." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 115-4(f).) The Supreme Court has adopted Rule 434 which provides: "In criminal cases the parties shall pass upon and accept the jury in panels of four, commencing with the State, unless the court, in its discretion, directs otherwise."

However, similar and related sections in "An Act concerning jurors \*\*\*" (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 78, pars. 21, 23) were not amended and, accordingly, do not appear to be in complete harmony with section 115-4(f) of the Code and Supreme Court Rule 434. Section 21 of the "Jurors Act" provides for the examination of prospective jurors and for their selection in panels of four. Section 23 makes the provisions of section 21 applicable to "both civil and criminal cases." Thus, there appears to exist a conflict between sections 21 and 23 of the "Jurors Act" and section 115-4(f) of the Code.

In addition, the procedure for jury selection in criminal cases, as provided in section 115-4(f) and Rule 434, is sound and consideration should be given to adopting that procedure in civil cases.

The Court again urges the General Assembly to consider amending sections 21 and 23 of the "Jurors Act" to conform with section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to make the jury selection procedure in civil cases "as provided by Supreme Court rules."

## Statutory Guidance To Courts Is Needed In Adjudicating Public Aid Liens

The Illinois Public Aid Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 23, par. 11-22) (Code) provides in relevant part that the Illinois Department of Public Aid (Department) "shall have a charge [lien] upon all claims, demands and causes of action for injuries to an applicant for or recipent of financial aid under Articles III, IV, V and VII [III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 23, pars. 3-1 et seq., 4-1 et seq., 5-1 et seq. and 7-1 et seq.] for the total amount of medical assistance \*\*\*." Section 11-22 of the Code also allows a lien in the Department's favor where aid is provided to the injured applicant or recipient who "was employable." The Code further provides that on petition filed by the Department, the court may adjudicate the rights of the parties and enforce the lien, and the court may approve "the settlement of any claim, demand or cause of action \*\*\*." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 23, par. 11-22.) Section 11-22 of the Code then states: "The court may determine what portion of the recovery shall be paid to the injured person and what portion shall be paid to the Illinois Department \*\*\* having a charge [lien] against the recovery." In determining the apportionment of the lien where the Department contests a lien reduction, the court conducts an evidentiary hearing "to inquire into the proposed grounds for reduction where the basis for the lien reduction is contested by the Department and does not appear clearly on the face of the record." (*Jackson v. Thatcher* (1980), 80 III. App. 3d 876, 880.) It is the type of evidence to be considered by the trial judge in the exercise of his discretion in these hearings for lien reduction which our Court believes requires legislative attention.

In Jackson v. Thatcher (1980), 80 III. App. 3d 876, our Appellate Court pinpointed the problem. The court said at page 882:

"In the absence of explicit statutory guidance, we can only speculate as to the type of evidence the legislature anticipated would influence the adjudication of Department [liens]. We are also concerned that without more definitive guidance, the adjudications may be too harsh or too lenient and may not reflect the intent of the legislature. Although evidentiary factors which have been held relevant to other adjudications may be pieced together from the limited case law on Department liens, we would prefer express statutory guidance."

The Supreme Court agrees with the Appellate Court's stated concerns about evidentiary factors the trial judge should consider in adjudicating Department of Public Aid liens under section 11-22 of The Illinois Public Aid Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 23, par. 11-22), and the Court again urges the General Assembly to consider providing statutory guidance in this matter.

### Section 7(a) Of The Workers' Compensation Act Should Be Reconsidered

In Interlake, Inc. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 95 Ill. 2d 181, this Court considered whether the surviving spouse of a fatally injured employee, who, with her minor children, received compensation benefits under section 7(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act), should continue to receive the benefits notwithstanding her remarriage. Section 7(a) of the Act provides in part that the surviving spouse of a fatally injured employee shall be paid workers' compensation benefits during her lifetime and if there is any surviving child (children), the benefits are payable "until the death of the [surviving spouse] or until the youngest child shall reach the age of 18, whichever shall come later." But section 7(a) goes on to say that should the surviving spouse remarry and if the deceased employee "did not leave surviving any child or children, who, at the time of such remarriage, are entitled to compensation benefits under this Act, the surviving spouse shall be paid a lump sum equal to 2 years compensation and all further rights of such [surviving spouse] shall be extinguished," and that if the deceased employee leaves a surviving child (children) under 18 years of age who at the time of the employee's death is entitled to section 7(a) benefits, "the weekly compensation payments herein provided for such child or children shall in any event continue for a period of not less than 6 years." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.7(a).

In Interlake the deceased employee left surviving his wife and eight children, two of whom were under 18 years of age at the time of their father's death. The Industrial Commission awarded the surviving spouse lifetime benefits for her use and for the care of her two minor children. Thereafter, the surviving spouse remarried, at which time the two children were still minors and entitled to section 7(a) benefits. This Court held that the surviving spouse did not forfeit her "share" by remarriage and her "share" did not pass to her minor children, who could have collected compensation until they attained 18 years of age. We ruled that the plain language of section 7(a) of the Act "provides for the payment of death benefits until the [surviving spouse] dies, or until the children reach 18, whichever is later. If, however, the [surviving spouse] remarries when none of the [surviving] children [is] entitled to compensation, she is to receive a lump sum \*\*\* and then her rights are extinguished. Under the language of the section, [the surviving spouse] is entitled to benefits until she dies, because she did not remarry at a time when none of the [surviving] children [was] entitled to support. There simply is no provision in the statute for terminating a [surviving spouse's] benefits upon remarriage when there remain minor children entitled to support." (95 III. 2d 181, 191.) We further stated that the language of section 7(a) is clear and that the "legislature could have included a provision terminating a [surviving spouse's] benefits in a case where she remarries with children entitled to support, but it did not." 95 Ill. 2d 181, 193.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to reconsider section 7(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, par. 138.7(a)) as it applies to continuation of the surviving spouse's compensation benefits where at the time of remarriage the surviving spouse has minor children entitled to support.

## Penalty Provisions Of The Workers' Compensation Act Are In Need Of Clarification

In Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, and Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, a majority of the Court in each decision ruled that the Industrial Commission's penalty awards to the injured employee for unreasonable delay in payment of compensation by the employer under sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act) were not contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence. However, as pointed out in the dissenting opinion in each decision, the penalty provisions of the Act, sections 19(k) and 19(l), should be re-examined. See dissenting opinion in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 1, 14 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting, joined by Underwood and Moran, JJ.), and in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 III. 2d 20, 26 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting).

Section 19(k) of the Act states in relevant part that "where there has been any unreasonable or vexatious delay of payment. \*\*\* of compensation \*\*\*, then the Commission may award compensation additional to that otherwise payable under this Act equal to 50% of the amount payable at the time of such award. Failure to pay compensation in accordance with [section 8(b)] shall be considered unreasonable delay." (Supp. to III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.19(k).) Section 19(l) of the Act provides in pertinent part that where "the employer \*\*\* shall without good and just cause fail, neglect, refuse or unreasonably delay the payment of weekly compensation benefits \*\*\* during the period of temporary total disability \*\*\* the Commission shall allow to the employee additional compensation in the sum of \$10 per day for each day that a weekly compensation payment has been so withheld or refused, provided that such additional compensation shall not exceed the sum of \$2,500." (Supp. to III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.19(l).) In the dissenting opinion in each Board of Education decision, it was observed that it appeared the penalties for failure to pay compensation for temporary total disability were assessed under both section 19(k) and section 19(l) for the same alleged delay or default of the employer (93 III. 2d 1, 15, 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26), and in Board of Education v. Industrial Com. (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 28, it was noted the Industrial Commission has with increasing frequency been awarding penalties under sections 19(k) and 19(l). (See also, e.g., Continental Distributing Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 407.) In the dissenting opinions, it was further observed that sections 19(k) and 19(1) of the Act "appear to be overlapping and confusing, and are in need of clarification by the General Assembly" (93 III. 2d 1, 14), and that "it is imperative that the legislature reconsider the various penalty provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act and clarify their applicability" (93 Ill. 2d 20, 27).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to reexamine sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Supp. to III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(k), 138.19(l) ) and clarify when penalties may be assessed thereunder.

## Attorney Affidavit Should Satisfy Proof Of Payment Requirement Where Review Of A Decision Of The Industrial Commission Is Sought In The Circuit Court

Section 19(f)(1) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.19(f)(1) ) and its corresponding part, also section 19(f)(1), in the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 172.54(f)(1) ) (the Acts), in relevant part virtually identical, provide that when a review of the Industrial Commission's decision is sought in the circuit court such review "shall be commenced within 20 days of the receipt of notice of the decision of the Commission," and that:

"In its decision on review the Commission shall determine in each particular case the amount of the probable cost of the record to be filed as a part of the summons in that case and no request for a summons may be filed and no summons shall issue unless the party seeking to review the decision of the Commission shall exhibit to the clerk of the Circuit Court a receipt showing payment of the sums so determined to the [Commission]."

Strict compliance with section 19(f)(l) of both Acts imposes a serious hardship upon attorneys whose offices are located at a distance from the office of the Industrial Commission. Quite often delays in mail service make it difficult for an attorney to mail his check to the Industrial Commission and receive a receipt back in time to file a request for a summons within 20 days. (See Arrington v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 III. 2d 505; see also Bemis Co., Inc. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 97 III. 2d 237, American Steel Foundries v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 513, Whitaker v. Industrial Com. (1984), 126 III. App. 3d 545, and Miller v. Industrial Com. (1984), 124 Ill. App. 3d 291.) In Arrington we said the "clear language of the statute does not permit this court to ignore its mandate," and we "strongly suggest[ed] \*\*\* that the General Assembly seriously consider amending section 19(f)(l) to permit proof of payment to the Commission to be made by affidavit of the attorney or in some other suitable manner." 96 III. 2d 505, 511-12.

The Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider a modification of both statutes (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f)(I), 172.54(f)(I) ) to permit proof of payment to the Commission to be made by affidavit of the attorney or in some other suitable manner.

## Legislative Guidelines Are Needed For Rehabilitation Programs Ordered Under The Workers' Compensation Act

In several cases that have come before our Court, we have considered the rehabilitation provision of section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act). (See, e.g., Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 91 III. 2d 278, Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 86 III. 2d 489, and Kropp Forge Co. v. Industrial Com. (1981), 85 III. 2d 226.) In pertinent part section 8(a) requires that the employer pay for a work-related injured-employee's necessary medical, surgical and hospital expenses, and further requires that the "employer shall also pay for treatment, instruction and training necessary for the physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation of the employee, including all maintenance costs and expenses incidental thereto. If as a result of the injury the employee is unable to be self-sufficient the employer shall further pay for such maintenance or institutional care as shall be required." III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a).

In *Hunter* the Industrial Commission, without taking evidence, ordered under section 8(a) of the Act the employer to provide all necessary medical expenses, treatment, instruction, and training necessary for the injured employee's physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation, including all maintenance costs and expenses, and necessary tuition costs and expenses to attend a university. This Court pointed out that, unlike workers' com-

pensation statutes in other States, section 8(a) of the Illinois Act does not set forth a detailed scheme on the guestion of vocational rehabilitation but rather only states that the employer "shall also pay" for rehabilitative efforts when "necessary." The Court stated further that States, such as Maine, Marvland, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New Hampshire, have established procedures under which the injured employee is examined and evaluated by a public or local rehabilitation agency or by trained medical personnel of the State's compensation board, which then makes a recommendation as to whether rehabilitation assistance is necessary, and, if so, what it should be. We then stated that the "value of such a procedure is obvious. A court, rather than being compelled to gauge the necessity and value of a proposed rehabilitation program itself, is able to receive recommendations from trained rehabilitation personnel, which it can review." (86 III. 2d 489, 498.) We further stated that since Illinois does not have such a procedure, the nature and form of rehabilitation requested appears to be based on the claimant's wish unless, of course, he has received rehabilitation counseling through a public or private agency. To the same effect is our observation in Zenith where in paraphrasing Hunter, we said section 8(a) does not provide for "any statutory procedures to govern proposed rehabilitation programs." 91 Ill. 2d 278, 287.

The Supreme Court believes that the lack of legislative procedures to assist the courts and Commission in determining the extent of necessary vocational rehabilitation is a continuing concern. Cases in which the issue is raised continue to be appealed. (See, e.g., National Tea Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 97 III. 2d 424, C.D. Turner & Sons, Inc. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 231, and McLean Trucking Co. v. Industrial Com. (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 213.) In National Tea Co. we said, after quoting section 8(a): "The legislature has failed to set forth any procedures or standards to aid the Commission in determining the extent to which rehabilitation is 'necessary.' In view of the frequency with which this issue arises, it seems evident that some flexible guidelines should be established." (97 III. 2d 424, 431.) We then observed that the Commission has by rule taken a step in that direction but that the rule appeared to be applicable in limited situations. (97 III. 2d 424, 431; see also Gianforte, Industrial Rehabilitation In Illinois - An Evolving Process, 71 III. B.J. 668 (1983).) And we noted, as we did in Zenith Co. v. Industrial Com. (1982), 91 III. 2d 278, that in Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Com. (1981), 86 III. 2d 489, we observed that other States by statute "require employees seeking rehabilitation to be evaluated by State medical personnel or by a rehabilitation agency. The examiner then recommends whether, and what form of, rehabilitation assistance is necessary. [Citation.] This procedure \*\*\* could prove invaluable in assessing the feasibility of a program in which the claimant wishes to participate. It will also alleviate the concerns that rehabilitation costs will be 'routinely' awarded [citation], or based solely upon the claimant's wishes. [Citation.]" 97 Ill. 2d 424, 432.

In this Court's most recent decision dealing with this subject, we once again pointed out the lack of statutory guidelines for determining the need for rehabilitation and the development of individualized rehabilitation programs, and we noted that generalized rehabilitation awards were incomplete decisions of the Commission and therefore not final determinations. (*International Paper Co. v. Industrial Com.* (1984), 99 III. 2d 458, 464-66.) The Court then said:

"We view, with concern, what appears to be a growing practice of the Commission to routinely order employers to pay for \*\*\* rehabilitation of employees before sufficient evidence is presented to enable the Commission to order a specific plan of rehabilitation. Determination of the specific program \*\*\* requires further deliberation by either the litigants or the arbitrator. If judicial review is allowed before this determination is made, the courts will invariably be faced with piecemeal review of such cases, as litigants dissatisfied with the [ordered] rehabilitation program repeat the entire administrative and judicial review process. It is not unusual, in [workers'] compensation cases, for five years to pass between the time of injury and final judicial determination. \*\*\* The piece-bypiece review process \*\*\* can only exacerbate what is already an intolerably long delay. We hold \*\*\* that decisions of the Industrial Commission which include generalized rehabilitation awards that require further determination as to the extent and nature of such rehabilitation are interlocutory and, therefore, not reviewable by the circuit court." 99 Ill. 2d 458, 466.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly examine whether rehabilitation counseling and procedures through public or private agencies should be provided for to assist the Industrial Commission and the courts where rehabilitation is contemplated under section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a) ).

## The State Should Pay The Expenses Of Operating The Chief Circuit Judges' Office In Multi-County Circuits

The Illinois Constitution of 1970 places broad administrative authority in the chief circuit judge. To properly execute that authority, the chief judge needs personnel, office equipment, supplies and other items traditionally associated with management. In some multi-county circuits, the county boards contribute to a common fund to defray those expenses; in others they do not. In those circuits in which all counties do not contribute, an individual county board is reluctant to assume the full responsibility for paying the expenses of a chief judge's office which serves the management needs of counties within the circuit other than the chief judge's county of residence. Understandably, the county boards believe they cannot justify spending their county's taxpayers' funds for the expenses of the office of a chief judge who has circuit-wide management responsibilities. Most chief judges in multi-county circuits estimate the cost of operating their office to be modest.

The General Assembly pays the salary and travel expenses of each chief judge's administrative secretary (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1, 72.4-2), but none of the other expenses associated with the chief judge's office is borne by the State. The Supreme Court believes that the expenses of the office of the chief judge in multi-county circuits should be paid out of State appropriations.

Many multi-county circuits present complex problems of administration which cannot be met with the scarce resources presently available to most chief circuit judges. Some of the larger counties (including the two single-county circuits — Cook County and DuPage County) do provide some administrative support over and above the administrative secretary who is paid by the State, but by-and-large the chief judges must get along in an increasingly hostile economic environment with only the meager tools offered by the State.

The Supreme Court again recommends the adoption of a trial court administration program under which selected multi-county circuits, designated by the Supreme Court, could receive essential, State-supported administrative personnel, equipment and supplies to assist the chief judge to fulfill his constitutional mandate to exercise "general administrative authority over his court \*\*\*" (III. Const. art. VI, 7(c)).

## Judges' Pension Benefits And Funding Need Re-Examination

The Supreme Court believes that in two respects article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code, commonly called the Judges Pension System, needs to be re-examined: the method of computing a judge's annuity and the absence of a provision allowing a "cost of living" increase for a judge's spouse who is receiving a widow's or survivor's annuity. The Court believes, too, that the level of State contributions to the System requires re-evaluation.

Section 18-125(d) of the Code, as amended by Public Act 82-768 (effective January 1, 1983), provides in relevant part that as of July 1, 1982 "the salary base to be used for the computation of a retirement annuity for any [judge] in service on or after [July 1, 1982] shall be the average salary for the final year of service as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-125(d), to be codified without change in substance as par. 18-125(b) (see Pub. Act 83-1440, effective January 1, 1985).) Immediately prior to the enactment of that amendatory act, section 18-125(d) provided in relevant part that the annuity was based upon a judge's salary "on the last day of employment as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (III. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-125(d).) The Supreme Court believes that section 18-125(d), as amended by Public Act 82-768, is in need of reconsideration, and the Court again suggests that consideration be given to returning section 18-125(d) to its former state that a judge's retirement annuity be based upon his salary "on the last day of employment as a judge."

Under the existing statutes a widow of a judge who contributed to the widow's annuity benefit is entitled to an annuity in an amount scheduled by law. (See III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-134, to be codified in pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-128.01, 18-133 (see Pub. Act 83-1440, effective January 1, 1985).) If the judge-annuitant at the time of his death was receiving the "cost of living" allowance (automatic increase in retirement annuity) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-125.1), then the widow's annuity will be based upon the annuity that the judge-annuitant "was receiving immediately prior to his death inclusive of annual increases in the retirement annuity to the date of death" (emphasis added) (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-128(2)(b)(1), to be codified as par. 18-128.01(a) (see Pub. Act. 83-1440, effective January 1, 1985) ), but there is no provision in the statutes for future "cost of living" increases in the widow's annuity. (In the case of a widow of a sitting judge the widow's annuity is solely based on the judge's salary on the last day or the annuity the judge would have been entitled to on the date of death. See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-128(2)(b)(3), to be codified as par. 18-128.01(b) (see Pub. Act. 83-1440, effective January 1, 1985).) The ravage of inflation is common knowledge, and its devastating effect on persons on fixed-incomes is well known. The survivors of a judge who had faithfully served in public office at a financial sacrifice should not have to wholly suffer the adverse economic conseguences of inflationary spirals by seeing their static annuity being diminished for reasons beyond their control. The General Assembly has provided a one-time" "cost of living" allowance in the survivor's annuity provided by other State retirement systems. (See, e.g., Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 16-141(2)(h), to be codified as par. 16-143.1 (see Pub. Act 83-1440, effective January 1, 1985).) Our Court again urges that consideration be given to establishing a "cost of living" allowance for a judge's spouse who is receiving a widow's or survivor's annuity.

By law the State of Illinois is required to make contributions to the Judge Pension System through annual appropriations in amounts based upon a statutory formula, and payments of the "required State contributions" "are the obligations of the State." (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, pars. 18-131, 18-132, amended without change in substance (see Pub. Act 83-1440, effective January 1, 1985).) The Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System of Illinois, which is responsible for administering the System, has recently submitted its "Forty-Third Annual Report" for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1984. The report paints a dark picture concerning the actuarial soundness of the System, for the reason that the State has not appropriated its contributions at the level required by law. The report points out that, while the Board of Trustees has requested the level of appropriations necessary to adequately fund the System, as it is required by law to do (see III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 1081/2, par. 18-140), its appropriation requests "have been arbitrarily reduced \*\*\* below the amounts specifically mandated and required" by law; e.g., for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1984 and 1985, the Board requested \$16.2 and \$18.6 million, respectively, but less than 50% of the amounts requested was appropriated (\$5.3 million for FY 84 and \$8.2 million for FY 85). "In fact," states the report at page 8,

"for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1984, State contributions were substantially below the annual pension and benefit payouts." (Emphasis added.) (See, generally, pages 6-8 of report.) The report notes further that the actuarially accepted rate of funding ("security ratio") for public pensions is 66<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>%, but as of June 30, 1984 the security ratio for the Judges Pension System stood at 26.5%, "the lowest of any public employee retirement system in the State." (See page 7 and, generally, pages 19-20 of report.) The report concludes at pages 24 and 25 that the 26.5% rate of funding is "extremely low" and "indicates that considerably larger appropriations by the State of Illinois \*\*\* must be made to meet the System's accrued and accruing pension liabilities." The Supreme Court concurs with the report of the Board of Trustees, and we note that the Board has determined that the required appropriations for the next fiscal year total nearly \$20.9 million. The Court urges the General Assembly to appropriate the State contributions in an amount sufficient to restore the fiscal health of the Judges Pension System.

The Supreme Court invites the General Assembly to re-examine article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 108½, par. 18-101 *et seq.*) and consider providing therein that a judge's annuity shall be based upon his salary on the last day of judicial service and that the widow's annuity be increased by a "cost of living" allowance, and the Court recommends that the Judges Pension System be adequately funded out of State appropriations.

## Chief Judge's Administrative Powers Are Subject Only To Supreme Court's Powers

Under section 7(c) of article VI of the Illinois Constitution, the chief judge of each Circuit Court has general administrative authority over his court, subject only to the Supreme Court. Provisions of law which require a chief judge to obtain the consent of his fellow circuit judges before exercising administrative powers are constitutionally suspect.

A case in point is section 4 of the Court Reporters Act (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 37, par. 654). That section provides in pertinent part:

"The chief judge may appoint with the advice and consent of all circuit judges in the circuit all or any of the number of court reporters authorized by certification of the Supreme Court. The court reporters so appointed shall serve at the pleasure of the chief judge and may be removed by the chief judge with the advice and consent of the circuit judges of the circuit."

The appointment and removal of official court reporters is an administrative responsibility. The statute purports to limit the chief judges' authority to appoint or remove court reporters by requiring that the chief judges first obtain the advice and consent of their fellow circuit judges. Such provisions tend to create an impression that the administration of the Circuit Courts is a collective responsibility when, in fact, the constitution clearly provides that the chief judge will administer each circuit subject only to the authority of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to consider clarifying the chief judges' authority in the Court Reporters Act and in other acts which impose similar conditions on the chief judges' discharge of their administrative responsibilities.

### Judges Should Not Be Members Of The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Effective January 1, 1983, the General Assembly abolished the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission and, in its stead, created the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority (Authority). (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, pars. 210-4, 210-14.) Generally, the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act (Act) empowers the Authority to assume the responsibilities and duties formerly vested in the Commission (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 210-10), and the Act provides, in broad terms, that its purpose concerns criminal justice *information* (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 210-2). Section 4 of the Act creates the 15-member governing body (the Authority), which includes three judicial officers: a Supreme Court judge and a "downstate" circuit judge, each designated by the chief justice of the Supreme Court, and the chief judge of the circuit court of Cook County. Ill. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 210-4.

The direction and course the Authority seems to be taking in pursuit of criminal justice matters in the court system, which it apparently considers within its purpose and powers, causes our Court serious concern, and has brought about, with this Court's approval, the resignation of the Court's representative from the Authority. There can be no question that the Illinois Constitution vests in the Supreme Court "[g]eneral administrative and supervisory authority over all courts." (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 16.) The Authority's persistent intrusion into court matters in which our Court has constitutional administrative and supervisory authority, and which appear to be beyond the scope of the Act itself, causes us to suggest that it is inappropriate for members of the judicial branch of government to be members of the Authority.

The Supreme Court recommends that the General Assembly not include judicial officers in the membership of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority (III. Rev. Stat. 1983, ch. 38, par. 210-4).

## THE APPELLATE COURT

#### Jurisdiction and Organization

The Appellate Court is the intermediate court of review in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is conferred upon it by article VI, section 6 of the Constitution, which is included in Appendix A. Generally, appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court may be taken as a matter of right to Appellate Court, except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. The Appellate Court may also exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. Acting pursuant to article VI, section 6, the General Assembly has provided that "final orders or determinations" of the Pollution Control Board (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 1111/2, par. 1041), "judgments" of the State Board of Elections concerning disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 46, par. 9-22), and final orders of the Illinois State Labor Relations Board, the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board and the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 48, pars. 1611, 1716) may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court.

Appellate Court judges are elected for 10 year terms (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10). Exercising its authority under article VI, section 5, the General Assembly has mandated the election of 18 Appellate Court judges from the First District and 4 judges from each of the other four districts. Article VI, section 5 requires the Supreme Court to establish the organization of the Appellate Court. It has done so in its Rule 22.

According to that rule, the First District of the court sits in Chicago, the Second District in Elgin, and Third District in Ottawa, the Fourth District in Springfield and the Fifth District in Mt. Vernon. The Supreme Court prescribes by order the number of divisions in each district and for the assignment of judges to divisions. The presiding judge of each division, who is chosen for a one year term, designates judges of the division to sit in panels of three, and such a panel constitutes the division for purposes of rendering a decision in a case. Concurrence of two of those three judges is necessary to a decision.

Judges of each district appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 18(a).) As of December 31, 1984, the Appellate Court clerks were Gilbert S. Marchman, First District; Loren J. Strotz, Second District; Joseph Fennessey, Third District; Darryl Pratscher, Fourth District; and Walter T. Simmons, Fifth District. As required by Supreme Court Rule 24, each district maintains a research department supervised by a director of research and staffed by the number of research attorneys designated by the Supreme Court.

#### 1984 Appellate Court Caseload Summary

There were 6,916 total new filings in 1984, compared with 6,815 in 1983, an increase of 1%. Dispositons in 1984 amounted to 6,891, compared with 7,396 cases in 1983, a decrease of 7%.

There were 5,744 cases pending at the end of 1984, compared with 5,501 in 1983, an increase of 4%. 1,503 cases were disposed of with opinions in 1984, compared with 1,523 in 1983, a decrease of 1%. During 1984, Appellate Court judges disposed of 3,067 cases by Rule 23 orders, compared with 3,341 in 1983, a decrease of 8%.

With the creation of the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court, effective February 1, 1984, the above figures included 149 new filings, 87 cases disposed of, and 62 cases pending at the end of 1984. 61 cases were disposed of with opinions and 16 cases were disposed of by Rule 23 orders by the judges in the Industrial Commission Division in 1984.

## Creation of Industrial Commission Division of Appellate Court

Effective February 1, 1984, the Supreme Court amended its rules and entered an order to re-route appeals from Circuit Court orders reviewing workers' compensation cases from the Supreme Court to the Appellate Court. Rule 302(a) was amended by repealing subparagraph (2) which provided that direct appeal was to be taken to the Supreme Court from Circuit Court judgments "in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission."

New Rule 22(g) created a five-judge Appellate Court panel known as the Industrial Commission Division of the court. The panel sits as a division in each district of the Appellate Court and may conduct its business at any location it chooses in Illinois. Five judges must participate in the decisions of this division and the concurrence of three is necessary to a decision.

Rule 315(a) was amended to provide an exception to a litigant's right to file a petition for leave to appeal in the Supreme Court from decisions of the Appellate Court. A petition for leave to appeal from a decision of the Industrial Commission Division shall not be filed unless at least two judges of that panel find that the case "involves a substantial question which warrants consideration by the Supreme Court."

Simultaneously with the adoption of these rules, the Supreme Court entered an order assigning appellate judges to the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court. The assignments to this division are in addition to the judges' regular assignments. The judges appointed were:

District	Member	Alternate
First	Hon. Daniel J. McNamara	Hon. John Sullivan
Second	Hon. Glenn T. Seidenfeld	Hon. George W. Lindberg
Third	Hon. Tobias Barry	Hon. James D. Heiple
Fourth	Hon. Albert G. Webber III	Hon. Richard Mills
Fifth	Hon. George W. Kasserman, Jr.	Hon. Charles E. Jones

Judge Seidenfeld was named presiding judge of the division. Upon his retirement in December, he was succeeded as presiding judge by Judge Webber and as the Second District member by Judge Lindberg. Judge William Nash replaced Judge Lindberg as the Second District alternate.

#### Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Appellate Court

Supreme Court Rule 22(e) creates an executive committee of the Appellate Court and provides for meetings of all judges of that court. Traditionally, the Appellate Court holds an annual meeting during the latter part of the year.

On December 6, 1984, the Appellate Court held its annual meeting, with Judge Allan Stouder (3rd District) presiding as chairman. In attendance were 33 appellate judges, two recently retired appellate judges, and Judge Ben K. Miller, a former appellate judge who had been elected, in November, to the Supreme Court.

Matters considered at the meeting included:

- (1) Appointment of Appellate Court members to the Illinois Courts Commission. Appointed to the commission as members were Judges Francis S. Lorenz (1st District) and Charles E. Jones (5th District), and as alternates Judges Helen F. McGillicuddy (1st District) and Allan Stouder (3rd District).
- (2) A report from Judge James D. Heiple (3rd District) concerning bar associations' proposals to amend Supreme Court rules to provide for appeals in certain domestic relations matters. Judge Heiple also reported briefly on programs at the National Judicial College and at the various national appellate judges seminars.
- (3) A report from Judge George W. Lindberg (2nd District) about judicial salary proposals pending before the Illinois Compensation Review Board.
- (4) A presentation about moot court competition by two law professors, Ralph Ruebner and Susan Brody, both of the John Marshall Law School. They discussed the importance of appellate judges participating in moot court competition and the appellate judge's role in such competition.

Judge Allen Hartman (1st District) was selected as the next chairman of the Illinois Appellate Court.

## Administrative Committee Of The Illinois Appellate Court

The administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court, created by order of the Supreme Court, studies and recommends methods by which the Appellate Court might improve the processing of appeals. The Administrative Office is the secretary to the committee.

As of December 31, 1984, the members of the administrative committee were:

Hon. Tobias Barry (3rd District), Chairman Hon. Calvin C. Campbell (1st District)

Hon. Frederick S. Green (4th District)

Hon. Charles E. Jones (5th District)

Hon. Daniel J. McNamara (1st District)

Hon. Philip G. Reinhard (2nd District)

Hon. John J. Sullivan (1st District)

Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh (Supreme Court liaison)

During 1984 the administrative committee held one meeting and considered these matters:

- Reviewed the 1983 caseload statistics for the Appellate Court and discussed how productivity in the Appellate Court might be improved.
- (2) At the request of the Supreme Court considered whether legislation should be drafted creating the office of Appellate Court marshal and whether such marshal and the Supreme Court marshal should be authorized to carry firearms.
- (3) Discussed the illegibility of documents produced by appellate lawyers on inferior quality computer "dot matrix" printers.
- (4) Adopted a motion requesting the Supreme Court to consider including in the Chief Justice's annual report to the legislature the matter of taxing the State's Attorney's \$50 appeal fee against an unsuccessful defendant-appellant.

## Supreme Court Assignment Of Judges To The Appellate Court

Article VI, sections 15 and 16, of the 1970 Illinois Constitution allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge, with his consent, to judicial service, and to assign temporarily a sitting judge to any court, except that an associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge.

During 1984, the Supreme Court made these assignments to the Illinois Appellate Court:

First District—	Hon. Mayer Goldberg, retired Appellate Judge (January 1, 1984 to August 31, 1984)
	Hon. Mel R. Jiganti, Cook County Circuit Judge (all year)
	Hon. John M. O'Connor, retired Appellate Judge (all year)
	Hon. R. Eugene Pincham, Cook
	County Circuit Judge (Jan 11, 1984 and until further order)

Hon. William V. Hopf, 18th Circuit Judge (January 1, 1984 to December 1, 1984)

Hon. William R. Nash, 17th Circuit Judge (all year)

Hon. Paul W. Schnake, 16th Circuit Judge (September 1, 1984 and until September 1, 1985)

Hon. Harry D. Strouse, Jr., retired 19th Circuit Judge (December 3, 1984 to December 3, 1985, or until further order)

Hon. Lloyd A. Van Deusen, retired 19th Circuit Judge (January 1, 1984 to August 31, 1984)

er	Third District —	Hon. Albert Scott, 9th Circuit Judge (all year)
t	Fourth District—	Hon. Harold F. Trapp, retired Appellate Judge (December 3, 1984 and until further order)
		Hon. Albert G. Webber, III, retired 6th Circuit Judge (all year)
	Fifth District—	Hon. George W. Kasserman, Jr., 4th Circuit Judge (January 1, 1984 to December 3, 1984) and retired 4th
		Circuit Judge (December 3, 1984

and until further order)

## THE CIRCUIT COURTS

#### Jurisdiction and Organization

The trial level court of general jurisdiction in Illinois is known as the Circuit Court. It has original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters, except: (1) in matters relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office; (2) where the Supreme Court exercises its discretionary original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus; and (3) by statute, the review of orders of the Pollution Control Board and certain orders of the State Board of Elections. There are no courts of special or limited jurisdiction in Illinois. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4 and 5.) No judge of the Circuit Court has the power to review the decision of another and there are no trials *de novo*.

The State is divided into 21 judicial circuits by statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.1). Two circuits, Cook County and the 18th Circuit, consist of a single county. The other 19 judicial circuits are composed of two or more contiguous counties as provided by law. Each judicial circuit has but one, unified Circuit Court.

There are two categories of judges in the Circuit Courts: (1) circuit judges and (2) associate judges. All judges must be licenced attorneys. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 11.) Circuit judges are initially elected, either on a circuit-wide basis or from the county where they reside. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, pars. 72.2, 72.42-1.) They serve for 6 year terms. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10.) In the Cook County Circuit, circuit judges are elected from the City of Chicago, from the entire county or from the area outside Chicago. III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.42.

The circuit judges in each circuit select by secret ballot a chief judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the chief judge has general administrative authority over his court. Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 7.

Associate judges are appointed on a merit basis for four year terms by the circuit judges in their respective circuits. (III. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs, 8, 10.) Like circuit judges, associate judges may exercise the full constitutional jurisdiction of the Circuit Court. However, Article VI, section 8 of the Constitution directs the Supreme Court to provide by rule for matters to be assigned to associate judges. The Court discharges this responsibility through Supreme Court Rule 295, discussed below.

#### 1984 Circuit Court Caseload Summary

The number of cases filed in the Circuit Courts of Illinois during 1984, excluding "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County, was 3,809,151, compared with 3,847,299 in 1983, a decrease of 1%.

The number of cases disposed of in the Circuit Courts of Illinois was 4,071,131 in 1984, compared with 4,026,196 in 1983,

an increase of 1%. Again these figures exclude "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County.

There were 769,332 cases pending at the end of 1984, compared with 739,068 at the end of 1983, an increase of 4%. 53% of the cases pending at the end of 1983 were over 12 months old, whereas at the end of 1984, 47% were over 12 months old.

In addition, when further comparing 1984 with 1983 and removing traffic cases from the above totals, all other filings decreased by 5% and 1984 dispositions for all other categories decreased by 6% over 1983's total.

And when considering just traffic, there were decreases of 3% in filings and 1% in dispositions in 1984 over 1983.

### **Conference of Chief Circuit Judges**

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, a Conference of Chief Circuit Judges meets regularly to consider problems relating to the administration of the circuit courts and such other matters as may, from time to time, be referred to the Conference by the Supreme Court. As of December 31, 1984, the chief circuit judges were:

- 1st Circuit Hon. William A. Lewis 2nd Circuit - Hon, Henry Lewis 3rd Circuit - Hon. A. Andreas Matoesian 4th Circuit - Hon. Ronald A. Niemann 5th Circuit - Hon, Ralph S, Pearman 6th Circuit - Hon. Rodney A. Scott 7th Circuit - Hon. Richard J. Cadagin 8th Circuit - Hon. Edward B. Dittmeyer 9th Circuit - Hon. Max B. Stewart 10th Circuit — Hon, Peter I, Paolucci 11th Circuit - Hon. Luther H. Dearborn 12th Circuit - Hon. Charles P. Connor 13th Circuit - Hon. Alexander T. Bower 14th Circuit - Hon. David DeDoncker 15th Circuit - Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr. 16th Circuit - Hon. John A. Krause 17th Circuit - Hon. Harris H. Agnew 18th Circuit - Hon. Carl F. J. Henninger 19th Circuit - Hon. Jack Hoogasian 20th Circuit - Hon. Patrick J. Fleming
- Cook County Hon. Harry G. Comerford

Hon. Thomas J. Moran was the liaison Justice from the Supreme Court during calendar year 1984. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 42, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is the secretary of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges. The Conferences met in January, February, March, April, May, June, July, October and December 1984.

### **Out-of-Circuit Assignments**

During 1984, the Administrative Director of the Illinois Courts, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 324 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to the Circuit Court of Cook County on a temporary basis. Each order typically assigns a judge to the Circuit Court of Cook County for a one or two week period. Through this assignment process, a total of 434 additional judge work weeks were obtained to assist in processing Cook County cases. This is the equivalent of the work of approximately 8 or 9 additional full-time judges.

In 1984, the Administrative Director also approved 41 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to downstate circuits other than their home circuits. Like the Cook County assignments, these orders are for limited periods of time.

#### **Rule 295 Assignments**

Article VI, section 8 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 authorizes the Supreme Court to "provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." In implementing this authority, the Supreme Court has provided in Rule 295 that an associate judge may hear any matter except the trial of criminal cases in which a defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. However, upon a showing of need, the Supreme Court may authorize the chief judge of a circuit to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of those criminal cases.

In 1984, the Administrative Director, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 196 requests from the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County to assign individual associate judges to hear criminal cases in which the defendant may be punished by imprisonment for more than one year. Each authorization was requested for a period of six months.

The Administrative Director also approved 156 requests from downstate chief judges for permission to assign associate judges to these criminal cases. These requests were made for a total of 752 judge-months, with most requests, 104 of them, made for six month periods.

#### **Judicial Elections**

The results of the November 6, 1984 general election are set forth below. A single asterisk (\*) means that the successful candidate was a sitting judicial officer who was elected to "higher" judicial office, e.g., sitting circuit judge elected to a judgeship in the Appellate Court, and a double asterisk (\*\*) denotes that the successful candidate was a Supreme court appointee to judicial office who was successful in the general election. Those elected took office December 3, 1984. Candidate Elected Judge of Supreme Court

Fourth District (Vacancy of Robert Underwood) \*Ben Miller (R., Springfield)

Candidates Elected Judge of Appellate Court

First District (Vacancy of Robert Downing) Michael A. Bilandic (D., Chicago)

Second District (Vacancy of Glenn Seidenfeld) \*William V. Hopf (R., Wheaton)

Third District (Vacancy of Jay Alloy) William B. Wombacher (R., Peoria)

Fourth District (Vacancy of Harold Trapp) \*John T. McCullough (R., Lincoln)

Candidates Elected Judge of Circuit Court

Second Circuit (Vacancy of Albert McCallister) \*\*Terrence J. Hopkins (D., West Frankfort)

Fourth Circuit (Vacancy of Daniel Dailey) \*\*Rolland F. Tipsword (D., Taylorville)

Effingham County only (Vacancy of Jack Michaelree) \*Dennis L. Berkbigler (D., Altamont)

Jasper County only (Vacancy of George Kasserman) \*Michael R. Weber (D., Newton)

Sixth Circuit Douglas County only (Vacancy of James Sherrick) Frank W. Lincoln (R., Tuscola)

Seventh Circuit (Vacancy of Benjamin Miller) \*\*Ray L. Terrell (R., Chatham)

Greene County only (Vacancy of L. Keith Hubbard) \*\*Thomas G. Roady, Jr. (D., Carrollton)

Jersey County only (Vacancy of Howard Lee White) \*\*Claude J. Davis (D., Jerseyville)

Eighth Circuit (Vacancy of Richard Scholz) \*\*Dennis K. Cashman (R., Quincy)

Adams County only (Vacancy of J. Ross Pool) \*\*Robert W. Cook (R., Quincy)
Tenth Circuit (Vacancy of Robert Hunt) \*\*Donald C. Courson (R., Peoria)

Stark County only (Vacancy of C.M. Wilson) Robert Cashen (R., Toulon)

Fourteenth Circuit (Vacancy of Jay Hanson) Joseph Beatty (D., Coal Valley)

Sixteenth Circuit Kane County only (Vacancy of Neil Mahoney) \*Patrick J. Dixon (R., Sugar Grove)

Nineteenth Circuit (Vacancy of Robert McQueen) \*Fred A. Geiger (R., Libertyville)

(Vacancy of Harry Strouse) \*Bernard E. Drew, Jr. (R., Libertyville)

Lake County only (Vacancy of John Kaufman) \*Charles F. Scott (R., Mundelein)

McHenry County only (Vacancy of Leonard Brody) \*Michael J. Sullivan (R., Woodstock)

Twentieth Circuit Monroe County only (Vacancy of Alvin Maeys) \*Dennis J. Jacobsen (D., Columbia)

Cook County Circuit (Vacancy of L. Sheldon Brown) Daniel J. Kelley (D., Riverside)

(Vacancy of Walter Dahl) John P. Tully (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Nathan Engelstein) \*\*Frank M. Siracusa (D., Elmwood Park)

(Vacancy of Charles Fleck) Thomas E. Flanagan (D., Tinley Park)

(Vacancy of Joseph Gordon) \*Gerald S. Murphy (D., Glenview)

(Vacancy of Anthony Kogut) \*Angelo D. Mistretta (D., Hinsdale)

(Vacancy of Robert Massey) \*\*Joseph A. Salerno (D., Westchester)

(Vacancy of John Moran) Leonard L. Levin (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Jerome Slad) \*\*Alfred T. Walsh (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Theodore Swain) \*Michael S. Jordan (D., Glenview) Inside City of Chicago only (Vacancy of James Griffin) \*\*Rosemary Duschene LaPorta (D., Chicago)

> (Vacancy of Maurice Pompey) \*\*Glynn J. Elliott, Jr. (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of John Reynolds) Daniel J. Lynch (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Raymond Sarnow) \*Herman Knell (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of James Strunck) \*Carl McCormick (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Jose Vazquez) \*\*John N. Hourihane (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Louis Wexler) \*Henry A. Budzinski (D., Chicago)

Outside of City of Chicago only (Vacancy of Robert Buckley) Dean J. Sodaro (R., Oak Park)

(Vacancy of Irving Eiserman) \*Michael P. Toomin (R., Glenview)

(Vacancy of James Felt) \*Robert D. Ericsson (R., Lincolnwood)

(Vacancy of Henry Gentile) \*William P. Prendergast (R., Oak Lawn)

(Vacancy of William Kane) Dean M. Trafelet (R., La Grange Park)

(Vacancy of Frank Machala) Robert V. Boharic (R., Riverside)

(Vacancy of John Nordberg) Wayne R. Andersen (R., Des Plaines)

#### Judicial Retention Election

The 1970 Constitution, article VI, section 12(d) provides that an elected judge may seek to be retained in judicial office upon expiration of his term of office. The affirmative vote of threefifths (60%) of the electors voting on the question shall elect the judge to the office for a term.

The results of the retention ballot of the November 6, 1984 general election are as follows:

#### Supreme Court Judge

First Judicial District Hon. Daniel P. Ward, 81%

#### **Appellate Court Judges**

First Judicial District Hon. Glenn T. Johnson, 76 Hon. John J. Sullivan, 79 Third Judicial District Hon. Tobias Barry, 76 Hon. Allan L. Stouder, 78

Fourth Judicial District Hon. Frederick S. Green, 82

Fifth Judicial District Hon. Charles E. Jones, 74 Hon. John M. Karns, Jr., 72

#### **Circuit Court Judges**

First Judicial Circuit Hon. Michael J. Henshaw, 72 Hon. George M. Oros, 70 Hon. Stephen L. Spomer, 72 Hon. James R. "Jim" Williamson, 74

Second Judicial Circuit Hon. Philip B. Benefiel, 71 Hon. Don A. Foster, 71 Hon. A. Hanby Jones, 72 Hon. Lehman D. Krause, 67 Hon. Henry Lewis, 74 Hon. Loren P. (Larry) Lewis, 73 Hon. David L. Underwood, 72

Third Judicial Circuit Hon. William E. Johnson, 68

Fourth Judicial Circuit Hon. Arthur G. Henken, 72 Hon. Ronald A. Niemann, 74 Hon. Vernon Plummer, 69 Hon. W.R. Todd, 74

Fifth Judicial Circuit Hon. Caslon K. Bennett, 78 Hon. James K. Robinson, 75 Hon. Joseph R. Spitz, 79 Hon. William J. Sunderman, 79 Hon. James R. Watson, 79 Hon. Paul M. Wright, 80

Sixth Judicial Circuit Hon. William C. Calvin, 83 Hon. Donald W. Morthland, 84 Hon. Creed D. Tucker, 82

Seventh Judicial Circuit Hon. Richard J. Cadagin, 82 Hon. John W. Russell, 82 Eighth Judicial Circuit Hon. Cecil J. Burrows, 78 Hon. Edward B. Dittmeyer, 82 Hon. Lyle E. Lipe, 77 Hon. Fred W. Reither, 79 Hon. Robert L. Welch, 80

Ninth Judicial Circuit Hon. Scott I. Klukos, 78 Hon. Francis P. Murphy, 76 Hon. Daniel J. Roberts, 81

Tenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Richard E. Eagleton, 81

Eleventh Judicial Circuit Hon. Keith E. Campbell, 77 Hon. James A. Knecht, 85 Hon. John T. McCullough, 85

Twelfth Judicial Circuit Hon. Robert L. Dannehl, 78 Hon. Wayne P. Dyer, 78 Hon. Herman S. Haase, 79 Hon. Angelo F. Pistilli, 75

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Frank X. Yackley, 78

Fourteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Robert W. Castendyck, 79

Fifteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Francis X. Mahoney, 82 Hon. Harold D. Nagel, 84 Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr., 85

Sixteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. John A. Leifheit, 78

Seventeenth Judicial Circuit Hon. John C. Layng, 80

Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Edwin L. Douglas, 81 Hon. Carl F.J. Henninger, 81 Hon. Robert A. Nolan, 80 Hon. John S. Teschner, 79

Nineteenth Judicial Circuit Hon. Henry L. Cowlin, 78

Twentieth Judicial Circuit Hon. Robert Bastien, 75 Hon. Carl H. Becker, 79 Hon. Stephen M. Kernan, 77 Hon. Francis E. Maxwell, 77 Hon. Thomas P. O'Donnell, 75 Hon. William B. Starnes, 76 Cook County Judicial Circuit Hon. James M. Bailey, 79 Hon. Peter Bakakos, 79 Hon. Edwin M. Berman, 79 Hon. Walter B. Bieschke, 78 Hon. Anthony J. Bosco, 78 Hon. John M. Breen, Jr., 78 Hon. Robert E. Cusack, 79 Hon. Richard J. Fitzgerald, 81 Hon. Kenneth L. Gillis, 79 Hon. Francis W. Glowacki, 79 Hon. Francis W. Glowacki, 79 Hon. Leonard R. Grazian, 73 Hon. James J. Heyda, 78 Hon. E.C. Johnson, 79 Hon. Richard H. Jorzak, 75 Hon. Walter J. Kowalski, 79 Hon. Franklin I. Kral, 77
Hon. Robert G. Mackey, 69
Hon. Thomas J. Maloney, 74
Hon. John J. McDonnell, 77
Hon. Anthony S. Montelione, 78
Hon. James E. Murphy, 80
Hon. Donald P. O'Connell, 80
Hon. Frank R. Petrone, 79
Hon. Frank R. Petrone, 79
Hon. Albert S. Porter, 74
Hon. David J. Shields, 82
Hon. Harold W. Sullivan, 77
Hon. James E. Sullivan, 81
Hon. Lucia T. Thomas, 70
Hon. James A. Zafiratos, 71

# THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

The Illinois Constitution provides, in section 17 of article VI, that there shall be "an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice." Supreme Court Rule 41 implements section 17 by establishing membership in the Conference, creating an Executive Committee to assist the Court in conducting the Conference, and appointing the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts as secretary of the Conference.

The Judicial Conference membership includes the Supreme Court Justices, Appellate Court judges and all Circuit Court judges. The Supreme Court appoints six judges from Cook County and six judges from outside Cook County to serve three year terms on the Executive Committee.

In 1984, the Executive Committee members were:

Hon. Wayne C. Townley, Jr., Chairman
Hon. Philip Romiti, Vice-Chairperson
Hon. Michael C. Close
Hon. William Cousins
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham
Hon. Charles J. Durham
Hon. Anthony Peccarelli
Hon. Joseph Schneider
Hon. Harry D. Strouse, Jr.
Hon. Frank X. Yackley
Hon. Ivan L. Yontz
Hon. Robert C. Underwood, Liaison

The Executive Committee meets monthly to plan and supervise the organization of the annual meeting of the Conference, annual Associate Judge Seminar, regional seminars and the activities of the various Judicial Conference study committees. In addition, the Executive Committee considers recommendations relating to the improvement of the administration of justice which arise as a result of the Conference, seminars and committee activities. Those recommendations, if approved, are submitted to the Supreme Court for its consideration.

During 1984 the Executive Committee:

- (1) Selected the site, topics and faculty for the 1984 annual program of the Illinois Judicial Conference.
- (2) Monitored the work of the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee in planning the annual Associate Judge Seminar.
- (3) Monitored the work of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education in planning the 1983-84 Regional Seminar Series.
- (4) Discharged the Study Committee on the Right to Trial by Jury upon the successful completion of assigned tasks.

- (5) Considered the Report of the Study Committee on Small Claims Court and submitted the report with approval to the Supreme Court.
- (6) Discharged the Study Committee on Small Claims Court upon the successful completion of assigned tasks.
- (7) Considered the Report of the Study Committee on Dispute Resolution and submitted the report with approval to the Supreme Court.
- (8) Approved the Subcommittee on Judicial Education recommendations for the topics and faculty for the 1984-85 Regional Seminar Series to commence in October.
- (9) Authorized the travel of three representatives of the Study Committee on Dispute Resolution to observe and evaluate the operation of the mandatory arbitration system in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- (10) Authorized the travel of three representatives of the Executive Committee to Boston to attend the "Program on Reduction on Delay" sponsored by the Northeastern Regional Office of the National Center For State Courts.

## 1984 Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Judicial Conference

The 31st Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference was conducted on Wednesday-Friday, September 5-7, 1984 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. Four hundred and three of the four hundred twenty-one judges of the circuit and reviewing courts were present.

Senator Alan Dixon addressed the opening session of the Conference. Chief Justice Howard C. Ryan presented a "state of the judiciary" address at the dinner session on the opening evening. Justice Daniel Ward presided at the Thursday luncheon program honoring recently retired judges.

Six  $2\frac{1}{2}$  hour elective seminar topics were offered on the second and third day of the program. The topics were:

Civil Discovery Comparative Negligence Criminal Law Domestic Relations Evidence Motion Practice

Each of the elective topics was presented by committees comprised of judges assisted by professor-reporters from Illinois law schools.

#### 1984 Associate Judge Seminar

The Annual Associate Judge Seminar program is prepared by a twelve-member committee appointed by the Executive Committee with the approval of the Supreme Court. The Coordinating Committee for the 1984 program was comprised of the following judges:

Hon. John J. Hogan, Chairman
Hon. Richard E. Scott, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Francis Barth
Hon. Robert L. Carter
Hon. Harry E. Clem
Hon. Thomas P. Durkin
Hon. Edward C. Ferguson
Hon. Jerry D. Flynn
Hon. John W. Gustafson
Hon. Rosemary D. La Porta
Hon. Blanche M. Manning
Hon. Michael R. Morrison
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham, Liaison

The Associate Judge Seminar was presented at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago on Wednesday-Friday, March 28-30, 1984. Three hundred twenty-four of the three hundred thirty-six associate judges in Illinois were present. Chief Justice Howard C. Ryan addressed the attendants at the Wednesday dinner. Judge Tobias Barry of the Third District of the Appellate Court spoke at the Thursday luncheon program.

Each seminar attendant elected to attend three of the following six elective topics prepared by judges and law professors:

Criminal Law Domestic Relations Employer/Employee Relations Evidence Juvenile Law Traffic and Ordinance Violations

At the opening session program, a panel of experienced judges discussed the topic of judicial ethics and conduct.

#### 1984 New Judge Seminar

Under the direction of Justice Seymour Simon, the Supreme Court liason to the new judge educational programs, the Subcommittee on Judicial Education prepared and presented the New Judge Seminar on December 10-12, 1984 at the Westin Hotel in Chicago. The entire program was presented by judicial faculty.

Fifty-two of the fifty-nine judges who assumed judicial office since the date of the last New Judge Seminar (August 17-19, 1983) attended the program. Appellate, circuit and associate judges were in attendance.

At the opening session program Justice Simon offered introductory remarks and Judge Wayne C. Townley, Jr., chairman of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, described the general operation of the continuing education programs of the Conference. Judge Gulley gave an overview of the evolution and structure of the Illinois judicial system.

At the luncheon on the first day of the program, Chief Justice Howard Ryan reflected on some of the common concerns of the new judge. A panel of experienced judges then presented an hour long session on judicial ethics, followed by a discussion on the law of contempt. Judge Allen Hartman of the First District Appellate Court then summarized the law and procedure governing motion practice. Following dinner Judges Daniel White, Alan Cargerman and Stewart Spitzer discussed the topic of high volume courts.

On the second day of the program three hours were dedicated to a session on trial practice at which video-tape materials prepared by the faculty members were used to create actual courtroom situations. The faculty members for the session were:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson Hon. Robert J. Steigmann Hon. Lawrence D. Inglis Hon. Donald P. O'Connell

At the luncheon program on the second day, Judge Donald P. O'Connell, Acting Presiding Judge of the First Municipal District, Circuit Court of Cook County addressed the attendants on his experiences as former Court Administrator of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and offered many helpful suggestions on the difficult transition one makes from lawyer to judge.

Sessions on judgments and orders, instructions, and criminal law were offered for the remainder of the second day and the morning of the third day. Judge Charles E. Jones of the Fifth District Appellate Court presented the session on judgments and orders. Judges Thomas R. Fitzgerald of Cook County and Carl F. Henninger of the 18th Circuit discussed instructions, including an enactment of an actual conference on instructions in a criminal case. The criminal law session was presented by Judge Brian L. Crowe (Cook County), Judge Harold L. Jensen (6th Circuit), and Judge Roger Kiley (Cook County).

#### **1984 Regional Seminar Programs**

In 1984 the Judicial Conference conducted six programs on the 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> day format instituted in 1976. The regional programs were selected, planned, and monitored by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education comprised of:

Hon. Allen Hartman, Chairman Hon. Robert Carter Hon. Brian L. Crowe Hon. George W. Unverzagt Hon. Warren D. Wolfson

The sites, topics, and attendance for the six programs offered during 1984 were:

DATE	TOPIC	SITE	ATTENDANCE
2/2-4	Damages	Urbana	70
3/15-17	Sentencing Choices	Decatur	39
4/12-14	Evidence	Peoria	67
5/3-5	Sentencing Choices	Rockford	45
10/18-20	Damages	Rockford	12
11/8-10	Sentencing Choices	Springfield	34

The Seminar on Damages conducted in Urbana and in Rockford was presented by:

Hon. Mel R. Jiganti Hon. Myron T. Gomberg Hon. Robert S. Hill Prof. Michael L. Closen Prof. Michael J. Polelle Prof. Dean J. Sodaro

The law of damages and restitution was compared and contrasted. Specific concern was given to damages in contract cases as compared to damages in tort cases. Punitive damages and fraud received specific treatment.

The Evidence program presented in the Spring of 1984 covered the topics of judicial notice, expert opinion, judicial knowledge, and discoverability (privilege and work product). Brief treatment was also given to those Federal Rules of Evidence that have been adopted formally or informally or explicitly rejected by the Illinois reviewing courts. The Evidence program was presented by:

Hon. Allen Hartman Hon. Charles E. Jones Prof. Charles R. Purcell Prof. Jon R. Waltz

Comprehensive coverage of the substantive law governing dispositional alternatives was the primary topic of discussion at the Seminar on Sentencing Choices presented in the Spring and Fall of 1984. The attendants were divided into two small groups on Thursday and Friday afternoon to view two fifteen minute tape scenarios, one involving a violent crime, the other an offense against property. The attendants were given pre-sentence investigation reports and asked to complete a Sentence Response Form after viewing the tapes. The Friday morning session involved a panel discussion chaired by Judge Wolfson. The panel was comprised of representatives from Gateway, the Department of Corrections, the Probation Division of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, and PACT. On Friday afternoon following the second tape session, the responses were compared with the Thursday afternoon session to determine what impact, if any, the panel and substantive law had on the attendants. The program on Sentencing Choices was presented by:

Hon. Warren D. Wolfson Hon. Harold Jensen Hon. Roger Kiley Hon. Frank X. Yackley Prof. James P. Carey Prof. Shari Diamond

#### 1984 Study Committee Reports

The Executive Committee received, discussed and tendered to the Supreme Court with approval the recommendations of the Study Committee on Dispute Resolution. Study Committee members reviewed the operation of existing court-annexed arbitration programs in Philadelphia and Los Angeles. They also considered the potential constitutional obstacles to the adoption of a similar program in Illinois. Their report recommended that a statute be enacted to enable the Supreme Court to establish a mandatory court-annexed arbitration system for civil actions based on a claim of less than \$15,000 in counties with a population of one million or more and in other counties which elect to participate in the system or are directed to do so by the Court. The Study Committee concluded that this system would help relieve the congestion in Illinois' courts and would reduce the cost of litigation to the public and to the litigants.

The Executive Committee also accepted from the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee and transmitted to the Supreme Court with approval the recommendations of the Study Committee on Small Claims Court. This Study Committee proposed the amendment of several existing Supreme Court Rules and the adoption of several new Supreme Court Rules and a Uniform Circuit Court Rule. The general purpose of these proposals was to develop uniform guidelines which more clearly define the applicability of the rules of evidence and procedure in small claims cases. Specifically, the Study Committee recommended allowing informal hearings in disputes over less than \$500, admitting hearsay evidence in small claims bench trials, and standardizing the procedure to be followed on the return day of summons, among other matters.

(The Illinois Judicial Conference is summarized under separate cover in the biennial report. Specific information on the various Conference programs is offered in greater detail in those reports.)

# THE COURTS COMMISSION

Since July 1, 1971, disciplinary proceedings against judicial officers in Illinois have been bifurcated. The Judicial Inquiry Board, composed of lay persons, lawyers and judges, conducts investigations against judges, files formal voted complaints against judges with the Courts Commission, and prosecutes those complaints before the Commission. The five judges who comprise the Commission hear those complaints, make findings, and enter dispositive orders of dismissal or of imposition of sanctions. A judge may be disciplined by removal from office, suspension with or without pay, retirement, censure or reprimand. Pursuant to rule of the Commission, the Administrative Director, Roy O. Gulley, is the Commission Secretary. For further information on the history of judicial discipline in Illinois, see the 1975 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois, pages 60-65 and the Prefatory Note, 1 Ill. Cts. Com., pages ix-xxii.

The judicial officers who have been appointed as members of the judicial disciplinary entities are, as of December 31, 1984:

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Judicial Inquiry Board: Circuit Judge Philip B. Benefiel, Second Judicial Circuit Circuit Judge Edward H. Marsalek, Cook County

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Courts Commission: \*Supreme Court Judge William G. Clark (chairman)

- \*Circuit Judge James C. Murray, Cook County
- \*Circuit Judge Rodney A. Scott, Sixth Judicial Circuit
- Circuit Judge Arthur L. Dunne, Cook County (alternate) Circuit Judge John E. Sype, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (alternate)
- Appointed by the Appellate Court to the Courts Commission:
- \*Appellate Court Judge Francis S. Lorenz, First Judicial District
- \*Appellate Court Judge Charles E. Jones, Fifth Judicial District Appellate Court Judge Helen F. McGillicuddy, First Judicial District (alternate)
- Appellate Court Judge Allan L. Stouder, Third Judicial District (alternate)

\*Present members of the Courts Commission.

During the period July 1, 1971 through December 31, 1984, the Judicial Inquiry Board had filed 39 formal complaints with the Courts Commission. The dispositions of the complaints by the Commission were as follows:

Respondents removed from office	- 3
Respondents suspended without pay	- 7
Respondents censured	- 3
Respondents reprimanded	- 6
Complaints dismissed	-14
Commission order expunged by Supreme Court	- 1
Complaints pending	- 5

During 1984, five formal complaints were filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board with the Courts Commission. The Commission did not render any decisions in 1984, but it did hold, in December of 1984, a hearing in cause number 84-CC-1. The five complaints, which the Commission is expected to decide in 1985, are:

(1) Complaint 84-CC-1 charged an associate judge of the Cook County Circuit Court with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that he was seen during the mid-afternoon drinking beer in a branch office of the Cook County State's Attorney; shortly thereafter he was asked by an assistant prosecutor to attest a complaint alleging that a 17-year-old girl and her parents were being harassed by the girl's ex-boyfriend; he then entered the conference room where the girl and her parents were, and his appearance and manner indicated that he was intoxicated and confused; and he guestioned the girl in an insulting and demeaning manner, asking her questions about her sexual experiences. The complaint charged the respondent with violating Supreme Court Rules 61(c) (2), (4), (5), and (8).

The Commission heard this case in December of 1984 and is expected to render a decision in January of 1985.

(2) Complaint 84-CC-2 charged a circuit judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that, during 1982 and 1983, he solemnized more than 200 marriages and received \$4,665 in fees with respect to 93 of them, and he stated on his Federal and State income tax returns that he received \$5,320 in fees for solemnizing marriages. The complaint alleged that court personnel in the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit referred persons seeking to have their marriages solemnized outside of the circuit's marriage division to certain judges who regularly officiated at weddings outside the marriage division's regular session, and that the respondent was one of those judges; that the judge or his representative would arrange for a judge to perform the ceremony at a specific place and time, and the judge would charge a fee, generally from \$50 to \$100, for the ceremony; that a statute authorizes judges and retired judges to solemnize marriages; that Supreme Court Rule 40 authorizes the creation of a marriage division within a circuit court and the setting of an amount not to exceed \$10 for each marriage but no other fee or gratuity is permitted; that no other fee for the performance of a marriage by a judge is permitted; and that the respondent's conduct in accepting the fees was in derogation of Supreme Court Rule 40 and article VI, sections 13(b) and 14, of the Illinois Constitution, and violated Supreme Court Rule 65.

The respondent's term of office expired in December of 1984. The Commission is expected to render a decision on the complaint in the spring of 1985.

- (3) Complaint 84-CC-3 charged an associate judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with the same allegations, conduct and violations charged in complaint 84-CC-2, except that, during 1982 and 1983, the respondent solemnized more than 225 marriages and received \$5,055 in fees with respect to 109 of them, and he stated on his Federal and State income tax returns that he received \$4,900 in fees for solemnizing marriages.
- (4) Complaint 84-CC-4 charged an associate judge of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit with the same allegations, conduct and violations as charged in complaint 84-CC-2, except that, during 1982 and 1983, the respondent solemnized more than 200 marriages in addition to those he performed for the marriage division and received \$2,685 in fees with respect to 68 of them, and he stated on his 1983 Federal income tax return that he received \$2,050 as other income for "contracted services."
- (5) Complaint 84-CC-5 (later amended) charged an associate judge of the Cook County Circuit Court with willful mis-

conduct in office and with conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brought the judicial office into disrepute in that he, in March or April of 1983, was advised that an attorney left an envelope for him which, although he believed it contained money intended to influence his official actions, he instructed that it be returned to the attorney without taking any "official action concerning this attempted bribe": he was approached in April of 1983 by an attorney who said he had a case coming before the respondent and wished to compensate the respondent for an anticipated ruling in favor of the attorney's client and, although the respondent believed the attorney was offering a bribe, he took no "official action concerning this attempted bribe"; and he was approached in December of 1981 and thereafter, and in February of 1982, by an attorney who represented the defendants in two criminal cases and engaged in ex parte communications with the attorney about the merits and/or disposition of the cases, and he did not report the discussions to any other party to the proceedings or take any action to discourage such ex parte communications. The complaint alleged the respondent's conduct violated Supreme Court Rules 61(c) (4), (10), (15), and (16).

# THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

#### Introduction

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (see Appendix B for historical development) is established pursuant to article VI, section 16 of the Constitution of 1970, to assist the Chief Justice to carry out his duties in exercising the administrative and supervisory authority of the Supreme Court over all the courts. As that authority encompasses every aspect of the judicial system, the functions of the Administrative Office cannot be exhaustively delineated. However, these functions generally include personnel and fiscal management, continuing judicial education, maintenance of records and statistics, service as secretariat to committees and other organizations, liaison activity with the legislative and executive branches, management of court facilities and equipment, administration of programs under several Supreme Court Rules, research and planning. (Compare 1974 A.B.A. Standards Relating to Court Organization (Standard 1.41) (responsibilities of state court administrative offices).) Within each of these categories fall the specific duties of the Administrative Office which are reported in greater detail in this chapter.

Other functions of the Administrative Office are not explained separately below. The office is charged by Supreme Court Rule 21(d) with keeping filed copies of Appellate Court and Circuit Court rules. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 68, the Administrative Director is the custodian of verified statements of economic interest which are filed annually by Illinois judges. Sealed statements filed under this rule may be opened only by the Supreme Court or by the Illinois Courts Commission when specifically authorized by the Supreme Court for use in proceedings of the Commission. Parties to an action may request from the Director information concerning unsealed lists of businesses in which a judge or members of his immediate family have a financial interest.

The Director and his staff appear before the appropriation committees of the General Assembly to testify concerning the State judicial budget, and they are frequently called upon to advise the judiciary committees on proposed legislation affecting the courts. They also address civic groups, bar associations, legislative commissions and court reform groups concerning court administration and the structure and operation of Illinois' unified court system. The educational responsibilities of the office additionally include answering telephone and mail inquiries from the general public about the court system.

Citizens, judges, lawyers, court administrators from other states, and persons from foreign nations visit the Administrative Office and the Illinois courts. An important task of the Administrative Office is to explain the Illinois court system to them and arrange visits to courthouses and with judges. The office also arranges for Illinois judges to visit the State's penal institutions in order to foster a greater understanding of the correctional system. Although no tours were scheduled in 1984, many judges have participated in these visits in 1983 and previous years.

The work of the Administrative Office has been greatly expanded in the last decade with the addition of two new departments. In 1978, a Probation Division was created to establish standards and provide salary subsidies for probation officers. The Supreme Court approved the addition of Judicial Management Information Services to the Administrative Office in late 1980. This staff plans and coordinates the installation of automated recordkeeping systems throughout the court system. The activities of these branches are detailed further in this chapter.

#### Personnel

The Administrative Office maintains two offices – the headquarters in Springfield and a second office in Chicago.

During 1984, the staff of the Administrative Office totaled 77. In addition to the Director, the staff included 1 Deputy Director, 5 Assistant Directors, 2 Staff Attorneys, 1 Supervisor of Accounting, 12 Accountants, 2 Administrative Assistants, 1 Supervisor of Probation, 6 Assistant Supervisors, 10 Probation Division Personnel, 21 Judicial Management Information System Specialists, 2 Statisticians, 11 Secretaries, 1 File Clerk, and 1 Messenger.

#### **Accounting Division**

Two decades have elapsed since the unified court system of Illinois, the Judicial Article, became effective January 1, 1964. Enactment of the Article provided the potential for centralizing the fiscal management of the judiciary within the Administrative Office, and specifically in the Accounting Division.

Prior to 1964, certain judicial costs were paid either by the County or the Auditor of Public Accounts, State of Illinois. As the unification of the judicial system occurred, fiscal management became centralized within the Accounting Division. The charts which follow graphically demonstrate how a statewide fiscal management system gradually replaced the independent and uncoordinated methods of payment.

## SUPREME COURT

	Supreme Court Expenditures	Supreme Court Clerk's Expend.	Supreme Court Research's Expend.
1964 <sup>1</sup>			
1965	752,161.		
1966 <sup>1</sup>			
1967	864,905.		
1968 <sup>1</sup>			
1969	1,029,221.		
1970	652,144.		
1971	696,418. <sup>2</sup>		
1972	873,750.		
1973	996,899.		
1974	1,026,765.		
1975	1,136,733.	201,599. <sup>3</sup>	
1976	1,399,888.	206,870.	
1977	1,512,528.	220,437.	
1978	1,625,387.	246,681.	
1979	1,910,933.	250,538.	
1980	2,029,322.	267,937.	
1981	2,191,376.	285,366.	63,305. <sup>4</sup>
1982	2,557,692.	295,057.	93,914.
1983	2,480,703.	352,882.	128,624.
1984	2,282,005.	365,127.	147,333.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Includes \$12,159 special bill for Reporter of Decisions.

<sup>3</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's Office were assumed by the Accounting Division in 1975.

<sup>4</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1981.

	Administrative Office Expenditures	Judicial Management Information System	Judicial Conference
1964 1			
1965	287,273.		87,715. <sup>1</sup>
1966			
1967	432,165.		134,080.
1968			
1969	484,693.		146,495.
1970	354,156.		127,903.
1971	399,549.		117,188.
1972	447,501.		92,324.
1973	453,018.		59,974.
1974	510,092.		112,233.
1975	534,045.		159,172.
1976	584,890.		170,608.
1977	625,536.	~	200,215.
1978	687,024.		189,147.
1979	712,448.		224,754.
1980	802,694.		241,215.
1981	926,726.		277,708.
1982	926,029.	493,646. <sup>2</sup>	328,730.
1983	1,070,888.	806,050.	323,412.
1984	1,154,801.	1,461,062.	340,304.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Judicial Management Information System established July 1, 1982.

	Probation-Operation Expenditures	Probation Training	Probation Subsidy	Probation Grants/Aid
1964	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1	1
1974	1	1	1	1
1975	1	1	1	1
1976	1	1	1	1
1977	1	1	1	1
1978	1	1	1	1
1979	91,689. <sup>2</sup>	48,838.2	3,115,735.2	1
1980	155,630.	266,374.	6,030,091.	1
1981	178,199.	309,334.	6,131,901.	1
1982	204,622.	401,528.	6,266,929.	1
1983	199,129.	422,098.	6,394,400.	1
1984	373,572.	458,333.	6,691,643.	1,231,091. <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Probation Division was not under the auspices of the Supreme Court.

<sup>2</sup>Probation Operation expenditures, training and subsidy legislation became effective July 1, 1979.

<sup>3</sup>Legislation to provide Probation Grants-in-Aid became effective July 1, 1984.

## **Miscellaneous Accounts**

	Travel-Cir. Judges Expenditures	Travel — Shorthand Reporters	Transcription Fees
1964 <sup>1</sup>		1	1
1965	172,150.	61,623.	207,471.
1966			
1967	247,836.	80,206.	235,396.
1968			
1969	366,001.	90,390.	349,406.
1970	179,815.	51,193.	223,474.
1971	214,979.	55,746.	278,634.
1972	204,390.	50,113.	357,114.
1973	215,465.	53,311.	399,889.
1974	235,418.	55,828.	507,106.
1975	274,981.	64,935.	574,964.
1976	310,759.	79,953.	812,882.
1977	278,528.	73,630.	780,674.
1978	235,034.	78,609.	1,067,552.
1979	322,023.	72,373.	1,066,562.
1980	454,200.	92,640.	1,286,069.
1981	448,505.	94,040.	1,424,900.
1982	488,923.	114,003.	1,496,414.
1983	494 <mark>,</mark> 390.	113,889.	1,561,916.
1984	464,514.	110,183.	1,456,692.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

	Out-of-State Education Programs	Court Reporters Recruitment	Appellate Co-Ordinator
1964	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1
1974	1	1	1
1975	1	2,626.3	1
1976	1	10,000.	1
1977	1	9,994.	1
1978	1	19,146.	1
1979	1	23,859.	1
1980	1	24,608.	1
1981	1	25,483.	1
1982	10,548.2	31,067.	62,577.4
1983	17,598.	34,979.	66,137.
1984	18,463.	32,564.	10,607.

<sup>1</sup>Services not established during this period.

<sup>2</sup>Appropriations authorized July 1, 1982 for Out-of-State Education Programs.

<sup>3</sup>Court Reporters' Recruitment inaugurated July 1, 1975.

<sup>4</sup>Appellate Co-ordinator appointed by Supreme Court July 1, 1982 on a pilot basis.

	Illinois Courts Commission	Impartial Medical	III. Jury (Pattern) Instruction
1964	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1
1969	1	7,722.3	1
1970	1	4,355.	3,244.4
1971	5,698.2	4,767.	1,193.
1972	873.	6,181.	151.
1973	2,841.	15,791.	-0-
1974	8,981.	14,477.	1,992.
1975	6,073.	19,966.	3,960.
1976	3,004.	18,140.	9,527.
1977	7,171.	8,012.	3,502.
1978	1,139.	11,619.	4,222.
1979	1,102.	9,022.	9,288.
1980	11,951.	9,662.	6,304.
1981	5,896.	9,608.	9,439.
1982	2,980.	6,106.	8,405.
1983	1, <mark>8</mark> 98.	6,125.	6,715.
1984	5,224.	5,089.	7,510.

<sup>1</sup>Services not established during this period.

<sup>2</sup>Illinois Courts Commission created by Constitutional Amendment effective July 1, 1971.

<sup>3</sup>Impartial Medical legislation effective July 1, 1969.

<sup>4</sup>III. Jury Instruction appropriation legislated July 1, 1970.

# Appellate Court - First District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	836,447.1		
1968			
1969	957,233.		
1970	512,296.		
1971	608,368.		
1972	623, <mark>2</mark> 33.		
1973	741,860.		
1974	637,771.		
1975	941,718.	358,860.2	158,425. <sup>2</sup>
1976	1,222,205.	397,629.	197,965.
1977	1,212,142.	386,667.	180,229.
1978	1,234,358.	413,921.	210,516.
1979	1,298,080.	417,076.	208,770.
1980	1,487,668.	413,013.	226,541.
1981	1,623,868.	441,441.	275,689.
1982	1,723,072.	430,694.	312,482.
1983	1,768,842.	443,970.	353,195.
1984	1,857,066.	468,109.	375,884.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's and Research's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

# Appellate Court - Second District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	86,458. <sup>1</sup>		
1968			
1969	106,873.		
1970	64,861.		
1971	66,044.		
1972	79,024.	-	
1973	82,493.		
1974	88,218.		
1975	124,119.	268,324.2	
1976	152,150.	287,506.	30,461.3
1977	141,934.	309,874.	37,451.
1978	173,874.	317,982.	41,989.
1979	175,119.	375,267.	79,248.
1980	205,755.	246,542.	159,170.
1981	231,540.	288,564.	191,397.
1982	238,547.	303,559.	202,916.
1983	245,567.	357,693.	221,522.
1984	281,720.	419,705.	227,569.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1976.

# Appellate Court - Third District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	84,170. <sup>1</sup>		
1968			
1969	80,129.		
1970	46,812.		
1971	43,940.		
1972	57,293.		
1973	61,278.		
1974	71,525.		
1975	84,818.	131,607.2	
1976	101,180.	151,068.	
1977	109,943.	138,521.	
1978	121,066.	175,536.	
1979	124,554.	177,782.	23,741.3
1980	141,323.	180,585.	49,032.
1981	176,123.	236,182.	94,800.
1982	201,229.	226,183.	119,265.
1983	209,796.	232,874.	123,418.
1984	187,206.	241,589.	137,248.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1979.

# Appellate Court - Fourth District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	47,627.1		
1968			
1969	53,162.		
1970	29,200.		
1971	31,660.		
1972	57,794.		
1973	44,809.		
1974	48,326.		
1975	77,791.	136,401. <sup>2</sup>	
1976	105,672.	145,666.	
1977	103,684.	144,683.	50,236. <sup>3</sup>
1978	142,588.	137,982.	66,820.
1979	125,219.	144,914.	60,779.
1980	156,079.	145,973.	117,968.
1981	163,272.	157,872.	139,366.
1982	179,046.	177,658.	155,025.
1983	192,402.	191,016.	172,758.
1984	210,107.	193,966.	194,514.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

## Appellate Court - Fifth District

	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	91,669.1		
1968			
1969	83,452.		
1970	47,317.		
1971	46,679.		
1972	56,406.		
1973	57,783.		
1974	59,205.		
1975	79,174.	167,905. <sup>2</sup>	
1976	97,303.	175,418.	
1977	89,065.	162,764.	43,061.3
1978	103,518.	201,095.	52,505.
1979	132,597.	191,484.	75,305.
1980	142,943.	184,100.	95,330.
1981	176,032.	194,218.	105,756.
1982	168,807.	297,047.	114,365.
1983	182,085.	275,642.	108,122.
1984	190,866.	309,909.	130,651.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969. <sup>2</sup>Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975. <sup>3</sup>Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

#### JUDICIAL PAYROLL

	Supreme Ct. Judges	Supreme Ct. Clerk	Appellate Judges	Clerk – 1st District	Clerk — Other 4 Dist.	Circuit Judges (C)	Circuit Judges (A)	Court Reporters	Assoc. Judges (M)	Ret. Judges Recalled	Admin. Secretaries	Law Clerks — Appl. Judges	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (Cook)	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (DuPage)	Cir. Judge Assigned
1964									-						
1965	315,000. <sup>1</sup>	15,000.	340,322.	26,250.	75,766.	4,166,746.	4,780,534.		3,823,152.		114,750.	258,008.			
1966									-						
1967	465,286.	20,000.	1,193,896.	35,000.	120,000.	5,523,274.	6,645,522.	Reg. 3,195,329	4,557,332.	2,746.	186,462.	364,151.			
1968								P.D. Reg.	-						
1969	525,000.	24,512.	1,671,909.	36,458.	126,386.	6,704,912.	7,294,813.	P.D. 69,261. Reg. 4,338,498.	6,939,236.	31,765.	254,535.	426,806.			3,125.4
1970	266,088.	20,000.	897,020.	20,000.	72,000.	3,794,794.	4,610,756.	P.D. 76,160. Reg. 2,854,358.	4,365,147.	46,323.	128,028.	310,250.			16,250.
1971	280,000.	20,000.	922,024.	20,000.	72,000.	3,873,121.	4,643,743.	P.D. 98,443. Reg. 3,245,117.	4,329,842.	68,942.	126,101.	345,806.	27,025. <sup>2</sup>		25,000.
1972	280,000.	20,000.	962,841.	20,000.	72,000.	4,053,720.	5,285,251.	P.D. 55,327. Reg. 3,701,794.	4,499,272.	92,485.	136,564.	727,281.	34,333.		36,288.
1973	287,291.	22,083.	1,058,728.	21,250.	76,315.	4,190,919.	5,406,694.	P.D. 72,550. Reg. 4,074,062.	5,971,579.	62,162.	145,077.	879,366.	4 <mark>5</mark> ,463.		45,189.
1974	297,499.	25,000.	1,199,999.	23,000.	84,000.	4,433,739.	5,720,602.	P.D. 106,547. Reg. 4,624,713.	6,064,487.	32,429.	148,826.	1,028,824.	46,201.		55,000.
1975	297,499.	25,000.	1,293,635.	25,000.	92,000.	4, <mark>4</mark> 05,687.	5,690,143.	P.D. 109,999. Reg. 5,217,363.	6,204,587.	106,650.	202,266.	1,092,311.	48,803.		45,833.
1976	348,188.	27,500.	1,507,190.	27,000.	100,000.	5,629,494.	7,478,888.	P.D.         124,999.           Reg.         5,637,411.	9,328,098.	91,687.	207,579.	1,277,890.	43,816.		7,505.
1977	349,999.	27,500.	1,486,215.	27,000.	100,000.	5,749,217.	7,761,743.	P.D.         159,983.           Reg.         6,177,448.	9,539,429.	92,563.	193,935.	1,275,823.	44,140.		10,915.
1978	344,293.	27,500.	1,481,761.	27,000.	100,000.	6,270,974.	8,047,685.	P.D.         43,284.           Reg.         7,929,516.	9,858,032.	81,875.	276,972.	1,443,714.	110,211.	9,933. <sup>3</sup>	15,937.
1979	382,666.	29,958.	1,622,159.	29,458.	109,500.	7,455,716.	9,289,410.	P.D.         65,908.           Reg.         8,745,495.	11,364,089.	148,537.	308,707.	1,471,972.	154,436.	14,900.	16,280.
1980	405,999.	30,950.	1,643,218.	30,408.	112,667.	8,464,932.	10,373,391.	P.D.         172,987.           Reg.         9,790,950.	12,767,997.	319,432.	324,998.	1,595,704.	159,652.	18,500.	19,573.
1981	405,999.	32,792.	1,677,528.	32,192.	128,766.	8,454,295.	10,315,686.	P.D.         228,890.           Reg.         11,060,781.	12,791,881.	581,406.	349,999.	1,761,244.	241,069.	20,437.	17,889.
982	405,999.	33,630.	1,74 <mark>8,9</mark> 97.	34,008.	136,033.	8,503,691.	10,465,200.	P.D. 234,111. Reg. 11,787,083.	13,362,527.	590,736.	335,821.	1,924,703.	269,773.	22,481.	13,432.
1983	465,499.	35,500.	2,064,707.	35,000.	140,000.	9,659,598.	12,039,148.	P.D.         228,552.           Reg.         13,723,449.	16,414,030.	706,499.	350,300.	2,085,302.	292,070.	24,279.	18,375.
1984	525,000.	35,500.	2,369,346.	35,000.	140,000.	11,060,963.	13,547,210.	P.D. 252,937. Reg. 15,658,328.	19,876,852.	803,910.	360,000.	2,159,097.	400,834.	30,000.	22,768.

<sup>1</sup>During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

<sup>2</sup>Establishment of Court Reporters' office - Cook County effective July 1, 1971.

<sup>3</sup>Establishment of Court Reporters' office - DuPage County effective July 1, 1978.

4Circuit Judges Assigned to Appellate Judgeships legislation effective July 1, 1969.

## Charts

The preceding charts are intended to provide selective fiscal data on actual expenditures of the respective departments which are under the auspices of the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois. The charts reflect a period from January 1, 1964 through June 30. 1984.

By: Jeanne Meeks Supervisor of the Accounting Division

#### **Probation Division**

In prior annual reports to the Supreme Court, the legislative history of increased State involvement in improving and funding of county based probation services has been discussed in detail and will not be repeated here. See the *1983 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois* pages 61-62.

The Probation Division staff was increased substantially during 1984 as a result of greatly increased responsibilities, required by the enactment of Public Act 83-982 in December of 1983. Staffing was expanded from three professional staff and three secretaries, to eleven professional staff and six secretaries. Three Probation Division staff are now officed in the AOIC offices in Chicago.

The staff of the division developed an implementation plan for Public Act 83-982 in December of 1983. During 1984 the division carried through with that plan on a step by step basis:

#### **Caseload Audits**

On December 16, 1983, the Probation Division mailed to all Illinois probation departments instructions and procedures for a full caseload audit of every case in each department. The purpose of this audit was to identify and separate into an administrative caseload those cases not being actively supervised and to eliminate totally all expired, transferred, and warrant cases. This process would allow for a proper workload analysis of all probation departments. The audit process was completed by January 30, 1984 and resulted in the identification of 17,000 administrative cases and the elimination of 4,000 "deadwood" cases.

#### **Annual Plan Process**

Between February 15th and February 23rd, 1984, the staff reviewed parts I, II, and III of the annual plans submitted by the probation departments and made tentative allocations for new positions based on: a) workload analysis of each department, b) their need for intensive probation supervision units based on DOC commitments and, c) the amount of State funds available. The allocations were reviewed and approved by the Administrative Director of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, and the counties were notified of their allocations so that they could complete parts IV and V of the annual plan. Final plan approvals were completed by March 15th and 98 approved new adult workload staff positions were authorized to begin April 1, 1984. Twenty-five additional new adult workload positions were authorized August 1, 1984.

#### **Classification/Differential Supervision**

During January, division staff working with Sangamon State University and a national consultant reviewed and modified the Illinois Adult Probation Classification System and contracts for the training of all Illinois adult probation personnel in the classification system were executed. The purpose of the Illinois Adult Classification System is to provide differential supervision of probationers based on risk/needs analysis of each individual probationer.

During February, Sangamon State University, Center for Legal Studies conducted training in the Illinois Adult Probation Classification System for all chief managing officers and supervisors in the State of Illinois. Training for 700 probation officer line staff supervising adult offenders was completed by June 30, 1984.

All adult probationers in Illinois placed on probation prior to the effective date of Public Act 83-982 were classified using the reclassification instrument by June 30, 1984 with the exception of Cook County where classification of cases was not completed until September 1984.

All offenders placed on probation after April 1, 1984 were classified using the risk/needs instruments for new cases. All 68,000 Illinois adult offenders have now been classified.

The Illinois Adult Probation Classification System provides for three levels of supervision: maximum, medium, and minimum. Each level of supervision requires a specific number and type of case contacts. The number of new adult workload positions allocated under the provisions of Public Act 83-982 to Illinois probation departments allows each county to meet optimum case contact standards. Field staff of the Probation Division monitor compliance with these contact standards through a full case auditing process.

Using the Illinois Adult Probation Classification System risk/ needs instrument, Illinois adult felony probationers under supervision as of January 31, 1985 are classified as follows:

		Felons			Misdemeanants			
	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.		
Cook	10%	39%	52%	17%	32%	51%		
Downstate	25%	39%	35%	30%	37%	33%		
Combined	16%	39%	45%	25%	35%	40%		

#### **Intensive Probation Supervision**

In early January, a staff member from the Probation Division spent a week in the state of Georgia working with probation personnel, judges, State's Attorneys, and law enforcement officials to gather as much information as possible on the Intensive Probation Supervision program which has been used in Georgia for almost two years. Upon his return, the staff of the division reviewed the program and developed guidelines for intensive supervision in Illinois. The purpose of the IPS programs is to create specialized probation units to provide intensive surveillance and services to a limited caseload of high risk, nonviolent felony offenders. Using sentencing data for metropolitan counties for 1982 and 1983, staff of the Probation Division identified the counties which had committed the largest numbers of class 3 and 4 felony offenders to the Illinois Department of Corrections. These counties were targeted for IPS units:

	No. of	No. of
County	<b>IPS</b> Units	<b>IPS</b> Officers
Lake	2	4
Kane	2	4
Cook (Adult)	7	22
Macon	1	3
Champaign	1	3
McLean	1	2
Peoria	2	4
Madison	2	4
St. Clair		2
	19	48

These positions and units were authorized to begin May 1, 1984. In addition, the division authorized a pilot project of juvenile IPS in the Juvenile Division of Circuit Court of Cook County with 6 IPS officers in 2 IPS units.

In September 1984, the division authorized another IPS pilot project for a rural multi-county IPS unit serving Williamson, Jackson, and Saline counties in the 1st Judicial Circuit.

The Probation Division conducted an intensive 40-hour training program for new IPS officers during the first week of June 1984. This training included the philosophy and detailed operational procedures of Intensive Probation Supervision including a step-by-step review of the IPS procedural manual developed by probation staff and training in self defense and use of force conducted with the assistance of the Illinois Department of Law Enforcement.

The first IPS probationer was assigned to the program in Lake County in June 1984.

The IPS programs in the various counties developed at varying rates. Cook County lagged significantly behind the downstate counties. Hiring for the first two IPS adult units in Cook County did not begin until September, and the first Cook County IPS case was not assigned until December. While this delay has held back IPS case assignments significantly, it has also reduced the anticipated cost to the State for FY 1985. The Probation Division had budgeted \$1.7 million for FY 1985 IPS programming. Start-up delays will reduce this amount to \$1.2 millions.

Between June 1, 1984 and December 31, 1984, 158 offenders were admitted to IPS supervision. Of those admitted to IPS, 2 absconded, 10 were revoked for technical violations, and 6 were revoked for new offense violations. Of the 16 IPS offenders revoked, 14 were sentenced to the Illinois Department of Corrections.

The distribution of offenses of those presently on IPS are as follows:

Class	1	15
Class	11	80
Class	111	70
Class	IV	60

Of those on IPS, 93% had previous felony or misdemeanor convictions, and 49% had served a previous prison sentence.

The rate of intake to the IPS units has varied greatly between counties. Two IPS positions originally allocated to Kane County have been re-allocated to Lake County.

Staff of the Probation Division continue to provide technical assistance to the IPS units and have developed a comprehensive IPS monitoring and case audit procedure.

The division collects extensive data on every IPS case to provide a complete data base for internal and external program evaluation.

#### **Approved Costs Regulations**

During January, the Administrative Office developed criteria for reimbursement of approved costs along with fiscal procedures and forms for the reimbursement of counties and auditing procedures. During February, 1984, the staff developed policies and procedures for the designation of chief managing officers and the reimbursement of the salaries of these officers.

In addition, the staff has developed a system for keeping time and attendance records and has completed forms and regulations for reporting of daily travel for those officers whose travel is reimbursed by the State.

The division's field auditor conducts regular on-site audits of payroll records, including fringe benefits and reimbursed travel for all probation officers reimbursed by the State. Field audit reports are prepared in all instances and subsequent reimbursement vouchers are adjusted as needed. The field auditor also reviews all county probation officer time and attendance records and daily travel report forms on a regular basis.

#### **New Hiring Procedures**

During January, February and March 1984, the staff of the Probation Division researched and developed guidelines, regulations, procedures and forms for the implementation of statewide uniform hiring and promotional system required under Public Act 83-982. After review and approval by the legal staff of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, the appropriate materials were printed and distributed throughout the State and the system became operational on August 1, 1984.

#### **Operational Standards**

On July 20, 1984, the Probation Division distributed to all Illinois probation departments a set of "Operational Standards for Illinois Probation and Court Services" containing 62 required and 26 optional operational standards which addressed the day-to-day operations of adult and juvenile probation and court services departments. These standards were taken with some modification from the American Correctional Association's Manual of Standards for Adult Probation and Parole Field Services. Probation Division staff have met regionally with all probation administrators to review the standards and have subsequently provided technical assistance to many departments in implementing these standards.

Division regulations require that all departments be in compliance with at least 75% of the required standards by June 30, 1985.

#### **Financial And Program Audits**

Staff of the Probation Division have developed detailed procedures for conducting program audits of the Illinois Adult Probation System and the Intensive Probation Supervision programs to assure compliance with standards and guidelines.

The initial audits of the Intensive Supervision programs have been completed and the classification audits will begin in May 1985.

Financial audits are conducted by the division's field auditor. This process involves going into each county and verifying payroll and fringe benefit records against the vouchers submitted to the State by the counties. In addition, time and attendance and travel records are carefully reviewed.

The field auditor writes a field audit report after each field visit. All errors discovered during an audit are noted and corrective action is taken by adjusting the payment voucher in the following month.

#### **Increased Use Of Probation**

One of the goals of Public Act 83-982 was to increase the use of probation as a dispositional alternative for persons convicted of probationable felony offenses.

The use of probation as a percentage of felony dispositions had been decreasing since 1980 due to a toughening of public policy toward crime, legislation of additional non-probationable offenses, and lack of adequate probation personnel to properly supervise persons on probation.

An analysis of preliminary 1984 sentencing data indicates that not only has the downward trend been stopped but it has been reversed.

The 1984 State-wide probation usage rate for defendants convicted of probationable felony offenses has increased from 63% in 1983 to 65% in 1984. This translates into approximately 400 offenders/prison beds. If the average time served in prison were more than one year this number would be increased proportionally.

#### Training

Professional training to probation and court services personnel continues to be provided by the Probation Division through contractural arrangements. The Probation Division provides some Two training contractors were used throughout the year, Sangamon State University and the Court Personnel Training and Development Section of the Cook County Department of Personnel. Sangamon State University is contracted to train all personnel outside of Cook County. During 1984, the University conducted nine basic training and nineteen advanced training programs throughout the State. All of them were residential programs. Eight hundred fifty-one officers attended these programs for a total of 23,000 participant training hours. The total cost for this training was \$332,756.00.

Cook County probation personnel were trained through a mixture of residential and non-residential programs, resulting in far less costs. During 1984, the Cook County Department of Personnel conducted thirty programs of various lengths for 1,011 officers at a cost of \$109,077.00.

During the year, the Probation Division conducted one training program for thirty-five officers for 700 participant training hours. This State-wide residential program held in May helped launch the new Intensive Probation Supervision Program which began in June of 1984 in ten different counties. The total cost of the training program was \$6,734.00.

For the year 1984, training costs for Illinois probation and court services personnel totaled \$448,567.00. These costs supported 59 training programs for 1,907 registrants.

#### **Interstate Compact**

Since July 1, 1979, the Probation Division has been responsible for the administration of the adult probation portion of the Interstate Compact for the supervision of parolees and probationers. (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 1003-3-11 *et seq.*) On August 1, 1984 the division also assumed responsibility for the Interstate Compact for juvenile probationers.

Between January 1, 1984 and December 31, 1984 the division received and processed 31,354 requests for information, supervision requests and progress reports. Of these, 778 involved juvenile offenders.

As of December 31, 1984 there were 1258 Illinois adult and 253 juvenile probationers under supervision in other states and 1,524 adult and 109 juvenile probationers from other states under probation supervision in Illinois.

#### **Technical Assistance**

During 1984, the division greatly increased its capability to provide regular technical assistance to all participating probation departments in the State. The addition of five field coordinators to the staff enabled the division to make regular visits to all probation departments on a routine basis and to respond rapidly to special requests for technical assistance. Division staff also served on the following advisory board and committees during 1984.

- Professional Advisory Board, Treatment Alternatives to Street Crime
- Governor's Planning Council on Developmental Disabilities — Committee on the Developmentally Disabled Offender
- -Lutheran Child and Family Services of Sangamon County
- -Citizens' Advisory Committee on Juvenile Court Services of Sangamon County
- Illinois Department of Children and Family Services Roundtable

## Probation Division Staff Organizational Memberships

- -American Judicature Society
- -American Correctional Association
- -National Council on Crime and Delinquency
- -American Probation and Parole Association
- -National Association of Interstate Compact Administrators
- -Illinois Correctional Association
- -Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- -National Association of Probation Executives

#### **Public Information And Education**

The staff of the division are frequently asked to address civic groups, legislation committees and commissions, professional associations and public forums. Organizations addressed during 1984 include:

- -Illinois Correctional Association
- -League of Women Voters in Illinois
- -Illinois Probation and Court Services Association

#### **Statistics**

In November 1984 the division published and distributed to chief judges, probation departments and interested State and private agencies, a seventy-six page comprehensive statistical report on Illinois Probation and Court Services for calendar year 1983.

This report revealed that there were 1,276 professional probation staff persons and 454 clerical personnel employed in Illinois probation and court services departments at the end of calendar year 1983. Illinois juvenile detention, excluding Cook County employed an additional 237 persons.

Probation and court services budgets, excluding juvenile detention and child care, totaled \$36,929,177.00 for county fiscal year 1983-84. Juvenile detention budgets for the same period

totaled \$12,656,810.00 and child care \$3,666,516.00 for a total of \$53,252,503.00.

Actual expenditures for county fiscal year 1982-83 totaled \$48,597,127.00.

Illinois probation officers completed 15,133 adult presentence investigations and 4,693 other investigations during 1983, in addition to 12,897 juvenile social history investigations and 4,094 other juvenile related investigations.

The adult probation caseload in Illinois totaled 61,507 on December 31, 1983, a reduction of 3,238 cases from the same date in 1982. This caseload reduction was due to a caseload audit conducted by all departments, which was required by the Probation Division as part of planning implementation for Public Act 83-982.

The December 1983 caseload was comprised of 29,786 felons, 21,764 misdemeanants, 7,042 traffic cases, 3,077 out-of-State cases supervised under provisions of the Interstate Compact and 185 offenders under supervised pre-trial release.

The juvenile caseload totaled 11,495 on December 31, 1983 including 560 informal supervision cases, a reduction of 772 cases from the previous year.

Adult probation violation statistics indicated that 9,732 violations were reported by probation departments to State's Attorneys during 1983. Of these 5,241 were technical violations and 3,643 were new offense violations. A finding of probation violation by the court occurred in 3,993 cases.

Juvenile probation violations were reported in 2,615 cases and a finding of violation was entered in 1,756 cases.

Finding of violation of probation as a percent of probationers supervised was 4.8%. All probation violations reported exclude Cook County.

Illinois probation and court services departments reported restitution collections of \$3,442,160.00 for 1983. Adult probation collections amounted to \$2,916,913.00 while juvenile collections totaled \$253,284.00. Illinois courts ordered restitution payments on 9,467 adult offenders and 1,978 juvenile offenders during 1983.

#### Judicial Management Information Services

This branch of the Administrative Office is responsible for the promotion, design, installation and administration of recordkeeping and case management systems in all three levels of the Illinois courts. Those responsibilities also include training court personnel to operate those systems. The creation of Judicial Management Information Services was approved by the Supreme Court in December, 1980, after five years of study. In 1981, this staff submitted specifications for vendors to use in proposing suitable equipment for the information system. That year, hardware was installed in Springfield and Chicago. For more complete historical background on Judicial Management Information Services, the reader is referred to the *1983 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois*, pages 64-65. Actual system implementation has resulted in the anticipated expansion of equipment and staff. By the end of 1984, Judicial Management Information Services maintained twenty-five staff positions. The court network contains two processing centers controlled by different operating systems, one being remotely operated by the other. The Statewide communication network supports terminal-to-terminal, terminal-to-computer, and computerto-computer traffic involving one hundred thirty-four terminals, printers and stand-alone word processors.

#### **Appellate Information System**

Judicial Management Information Services personnel have taken a leading role in automating recordkeeping in all districts of the Appellate Court. This process was initiated with a study of Appellate Court procedures and paperflow and the production of a software package which would conform to those practices. Staff began training clerk personnel in 1982, and in 1983, clerks in several Appellate Court districts started to enter assigned cases onto the operational system as part of their training and practice exercises. The progress of this system through the Appellate Court is recounted in greater detail in the *1983 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois*, at pages 65-66.

The First and Fourth Districts now have an automated docket record for each 1982 case, and all districts have automated dockets for all cases filed after January 1, 1983. All districts maintained both manual and automated systems until such time as the judges and clerks were satisfied that the automated system functioned properly and that the people operating the system were comfortable with it. Following this test period, manual records gradually are retired until all case activities are posted solely on the automated system. The Fourth District discontinued creating new manual dockets beginning with the first Notice of Appeal filed in 1983. The Fifth District stopped creating new manual docket sheets in September, 1983. In January, 1984, the Third District stopped initiating new manual docket sheets and the First District followed suit in July, 1984. Only the Fifth District, however, reached (in January, 1984) the critical stage wherein the records for all pending cases are maintained solely on the automated system.

Since 1982, Appellate Court staff have been submitting suggestions for system change. Some suggestions have been withdrawn and some proposals have been implemented. Recommendations requiring significant system change were deferred until such time as all districts had retired their manual dockets and operated in a completely automated environment. In preparation for the planned system revision, representatives from each district met together in June, 1983, and November, 1984, to jointly review progress and proposed system developments. These meetings are the first step in the iterative process necessary to identify uniform changes which will meet the combined needs of all five districts.

#### Supreme Court Information System

The implementation of the Supreme Court Information System began in September, 1983, with the installation of standalone word processing equipment in the offices of each Supreme Court Justice, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. Personnel in each office received individual training in the operation of the word processor. After the personnel become comfortable with word processor. After the personnel become expanded to include dial-up capabilities linking each location together with point-to-point electronic mail. By the end of 1983, opinions and memoranda created and revised with the aid of word processing were being transferred electronically from one office to another.

Simultaneously with installing the word processing/electronic mail equipment, staff began the detailed documentation and analysis of the activities performed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Based on the information gathered, an automated docket system for the Supreme Court was designed during the summer of 1984. Training and initial data entry began in August and, commencing in December, 1984, records of all new petitions for leave to appeal were maintained solely on an automated docket. The word processing equipment previously installed will function as terminal devices for dial-up docket inquiry.

#### **Circuit Information System Project**

Over the last twenty years, partially through the use of grant funds, more than twenty counties established various automated data processing systems or applications to support court operations. Predictably, each of these systems developed along a separate path, using different consultants, equipment and programs. In view of these developments, the Supreme Court, on March 28, 1978, adopted the Judicial Management Information System Standards. The standards provide that any circuit plans for initiating or significantly modifying a judicial management information system must be approved by the Administrative Office. The expectations of the Administrative Office in implementation of these Standards are reflected in the Circuit Court Coding and Procedures Manuals and the disposition reporting project.

The Circuit Court Coding Manual is to provide codes, definitions and formats necessary to data administration. The criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual was completed in 1980 and the civil segment (excluding juvenile) was finished in 1981. To ensure that the Coding Manual will be comprehensive, realistic and valid, each item contained in it has been reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee. Modifications have been and will continue to be added as changes occur in statute, rule, or practice — or in instances where alterations would enhance the entire system.

The Circuit Court Procedures Manual is being developed by Administrative Office staff in cooperation with selected counties and circuits throughout the State of Illinois. In 1981, staff began visiting cooperating counties in order to learn about existing procedures and practices. Procedures and forms were evaluated for effectiveness and footnoted with relevant statutory and Supreme Court Rule references. Documentation linking each procedure and form with the data elements contained in the Coding Manual was developed, as was a glossary of terms. Drafts of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual have been reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee and a combined Procedures/Coding Manual for criminal case processing was made available for Statewide review in April, 1983. In September, 1983, staff began to work on the civil segment, a project which will take approximately two years to complete.

The adoption of the criminal and guasi-criminal segment of the Procedures/Coding Manual forms the basis for the reporting of case dispositions by Circuit Clerks to the Department of Law Enforcement, the Secretary of State and the Department of Corrections using the information system capabilities of the Administrative Office. During 1983, the Secretary of State and the Director of the Department of Law Enforcement signed agreements with the Administrative Office indicating their willingness to establish automated disposition reporting. In October, 1983, the Nineteenth Circuit produced the first test tape for processing by the Administrative Office for transmission to the State Police. Problems were identified and solved, allowing the electronic transfer of information from the Nineteenth Circuit through the Administrative Office to the State Police to go into production during February, 1984. In August, 1984, test tapes from St. Clair County were processed by the Administrative Office and transmitted to the Secretary of State. By November, 1984, automated disposition reporting to the Secretary of State also became a production system. Staff members have been working closely with each of the automated circuits so that, once the formats and procedures have been established, implementation can proceed with other jurisdictions and agencies.

#### Judicial Management Advisory Committee

Established with the adoption of the Judicial Management Information System Standards in 1978, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee consists of a membership determined through appointments made by the chief judge of each judicial circuit. Among the members are judges, court administrators, Circuit Court clerks, administrative assistants, directors of court services, data processing managers and State's Attorneys. The committee met in Macon, Cook, Rock Island and Madison Counties during the 1984 calendar year. At these meetings, the committee concentrated on a detailed review and supervision of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual project and the development of a Circuit Clerk Training Program, described elsewhere in this report. In addition, the committee continued to explore some of the technological, financial, educational, interface and auditing questions which will be involved in the development of a Statewide judicial management information system. Topics explored included State probation management, pretrial release, postconviction programs, child support, public aid, juvenile proceedings, application transfer, law firm automation, and circuit implementation. In this process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee involved State agencies and local officials which use court information or support court operation.

# Development and Maintenance of Uniform Recordkeeping Procedures

Using standard forms and methods prescribed by the Director of the Administrative Office pursuant to the provisions of the Supreme Court's General Administrative Order on Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts, the clerks of the trial courts in seventy-eight counties have implemented the uniform procedures for maintaining, either manually or automated, the case files and records of their respective courts. The remaining twenty-four counties in the State fall into two categories: Several more populous counties which have not yet been ordered to implement the Recordkeeping Order have established in varied degrees some automated data processing incorporating therein some of the provisions, standards and procedures prescribed in the Supreme Court's Administrative Order on Recordkeeping. Those counties are: Champaign, Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, Madison, McHenry, Peoria, Rock Island, St. Clair, Sangamon, Vermilion, Whiteside, Will and Winnebago. The remaining nine counties which have not yet been ordered to implement the Recordkeeping System are: DeWitt, Douglas, Henry, Kankakee, Marshall, Mercer, Moultrie, Piatt, and Tazewell. Some of these counties have already adopted certain procedures and forms prescribed by the Manual on Recordkeeping on their own initiative. Douglas County is preparing to fully implement the uniform procedures prescribed by the Court's Administrative Order beginning January 1, 1985.



Uniform Recordkeeping in the Circuit Courts

#### Age of Pending Cases Reports

Since June 30, 1979, the Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office, has required chief circuit judges, individual trial judges and circuit clerks to submit the following semi-annual reports on pending cases:

*Chief Judges* — Summary age of pending cases report for each county, which includes (1) number of untried felony cases pending; (2) number of untried felony cases more than 180 days old (over 5 years old in Cook County); (3) steps taken or to be taken to insure the prompt disposition of such cases; (4) number of cases dismissed under the "speedy trial statute," III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 103-5; (5) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending; (6) number of untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) more than 2 years old (over 7 years old in Cook County); (7) a report on any category of cases in which there is unusual delay noted; and (8) number of complaints from attorneys or citizens concerning delay in processing cases.

*Trial Judges* — (1) Individual reports on untried felony cases pending over 180 days (over 5 years old in Cook County); and (2) Individual reports on untried law jury cases (over \$15,000) pending over 2 years (over 7 years old in Cook County).

Clerks — Composite age of pending cases report for all cases by category, except traffic, ordinance and conservation violations.

#### Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports

Section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (III. Rev. Stat., ch 38, par. 108A-11) requires the Administrative Office to collect information about orders entered under Illinois' eavesdropping statute (III. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq.) and report that information to the General Assembly. Within 30 days of the expiration of an eavesdropping order, or within 30 days of the denial of an application for an order, the issuing or denying judge must report the following information to the Administrative Office: (1) whether any order, extension or subsequent approval of an emergency use of an eavesdropping device was requested; (2) the action taken on the request; (3) the period authorized in any order entered; (4) the felony specified in the order or application; (5) the identity of the requesting law enforcement agency and approving State's Attorney; and (6) the facilities where the eavesdropping device was to be used.

In January of each year, the State's Attorney of each Illinois county must make a composite report on all eavesdropping orders entered in the circuit court of that county. That report must include (1) the information transmitted by judges in their reports; (2) the number of conversations and individuals overheard; (3) the cost and manpower required by use of an authorized eavesdropping device; (4) the number of arrests and trials resulting from those uses; (5) the number of motions to suppress the fruits of overheard conversations and the disposition of those motions; and (6) the number of convictions resulting from those uses. The Administrative Office compiles this information and transmits a report on it to the General Assembly each April.

In 1984, State's Attorneys and judges filed with the Administrative Office notices of 270 orders authorizing eavesdropping. Of these orders, 236 were original and 34 were extensions or modifications. As a result of this activity 186 persons were arrested, of which number 43 individuals were convicted of an offense in 1984, with many cases still pending.

Authorized eavesdropping devices are typically used in conjunction with controlled substances offenses, although a wide variety of other felonies were investigated through eavesdropping this year. The most common devices employed were telephone wiretaps and body microphones on undercover agents. Residences, business premises and law enforcement offices were frequent locations of eavesdropping activity.

### Administration of Supreme Court Rule 39 – Appointment of Associate Judges

The number of associate judges in each circuit is determined both by population (one associate judge for every 35,000 inhabitants or fraction thereof) and by need. Chief judges in circuits where litigation is particularly heavy may file with the Director of the Administrative Office a statement supporting the circuit's need for additional associate judges. The Director then makes a recommendation to the Supreme Court which may allocate additional "permissive" associate judgeships to the circuit.

Once an opening exists in the office of associate judge, the circuit judges must fill the vacancy by election. The elective procedure is contained in Supreme Court Rule 39. This process is somewhat different in circuits with a population over 500,000 and in less populous circuits, but the basic selection mechanism is the same. The chief judge certifies to the Director the names of the candidates. The Director places those names on ballots which he distributes to the circuit judges. He then tabulates the results and certifies them to the chief judge, maintaining the secrecy of the ballots.

In 1984, the Director certified that the following attorneys were appointed as associate judges:

Circuit	Associate Judge
1st	C. David Nelson
4th	David W. Slater
5th	Joseph Cleve Moore, II
5th	Joseph P. Skowronski, Jr
7th	Philip E. Schickendanz
16th	Michael J. Colwell
16th	Gene L. Nottolini
18th	Michael R. Galasso
19th	Ward S. Arnold

Cook

Robert P. Bastone Edward R. Burr Brent F. Carlson Lawrence W. Carroll Benjamin DiGiacomo Loretta C. Douglas Paul T. Foxgrover Patrick S. Grossi Calvin H. Hall Ronald A. Himel Thomas E. Hoffman Arthur L. Janura, Jr. Richard S. Kelly John K. Madden John J. Mannion Wendell P. Marbly Julia M. Nowicki Ralph Revna Edwin J. Richardson D. Adolphus Rivers Joseph H. Romano Richard A. Salzman Leo F. Wrenn

## Administration of Supreme Court Rule 215(d) -Impartial Medical Experts

The Administrative Director is charged with the responsibility of administering Supreme Court Rule 215(d), which allows a court to order an impartial medical examination of a party whose mental or physical condition is in issue. The examination must be made by a member or members of a panel of physicians chosen for their special qualifications by the Illinois State Medical Society. The examiner submits a report for the use of the court and the parties, and if the examiner's testimony is required at trial, it will be given without cost to the parties.

The charts which follow provide a profile of the use of Rule 215(d), both cumulative since its inception and for 1984 only. The 1984 statistical summary is divided into the categories of "orders," "examinations" and "costs," which refer to those entered, performed or charged in that year. No orders were entered and no examinations were performed outside Cook County in 1984.

## IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS — SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d) 1984 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

		ORDER	RS		Totals
Orders Entered During 1984	Downstate 0		Cook County 9		9
ACTION	Law-Injury 2			Divorce Child Custody 7	9
Specialties Required	Orthopedics 2			Psychiatry 7	9
Frequency of Use of Rule 215(d) By Judges	5 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 1 Case			2 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 2 Cases	7 Judges Ordered 215(d Exams in a Total of 9 Cases
Disposition of Orders Entered During 1984	All Examinations in the Case Cancelled		inations Vacated	All Examinations Ordered In the Case were Performed 9	9
	EXAMINATIONS				
IME Examinations Scheduled in 1984	Vacated by Order 0	Other F	Cancelled for Reasons D	Examinations Actually Performed (Downstate 0) (Cook County 18)	18
Specialties Required Exams Actually Performed	Orthopedics 2			Psychiatry 16	18
Number of Exams Performed by Individual IME — Frequency of Use Of Panelists	4 I.M. Experts Performed 1 Exam		erts ormed	2 I.M. Experts Performed 4 Exams	9 I.M. Experts Performed a Total of 18 Exams
	COST				
Average Cost Per 1984 Case	Downstate 0			Cook County \$487.67	\$487.67
Average Cost Per 1984 Exam	Downstate 0			Cook County \$243.84	\$243.84
Total Cost Per 1984 Cases	Downstate 0			Cook County \$4,389.00	\$4,389.00

### **CUMULATIVE STATISTICAL SUMMARY**

## January 1970 – December 1984

#### TOTAL ORDERS ENTERED - 713 TOTAL EXAMINATIONS PERFORMED - 1279

Orders Entered By Jurisdiction		nstate 95	Attorney I	Registration 4	Judges Retire	ement System 2	Cook County 612	
Orders Entered By Nature Of Action	Mental Health 4	Probate 3	Juvenile 2	Adoption 4	Criminal 29	Divorce Child Custody 476	Paternity 1	Civil Personal Injury 194
Results of Scheduled Examinations		d Before Trial		xaminations		ns Performed 179	Testimony Required at Trial	
Specialties	Rheumatology 1	Hematology 1	Obstetrics 2	Cardiology 4	General Practice 8	Plastic Surgery 1	Pediatrics 3	Geriatrics 1
Required Examinations Performed	Urology 3	Ophthalmology 10	Otolaryngology 7	Internal Medicine 30	Neurology 55	Orthopedics 80	Allergies 1	Psychiatry 1071
	Radiology 1		Av	erage Cost Per Exam Pe	erformed Including And \$131.11	cillary Cost and Testim	ony	

## Administration of Supreme Court Rule 711 -**Representation by Supervised** Senior Law Students

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 711 provides for the temporary licensing of law students to appear in court, prepare pleadings or briefs, counsel with clients, negotiate in the settlement of claims and prepare and draft legal instruments. These services may be performed only for qualified agencies such as legal assistance programs, public defender offices and governmental offices, and the student must be supervised by a member of the Illinois bar. To be eligible for licensing, a student must be certified by his or her dean as having received credit for at least two-thirds of the hourly credits required for graduation and as being in good academic standing and eligible under the school's criteria to undertake the activities authorized by the rule.

In 1984, 551 licenses were issued. Since the adoption of Rule 711 in May, 1969, a total of 7,446 senior law students have participated in this legal internship program. The comparative chart below indicates the use of Rule 711 in the last five years.

Year	Law Students
1984	551
1983	551
1982	479
1981	477
1980	495

The number of temporarily licensed students, by school, for 1984 is as follows:

Antioch	1
Creighton University	2
De Paul University	79
Emory University	2
IIT Chicago-Kent	84
Indiana University	1
John Marshall	107
Loyola University	81
Marquette University	3
Northern Illinois University	26
Northwestern University	28
Notre Dame University	1
Southern Illinois University	48
St. Louis University	8
State University of New York — Buffalo	2
Suffolk University	1
Syracuse University	1
Temple University	1
University of California	1
University of California – Berkeley	1
University of Chicago	31
University of Cincinnati	1
University of Chichmati	30
University of Immois	2
University of 10wd	2

University of Missouri	2
University of Tulsa	
University of Wisconsin	
Washington University	
William Mitchell	
	551

The agencies employing temporarily licensed law students in 1984 and the number of students employed by each agency are listed below. The total number of students is greater than 551 because 2 students worked for more than one organization.

#### Attorney Registration and

Attomey Registration and	
Disciplinary Commission	3
Austin Christian Law Center	1
Cabrini-Green Legal Aid Clinic	1
Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District	1
Chicago Transit Authority	1
Chicago Volunteer Legal Services Foundation	3
City of Carbondale	2
City of Champaign	4
City of Chicago	22
City of DeKalb.	1
City of Des Plaines	1
City of Evanston	4
City of Hickory Hills	1
City of Rockford	2
City of Urbana	3
Cook County Board of Appeals	1
De Paul University Legal Clinic	29
IIT Chicago-Kent Legal Clinic	44
Illinois Attorney General	62
Illinois Department of Registration and Education	1
Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission	2
	2
Illinois Secretary of State	6
Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance Foundation	
Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago	19
Loyola University	1
Loyola University Legal Clinic	31
Mandel Legal Aid Clinic	31
Northern Illinois University Legal Clinic	1
Northwestern University Legal Clinic	22
Office of the Public Defender	31
Office of the Public Guardian	1
Office of the State's Attorney	176
Prairie State Legal Services	2
Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees	1
Southern Illinois University Legal Clinic	17
State Appellate Defender	3
State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission	1
United States Attorney	15
Uptown Peoples Law Center	2
Village of Algonquin	1
Village of Lake in the Hills	1
Village of Lakemoor	1

Village of Lakewood				÷						¥	•				,	*	1
Village of Sunnyside		i.		•	ŧ.		1	÷	*	3	X	÷					1
																	556

## Administration of Official Court Reporters

As required by statute, the Administrative Office several times each year administers an Official Court Reporters' Proficiency Examination to determine the qualifications of applicants for the position of official court reporter. To date 1,986 persons have attempted to qualify for appointment as official court reporters or for advancement to a higher pay level within the official court reporter ranks.

The Official Court Reporter Proficiency Examination has two parts, A and B. Part A requires the greatest proficiency while Part B is less demanding. Each examination consists of two voice question and answer (Q & A) sections and a legal opinion section. Each test is dictated by professional readers.

Candidates who pass any part of the Proficiency Examination may, if a vacancy exists, be appointed to the post of official court reporter by any chief judge of any circuit court outside of Cook County. In order to be eligible to be hired as an official court reporter in Cook County, a court reporter must have passed Part A of the examination.

By statute, the Supreme Court determines the number of official court reporters that each circuit may appoint. The Court may increase or decrease the number of court reporters in any circuit after considering various factors provided for in the statute (III. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 653). As of December 31, 1984 there were 621 official court reporters in Illinois, 21 of whom were part-time. During 1984 four official court reporter proficiency examinations were offered, one in Chicago, two in Normal, and one at Triton Community College in River Grove. Of 187 applicants, 155 actually sat for the test, 32 failed to appear, and 51 passed Part A.

Each year the Administrative Office organizes and presents an Official Court Reporter Development Seminar to which all official court reporters are invited. The 1984 seminar was held on Friday-Saturday, June 22-23, 1984 at the Conrad Hilton Hotel in Chicago. On Friday afternoon, Administrative Director Roy O. Gulley answered questions from the reporters. This session was followed by four small group presentations from various institutions and individuals:

"Fingerprint Identification" — MacCormac Junior College
"Using Research Tools and Reference Works" — Chicago College of Commerce
"Legal Terminology" — Triton Community College
"Gregg Workshop" — Ms. Sally Cochran, CSR, RPR On Saturday morning, Professor Ann Z. Adams of MacCormac Junior College gave an English workshop. Then, reporters Barbara Yeaton, Thomas McEnery and Philip M. Ray discussed preparation of the record on appeal. In the afternoon, William Oliver, supervisor of official court reporters for Wayne County, Michigan, spoke on "New Horizons in Stenotyping," and a panel of professional reporters considered several topics, including computer-aided transcription, ethics, and professionalism in reporting.

### Secretariat

The Administrative Office serves as secretary to many judicial organizations and committees. In addition to arranging meetings, recording minutes and keeping records, the office acts as a fact finding body, does research, conducts surveys and apprises judges of recent developments in procedural and substantive law. Among the bodies served by the Administrative Office in a secretariat capacity are: (1) the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference and its constituent committees, including the standing Subcommittee on Judicial Education, the New Judge Seminar Planning Committee, the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee and various study and seminar committees; (2) the Conference of Chief Judges; (3) the Courts Commission; (4) the Supreme Court Rules Committee; (5) the Judicial Management Advisory Committee; and (6) other study and advisory committees which may be appointed by the Supreme Court from time to time. The activities of these organizations are explained in greater detail in other sections of this report.

## Organization of Trial Court Administration Conference

III. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.4-1 permits the chief judge of each circuit to appoint an administrative secretary to assist him in carrying out his administrative duties in the circuit. This position has been filled in each circuit in the State, except Cook County. Since 1973, the Administrative Office has sponsored an annual Trial Court Administration Conference to assist administrative secretaries to the chief circuit judges and other trial court administrative personnel in developing a more thorough understanding of the judicial system and to provide them with the opportunity to discuss mutual problems.

The 1984 Trial Court Administration Conference was held on October 4-5 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. In attendance were sixteen administrative secretaries to chief circuit judges, eight downstate trial court administrators and sixteen administrative personnel from the Circuit Court of Cook County. The program began on Thursday, October 4, with a discussion by Supreme Court Justice Robert C. Underwood on "The Evolution of the Illinois Judicial System 1946-1984." This was followed by a tour of the court facilities of the Criminal Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County as well as the Cook County Jail. On Friday morning, October 5, Dr. James B. Hansen of Southern Illinois University — Edwardsville provided an introduction to computer literacy. A panel presentation on public awareness and community education in the court system was then given by Hon. W. Charles Witte of the 11th Circuit, Mr. Jeffrey Arnold, Administrative Director of the Circuit Court of Cook County, and Dean Leonard J. Schrager and Professor Vivian Einstein of the John Marshall Law School. In the afternoon, Administrative Director Hon. Roy O. Gulley spoke about the future of trial court administration in Illinois.

#### **Circuit Clerk Training Program**

On November 28 through December 1, 1984, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts sponsored the first state-wide training for the clerks of the circuit court of Illinois at the Collinsville Hilton Inn in Collinsville, Illinois.

The training was held the last week in November so that the persons elected to the office of clerk of the circuit court for the first time in the November 6, 1984, general election could receive the training prior to being sworn into office (Monday, December 3, 1984).

The training consisted of two components: Management Training and Technical Training.

The training began with the Management Training component, which was provided on a consultant basis by Professor Stafford Thomas and Professor David Siebold from the University of Illinois (Urbana). This training was specifically intended for both incumbent and newly elected circuit clerks. It included instruction in personal relations and communications skills.

At an evening session, Chief Justice Howard Ryan discussed the role of the circuit clerk in the judicial system.

The second training component was Technical Training, which was specifically intended for newly elected circuit clerks. However, any incumbent clerks who desired to remain at the training site and participate in the Technical Training were welcome to do so. This portion of the program was presented by the Director of the Administrative Office and staff members, as well as circuit judges and representatives of other State agencies which come into contact with the circuit clerks' offices. The clerks received instruction on the structure of the Illinois judicial system, the responsibilities of the clerk, the clerk's relationship with other individuals and agencies, liabilities of the circuit clerk, common legal terminology and the financial operation of the clerk's office.

Of the 102 circuit clerks in Illinois, 84 attended the training program.

### Distribution of Supreme Court Opinions and Legislative Summaries

Since April of 1975, the Administrative Office has regularly prepared and distributed synopses of select opinions filed by the

Illinois Supreme Court. These summaries are distributed to each of the State's judicial officers within 7 to 10 days of the filing of the opinions by the Court. In 1984, 51 Supreme Court opinions were summarized.

The office also analyzes legislation affecting court personnel, the operation of the court system and substantive and procedural law. Digests of 42 Public Acts were sent to Illinois judges in 1984.

# Publications of the Administrative Office

The Administrative Office publishes and/or distributes several books or pamphlets which are available to the public. These publications can be obtained by contacting the Springfield or Chicago office:

- (1) A Short History of the Illinois Judicial System;
- (2) Manual on Recordkeeping;
- (3) Annual Report of the Administrative Office;
- (4) Annual and Biennial Reports of the Judicial Conference;
- (5) Handbooks for jurors in grand jury proceedings, and in criminal and civil cases;
- (6) A pamphlet on the history of the Supreme Court Building in Springfield;
- (7) Interim Report: Experimental Video-taping of Courtroom Proceedings;
- (8) Rules of Procedure of the Illinois Courts Commission;
- (9) Chief Circuit Judge's Manual on Guidelines for the Administration of Circuit Courts (draft form only);
- (10) Benchbook (Criminal Cases) for Illinois Judges;
- (11) Reading and Reference Materials used at seminars and conferences sponsored by the Judicial Conference;
- (12) Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Video-taping Court Proceedings;
- (13) Administrative Regulations Governing Court Reporters in the Illinois Courts;
- (14) Illinois Courtrooms, Bohn, William G., Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs (1972);
- (15) Benchbook for Use in Juvenile Proceedings;
- (16) Administrative Regulations Governing Minimum Qualifications for Illinois Probation Personnel;
- (17) Administrative Policy Statements Governing Eligibility of Illinois Probation Personnel for State Subsidy and Related Matters;
- (18) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase One Summary Report;
- (19) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase Two Summary Report;
- (20) Report of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1978);
- (21) Judicial Management Information System Standards;
- (22) Supplemental Report of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures (1980).

#### Membership in Organizations

The Director, Deputy Director, and Assistant Directors are members of a number of organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice. Current memberships include:

 Conference of State Court Administrators (The Director has served as Chairman of the Conference's Executive Committee and is currently a member of its National Court Statistics Project Committee.)

- (2) The American Judicature Society (The Director was a member of the Board of Directors until August 1981.)
- (3) Illinois State Bar Association (and various committees and sections.)
- (4) American Bar Association
- (5) Chicago Bar Association
- (6) Chicago Council of Lawyers
- (7) Illinois Defender Project (Board of Commissioners.)
- (8) Council of State Governments
- (9) National Association of Trial Court Administrators
- (10) Institute of Judicial Administration
- (11) Women's Bar Association of Illinois

# **LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS**

During 1984, numerous bills affecting various areas of procedural and substantive law were passed by the General Assembly. Those bills having a direct impact on the operation of the court system and court personnel are summarized below. References are to III. Rev. Stat., ch. \_\_\_\_\_, par. \_\_\_\_\_.

#### **Clerks of Court and Filing Fees**

P.A. 83-1375 amends ch. 25, pars. 27.2, 27.3. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1985. Reschedules Cook County circuit clerk's civil filing fees in pars. 27.2(1)(a)-(d) where damages claimed do not exceed \$250 or are between \$250-\$500, \$500-\$2,500, \$2,500-\$15,000. Adds in par. 27.3 that downstate circuit clerks "shall receive an award of \$3,500 per year from the State" as compensation for additional duties imposed by ch. 38, pars. 1005-9-1, 1005-9-1.2, ch. 70, par. 510, and ch. 951/2, par. 16-104a; legislature to appropriate funds for such awards to Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts which shall distribute them in "annual lump sum payments" to clerks.

P.A. 83-1399 adds new par. 27.3a to ch. 25. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1985. Authorizes downstate county boards to establish a court automation fee of not less than \$1 nor more than \$3 to be charged and collected by circuit clerk, which fee is to be paid by each party in all civil cases, except change of venue and administrative review, or by defendant in any traffic and/or quasi-criminal case, provided that clerk's recordkeeping system which processes the case category for which fee is charged is automated or has been approved for automation by county board; fee to be paid into county's special fund for court automation and board expenditures from such fund to be approved by clerk and chief judge; par. repealed January 1, 1990.

P.A. 83-1438 amends ch. 120, par. 747. EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 16, 1984. Provides that petition to issue tax deed under par. shall be accompanied by filing fee "in an amount equal to the tax deed petition fee required under [ch. 25, par. 27.1]," rather than as heretofore provided (\$30-\$10 fee).

P.A. 83-1539 (Third 1984 Revisory Act) revises various statutes, including ch. 25, par. 27.1(a) (3). EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 4, 1985.

Amends circuit clerk's fee statute, applicable in all counties except Cook, by deleting par. 27.1(a) (3): "All cases seeking dissolution of marriage \$45 of which \$5 shall be paid into the Domestic Violence Shelter and Service Fund." The \$5 additional fee was held unconstitutional in *Crocker v. Finley (1984),* 99 III. 2d 444.

#### **County Law Library**

P.A. 83-1336 and P.A. 83-1345 amend ch. 81, par. 81. Both EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1985. P.A. 83-1336 provides that county law library may be located in, in addition to any county building, a "privately or publicly owned" building at the county seat. P.A. 83-1345 authorizes county law library fee up to \$6 (was \$4).

#### Judge's Compensation

P.A. 83-1177 enacts Compensation Review Act (ch. 63, par. 901 et seq.) and amends various statutes in connection therewith. EFFECTIVE JULY 17, 1984. Creates Compensation Review Board consisting of 12 members appointed by legislative officers. Board shall determine. "upon a vote requiring at least 7 affirmative votes," compensation, based upon established factors, for legislators, constitutional officers, judges, etc. Prior to filing its report, Board to hold public hearings. When first report is filed, legislature may, by January 9, 1985, "disapprove the report \*\*\* in whole, or reduce it in whole proportionately \*\*\* by the adoption of a resolution by a record vote of the majority of the members [of the legislature]," After first report, subsequent reports to be filed before May 1 in each even-numbered year, and legislature "may disapprove the report \*\*\* in whole, or reduce it in whole proportionately, within 30 session days after each house \*\*\* next convenes after the report is filed, by adoption of a resolution by a record vote of the majority of the members elected in each house \*\*\*;" and any increase in judges' salaries set forth in report to take effect as soon as time period for disapproval or reduction has expired. Ch. 53, pars. 3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 amended by setting judges' salaries at present levels "or as set by the Compensation Review Board, whichever is greater."

**1984** 

# **CASE LOADS**

# AND

# **STATISTICAL RECORDS**

# **JUDICIAL OFFICERS**

# **OF THE**

# **STATE OF ILLINOIS**



## JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

#### (December 31, 1984)

#### FIRST DISTRICT

Daniel P. Ward Chicago

William G. Clark\* Chicago Seymour Simon Chicago

#### SECOND DISTRICT

Thomas J. Moran Waukegan

#### THIRD DISTRICT

Howard C. Ryan\*\* Tonica

#### FOURTH DISTRICT

Ben K. Miller Springfield

#### FIFTH DISTRICT

### Joseph H. Goldenhersh Belleville

\*Chief Justice (effective January 1, 1985). \*\*Chief Justice (until January 1, 1985).

# SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS NUMBER OF CASES DECIDED WITH FULL OPINIONS 1964-1984



# SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS PETITIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL 1964-1984





## TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1984

#### CASES ON GENERAL DOCKET

	Type of Case		Pending at Start	Filed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Petitions for	Leave	Civil	178	673****	659*	192	+14
to Appeal		People	179	803	809*	173	-6
Public Intere	st	Civil	6	38	25*	19	+13
(Rule 302(b)	Motions)	People	0	6**	1*	5	+ 5
	(Rule 383	Civil	0	27	27*	0	_
Original	Motions)	People	0	9	8	1	+1
Actions	(Including Rule 20,	Civil	2	21	21*	2	—
	Rule 381 Motions)	People	1	25	25*	1	-
Statute Foun	d Unconstitutional	Civil	15	6	13	8	-7
(Rules 302(a)(1), 603)		People	15	9	16	8	-7
Certificate of	f	Civil	0	0	0	0	-
Importance (	Rule 316)	People	0	0	0	0	-
Workers' Cor	npensation	Civil	87	13	100***	0	- 87
(Rule 302(a)(2		People	-	-	-	-	-
Attorney Dise	ciplino	Civil	_	_	_	-	_
Attomey Disc	cipilite	People	15	11	13	13	-2
Death Penalt	V	Civil	_	-	-	_	_
(Rule 603)		People	51****	12**	16	47	-4
Miscellaneou	15	Civil	0	20	13	7	+ 7
General Doc	ket	People	1	40	27	14	+13
Total		Civil	288	798	858	228	- 60
10(d)		People	262****	915	915	262	_
GRAND TOT	AL		550	1713	1773	490	-60

\*Includes orders granting petitions for leave to appeal, motions for direct appeal, and motions in original action cases.

\*\*Includes five capital post-conviction cases filed as Rule 302(b) Motions in Public Interest.

\*\*\*Cases transferred to the Appellate Court - Industrial Commision Division per order of the Supreme Court.

\*\*\*\*Inventory adjustment made as a result of a physical review of dockets showing what was pending as of January 1, 1984. \*\*\*\*\*Includes one case reinstated after motion to reconsider was allowed.

#### CASES ON MISCELLANEOUS DOCKET & MISCELLANEOUS RECORD\*

	Type of Case	Pending at Start	Filed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Miscellaneous Docket	<ul><li> Pro Se Prisoner Matters, Etc.</li><li> Other Matters</li></ul>	0	78	62	16	+16
Miscellaneous Record	<ul> <li>Attorney Matters Dealing with Changes of Name, Transfers to Inactive Status</li> <li>Supreme Court Rule Amendments</li> <li>Other Matters</li> </ul>	0	253	189	64	+ 64
Grand Total		0	331	251	80	+ 80

\*Cases reported for the first time.

## TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT AFTER ALLOWANCE OF PETITIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL, MOTIONS FOR DIRECT APPEALS, & MOTIONS IN ORIGINAL ACTION CASES DURING 1984

	Type of Case		Pending at Start	Appeals & Motions Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Leave to Ap	noal	Civil	103	109*	150	62	- 41
Allowed	pear	People	85	57	115	27	- 58
Motion in P	ublic Interact	Civil	3	5	0	8	+ 5
Motion in Public Interest Case Allowed (Rule 302(b))		People	0	5	0	5	+5
	(Rule 383	Civil	0	8	8	0	_
Original	Motions)	People	0	1	1	0	-
Actions	(Including Rule 20,	Civil	0	5	3	2	+2
	Rule 381 Motions)	People	1	1	1	1	-
Miscellaneou		Civil	0	0	0	0	-
General Doc		People	0	0	0	0	_
		Civil	106	127	161	72	- 34
Total		People	86	64	117	33	- 53
GRAND TO	GRAND TOTAL			191	278	105	- 87

#### CASES ON GENERAL DOCKET

\*Includes one case reinstated after motion to reconsider was allowed.

## TREND ON ALL CASES FILED & DISPOSED OF IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1984

Type of Case	Pending at Start	Filed	Appeals & Motions Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
Cases on General Docket	742	1713	191	2051	595	-147
Cases on Miscellaneous Docket & Miscellaneous Record*	0	331	-	251	80	+ 80
Total	742	2044	191	2302	675	-67

\*

\*Cases reported for the first time.

## JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1984)

#### FIRST DISTRICT

#### **First Division**

Robert C. Buckley Calvin C. Campbell John M. O-Connor, Jr.\* Thomas A. McGloon

#### Second Division

Michael A. Bilandic Allen Hartman Maurice Perlin John J. Stamos

#### **Third Division**

Helen F. McGillicuddy Daniel J. McNamara Dom J. Rizzi William S. White

#### **Fourth Division**

Mel R. Jiganti\* Glenn T. Johnson David Linn Philip Romiti

#### **Fifth Division**

Francis S. Lorenz James J. Mejda John J. Sullivan R. Eugene Pincham\*

#### SECOND DISTRICT

William V. Hopf George W. Lindberg William R. Nash\* Philip G. Reinhard Paul W. Schnake\* Harry D. Strouse, Jr.\* George W. Unverzagt

#### THIRD DISTRICT

Tobias Barry James D. Heiple Albert Scott\* Allan Stouder William B. Wombacher

#### FOURTH DISTRICT

Frederick S. Green John T. McCullough Richard H. Mills Harold F. Trapp\* Albert G. Webber, III\*

#### FIFTH DISTRICT

Moses W. Harrison, II Charles E. Jones John M. Karns, Jr. George W. Kasserman, Jr.\* Thomas M. Welch

\*Servicing By Assignment.

### INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION DIVISION

District	Member	Alternate
First	Daniel J. McNamara	John J. Sullivan
Second	George W. Lindberg	William R. Nash
Third	Tobias Barry	James D. Heiple
Fourth	Albert G. Webber, III	Richard H. Mills
Fifth	George W. Kasserman, Jr.	Charles E. Jones

# APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS CASES DISPOSED OF BY OPINION OR RULE 23 ORDER 1964-1984



\_\_\_\_ Cases Disposed of by Opinion

\_\_\_ Cases Disposed of by Rule 23 Order

NOTE: Supreme Court Rule 23, as amended, effective July 1, 1975.

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1984

Appellate District	Type Of Case	Pending At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Disposed Of	Disposed Of By Opinion	Disposed Of By Rule 23 Order	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
First	Civil	1309	1611	49	1571	452	408	1398	+ 89
First	Criminal	1554	1509	156	1687	217	1109	1532	-22
Second	Civil	525	768	5	708	147	249	590	+ 65
Second	Criminal	388	454	7	429	116	226	420	+ 32
Third	Civil	329	534	1	535	140	147	329	_
I hird	Criminal	249	293	0	303	62	154	239	-10
Farmth	Civil	241	570	0	488	142	192	323	+ 82
Fourth	Criminal	188	341	0	340	69	211	189	+1
C:644	Civil	376	588	0	529	117	165	435	+ 59
Fifth	Criminal	342	248	0	301	41	206	289	-53
Tatal	Civil	2780	4071	55	3831	998	1161	3075	+ 295
Total	Criminal	2721	2845	163	3060	505	1906	2669	- 52
GRAND TOTAL		5501	6916	218	6891	1503	3067	5744	+ 243

## TREND OF CASES\* IN THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION DIVISION OF THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1984

Appellate District	Pending At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Disposed Of	Disposed Of By Opinion	Disposed Of By Rule 23 Order	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
First	0	43	0	25	18	7	18	+18
Second	0	21	0	11	8	0	10	+10
Third	0	29	0	16	11	4	13	+13
Fourth	0	43	0	28	19	5	15	+15
Fifth	0	13	0	7	5	0	6	+6
Total	0	149	0	87	61	16	62	+62

\*Includes cases transferred from the Supreme Court on February 1, 1984.

## ALL CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE APPELLATE COURT **DURING 1984\*\***

								METHO	d of d	ISPOSITIO	NC						
		Affin	med	Reve	Affirmed in Part and/or Reversed in Part		Reve an Rema	d	Mod	ified	Rema	nded	Dism	issed	Disposed Of Without		
Appellate District	Type of Case	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	Opinion Or Order	TOTALS
First	Civil	244	247	39	35	54	33	100	74	6	4	0	0	9	15	711	1571
First	Criminal	106	896	9	22	45	106	45	55	8	25	0	0	4	5	361	1687
Second	Civil	76	134	7	11	20	37	30	43	0	0	1	1	13	23	312	708
Second	Criminal	54	180	2	6	25	14	30	19	1	1	3	2	1	4	87	429
Third	Civil	81	98	11	8	16	5	27	27	0	0	1	0	4	9	248	535
THII'd	Criminal	30	125	10	1	7	10	14	12	0	1	0	0	1	5	87	303
Fourth	Civil	74	131	16	8	20	24	26	20	0	1	3	3	3	5	154	488
Fourth	Criminal	36	169	3	3	13	25	12	12	0	0	5	2	0	0	60	340
Fifth	Civil	53	101	10	8	21	15	26	30	1	2	5	5	1	4	247	529
FITTN	Criminal	19	179	2	4	4	5	11	11	3	1	2	6	0	0	54	301
Total	Civil	528	711	83	70	131	114	209	194	7	7	10	9	30	56	1672	3831
lotal	Criminal	245	1549	26	36	94	160	112	109	12	28	10	10	6	14	649	3060
GRAND TOTAL		773	2260	109	106	225	274	321	303	19	35	20	19	36	70	2321	6891

\*Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23, as amended, effective July 1, 1975. \*\*Includes cases heard by the Industrial Commission Division.

## ALL CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE 23 DURING 1984\*

								ME	THOD (	DF DISPO	SITION W	ITHOUT OP	INION OR O	RDER						
			Dismissed		Dis	missed on	Court's Ov	vn Motion			Motion <sup>b</sup>									
Appellate District	Type of Case	Motion of Appellant	Motion of Appellee	Stipulation of Parties	For Want of Prose- cution/ No Docu- ments Filed	Failure to Comply With Rules	Lack of Juris- diction/ No Final Appeal- able Order	Failure to Comply With Court's Order	Other	Leave <sup>a</sup> to Appeal Denied	for Leave to File Late Notice of Appeal Denied	Summary Reversal	Reversed and Remanded	Remanded With Direction For Further Proceeding	Summary Reduction or Modifi- cation of Sentence	Bail Order Entered	Confession of Error	Trans- ferred to Proper Court	Other Disposi- tions	Totals
First	Civil	120	91	72	339	0	0	0	30	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	711
11131	Criminal	37	6	0	266	0	0	0	17	0	6	0	0	0	0	15	11	3	0	361
Second	Civil	69	33	28	0	4	3	112	26	19	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	14	312
Second	Criminal	27	3	0	1	1	1	30	10	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	4	87
Thind	Civil	44	18	16	2	128	1	17	7	5	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	248
Third	Criminal	37	1	0	0	32	0	2	3	0	2	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	87
Found	Civil	38	20	12	0	46	8	2	7	10	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	154
Fourth	Criminal	13	5	0	1	30	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	60
5:61	Civil	69	18	25	32	1	23	0	37	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	247
Fifth	Criminal	26	2	1	5	2	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	1	2	54
Tatal	Civil	340	180	153	373	179	35	131	107	121	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	4	35	1672
Total	Criminal	140	17	1	273	65	7	33	33	1	12	0	0	12	0	23	11	8	13	649
GRAND TOTAL		480	197	154	646	244	42	164	140	122	18	0	0	20	0	23	11	12	48	2321

<sup>a</sup>Includes Denial of Permissive Interlocutory.

<sup>b</sup>Includes Denial of Motion to File Record.

\*Includes cases heard by the Industrial Commission Division.

## TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR ALL CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1984\*

				Time Ela	ipsed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
First	Civil	118	620	609	139	36	0	1522**
FIRST	Criminal	33	536	600	269	80	13	1531**
George	Civil	280	310	112	3	3	0	708
Second	Criminal	73	160	128	54	13	1	429
Thind	Civil	251	250	28	6	0	0	535
Third	Criminal	92	172	34	2	3	0	303
French	Civil	167	254	59	8	0	0	488
Fourth	Criminal	67	229	37	5	1	1	340
<b>C</b> :01	Civil	217	189	105	13	2	3	529
Fifth	Criminal	40	82	105	49	25	0	301
T. ( . ]	Civil	1033	1623	913	169	41	3	3782**
Total	Criminal	305	1179	904	379	122	15	2904**
GRAND TOTAL		1338	2802	1817	548	163	18	6686**

\*Includes cases heard by the Industrial Commission Division.

\*\* Does not include the disposition of 49 civil and 156 criminal cases reinstated and disposed of during 1984.

## TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE BRIEFS WERE FILED AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1984\*

				Time Ela	psed			
Appellate District	Type of Case	Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1 ½ - 2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	Totals
First	Civil	515	266	69	10	0	0	860**
First	Criminal	1087	199	27	13	0	0	1326**
<b>C</b> 1	Civil	217	219	1	1	1	0	439
Second	Criminal	251	79	2	0	0	0	332
Thind	Civil	237	46	4	0	0	0	287
Third	Criminal	196	19	1	0	0	0	216
E d	Civil	259	77	6	0	0	0	342
Fourth	Criminal	252	26	4	1	1	0	284
<b>F</b> :64	Civil	257	100	3	0	0	0	360
Fifth	Criminal	167	34	1	0	0	0	202
T	Civil	1485	708	83	11	1	0	2288
Total	Criminal	1953	357	35	14	1	0	2360
GRAND TOTAL		3438	1065	118	25	2	0	4648

\*Includes cases heard by the Industrial Commission Division.

\*\*Includes cases disposed of by opinion and Rule 23 Order only.

## ABSTRACT SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND RULE 23 ORDERS WRITTEN BY JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1984\*

		Type of Opinion											
Appellate District	Majority	Per Curiam	Specially Concurring	Dissenting	Supplemental	Total	Rule 23 Orders						
First	586	0	7	32	9	634	1461						
Second	224	0	2	12	5	243	459						
Third	174	1	19	32	13	239	291						
Fourth	195	0	4	13	0	212	382						
Fifth	155	0	2	15	4	176	357						
Total	1334	1	34	104	31	1504	2950						

\*Includes opinions and Rule 23 orders written by the judges in the Industrial Commission Division. 53 majority opinions, 1 specially concurring opinion, 6 dissenting opinions, and 16 Rule 23 orders were authored by judges in the Industrial Commission Division.



## CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF ILLINOIS (December 31, 1984)

#### COOK COUNTY

#### **Circuit Judges**

Harry G. Comerford, Chief Judge

Wayne R. Andersen Earl Arkiss James M. Bailey Peter Bakakos Ronald J.P. Banks Frank W. Barbaro Vincent Bentivenga Christy S. Berkos Edwin M. Berman Walter B. Bieschke Robert V. Boharic Anthony J. Bosco John M. Breen, Jr. Martin F. Brodkin Clarence Bryant Henry A. Budzinski Jerome T. Burke Philip J. Carey Thomas R. Casey, Jr. Thomas P. Cawley David Cerda Arthur J. Cieslik Michael C. Close Irwin Cohen William Cousins, Jr. Ronald J. Crane John W. Crilly Brian L. Crowe John J. Crown Richard L. Curry Robert E. Cusack Michael F. Czaja Robert J. Dempsey Cornelius F. Dore, Jr. Brian B. Duff Arthur L. Dunne Charles J. Durham Norman N. Eiger (retired recalled) Morton C. Elden (retired recalled) Glynn J. Elliott, Jr. Paul F. Elward Robert D. Ericsson Hyman Feldman (retired recalled) Richard J. Fitzgerald Thomas R. Fitzgerald

Thomas E. Flanagan Lester D. Foreman Allen A. Freeman Charles E. Freeman Herbert R. Friedlund (retired recalled) Marion W. Garnett Lawrence I. Genesen lames A. Geocaris James A. Geroulis (retired recalled) Paul F. Gerrity L. Michael Getty Louis J. Giliberto Kenneth L. Gillis Francis W. Glowacki Myron T. Gomberg Leonard R. Grazian Albert Green Charles J. Grupp Sophia H. Hall Arthur N. Hamilton John F. Hechinger Jacques F. Heilingoetter Thomas A. Hett lames J. Hevda Lawrence P. Hickey George A. Higgins Edward C. Hofert Reginald J. Holzer Mary Heftel Hooton John N. Hourihane Louis J. Hyde Thomas J. Janczy Mel R. Jiganti (assigned to Appellate Court - 1st District) Eddie C. Johnson Michael S. Jordan Richard H. Jorzak Donald E. Joyce Aubrey F. Kaplan Daniel J. Kelley Roger J. Kiley, Jr. Herman Knell Marilyn R. Komosa Walter J. Kowalski Franklin I. Kral

Rosemary Duschene LaPorta Willard J. Lassers Richard F. LeFevour lerome Lerner Leonard L. Levin Daniel I. Lynch Robert G. Mackey Benjamin S. Mackoff Francis J. Mahon Thomas J. Maloney George M. Marovich Edward H. Marsalek John H. McCollom Carl McCormick Lester D. McCurrie John J. McDonnell John A. McElligott Mary Ann G. McMorrow Jill K. McNulty Howard M. Miller Angelo D. Mistretta Anthony S. Montelione Gerald S. Murphy James E. Murphy James C. Murray Benjamin Nelson (retired recalled) Odas Nicholson Irving R. Norman Benjamin Novoselsky Thomas J. O'Brien Donald P. O'Connell Wavne W. Olson Paul A. O'Malley Frank Orlando Romie J. Palmer Lawrence A. Passarella William E. Peterson Frank R. Petrone R. Eugene Pincham (assigned to Appellate Court - 1st District) Albert S. Porter William P. Prendergast James S. Quinlan, Jr. William R. Quinlan Thomas R. Rakowski

Monica D. Reynolds John W. Rogers Allen F. Rosin Daniel J. Ryan Frank V. Salerno Joseph A. Salerno Richard L. Samuels Gerald L. Sbarboro Stephen A. Schiller Joseph Schneider Anthony J. Scotillo David J. Shields Harold A. Siegan Frank M. Siracusa Robert L. Sklodowski Dean J. Sodaro

John J. Ahern Charles A. Alfano Harry B. Aron Charles I. Barish Francis Barth Robert P. Bastone John J. Beatty Samuel S. Berger Michael B. Bolan Lester A. Bonaguro John E. Bowe Everette A. Braden Edward R. Burr Francis P. Butler Robert P. Cahill **Eugene** Campion Brent F. Carlson Lawrence W. Carroll Joseph N. Casciato James J. Chrastka George Z. Chrones Kenneth J. Cohen Mary M. Conrad Peter F. Costa Rosaland M. Crandell Ronald S. Davis Benjamin A. Di Giacomo Barbara J. Disko John J. Divane Gino L. DiVito James G. Donegan Loretta C. Douglas Richard E. Dowdle

Raymond C. Sodini Pasquale A. Sorrentino Harry S. Stark (retired recalled) lack G. Stein Adam N. Stillo Earl E. Stravhorn Frank G. Sulewski Harold W. Sullivan James E. Sullivan Robert I. Sulski Fred G. Suria, Jr. Alfred B. Teton (retired recalled) Lucia T. Thomas Vincent W. Tondryk, Jr. Michael P. Toomin Dean M. Trafelet

Raymond E. Trafelet (retired recalled) lames Traina John P. Tully John V. Virgilio Eugene L. Wachowski (retired recalled) Alfred T. Walsh Thomas M. Walsh lames M. Walton Claude E. Whitaker Daniel I. White Willie Mae Whiting Warren D. Wolfson Joseph M. Wosik James A. Zafiratos George J. Zimmerman Michael F. Zlatnik

#### **Associate Judges**

Robert J. Downey Thomas P. Durkin Ben Edelstein Chauncey Eskridge Edward M. Fiala, Jr. Howard L. Fink William F. Fitzpatrick John M. Flaherty Glenn C. Fowlkes Paul T. Foxgrover Nello P. Gamberdino lerome Garoon Marvin E. Gavin Francis A. Gembala Will E. Gierach Daniel P. Glecier Rene Goier Francis X. Golniewicz Patrick S Grossi John W. Gustafson Calvin H. Hall Joseph W. Handy James L. Harris Ronald A. Himel Earl B. Hoffenberg Thomas E. Hoffman Martin F. Hogan, Jr. Dennis M. Horan Cornelius J. Houtsma, Jr. Michael J. Howlett, Jr. Arthur L. Janura, Jr. Evelyn F. Johnson Benjamin J. Kanter (retired recalled)

Themis N. Karnezis Howard R. Kaufman Richard A. Kavitt John T. Keleher Richard S. Kelly William A. Kelly Thaddeus L. Kowalski Richard A. LaCien John G. Laurie Charles C. Leary Mitchell Leikin Clarence S. Lipnick Charles M. Loverde Joseph M. Macellaio Edward S. Macie John K. Madden Ronald E. Magnes Blanche M. Manning John J. Mannion Wendell P. Marbly lames P. McCourt Martin E. McDonough William J. McGah, Jr. Dwight McKay Michael E. McNulty James J. Meehan Frank W. Meekins Joseph W. Mioduski Matthew J. Moran Alan E. Morrill John E. Morrissey Robert F. Nix Julia M. Nowicki

Daniel J. O'Brien John T. O'Donnell Ronald W. Olson Donald D. Panarese Saul A. Perdomo Arthur C. Perivolidis Bernard A. Polikoff Nicholas T. Pomaro Simon S. Porter Paul P. Preston Francis J. Reilly Ralph Reyna Wayne D. Rhine Edwin J. Richardson Emanuel A. Rissman D. Adolphus Rivers Gerald T. Rohrer

Joseph H. Romano Arthur Rosenblum George W. Rothschild Susan S. Ruffolo John R. Ryan Richard A. Salzman Norman Sands William B. Saracco James M. Schreier Harry A. Schrier Joseph R. Schwaba Anne O'Laughlin-Scott Thomas W. Sherard Philip M. Sheridan John M. Sorrentino Stewart D. Spitzer

Marjan P. Staniec Bruno I. Tassone Mary Jane Wendt-Theis Morris Topol Joseph J. Urso Anton J. Valukas Eugene R. Ward Jack A. Welfeld Gene Wilens Gerald T. Winiecki William S. Wood Robert R. Wooldridge Leo F. Wrenn Thomas J. Wynn Stephen R. Yates Nicholas S. Zagone

## FIRST CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

William A. Lewis, Chief Judge

Snyder Howell Robert H. Howerton Donald Lowery George M. Oros

## Associate Judges

Terry J. Foster C. David Nelson Richard E. Richman William H. South Stephen L. Spomer James R. Williamson

Davis W. Watt, Jr.

### SECOND CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Henry Lewis, Chief Judge

Robert S. Hill Terrence J. Hopkins A. Hanby Jones Robert M. Keenan, Jr. Lehman D. Krause Loren P. Lewis David L. Underwood Robert W. Whitmer

Bruce D. Irish

## Associate Judges

Leo T. Desmond

Laurence L. Arnold Larry O. Baker Philip B. Benefiel Don A. Foster Donald E. Garrison

Roland J. DeMarco

Donnie D. Bigler Bill F. Green Thomas W. Haney Michael J. Henshaw Louis G. Horman

Arlie O. Boswell, Jr.

92

## THIRD CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

A. Andreas Matoesian, Chief Judge

William E. Johnson George J. Moran, Jr. Paul J. O'Neill Philip J. Rarick

## Associate Judges

Thomas E. Hildebrand, Jr. Jonathan Isbell Norman H. Kinder, Jr. Lola P. Maddox Charles V. Romani, Jr. Clayton R. Williams

## FOURTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Ronald A. Niemann, Chief Judge

Dennis M. Huber William D. Kelley Vernon L. Plummer, II Rolland F. Tipsword W. R. Todd Michael R. Weber

### Associate Judges

Richard G. Hodson Mark M. Joy David W. Slater

## FIFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Ralph S. Pearman, Chief Judge

John P. Meyer James Kent Robinson Joseph R. Spitz

#### **Associate Judges**

Richard E. Scott Joseph P. Skowronski William J. Sunderman James R. Watson Paul M. Wright

Ashton C. Waller, Jr.

Dennis L. Berkbigler Joseph L. Fribley Arthur G. Henken

Horace L. Calvo

Charles W. Chapman John L. Delaurenti

Nicholas G. Byron

Edward C. Ferguson

George Filcoff, Jr.

E. Wendell Durr

Don E. Beane, Jr.

Caslon K. Bennett Paul C. Komada Carl A. Lund

Rita M. Garman Joseph Cleve Moore, II

93

William C. Calvin John L. Davis Harold J. Jensen Worthy B. Kranz

Harry E. Clem John R. DeLaMar Scott B. Diamond Paul M. Francis

## SIXTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Rodney A. Scott, Chief Judge

Frank W. Lincoln George S. Miller Donald W. Morthland Jerry L. Patton

### **Associate Judges**

James A. Hendrian Arthur D. Nicol Donald R. Parkinson John P. Shonkwiler Robert J. Steigmann Creed D. Tucker

Arthur F. Powers, Jr. Warren A. Sappington John G. Townsend

## SEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Richard J. Cadagin, Chief Judge

Richard E. Mann Jerry S. Rhodes Thomas G. Roady, Jr. John W. Russell Gordon D. Seator Raymond L. Terrell

#### **Associate Judges**

Thomas G. Russell Philip E. Schickedanz Dennis L. Schwartz leanne E. Scott

Stuart H. Shiffman

### EIGHTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Edward B. Dittmeyer, Chief Judge

Lyle E. Lipe Alfred L. Pezman Fred W. Reither David K. Slocum Robert Louis Welch Howard S. White

#### **Associate Judges**

Arthur R. Strong Virgil W. Timpe es

John C. Wooleyhan

C. Joseph Cavanagh Claude J. Davis Simon L. Friedman Joseph P. Koval

J. David Bone John B. Crain James P. Fox

Cecil J. Burrows Dennis K. Cashman Robert W. Cook Carson D. Klitz

Paul A. Kolodziej

U.S. Collins Stephen G. Evans Scott I. Klukos

Kenneth L. Bath Harry C. Bulkeley William D. Henderson

## NINTH CIRCUIT **Circuit Judges**

Max B. Stewart, Chief Judge

Stephen C. Mathers Francis P. Murphy William L. Randolph

#### **Associate Judges**

Lewis D. Murphy Richard A. Porter Daniel J. Roberts Albert Scott (assigned to Appellate Court - 3rd District)

Richard C. Ripple Charles H. Wilhelm

## **TENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges**

Peter J. Paolucci, Chief Judge

Stephen J. Covey Richard E. Eagleton John A. Gorman

#### **Associate Judges**

Joe Billy McDade Jackson P. Newlin Charles J. Perrin William J. Reardon Robert E. Manning, Ir. Calvin R. Stone Ivan L. Yontz

John D. Sullivan John A. Whitney William H. Young

## **ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges**

Luther H. Dearborn, Chief Judge

Charles E. Glennon James A. Knecht

William M. Roberts Wayne C. Townley, Jr.

#### **Associate Judges**

Ivan D. Johnson Joseph H. Kelley

Robert Leo Thornton W. Charles Witte

James M. Bumgarner Robert J. Cashen Donald C. Courson

C. Brett Bode Charles A. Covey Thomas G. Ebel Arthur H. Gross

Richard M. Baner William T. Caisley Keith E. Campbell

William D. DeCardy Charles H. Frank John P. Freese

## TWELFTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Charles P. Connor, Chief Judge

Wayne P. Dyer Herman S. Haase John F. Michela Michael A. Orenic Angelo F. Pistilli

#### **Associate Judges**

Louis K. FontenotEdward A. McIntireDaniel W. GouldWilliam R. PennEdwin B. GrabiecEdward D. SmithMichael H. LyonsJohn VerklanDwight W. McGrewThomas W. Vinson

## THIRTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Alexander T. Bower, Chief Judge

Louis J. Perona C. Howard Wampler Robert G. Wren Frank X. Yackley

Associate Judges

Fred P. Wagner Richard R. Wilder James J. Wimbiscus John D. Zwanzig

## FOURTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

David DeDoncker, Chief Judge

Wilbur S. Johnson Edward Keefe Gene McWhorter Jeffrey W. O'Connor

#### **Associate Judges**

Dennis A. DePorter Ivan Lovaas William K. O'Connor John Donald O'Shea Conway L. Spanton John M. Telleen

Frederick P. Patton Timothy J. Slavin Ronald C. Taber

William P. Denny Thomas R. Flood

Robert L. Carter Donald E. Norton

Joseph F. Beatty Robert W. Castendyck L. E. Ellison Susan B. Gende

Thomas C. Berglund Michael P. Brinn John B. Cunningham

# Roger A. Benson Vincent J. Cerri John F. Cirricione

Robert R. Buchar

Patrick M. Burns

Robert L. Dannehl

John F. Cirricione Thomas M. Ewert Bruce Falk Thomas P. Faulkner

## FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John W. Rapp, Jr., Chief Judge

Francis X. Mahoney John L. Moore Harold D. Nagel Lawrence A. Smith, Jr.

**Associate Judges** 

Richard E. DeMoss Martin D. Hill Dexter A. Knowlton

## SIXTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

John A. Krause, Chief Judge

Joseph M. McCarthy Rex F. Meilinger John L. Nickels James F. Quetsch

#### **Associate Judges**

Thomas E. Hogan Richard D. Larson James K. Marshall Gene Louis Nottolini Paul W. Schnake (assigned to Appellate Court — 2nd District) Richard Weiler

Michael F. O'Brien John L. Petersen Barry E. Puklin James M. Wilson

## SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Harris H. Agnew, Chief Judge

William R. Nash (assigned to Appellate Court – 2nd District) David F. Smith John E. Sype

### **Associate Judges**

Michael R. Morrison John W. Nielsen Alford R. Penniman K. Craig Peterson Bradner C. Riggs Richard W. Vidal

Wilson D. Burnell Patrick J. Dixon Marvin D. Dunn John A. Leifheit

James E. Bales

Thomas E. Hornsby

Alan W. Cargerman

Eric S. DeMar

F. Lawrence Lenz

James W. Cadwell Michael J. Colwell Melvin E. Dunn William H. Ellsworth

David A. Englund Robert C. Gill John C. Layng

Robert J. French Frederick J. Kapala Paul A. Logli Galyn W. Moehring William E. Black John J. Bowman Edwin L. Douglas

Edmund P. Bart Kevin P. Connelly Robert A. Cox Philip J.R. Equi Michael R. Galasso Charles A. Hayton

William D. Block Henry L. Cowlin Bernard E. Drew, Jr.

Ward S. Arnold Terrence J. Brady Richard C. Christian Conrad F. Floeter John R. Goshgarian Harry D. Hartel, Jr.

Robert Bastien Carl H. Becker Joseph F. Cunningham, Jr. Richard P. Goldenhersh

Robert L. Craig Thomas M. Daley James K. Donovan Jan V. Fiss

## EIGHTEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Carl F. Henninger, Chief Judge

Helen C. Kinney Edward W. Kowal Robert D. McLaren

#### **Associate Judges**

Fredrick Henzi James W. Jerz S. Keith Lewis Richard A. Lucas Lewis V. Morgan, Jr. Robert A. Nolan Anthony M. Peccarelli John S. Teschner

John J. Nelligan Charles E. Ruth S. Bruce Scidmore Charles W. Spencer Duane G. Walter

## NINETEENTH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Jack Hoogasian, Chief Judge

Fred A. Geiger Roland A. Herrmann John L. Hughes

#### **Associate Judges**

William F. Homer Susan F. Hutchinson E. Thomas Lang George W. Pease Haskell M. Pitluck Lawrence D. Inglis Charles F. Scott Michael J. Sullivan

Emilio V. Santi Alvin I. Singer Henry C. Tonigan, III Jane D. Waller Alphonse F. Witt

## TWENTIETH CIRCUIT Circuit Judges

Patrick J. Fleming, Chief Judge

John J. Hoban Dennis J. Jacobsen Stephen M. Kernan

Thomas P. O'Donnell William B. Starnes

Francis E. Maxwell

#### **Associate Judges**

Jerry D. Flynn Richard A. Hudlin, IV Robert J. Saunders Roger M. Scrivner C. Glenn Stevens Milton Wharton

# CIRCUIT COURT OF ILLINOIS CASES FILED, REINSTATED, AND DISPOSED OF 1964-1984



\*Data on cases disposed of prior to 1965 not available.

NOTE: Hang-on (parking) tickets for Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (city of Chicago) are excluded from graph.

## RATIO OF FILINGS PER JUDGE IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1984

	Number of	Population 1980 Census	Land Area	Total Number	Nur	nber of Judge	?S*	Number of
Circuit	Counties	(Official Count)	(Square Miles)	of Cases Filed During 1984	Circuit	Associate	Total	Cases Filed Per Judge
1st	9	212,393	3,242	49,972	14	4	18	2,776
2nd	12	215,509	4,796	42,644	14	3	17	2,508
3rd	2	263,895	1,114	62,590	8	10	18	3,477
4th	9	247,907	5,425	50,820	10	4	14	3,630
5th	5	197,914	2,885	47,648	10	5	15	3,177
6th	6	368,776	3,178	81,623	12	10	22	3,710
7th	6	306,316	3,485	70,900	11	8	19	3,732
8th	8	156,437	3,918	28,854	11	4	15	1,924
9th	6	197,464	3,904	35,245	9	7	16	2,203
10th	5	360,497	2,129	83,305	10	11	21	3,967
11th	5	240,917	3,863	58,643	8	7	15	3,910
12th	3	460,362	2,647	117,533	9	16	25	4,701
13th	3	178,835	2,453	37,764	7	6	13	2,905
14th	4	309,192	2,492	69,038	12	9	21	3,288
15th	5	174,501	3,136	39,471	8	5	13	3,036
16th	3	390,231	1,472	114,722	11	12	23	4,988
17th	2	279,514	803	79,418	7	10	17	4,672
18th	1	658,177	331	185,387	10	16	26	7,130
19th	2	588,096	1,068	191,387	10	16	26	7,361
20th	5	358,338	2,652	81,519	11	10	21	3,882
Downstate Total	101	6,165,271	54,993	1,528,483	202	173	375	4,076
Cook County	1	5,253,190	954	2,280,668**	182	148	330	6,911
State Total	102	11,418,461	55,947	3,809,151**	384	321	705	5,403

\*Count taken on December 31, 1984.

\*\* Does not include Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1984

Circuit	Pending at Start*	Filed	Reinstated	Total Added	Disposed Of	Pending at End*	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-)
1st	7,075	49,972	745	50,717	48,262	7,422	+ 347
2nd	11,712	42,644	176	42,820	41,009	11,948	+ 236
3rd	17,637	62,590	220	62,810	60,889	17,130	- 507
4th	12,710	50,820	161	50,981	47,695	13,445	+ 735
5th	12,142	47,648	621	48,269	49,361	11,969	-173
6th	17,785	81,623	273	81,896	81,945	16,460	-1,325
7th	15,870	70,900	646	71,546	66,923	17,260	+1,390
8th	5,235	28,854	80	28,934	27,345	5,381	+146
9th	6,545	35,245	225	35,470	34,224	7,025	+ 480
10th	19,179	83,305	2,615	85,920	87,846	16,734	- 2,445
11th	8,532	58,643	1,706	60,349	56,654	8,763	+ 231
12th	17,733	117,533	2,918	120,451	117,005	16,595	-1,138
13th	6,342	37,764	398	38,162	36,568	6,676	+ 334
14th	15,637	69,038	1,900	70,938	69,095	14,463	-1,174
15th	6,061	39,471	441	39,912	38,490	6,000	- 61
16th	17,506	114,722	972	115,694	119,494	14,151	- 3,355
17th	18,852	79,418	200	79,618	77,930	17,472	-1,380
18th	18,292	185,387	592	185,979	176,795	15,216	- 3,076
19th	14,786	191,387	1,855	193,242	204,690	14,487	- 299
20th	21,646	81,519	3,856	85,375	85,308	21,145	- 501
Downstate Total	271,277	1,528,483	20,600	1,549,083	1,527,528	259,742	- 11,535
Cook County	467,791	6,116,061**	95,261	6,211,322**	4,246,133**	509,590	+ 41,799
State Total	739,068	7,644,544**	115,861	7,760,405**	5,773,661**	769,332	+ 30,264

\*Includes all case categories with the exception of pending counts for Ordinance Violations,

Conservation Violations, and Traffic Violations.

\*\*Includes Circuit Court of Cook County - District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

NOTE: PENDING AT END FIGURES ADJUSTED BY REASON OF A PHYSICAL INVENTORY IN AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE AMOUNT BY WHICH THE NUMBER REPORTED PENDING AT END DIFFERS FROM THE AMOUNT REPORTED PENDING AT START + OR - INTERVIEWING TRANSACTIONS.

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

County		\$15	,000 Non-	Law \$15,000 or less		hancery	<i>A</i> iscellaneous Remedy	minent Domain	ax	Aunicipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Alexander	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of	21 15 0 +1 16 8	14 6 0 -1 5 8	2 0 3 0 3 4	53 73 0 0 73 82	19 17 0 0 17 11	15 37 2 0 39 37	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 1 5 0 6 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	39 101 0 0 101 88
	Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	29 55% +8	11 82% - 3	1 100% -1	44 39% -9	25 48% +6	17 35% +2	0 0 0	19 100% +1	0 0 0	0 0 0	52 46% +13
Jackson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	111 86 0 +1 87 72 126 38%	44 66 0 66 50 60 28%	15 3 1 0 4 14 5 40%	83 179 0 179 139 123 17%	67 77 0 77 82 62 40%	22 41 0 41 49 14 7%	13 1 0 1 9 5 0	43 15 0 15 19 39 72%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0	160 321 0 321 350 131 18%
Johnson	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	+15 10 7 0 +2 9 11 8	+16 12 21 1 -2 20 16 16	-10 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0	+ 40 16 37 0 0 37 31 22	- 5 15 14 0 0 14 12 17	8 4 20 0 20 20 20 4	- 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	-1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 29 14 70 0 70 65 19
Massac	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	-2 22 10 0 +3 13 18 17	+4 23 11 -3 9 16 16	-3 3 1 0 +3 4 5 2	+6 28 37 1 -3 35 41 22	+ 2 25 33 0 0 33 28 30	0 17 26 0 26 25 18	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 15 4 0 0 4 1 18	+1 2 0 0 2 1 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5% +5 31 145 0 0 145 144 32
Pope	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	-5 4 1 0 +1 2 3 3	44% -7 1 0 0 -1 -1 0 0 0	-1 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	-6 1 2 0 0 2 1 2	+ 5 6 0 6 3 8	+1 0 7 0 0 7 6 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+3 3 5 0 0 5 3 5	+ 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19% +1 7 21 0 0 21 19 9 11%
Pulaski	Inventory (+ or) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	-1 11 11 +1 13 7 17	-1 3 5 0 -1 4 4 3	-1 0 0 +1 1 0 1	+1 9 44 0 -1 43 37 15	+3 7 7 1 0 8 7 8	+1 8 26 2 0 28 30 6	0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	+2 +2 6 2 0 0 2 2 6	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 2 1 1	+ 2 + 2 19 60 0 0 60 57 22
	Jackson           Jackson           Johnson           Massac           Pope	Alexander       Pending at Start         Filed       Reinstated         Reinstated       Transferred         Net Added       Disposed of         Pending at End       Pending More         Than 12 mos       Inventory (+ or -)         Jackson       Pending at Start         Filed       Reinstated         Transferred       Net Added         Disposed of       Pending at Start         Filed       Reinstated         Transferred       Net Added         Disposed of       Pending at Start         Pending at Start       Filed         Reinstated       Transferred         Net Added       Disposed of         Pending at Start       Filed         Reinstated       Transferred         Net Added       Disposed of         Pending at Start       Filed         Reinstated       Transferred         Net Added       Disposed of         Pending at End       Pending More         Than 12 mos       Inventory (+ or -)         Massac       Pending at Start         Filed       Reinstated       Transferred         Net Added       Disposed of       Pending More         Th	County         Pending at Start         21           Alexander         Pending at Start         21           Reinstated         0         15           Reinstated         0         17           Net Added         16         0           Disposed of         8         8           Pending More         1         111           Than 12 mos         55%           Inventory (+ or -)         +8           Jackson         Pending at Start         111           Net Added         87           Disposed of         72           Pending More         71           Than 12 mos         38%           Inventory (+ or -)         +15           Johnson         Pending More           Than 12 mos         38%           Inventory (+ or -)         +15           Johnson         Pending More           Than 12 mos         25%           Inventory (+ or -)         -2           Massac         Pending More           Than 12 mos         25%           Inventory (+ or -)         -2           Massac         Pending More           Than 12 mos         47%           Invento	County         Jury         Jury           Alexander         Pending at Start         21         14           Filed         15         6           Reinstated         0         0           Transferred         +1        1           Net Added         16         5           Disposed of         8         8           Pending More         111         44           Than 12 mos         55%         82%           Inventory (+ or -)         +8         -3           Jackson         Pending More         111         44           Filed         86         66           Reinstated         0         0         0           Transferred         +1         0         0           Net Added         87         66         60           Disposed of         72         50         9           Pending More         7         11         16           Than 12 mos         38%         28%         1           Johnson         Pending at Start         10         12           Filed         71         16         9         20           Disposed of         111	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	County         Pending at Start         21         14         2         533         19           Alexander         Fied         21         14         2         53         19           Reinstated         0         0         3         0         0           Transfered         +1         -1         0         0         0         0           Net Added         16         5         3         73         17           Disposed of         8         8         482         11           Pending at End         29         11         1         44         25           Pending More         73         177         77         8         73         179           Starked         0         0         1         44         15         8.3         67           Fied         86         66         3         179         77         77         8         14         139         82         136         13         132         62         14         139         82         14         139         82         14         139         82         14         139         82         14         139         82	County         Pending at Start         21         Non- Jury         N	County         Pending at Start. Field         21 1 1 21 1 21 1 21 1 21 1 21 1 21 1 2	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	County         Pending at Start.         21 15         Non- Jury         <	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

## **COURTS DURING 1984**

			1									
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
31 70 0 70 70 31 68%	23 42 4 0 46 45 24 50%	42 146 1 -11 136 103 82* 7%	80 192 8 +11 211 173 118 31%	58 110 0 110 121 47 30%	199 49 0 49 98 150 74%	0 0 0 0	2,278 8 0 2,286 2,025 		614 3,267 31 0 3,298 2,971 650 47%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Alexander	1st
0 195 154 0 0 154 233 116 35% -79	+1 38 79 0 0 79 63 54 39% +16	+ 40 207 285 5 0 290 322 175 12% - 32	+ 38 226 519 0 0 519 491 254 22% + 28	-11 152 933 0 -1 932 894 190 3% +38	- 49 263 121 0 0 121 89 295 64% + 32		 9,311 0 0 9,311 8,985  	 242 0 242 215 	+ 36 1,640 14,195 6 0 14,201 13,634 1,649 31% + 9	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Jackson	1st
9 26 0 26 26 9 56% 0	3 21 0 21 21 3 33% 0	35 80 9 - 18 71 80 26 12% - 9	39 115 2 +18 135 159 15 13% -24	$     \begin{array}{r}       16 \\       82 \\       0 \\       0 \\       82 \\       86 \\       12 \\       0 \\       -4 \\     \end{array} $	64 21 0 21 45 40 62% - 24	7 0 7 3 	 2,016 0 2,016 2,054 	47 0 47 39 	240 2,586 12 0 2,598 2,672 192 28% - 48	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Johnson	1st
29 43 2 0 45 49 25 48% - 4	22 31 0 31 29 24 4% + 2	34 65 3 - 29 39 53 20 0 - 14	33 185 4 + 29 218 201 50 8% + 17	75 135 0 135 127 83 69% + 8	167 33 0 33 55 145 79% - 22	- 17 0 17 15 -	2,277 0 0 2,277 2,127 —	 28 0 28 23  	526 3,083 11 0 3,094 2,958 505 48% - 21	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Massac	1st
4 13 0 0 13 12 5 80%	6 4 0 4 6 4 50%	22 60 5 - 35 30 33 19 32%	22 72 0 +35 107 101 28 39%	7 28 0 28 28 28 7 14%	37 10 0 10 7 40 75%	2 0 0 2 1		30 0 30 29	121 612 5 0 617 596 132 48%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Роре	1st
+1 21 33 0 0 33 34 20 60% -1	-2 3 28 0 0 28 21 10 20% +7	-3 19 84 9 -25 68 71 22* 5% +3	+6 25 119 0 +25 144 136 33 0 +8	0 27 168 0 0 168 132 63 29% +36	+ 3 99 24 1 0 25 39 85 75% -14			24 0 0 24 27 	+11 259 3,669 14 0 3,683 3,508 313 39% +54	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pulaski	1st

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000	Law \$ or	15,000		sno			ions		of
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
1st	Saline	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	37 33 10 0 43 36 44	49 36 14 0 50 56 43	6 0 3 0 3 5 4	103 113 0 0 113 113 103	51 49 0 49 33 67	24 47 0 0 47 27 44	1 0 0 0 1 0	46 40 18 0 58 54 50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 0 5 0	72 254 0 0 254 250 76
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	57% +7	40% -6	100% - 2	43% 0	49% +16	45% + 20	0 -1	48% +4	0 0	0 - 5	47% +4
1st	Union	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	26 24 3 +1 28 20 34	7 7 3 -1 9 7 9	5 2 0 +2 4 5 4	27 47 0 -2 45 42 30	37 17 0 0 17 27 27	27 26 0 26 22 31	2 0 0 0 0 2 0	25 10 0 10 2 33	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 415 0 0 415 417 5	29 135 2 0 137 131 35
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	44% +8	22% +2	0 -1	27% +3	52% -10	48% +4	0 - 2	76% +8	0	0 - 2	31% +6
1st	Williamson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	134 56 28 +23 107 92 149	112 113 0 -23 90 86 116	13 1 0 +6 7 8 12	133 141 0 6 135 157 111	90 89 0 89 58 121	36 28 0 28 28 28 36	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	3 6 0 6 7 2	5 2 0 0 2 3 4	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	151 361 0 361 408 104
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	45% +15	52% +4	75% -1	35% - 22	53% +31	75% 0	100% 0	50% -1	75% 	100% 0	19% - 47
1st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	376 243 42 + 33 318 267 427 44% + 51	265 265 19 - 32 252 243 274 42% + 9	49 7 7 + 12 26 45 30 60% - 19	453 673 1 12 662 643 472 29% +19	316 309 1 0 310 261 365 46% + 49	153 258 4 0 262 244 171 45% +18	19 1 0 1 13 7 29% -12	159 84 23 0 107 94 172 68% +13	8 5 0 5 4 9 56% +1	15 417 0 0 417 424 8 25% -7	522 1,468 2 0 1,470 1,512 480 27% - 42
2nd	Crawford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	36 19 0 +4 23 15 44 66% +8	46 48 0 -4 44 24 66 56% +20	$     \begin{array}{r}       10 \\       2 \\       0 \\       +2 \\       4 \\       10 \\       4 \\       75\% \\       -6 \\     \end{array} $	159 100 0 -2 98 143 114 62% -45	151 55 0 0 55 93 113 65% - 38	40 16 0 0 16 13 43 86% +3		18 15 0 0 15 6 27 63% +9		0 2 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	136 206 0 206 164 178 65% + 42
2nd	Edwards	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	5 3 0 0 3 2 6 6 7% +1	27 8 0 0 8 9 26 85% -1	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	68 14 0 0 14 17 65 85% - 3	56 25 0 0 25 13 68 71% +12	9 5 0 0 5 1 13 62% +4	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	5 1 0 1 2 4 75% -1	11 0 0 0 0 11 100% 0		36 63 0 0 63 61 38 50% +2

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

## **COURTS DURING 1984**

Traffic Violations Violations Total	Circui	
-         -         988         Pending at Start            674         3,479         29         6,186          Filed           0         0         0         56          Filed           0         0         0         56          Reinstated           0         0         0         0          Transferred           674         3,479         29         6,242          Net Added           689         3,554         25         6,207	Saline1	1st
−         −         −         36%          Than 12 mos           −         −         −         +121          Inventory (+ or −)		
86         351         -         -         -         789	Union 1	1st
+15 +7 +39 +44 +104 Inventory (+ or -)	_	
162       132       294       454       -       -       -       1,898	amson1	IST
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total1	1st
28       28       103       280       504       -       -       -       1,612      Pending at Start          29       74       182       649       122       204       1,844       39       3,644        Filed         0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0        Filed         0       -15       +15       0       0       0       0       0        Reinstated         29       59       197       649       122       204       1,844       39       3,644        Reinstated         29       59       197       649       122       204       1,844       39       3,644	wford 2n	2nd
-1       +12       +9       +20       -13       -       -       -       +30       Inventory (+ or -)         21       17       66       119       147       -       -       -       609       Pending at Start	lwards 2r	2nd
12       39       152       143       39       17       878       5       1,417		
75%         19%         53%         67%         72%         -         -         -         68%		

## TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

				Over ,000	Law \$15,000 or less Ware et A Remedy Mance A Remedy	it iain		Municipal Corporations	[th	Dissolution of Marriage			
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municij Corp	Mental Health	Dissolu Marr
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or - )	128 83 0 +10 93 90 131 34% +3	51 32 10 -10 32 45 38 42% -13	11 8 0 +3 11 11 11 36% 0	98 102 3 - 3 102 59 141 57% + 43	85 97 0 97 52 130 52% + 45	17 29 0 0 29 13 33 42% +16	0 7 0 7 6 1 0 +1	15 6 0 6 3 18 61% +3	4 2 0 2 3 3 67% -1	2 2 0 2 0 4 50% +2	126 347 2 0 349 312 163 37% + 37
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	25 10 0 10 3 32 75% +7	7 2 0 2 4 5 80% -2	3 0 0 0 0 0 3 100% 0	45 22 0 22 13 54 74% +9	22 10 0 10 8 24 71% +2	2 13 0 13 13 2 50% 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 -1	21 16 0 16 10 27 59% +6		1 0 0 0 1 100% 0	+ 37 37 31 0 0 31 26 42 76% + 5
2nd	Hamilton	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	14 7 0 +2 9 8 15 47% +1	18 19 0 -2 17 8 27 48% +9	4 1 0 +1 2 1 5 60% +1	14 19 0 -1 18 20 12 50% -2	26 27 0 27 22 31 26% +5	8 13 0 13 8 13 54% +5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 11 0 11 0 11 11 0 +11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		15 45 0 45 46 14 7% -1
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	9 8 0 8 4 13 54% +4	8 4 0 4 2 10 70% +2	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	9 6 0 6 7 8 62% -1	17 6 0 0 6 7 16 75% -1	11 14 0 0 14 17 8 62% -3	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	10 4 0 4 5 9 67% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		25 35 0 0 35 48 12 17% -13
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	76 44 0 +7 51 47 80 44% +4	73 59 0 -7 52 54 71 62% -2	21 0 +3 3 16 8 100% -13	190 178 14 - 3 189 167 212 63% + 22	67 62 0 0 62 50 79 56% +12	20 28 0 28 28 20 55% 0	14 4 0 4 10 8 62% -6	43 6 0 6 7 42 93% -1	1 3 0 3 2 2 50% +1	0 4 0 4 2 2 2 0 +2	123 321 0 0 321 355 89 36% - 34
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	21 17 0 +4 21 8 34 41% +13	30 12 0 -4 8 6 32 78% +2	3 1 0 0 1 1 3 100% 0	29 32 0 0 32 11 50 48% +21	71 21 0 0 21 10 82 78% +11	14 35 0 0 35 22 27 37% +13	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	23 10 0 10 13 20 65% -3	0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 +1		58 119 0 0 119 107 70 46% +12

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.

## **COURTS DURING 1984**

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family	
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	1,520 7,754 79 0 7,833 7,495 1,672 48% +152			- 29 0 29 23 - -	425 157 53 0 210 107 528 77% +103	153 565 0 565 575 143 7% -10	$ \begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 422 \\ 0 \\ +9 \\ 431 \\ 485 \\ 76 \\ 17\% \\ -54 \\ \end{array} $	82 150 11 -9 152 152 82 17% 0	44 75 0 0 75 58 61 20% +17	149 184 0 0 184 224 109 32% - 40	
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	655 1,601 8 0 1,609 1,383 760 70% + 105	8 0 0 8 9 		 145 0 145 127 	246 32 0 32 28 250 91% +4	$ \begin{array}{r}     13 \\     76 \\     0 \\     0 \\     76 \\     52 \\     37 \\     30\% \\     +24 \\ \end{array} $	132 180 5 + 7 192 150 174 49% + 42	43 32 2 -7 27 35 35 51% -8	12 13 1 0 14 8 18 44% +6	45 22 0 22 11 56 75% +11	
2nd	Hamilton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	280 1,382 4 0 1,386 1,305 354 38% +74		880 0 880 865 		67 35 0 35 39 63 52% - 4	52 140 0 140 115 77 45% + 25	26 90 0 + 4 94 96 24 17% - 2	$     \begin{array}{r}       19 \\       54 \\       4 \\       -4 \\       54 \\       31 \\       42 \\       26\% \\       +23 \\     \end{array} $	10 4 0 0 4 5 9 67% -1	7 24 0 24 20 11 9% +4	
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	262 479 0 479 451 242 58% - 20	 11 0 0 11 6 	234 0 234 187 	- 9 0 9 13 -	35 16 0 16 9 42 69% +7	43 47 0 47 40 50 54% +7	36 28 0 +10 38 61 13 38% -23	15 27 0 -10 17 18 14 36% -1	29 16 0 16 16 29 66% 0	13 14 0 14 10 17 59% + 4	
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	2,108 7,478 26 0 7,504 6,852 2,136 64% + 28		4,920 0 4,920 4,308 -		539 102 8 0 110 140 509 84% - 30	493 629 0 629 563 559 70% + 66	153 300 0 + 44 263 234 48% + 81	132 269 4 44 229 270 91 9% 41	67 77 0 0 77 77 67 60% 0	96 78 0 78 111 63 68% - 33	
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	1,003 3,163 1 0 3,164 2,943 1,211 66% + 208		2,025 0 2,025 2,012 — —		491 82 5 0 82 40 533 87% + 42	87 270 0 270 216 141 53% + 54	78 277 1 +11 289 273 94 12% +16	23 50 0 -11 39 36 26 12% +3	16 26 0 26 18 24 50% +8	58 61 0 61 46 73 73% +15	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
---------	---------------------------------------	---	--	---	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	--	---
				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	A Le	Miscellaneous Remedy	it Iain		Municipal Corporations	ţţ	ution of riage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolution o Marriage
2nd	Richland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Panding Mago	27 22 0 + 3 25 21 31	60 31 0 - 3 28 30 58	7 0 +3 3 2 8	154 76 0 - 3 73 136 91	59 41 0 41 33 67	21 14 0 0 14 21 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 5 0 5 3 8	10 0 0 0 0 0 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	43 140 0 0 140 132 51
		Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	42% +4	67% -2	62% +1	64% -63	48% +8	64% -7	0 0	37% +2	100% 0	0 0	22% +8
2nd	Wabash	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	10 7 0 7 7 10 50% 0	24 26 1 0 27 31 20 35% -4	0 1 0 +5 6 1 5 60% +5	24 50 1 -5 46 44 26 29% +2	20 31 1 0 32 24 28 44% + 8	27 12 0 12 24 15 53% - 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -8 \end{array} $	4 2 0 2 0 6 6 7% +2		69 96 32 0 128 153 44 51% - 25
2nd	Wayne	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	24 12 0 +4 16 11 29 42%	44 39 4 4 39 58 25 48%	5 1 0 1 0 6 100%	67 84 0 84 74 77 49%	51 47 0 47 38 60 43%	7 19 0 19 19 14 12 40%	1 0 0 0 1 0 0	2 3 0 3 0 5 5 50%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	33 108 0 0 108 103 38 45%
2nd	White	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+5 25 12 0 +1 13 8 30 57% +5	-19 31 11 0 -1 10 14 27 96% -4	+1 5 1 0 0 1 1 5 80% 0	+10 72 49 0 0 49 67 54 57% -18	+9 50 49 0 0 49 29 70 51% +20	+5 10 6 0 0 6 9 7 100% -3	-1 2 10 0 10 1 11 11 9% +9	+3 12 2 0 0 2 1 13 92% +1	0 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 100% 0		+5 28 139 0 0 139 111 56 25% +28
2nd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+ 5 400 244 0 + 35 279 224 455 47% + 55	- 4 419 291 15 - 35 271 285 405 62% - 14	71 15 0 +17 32 44 59 73% -12	- 18 929 732 18 - 17 733 758 904 61% - 25	+20 675 471 1 0 472 379 768 57% +93		21 21 0 0 21 20 22 36% +1	+ 1 165 82 0 0 82 61 186 67% + 21	34 8 0 8 5 37 86% +3	3 8 0 0 8 4 7 43% +4	+ 28 729 1,650 34 0 1,684 1,618 795 45% + 66
3rd	Bond	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	26 11 +5 17 17 26 42%	19 21 0 -5 16 20 15 53%	1 1 2 0 3 2 2 50%	25 30 2 0 32 29 28 61%	15 12 3 0 15 15 15 47%	1 11 2 0 13 12 2 0		42 5 2 0 7 32 17 100%	2 0 0 0 2 0		24 92 0 0 92 82 34 41%
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	42%	-4	+1	+3	4/%	+1	0	- 25	-2	0	41% +10

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
45 57 0 57 57 45 49% 0	27 8 0 0 8 16 19 68% -8	50 115 0 - 28 87 114 23 9% - 27	230 522 0 + 28 550 671 109 17% - 121	$ \begin{array}{r} 167\\ 369\\ 0\\ 0\\ 369\\ 367\\ 169\\ 40\%\\ +2\\ \end{array} $	324 81 0 0 81 69 336 79% +12			21 0 0 21 12 	1,230 4,736 0 4,736 5,004 1,039 55% - 191	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Richland	2nd
60 59 1 0 60 82 38 33% - 22	74 26 0 26 75 25 52% - 49	$     \begin{array}{r}       32 \\       70 \\       0 \\       -21 \\       49 \\       59 \\       22 \\       6\% \\       -10 \\     \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 124 \\ 327 \\ 0 \\ + 21 \\ 348 \\ 354 \\ 118 \\ 34\% \\ - 6 \\ \end{array} $	52 180 0 180 180 52 29% 0	174 46 1 0 47 53 168 77% - 6				704 2,916 37 0 2,953 2,760 579 48% - 125	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Wabash	2nd
29 73 0 73 66 36 66% +7	17 38 0 38 40 15 54% - 2	38 81 0 - 19 62 83 17 13% - 21	80 197 0 + 19 216 253 43 24% - 37	322 486 0 486 425 383 69% + 61	118 58 0 58 63 113 61% -5	45 0 45 37 -	2,584 0 2,584 2,616 — —	21 0 21 13 -	839 3,896 4 0 3,900 3,895 860 58% + 21	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Wayne	2nd
32 100 0 100 91 41 39% +9	33 24 0 24 26 31 45% - 2	44 79 5 -1 83 89 38 40% -6	45 217 4 +1 222 242 25 16% -20	149 471 0 0 471 499 121 9% - 28	349 86 1 0 87 148 288 81% - 61		2,804 0 2,804 2,785 — —	- 12 0 12 13 - -	890 4,178 10 0 4,188 4,232 820 54% -70	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	White	2nd
627 723 1 0 724 755 596 57% - 31	378 348 1 0 349 374 353 52% - 25	523 1,040 26 - 175 891 968 446 17% - 77	1,203 2,894 12 + 175 3,081 3,190 1,094 37% - 109	1,930 4,025 0 4,025 3,783 2,172 58% + 242	3,419 856 68 0 924 901 3,442 80% + 23	1,178 0 0 1,178 1,101 —	27,547 0 27,547 26,105 — —		$ \begin{array}{r} 11,712\\ 42,644\\ 176\\ 0\\ 42,820\\ 41,009\\ 11,948\\ 59\%\\ +236\\ \end{array} $	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	2nd
46 32 0 32 41 37 65% -9	10 20 0 20 18 12 67% + 2	21 45 4 -4 45 50 16 12% -5	77 96 1 +4 101 108 70 61% -7	276 325 49 0 374 295 355 72% + 79	124 64 1 0 65 52 137 59% +13				709 2,437 67 0 2,504 2,442 766 64% +57	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Bond	3rd

			Over 5,000		515,000 less		eous	_		lations		n of e	
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
3rd	Madison	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	1,928 480 15 + 351 846 979 1,795	475 683 10 - 351 342 234 583	393 42 13 + 94 149 251 291	701 545 25 - 94 476 632 545	486 358 7 0 365 483 368	468 377 9 0 386 570 284	62 33 6 0 39 48 53	0 1,379 0 0 1,379 1,379 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 455 0 0 455 449 18	941 1,668 0 1,668 1,530 1,079
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	64% 	45% +108	66% 	42% 	51% 	49% 	70% -9	0 0	0 0	0 + 6	64% +138
3rd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	1,954 491 16 + 356 863 996 1,821 64%	494 704 10 - 356 358 254 598 45%	394 43 15 + 94 152 253 293 66%	726 575 27 - 94 508 661 573 43%	501 370 10 0 380 498 383 51%	469 388 11 0 399 582 286 49%	62 33 6 0 39 48 53 70%	42 1,384 2 0 1,386 1,411 17 100%	2 0 0 0 2 0 0	12 455 0 455 449 18 0	965 1,760 0 1,760 1,612 1,113 63%
		Inventory (+ or -)	-133	+104	- 101	- 153	- 118	- 183	-9	- 25	- 2	+6	+148
4th	Christian	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	42 20 0 20 22 40	35 20 0 20 9 46	0 3 5 0 8 1 7	157 93 0 93 65 185	109 48 0 48 50 107	22 20 0 20 20 20 22	7 1 0 0 1 2 6	8 2 0 2 0 10	5 1 29 0 30 0 35	25 13 5 0 18 5 38	154 215 0 215 183 186
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	60% - 2	67% +11	71% +7	67% +28	69% -2	86% 0	100% -1	90% + 2	100% + 30	79% +13	55% + 32
4th	Clay	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	18 3 0 0 3 5 16 81% -2	21 14 0 14 13 22 68% +1	2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 0 0	61 43 0 43 39 65 72% +4	65 35 0 0 35 17 83 64% +18	9 14 0 14 13 10 60% +1	1 1 0 0 1 0 2 50% +1	18 6 0 6 13 11 55% -7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59 105 0 105 91 73 58% +14
4th	Clinton	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	47 33 0 0 33 32 48 42% +1	31 25 0 0 25 31 25 48% -6	6 2 0 2 5 3 3 33% -3	72 85 0 0 85 46 111 44% + 39	40 31 0 0 31 26 45 67% +5	11 28 0 28 29 10 70% -1	1 2 1 0 3 1 3 67% +2	30 6 2 0 8 19 19 19 79% 	4 0 0 0 0 4 100% 0	0 2 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 131\\ 114\\ 0\\ 0\\ 114\\ 96\\ 149\\ 62\%\\ +18\\ \end{array} $
4th	Effingham	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	40 35 0 0 35 25 50	35 26 0 0 26 29 32	5 2 0 0 2 3 4	73 96 0 0 96 105 64	30 28 0 0 28 30 28	17 38 0 0 38 38 38 17	1 1 0 0 1 2 0	11 1 0 0 1 9 3	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2		92 174 0 0 174 179 87
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	44% +10	47% - 3	75% -1	30% -9	46% -2	29% 0	0 -1	67% -8	100% 0	0	22% -5

				Over ,000		15,000 less	~	dy	Ę		al orations		ion of age
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution o Marriage
4th	Fayette	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	25 13 0 +4 17 19 23	39 31 4 - 4 31 31 39	8 3 0 +2 5 4 9	122 86 3 - 2 87 55 154	107 45 0 0 45 29 123	54 34 0 34 38 50	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	31 12 0 12 15 28	5 3 1 0 4 1 8	3 0 0 0 0 1 2	106 144 0 144 121 129
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	35% -2	59% 0	56% +1	72% +32	68% +16	62% -4	100% 0	82% - 3	75% +3	100% -1	62% +23
4th	Jasper	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	21 4 0 4 10 15 73% - 6	15 16 0 16 6 25 52% +10	1 3 0 +3 6 3 4 50% +3	42 37 0 - 3 34 31 45 64% + 3	57 38 0 0 38 12 83 64% + 26	9 3 0 3 6 6 83% -3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 1 0 1 2 6 89% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 49 0 49 46 52 66% + 3
4th	Marion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	134 68 1 +5 74 90 118 43% -16	73 71 20 -5 86 69 90 46% +17	15 2 + 4 8 15 8 37% - 7	$ \begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 123 \\ 4 \\ -4 \\ 123 \\ 100 \\ 130 \\ 64\% \\ +23 \\ \end{array} $	89 61 0 61 37 113 61% + 24	34 53 0 53 37 50 46% +16	3 3 0 0 3 2 4 50% +1	82 8 0 0 8 14 76 91% -6	4 1 0 1 0 5 80% +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	137 234 2 0 236 205 168 50% + 31
4th	Montgomery	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	53 17 1 0 18 29 42 71% -11	56 39 0 39 35 60 57% +4	0 9 6 0 15 6 9 57% +9	76 70 0 70 91 55 35% - 21	29 28 16 0 44 22 51 67% + 22	21 72 0 72 61 32 36% +11	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	487 23 0 0 23 29 481 98% - 6	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 9 0 9 9 9 0 0	71 177 0 0 177 198 50 10% - 21
4th	Shelby	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	23 32 3 0 35 25 33 21% +10	22 14 0 0 14 19 17 59% -5	1 2 0 0 2 2 2 1 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r}     46 \\     50 \\     0 \\     0 \\     50 \\     30 \\     66 \\     64\% \\     +20 \\   \end{array} $	55 21 0 0 21 11 65 76% +10	69 20 0 20 5 84 81% +15	5 0 0 0 1 4 100% -1	14 4 0 4 8 10 90% -4	5 0 0 0 0 5 100% 0	0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	45 103 0 103 100 48 48% +3
4th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	403 225 5 +9 239 257 385 48% -18	327 256 24 -9 271 242 356 54% +29	38 28 13 +9 50 41 47 51% +9	756 683 7 -9 681 562 875 60% +119	581 335 16 0 351 234 698 66% +117	246 282 0 282 247 281 61% + 35	20 8 1 0 9 9 20 80% 0	688 63 2 0 65 109 644 95% - 44	25 6 30 0 36 2 59 95% + 34	28 26 5 0 31 18 41 78% +13	844 1,315 2 0 1,317 1,219 942 51% + 98

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
62 55 0 55 44 73 71% +11	11 34 0 34 33 12 8% +1	21 66 0 - 20 46 46 21 0 0	79 230 0 +20 250 227 102 46% +23	269 521 0 521 455 335 55% +66	358 100 0 100 134 324 73% - 34	 95 0 95 92  			1,301 4,891 8 0 4,899 4,370 1,433 63% + 132	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Fayette	4th
25 20 0 20 13 32 70% +7	19 29 0 29 31 17 86% - 2	10 33 0 -4 29 31* 13 31% +3	73 158 0 +4 162 172 63 56% -10	$ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 189 \\ 0 \\ 189 \\ 163 \\ 127 \\ 62\% \\ +26 \\ \end{array} $	104 55 0 0 55 35 124 73% + 20	 62 0 62 51  			533 2,594 0 2,594 2,389 612 66% + 79	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Jasper	4th
313 134 0 134 129 318 81% + 5	79 76 0 76 120 35 37% - 44	114 164 1 - 22 143 208 112* 46% - 2	196 389 0 + 22 411 374 233 53% + 37	179 595 0 595 624 150 5% - 29	1,109 167 0 167 121 1,155 88% + 46		8,700 0 8,700 7,581 —		2,668 11,108 30 0 11,138 9,932 2,765 69% + 97	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Marion	4th
41 111 0 0 111 81 71 35% + 30	24 56 0 56 66 14 0 - 10	62 95 0 -11 84 106 40 6% -22	188 251 0 +11 262 254 196 63% +8	47 574 0 574 489 132 12% +85	586 161 0 161 367 380 64% - 206			 47 0 47 34 	1,742 6,991 23 0 7,014 6,978 1,613 64% - 129	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Montgomery	4th
70 43 0 43 26 87 70% +17	41 8 0 8 22 27 81% -14	12 28 3 -11 20 27 5 0 -7	46 166 0 +11 177 174 49 20% +3	129 275 0 275 291 113 72% - 16	386 96 0 96 94 388 78% + 2	9 0 9 6	1,294 0 1,294 1,260 –		969 2,316 6 0 2,322 2,240 1,003 69% + 34	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Shelby	4th
811 685 0 0 685 584 912 66% + 101	264 399 38 0 437 458 243 45% - 21	354 761 12 -123 650 712 386* 27% +32	1,066 2,728 6 + 123 2,857 2,756 1,167 40% + 101	1,805 3,738 0 3,738 3,510 2,033 56% + 288	4,454 1,136 0 1,136 1,234 4,356 777% - 98	 444 0 0 444 392 		758 0 758 706 	12,710 50,820 161 0 50,981 47,695 13,445 64% +735	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	4th

				1									
				Over 5,000		515,000 less	ery -	Miscellaneous Remedy	ent main		Municipal Corporations	alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munic Cor	Mental Health	
5th	Clark	Pending at Start	23	9	2	25	31	9	0	5	0	0	46 104
		Filed Reinstated	9	26 0	1 0	38	23	5 0	0	10	0	0	104
	1	Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Net Added	9	26	1	38	23	5	0	10	0	0	104
]	1	Disposed of	19	16	0	33	19	3	0	5	0	0	90
ļ	1	Pending at End	13	19	3	30	35	11	0	10	0	0	60
		Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	38% - 10	21% +10	67% +1	53% +5	34% +4	82% +2	0 0	0 + 5	0 0	0 0	62% +14
5th	Coles	Pending at Start	139	104	1	200	88	77	7	52	4	12	139
		Filed	89	33	8	117	54	60	0	17	0	0	320
	1	Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	l l	Transferred	0 89	0 33	+1	-1 116	0 54	0 60	0	0	0	0	0320
1		Net Added Disposed of	89	21	5	94	43	34	1	22	0	0	334
		Pending at End Pending More	147	116	5	222	99	103	6	47	4	12	125
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	46% +8	81% +12	0 + 4	77% +22	68% +11	67% +26	100% 	68% - 5	100% 0	100% 0	16% 
5th	Cumberland	Pending at Start	14	17	4	32	34	1	1	4	0	0	40
)		Filed	4	8	6	19	11	1	0	1	0	0	51
1	1	Reinstated Transferred	0	1	0	5	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	Net Added	4	9	6	24	11	2	0	1	0	0	51
1		Disposed of	10	10	9	30	18	1	0	1	0	0	50
		Pending at End Pending More	8	16	1	26	27	2	1	4	0	0	41
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	87% -6	56% -1	0 - 3	50% - 6	78% -7	100% +1	100% 0	100% 0	0	0	73% +1
5th	Edgar	Pending at Start	34	10	3	88	21	20	1	3	0	4	44
	L	Filed Reinstated	18	20	1	40	15 2	8	2	1	0	6	129
	l l	Transferred	+4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	Net Added	22	17	1	40	17	8	2	1	0	6	131
	1	Disposed of	22	12	2	61	15	8	1	0	0	6	119
		Pending at End	34	15	2	67	23	20	2	4	0	4	56
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	50% 0	33% +5	100% -1	81% - 21	61% +2	80% 0	50% +1	75% +1	0 0	100% 0	36% +12
5th	Vermilion	Pending at Start	246	107	16	346	114	91	30	62	0	143	446
1		Filed	143	45	2	676	99	64	18	16	0	42	661
1		Reinstated	2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$	0	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
ļ	l I	Transferred Net Added	+8 153	39	+5	-6	0 99	0 65	0 18	16	0	0 42	661
ļ	1	Disposed of	145	72	11	601	106	88	17	33	0	19	668
		Pending at End	254	74	12	451	107	68	31	45	0	166	439
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	51% +8	55% - 33	67% -4	46% +105	44% -7	79% - 23	77% +1	87% - 17	0 0	85% +23	46% -7
5th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	456	247	26	691	288	198	39	126	4	159	715
1		Filed	263	132	18	890	202	138	20	45	0	48	1,26
1	ſ	Reinstated	2	3	0	41	2	2	0	0	0	0	
1	L	Transferred	+12	-11	+6	-7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.26
1	1	Net Added Disposed of	277 277	124 131	24 27	924 819	204 201	140 134	20 19	45 61	0	48 25	1,26
		Pending at End Pending More	456	240	23	796	201	204	40	110	4	182	72
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	65% 0	64% -7	52% - 3	58% +105	55% +3	74% +6	80% +1	71% 	100% 0	86% +23	43%

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
5th	Clark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	735 6,527 10 0 6,537 6,205 941 52% + 206			 0 0 15 11 	290 101 7 0 108 63 335 74% + 45	143 531 0 531 431 243 45% +100	$ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 276\\ 0\\ +7\\ 283\\ 220\\ 124\\ 26\%\\ +63\\ \end{array} $	19 75 3 -7 71 67 23 0 +4	24 29 0 29 46 7 0 -17	48 27 0 0 27 47 28 50% - 20
5th	Coles	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2,797 13,672 0 13,672 13,716 2,586 60% - 211		9,670 0 9,670 9,670 9,492 —	982 0 982 959 	867 165 0 165 187 845 84% - 22	565 1117 0 0 1,117 1,219 463 27% - 102	300 501 0 + 33 534 738 96 9% - 204	52 168 0 - 33 135 155 65* 20% + 13	56 78 0 78 75 59 66% + 3	134 128 0 0 128 90 172 62% + 38
5th	Cumberland	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	421 1,641 7 0 1,648 1,783 389 66% - 32	3 0 3 3 	1,293 0 1,293 1,390 — —	4 0 0 4 4 	76 46 0 46 37 85 55% + 9	30 85 0 85 87 28 61% -2	91 69 0 +6 75 81 85 74% -6	20 22 0 -6 16 31 11* 18% -9	26 3 0 0 3 11 18 83% -8	31 - 15 0 0 15 10 36 83% + 5
5th	Edgar	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	1,266 3,943 20 0 3,963 3,635 1,384 66% + 118		2,510 0 2,510 2,310 —	20 0 0 20 12 	535 120 9 0 129 133 531 81% - 4	147 510 0 510 454 203 52% + 56	105 330 0 +20 350 320 135 30% +30	52 89 1 -20 70 72 58* 38% +6	71 61 0 61 51 81 65% +10	128 30 5 0 35 14 149 87% + 21
5th	Vermilion	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	6,923 21,865 584 0 22,449 24,022 6,669 64% - 254				1,667 316 0 316 480 1,503 83% - 164	717 2,029 535 0 2,564 2,002 1,279 36% + 562	1,206 792 1 +65 858 1,202 862 76% - 344	268 312 7 65 254 383 216* 37% 52	590 209 0 209 553 246 48% - 344	874 228 1 0 229 187 916 88% + 42
5th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	12,142 47,648 621 0 48,269 49,361 11,969 62% - 173		33,146 0 33,146 33,619 - -	2,618 0 2,618 2,978 — — —	3,435 748 16 0 764 900 3,299 81% -136	1,602 4,272 535 0 4,807 4,193 2,216 37% + 614	1,763 1,968 1 + 131 2,100 2,561 1,302 61% - 461	411 666 11 -131 546 708 373* 31% -38	767 380 0 0 380 736 411 55% - 356	1,215 428 6 0 434 348 1,301 84% + 86

	Yee South		Law \$15		Law \$ or	15,000 less	A	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt Iain		Municipal Corporations	th	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Тах	Munici Corp	Mental Health	Dissolu Mar
6th	Champaign	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	513 228 11 +24 263 313 463 53% -50	260 156 6 - 22 140 197 203 59% - 57	42 4 1 +9 14 31 25 76% -17	452 339 5 -11 333 412 373 53% -79	334 176 1 0 177 328 183 49% - 151	149 160 3 0 163 206 106 38% - 43	23 9 0 9 15 17 82% -6	11 7 0 7 12 6 50% - 5	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 69 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 69 \\ 46 \\ 33 \\ 0 \\ + 23 \end{array} $	642 971 2 0 973 1,112 503 39% -139
6th	DeWitt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	12 21 1 0 22 15 19 18% +7	13 10 2 0 12 16 9 56% -4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 97 3 0 100 99 19 0 +1	16 11 0 0 11 17 10 20% -6	5 13 0 0 13 11 7 14% +2	8 26 0 29 5 0 - 3	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1		0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	22 87 0 0 87 98 11 0 -11
6th	Douglas	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	40 9 0 +1 10 22 28 64% -12	21 19 0 -1 18 15 24 62% +3	9 0 1 +1 2 7 4 75% -5	26 58 2 -1 59 36 49 43% +23	38 20 0 20 20 38 82% 0	9 11 0 0 11 6 14 57% +5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 0 3 5 0 0 -2		0 3 0 3 3 0 0	53 102 2 0 104 86 71 48% +18
6th	Macon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	232 197 0 +23 220 163 289 45% +57	159 134 24 - 23 135 154 140 44% - 19	18 7 0 +3 10 21 7 100% -11	$509 \\ 770 \\ 3 \\ -3 \\ 770 \\ 646 \\ 633 \\ 36\% \\ +124$	355 287 0 287 370 272 35% - 83	65 82 0 0 82 74 73 41% + 8	19 10 0 10 11 18 60% -1	100 73 0 0 73 89 84 88% -16	39 78 0 78 50 67 18% + 28	8 199 3 0 202 185 25 24% +17	460 902 1 0 903 874 489 30% + 29
6th	Moultrie	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	20 16 1 +4 21 12 29 34% +9	6 17 1 -4 14 10 10 40% +4	5 0 0 0 2 3 100% -2	49 28 0 28 63 14 64%	28 11 0 0 11 16 23 61% -5	6 7 0 7 8 5 40%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	44 1 0 1 0 45 98%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	52 35 0 0 35 59 28 46%
6th	Piatt	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+9 22 7 1 +3 11 17 16 56% -6	+4 10 8 0 -3 5 10 5 60% -5	-2 7 1 0 +1 2 7 2 0 -5	- 35 25 17 0 -1 16 25 16 56% -9	-5 19 16 0 16 27 8 0 -11	-1 13 9 0 0 9 17 5 60% -8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 1 4 0 0 4 4 4 4 50% 0			-24 64 120 0 120 142 42 33% -22

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
618 619 2 0 621 711 528 43% - 90	75 255 0 255 256 74 1% -1	208 710 42 0 752 750 210 1% + 2	254 968 23 0 991 1,008 237 0 - 17	4,230 4,558 23 0 4,581 5,672 3,139 48% -1091	1,840 440 22 0 462 382 1,920 79% +80	2,014 2 0 2,016 1,631 	20,906 0 20,906 19,662 	54 0 0 54 54 	9,661 32,644 143 0 32,787 32,798 8,021 52% - 1640	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	Champaign	6th
15 57 0 57 58 14 0 1	2 42 0 42 33 11 0 + 9	$ \begin{array}{r} 10\\ 71\\ 3\\ -18\\ 56\\ 47\\ 19\\ 0\\ +9\end{array} $	42 216 1 +18 235 239 38 0 -4	42 303 2 0 305 283 64 5% + 22	211 114 0 0 114 105 220 56% + 9				417 4,271 12 0 4,283 3,506 446 31% + 29	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	DeWitt	6th
30 47 0 47 39 38 53% + 8	8 11 3 0 14 10 12 50% + 4	33 77 3 -31 49 49 33 6% 0	85 170 0 +31 201 215 71 14% -14	154 258 0 0 258 278 134 49% - 20	237 103 0 103 90 250 64% +13				745 4,297 11 0 4,308 3,918 766 51% + 21	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Douglas	6th
385 366 57 0 423 432 367 46% -9	157 296 0 296 324 129 18% - 28	271 652 9 - 145 516 582 205 2% - 66	420 1,959 0 +145 2,104 2,112 412 24% -8	1,192 2,768 3 0 2,771 2,473 1,490 29% + 298	1,544 469 0 469 346 1,667 75% +123		25,238 0 25,238 26,932 —		5,933 35,727 100 0 35,827 36,957 6,376 44% + 443	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Macon	6th
23 31 1 0 32 41 14 57% -9	4 9 0 9 11 2 0 -2	$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 38 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 39 \\ 33 \\ 16^* \\ 0 \\ +10 \end{array} $	16 121 1 0 122 122 16 25% 0	27 264 0 264 259 32 16% +5	201 59 1 0 60 75 186 74% - 15		$ \begin{array}{r} 102\\ 1,534\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1,534\\ 1,434\\ 202\\ -\\ +100\\ \end{array} $	0 91 0 91 85 6 +6	589 2,263 6 0 2,269 2,231 631 60% + 42	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Moultrie	6th
22 35 0 35 50 7 29% -15	24 13 0 0 13 34 3 0 -21	18 50 0 -18 32 38 15* 7% -3	50 200 0 +18 218 207 61 2% +11	51 187 0 0 187 184 54 28% +3	213 68 0 68 91 190 68% - 23			8 0 0 8 7 	542 2,421 1 0 2,422 2,535 428 44% -114	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Piatt	6th

				Over ,000		15,000 less	icery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Emine Do	Tax	Munio	Mental Health	Disso Ma
6th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	839 478 14 + 55 547 542 844	469 344 33 - 53 324 402 391	81 12 2 +14 28 68 41	1,079 1,309 13 	790 521 1 0 522 778 534	247 282 3 0 285 322 210	50 45 0 0 45 55 40	162 88 0 0 88 111 139	39 79 0 0 79 50 68	18 273 3 0 276 236 58	1,293 2,217 5 0 2,222 2,371 1,144
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	49% +5	53% - 78	78% - 40	42% + 25	44% - 256	40% - 37	63% 10	88% - 23	18% +29	10% + 40	35% 
7th	Greene	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	10 3 0 +7 10 11 9 11%	15 29 8 -7 30 29 16 12%	1 0 0 0 0 1 100%	26 30 0 30 39 17 24%	14 22 0 22 15 21 19%	0 11 0 11 10 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 8 0 8 13 6 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5 0 5 5 0	23 87 2 0 89 78 34 14%
7th	Jersey	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	-1 28 34 0 0 34 22 40	+1 10 24 0 0 24 16 18	0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	9 15 56 0 0 56 39 32	+7 4 22 0 0 22 9 17	+1 2 16 0 0 16 11 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+11 +11 19 131 0 0 131 128 22
		Than 12 mos . Inventory (+ or – )	30% +12	6% +8	0 +1	12% +17	12% +13	0 + 5	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 + 3
7th	Macoupin	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	74 40 0 +2 42 42 74 61% 0	59 37 2 -2 37 35 61 54% +2	4 5 7 0 12 6 10 60% +6	154 109 0 109 90 173 64% + 19	80 69 0 69 53 96 51% +16	9 20 0 20 6 23 43% +14	1 0 0 0 1 0 -1	23 28 0 28 22 29 48% + 6	11 1 0 1 1 12 100% +1	10 1 0 1 2 9 89% -1	136 263 3 0 266 259 143 50%
7th	Morgan	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	50 34 1 +2 37 32 55 40%	13 45 3 - 2 46 33 26 23%	3 0 0 0 3 0 0	28 141 0 141 129 40 2%	19 37 0 37 24 32 25%	8 26 0 26 24 10 20%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	54 14 0 14 50 18 44%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 9 0 9 9 9 0	+7 48 238 1 0 239 224 63 6%
7th	Sangamon	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	+ 5 628 232 2 + 29 263 242 649 69%	+13 499 226 29 -29 226 122 603 67%	-3 34 17 0 0 17 26 25 52%	+ 12 954 1,342 11 0 1,353 1,464 843 45%	+ 13 668 247 1 0 248 163 753 753	+ 2 342 375 0 0 375 274 443 52%	0 14 3 0 0 3 4 13 77%	- 36 128 37 0 0 37 29 136 81%	0 1 2 0 0 2 0 3 3 33%	0 302 0 302 302 0 0	+15 502 1,156 1 0 1,157 1,107 552 38%

		-										
Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,093 1,155 60 0 1,215 1,331 977 44% -116	270 626 3 0 629 668 231 13% - 39	546 1,589 58 - 212 1,444 1,499 498* 2% - 48	867 3,634 25 + 212 3,871 3,903 835 14% - 32	5,696 8,338 28 0 8,366 9,149 4,913 41% - 783	4,246 1,253 23 0 1,276 1,089 4,433 75% +187	3,268 2 0 3,270 2,734  -			17,785 81,623 273 0 81,896 81,945 16,460 48% -1,325	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	6th
31 49 1 50 33 48 40% +17	12 20 0 20 10 22 18% +10	37 43 1 -15 29 48 28* 18% -9	22 68 3 +15 86 80 28 17% +6	60 237 12 0 249 260 49 8% -11	249 96 0 96 66 279 70% + 30			- 21 0 21 11 -	511 1,950 27 0 1,977 1,890 559 44% +48	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Greene	
$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 32 \\ 0 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 9 \\ 22\% \\ -1 \end{array} $	6 26 0 23 9 33% +3	9 67 1 -10 58 29 38 8% +29	40 284 0 +10 294 265 69 0 +29	44 290 0 290 258 76 4% + 32	319 87 0 87 41 365 79% + 46	2 0 0 2 2	2,749 0 2,749 3,037 —		506 3,939 1 0 3,940 4,016 703 46% + 197	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Jersey	7th
149 124 0 124 44 229 52% + 80	211 76 2 0 78 127 162 64% - 49	102 154 43 48 149 194 57 2% 45	211 486 0 + 48 534 494 251 39% + 40	578 1,142 0 0 1,142 1,096 624 54% + 46	704 190 71 0 261 184 781 79% +77		5,064 0 5,064 4,717 	54 0 0 54 44 	2,516 7,982 128 0 8,110 7,520 2,734 60% + 218	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Macoupin	7th
28 137 1 0 138 87 79 18% + 51	8 45 0 44 41 12 0 + 4	17 96 4 - 24 76 69 24 8% + 7	35 336 4 +24 364 370 29 0 -6	126 839 0 0 839 818 147 0 +21	342 184 37 0 221 127 436 63% + 94		3,435 0 3,435 3,170 		779 5,793 51 0 5,844 5,358 971 35% + 192	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Morgan	7th
1,126 840 0 840 434 1,532 68% + 406	145 230 0 230 134 241 49% +96	85 659 170 - 109 720 478 327 7% + 242	231 1,880 140 + 109 2,129 1,812 548 24% + 317	3,143 6,684 85 0 6,769 6,946 2,966 42% - 177	2,796 748 0 0 748 1,036 2,508 77% - 288				11,296 50,268 439 0 50,707 47,244 12,142 56% +846	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Sangamon	7th

		-	Law ( \$15,		Law \$1 or le		ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	:nt main		unicipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Ren	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	
7th	Scott	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	3 5 0 5 1 7	18 10 0 10 19 9	1 0 0 0 1 0	9 14 0 14 15 8	6 0 0 6 9 3	6 4 0 4 10 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 2 0	11 27 0 27 28 10
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	57% +4	56% -9	0 -1	50% -1	0 - 3	0 -6	0 0	100% - 2	0 0	0 -1	30% -1
7th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or - )	793 348 3 +40 391 350 834 64% +41	614 371 42 - 40 373 254 733 62% + 119	43 23 7 0 30 36 37 54% - 6	1,186 1,692 11 0 1,703 1,776 1,113 45% -73	791 403 1 0 404 273 922 68% +131	367 452 0 452 335 484 50% +117	15 3 0 3 5 13 77% - 2	220 87 0 87 116 191 70% - 29	12 3 0 3 1 15 87% +3	11 318 0 318 320 9 89% - 2	739 1,902 7 0 1,909 1,824 824 36% +85
8th	Adams	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	100 68 1 +11 80 65 115 42% +15	32 52 1 -11 42 32 42 26% +10	11 11 0 +5 16 15 12 33% +1	87 181 3 -5 179 158 108 31% +21	30 52 0 52 48 34 26% +4	14 85 2 0 87 88 13 31% -1	5 2 0 2 5 2 50% -3	13 7 0 7 8 12 58% -1		12 42 0 42 49 5 0 -7	162 456 28 0 484 469 177 34% +15
8th	Brown	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	5 5 1 +2 8 9 4 25% -1	$ \begin{array}{c}     4 \\     4 \\     14 \\     0 \\     -2 \\     12 \\     13 \\     3 \\     0 \\     -1 \\ \end{array} $	2 0 +2 2 2 2 2 50% 0	8 52 1 -2 51 35 24 8% +16	13 19 0 0 19 13 19 32% +6	3 8 0 0 8 8 3 3 33% 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	1 1 0 0 1 0 2 50% +1		2 1 0 0 1 0 3 67% +1	6 41 0 0 41 34 13 8% +7
8th	Calhoun	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	10 8 0 +2 10 9 11 18% +1	4 7 0 -2 5 2 7 7 43% +3	0 0 +1 1 0 1 0 +1	5 8 1 -1 8 5 20% 0	10 3 0 3 8 5 40% -5	2 2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0		0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0		5 17 0 0 17 17 5 20% 0
8th	Cass	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	24 20 0 20 18 26	14 21 0 21 22 13	1 1 0 1 1 1	21 35 0 0 35 37 19	17 25 0 0 25 16 26	5 17 0 0 17 12 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 6 0 6 5 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 2 2 2 0	24 60 0 60 68 16
		Than 12 mos	50% + 2	15% -1	100% 0	26% -2	23% +9	30% +5	0	25% +1	0	0 0	25% -8

					5.0						5	
Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations		Probate	Small Claims Probate	Misdemeanors Small Claims Probate	Felony Misdemean Small Claims Probate	Juvenile Felony Misdemean Claims Probate
7th	Scott	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	262 968 0 968 895 151 49%	- 0 1 1 -				32     0       0     0       0     0       32     0       73     0       72     -       62%     -	55         32         0           0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0           55         32         0         0           81         73         0         0           13         72         -           8%         62%         -	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
7th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or –) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	- 111 15,870 70,900 646 0 71,546 66,923 17,260 55% + 1390		- 4 0 4 2 -			4,523         —         —           1,337         656         47,99           108         0         0           0         0         0           1,445         656         47,99           1,527         510         44,95           4,441         —         —           74%         —         —	3,990         4,523             9,247         1,337         656         47,994           97         108         0         0           0         0         0         0           9,344         1,445         656         47,994           9,359         1,527         510         44,955           3,875         4,441             41%         74%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
8th	Adams	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	2,006 14,063 51 0 14,114 13,370 2,118 44% + 112	3 ) ) 3   -		8,402 83 0 0 0,0 0 8,402 83 8,016 61 	1,709         8,402         83           0         0         0           0         0         0           1,709         8,402         83           1,468         8,016         61           -         -         -           -         -         -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
8th	Brown	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	242 1,477 11 0 1,488 1,372 308 43% +66			1,013 26 0 0 1,013 26 965 22 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
8th	Calhoun	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	161 732 3 0 735 724 150 47% - 11		 94 0 94 96  	437         94           0         0           0         0           437         94           413         96           -         -           -         -	6         437         94           0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0           6         437         94         94         96           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
8th	Cass	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	535 2,275 0 2,275 2,251 566 39% + 31		8 13 0 13 19 2 	1,384         13           0         0           1,384         13           1,361         19           148         2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

				Over 5,000		\$15,000 less	- A	Miscellaneous Remedy	it iain		Municipal Corporations	÷	ition of
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscell Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munici	Mental Health	Dissolution o
8th	Mason	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	25 26 0 +1 27 17 35	10 16 1 -1 16 12 14	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	21 40 0 40 39 22	32 35 0 0 35 24 43	7 33 0 0 33 31 9	0 1 0 1 1 0	4 7 0 7 6 5	1 1 0 1 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	18 88 0 88 75 31
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	34% +10	36% +4	100% 0	36% +1	49% +11	44% +2	0 0	20% +1	50% +1	100% 0	10% +13
8th	Menard	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	7 9 2 0 11 9 9 22% + 2	3 7 0 7 7 3 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0	8 12 0 12 13 7 29% -1	13 15 0 0 15 17 11 45% -2	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 17 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 17 \\ 16 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ \end{array} $	1 2 0 2 3 0 0 -1	0 5 0 5 4 1 0 +1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 35 0 35 40 10 - 5
8th	Pike	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	19 17 3 0 20 8 31 58% +12	27 40 0 40 37 30 20% + 3	7 5 0 5 5 7 43% 0	56 61 0 61 82 35 46% - 21	25 24 1 0 25 16 34 41% +9	28 19 0 0 19 17 30 63% +2	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 -1	3 4 0 0 4 4 3 0 0 0	1 7 0 7 6 2 50% +1	10 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 90% 0	37 90 ( 90 88 39 13% +2
8th	Schuyler	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or)	8 8 0 +1 9 7 10 30% +2	4 6 0 -1 5 5 4 0 0	1 0 +3 3 4 0 0 -1	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 23\\ 0\\ -3\\ 20\\ 25\\ 6\\ 0\\ -5\\ \end{array} $	8 7 0 7 2 13 46% +5	4 7 0 7 9 2 0 -2		1 5 0 5 4 2 0 +1	1 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0		1 4 3 1 +
8th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	198 161 7 +17 185 142 241 41%	98 163 2 -17 148 130 116 23%	24 17 0 +11 28 27 25 44%	217 412 5 -11 406 397 226 30%	148 180 1 0 181 144 185 37%	64 188 2 0 190 183 71 44%	9 5 0 5 10 4 75%	25 35 0 0 35 31 29 34%	3 9 0 9 7 5 60%	25 46 0 46 52 19 68%	27 82 2 85 83 30 25%
9th	Fulton	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	+ 43 56 44 0 0 44 64 36	+ 18 18 27 14 0 41 46 13	+1 0 3 0 0 3 1 2	+9 29 84 2 0 86 92 23	+ 37 43 64 2 0 66 69 40	+7 11 28 0 0 28 30 9	-5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 4 16 66 2 0 68 56 28	+2 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0	6 0 9 0 0 9 8 1	+ 2 5 24 24 24 24
	1	Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	33% - 20	31% -5	50% + 2	4% -6	22% - 3	11% -2	0 0	68% +12	0 +1	0 +1	8

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
35 64 0 64 44 55 29%	22 41 0 0 41 36 27 37%	23 68 0 -13 55 43 40* 20%	80 248 1 +13 262 254 88 22%	44 200 1 0 201 201 44 19%	291 86 1 0 87 132 246 68%	20 0 0 20 16 		28 0 28 39 	615 4,173 4 0 4,177 3,788 663 43%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Mason	8th
+20 14 18 0 0 18 24 8 50% -6	+5 6 7 0 0 7 8 5 5 60% -1	+17 8 29 0 -5 24 26 9* 0 +1	+8 19 91 0 +5 96 99 16 0 -3	0 44 283 0 0 283 262 65 65 25% + 21	- 45 133 59 0 0 0 59 65 127 63% - 6	- 1 0 0 1 1 - -		- 11 0 0 11 14 - -	+48 273 1,699 4 0 1,703 1,652 274 41% +1	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Menard	8th
29 36 0 36 44 21 71% - 8	21 31 0 31 40 12 17% -9	98 63 3 9 57 88 67 49% 31	95 172 0 +9 181 177 99 42% +4	$     \begin{array}{r}       108 \\       240 \\       0 \\       240 \\       289 \\       59 \\       59 \\       31\% \\       -49 \\     \end{array} $	662 102 0 102 86 678 87% +16	- 112 0 0 112 89 -		 35 0 35 32 	1,227 3,001 7 0 3,008 2,824 1,157 68% -70	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pike	8th
18 24 0 24 15 27 37% +9	8 11 0 0 11 17 2 0 -6	15 19 0 -5 14 25 13* 69% -2	48 63 0 +5 68 64 52 52% +4	43 133 0 0 133 138 38 13% - 5	130 36 0 36 46 120 75% -10	4 0 0 4 2	973 0 973 893 —		310 1,434 0 1,434 1,364 301 50% - 9	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Schuγler	8th
211 366 1 0 367 328 250 50%	105 263 0 263 265 103 31%	404 564 11 - 122 453 563 340* 36%	567 1,473 3 + 122 1,598 1,526 639 38%	591 2,765 10 0 2,775 2,709 657 18%	2,269 701 8 0 709 809 2,169 73%	1,894 0 1,894 1,616 —	18,420 2 0 18,422 17,224 —		5,235 28,854 80 0 28,934 27,345 5,381 49% +146	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	8th
+ 39 28 101 0 101 104 25 16% - 3	-2 11 44 3 0 47 36 22 14% +11	-64 52 148 1 -8 141 131 63* 2% +11	+72 94 367 1 +8 376 441 29 3% -65	+66 143 632 14 0 646 648 141 18% -2	-100 617 176 154 0 330 200 747 80% +130				$\begin{array}{c} + 146 \\ 1,172 \\ 6,054 \\ 193 \\ 0 \\ 6,247 \\ 5,991 \\ 1,232 \\ 54\% \\ + 60 \end{array}$	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Fulton	9th

Circuit	Granta		Law	Over	1. 6								
Circuit	Country		φiο,	.000	Law \$ or	15,000 less	cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Re	Emin	Tax	Muni Co	Mental Health	Disso Má
9th	Hancock	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	12 11 0 +3 14 3 23	15 21 0 - 3 18 11 22	$2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$	47 55 1 1 55 63 39	28 22 0 0 22 17 33	17 39 0 39 47 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 1 0 0 1 2 2	1 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	47 112 0 0 112 109 50
		Than 12 mos	52% +11	41% +7	0 0	44% -8	45% +5	22% -8	0	50% -1	0 -1	0 0	26% +3
9th	Henderson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	7 6 0 6 7 6	7 4 0 4 5 6		18 31 -1 31 33 16	15 10 0 10 16 9	2 11 0 11 8 5	0 1 0 1 1 0	4 2 0 2 5 1			16 58 0 58 57 17
		Than 12 mos	50% - 1	33% -1	33% -1	12% -2	44% -6	0 + 3	0		0	0	12% +1
9th K	Knox	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	109 *** 57 0 +6 63 62 110	35 34 3 - 4 33 34 34	7 15 0 +10 25 25 7	140 213 7 - 12 208 205 143	106 120 4 0 124 154 76	49 99 0 0 99 93 55	2 0 0 0 2 0	54 41 0 41 47 48	0 1 0 1 1 0	42 93 0 93 103 32	150 506 4 0 510 469 191
		Than 12 mos $\dots$ Inventory (+ or -) $\dots$	55% +1	44% 1	43% 0	35% +3	57% - 30	33% +6	0 - 2	50% - 6	0 0	53% 10	26% +41
9th	McDonough	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Deading More	28 27 0 + 3 30 33 25	40 26 3 - 3 26 43 23		50 91 1 1 91 76 65	51 49 0 0 49 58 42	34 42 0 0 42 50 26	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	9 6 0 0 6 11 4	1 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	73 151 0 151 155 69
		Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	36% - 3	43% 17	50% 2	18% +15	29% 9	58% 	0 -1	100% - 5	0 - 1	0 0	23% -4
9th V	Warren	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	31 16 0 + 3 19 14 36	14 18 3 3 18 22 10	3 2 0 0 2 4 1	24 56 0 56 57 23	27 31 0 31 31 33 25	4 20 0 20 17 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 8 0 8 6 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	30 97 0 97 97 91 36
		Than 12 mos	53% +5	50% 4	0 -2	17% -1	28% -2	29% +3	0	0 + 2	0	0	3% +6
9th C	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	243 161 0 +15 176 183 236	129 130 23 13 140 161 108	20 26 0 +13 39 42 17	308 530 12 15 527 526 309	270 296 6 0 302 347 225	117 239 0 239 245 111	3 1 0 1 4 0	87 124 2 0 126 127 86	2 2 0 0 2 3 1	42 104 0 104 113 33	370 1,166 4 0 1,170 1,125 415
		Than 12 mos	49% 7	42% - 21	35% - 3	28% +1	40% 45	34% -6	0 - 3	56% -1	0 -1	52% -9	21% +45

Circuit	County		Law \$15,		Law \$ or I		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	15     17     0     +3     20     9     26	7 7 0 -3 4 9 2		13 24 0 -1 23 18 18	32 25 0 25 37 20	6 17 0 17 17 16 7	0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 2 4 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	27 50 0 50 62 15
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	38% +11	50% - 5	50% - 2	28% +5	40% -12	0 + 1	0 0	0 - 2	0 0	0 0	33% -12
10th	Peoria	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	855 502 38 + 62 602 596 861 49%	210 264 11 - 62 213 231 192 31%	82 45 9 + 27 81 88 75 51%	478 811 32 - 27 816 863 431 21%	336 523 18 0 541 500 377 27%	155 305 13 0 318 303 170 39%	31 12 1 0 13 15 29 86%	106 104 5 0 109 96 119 62%		7 472 0 472 460 19 0	618 1,385 11 0 1,396 1,546 468 12%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+6	-18	-7	- 47	+ 41	+15	-2	+13	0	+12	-150
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	9 9 0 9 5 13	1 6 0 6 2 5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ +1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{array} $	14 9 0 -1 8 11 11	14 13 0 13 10 17	3 7 0 0 7 7 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 16 0 16 1 19	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 29 0 29 26 20
		Than 12 mos	38% +4	0 + 4	33% +2	55% - 3	35% +3	0	0 0	21% +15	0 0	0 0	30% + 3
10th	Stark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1 1 0 1 2 0 0 -1	4 2 0 2 5 1 100% - 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 13 0 0 13 12 9 33% +1	16 11 0 0 11 8 19 53% +3	5 8 0 8 5 8 37% +3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 1 0 1 2 12 92% -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 18 0 18 16 9 44% +2
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	288 164 9 + 23 196 185 299 47% + 11	83 84 1 - 23 62 82 63 44% - 20	28 8 0 +8 16 21 23 57% -5	142 290 1 8 283 242 183 50% + 41	309 343 0 0 343 428 224 25% - 85	114 87 0 0 87 111 90 67% - 24	4 5 0 5 6 3 0 -1	93 21 0 0 21 44 70 93% - 23		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	297 709 1 0 710 788 219 33% - 78
10th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,168 693 47 + 88 828 797 1,199 48% + 31	305 363 12 - 88 287 329 263 34% - 42	117 55 9 + 37 101 113 105 51% - 12	655 1,147 33 - 37 1,143 1,146 652 30% - 3	707 915 18 0 933 983 657 28% - 50	283 424 13 0 437 442 278 46% -5	35 17 1 0 18 21 32 78% -3	219 144 5 0 149 147 221 70% + 2		7 472 0 0 472 460 19 0 + 12	966 2,191 12 0 2,203 2,438 731 20% - 235

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	474 2,279 7 0 2,286 2,233 414 41%	55 0 55 45 	 1,567 0 1,567 1,464 	8 0 0 8 6	163 73 1 0 74 74 163 60%	81 251 4 0 255 255 81 14% 0	55 107 0 + 30 137 168 24 29%	26 34 2 - 30 6 25 9* 0	21 20 0 20 22 19 47%	19 21 0 21 15 25 56% +6
10th	Peoria	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	- 60 12,724 57,027 2,570 0 59,597 61,083 10,919 49% - 1,805			2,956 0 0,956 2,929 	0 2,874 725 962 0 1,687 467 4,094 86% +1,220	4,565 9,046 1,065 0 10,111 12,034 2,642 5% -1,923	- 31 1,414 2,523 84 + 80 2,687 3,526 575 10% - 839	- 17 368 873 142 - 80 935 1,029 274 5% - 94	-2 313 403 14 0 417 407 323 53% +10	+ 6 312 753 12 0 765 807 270 6% - 42
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	155 1,038 0 1,038 887 201 40% + 46	28 0 0 28 29 		 47 0 47 40  	54 32 0 32 14 72 58% +18	23 47 0 47 53 17 41% -6	7 41 0 +2 43 41 9 22% +2	0 11 0 -2 9 7 3* 0 +3	4 8 0 8 8 4 50% 0	4 11 0 11 10 5 0 +1
10th	Stark	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	212 638 0 638 602 229 50% + 17	- 21 0 21 23 -		15 0 0 15 15 	100 57 0 57 44 113 57% +13	24 69 0 69 76 17 24% -7	9 35 0 +3 38 32 15 27% +6	5 14 0 -3 11 7 10* 0 +5	12 1 0 1 2 11 91% -1	8 13 0 13 16 5 20% - 3
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	5,614 22,323 38 0 22,361 23,041 4,971 60%	88 0 0 88 85 —		1,077 0 1,077 1,110 –	2,670 398 1 0 399 1,009 2,060 83%	363 1,736 4 0 1,740 1,570 533 16%	295 466 2 +46 514 472 337 33%	112 208 19 - 46 181 224 118* 34%	248 211 0 211 334 125 45%	568 405 0 405 349 624 71%
10th	Circuit Total	Inventory (+ or –)	643 19,179 83,305 2,615 0 85,920 87,846 16,734 52% - 2,445				- 610 5,861 1,285 964 0 2,249 1,608 6,502 84% + 641	+ 170 5,056 11,149 1,073 0 12,222 13,988 3,290 7% -1,766	+ 42 1,780 3,172 86 + 161 3,419 4,239 960 19% - 820	+ 6 511 1,140 163 - 161 1,142 1,292 414* 13% - 97	-123 598 643 14 0 657 773 482 52% -116	+ 56 911 1,203 12 0 1,215 1,197 929 51% +18

				Over 5,000		15,000 less	ery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		Municipal Corporations	_t+	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Rem	Eminent Domain	Tax	Munic	Mental Health	Dissolt Mar
11th	Ford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	25 15 0 +2 17 13 29	19 14 0 -2 12 7 24	1 0 +1 1 1	33 53 0 -1 52 32 32 32	14 13 0 13 11 16	4 20 0 20 15 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 4 0 0 4 2 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 1 1	21 60 0 60 57 24
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	45% +4	54% +5	0 0	25% -1	62% +2	0 + 5	0 0	80% +2	0 0	100% 0	33% +3
11th	Livingston	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	49 47 3 +5 55 43 61 26%	20 27 0 -5 22 19 23 30%	3 2 0 +1 3 3 3 0	20 107 2 -1 108 93 35 17%	44 33 2 0 35 36 43 70%	64 106 0 106 104 66 38%	1 1 0 2 2 1 100%	3 0 0 0 3 0	1 4 0 4 1 4 0	1 3 0 3 4 0	105 266 1 0 267 215 157 31%
11th	Logan	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	+ 12 57 32 0 0 32 27 62	+ 3 26 22 0 0 22 25 23	0 2 7 0 0 7 7 2	+15 16 68 0 0 68 62 22	1 43 27 0 0 27 15 55	+2 10 41 0 0 41 31 20	0 6 0 0 0 0 3 3	$     \begin{array}{r}       -3 \\       2 \\       4 \\       0 \\       0 \\       4 \\       3 \\       3     \end{array} $	+3 3 0 0 0 0 0 3	-1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 52 86 207 1 0 208 190 104
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	55% +5	48% - 3	50% 0	32% +6	62% +12	30% +10	100% - 3	67% +1	100% 0	0 0	13% +18
11th	McLean	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	420 185 6 + 15 206 201 425 57%	98 118 9 14 113 90 121 45%	40 17 1 +14 32 29 43 35%	204 400 102 - 15 487 516 175 38%	182 142 10 0 152 135 199 50%	49 88 1 0 89 93 45 51%	10 10 0 10 7 13 46%	18 9 1 0 10 13 15 53%	1 1 0 1 1 1 100%	0 28 0 28 28 28 0	181 709 26 0 735 741 175 2%
		Inventory (+ or – )	+ 5	+ 23	+ 3	- 29	+ 17	- 4	+ 3	- 3	0	0	-6
11th	Woodford	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	28 30 0 +5 35 19 44 34%	12 26 0 -5 21 19 14 39%	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{array} $	9 46 0 -2 44 36 17 6%	37 45 0 45 46 36 22%	4 17 0 0 17 18 3	1 2 0 2 3 0	2 5 0 5 3 4	4 4 0 0 4 4 4 4 0	0 1 0 1 1 0	24 93 0 93 88 29 14%
		Inventory (+ or - )	+16	+ 2	+1	+ 8	-1	-1	-1	+ 2	0	0	+ 5
11th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	579 309 9 + 27 345 303 621	175 207 9 - 26 190 160 205	48 28 1 +18 47 43 52	282 674 104 - 19 759 760 281	320 260 12 0 272 243 349	131 272 1 0 273 261 143	18 13 1 0 14 15 17	28 22 1 0 23 24 27	9 9 0 9 6 12	2 33 0 0 33 34 1	417 1,335 28 0 1,363 1,291 489
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	52% +42	44% + 30	31% +4	32% -1	52% +29	38% +12	59% -1	52% -1	33% +3	100% -1	16% +72

	Circuit	ord 11th	ton 11th	gan 11th	ean 11th		ord 11th
	County	····· Ford	Livingston	Logan	McLean	Woodford	
		Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	
a	Total	555 2,589 2 0 2,591 2,385 604 47% + 49	1,795 8,013 50 0 8,063 7,715 1,835 55% + 40	1,492      10,867      24      0      10,891      10,156      1,525      66%      + 33	4,130 32,494 1,599 0 34,093 31,937 4,278 35% +148	560 4,680 31 0 4,711 4,461 521 30%	- 39
Conservation Violations	Con	8 0 8 11 	 0 0 102 82 	7 0 7 6 	128 3 0 131 137 		
Traffic Violations	Traf V	1,712 0 1,712 1,549 —			22,966 763 0 23,729 21,941 —	3,700 22 0 3,722 3,430 —	_
Ordinance Violations	Ord V					1 0 1 1	_
Probate	Prob	263 76 0 76 87 252 75% - 11	997 230 0 230 234 993 79% - 4	994 191 0 191 168 1,017 83% +23	1,091 592 0 592 574 1,109 71% +18	308 164 6 0 170 231 247 46%	- 61
Small Claims	Sma C	96 340 0 340 321 115 14% + 19	163 730 29 0 759 812 110 4% - 53	$ \begin{array}{r}     63 \\     534 \\     8 \\     0 \\     542 \\     536 \\     69 \\     39\% \\     + 6 \end{array} $	614 3,075 480 0 3,555 3,577 592 9% - 22	42 188 0 0 188 195 35 0	- 7
Misdemeanors	Mise	28 110 1 +11 122 109 41 2% +13	154 474 1 + 41 516 572 98 9% - 56	112 208 13 +13 234 286 60 13% -52	813 2,061 142 + 13 2,216 2,174 855 4% + 42	26 192 3 +13 208 198 36 6%	+10
Auc	Felony	11 28 1 -11 18 253 8* 12% -3	41 180 11 - 41 150 130 68* 4% + 27	28 69 0 -13 56 63 26* 4% -2	225 479 20 -13 486 424 291* 11% +66	30 77 0 -13 64 81 17* 0	-13
Juvenile	Juve	7 39 0 39 32 14 0 +7	34 73 0 73 61 46 26% +12	16 30 0 30 33 13 54% -3	39 164 0 164 152 51 8% +12	19 33 0 33 36 16 25%	-3
iiy	Family	29 47 0 47 43 33 58% +4	95 134 0 134 102 127 57% + 32	28 108 2 0 110 95 43 23% +15	145 292 12 0 304 281 168 39% + 23	12 37 0 0 37 33 16 19%	+4

			Law ( \$15,			15,000 less	cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al salth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Emine Do	Тах	Muni Co	Mental Health	Disso Ma
12th	Iroquois	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	57 22 8 + 3 33 29 61	37 28 3 -3 28 39 26	$     \begin{array}{r}       17 \\       0 \\       0 \\       +1 \\       1 \\       14 \\       4     \end{array} $	69 84 11 - 1 94 114 49	103 26 0 26 22 107	42 24 0 0 24 19 47	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	4 4 0 0 4 4 4	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	76 126 3 0 129 128 77
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	62% +4	42% 11	75% -13	35% - 20	78% +4	81 % + 5	100% 0	75% 0	100% 0	0 0	42% +1
12th	Kankakee	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	309 143 0 + 47 190 168 331 54%	121 99 12 - 47 64 68 117 64%	66 0 + 40 40 50 56 48%	124 575 59 - 40 594 528 190 14%	161 182 0 182 133 210 50%	75 169 0 169 136 108 32%	0 4 0 4 1 3 0	57 53 0 53 53 72 38 21%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 164 0 164 161 4 0	379 568 1 0 569 553 395 33%
		Inventory (+ or -)	+ 22	- 4	-10	+ 66	+ 49	+ 33	+ 3	- 19	0	+ 3	+16
12th	Will	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	519 496 47 + 184 727 725 521	324 439 12 - 184 267 417 174	65 18 8 + 57 83 96 52	754 2,984 70 - 53 3,001 3,106 649	889 915 30 0 945 1,167 667	243 330 5 0 335 456 122	8 18 0 18 12 14	311 84 0 0 84 29 366	2 0 0 0 0 2 0	2 128 0 0 128 129 1	1,036 1,705 17 0 1,722 1,405 1,353
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	15% +2	3% -150	42% -13	15% 	18% -222	11% 	7% +6	78% +55	0 - 2	0 -1	29% + 317
12th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	885 661 55 + 234 950 922 913 32% + 28	482 566 27 - 234 359 524 317 29% - 165	148 18 8 + 98 124 160 112 46% - 36	947 3,643 140 - 94 3,689 3,748 888 16% - 59	1,153 1,123 30 0 1,153 1,322 984 31% - 169	360 523 5 0 528 611 277 31% - 83	10 22 0 0 22 13 19 16% +9	372 141 0 0 141 105 408 73% + 36	3 0 0 0 2 1 100% -2	3 292 0 292 290 5 0 + 2	1,491 2,399 21 0 2,420 2,086 1,825 30% + 334
13th	Bureau	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	87 48 1 +8 57 51 93 49%	28 39 5 -7 37 47 18 44%	12 4 1 -1 4 12 4 25%	27 98 5 0 103 109 21 19%	56 63 1 0 64 68 52 40%	10 38 1 0 39 38 11 36%	0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7	3 15 12 0 27 19 11 9%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2 2 2 0	57 204 3 0 207 193 71 30%
13th	Grundy	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start	+6	- 10	- 8 30	-6 127	- 4	+1	+7	+8	0	0	+14
1301		Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	38 1 +13 52 82 102	42 50 4 - 13 41 30 53	30 3 2 +2 7 7 30	74 8 - 2 80 67 140	33 3 0 36 25 69	27 2 0 29 27 28	1 0 0 1 1 0	2 0 0 2 0 15	0 0 0 0 0 1	2 5 1 0 6 6 2	236 22 0 258 266 96
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	66% - 30	60% +11	87% 0	83% +13	68% +11	57% +2	0 0	87% +2	100% 0	100% 0	50% -8

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
126 105 11 0 116 102 140 76% +14	84 46 4 0 50 99 35 60% - 49	127 54 14 - 8 60 81 116* 64% - 11	585 318 0 + 8 326 678 233 42% - 352	217 412 2 0 414 445 186 39% - 31	673 155 0 155 201 627 80% - 46	70 $24$ $0$ $0$ $24$ $14$ $80$ $ +10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,229\\6,404\\0\\0\\6,404\\5,925\\1,708\\-\\+479\end{array} $	127 51 0 51 33 145 - +18	3,646 7,883 56 0 7,939 7,947 3,648 64% +2	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Iroquois	12th
405 388 3 0 391 420 376 85% - 29	97 160 4 0 164 141 120 42% +23	187 373 1 80 294 296 185 12% 2	332 378 1,220 0 +80 1,300 1,313 365 22% -13	454 2,166 3 0 2,169 2,127 496 18% + 42	2,323 353 4 0 357 248 2,432 87% + 109			450 0 450 376 -	5,137 22,181 87 0 22,268 20,615 5,426 60% + 289	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Kankakee	12th
262 1,183 56 0 1,239 1,100 401 23% +139	468 387 22 0 409 391 486 38% +18	486 881 79 0 960 986 516* 20% + 30	487 2,288 0 2,288 2,186 589 3% + 102	2,004 5,971 167 - 4 6,134 6,654 1,484 9% - 520	2,516 514 0 514 971 2,059 78% - 457	309 4,527 89 0 4,616 4,529 396 	12,997 64,271 2,171 0 66,442 63,808 15,631 - + 2,634	39 330 2 0 332 274 97 +58	23,721 87,469 2,775 0 90,244 88,443 25,578 34% + 1,857	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Will	12th
793 1,676 70 0 1,746 1,622 917 56% +124	649 593 30 0 623 631 641 40% - 8	800 1,308 94 - 88 1,314 1,363 817* 24% + 17	1,450 3,826 0 + 88 3,914 4,177 1,187 1,787 17% - 263	2,675 8,549 172 - 4 8,717 9,226 2,166 14% - 509	5,512 1,022 4 0 1,026 1,420 5,118 83% - 394			- 831 2 0 833 683 -	17,733 117,533 2,918 0 120,451 117,005 16,595 46% - 1,138	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Circuit Total	12th
35 113 3 0 116 115 36 44% +1	38 87 0 0 87 75 50 6% +12	47 74 5 8 71 70 48 15% +1	67 380 1 +8 389 384 72 6% +5	52 614 12 0 626 636 42 0 -10	969 174 2 0 176 164 981 85% + 12				1,488 8,722 55 0 8,777 8,884 1,517 64% + 29	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Bureau	13th
96 102 8 0 110 71 135 62% + 39	73 78 2 0 80 88 65 48% - 8	57 75 3 -17 61 74 44 23% -13	196 594 0 +17 611 497 310 39% +114	137 167 0 0 167 200 104 59% - 33	301 123 0 123 101 323 67% + 22			 216 0 216 206 	1,395 5,234 56 0 5,290 4,701 1,517 59% +122	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Grundy	13th

			Law \$15	Over ,000	Law \$ or		λ	aneous edy	t ain		unicipal Corporations	4	tion of age
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolution o Marriage
13th	LaSalle	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	472 318 5 +12 335 297 510 43% +38	42 64 6 -12 58 48 52 38% +10	39 21 2 +8 31 36 34 50% -5	139 316 32 - 8 340 373 106 14% - 33	98 131 9 0 140 144 94 28% - 4	57 134 2 0 136 136 57 28% 0	4 11 2 0 13 6 11 36% +7	34 29 1 0 30 38 26 27% - 8	0 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 0 +1	0 15 0 15 15 0 0 0	191 646 7 0 653 668 176 11% - 15
13th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	691 404 7 + 33 444 430 705 47% + 14	112 153 15 - 32 136 125 123 49% + 11	81 28 5 + 9 42 55 68 65% - 13	293 488 45 - 10 523 549 267 51% - 26	212 227 13 0 240 237 215 44% + 3	93 199 5 0 204 201 96 38% +3	4 19 2 0 21 7 18 22% +14	50 46 13 0 59 57 52 40% + 2	1 1 1 2 1 2 50% +1	2 22 1 0 23 23 2 100% 0	352 1,086 32 0 1,118 1,127 343 26% -9
14th	Henry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	93 38 5 +9 52 44 101 61% +8	43 59 0 -9 50 36 57 39% +14	6 2 0 +5 7 4 9 56% +3	51 108 0 -5 103 116 38 37% -13	56 109 0 109 78 87 21% +31	25 65 0 65 50 40 40% +15	2 6 0 6 7 1 0 -1	1 4 0 4 4 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 6 0 6 6 0 0 0	81 248 1 0 249 253 77 31% - 4
14th	Mercer	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	37 12 0 +2 14 19 32 62% -5	24 35 2 -2 35 28 31 58% +7	7 1 0 +2 3 5 5 5 80% -2	26 44 0 -2 42 47 21 33% -5	29 39 1 0 40 38 31 52% +2	4 16 0 16 14 6 17% +2	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	1 1 0 0 1 2 0 0 -1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2 2 0 0 0	37 80 0 80 78 39 33% + 2
14th	Rock Island	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	503 266 5 +15 286 282 507 56%	185 140 5 15 130 137 178 51%	53 10 1 + 26 37 52 38 45%	433 902 6 26 882 864 451 27%	371 310 4 0 314 402 283 46%	181 251 3 0 254 273 162 45%	16 35 1 0 36 34 18 28%	62 41 0 0 41 35 68 66%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	549 1,161 65 0 1,226 1,227 548 47%
14th	Whiteside	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Then 12 met	+4 139 33 0 +19 52 50 141 (70)	-7 81 100 19 -19 100 90 91 (49)	-15 3 1 0 +2 3 2 4 75%	+18 97 182 22 202 206 93	- 88 55 79 10 0 89 62 82 82	- 19 49 42 0 0 42 65 26	+2 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1	+6 26 19 0 0 19 24 21		0 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 186 393 0 0 393 393 186 130(
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	67% +2	64% +10	75% +1	35% -4	44% +27	65% -23	0	52% - 5	0	0	43% 0

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
202 352 8 0 360 426 136 41% - 66	95 209 1 0 210 169 136 22% + 41	88 330 9 53 286 228 152* 5% +64	159 935 111 +53 1,099 1,069 189 2% +30	387 2,292 67 0 2,359 2,274 472 5% + 85	1,452 436 3 0 439 401 1,490 73% + 38			125 0 125 116 —	3,459 23,808 287 0 24,095 22,983 3,642 43% +183	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	LaSalle	13th
333 567 19 0 586 612 307 51% - 26	206 374 3 0 377 332 251 26% + 45	192 479 17 - 78 418 372 244* 10% + 52	422 1,909 112 + 78 2,099 1,950 571 23% + 149	576 3,073 79 0 3,152 3,110 618 14% + 42	2,722 733 5 0 738 666 2,794 77% +72	2,075 13 0 2,088 1,991 — —		 0 0 504 474 	6,342 37,764 398 0 38,162 36,568 6,676 51% + 334	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Circuit Total	13th
103 192 0 192 152 143 39% + 40	18     75     0     0     75     55     38     29%     +20	$ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 177 \\ 0 \\ -46 \\ 131 \\ 125 \\ 106 \\ 25\% \\ +6 \\ \end{array} $	155 595 0 +46 641 637 159 31% +4	$ \begin{array}{r} 60\\ 749\\ 0\\ 749\\ 686\\ 123\\ 16\%\\ + 63\\ \end{array} $	1,856 233 308 0 541 1,205 1,192 84% - 664	318 0 318 334 -	9,603 0 9,603 9,152 -	 91 0 91 83 	2,650 12,678 314 0 12,992 13,027 2,172 61% - 478	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Henry	14th
20 95 0 95 71 44 9% + 24	29 20 0 20 30 19 79% - 10	82 50 13 -2 61 57 86 72% +4	111 177 0 +2 179 162 128 64% +17	119 372 0 372 400 91 33% - 28	484 90 0 90 326 248 64% - 236		1,012 0 1,012 975 —		1,010 2,177 16 0 2,193 2,358 782 55% - 228	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Mercer	14th
818 522 4 0 526 908 436 49% - 382	212 188 1 0 189 363 38 8% -174	365 816 30 - 15 831 712 484 24% + 119	1,409 2,645 411 +15 3,071 2,924 1,556 26% +147	970 3,792 16 0 3,808 3,636 1,142 7% + 172	2,499 573 0 573 452 2,600 80% + 121	1,022 2,042 88 0 2,130 2,033 1,129  + 107	5,261 29,017 878 0 29,895 28,037 7,119 + 1,858	26 217 1 0 218 228 16 	14,915 42,928 1,519 0 44,447 42,589 16,773 46% +1,858	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Rock Island	14th
330 210 0 210 287 253 73% -77	70 120 0 120 130 60 30% -10	62 215 0 -27 188 212 72* 18% +10	341 787 0 + 27 814 835 320 38% - 21	613 915 0 915 1,237 291 43% - 322	1,318 259 0 259 218 1,359 84% + 41			 201 0 201 181 	3,371 11,255 51 0 11,306 11,121 3,000 65% - 371	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Whiteside	14th

			Law \$15		Law \$ or I		cery	Miscellaneous Remedy	ninent Domain		Municipal Corporations	al alth	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Misce Rer	Eminent Domai	Tax	Munic	Mental Health	Disso Ma
14th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	772 349 10 + 45 404 395 781	333 334 26 - 45 315 291 357	69 14 1 + 35 50 63 56	$ \begin{array}{r} 607 \\ 1,236 \\ 28 \\ -35 \\ 1,229 \\ 1,233 \\ 603 \end{array} $	511 537 15 0 552 580 483	259 374 3 0 377 402 234	19 43 1 0 44 42 21	90 65 0 65 65 90	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 13 0 0 13 13 0	853 1,882 66 0 1,948 1,951 850
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	59% +9	53% +24	52% 	29% -4	41 % - 28	46% - 25	24% +2	62% 0	0 0	0 0	44% - 3
15th	Carroll	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	7 6 12 +1 19 7 19 74%	35 36 0 -1 35 41 29 45%	1 1 0 1 0 2 50%	24 37 0 37 32 29 34%	14 16 1 0 17 14 17 47%	4 9 1 0 10 10 4 75%	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	29 13 2 0 15 9 35 83%	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 100%	0 2 0 2 2 2 0	33 87 9 96 76 53 26%
15th	Jo Davies	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	+12 11 10 0 +1 11 5 17 41%	-6 11 24 1 -1 24 22 13 31%	+1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	+5 47 60 0 60 65 42 31%	+ 3 51 35 0 0 35 38 48 42%	0 11 24 0 0 24 24 11 18%	+2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 100%	+6 17 19 0 0 19 23 13 31%	+1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 20 33 75 0 0 75 84 24 17%
15th	Lee	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	+ 6 47 22 7 +7 36 31 52 56% +5	+ 2 20 48 5 -7 46 39 27 30% +7	0 6 4 8 0 12 7 11 73% +5	-5 52 132 14 +1 147 125 74 22% +22	-3 34 60 5 0 65 46 53 36% +19	0 17 52 7 0 59 62 14 36% - 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-4 9 5 3 0 8 8 8 9 78% 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 +1	0 6 13 1 0 14 7 13 31% +7	-9 51 210 7 0 217 217 51 10% 0
15th	Ogle	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	56     26     1     +2     29     40     45     47%     -11     -11     -11     -11	34 $44$ $1$ $-2$ $43$ $49$ $28$ $21%$ $-6$	4 1 0 +1 2 3 3 67% -1	71 139 1 -1 139 169 41 17% -30	29 56 0 0 56 54 31 19% +2	15 30 2 0 32 35 12 17% - 3	1 1 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 -1	18 43 0 0 43 17 44 14% + 26	1 2 0 0 2 3 0 0 -1	0 5 0 5 5 0 0 0 0	101 262 0 262 262 262 101 4% 0
15th	Stephenson	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	55 31 8 +1 40 37 58	34 33 6 -1 38 41 31	16 2 0 2 13 5	93 176 46 0 222 211 104	64 53 2 0 55 58 61	25 42 3 0 45 29 41	2 0 1 0 1 3 0	32 17 4 0 21 30 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 7 0 7 10 1	150 259 0 0 259 274 135
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	48% + 3	48% - 3	60% 	31% +11	54% - 3	49% +16	0 -2	74% -9	0 0	100% - 3	27% 15

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,271 1,019 4 0 1,023 1,418 876 52%	329 403 1 0 404 578 155 30%	609 1,258 43 - 90 1,211 1,106 748* 29%	2,016 4,204 411 + 90 4,705 4,558 2,163 30%	1,762 5,828 16 0 5,844 5,959 1,647 15%	6,137 1,155 308 0 1,463 2,201 5,399 81%	2,526 88 0 2,614 2,507 —	47,229 878 0 48,107 45,195 —	569 1 0 570 538 -	15,637 69,038 1,900 0 70,938 69,095 14,463 53%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Circuit Total	14th
- 395 55 71 0 0 71 58 68 41% + 13	-174 5 44 0 0 44 43 6 33% +1	+139 11 72 0 -15 57 41 27 11% +16	+ 147 85 228 0 + 15 243 245 83 34% - 2	-115 68 217 0 0 217 186 99 40% +31	-738 333 75 0 0 75 70 338 80% +5				-1,174 1,278 3,374 27 0 3,401 3,200 1,479 57% +201	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Carroll	15th
34 53 0 0 53 45 42 43% +8	47 28 0 28 9 66 70% +19	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 62\\ 2\\ -16\\ 48\\ 44\\ 18\\ 0\\ +4\\ \end{array} $	74 355 0 +16 371 384 61 33% -13	62 314 0 0 314 295 81 21% +19	252 90 0 90 75 267 74% +15	414 0 414 432 -	3,901 0 3,901 3,846 —		666 5,629 3 0 5,632 5,562 705 50% + 39	Pending at Start Filed Filed Fransferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Jo Davies	15th
62 86 0 86 96 52 44% - 10	26 89 0 0 89 93 22 23% - 4	53 253 2 - 40 215 199 82* 4% + 29	272 865 2 + 40 907 890 289 33% + 17	175 704 4 -1 707 612 270 11% +95	673 144 17 0 161 209 625 81% - 48		8,590 144 0 8,734 8,148 		$\begin{array}{c} 1,503\\ 11,502\\ 226\\ 0\\ 11,728\\ 10,981\\ 1,645\\ 46\%\\ +142\\ \end{array}$	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	Lee	15th
151 180 1 181 284 48 10%	34 80 2 0 82 83 33 3%	37 120 3 0 123 132 42* 12%	168 521 0 521 508 181 7%	65 472 0 472 468 69 4%	355 124 0 124 174 305 64%	393 0 393 464 -	5,573 0 5,573 5,176 —		1,140 8,161 11 0 8,172 8,010 983 28%	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	Ogle	15th
- 103 219 231 39 0 270 244 245 75% + 26	-1 55 109 28 0 137 135 57 23% +2	+5 62 187 0 -15 172 160 74 9% +12	+13 317 980 0 +15 995 1,128 184 7% -133	+ 4 164 750 0 0 750 809 105 12% - 59	- 50 756 199 9 0 208 233 731 79% - 25				- 157 2,048 10,805 174 0 10,979 10,737 1,855 54% - 193	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Stephenson	15th

				Over 5,000	Law \$ <sup>-</sup> or I		Sery	Miscellaneous Remedy	nt nain		unicipal Corporations	_ <del>1</del>	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscel Ren	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolı Mar
15th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	176 95 28 +12 135 120 191	134 185 13 - 12 186 192 128	27 9 8 +1 18 24 21	287 544 61 0 605 602 290	192 220 8 0 228 210 210	72 157 13 0 170 160 82	5 3 1 0 4 5 4	105 97 9 106 87 124	1 3 1 0 4 3 2	10 27 1 0 28 24 14	368 893 16 0 909 913 364
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	52% +15	36% -6	67% -6	27% + 3	41% +18	39% +10	50% -1	51% +19	50% +1	36% +4	17% -4
16th	DeKalb	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	140 76 3 +11 90 72 158 41%	67 76 1 11 66 65 68 53%	21 7 0 +7 14 18 17 59%	176 249 4 -7 246 259 163 47%	92 78 1 0 79 67 104 48%	29 53 1 0 54 42 41 37%	8 2 0 2 1 9 78%	28 31 0 31 9 50 46%	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	0 22 0 0 22 22 22 0	197 349 3 0 352 336 213 25%
16th	Kane	Inventory (+ or -) Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	+ 18 899 553 21 + 33 607 562 944	+ 1 442 384 100 - 33 451 388 505	-4 82 59 4 +32 95 132 45	-13 732 2,600 227 -32 2,795 2,870 657	+ 12 508 564 0 0 564 526 546	+ 12 153 270 0 0 270 252 171	+1 10 70 7 0 77 34 53	+ 22 235 424 0 0 424 427 232	0 7 20 0 0 20 22 5	0 587 1,093 1 0 1,094 1,482 199	+ 16 1,759 2,093 1 0 2,094 2,228 1,625
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	47% +45	42% +63	40% - 37	19% - 75	42% + 38	46% +18	15% +43	36% - 3	40% - 2	26% - 388	30% 
16th	Kendall	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	51 32 0 + 3 35 43 43 43 37% - 8	34 36 2 - 3 35 35 34 29% 0	3 2 0 2 2 3 33% 0	76 140 1 0 141 159 58 43% - 18	62 50 1 0 51 57 56 48% - 6	25 31 0 31 23 33 67% +8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 9 0 9 4 22 73% +5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 0 0 0 5 100% 0	94 152 1 0 153 116 131 31% +37
16th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,090 661 24 + 47 732 677 1,145 46% + 55	543 496 103 - 47 552 488 607 43% + 64	106 68 4 + 39 111 152 65 45% - 41	984 2,989 232 - 39 3,182 3,288 878 26% - 106	662 692 2 0 694 650 706 43% + 44	207 354 1 0 355 317 245 47% + 38	18 72 7 0 79 35 62 24% +44	280 464 0 0 464 440 304 40% + 24	7 21 0 0 21 23 5 40% -2	592 1,115 1 0 1,116 1,504 204 28% - 388	2,050 2,594 5 0 2,599 2,680 1,969 30% - 81
17th	Boone	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	31 23 0 + 5 28 23 36	29 29 0 -5 24 23 30	5 1 0 +3 4 2 7	49 107 0 - 3 104 114 39	39 58 0 0 58 44 53	13 31 0 31 35 9	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2	0 1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 18 0 0 18 18 2	131 199 0 0 199 138 92
		Than 12 mos	42% +5	37% +1	57% +2	13% -10	17% +14	11% -4	0 + 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	12% - 39

Juvenile Felony Misdemeanors Small Claims Probate Probate Violations	Traffic Violations Conservation Violations Total	County Circuit
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pending at Start Filed Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos
+17 +66 -118 +90 -103 -	61	Inventory (+ or – )
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} - & - & 1,920 \\ 12,930 & 90 & 17,510 \\ 0 & 0 & 30 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 12,930 & 90 & 17,540 \\ 12,293 & 70 & 16,621 \\ - & - & 2,124 \\ - & - & 40\% \end{array}$	Pending at Start
+2 +29 +49 +35 +23 -	+ 204	Inventory (+ or – )
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pending at Start
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pending at Start
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pending at Start 
37%         5%         15%         18%         68%         -           -107         -73         -1,066         -75         -723         -	38% 3,355	Than 12 mos
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} - & - & 1,026 \\ 6,665 & 18 & 8,641 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6,665 & 18 & 8,649 \\ 6,372 & 20 & 8,216 \\ - & - & 1,081 \end{array}$	Pending at Start

			Law \$15,		Law \$' or I		λı	aneous edy	t ain		unicipal Corporations	÷	tion of iage
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corpora	Mental Health	Dissolution Marriage
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start Filed Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	597 306 11 + 53 370 316 651	317 266 3 - 53 216 235 298	56 11 2 + 28 41 44 53	1,208 1,875 12 - 28 1,859 1,887 1,180	360 464 3 0 467 439 388	194 183 3 0 186 169 211	4 3 1 0 4 4 4	82 181 0 0 181 92 171	4 0 1 0 1 5 0	9 298 0 298 304 3	1,019 1,765 6 0 1,771 1,653 1,137
		Than 12 mos Inventory $(+ \text{ or } -)$	53% +54	52% 	58% - 3	49% - 28	43% +28	47% +17	25% 0	11% +89	0 - 4	0	31% +118
17th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	628 329 11 + 58 398 339 687 52% + 59	346 295 3 - 58 240 258 328 51% - 18	61 12 2 + 31 45 46 60 58% -1	1,257 1,982 12 - 31 1,963 2,001 1,219 48% - 38	399 522 3 0 525 483 441 40% + 42	207 214 3 0 217 204 220 46% +13	4 5 1 0 6 4 6 33% +2	82 182 0 0 182 93 171 11% +89	4 0 1 0 1 5 0 0 -4	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 316 \\ 0 \\ 316 \\ 322 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ -6 \\ \end{array} $	1,150 1,964 6 0 1,970 1,791 1,229 30% +79
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,327 543 57 +637 1,237 1,333 1,231 37% -96	572 1,243 68 -637 674 657 589 16% +17	84 38 + 128 174 172 86 14% + 2	849 4,096 30 128 3,998 3,765 1,082 4% +233	1,180 1,120 101 0 1,221 1,282 1,119 40% - 61	350 592 4 0 596 560 386 44% + 36	14 10 1 0 11 12 13 23% -1	599 3,102 10 0 3,112 2,980 731 57% +132	11 3 1 0 4 5 10 80% -1	17 58 0 58 59 16 50% - 1	1,954 3,300 42 0 3,342 3,392 1,704 17% - 250
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,327 543 57 +637 1,237 1,333 1,231 37% -96	572 1,243 68 -637 674 657 589 16% +17	84 38 +128 174 172 86 14% +2	849 4,096 30 - 128 3,998 3,765 1,082 4% + 233	1,180 1,120 101 0 1,221 1,282 1,119 40% -61	350 592 4 0 596 560 386 44% + 36	14 10 1 0 11 12 13 23% -1	599 3,102 10 0 3,112 2,980 731 57% +132	11 3 1 0 4 5 10 80% -1	17 58 0 58 59 16 50% -1	1,954 3,300 42 0 3,342 3,592 1,704 17% - 250
19th	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	1,140 669 157 + 275 1,101 1,069 1,172 36% + 32	396 732 48 - 275 505 461 440 19% + 44	122 44 8 +96 148 154 116 36% -6	672 2,509 169 - 96 2,582 2,408 846 	678 830 58 0 888 922 644 27% - 34	160 456 18 0 474 473 161 19% +1	46 62 13 0 75 65 56 30% +10	250 36 2 0 38 46 242 93% -8	7 3 3 0 6 7 6 6 6 7 6 6 7% -1	0 33 0 0 33 33 0 0	1,215 2,684 89 0 2,773 2,816 1,172 10% - 43
19th 🐭	McHenry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	309 136 12 +11 159 178 290	206 144 18 	35 37 2 + 3 42 35 42	208 615 23 - 3 635 506 337	384 257 5 0 262 380 266	114 108 0 0 108 80 142	6 16 0 16 6 16	25 18 4 0 22 24 23	6 1 0 1 2 5	0 2 0 0 2 2 2 0	657 875 13 0 888 1,106 439
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	59% 19	53% +3	45% +7	28% +129	48% - 118	54% +28	25% +10	39% -2	80% -1	0	9% - 218

Circuit	County		Total	Conservation Violations	Traffic Violations	Ordinance Violations	Probate	Small Claims	Misdemeanors	Felony	Juvenile	Family
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	17,826 70,777 192 0 70,969 69,714 16,391 49% - 1,435	 125 0 125 117 	47,036 0 47,036 44,334 		3,306 767 1 0 768 616 3,458 85% +152	5,695 6,305 0 0 6,305 7,797 4,230 59% - 1,492	3,053 6,864 9 + 371 7,244 7,604 2,693 7% - 360	805 1,290 82 - 371 1,001 1,365 441 7% - 364	229 512 0 512 416 325 10% + 96	888 1,032 58 0 1,090 803 1,175 48% + 287
17th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	18,852 79,418 200 0 79,618 77,930 17,472 48% -1,380			1,696 2 0 1,698 1,731 — —	3,508 874 1 0 875 697 3,686 83% + 178	5,803 6,718 0 6,718 8,214 4,307 58% - 1,496	3,232 7,376 9 + 382 7,767 8,053 2,946 9% - 286	833 1,395 88 - 382 1,101 1,455 479 7% - 354	332 577 0 0 577 468 441 23% + 109	995 1,117 58 0 1,175 923 1,247 49% + 252
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	18,292 185,387 592 0 185,979 176,795 15,216 23% - 3,076	29 0 29 24 			4,241 1,059 46 0 1,105 4,027 1,319 52% - 2,922	1,868 7,114 4 0 7,118 7,035 1,951 2% + 83	3,018 6,620 115 + 239 6,974 7,016 2,976 14% - 42	1,311 2,458 104 - 239 2,323 2,425 1,209 21% - 102	221 611 0 611 543 289 23% + 68	676 1,329 1 0 1,330 1,501 505 31% - 171
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	18,292 185,387 592 0 185,979 176,795 15,216 23% - 3,076	29 0 29 24 			4,241 1,059 46 0 1,105 4,027 1,319 52% - 2,922	1,868 7,114 4 0 7,118 7,035 1,951 2% + 83	3,018 6,620 115 + 239 6,974 7,016 2,976 14% - 42	1,311 2,458 104 - 239 2,323 2,425 1,209 21% - 102	221 611 0 611 543 289 23% + 68	676 1,329 1 0 1,330 1,501 505 31% - 171
19th	Lake	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	10,623 147,043 1,704 0 148,747 160,447 10,272 27%	727 0 727 720 —			3,097 961 184 0 1,145 2,218 2,114 69%	1,218 6,303 57 0 6,360 6,070 1,508 2%	416 2,487 376 + 295 3,158 2,953 621 3%	447 1,773 316 - 295 1,794 1,820 421 7%	67 336 82 0 418 394 91 0	692 1,290 124 0 1,414 1,414 662 17%
19th	McHenry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	- 351 4,163 44,344 151 0 44,495 44,243 4,215 30% + 52			 2,110 0 2,110 2,199 	- 983 579 334 0 0 334 278 635 56% + 56	+290 885 2,109 61 0 2,170 2,152 903 13% +18	+ 205 372 1,964 0 + 38 2,002 1,884 490 4% + 118	-26 179 997 5 -38 964 924 219 16% +40	+ 24 79 133 6 0 139 177 41 37% - 38	- 30 119 339 2 0 341 302 158 44% + 39

			Law ( \$15,	V=		15,000 less		ieous	5		l ations		on of ge
Circuit	County		Jury	Non- Jury	Jury	Non- Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Тах	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
19th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	1,449 805 169 + 286 1,260 1,247 1,462 41%	602 876 66 - 286 656 609 649 30%	157 81 10 +99 190 189 158 39%	880 3,124 192 - 99 3,217 2,914 1,183 14%	1,062 1,087 63 0 1,150 1,302 910 33%	274 564 18 0 582 553 303 36%	52 78 13 0 91 71 72 29%	275 54 6 0 60 70 265 88%	13 4 3 0 7 9 11 73%	0 35 0 35 35 0 0	1,872 3,559 102 0 3,661 3,922 1,611 10%
		Inventory (+ or –)	+13	+ 47	+1	+ 303	- 152	+ 29	+ 20	- 10	-2	0	- 261
20th	Monroe	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos	35 24 0 +3 27 11 51	25 14 0 -3 11 8 28 64%	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ +2 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{array} $	18 30 0 -2 28 24 22 54%	19 23 0 0 23 7 35 49%	14 30 0 30 31 13 54%	3 2 0 2 2 3 33%	3 2 0 2 3 2 50%	6 5 0 5 10 1 1		33 82 0 0 82 74 41
		Inventory (+ or – )	+ 16	+ 3	+ 3	54% +4	+ 16	54%	33%	50%	- 5	0	29% +8
20th	Perry	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	33 17 0 +2 19 17 35	30 24 0 - 2 22 13 39	0 1 +1 3 1 2	67 66 0 1 65 57 75	48 21 0 0 21 22 47	19 26 0 26 26 26 19	1 0 0 0 0 1	16 28 0 28 12 32	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59 141 0 141 141 134 66
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or –)	54% +2	49% +9	100% + 2	61% +8	74% -1	47% 0	100% 0	31% +16	0 0	0 0	48% +7
20th	Randolph	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	57 23 0 +6 29 25 61 61% +4	22 27 0 -6 21 14 29 48% +7	7 0 1 +1 2 2 7 86% 0	40 98 0 -1 97 60 77 35% +37	47 37 0 0 37 35 49 69% +2	74 56 0 0 56 42 88 75% +14	4 7 0 7 7 4 100% 0	13 6 0 6 8 11 91% -2	2 0 0 0 9 2 100% 0	17 260 0 260 241 36 6% +19	88 198 0 0 198 177 109 52% + 21
20th	St. Clair	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	2,053 555 5 + 220 780 843 1,990	548 603 18 - 220 401 427 522	$ \begin{array}{r} 141 \\ 47 \\ 3 \\ +52 \\ 102 \\ 180 \\ 63 \\ \end{array} $	1,149 2,492 93 - 52 2,533 3,216 466	508 394 0 0 394 550 352	242 241 0 241 266 217	38 6 11 0 17 45 10	760 260 0 260 74 946	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,057 1,688 183 0 1,871 2,007 921
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	66% -63	47% -26	51% - 78	39% - 683	43% 	47% - 25	40% - 28	73% +186	0 0	0 0	28% -136
20th	Washington	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	14 3 0 3 10 7	17 12 1 0 13 15 15	1 1 +1 3 1 3	12 40 0 -1 39 27 24	15 18 0 18 17 16	3 8 0 0 8 9 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 0 6 4 8	0 1 0 1 1 1 0	0 3 0 0 3 3 0	15 46 0 46 39 22
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	86% -7	40% - 2	33% +2	21% +12	25% +1	50% -1	0 0	62% +2	0 0	0 0	32% +7

			Law ( \$15,	5,000 Non-	or l	\$15,000 less Non-	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
Circuit	County	/	Jury	Jury	Jury	Jury	0	Σ	ů.	H	Σ	2	
20th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More	2,192 622 5 + 231 858 906 2,144	642 680 19 - 231 468 477 633	149 51 6 + 57 114 185 78	1,286 2,726 93 - 57 2,762 3,384 664	637 493 0 493 631 499	352 361 0 361 374 339	46 15 11 0 26 54 18	798 302 0 302 101 999	8 6 0 6 11 3	17 263 0 263 244 36	1,252 2,155 183 0 2,338 2,431 1,159
		Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or – )	65% - 48	48% - 9	53% - 71	41% -622	48% 	55% 	56% - 28	68% + 201	67% - 5	6% +19	32% -93
	Downstate Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	16,619 8,085 511 + 2,270 10,866 10,707 16,778 51% + 159	7,308 8,054 532 -2,262 6,324 6,212 7,420 45% +112	1,793 591 106 + 728 1,425 1,785 1,433 52% - 360	14,672 30,145 1,105 - 730 30,520 30,813 14,379 <u>36%</u> - 293	11,395 10,283 304 0 10,587 11,038 10,944 45% - 451	4,635 6,465 88 0 6,553 6,560 4,628 47% - 7	463 434 46 0 480 462 481 48% +18	4,572 6,611 73 0 6,684 6,290 4,966 67% + 394	186 159 37 0 196 139 244 62% +58	974 4,341 11 0 4,352 4,649 677 46% - 297	19,179 36,928 597 0 37,525 37,594 19,010 31% - 169
	Cook	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	56,295 5,042 2,031 + 14,044 21,117 19,300 58,112 75% + 1,817	16,454 21,364 1,563 - 14,044 8,883 8,138 17,188* 50% + 734	12,590 4,679 1,080 + 5,002 10,761 10,224 13,408* 57% + 818	50,318 104,905 3,848 - 3,878 104,875 97,989 57,131* 16% + 6,813	32,109 21,093 1,509 0 22,602 22,765 32,003* 60% - 106	5,594 4,311 910 0 5,221 7,119 3,696* 46% -1,898	358 158 49 0 207 274 291 56% - 67	105,962 13,409 2,858 0 16,267 22,330 100,904* 88% - 5,058	277 38 0 0 38 41 274 89% -3	111 6,066 0 6,066 5,971 206 0 + 95	12,592 25,822 3,096 0 28,918 28,637 12,873 34% + 281
	State Total	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Net Added Pending at End Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	72,914 13,127 2,542 +16,314 31,983 30,007 74,890 70% +1,976	23,762 29,418 2,095 -16,306 15,207 14,350 24,608* 48% +846	14,383 5,270 1,186 +5,730 12,186 12,009	64,990 135,050 4,953 - 4,608 135,395 128,802 71,510* 20% + 6,250	43,504 31,376 1,813 0 33,189 33,803	10,229 10,776 998 0 11,774 13,679	821 592 95 0 687 736 772 51% - 49	110,534 20,020 2,931 0 22,951 28,620 105,870* 87% - 4,664	463 197 37 0 234 180	1,085 10,407 11 0,418 10,620 883 35% - 202	31,771 62,750 3,693 0 66,443 66,231 31,883 32% +112

\*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or - intervening transactions.
\*\*These types of cases are included under the misdemeanor category for the Circuit Court of Cook County. The figures listed are for Downstate Illinois only.
\*\*The felony category for Downstate includes felony complaints, preliminary hearings, indictments and informations. The felony category for Cook County does not include preliminary hearings of which 28,943 were pending at the start of 1984, 37,115 were filed, 5,479 were reinstated, 42,984 were disposed of with 24,947 findings of probable cause and 13,452 were pending at the end of 1984. These figures are included in the total column.
#The misdemeanor category for Cook County includes ordinance violations, conservation violations, and all misdemeanors.
##Includes "hang-on" tickets (parking violations) for District One of the Circuit Court of Cook County (City of Chicago) and other appropriate municipalities.

	Circuit	20th			
	County	Circuit Total	Downstate Total	Cook	State Total
		Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added Disposed of Pending at End Pending More Than 12 mos Inventory (+ or -)	Pending at Start Filed Reinstated Transferred Net Added
al	Total	21,646 81,519 3,856 0 85,375 85,308 21,145 50% - 501	271,277 1,528,483 20,600 0 1,549,083 1,527,528 259,742 48% - 11,535	467,791 6,116,061 95,261 0 6,211,322 4,246,133 509,590 46% + 41,799	739,068 7,644,544 115,861 0
Conservation Violations	Cons Vi	 294 0 294 294 		# # #	
Traffic Violations	Traff Vi	53,225 0 53,225 52,511 - -			
Ordinance Violations	Ordi Vi	3,181 0 3,181 3,160 —		## ## 	
Probate	Prob	3,972 1,090 873 0 1,963 1,629 4,306 78% + 334	75,247 19,555 2,811 0 22,366 27,344 70,269 77% - 4,978	20,618 11,001 371 0 11,372 10,123 21,867 63% +1,249	95,865 30,556 3,182 0
Small Claims	Sma Cl	2,211 5,803 433 0 6,236 5,905 2,542 7% + 331	45,772 115,951 3,494 -6 119,439 122,609 42,602 29% -3,170	14,158 96,855 2,471 -1,124 98,202 95,935 16,165* 23% +2,007	59,930 212,806 5,965 
Misdemeanors	Misd	4,517 6,723 1,407 + 199 8,329 8,240 4,606 43% + 89	32,233 75,837 3,190 + 3,285 82,312 83,604 30,941 27% -1,292	68,858 324,668 44,040 + 651 369,359 345,712 120,376* 19% + 51,518	101,091 400,505 47,230
Auc	Felony	418 1,208 22 - 199 1,031 1,202 414* 11% - 4	9,964 23,273 1,729 -3,285 21,717 22,693 9,848* 16% -116	8,676 20,118 4,923 - 651 24,390 23,293 9,773 13% +1,097	18,640 43,391 6,652
Juvenile	Juve	1,302 776 485 0 1,261 1,712 851 64% - 451	7,409 9,386 715 0 10,101 10,971 6,539 41% - 870	7,810 17,882 116 0 17,998 16,337 9,471 18% +1,661	15,219 27,268 831
ylir	Family	1,847 1,545 319 0 1,864 1,857 1,854 53% +7	18,856 19,817 775 0 20,592 20,865 18,583 56% - 273	26,068 27,844 20,917 0 48,761 49,571 22,400* 34% - 3,668	44,924 47,661 21,692
## SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1984\*

	C	Total Law Jury ases Disposed Of	f		er of Law Jury C ninated By Verdi		Average Time Elapsed in Months
Circuit	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less*	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less*	Total	For Cases Terminate By Verdict
1st	267	45	312	23	4	27	21.9
2nd	224	44	268	13	2	15	22.8
3rd	996	253	1,249	63	60	123	33.2
4th	257	41	298	25	1	26	24.8
5th	277	27	304	18	2	20	23.2
6th	542	68	610	45	4	49	24.9
7th	350	36	386	22	2	24	25.8
8th	142	27	169	20	2	22	23.1
9th	183	42	225	16	1	17	23.4
10th	797	113	910	48	6	54	26.3
11th	303	43	346	39	4	43	33.3
12th	922	160	1,082	69	7	76	16.3
13th	430	55	485	30	2	32	28.8
14th	395	63	458	31	3	34	26.1
15th	120	24	144	7	0	7	26.6
16th	677	152	829	45	5	50	28.4
17th	339	46	385	25	2	27	23.8
18th	1,333	172	1,505	80	10	90	23.1
19th	1,247	189	1,436	69	10	79	23.6
20th	906	185	1,091	73	13	86	36.4
Downstate Total	10,707	1,785	12,492	761	140	901	26.8
Cook County	19,300	10,224	29,524	599	493	1,092	38.6
State Total	30,007	12,009	42,016	1,360	633	1,993	33.3

\*In some circuits and counties, small claims cases with a jury demand are transferred to the law jury (\$15,000 or less) call and disposed of in like manner.

## SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW CASES TERMINATED BY VERDICT

	Cas	ses Terminated	By Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts		apsed Between and Date of Ve	
	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Downstate Total	901	112.3	2.1	26.7
Cook County	1,092	97.0	1.0	38.6
State Total	1,993	112.3	1.0	33.3

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1984

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	rdict			
		Law Over	Law \$15.000		Law Over	Law \$15.000		Under 1	1 Year to	1½ Years	2 Years to	2½ Years	3 Years to	3½ Years	Over 4	Average 1 Law Over	ime Lapse ( Law \$15,000	(Months)
Circuit	County	\$15,000	or Less	Total	\$15,000	or Less	Total	Year	11/2 Years	2 Years	21/2 Years	3 Years	31/2 Years	4 Years	Years	\$15,000	or Less	Total
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson	8 72 11 18 3 7 36 20 92	4 14 3 5 1 0 5 5 8	12 86 14 23 4 7 41 25 100	1 6 2 2 0 1 3 2 6	1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1	2 7 2 2 0 1 4 2 7	0 0 1 - 1 0 0	1 1 1 - 0 1 1 1	0 3 0  0 1 1 2	1 2 0 	0 1 1 0 - 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 	0 0 0  0 0 0 0	0 0 0  0 0 0 0 0	16.7 22.5 23.5 15.3  9.6 27.3 18.2 24.3	26.3 21.2   17.3  23.1	21.5 22.3 23.5 15.3  9.6 24.8 18.2 24.1
1st	Circuit Total	267	45	312	23	4	27	3	7	7	6	4	0	0	0	21.9	22.0	21.9
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White	15 2 90 3 8 4 47 8 21 7 11 8	10 1 11 0 1 0 16 1 2 1 0 1	25 3 101 3 9 4 63 9 23 8 11 9 23	1 0 3 0 1 0 3 2 2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	1 0 3 0 1 0 4 2 2 0 1 1		0  0  2 2 2 0  1 1	1 	0  1  1  1 0 1  0 0	0  1  0 0 0  0 0 0  0 0			0  1  0  0 0 0  0 0 1	23.6 		23.6 
2nd	Circuit Total	224	44	268	13	2	15	0	6	3	4	1	0	0	1	23.9	15.7	22.8
3rd 3rd	Bond Madison Circuit Total	17 979 996	2 251 253	19 1,230 1,249	1 62 63	0 60 60	1 122 123	0 3 3	0 4 4	1 19 20	0 16 16	0 23 23	0 22 22	0 18 18	0 17 17	21.8 35.2 35.0		21.8 33.3 33.2
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	22 5 32 25 19 10 90 29 25 257	1 2 5 3 4 3 15 6 2 41	23 7 37 28 23 13 105 35 27 298	2 1 2 3 1 1 9 3 3 25	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	2 1 2 3 1 1 10 3 3 26	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	0 2 1 1 0 4 0 1 9	1 0 1 0 2 1 0 5	0 1 0 0 1 2 2 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2	36.3 25.1 16.9 14.5 17.9 27.1 28.4 25.4 22.8 24.8	  25.7  25.7	36.3 25.1 16.9 14.5 17.9 27.1 28.2 25.4 22.8 24.8
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	19 81 10 22 145 277	0 5 9 2 11 27	19 86 19 24 156 304	2 7 0 3 6 18	0 1 0 0 1 2	2 8 0 3 7 20	1 0  1 0 2	1 3  1 2 7	0 2 	0 2 - 1 1 4	0 0 - 0 1 1	0 0  0 0 0	0 0  0 0 0	0 1 - 0 0 1	12.7 28.3 — 18.0 26.8 24.4	 6.9  18.4 12.7	12.7 25.6 — 18.0 25.6 23.2
5th 6th 6th	Circuit Total	313 15 22 163 12 17 542	31 0 7 21 2 7 68	304 344 15 29 184 14 24 610	20 3 2 17 1 2 45	2 0 0 2 0 0 4	20 22 3 2 19 1 2 49	1 0 1 7 0 0 9	5 1 3 1 1 1 1 12	6 1 0 2 0 1 10	3 0 0 1 0 0 4	3 1 0 1 0 0 5	3 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 2 0 0 2	1 0 2 0 0 3	27.3 22.3 13.2 27.8 15.1 18.8 25.9	14.9  12.2  13.6	26.2 22.3 13.2 26.2 15.1 18.8 24.9

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1984

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	erdict			
Circuit	County	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over \$15,000	Law \$15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Average T Law Over \$15,000	ime Lapse ( Law \$15,000 or Less	Months) Total
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	11 22 42 32 242 1 350	0 6 3 26 1 36	11 22 48 35 268 2 386	0 1 2 17 0 22	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 1 2 2 19 0 24	- 1 0 1 - 2		 0 1 4  5	- 0 1 0 2 - 3	- 0 1 0 3 - 4					  16.7  16.7	
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	65 9 18 17 9 8 7 142	15 2 0 1 0 0 5 4 27	80 11 9 19 17 9 13 11 169	6 1 3 3 2 1 20	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	8 1 3 3 2 1 22	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 1 2 1 0 0 6	4 0 1 0 1 1 1 8	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2	21.2 28.3 15.7 14.0 31.1 20.9 36.0 23.6 23.2	21.3     21.3	21.2 28.3 15.7 14.0 31.1 20.9 36.0 23.6 23.1
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	64 3 7 62 33 14 183	1 2 3 25 7 4 42	65 5 10 87 40 18 225	6 0 5 4 1 16	0 0 0 0 0 1 1	6 0 5 4 2 17	2  0 0 1 3	1  1 0 0 2	2  0 1 0 3	1 — 3 1 0 5	0  1 1 0 2	0  0 0 1 1	0  0 1 0 1	0  0 0 0 0	17.0 — 26.5 30.0 31.6 24.1	   11.8 11.8	17.0  26.5 30.0 21.7 23.4
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	9 596 5 2 185 797	4 88 0 0 21 113	13 684 5 2 206 910	0 36 2 0 10 48	1 4 0 0 1 6	1 40 2 0 11 54	0 3 0 - 1 4	0 4 0  1 5	1 5 0 - 3 9	0 5 2 - 2 9	0 9 0  1 10	0 6 0  1 7	0 5 0  1 6	0 3 0  1 4		18.5 19.3  20.2 19.3	18.5 28.2 29.1  28.1 26.3
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	13 43 27 201 19 303	1 3 7 29 3 43	14 46 34 230 22 346	2 4 2 30 1 39	0 1 0 3 0 4	2 5 2 33 1 43	0 1 0 2 0 3	1 0 7 0 8	0 3 1 3 1 8	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 7 0 8	1 0 3 0 4	0 0 3 0 3	0 1 0 7 0 8	28.1 32.1 29.7 35.8 20.3 34.3	 5.6  29.6  23.6	28.1 26.8 29.7 35.2 20.3 33.3
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	29 168 725 922	14 50 96 160	43 218 821 1,082	1 5 63 69	2 1 4 7	3 6 67 76	0 0 38 38	1 1 12 14	0 2 7 9	0 1 4 5	0 1 3 4	0 0 1 1	1 0 2 3	1 1 0 2	51.9 29.1 14.5 16.1	30.1 23.2 11.8 18.7	37.3 28.1 14.4 16.3
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	51 82 297 430	12 7 36 55	63 89 333 485	5 6 19 30	1 0 1 2	6 6 20 32	0 0 2 2	1 1 3	4 1 4 9	0 1 6 7	1 0 3 4	0 0 2 2	0 1 0 1	0 2 2 4	22.1 41.0 28.2 29.7	19.1 — 11.2 15.2	21.6 41.0 27.4 28.8
14th 14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	44 19 282 50 395	4 5 52 2 63	48 24 334 52 458	5 0 21 5 31	0 0 3 0 3	5 0 24 5 34	2  1 0 3	1  2 5	1  6 1 8	1  8 1 10	0  2 0 2	0  3 0 3	0  1 0 1	0  1 1 2	17.3 	 23.1  23.1	17.3  25.5 38.2 26.1

			w Jury Case Disposed Of			of Law Jury nated by Ve					Time Lapse F	or All Law Ju	ry Cases Tern	ninated by Ve	rdict			
		Law	Law		Law	Law		Under	1 Year	1½ Years	2 Years	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> Years	3 Years	3½ Years	Over	Average T Law	ime Lapse (	(Months)
Circuit	County	Over \$15,000	\$15,000 or Less	Total	Over \$15,000	\$15,000 or Less	Total	1 Year	to 1½ Years	to 2 Years	to 2½ Years	to 3 Years	to 3½ Years	to 4 Years	4 Years	Over \$15,000	\$15,000 or Less	Total
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	7 5 31 40 37 120	0 1 7 3 13 24	7 6 38 43 50 144	0 0 2 3 2 7	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 2 7	 0 0 0	- 1 0 1 2	- 0 1 0 1			0 2 0 2	 0 0 0 0	 0 0 0 0	 21.3 33.1 22.3 26.6		 21.3 33.1 22.3 26.6
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	72 562 43 677	18 132 2 152	90 694 45 829	6 38 1 45	2 3 0 5	8 41 1 50	0 2 0 2	2 4 0 6	1 6 1 8	2 13 0 15	0 7 0 7	1 4 0 5	0 3 0 3	2 2 0 4	40.9 28.1 20.5 29.6	18.6 16.2 — 17.2	35.3 27.2 20.5 28.4
17th 17th	Boone . Winnebago . Circuit Total .	23 316 339	2 44 46	25 360 385	2 23 25	0 2 2	2 25 27	0 2 2	1 4 5	1 4 5	0 8 8	0 4 4	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 1 1	16.7 25.1 24.4		16.7 24.4 23.8
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	1,333 1,333	172 172	1,505 1,505	80 80	10 10	90 90	18 18	21 21	17 17	11 11	14 14	3 3	4 4	2 2	24.1 24.1	15.1 15.1	23.1 23.1
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	1,069 178 1,247	154 35 189	1,223 213 1,436	50 19 69	6 4 10	56 23 79	11 4 15	20 3 23	7 2 9	8 8 16	4 2 6	2 1 3	2 2 4	2 1 3	22.4 28.5 24.1	18.5 23.1 20.3	22.0 27.6 23.6
20th	Monroe	11 17 25 843 10 906	1 1 2 180 1 185	12 18 27 1,023 11 1,091	1 1 2 69 0 73	0 0 13 0 13	1 1 2 82 0 86	1 0 4  5	0 0 7 - 7	0 0 2 8 	0 1 0 5 -	0 0 27 	0 0 10  10	0 0 15  15	0 0 6  6	11.3 27.1 19.1 37.8 - 36.8	  34.2  34.2	11.3 27.1 19.1 37.2  36.4
	Downstate Total	10,707	1,785	12,492	761	140	901	118	158	159	139	128	71	64	64	27.1	25.3	26.8
	Cook County	19,300	10,224	29,524	599	493	1,092	43	41	58	189	241	291	132	97	43.1	33.1	38.6
	State Total	30,007	12,009	42,016	1,360	633	1,993	161	199	217	328	369	362	196	161	34.1	31.4	33.3

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1984

							NOT CONVIC	LIED	<b>T</b> ·			
					Reduc	ed or Disr			Iried	But Not Co	onvicted	
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Dismiss	ed by State Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.*	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson	114 408 98 86 71 96 180 87 619	65 215 65 57 47 70 79 56 477	8 13 1 0 0 0 1 2	0 0 1 2 0 0 4 0 3	37 166 28 18 9 39 37 29 215	6 26 16 6 3 6 10 5 197	11 0 18 29 35 25 27 27 21 56	0 9 1 0 0 0 0 1	2 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 191 33 29 24 26 101 31 139
1st	Circuit Total	1,759	1,131	26	10	578	275	222	11	8	1	623
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	62 40 161 42 35 28 314 58 142 80 102 90 1,154	33 28 76 25 14 23 137 36 94 51 71 27 615	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 6	0 2 5 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 16	12 17 48 14 4 10 60 19 57 23 35 17 316	4 3 10 3 4 3 32 3 9 7 8 5 91	15 6 9 7 4 10 44 14 28 21 19 1 178	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 3 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 12 85 17 21 5 177 22 47 29 30 63 537
3rd	Bond Madison	54 998	21 404	2 4	0 26	10 114	5 158	4 95	03	0 4	0	33 590**
3rd	Circuit Total	1,052	425	6	26	124	163	99	3	4	0	623**
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	123 66 84 78 66 35 263 152 38 905	77 26 31 47 44 14 147 52 28 466	10 2 0 2 0 5 0 1 20	4 0 1 0 0 0 0 5	20 15 11 22 17 5 53 28 13 184	15 8 10 6 4 5 61 12 3 124	26 1 10 18 20 4 26 12 11 128	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 2	1 0 0 1 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	46 40 53 31 22 21 116 100 10 439
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	74 207 37 92 510 920	15 93 30 41 267 446	1 1 0 5 7	0 0 1 0 2 3	4 23 19 12 91 149	3 33 4 9 76 125	7 35 6 20 84 152	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 9 10	0 0 0 0 0 0	59 114 7 51 241** 472**
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	879 65 80 840 33 56 1,953	472 41 52 523 6 38 1,132	2 2 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 2 1 2 5	252 18 15 269 0 14 568	38 3 4 79 4 3 131	0 18 31 149 0 18 216	4 0 3 0 7	1 0 2 21 1 1 26	175 0 0 0 0 0 0 175	400 24 28 314 27 18 811
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	63 39 281 93 733 13 1,222	42 19 222 46 395 6 730	13 0 2 0 5 0 20	5 1 0 7 0 13	4 5 96 17 172 3 297	3 3 67 4 73 1 151	15 10 56 24 123 1 229	0 0 0 6 0 6	2 0 1 1 9 1 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 20 59 47** 337** 7 490**
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	410 24 30 68 56 36 128 30 782	245 18 10 44 28 16 65 17 443	15 1 0 0 1 2 0 19	10 0 0 0 0 1 1 12	124 5 7 14 10 5 41 1 207	17 4 7 5 3 11 9 57	75 7 2 23 13 5 9 5 139	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	3 1 0 0 2 0 1 7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	164 6 20 24 28 20 63 13 338

 8th
 Circuit I otal
 782
 443
 19
 12
 207
 57
 139
 2
 7
 0
 338

 \*Includes defendants whose cases were dismissed with leave to reinstate as a result of a bond forfeiture or failure to appear. In addition, please note, not all circuits follow these procedure

 \*\*Indicates at least 1 of 112 defendants who were convicted of a felony and found to be mentally ill.

\*\*\*Includes defendants declared to be sexually dangerous and committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections.

		-	LOIN					CII/							10115					
												TED	ONVIC	C						
		Found		ry	d By Ju	onvicted	Сс			urt	By Co	nvicted	Со				Guilty	Plea of		
		Unfit to																		
		Stand Trail			355	Cla					155	Cla					55	Cla		
Circuit	County	***	4	3	2	1	х	м	4	3	2	1	Х	м	4	3	2	1	X	М
1st	Alexander Jackson Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline Union Williamson Circuit Total	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 5	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 6 0 1 0 1 0 1 9	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 5	0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 5 8	0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 3	0 7 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 25 12 7 4 4 16 6 25 106	20 52 9 7 7 12 43 15 44 209	14 63 12 4 11 9 27 5 43 188	4 21 0 5 2 0 13 1 11 57	1 7 0 0 1 0 1 3 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 2 0 1 0 8 1 0 0 0 0 0 12	0 2 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 6	0 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 7	0 4 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	4 1 7 1 2 4 17 5 13 4 8 13 79	15 5 27 10 4 0 37 10 16 18 12 36 190	8 6 30 5 6 1 97 5 14 7 5 10 194	2 0 7 0 1 9 1 1 0 1 3 25	0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Bond	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	190	194	0	0	0
3rd	Madison Circuit Total	4	4	0	4	2 2	2 2	0	2 2	3	1 1	4** 4**	1 1	0	89 91	233** 252**	197 209	33** 33**	13** 13**	2 2
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 2 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 10 22 7 5 3 18 27 3 104	18 9 16 11 11 9 42 39 3 158	14 21 13 10 6 3 9 23 23 2 131	3 0 2 1 0 6 9 4 0 25	0 0 1 0 4 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 3 1 4
	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 10 11	0 0 0 5 5	0 1 0 2 3	0 0 0 4 4	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	16 25 2 8 54 105	21 56 2 23 80 182	22 27 2 19 65 135	0 3 1 18** 23**	0 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0
	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	7 0 3 0 0 10	4 0 8 0 0 12	8 0 9 1 0 18	8 0 3 1 1 13	2 2 0 0 1 0 5	7 0 6 0 0 13	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 4 0 4	3 1 0 3 1 1 9	1 0 1 0 0 2	2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	123 4 8 103 3 2 243	108 4 13 68 16 10 219	97 9 6 68 4 4 188	31 3 1 31 0 0 66	5 1 0 6 0 0 12	1 0 0 0 0 0 1
	Green Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 4	0 0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 6 0 6	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	4 3 16 5 43 0 71	8 15 23 20 104 5 175	5 2 13 14 114 0 148	2 0 7 5** 41 0 55**	0 0 1 17** 0 18**	0 0 0 3 0 3
	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 0 4 7 10 2 21 3 86	52 5 8 7 9 4 8 9 102	54 1 4 9 7 6 32 0 113	8 0 2 0 2 8 0 0 20	1 0 1 0 2 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

							NOT CONVIC	CTED			and a second	
					Reduc	ed or Disr			Tried	d But Not Co	onvicted	
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Dismiss	ed by State Transfer to Warrant Calendar, etc.*	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Total Convicted
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	139 116 32 140 135 91 653	67 78 10 46 42 49 292	0 2 0 1 2 3 8	1 0 0 1 0 3	50 23 6 27 12 31 149	7 3 9 8 5 35	8 49 7 19 10 94	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	72 38 22 93 93 42 360
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	55 1,292 9 10 306 1,672	46 732 4 4 154 940	0 0 0 0 0	0 11 0 0 0 11	13 230 1 0 33 277	3 384 1 1 63 452	30 81 2 3 49 165	0 9 0 0 6 15	0 16 0 0 3 19	0 1 0 0 0	9 554** 5 6 152 726**
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	36 171 85 437 94 823	30 84 50 202 51 417	2 3 3 0 0 8	2 8 3 5 3 21	11 20 20 67 23 141	3 7 7 42 4 63	11 41 14 13 13 92	0 3 2 4 4 13	1 2 1 10 4 18	0 0 0 61 0 61	6 86** 35 233 43 403**
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	103 436 1,312 1,851	43 238 683 964	1 1 29 31	0 0 18 18	18 113 565 696	10 18 56 84	14 91 0 105	0 7 10 17	0 5 5 10	0 3 0 3	60 197 627 884
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	78 91 281 450	27 51 107 185	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2	12 28 44 84	6 5 9 20	8 17 53 78	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	51 40 172 263
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	171 59 727 239 1,196	65 46 298 82 491	3 2 4 4 13	0 0 27 0 27	16 42 248 31 337	0 0 0 19 19	46 2 15 27 90	0 0 1 1	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 0 0 0	106 13 426 155 700
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	56 60 239 132 175 662	32 53 122 19 54 280	0 0 14 0 2 16	1 0 2 2 1 6	12 34 57 3 25 131	4 3 7 9 9 32	15 16 40 0 15 86	0 0 2 1 0 3	0 0 4 2 6	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 7 117 113 121** 382**
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	141 1,205 76 1,422	32 744 53 829	9 20 7 36	0 19 0 19	2 377 31 410	11 164 7 182	6 149 6 161	4 7 0 11	0 6 2 8	0 2 0 2 2	109 458** 23 590**
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	101 1,736 1,837	63 1,305 1,368	1 10 11	1 29 30	43 422 465	6 451 457	11 371 382	1 13 14	0 9 9	0 0 0	38** 426** 464**
18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2,664 2,664	1,685 1,685	169 169	34 34	973 973	215 215	239 239	39 39	16 16	0	971** 971**
19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	2,176 962 3,138	1,665 1,471 798 2,269	60 465 525	8 1 9	973 910 244 1,154	153 48 201	239 296 38 334	12 1 13	27 1 28	5 0 5	698** 162 860**
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	68 97 82 1,194 30 1,471	43 39 20 547 15 664	3 1 0 70 0 74	0 1 0 3 0 4	13 13 8 167 3 204	4 12 5 147 3 171	22 12 4 154 8 200	0 0 2 0 2	1 0 3 4 1 9	0 0 0 0 0 0	25 58 62 641 15 801
	Downstate Total Cook County State Total	27,586 44,019**** 71,605****	15,772 25,743 41,515	999 4,318 5,317	274 354 628	7,444 13,478 20,922	3,048 4,326 7,374	3,389 780 4,169	162 2325 <sup>a</sup> 2,487	208 162 370	248 0 248	11,737** 17,957** 29,694**

\*Includes defendants whose cases were dismissed with leave to reinstate as a result of a bond forfeiture or failure to appear. In addition, please note, not all circuits follow these procedures a set of a bond for the set of the se \*\*Includes defendants whose cases were distinised with leave to restrict as a result of a bond forfettine of ratifier to appear. In a \*\*\*Indicates at least 1 of 112 defendants who were convicted of a felony and found to be mentally ill. \*\*\*Includes defendants declared to be sexually dangerous and committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections. \*\*\*\*Does not include 24,947 defendants whose preliminary hearings were disposed of as a result of findings of probable cause. alncludes 119 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

VINCE         VINCE         VINCE         Converte           Converte									CIII												
N         N         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         0													ΓED	ONVIC	C						
N         N         1         2         3         4         N         7         2         3         4         N         N         N         2         3         4         N			Found		ry	d By Ju	onvicted	Co			urt	By Co	nvicted	Со				Guilty	Plea of		
			Unfit																		
Image: series interm         Image: s																					
m         n			Trail				Cla														
0         3         2         1         15         10         8         0					3		1								_						
0         0         1         1         1         1         0	9th																				
0         0		Henderson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	12	1	0	
0         0																					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9th																				
0         22*         5         1         1         1         1         1         0         0         1         3         4         7         2         5         5         10         5         5         6																					
0       0       2       2       3       5       5       5       6       0		Peoria	6	5	5	10	5	5	2	7	4	3	1	0	0	138	149	147	51	22**	0
1       27**       15       15       16       16       16       10       1       1       7       5       7       5       17       15       12       5       6       16       10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td>								0												0	
0         0	10th	Tazewell Circuit Total						2													
0       4**       4**       20       40*       14       0       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0																					
0       5       1       67       75       39       1       0       1       1       10       1       10       1       10       0       5       1       4       5       11       4       5       11       4       5       11       4       5       11       5       11       10		Livingston	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14	40**	20	4**	4**	0
0       0       0       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       0																					
0         0         4         23         74         37         74         37         1         0         0 <td>11th</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	11th					0						2									
3       2       10       66       74       73       23       90       1       0       0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>										_											
3       23       75       23       275       178       3       0       2       5       5       2       16       10       2       10       6       2       3		Kankakee	1	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	37	74	66	11	2	0
2       2       4       43       65       5       0	12th																				
2       10       10       47       97       55       0       0       2       0       0       0       1       2	13th	Bureau	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	18	15	1		0
2       12       15       77       97       56       0       2       1       0       1       2       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0 <td></td>																					
0       0       1       1       1       4       7       0	13th						2							2							
3       28       38       107       154       89       0       0       2       1       1       1       0       1       0       1       0       1       0       2       1       1       0       0       1       0       1       0       2       1       1       0       0       1       0       1       0       2       1       0       1       0       0       2       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       1       0<	14th																				
3       30       63       188       234       169       0       0       2       1       0       2       1       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       1       0       0       0       0       1       0																					
0       0	14th																				
0       0       2       3       1       0       0       0       0       1       0														-							
0       0       19       34       125       26       0       0       1       5       3       0       0       2       2       2       2       2       1       0 <td></td> <td> Jo Daviess</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>		Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	0
1       34       123       109       88       0       0       1       6*       6       3       0       2       2       2       4       1       0		Ogle				0	0	0													
0       2       6       47       34       18       0       0       1       0       0       3       0       0       3       0       0       3       0       0       3       0       0       3       0       0       3       0       0       0       0       0       3       0	15th					2 2															
1       9       34       92       127       141       0       2       4       12       4       4       3**       6       3       8       4       4       3																					
1       11       42       142       167       170       0       2       4       13       5       4       3**       6       3       9       4       4       3		Kane			4	8	3	6						2	0	141		92	34	9	1
4       20       34       121**       148**       65       2       5       2       3       7       2       5       3       2       3       0       0       5	16th																				
4       22       37       131**       155**       78       2       6       2       3       8       2       5       3       2       4       0       0       5	17th																				
2**       15       79**       163       369       240       5       9**       15       17       23**       8       4       8       2       4       5       3       8      Circuit Total      18th         0       15       47       190**       214**       172       1       2       0       3       4       4       8**       13       9       4       10       2       7	17th																				
0       15       47       190**       214**       172       1       2       0       3       4       4       8**       13       9       4       10       2       7	18th		8				2		4	8		17				240	369	163	79**	15	
1       2       13       36       49       51       0       0       1       1       0       1       2       3       0       0       1       1       2       3       0       0       1       1       2       3       0       0       1       1       2       3       0       0       1       1       1       1       2       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       0       0       0       0       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 <td> 18th</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>8</td> <td>4</td> <td>8</td> <td>23**</td> <td>17</td> <td>15</td> <td>9**</td> <td>5</td> <td>240</td> <td>369</td> <td>163</td> <td>79**</td> <td>15</td> <td>2**</td>	18th		8	3	5	4	2	8	4	8	23**	17	15	9**	5	240	369	163	79**	15	2**
1       17       60       226**       263**       223       1       2       1       4       4       5       10**       16       9       4       11       3       9	19th																				
0       2       7       6       23       16       0       1       1       1       0	19th																				
0       2       3       11       24       16       0	20th																				
12       24       45       160       270       100       1       0       2       1       3       1       3       9       2       0       8       0       6		Randolph		2				2													
12       29       61       187       326       141       1       4       4       3       1       3       12       2       9       3       6      Cricuit Total      20th         39**       277**       896**       3,218**       3,971**       2,516       14       39**       77**       13**       49       59**       12       61       91       11       51       77      Constant Total      20th         58       966**       1,570**       3,895**       6,405**       2,228**       64       38**       326**       37**       10*       58**       53       58       3.5       3.9      CockCounty																					
58 966* 1,570* 3,895* 6,405* 2,228* 64 388* 326* 547* 805* 278* 125* 110 58* 53 58 23 319Cook County	20th																				
		Downstate Total	77	51	111	91	61	112	59**	49	113**	77**	43**	39**	14	2,516	3,971**	3,218**	896**	277**	39**
97** 1,243** 2,466** 7,113** 10,376** 4,744** 78 427** 369** 624** 918** 327** 184** 222 119** 144 169 74 396 State Total		Cook County	319	23	58	53	58**	110	125**	278**	805**	547**	326**	388**	64	2,228**	6,405**	3,895**	1,570**	966**	58
		State Total	396	74	169	144	119**	222	184**	327**	918**	624**	369**	427**	78	4,744**	10,376**	7,113**	2,466**	1,243**	97**

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1984

		JEIN													SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death	Li				Impris	tate sonment	-			Impr		ent &			l (Illii	mprise nois D of Corr	epartr ectior	nent	(Illir	risonm nois D f Corr	odic nent & epartn ection	nent		Perio mprisc cal Co Institu	onmen rrectio ution)		(Loc	Peric risonme cal Cor Institu	ent & rectio ition)	
		Class	-	ass			-	lass					Cla					1	ass			CL	-			Cla	-			Cla		
Circuit	County	м	M	Х	M	X	1	2	3	4	М	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1st	Alexander Jackson** Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline** Union Williamson** Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	1 19 0 2 0 0 1 1 4 28	7 14 0 2 2 0 13 0 8 46	7 12 8 1 6 2 15 1 3 55	3 6 0 2 2 1 5 2 7 28	0 7 4 0 2 1 0 4 18	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 5 0 1 5 12	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 4	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 3	1 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 4
2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12	1 0 8 0 3 0 9 1 0 0 0 3 25	1 5 13 1 3 1 44 2 2 6 0 1 79	5 1 9 1 0 0 13 5 2 7 2 6 51	3 0 0 1 0 6 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 16	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 3	0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						
3rd	Bond Madison** Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 16* 16*	0 26* 26*	6 97 103	2 61 63*	1 42 43	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	1 3 4	0 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 2	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 6	1 0 1 0 0 4 0 0 6	3 0 2 0 5 9 3 1 23	4 7 3 2 1 2 17 6 2 44	11 0 1 3 5 4 12 13 2 51	4 0 11 2 2 1 8 11 0 39	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 4	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 2 1 1 0 0 1 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 5 6	0 2 1 1 16* 20*	5 12 0 9 19 45	2 18 0 7 12 39	1 10 1 3 4 19	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2	1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1

\*During 1984, 112 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be metally ill. The \* indicates that at least one of these 112 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

\*\*Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences from judges throughout Illinois. A breakdown by class shows: class one – 9 defendants

class two - 48 defendants

class three -53 defendants

class four - 48 defendants

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1984

		1																	5.				00									
															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death	Nat Li					ate onment				Impr	Sta isonm		Fine		(Illir	Perio mprisc nois De f Corre	onmer epartn	nent	(Illir	risonm nois D	odic nent & epartr ection	nent		Perio Impriso cal Co Institu	onmen rrectio		(Loo	Perio risonm cal Co Institu	rrecti	
		Class	Cla	ass			С	lass					Cla	ass				Cla	ass			CI	ass			Cla	155			Cla	ass	
Circuit	County	М	М	Х	M	Х	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
6th	Champaign** DeWitt Douglas Macon** Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 2	12 1 0 14 0 0 27	21 3 1 21 1 0 47	32 3 4 24 1 0 64	21 3 4 35 5 3 71	55 1 2 58 0 1 117	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 0 0 23* 0 23*	2 0 1 4* 32 0 39*	3 1 6 44 1 61	2 7 4 8 37 3 61	0 1 4 2 18 0 25	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4 0 4	2 0 0 1 0 3	1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	1 0 1 1 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 1 0 2 0 4	7 0 0 2 8 0 0 17	19 1 2 3 1 1 26 0 53	15 0 2 5 3 3 5 2 35	8 0 4 2 0 12 1 27	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 4 3 0 8	6 2 0 5 3 2 18	8 1 2 5 6 9 31	13 1 13 14 8 50	3 2 0 1 6 4 16	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0
10th	Marshall Peoria** Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 1 4	0 27* 0 0 8 35*	0 46 0 1 7 54	1 69 1 1 24 96	0 50 1 0 16 67	1 64 1 0 6 72	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean** Woodford Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5* 2 7 0 14*	0 3* 3 8 1 15*	0 1 28 5 36	0 19* 9 34 6 68*	1 1 2 11 1 16	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0

\*During 1984, 112 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be metally ill. The \* indicates that at least one of these 112 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

\*\*Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences from judges throughout Illinois.

A breakdown by class shows: class one - 9 defendants

class two - 48 defendants

class three - 53 defendants

class four - 48 defendants

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1984

															SEN	TENC	ES															
		Death		ural ife				tate sonment				Impr	Sta	ate ent &	Fine		(Illir	Perio mpriso nois Do f Corr	onmer epartn	nent	(Illir	risonn nois D	odic nent & epartn rection	nent		Perio Impriso ocal Co Institu	onmer rrectio		(Loc	Peric risonme cal Cor Institu	ent & rrectio	
		Class	CI	ass			C	lass					Cla	ass				Cla	ass			CI	ass			Cl	ass			Cla	155	
Circuit	County	М	м	X	М	Х	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	0 0 4 4	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 15 17	0 5 28 33	3 6 36 45	8 15 63 86	5 20 66 91	1 11 31 43	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	3 0 0 3	0 0 1 1							
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2	0 3 12 15	1 1 11 13	5 7 20 32	6 2 39 47	2 3 10 15	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0							
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0 3	3 0 25 0 28	2 1 22 14 39	7 0 33 19 59	5 0 29 5 39	6 2 17 11 36	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 0 4	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 4 5	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2 3	0 0 4 14 8 26	2 1 12 13 15 43	1 0 10 10 10 14 35	0 0 10 1 8 19	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 1 0 4	0 0 2 1 0 3	0 0 2 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 2 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 1 1
16th	DeKalb Kane** Kendall Circuit Total	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4* 0 4*	2 17 0 19	5 22 1 28	18 39 0 57	4 25 2 31	5 21 0 26	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2	0 1 0 1	0 5 0 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2	0 3 0 3
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 11 11	0 28 28	1 17 18	1 50* 51*	1 39* 40*	0 14 14	0 0 0	3 0 3	0 0 0	5* 0 5*	2 0 2	4 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 2	0 0 0	1 0 1
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	0 0	2 2	0 0	7* 7*	31* 31*	58 58	75 75	117* 117*	28 28	2 2	1 1	2 2	1 1	8 8	9 9	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 1	0 0	2 2	2 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
19th 19th	Lake** McHenry Circuit Total	1 0 1	3 0 3	0 0 0	5* 3 8*	28 5 33	27 6 33	41* 9 50*	42 9 51	13 11 24	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 3	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair** Washington Circuit Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 16 0 16	1 3 4 33 0 41	6 2 2 33 0 43	1 5 59 1 67	1 3 9 100 0 113	0 4 0 27 0 31	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 1	1 1 1 0 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 3	0 0 1 0 0
	Downstate Total	7	10	1	93*	410*	633*	1,187*	1,148*	644	2	17	9	42	32	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	27	18	2	13	28	14
	Cook County**	8	18	8	220*	1,451*	1,203*	1,788*	2,247*	771*	0	5	18	9	88	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	31	15	0	0	1	0
	State Total	15	28	9	313*	1,861*	1,836*	2,975*	3,395*	1,415*	2	22	27	51	120	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	30	58	33	2	13	29	14

\*During 1984, 112 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be metally ill. The \* indicates that at least one of these 112 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

\*\*Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 — 158 defendants have received such sentences from judges throughout Illinois. A breakdown by class shows: class one — 9 defendants

class two - 48 defendants

class three - 53 defendants

class four - 48 defendants

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1984 - continued

Image: series of the												SENTI	NCES								
Circuit         County         1         2         3         4         1         2         3         4         M         X         1         2         3         4           Ist         Alexander         0         0         0         1         0         1         2         4         0         5         14         1         0						0										Found Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	ed	
Ist         Alexander         0         0         0         1         0         1         2         4         0         5         14         1         0 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Cla</th><th>ass</th><th></th><th></th><th>CL</th><th>ass</th><th></th><th></th><th>CL</th><th>155</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>CI</th><th>ass</th><th></th><th></th><th>Total</th></t<>				Cla	ass			CL	ass			CL	155				CI	ass			Total
Jackson*         4         5         3         0         3         43         20         0         4         1         2         0         <	Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	Х	1	2	3	4	Sentences
Jackson**         4         5         3         0         3         43         20         0         4         1         2         0 <th< td=""><td>1st</td><td>Alexander</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>5</td><td>14</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>49</td></th<>	1st	Alexander	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	0	5	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
		lackson**	4		3	0		43		20	0	2.0		2	0	0	12.2	0		0	191
Massac         2         0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.2</td> <td></td> <td>1.22</td> <td>100</td> <td>33</td>			0			0			1000					1.00			1.2		1.22	100	33
Pope         0         0         0         0         5         2         0			-			1.000	10.77				1.04.0	1.20				~		-	1.651		29
Bolaski         0         0         0         0         0         2         10         11         0									-						1100			-			24
Saline**         0         0         0         0         13         13         15         17         0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-																		
Union         0         0         0         0         0         3         13         7         0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>26</td>			-		-		-					-		-		-		-	-	-	26
Ist.         Willingsort*         0         1         0         0         4         34         41         20         0			-	-	-	-						-					-				101
1st									1000								100	-			31
2nd         Crawford         1         2         4         0         0         4         5         1         0         1         0			-		1.2				0.00	Provent in	10.222	107			1.00		100	-	115.74		139
Edwards         0         0         0         0         1         1         3         1         0 </td <td>1st</td> <td>Circuit Total</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> <td>108</td> <td>162</td> <td>80</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> <td>21</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>623</td>	1st	Circuit Total	6	6	3	1	8	108	162	80	0	9	21	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	623
Franking         0         1         1         0         0         18         15         6         0         0         1         0	2nd				4	-		4		1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	29
Gallatin         0         <		Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Hamilton         0         0         2         2         1         0         1         2         0<		Franklin	0	1	1	0	0	18	15	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85
Hardin         0         0         0         4         0 <td></td> <td>Gallatin</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>17</td>		Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
befferson         1         30         16         6         0         29         10         5         0		Hamilton	0	2	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
lefferson		Hardin	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Lawrence         0         1         1         1         2         0         3         4         2         0<					16	6									1 222			-			177
Richland						2	-						-								22
Wash.       00       0       0       1       00       1       01       1       01       1       01       1       01       1       01 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>47</td>					0																47
Wayne         0         2         3         1         1         3         9         5         0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>29</td>					-																29
2nd       White       0       0       42       20       16       3       9       30       11       0       3       0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td></t<>									-												30
2nd       Circuit Total       2       42       27       16       3       81       113       45       0       3       1       0 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>63</td>																		-			63
3rd         Bond         0         3         5         1         0         1         0         1         0         3         8         0         0         0         0         3         0	2 1	CONTRACTOR AND IN D. P. S. C. S. S.	-																		
3rd	2nd	Circuit Iotal	2	42	27	16	3	81	113	45	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	537
3rd         Circuit Total         8*         59         73         20         5         51         110         31         0         0         3         0	3rd																		1.		33
Christian       O										18.2								- CO	1.2		590*
Clay	3rd	Circuit Total	8*	59	73	20	5	51	110	31	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	623*
Clinton         0         8         10         6         0         2         3         5         0<	4th	Christian	0	6	2	0	0	4	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46
Effingham         1         1         1         1         1         2         0         6         5         3         0		Clay	0	3	0	0	0	7	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Fayette         0         0         2         0         0         4         4         3         0 </td <td></td> <td>Clinton</td> <td>0</td> <td>8</td> <td>10</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>53</td>		Clinton	0	8	10	6	0	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
Fayette       0       0       2       0       0       4       4       3       0		Effingham	1	1	1	2	0	6	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Jasper       1       1       5       1       0       0       1       0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>22</td>			0	0	2	0	0	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Marion       0       11       19       3       0       9       10       7       0       2       2       0				1	5		0	0		1	1				2 C	0	22		0.00	125	21
Montgomery       1       1       0       3       0       7       22       10       0       10       4       3       0						3			-	7							-			~	116
Ath       A					1.000		-				1				220						100
4th       Circuit Total       3       31       39       15       0       39       57       45       0       12       6       3       0								1									-				10
Sth     Clark     0     9     8     9     0     7     10     5     0	4th																				439
Coles       0       7       23       8       2       8       16       7       0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>1.0</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-																1.0	-	
Cumberland         0         0         0         0         2         2         1         0	5th								1.000					1.2			-	· · · ·	1 e. 1	1.00	59
Edgar         0         0         2         0         0         8         10         2         0         2         4         2         0 <td></td> <td>1.00.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>1000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>114</td>											1.00.2					1000	1000				114
Vermilion 2 9 12 3 2 40 66 48 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	-				7
		0	-												-		-				51
										0.53						-		-	1.2		241*
5th       Circuit Total       2       25       45       20       4       65       104       63       0       2       4       2       0       0       0       0       0       0	5th	Circuit Total	2	25	45	20	4	65	104	63	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	472*

\*During 1984, 112 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be metally ill. The \* indicates that at least one of these 112 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

\*\*Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 — 158 defendants have received such sentences from judges throughout Illinois. A breakdown by class shows: class one — 9 defendants

class two — 48 defendants

class three - 53 defendants

class four — 48 defendants

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1984 - continued

											SENT	NCES								
			on or Con h Periodic				on or Conc her Discre				on or Cond lo Discreti				Found Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	ed	
			CI	ass			Cla	ass			Cl	ass				CI	ass	1		Total
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	м	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
6th 6th	Champaign** DeWitt Douglas Macon** Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 1 0 2 2 6	3 1 6 0 5 1 16	2 2 0 0 2 0 6	13 0 0 10 0 0 23	72 1 48 1 2 125	94 0 2 45 8 6 155	70 0 57 1 1 135	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 5 0 0 0 0 0 6	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	400 24 28 314 27 18 811
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 5 0 6	0 1 0 2 21 0 24	0 1 1 1 17 0 20	1 1 0 1 6 0 9	0 0 4 1 8 0 13	2 0 7 6 46 0 61	3 7 17 10 47 3 87	2 1 12 3 20 0 38	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 20 59 47* 337* 7 490*
8th 8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	7 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 10	17 1 0 2 0 0 2 2 22	6 0 0 5 0 0 1 12	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	28 0 2 4 4 5 5 0 48	24 3 4 2 4 1 2 5 45	25 0 5 3 2 7 2 47	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	164 6 20 24 28 20 63 13 338
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	1 0 0 3 0 4	6 6 2 0 4 0 18	8 3 1 0 5 0 17	3 2 1 0 0 0 6	0 0 1 5 2 0 8	7 6 23 17 6 65	11 5 4 26 17 6 69	4 2 10 6 30	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	72 38 22 93 93 42 360
10th	Marshall Peoria** Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	1 2 0 0 0 3	0 8 0 1 0 9	1 5 0 0 0 6	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 9 0 0 15 24	1 83 2 3 18 107	1 103 0 0 39 143	0 84 0 0 16 100	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	9 554* 5 6 152 726*
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean** Woodford Circuit Total	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 3 1 5	0 3 7 4 2 16	0 0 2 4 6	2 1 0 6 1 10	0 19 3 39 7 68	3 21 4 58 7 93	0 13 2 31 8 54	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	6 86* 35 233 43 403*

\*During 1984, 112 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be metally ill. The \* indicates that at least one of these 112 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

\*\*Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences from judges throughout Illinois.

A breakdown by class shows: class one - 9 defendants class two - 48 defendants

class two - 40 defendants class three - 53 defendants

class four - 48 defendants

#### SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1984 - continued

											SENTE	ences								
				ditional Di Imprisonr			on or Conc her Discre				on or Conc lo Discreti			I	ound Unf	it To Be S	entenced	or Execute	d	
			CL	1			Cla				Cla	1			1	1	ass	1		Total Sentences
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	Sentences
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	1 0 5 6	3 1 29 33	6 0 29 35	3 0 18 21	0 6 22 28	11 50 97 158	9 54 97 160	6 26 85 117	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	60 197 627 884						
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	0 2 0 2	10 2 0 12	6 4 0 10	6 0 0 6	0 1 2 3	1 4 23 28	5 5 28 38	7 2 25 34	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	51 40 172 263
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	0 0 9 0 9	0 0 31 0 31	0 3 29 0 32	0 1 15 0 16	2 0 9 6 17	24 1 43 27 95	30 1 98 38 167	26 4 57 31 118	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	106 13 426 155 700
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	0 0 5 1 6	6 0 11 13 0 30	6 0 8 8 0 22	4 0 1 1 1 7	0 1 1 1 1 4	0 0 17 12 23* 52*	1 0 18 9 28 56	1 0 19 22 18 60	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 7 117 113 121* 382*
16th	DeKalb Kane** Kendall Circuit Total	0 11 0 11	0 39 2 41	0 39 3 42	0 24 3 27	0 7 1 8	29 32 0 61	24 57 2 83	12 82 8 102	0 1 0 1	2 1 0 3	5 10 0 15	1 12 0 13	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	109 458* 23 590*
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	0 6 6	0 10 10	0 14 14	0 6 6	2 15 17	4 66 70	5 99 104	8 46 54	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 3	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	38* 426* 464*
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	4 4	20 20	16 16	5 5	21* 21*	69 69	211 211	123 123	10 10	19 19	43 43	84 84	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	971* 971*
19th	Lake** McHenry Circuit Total	11 4 15	40 23 63	45 16 61	18 12 30	17 4 21	116 5 121	139* 23 162	144 28 172	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	3 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	698* 162 860*
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair** Washington Circuit Total	0 1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 1 3	0 1 0 2 0 3	1 1 0 0 2 4	1 4 0 16 0 21	4 3 5 101 3 116	3 17 12 176 5 213	3 11 10 73 3 100	0 0 1 0 0 1	0 2 2 0 0 4	0 2 3 1 0 6	0 1 5 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	25 58 62 641 15 801
	Downstate Total.	100*	478	519	235	239*	1,588*	2,332*	1,548	12	60	109	124	0	0	1	0	0	0	11,737*
	Cook County**	205*	808	1,129	313	184*	614*	1,833*	493*	341	1,264	1,939	913	1	0	0	0	0	0	17,956***
	State Total	305*	1,286	1,648	548	423*	2,202*	4,165*	2,041*	353	1,324	2,048	1,037	1	0	1	0	0	0	29,693*

\*During 1984, 112 persons were convicted of a felony but found to be metally ill. The \* indicates that at least one of these 112 is counted in the total shown. These defendants will serve "a period of hospitalization" and then fulfill the remainder of their sentences under a state or local correctional agency or on probation, as the case may be.

\*\*Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences from judges throughout Illinois. A breakdown by class shows: class one – 9 defendants

class two – 48 defendants

class three - 53 defendants

class four - 48 defendants

\*\*\*Does not include one defendant (owner of plating company) sentenced to pay a \$5,000 fine only for the illegal disposal of hazardous waste (class four felony).

#### FISCAL YEAR 1984 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of the various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial service through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

						мс	ONIES HELD & COI FOR DISTRIBUTIO		DE
Circuit	County	Total Revenue Collected	Salaries	Other Costs	Total	Maintenance & Child Support	Fines, Penalties, Assessments, & Forfeitures	Fees of Others	Total
1st	Alexander	\$ 45,932.44	\$ 43,180.00	\$ 4,502.33	\$ 47,682.33	\$ 221,253.34	\$ 105,108.48	\$ 14,881.93	\$ 341,513.75
	Jackson	222,790.52	116,531.00	20,736.83	137,267.83	865,543.41	576,880.15	67,999.47	1,510,423.03
	Johnson	42,405.27	44,827.84	3,555.50	48,383.34	550.00	116,745.78	13,085.03	130,380.81
	Massac	60,817.05	58,491.70	9,699.28	68,190.98	163,450.53	132,492.84	15,080.29	311,023.66
	Pope	10,708.83	20,852.04	2,314.49	23,166.53	47,475.84	46,707.50	4,982.50	99,165.84
	Pulaski	51,910.57	35,360.00	4,472.44	39,832.44	111,437.36	116,079.82	20,469.75	247,986.93
	Saline	126,708.65	70,601.50	39,832.97	110,434.47	533,174.45	312,931.77	31,342.40	877,448.62
1st	Union	52,994.22	49,987.25	13,563.14	63,550.39	177,059.56	86,743.08	12,056.53	275,859.17
	Williamson	247,595.69	122,256.49	15,058.44	137,314.93	864,811.45	692,759.83	56,257.40	1,613,828.68
	Circuit Total	861,863.24	562,087.82	113,735.42	675,823.24	2,985,025.94	2,186,449.25	236,155.30	5,407,630.49
2nd	Crawford	76,190.32	62,311.66	11,626.27	73,937.93	611,131.01	121,743.25	17,695.40	750,569.66
	Edwards	29,871.26	27,771.17	2,011.16	29,782.33	149,248.36	62,602.50	6,505.70	218,356.56
	Franklin	150,930.42	76,953.00	12,456.48	89,409.48	892,764.97	300,107.90	56,694.10	1,249,566.97
	Gallatin	32,750.12	33,700.00	3,130.29	36,830.29	102,620.15	111,789.89	7,481.00	221,891.04
	Hamilton	29,848.04	25,191.49	7,359.35	32,550.84	0.00	50,541.00	17,078.96	67,619.96
	Hardin	10,172.12	24,568.00	2,215.26	26,783.26	84,852.77	17,064.53	2,374.40	104,291.70
	Jefferson	133,073.55	86,207.00	17,789.30	103,996.30	733,594.60	311,148.46	53,581.70	1,098,324.76
	Lawrence	65,164.26	49,310.00	8,777.68	58,087.68	383,547.39	138,430.00	15,648.50	537,625.89
	Richland	88,226.60	58,929.35	11,653.28	70,582.63	311,997.96	191,247.19	28,089.20	531,334.35
	Wabash	60,613.83	54,636.48	12,838.04	67,474.52	303,180.20	140,089.50	20,545.00	463,814.70
	Wayne	76,052.91	61,419.72	21,858.98	83,278.70	278,788.37	164,054.04	33,818.08	476,660.49
2nd	White	83,835.05	75,949.00	14,200.51	90,149.51	272,164.10	323,230.06	26,867.20	622,261.36
	Circuit Total	836,728.48	636,946.87	125,916.60	762,863.47	4,123,889.88	1,932,048.32	286,379.24	6,342,317.44
3rd	Bond	48,702.14	55,096.70	14,372.62	69,469.32	68,527.24	97,324.70	16,585.40	182,437.34
	Madison	1,746,501.00	744,087.00	126,540.00	870,627.00	5,206,140.00	2,148,633.00	370,151.00	7,724,924.00
	Circuit Total	1,795,203.14	799,183.70	140,912.62	940,096.32	5,274,667.24	2,245,957.70	386,736.40	7,907,361.34
4th	Christian	113,612.45	121,910.40	26,229.38	148,139.78	1,131,514.54	315,334.82	48,642.35	1,495,491.71
	Clay	48,338.09	60,472.17	4,876.67	65,348.84	231,774.66	99,419.30	15,378.15	346,572.11
	Clinton	105,300.68	75,568.46	16,297.63	91,866.09	316,758.89	308,703.10	40,735.43	666,197.42
	Effingham	130,657.06	85,344.81	13,454.20	98,799.01	632,378.58	304,121.39	34,656.80	971,156.77
	Fayette	81,832.23	57,080.00	14,356.52	71,436.52	476,750.70	231,258.24	30,298.30	738,307.24
	Jasper	45,606.68	39,100.36	5,431.90	44,532.26	108,192.94	120,923.90	14,663.20	243,780.04
	Marion	204,310.14	133,680.00	18,036.54	151,716.54	1,004,961.37	586,022.86	69,114.60	1,660,098.83
	Montgomery	130,826.35	95,306.50	25,168.04	120,474.54	557,341.28	412,576.09	51,568.96	1,021,486.33
	Shelby	54,434.90	64,264.56	11,750.00	76,014.56	537,308.60	118,110.29	16,238.50	671,657.39
	Circuit Total	914,918.58	732,727.26	135,600.88	868,328.14	4,996,981.56	2,496,469.99	321,296.29	7,814,747.84
5th	Clark	118,935.63	55,900.00	8,406.69	64,306.69	376,412.97	432,247.82	31,562.84	840,223.63
	Coles	192,973.52	105,250.45	8,337.04	113,587.49	1,707,238.02	492,907.91	66,337.50	2,266,483.43
	Cumberland	58,263.35	34,349.32	3,334.37	37,683.69	224,223.68	23,939.50	12,483.80	260,646.98
	Edgar	65,917.59	71,250.80	34,054.34	105,305.14	561,305.81	176,026.10	25,487.50	762,819.41
	Vermilion	340,614.93	231,507.00	51,705.10	283,212.10	509,142.54	561,369.71	74,457.32	1,144,969.57
	Circuit Total	776,705.02	498,257.57	105,837.54	604,095.11	3,378,323.02	1,686,491.04	210,328.96	5,275,143.02
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt	598,535.86 61,954.87 69,876.56 619,994.10 65,889.08 62,181.80 1,478,432.27	304,628.87 62,510.00 95,173.42 380,830.00 64,760.76 85,420.09 993,323.14	26,865.59 13,368.59 14,860.95 89,370.00 33,788.33 20,110.03 198,363.49	331,494.46 75,878.59 110,034.37 470,200.00 98,549.09 105,530,12 1,191,686.63	2,513,565.01 812,532.48 456,168.89 7,166,749.07 457,620.05 826,910.03 12,233,545.53	924,666.54 156,003.46 163,758.90 988,631.48 92,361.92 113,593.40 2,439,015.70	170,756.63 17,631.90 20,723.84 259,658.57 13,722.85 10,607.50 493,101.29	3,608,988.18 986,167.84 640,651.63 8,415,039.12 563,704.82 951,110.93 15,165,662.52

## FISCAL YEAR 1984 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of the various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial service through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

			OPE	RATING EXPEN	ISES	MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS					
Circuit	County	Total Revenue Collected	Salaries	Other Costs	Total	Maintenance & Child Support	Fines, Penalties, Assessments, & Forfeitures	Fees of Others	Total		
7th	Greene	\$ 42,350.14 110,927.34 163,637.01 102,149.69 849,025.65 11,698.91	\$ 60,360.00 62,428.00 140,522.31 76,749.30 432,975.00 25,262.58	\$ 5,637.37 80,500.00 88,203.91 8,648.58 34,169.21 4,120.17	\$ 65,997.37 142,928.00 228,726.22 85,397.88 467,144.21 29,382.75	\$ 28,714.03 263,211.87 924,162.76 920,514.76 5,470,701.40 69,988.57	\$ 72,485.75 195,532.38 280,079.81 214,770.83 1,730,835.29 35,012.74	\$ 8,732.20 23,668.80 39,207.11 32,738.38 218,379.18 4,884.40	\$ 109,931.98 482,413.05 1,243,449.68 1,168,023.97 7,419,915.87 109,885.71		
7th	Circuit Total	1,279,788.74	798,297.19	221,279.24	1,019,576.43	7,677,293.39	2,528,716.80	327,610.07	10,533,620.26		
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler	252,918.42 31,160.22 13,576.57 46,871.80 66,989.21 28,728.03 60,140.56 25,855.44	156,408.00 32,834.56 22,909.00 55,707.76 57,367.41 48,014.80 65,250.00 35,535.00	36,135.00 4,730.34 3,853.48 9,000.00 9,744.19 8,258.61 9,922.27 7,527.99	192,543.00 37,564.90 26,762.48 64,707.76 67,111.60 56,273.41 75,172.27 43,062.99	1,597,174.10 130,633.11 3,298.00 383,802.75 23,929.71 166,628.97 270,749.00 179,887.09	473,168.28 57,016.64 34,134.50 85,051.88 155,653.67 50,881.50 124,749.50 58,326.17	83,230.83 3,665.20 4,455.10 17,288.24 24,815.44 8,607.70 17,829.80 9,050.90	2,153,573,21 191,314.95 41,887.60 486,142.87 204,398.82 226,118.17 413,328.30 247,264.16		
8th	Circuit Total	526,240.25	474.026.53	89,171.88	563,198.41	2,756,102.73	1,038,982.14	168,943.21	3,964,028.08		
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren	120,295.10 84,195.49 32,125.09 309,917.31 135,007.20 81,332.83	84,591.05 53,646.00 46,375.12 195,289.68 96,345.43 87,773.15	24,759.74 2,919.52 8,997.55 24,785.17 16,897.83 16,169.25	109,350.79 56,565.52 55,372.67 220,074.85 113,243.26 103,942.40	1,398,851.87 1,008,821.04 278,299.69 3,022,339.42 830,917.86 750,988.38	229,079.63 121,641.30 71,234.00 458,381.14 247,532.21 131,168.89	27,543.40 17,722.15 10,453.80 67,260,05 43,499.76 29,141.64	1,655,474.90 1,148,184.49 359,987.49 3,547,980.61 1,121,949.83 911,298.91		
9th	Circuit Total	762,873.02	564,020.43	94,529.06	658,549.49	7,290,218.26	1,259,037.17	195,620.80	8,744,876.23		
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	46,895.64 1,591,949.53 17,559.39 15,248.91 495,680.01 2,167,333.48	53,516.18 559,875.00 21,626.89 35,241.86 314,353.00 984,612.93	7,696.37 433,309.00 3,666.21 3,242.22 40,000.00 487,913.80	61,212.55 993,184.00 25,293.10 38,484.08 354,353.00 1,472,526.73	432,097.01 7,067,083.35 150,495.04 161,477.52 3,995,837.74 11,806,990.66	71,963.08 1,456,474.92 29,072.50 27,788.60 814,924.94 2,400,224.04	11,672.06 210,540.10 4,440.60 2,248.60 218,826.49 447,727.85	515,732.15 8,734,098.37 184,008.14 191,514.72 5,029,589.17 14,654,942.55		
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	42,924.55 132,820.86 166,047.19 490,238.16 86,615.45 918,646.21	41,998.68 103,572.00 135,287.00 379,203.18 88,708.60 748.769.46	9,462.08 23,603.00 27,970.65 60,596.09 11,076.41 132,708.23	51,460.76 127,175.00 163,257.65 439,799.27 99,785.01 881,477.69	236,536.15 954,836.58 887,334.92 1,474,366.77 464,195.22 4,017,269.64	46,052.30 314,381.46 482,322.44 1,083,451.32 210,293.49 2,136,501.01	9,594.31 37,203.00 61,196.86 184,700.63 34,712.80 327,407.60	292,182.76 1,306,421.04 1,430,854.22 2,742,518.72 709,201.51 6,481,178.25		
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	150,527.58 383,041.66 1,402,698.97 1,936,268.21	104,536.00 170,692.67 1,158,036.66 1,433,265.33	17,244.23 30,142.80 114,026.36 161,413.39	121,780.23 200,835.47 1,272,063.02 1,594,678.72	704,475.51 2,811,947.68 7,569,994.15 11,086,417.34	376,413.60 752,007.35 2,995,901.81 4,124,322.76	30,638.23 112,369.15 521,314.25 664,321.63	1,111,527.34 3,676,324.18 11,087,210.21 15,875,061.73		
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle Circuit Total	134,239.36 90,692.52 395,100.38 620.032.26	123,286.29 90,509.50 319,383.74 533,179.53	10,000.00 596.17 43,502.62 54,098.79	133,286.29 91,105.67 362,886.36 587,278.32	926,241.55 852,925.39 2,781,045.15 4,560,212.09	359,003.19 177,285.75 845,073.96 1,381,362.90	34,643.80 17,511.00 109,640.60 161,795.40	1,319,888.54 1,047,722.14 3,735,759.71 6,103,370.39		
14th	Henry . Mercer Rock Island Whiteside Circuit Total	209,222.62 50,090.00 827,302.24 308,181.18 1,394,796.04	150,946.00 49,445.00 325,791.00 134,569.59 660,751.59	39,694.00 12,281.00 29,526.00 33,712.37 115,213.37	190,640.00 61,726.00 355,317.00 168,281.96 775,964.96	1,902,614.29 734,917.00 7,053,238.05 2,331,134.55 12,021,903.89	1,381,382.90 533.272.97 60,212.89 1,637,443.94 478,755.73 2,709,685.53	58,272.47 10,523.70 167,742.56 69,491.65 306,030.38	2,494,159.73 805,653.59 8,858,424.55 2,879,381.93 15,037,619.80		

## FISCAL YEAR 1984 TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

NOTE: It is not possible to make valid comparisons between the operating budgets of the various counties; some counties use the accounting systems prescribed by their county boards, while many others have adopted all or part of the financial components of the recordkeeping system adopted by the Supreme Court. For example, circuit clerks in some counties enjoy the benefits of heat, air conditioning, telephone, office supplies and equipment, and janitorial service through central purchasing; in other counties, the clerk's budget is charged proportionately for every conceivable cost allocable to his or her operation.

			OP	ERATING EXPEN	SES	M	ONIES HELD & CO FOR DISTRIBUTI		DE
Circuit	County	Total Revenue Collected	Salaries	Other Costs	Total	Maintenance & Child Support	Fines, Penalties, Assessments, & Forfeitures	Fees of Others	Total
15th 15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	\$ 60,672.11 78,578.22 252,721.85 148,202.28 162,729.81 702,904.27	\$ 54,151.12 60,800.00 121,253.80 116,098.49 106,962.79 459,266.20	\$ 21,679.17 9,755.63 31,048.25 35,392.82 12,242.17 110,118.04	\$ 75,830.29 70,555.63 152,302.05 151,491.31 119,204.96 569,384.24	\$ 547,642.03 439,945.21 1,263,298.44 902,428.65 1,642,201.24 4,795,515.57	\$ 135,761.10 220,775.50 452,487.51 450,978.65 391,315.07 1,651,317.83	\$ 24,250.79 25,863.00 54,279.62 70,599.80 71,061.60 246,054.81	\$ 707,653.92 686,583.71 1,770,065.57 1,424,007.10 2,104,577.91 6,692,888.21
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	258,115.95 1,371,414.84 103,857.79 1,733,388.58	238,065.16 888,042.76 90,752.60 1,216,860.52	15,820.52 100,455.01 20,743.74 137,019.27	253,885.68 988,497.77 111,496.34 1,353,879.79	892,359.89 7,167,135.48 640,428.05 8,699,923.42	652,108.35 2,204,231.39 242,780.10 3,099,119.84	87,410.50 315,802.36 26,984.80 430,197.66	1,631,878.74 9,687,169.23 910,192.95 12,229,240.92
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	135,033.57 1,099,105.71 1,234,139.28	155,635.14 694,913.55 810,548.69	20,360.37 101,229.08 121,589.45	135,995.51 796,142.63 932,138.14	646,786.99 3,554,832.40 4,201,619.39	305,674.53 2,076,869.23 2,382,543.76	51,628.70 327,513.12 379,141.82	1,004,090.22 5,959,214.75 6,963,304.97
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	2,621,542.24 2,261,542.24	2,452,055.32 2,452,055.32	1,574,668.53 1,574,668.53	4,026,723.85 4,026,723.85	14,889,556.40 14,889,556.40	5,882,728.75 5,882,728.75	1,128,092.04 1,128,092.04	21,900,377.19 21,900,377.19
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	2,234,603.63 668,794.51 2,903,398.14	1,142,596.52 495,394.79 1,637,991.31	48,992.05 166,587.04 215,579.09	1,191,588.57 661,98.83 1,853,570.40	4,670,697.08 2,063,425.38 6,734,122.46	5,588,580.83 1,924,903.90 7,513,484.73	518,527.95 211,430.75 729,958.70	10,777,805.86 4,199,760.03 14,977,565.89
12th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	60,276.28 80,201.48 97,035.52 2,256,748.66 48,309.43 2,542,571.37	62,440.16 67,660.00 71,206.33 649,544.00 41,621.95 892,472.44	9,865.79 11,680.81 18,695.32 78,183.28 2,421.60 120,846.80	72,305.95 79,340.81 89,901.65 727,727.28 44,043.55 1,013,319.24	271,214.39 770,013.57 791,064.78 4,090,801.05 246,674.58 6,169,768.37	115,348.65 283,990.40 222,079.80 2,233,362.12 140,653.50 2,995,434.47	14,022.00 30,614.24 29,368.80 263,958.49 2,827.40 340,790.93	400,585.04 1,084,618.21 1,042,513.38 6,588,121.66 390,155.48 9,505,993.77
	Downstate Total	28,007,772.82	17,868,393.83	4,456,515.49	22,324,909.32	139,699,346.78	54,089,893.73	7,787,690.38	201,576,930.89
	Cook County	31,893,132.00	30,473,236.00	9,672,305.00	40,145,541.00	33,837,027.00	47,253,719.00	3,993,182.00 <sup>a</sup>	85,083,928.00
	State Total	59,900,904.82	48,341,629.83	14,128,820.49	62,470,450.32	173,536,373.78	101,343,612.73	11,780,872.38	286,660,858.89

\*Fees received for State's Attorney and Sheriff are included in the amount shown for fines, penalties, assessments and forfeitures.

#### FISCAL YEAR 1984

#### FINES, ADD-ON PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS & CERTAIN OTHER FEES DISTRIBUTED BY CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

			Fi	nes and Forfeitures			Ad	d-On Penalties, Assessn	nents & Certain Fees	
							State Tr	easury	County Treasury	
		Municipalities, Townships, and		unty	State	Total	Traffic & Criminal Conviction	Drivers	Fund to Finance	Total
Circuit	County	Road Districts	Criminal	Traffic			Surcharge Fund	Education Fund	Court System	
1st	Alexander	\$ 13,562.26	\$ 12,299.15	\$ 49,704.00	\$ 11,077.57	\$ 86,642.98	\$ 10,127.50	\$ 8,338.00	\$ 9,268.00	\$ 27,733.50
	Jackson	188,505.77	105,327.30	138,303.60	46,942.80	479,079.47	48,328.68	49,472.00	38,264.00	136,064.68
	Johnson	6,187.37	19,287.40	66,231.35	3,320.80	95,026.92	10,431.98	11,286.88	9,350.60	31,069.46
	Massac	25,024.40	24,311.90	57,469.01	2,824.00	109,629.31	10,435.90	12,427.63	9,605.00	32,468.53
	Pope	691.00	22,481.46	12,700.00	5,394.00	41,266.46	3,538.54	1,902.50	2,605.00	8,046.04
	Pulaski	4,806.05	14,936.91	67,181.55	1,906.00	88,830.51	11,881.81	15,367.50	12,879.25	40,128.56
	Saline	60,926.84	74,288.86	105,476.62	18,746.00	259,438.32	29,569.45	23,924.00	15,747.75	69,241.20
	Union	12,979.25	14,091.53	40,164.55	4,889.50	72,124.83	7,232.00	7,386.25	7,475.00	22,093.25
	Williamson	116,291.50	47,287.72	150,129.22	291,854.21	605,562.65	62,105.18	25,092.00	33,808.00	121,005.18
1st	Circuit Total	428,974.44	334,312.23	687,359.90	386,954.88	1,837,601.45	193,651.04	155,196.76	139,002.60	487,850.40
2nd	Crawford	31,435.70	18,416.25	45,835.00	4,352.00	100,038.95	10,026.80	11,677.50	8,445.00	30,149.30
	Edwards	2,057.00	6,969.00	38,847.00	3,020.50	50,893.50	5,838.00	5,871.00	4,075.00	15,784.00
	Franklin	91,742.20	149,043.20	0.00ª	4,798.00	245,583.40	27,941.50	26,583.00	20,402.00	74,926.50
	Gallatin	21,200.20	21,831.15	44,993.50	6,414.04	94,438.89	9,455.00	7,896.00	3,470.00	20,821.00
	Hamilton	0.00	4,918.80	31,407.70	4,630.00	40,956.50	4,392.50	5,192.00	3,970.00	13,554.50
	Hardin	2,502.50	3,538.83	5,999.50	2,375.00	14,415.83	1,421.70	1,227.00	770.00	3,418.70
	Jefferson	84,980.80	71,399.30	94,964.00	11,638.21	262,982.31	29,715.40	18,450.75	18,842.00	67,008.15
	Lawrence	29,936.25	12,287.00	60,778.50	9,815.50	112,817.25	11,609.50	14,003.25	8,640.00	34,252.75
									12,105.00	47,239.35
	Richland	73,954.97	21,119.50	50,453.07	10,585.30	156,112.84	17,347.40	17,786.95		
	Wabash	53,180.00	16,257.50	37,600.50	7,647.00	114,685.00	11,994.50	13,410.00	7,885.00	33,289.50
	Wayne	12,015.25	16,608.29	80,153.30	24,629.20	133,406.04	15,397.00	15,251.00	11,565.00	42,213.00
	White	45,190.60	45,048.20	134,295.20	50,091.60	274,625.60	27,931.46	20,673.00	12,138.00	60,742.46
2nd	Circuit Total	448,195.47	387,437.02	625,327.27	139,996.35	1,600,956.11	173,070.76	158,021.45	112,307.00	443,399.21
3rd	Bond	12,359.20	13,835.20	50,775.50	1,846.00	78,815.90	9,621.80	8,887.00	7,731.00	26,239.80
	Madison	1,156,173.00	574,710.00	0.00*	35,869.00	1,766,752.00	224,582.00	157,299.00	160,890.00	542,771.00
3rd	Circuit Total	1,168,532.20	588.545.20	50,775.50	37,715.00	1,845,567.90	234,203.80	166,186.00	168,621.00	569,010.80
4th	Christian	71,049.00	43,485.82	113,370.83	27,256.14	255,161.79	28,870.16	31,302.87	22,735.60	82,908.63
	Clay	17,530.00	21,721.80	33,257.00	10,262.85	82,771.65	8,575.15	8,072.50	5,570.00	22,217.65
	Clinton	111,734.50	33,861.20	94,576.90	14,622.00	254,794.60	28,092.50	25,816.00	20,680.00	74,588.50
	Effingham	30,307.20	29,977.69	171,770.50	11,529.00	243,584.39	26,292.00	34,245.00	22,985.00	83,522.00
	Fayette	33,631.64	18,121.49	69,837.41	69,219.40	190,809.94	22,891.70	17,556.60	13,575.00	54,023.30
	Jasper	20,896.20	7,857.70	61,857.00	10,444.00	101,054.90	11,372.50	8,496.50	7,920.00	27,789.00
	Marion	213,226.00	50,029.66	205,151.00	15,637.00	484.043.66	51,561.20	50,418.00	40,370.00	142,349.20
	Montgomery	43,169.00	35,813.34	173,867.17	87,700.51	340,550.02	36,519.82	35,506.25	24,323.00	96,349.07
	Shelby	27,330.24	26,074.55	35,639.90	12,690.50	101,735.19	8,846.30	7,528.80	5,763.50	22,138.60
4th	Circuit Total	568,873.78	266,943.25	959,327.71	259,361.40	2,054,506.14	223,021.33	218,942.52	163,922.10	605,885.95
5th	Clark	16,236.86	0.00	37,759.62	312,968.48	366,964.96	49,074.48	16,208.38	23,356.00	88,638.86
	Coles	180,204.92	75,811.36	129,736.50	24,484.50	410,237.28	43,036.63	39,634.00	39,604.00	122,274.6
	Cumberland	10,581.50	0.00	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	1,851.50	12,433.00	5,688.50	5,818.00	5,670.00	17,176.50
	Edgar	40,342.54	25,491.00	35,000.11	45,661.00	146,494.65	16,534.30	12,997.15	9,542.00	39,073.45
	Vermilion	180,077.00	48,965.46	194,844.00	29,243.60	453,130.06	54,562.65	53,677.00	51,030.00	159,269.65
5th	Circuit Total	427,442.82	150,267.82	397,340.23	414,209.08	1,389,259.95	168,896.56	128,334.53	129,202.00	426,433.0
6th	Champaign	429,266.98	84,957.96	225,489.89	33,599.90	773,314.73	78,269.31	73,082.50	79,339.00	230,690.8
	DeWitt	54,720.96	14,821.20	48,294.50	8,360.70	126,197.36	13,666.50	16,139.60	11,735.50	41,541.6
	Douglas	17,338.10	15,561.80	92,269.50	8,534.60	133,704.00	14,059.50	15,995.40	13,925.00	43,979.9
	Macon	481,214.18	116,128.07	208,825.26	12,004.60	818,172.11	95,949.37	74,510.00	98,304.50	268,763.8
	Moultrie	6,137.00	16,793.20	43,284.32	10,982.90	77,197.42	8,360.50	6,804.00	6,804.00	21,968.5
	Piatt	14,224.00	16,175.00	60,227.40	3,878.00	94,504.40	8,249.00	10,840.00	844.00	19,933.00
6th	Circuit Total	1,002,901.22	264,437.23	678,390.87	77,360,70	2,023,090.02	218,554.18	197,371.50	210,952.00	626,877.6
oui	circuit rotal	1,002,901.22	204,437.23	0/0,350.07	77,500.70	2,023,030.02	210,334.10	157,571.50	210,002.00	020,077

<sup>a</sup>This amount includes both criminal and traffic fines and forfeitures. 161

<sup>b</sup>This amount is included in the amount reported as State's Attorneys fees.

#### FISCAL YEAR 1984

## FINES, ADD-ON PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS & CERTAIN OTHER FEES DISTRIBUTED BY CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

			Fi	nes and Forfeitures			Ad	d-On Penalties, Assessn	nents & Certain Fees	
							State Tr	reasury	County Treasury	
Circuit	County	Municipalities, Townships, and Road Districts	Cou	unty Traffic	State	Total	Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund	Drivers Education Fund	Fund to Finance Court System	Total
7th	Greene	\$ 14,219.28	\$ 13.875.20	\$ 25,209.57	\$ 7,002.50	\$ 60,306.55	\$ 6,414.20	\$ 5,765.00	\$ 4,775.00	\$ 16,954.20
/ 11		38,108.10	16,433.00	80,184.30	18,034.60	152,760.00	17,500.38	25,272.00	16,882.40	59,654.78
	Jersey		41,539.87	83,079.74	14,923.10	219,870.71	27,694.10	32,515.00	21,942.00	82,151.10
	Macoupin	80,328.00								46,685.00
	Morgan	58,259.10	43,188.14	51,443.00	28,535.59	181,425.83	18,999.60	14,345.40	13,340.00	
	Sangamon	739,508.33	157,397.67	459,036.67	166,521.42	1,522,464.09	109,937.70	98,433.50	132,782.00	341,153.20
	Scott	1,264.00	1,015.00	23,871.24	2,356.00	28,506.24	2,971.50	3,535.00	2,955.00	9,461.50
7th	Circuit Total	931,686.81	273,448.88	722,824.52	237,373.21	2,165,333.42	183,517.48	179,865.90	192,676.40	556,059,78
Bth	Adams	284,997.01	30,193.37	100,761.00	3,087.50	419,038.88	27,216.40	26,913.00	36,919.00	91,048.40
	Brown	8,639.00	7,015.50	21,596.50	5,031.00	42,282.00	8,812.14	5,922.50	0.00	14,734.64
	Calhoun	3,135.20	5,878.30	14,064.50	5,284.50	28,362.50	2,827.00	2,945.00	1,735.00	7,507.00
	Cass	19,744.40	12,437.40	29,820.38	8,429.00	70,431.18	7,612.70	7,008.00	6,555.00	21,175.70
	Mason	60,399.95	12,042.55	38,820.60	15,058.20	126,321.30	14,052.37	15,280.00	12,958.30	42,290.67
	Menard	11,440.00	4,248.50	22,691.20	1,975.00	40,354.70	4,466.80	6,060.00	4,540.00	15,066.80
	Pike	15,152.80	14,770.80	57,274.10	19,362.00	106,559.70	9,524.80	8,665.00	8,420.00	26,609.80
	Schuyler	5,423.20	11,350.47	24,927.75	6,350.00	48,051.42	4,563.50	5,711.25	4,432.50	14,707.25
8th	Circuit Total	408,931.56	97,936.89	309.956.03	64,577.20	881,401.68	79,075.71	78,504.75	75,559.80	233,140.26
9th	Fulton	63,112.50	31,250.93	85,077.70	16,374.00	195,815.13	17,614.50	15,650.00	15,884.00	49,148.50
9ui	Hancock	28,493.00	25,632.80	43,172.00	5,722.75	103,020.55	11,064.75	7,556.00	9,425.00	28,045.75
		5,521.00	6,093.00	41,826.00	5,192.00	58,632.00	6,458.00	6,144.00	4,568.00	17,170.00
	Henderson			83,581.05	27,911.50	376,254.79	43,842.65	38,283.70	33,173.50	115,299.85
	Knox.	220,293.04	44,469.20		7,717.00	205,078.01	21,875.20	20,579.00	17,540.00	59,994.20
	McDonough	97,517.20	33,112.11	66,731.70						
	Warren	34,269.65	66,922.54	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	8,050.20	109,242.39	11,768.20	10,158.30	11,665.00	33,591.50
9t <mark>h</mark>	Circuit Total	449,206.39	207,480.58	320,388.45	70,967.45	1,048,042.87	112,623.30	98,371.00	92,255.50	303,249.80
10th	Marshall	17,669.00	5,788.40	29,229.00	4,569.00	57,255.40	6,397.68	8,310.00	6,410.00	21,117.68
	Peoria	781,192.94	171,010.59	195,498.00	83,519.79	1,231,221.32	106,175.40	119,078.20	116,436.00	341,689.60
	Putnam	7,539.00	230.00	11,298.00	3,792.00	22,859.00	2,818.50	3,395.00	2,735.00	8,948.50
	Stark	5,394.00	8,046.00	7,878.00	1,822.00	23,140.00	2,493.60	2,155.00	0.00	4,648.60
	Tazewell	418,221.79	94,535.69	138,785.46	33,074.70	684,617.64	57,977.70	72,329.60	184,974.00	315,281.30
10th	Circuit Total	1,230,016.73	279,610.68	382,688.46	126,777.49	2,019,093.36	175,862.88	205,267.80	310,555.00	691,685.68
11th	Ford	10,630.00	3,836.30	22,284.00	2,061.00	38,811.30	2,874.00	4,367.00	4,635.00	11,876.00
	Livingston	49,669.56	17,977.55	171,342.55	22,640.80	261,630.46	26,605.00	26,146.00	22,761.00	75,512.00
	Logan	99,039.30	27,077.73	252,961.03	10,952.20	390,030.26	45,555.18	46,737.00	39,720.00	132,012.18
	McLean	551,939.25	61,477.11	242,198.19	85,139.20	940,753.75	77,814.15	64,883.42	86,961.00	229,658.57
	Woodford	63,493.35	12,214.50	91,268.75	8,182.85	175,159.45	20,231.14	14,902.90	17,485.00	52,619.04
11th	Circuit Total	774,771.46	122,583.19	780,054.52	128,976.05	1,806,385.22	173,079.47	157,036.32	171,562.00	501,677.79
12th	Iroquois	22,293.00	37,434.00	182.040.50	73,531.50	315,299.00	29,959.60	31,155.00	25,500.00	86,614.60
1411	Kankakee	285,878.12	58,628.75	225,030.62	47,765.20	617,302.69	69,936.67	64,767.99	54,077.20	188,781.86
		1,263,212.40	228,609.48	562,413.75	834,428.79	2,888,664.42	9,065.39	98,172.00	227,635.30	334,872.69
12th	Will Circuit Total	1,571,383.52	324,672.23	969,484.87	955,725.49	3,821,266.11	108,961.66	194,094.99	307,212.50	610,269.15
		66,333.00	21,516.00	165,356.50	37,050.27	290,255.77	30,429.42	38,318.00	27,135.00	95,882.42
13th	Bureau			48,823.00	7,925.00	144,638.75	15,400.00	17,247.00	10,495.00	43,142.00
	Grundy	72,777.00	15,113.75				72,156.82	64,692.00	59,435.00	196,283.82
13th	LaSalle Circuit Total	358,746.30 497,856.30	71,037.96	235,938.84 450,118.34	42,502.04 87,477.31	708,225.14 1,143,119.66	117,986.24	120,257.00	97,065.00	335,308.24
					14,362.20	439,148.77	47,202.20	46,922.00	39,361.00	133,485.20
14th	Henry	153,943.80	71,869.07	198,973.70			4,918.50	3,292.35	3,851.00	12,061.85
	Mercer	10,694.50	9,718.39	23,681.65	7,907.50	52,002.04				
	Rock Island	620,003.00	74,467.48	252,725.70	437,552.58	1,384,748.76	145,997.68	106,697.50	102,658.00	355,353.18
	Whiteside	163,412.10	77,325.58	141,763.05	29,540.40	412,041.13	36,937.60	29,777.00	31,915.00	98,629.60
14th	Circuit Total	948,053.40	233,380.52	617,144.10	489,362.68	2,287,940.70	235,055.98	186,688.85	177,785.00	599,529.83

<sup>a</sup>This amount includes both criminal and traffic fines and forfeitures.

FISCAL YEAR 1984
FINES, ADD-ON PENALTIES, ASSESSMENTS & CERTAIN OTHER FEES DISTRIBUTED BY CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURTS

			F	ines and Forfeitures	5		Add	d-On Penalties, Assess	ments & Certain Fees	
							State Tre	easury	County Treasury	
Circuit	County	Municipalities, Townships, and Road Districts	Cou Criminal	unty Traffic	. State	Total	Traffic & Criminal Conviction Surcharge Fund	Drivers Education Fund	Fund to Finance Court System	Total
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	\$ 29,503.00 74,935.00 97,351.26 96,120.50 129,594.05 427,503.81	<ul> <li>\$ 11,311.00</li> <li>17,922.00</li> <li>47,475.25</li> <li>28,810.82</li> <li>24,160.05</li> <li>129,679.12</li> </ul>	\$ 59,324.35 72,330.00 173,876.50 234,697.56 143,013.05 683,241.46	\$ 9,720.00 19,863.00 50,713.50 11,950.75 31,661.20 123,908.45	\$ 109,858.35 185,050.00 369,416.51 371,579.63 328,428.35 1,364,332.84	\$ 12,061.50 20,849.50 41,734.00 41,889.02 32,043.60 148,577.62	\$ 13,841.25 14,876.00 41,337.00 37,510.00 30,843.12 138,407.37	\$ 10,175.00 17,565.00 36,582.00 23,288.00 29,562.00 117,172.00	\$ 36,077.75 53,290.50 119,653.00 102,687.02 92,448.72 404,156.99
16th 16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	299,557.55 1,228,194.76 95,611.58 1,623,363.89	41,156.80 154,974.24 16,539.27 212,670.31	192,488.32 317,815.41 86,374.25 596,677.98	26,445.00 115,259.55 7,283.00 148,987.55	559,647.67 1,816,243.96 205,808.10 2,581,699.73	44,308.05 201,737.43 18,477.00 264,522.48	48,152.63 186,250.00 18,495.00 252,897.63	50,740.00 68,952.00 19,970.00 139,662.00	143,200.68 456,939.43 56,942.00 657,082.11
17th 17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	63,641.00 901,168.62 964,809.62	11,232.63 162,452.57 173,685.20	175,446.51 676,142.97 851,589.48	27,410.76 80,972.99 108,383.75	277,730.90 1,820,737.15 2,098,468.05	13,844.63 127,703.07 141,547.70	14,099.00 128,429.01 142,528.01	26,510.00 170,083.00 196,593.00	54,453.63 426,215.08 480,668.71
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	3,456,186.55 3,456,186.55	.311,262.68 .311,262.68	723,397.91 723,397.91	245,179.47 245,179.47	4,736,026.61 4,736,026.61	472,503.44 472,503.44	674,198.70 674,198.70	494,695,15 494,695.15	1,641,397.29 1,641,397.29
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	3,433,448.58 1,179,378.71 4,612,827.29	197,517.89 369,512.92 567,030.81	670,768.00 0.00 <sup>a</sup> 670,768.00	267,235.95 72,696.44 339,932.39	4,568,970.42 1,621,588.07 6,190,558.49	512,594.81 168,092.13 680,686.94	507,015.60 135,223.70 642,239.30	406,712.50 136,178.20 542,890.70	1,426,322.91 439,494.03 1,865,816.94
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington Circuit Total	42,370.00 68,224.00 67,681.50 1,039,849.96 6,130.00 1,224,255.46	13,872.00 40,866.55 27,715.00 31,504.72 6,511.00 120,469.27	29,363.75 96,667.20 72,694.00 492,376.66 95,121.50 786,223.11	8,692.00 26,296.95 11,278.00 284,832.55 5,491.00 336,590.50	94,297.75 232,054.70 179,368.50 1,848,563.89 113,253.50 2,467,538.34	9,954.65 25,005.70 19,932.30 202,123.06 11,975.00 268,990.71	11,096.25 26,930.00 22,779.00 182,675.17 15,425.00 258,905.42	7,655.00 15,620.00 13,830.00 175,378.87 0.00 212,483.87	28,705.90 67,555.70 56,541.30 560,177.10 27,400.00 740,380.00
	Downstate Total	10,258,078.92	1,172,447.96	3,031,978.50	1,030,086.11	15,492,591.49	1,563,728.79	1,717,871.43	1,446,662.72	4,728,262.94
	Cook County	36,123,791.00	224,435.00	6,086,149.00	3,002,756.00	45,437,131.00	1,002,597.00	813,991.00	2,511,067.00	4,327,655.00
	State Total	46,381,869.92	1,396,882.96	9,118,127.50	4,032,842.11	60,929,722.49	2,566,325.79	2,531,862.43	3,957,729.72	9,055,917.94

<sup>a</sup>This amount includes both criminal and traffic fines and forfeitures. NOTE: Monies collected and distributed to the Illinois Commerce Commission are not included in this report.

			Employees*		Adult		Amount of Restitution
Circuit	County	Number of Probation Officers*	Number of Other Staff	Total Personnel	Investigations Completed By Probation Department	Active Adult Probation Caseload (December 31, 1984)	Collected*** (Adult & Juvenile Collections)
1st	Alexander Jackson <sup>a</sup> Johnson Massac Pope Pulaski Saline <sup>a</sup> Union Williamson <sup>a</sup> Circuit Total	1 7 1 ** 1 5 1 6 23	2 2 1 ** 0 1 1 1 9	3 9 2 ** 1 6 2 7 32	16 47 15 11 14 3 27 14 55 202	95 361 55 115 50 81 186 69 493 1,505	\$ 8,480 38,005 6,693 10,159 2,420 8,443 53,925 6,807 40,984 175,916
1st 2nd 2nd	Crawford Edwards Franklin Gallatin Hamilton Hardin Jefferson Lawrence Richland Wabash Wayne White Circuit Total	1 3 2 1 ** 1 ** 1 ** 1 ** 1 3	1 1 1 0 ** 0 ** 0 ** 0 **	2 4 3 1 ** 1 ** 1 ** 1 ** 1 7	15 4 65 0 10 0 86 12 7 14 26 13 252	52 60 346 155 39 80 262 46 77 118 70 119 1,424	9,410 25,288 9,428 5,819 4,287 2,345 16,604 3,730 17,501 6,470 6,820 8,109 115,811
3rd	Bond Madison <sup>a</sup> Circuit Total	1 21 22	0 4 4	1 25 26	4 144 148	61 894 955	5,003 46,656 51,659
4th	Christian Clay Clinton Effingham Fayette Jasper Marion Montgomery Shelby Circuit Total	2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 3	2 1 2 3 1 1 2 2 1 1 5	19 15 67 12 14 10 41 14 6 198	101 71 269 92 63 41 510 78 53 1,278	9,912 11,491 14,876 991 11,887 5,002 25,053 12,310 6,884 98,406
5th	Clark Coles Cumberland Edgar Vermilion Circuit Total	1 6 ** 2 13 22	1 2 ** 1 2 6	2 8 ** 3 15 28	14 150 3 37 400 604	57 219 36 76 568 956	9,758 25,253 4,159 11,092 108,345 158,607

## 1984 SELECT CHARACTERISTICS OF ILLINOIS PROBATION DEPARTMENTS

\*Count taken on December 31, 1984. Includes officers with adult, juvenile, or combined caseloads. \*\*Indicates a multi-county probation operation. Personnel have already been listed under a previous county in the circuit.

\*\*\*Restitution is either collected by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the office of the State's Attorney, or within the probation department itself.
\*Indicates Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program operational by the circuit court identified but personnel not included in total count. As of December 31, 1984, an additional 51 IPS officers should be added to employee count.

		1984		
SELECT CHARACTERISTICS	OF	ILLINOIS	PROBATION	DEPARTMENTS

			Employees*		Adult		Amount of
Circuit	County	Number of Probation Officers*	Number of Other Staff	Total Personnel	Investigations Completed By Probation Department	Active Adult Probation Caseload (December 31, 1984)	Restitution Collected*** (Adult & Juvenile Collections)
6th	Champaign <sup>a</sup> DeWitt Douglas Macon <sup>a</sup> Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	19 2 12 1 2 38	4 1 3 1 1 1	23 3 15 2 3 49	505 56 55 526 19 20 1,181	450 58 75 320 113 48 1,064	\$ 8,237 8,493 7,452 56,214 6,138 4,593 91,127
7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	1 4 6 25 1 38	0 1 1 6 0 9	1 2 5 7 31 1 47	61 18 153 472 591 1 1,296	80 47 434 222 461 10 1,254	4,702 16,784 11,174 18,622 80,517 351 132,150
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	9 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 8	4 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 6	13 1 4 1 2 1 24	205 6 2 127 15 54 38 17 464	342 62 32 102 80 17 120 32 787	58,285 13,124 3,611 4,594 9,116 1,744 18,033 4,809 113,316
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox McDonough Warren Circuit Total	12 1** 2** 4** 2** ** 21	3 0** 1** 2** 1** ** 7	15 1** 3** 6** 3** ** 28	123 51 22 169 127 74 566	160 57 17 202 91 54 581	27,706 12,137 994 31,788 14,361 11,509 98,495
10th	Marshall Peoria <sup>a</sup> Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	1 41 1 1 14 58	0 10 0 4 14	1 51 1 1 18 72	2 697 0 2 66 767	147 2,581 10 48 390 3,176	2,718 116,237 743 1,852 38,421 159,971
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean <sup>a</sup> Woodford Circuit Total	1 7 3 14 3 28	1 2 1 3 1 8	2 9 4 17 4 36	55 63 54 223 22 417	67 102 285 320 426 1,200	3,629 29,251 9,927 58,446 8,363 109,616

\*Count taken on December 31, 1984. Includes officers with adult, juvenile, or combined caseloads. \*\*Indicates a multi-county probation operation. Personnel have already been listed under a previous county in the circuit. For the 9th Circuit, adult services are circuit-wide,

and Henderson & Warren Counties have combined juvenile services. \*\*\*Restitution is either collected by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the office of the State's Attorney, or within the probation department itself. \*Indicates Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program operational by the circuit court identified but personnel not included in total count. As of December 31, 1984, an additional 51 IPS officers should be added to employee count.

SELECT CHARACTERISTICS OF ILLINOIS PROBATION D	
SELECT CHARACTERISTICS OF ILLINOIS FROBATION D	DEPARTMENTS

			Employees*		Adult		Amount of
Circuit	County	Number of Probation Officers*	Number of Other Staff	Total Personnel	Investigations Completed By Probation Department	Active Adult Probation Caseload (December 31, 1984)	Restitution Collected*** (Adult & Juvenile Collections)
12th	Iroquois	2	0	2	32	88	\$ 23,872
	Kankakee	9	2	11	61	332	17,719
	Will	14	4	18	129	641	37,855
	Circuit Total	25	6	31	222	1,031	79,446
13th	Bureau	7	2	9	26	75	27,090
	Grundy	2**	1**	3**	8	71	23,916
	LaSalle	4**	1**	5**	73	306	139,140
	Circuit Total	13	4	17	107	452	190,146
14th	Henry	8	2	10	138	401	44,442
	Mercer	5	2	7	99	66	6,432
	Rock Island	27	6	33	1,984	767	50,091
	Whiteside	10	2	12	61	383	35,801
	Circuit Total	50	12	62	2,282	1,617	136,766
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	2 2 6 6 9 25	1 1 2 2 7	3 3 7 8 11 32	24 12 202 292 99 626	116 129 485 502 719 1,951	6,012 6,823 27,439 6,180 27,632 74,086
16th	DeKalb	9	3	12	109	217	32,015
	Kane <sup>a</sup>	29	23	52	775	619	177,340
	Kendall	2	1	3	12	86	10,985
	Circuit Total	40	27	67	896	922	220,340
17th	Boone	3	1	4	35	181	22,386
	Winnebago	34	9	43	245	1,023	81,251
	Circuit Total	37	10	47	280	1,204	103,637
18th	DuPage	58	18	76	619	2,698	178,364
18th	Circuit Total	58	18	76	619	2,698	178,364
19th	Lake <sup>a</sup>	51	11	62	1,937	1,271	193,882
	McHenry	25	5	30	299	772	81,282
	Circuit Total	76	16	92	2,236	2,043	275,164
20th	Monroe	22	9	31	12	84	5,997
	Perry	**	**	**	32	130	13,418
	Randolph	**	**	**	20	237	19,210
	St. Clair <sup>a</sup> .	**	**	**	628	1,288	24,145
	Washington	**	**	**	6	48	1,026
	Circuit Total	22	9	31	698	1,787	63,796
	Downstate Total	639	190	829	14,064	27,885	2,626,819
	Cook County <sup>a</sup>	642	273	915	7,979	33,548	1,053,158
	State Total	1,281	463	1,744	22,043	61,433	\$3,679,977

\*Count taken on December 31, 1984. Includes officers with adult, juvenile, or combined caseloads. \*\*Indicates a multi-county probation operation. Personnel have already been listed under a previous county in the circuit. For the 13th Circuit, adult services are circuit-wide. For the 20th Circuit, both adult and juvenile services are circuit-wide. \*\*\*Restitution is either collected by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the office of the State's Attorney, or within the probation department itself. \*Indicates Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program operational by the circuit court identified but personnel not included in total count. As of December 31, 1984, an additional 51 IPS officers should be added to employee count.

## 1984 SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES

			Juvenile Case Filir	ngs* – By Type	of Case			Juvenile	Case Dispositions* –	By Type of Case		
							D	Pelinquency				
Circuit	County	Delinquent	Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention	Dependent	Neglected/ Abused	Total	General	Granting Transfer to Be Tried As An Adult	Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention	Dependent	Neglected/ Abused	Total
1st	Alexander	19	2	7	14	42	21	1	2	7	14	45
	Jackson	64	1	2	12	79	46	0	1	4	12	63
	Johnson	11	4	2	4	21	12	0	3	2	4	21
	Massac	21	1	3	6	31	21	0	1	3	4	29
	Pope	2	0	1	1	4	2	0	0	2	2	6
	Pulaski	18	1	4	5	28	13	0	1	3	4	21
	Saline	31	1	1	31	64	33	0	1	1	25	60
	Union	12	1	0	11	24	18	0	1	0	10	29
	Williamson	23	4	4	22	53	32	1	3	3	19	58
1st	Circuit Total	201	15	24	106	346	198	2	13	25	94	332
2nd	Crawford	17	1	4	7	29	18	0	1	3	8	30
	Edwards	8	1	3	0	12	4	0	0	1	0	5
	Franklin	45	2	6	22	75	32	0	2	6	18	58
	Gallatin	3	0	0	10	13	3	0	1	2	2	8
	Hamilton	1	0	0	3	4	3	0	0	0	2	5
	Hardin	5	0	0	11	16	9	0	1	2	4	16
	Jefferson	52	4	1	20	77	47	1	3	1	25	77
	Lawrence	15	1	3	7	26	9	0	1	2	5	18
		1	0	2	5	8	3	0	0	2	11	16
	Richland Wabash	23	0	0	3	26	38	0	0	2	35	75
		17	12	0	9	38	21	0	8	0	11	40
	Wayne	11	0	0	13	24	12	0	0	0	14	
2nd	White Circuit Total	198	21	19	110	348	199	1	17	22	135	26 374
			0				13	0				
3rd	Bond	13		2	5	20			0	1	4	18
2 1	Madison	440	10	16	143	609	359	0	10	16	98	483
3rd	Circuit Total	453	10	18	148	629	372	0	10	17	102	501
4th	Christian	41	1	1	25	68	34	0	1	1	19	55
	Clay	10	2	0	6	18	14	0	2	0	5	21
	Clinton	40	2	0	8	50	25	0	2	0	8	35
	Effingham	32	1	17	10	60	42	1	1	15	16	75
	Fayette	21	1	2	10	34	22	0	1	2	8	33
	Jasper	19	0	1	9	29	22	0	0	1	8	31
	Marion	47	6	0	23	76	43	1	6	0	70	120
	Montgomery	39	2	2	13	56	47	0	2	2	15	66
	Shelby	3	1	1	3	8	10	1	0	1	10	22
4th	Circuit Total	252	16	24	107	399	259	3	15	22	159	458
5th	Clark	21	1	0	7	29	26	0	1	0	19	46
	Coles	49	5	3	21	78	49	0	5	3	18	75
	Cumberland	2	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	0	7	11
	Edgar	37	4	7	13	61	27	1	4	7	12	51
	Vermilion	97	1	1	110	209	163	2	1	1	386	553
	Circuit Total	206	11	11	152	380	269	3	11	11	442	736

\*Current reporting procedures followed by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts require only the identification of the number of cases filed and the number of cases disposed of. Breakdowns by type of case were acquired either through the county's probation department or through the county's State's Attorney's office.

### 1984 SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES

			Juvenile Case Filin	ngs* — By Type	of Case			Juvenile	Case Dispositions* –	By Type of Case		
Circuit	County	Delinquent	Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention	Dependent	Neglected/ Abused	Total	D General	elinquency Granting Transfer to Be Tried As An Adult	Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention	Dependent	Neglected/ Abused	Total
6th	Champaign DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt	197 35 4 226 6 13	0 0 1 0 0	7 2 2 4 0 0	51 5 65 3 0	255 42 11 296 9 13	186 24 4 237 9 19	2 0 0 8 0 1	0 0 1 0 0	7 2 5 0 0	61 7 4 73 2 14 161	256 33 10 324 11 34 668
6th	Circuit Total Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon Scott Circuit Total	481 6 10 54 32 87 3 192	1 0 2 7 3 15 0 27	15 10 2 0 0 20 0 32	129 4 12 15 10 108 0 149	626 20 26 76 45 230 3 400	479 1 9 61 28 60 7 166	11 0 0 2 0 3	1 0 2 5 2 15 0 24	4 2 1 0 20 0 27	4 10 60 11 37 4 126	10 23 127 41 134 11 346
8th	Adams Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	67 4 33 34 7 23 7 178	3 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	17 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 22	39 2 2 3 6 0 7 0 59	126 6 5 36 41 7 31 11 263	59 5 4 30 30 8 30 13 179	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	17 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 22	31 5 4 6 5 0 9 0 60	110 10 8 36 36 8 40 17 265
9th 9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox. McDonough Warren Circuit Total	17 14 11 38 21 45 146	0 0 1 1 3	2 0 4 0 0 6	25 4 21 7 3 64	44 18 15 64 29 49 219	15 15 8 65 18 46 167	0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 0 2	2 0 4 0 0 6	19 6 4 39 5 5 5 78	36 21 12 109 24 52 254
10th	Marshall Peoria Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	14 190 6 1 86 297	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 75 0 0 5 80	6 136 2 0 120 264	20 403 8 1 211 643	15 185 5 1 136 342	0 2 0 0 0 2	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 75 0 0 5 80	7 143 3 1 193 347	22 407 8 2 334 773
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	19 36 7 92 23 117	10 1 0 8 0 19	10 6 3 18 0 37	0 30 20 46 10 106	39 73 30 164 33 339	10 26 13 86 25 160	0 0 1 2 3	10 1 0 7 0 18	10 6 3 18 0 37	2 28 17 40 9 96	32 61 33 152 36 314

\*Current reporting procedures followed by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts require only the identification of the number of cases filed and the number of cases disposed of. Breakdowns by type of case were acquired either through the county's probation department or through the county's State's Attorney's office.

	1984			
SELECT	CHARACTERISTICS	ON	JUVENILE	CASES

			Juvenile Case Filir	ngs* — By Type	of Case			Juvenile	Case Dispositions*	<ul> <li>By Type of Ca</li> </ul>	se	
							C	Delinquency				
Circuit	County	Delinquent	Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention	Dependent	Neglected/ Abused	Total	General	Granting Transfer to Be Tried As An Adult	Minor In Need of Authoritative Intervention	Dependent	Neglected/ Abused	Total
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will	20 84 247	3 4 7	2 6 8	21 66 125	46 160 387	41 64 236	0 3 7	3 4 7	2 5 8	53 65 133	99 141 391
12th	Circuit Total	351	14	16	212	593	341	10	14	15	251	631
13th	Bureau Grundy LaSalle	58 48 131	4 3 1	0 1 1	25 26 76	87 78 209	51 54 107	0 0 0	4 3 1	0 1 1	20 30 60	75 88 169
13th	Circuit Total	237	8	2	127	374	212	0	8	2	110	332
14th	Henry Mercer Rock Island** Whiteside Circuit Total	43 20 102 69 234	0 0 3 0 3	4 0 1 8 13	28 0 82 43 153	75 20 188 120 403	32 28 139 77 276	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0 2	4 0 1 8 13	19 2 220 45 286	55 30 363 130 578
15th	Carroll Jo Daviess Lee** Ogle Stephenson Circuit Total	23 7 59 55 73 217	1 0 2 3 1 7	3 2 1 5 0 11	17 19 27 17 35 115	44 28 89 80 109 350	24 4 59 52 89 228	0 0 3 2 5	1 0 2 4 1 8	3 0 4 5 3 15	15 5 28 19 40 107	43 9 93 83 135 363
16th	DeKalb Kane Kendall Circuit Total	57 224 50 331	2 5 2 9	3 20 22 45	41 211 4 256	103 460 78 641	56 308 38 402	0 3 0 3	2 5 2 9	3 20 20 43	40 251 4 295	101 587 64 752
17th	Boone Winnebago Circuit Total	52 391 443	0 0 0	3 10 13	10 111 121	65 512 577	34 319 353	0 6 6	0 1 1	3 10 13	15 80 95	52 416 468
18th 18th	DuPage Circuit Total	507 507	18 18	12 12	74 74	611 611	429 429	1 1	18 18	12 12	83 83	543 543
19th 19th	Lake McHenry Circuit Total	255 86 341	0 2 2	8 5 13	73 40 113	336 133 469	287 117 404	1 0 1	0 2 2	11 5 16	95 53 148	394 177 571
20th	Monroe Perry Randolph St. Clair Washington	30 13 15 497 2 557	0 0 41 1 42	1 0 8 24 0 33	5 5 0 132 2 144	36 18 23 694 5 776	31 15 16 927 2 991	0 0 5 0 5	0 0 40 1 41	1 0 8 24 0 33	8 6 0 625 3 642	40 21 24*** 1,621 6
20th	Circuit Total		42					61	220			1,712
	Downstate Total	5,999		446	2,709	9,386	6,426			447	3,817	10,971
	Cook County	13,601	99		182	17,882	13,113	7	100	3,1		16,337
	State Total	19,600	331****	7,3	337	27,268	19,539	68	320	7,3	81	27,308

\*Current reporting procedures followed by the Clerks of the Circuit Courts require only the identification of the number of cases filed and the number of cases disposed of. Breakdowns by type of case were acquired either through the county's probation department or through the county's State's Attorney's office. \*\*One "addicted minor" petition filed during 1984. \*\*\*\*Includes numerous cases closed as a result of termination of wardship. \*\*\*\*\*Includes two "addicted minor" petitions filed during 1984.

#### 1984 SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES (Cont'd)

				Type of Dispo	sitional Order Impos	ed After Adjudicati	on					
Circuit	County	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Children & Family Services	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities	*** Commitment to a Local Institution	Placed on Probation or Conditional Discharge	** Guardian Appointed		ed on vision Sec. 5-2	Total	Investigations Completed By Probation Department	Active Juvenile Probation Caseload Dec. 31, 1984
1st	Alexander	2	3	1	1	13	3	9	0	32	33	21
	Jackson	2	10	0	2	19	5	12	1	51	21	28
	Johnson	0	4	0	1	3	1	0	0	9	2	3
	Massac	0	3	0	1	5	1	5	0	15	1	11
	Pope	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1
	Pulaski	0	Ő	0	1	4	1	5	0	11	4	17
	Saline	2	8	0	2	11	2	7	1	33	4	17
		2	0	0	20	8	2	4	0	18	4	8
	Union	0	4		0			4		28	3	135
	Williamson	1	1	0	1	17	1	/	0			241
1st	Circuit Total	7	33	1	9	82	16	49	2	199	72	241
2nd	Crawford	0	2	0	1	10	2	6	0	21	8	13
	Edwards	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	2	6
	Franklin	4	6	1	2	13	3	5	2	36	14	19
	Gallatin	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	2
	Hamilton	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	3
	Hardin	0	8	0	0	2	0	1	0	11	1	3
		2	10	0	0	28	5	12	1	59	26	49
	Jefferson	3		0	0			10.000	0	10	20	5
	Lawrence	0	2	0	0	6	0	2			10 M	5
	Richland	0	2	0	0	5	0	4	0	11	2	
	Wabash	0	11	0	2	21	13	3	1	51 <sup>b</sup>	4	12
	Wayne	0	5	0	1	17	2	4	0	29	2	18
	White	1	7	0	0	6	1	4	0	19	4	17
2nd	Circuit Total	8	60	1	6	111	27	44	4	261	65	152
3rd	Bond	0	6	0	1	3	2	1	0	13	2	9
	Madison*	13	19	0	35	129	12	85	5	298	45	301
3rd	Circuit Total	13	25	0	36	132	14	86	5	311	47	310
							17, 280		-	26	10	69
4th	Christian	2	9	0	2	11	1	11	0	36	10	8
	Clay	0	1	0	0	8	1	4	0	14		
	Clinton	0	2	0	0	16	0	4	0	22	3	32
	Effingham	0	3	0	1	27	2	20	1	54	5	23
	Fayette	2	3	0	0	6	1	11	0	23	6	17
	Jasper <sup>a</sup>	0	1	0	0	10	0	6	0	17	2	10
	Marion	2	8	0	1	46	5	23	1	86 <sup>b</sup>	12	71
	Montgomery	0	6	0	1	19	2	24	0	52	7	22
		0	1	0	0	4	1	7	0	13	2	18
446	Shelby Circuit Tatal		24	0	5	4 147	13	110	2	317	51	270
4th	Circuit Total	6	34									
5th	Clark	0	2	0	0	21	2	6	0	31	3	24
	Coles	0	7	0	1	32	3	16	1	60	14	67
	Cumberland	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	6	0	4
	Cumbertanu											
		1	9	0	0	17	2	7	0	36	7	35
	Edgar Vermilion		9 2	0	0	17 190	2 7	7 86	03	36 313 <sup>b</sup>	7 95	35 107

\*Indicates the County operates a juvenile detention home. Statewide there are 13 detention homes operated by county governments. The following information gives a personnel count and total intake (juveniles held in secure detention) for each of the 13 facilities.

\*\*Includes placement with individual, private agency, or private institution. \*\*\*Includes 30 day dispositional detention orders. \*County did not supply data. Information received from other sources. <sup>b</sup>Includes numerous cases closed as a result of termination of wardship.

## 1984 SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES (Cont'd)

				Type of Dispos	sitional Order Impos	ed After Adjudicati	on					
Circuit	County	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Children & Family Services	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities	*** Commitment to a Local Institution	Placed on Probation or Conditional Discharge	** Guardian Appointed	Place Super Sec. 4-7		Total	Investigations Completed By Probation Department	Active Juvenile Probation Caseload Dec. 31, 1984
6th	Champaign* DeWitt Douglas Macon Moultrie Piatt Circuit Total	10 2 0 12 0 1 25	8 6 2 13 0 0 29	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	15 0 0 12 0 1 28	70 7 2 126 2 12 12 219	17 2 12 1 2 36	57 6 2 93 4 6 168	6 0 3 0 9	183 24 8 271 7 22 515	511 44 24 233 1 28 841	205 28 14 158 10 27 442
7th 7th	Greene Jersey Macoupin Morgan Sangamon* Scott <sup>a</sup> Circuit Total	1 2 1 12 0 18	5 4 1 3 10 0 23	0 1 0 1 1 0 3	0 0 1 2 6 0 9	0 3 30 14 45 4 96	0 3 5 3 10 1 22	1 3 51 8 21 3 87	0 0 2 0 2 0 4	7 16 92 <sup>b</sup> 32 107 8 262	1 5 31 29 455 0 511	3 7 72 38 127 5 252
8th	Adams* Brown Calhoun Cass Mason Menard Pike Schuyler Circuit Total	2 0 7 0 3 0 2 14	2 0 0 1 0 6 1 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 12	15 2 1 12 20 1 2 4 57	4 1 2 3 1 1 1 1	46 3 2 6 5 1 2 0 65	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	81 6 4 27 29 6 11 9 173	33 0 0 17 7 4 8 5 74	90 3 1 17 28 7 7 6 159
9th	Fulton Hancock Henderson Knox* McDonough Warren Circuit Total	0 4 0 2 4 0 10	9 1 0 3 0 4 17	0 5 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 1 8 0 2 11	12 9 4 25 7 19 76	2 0 3 2 3 10	6 1 3 17 4 18 49	0 0 0 0 0 0	29 20 8 58 17 46 178	33 11 3 77 129 24 277	29 30 12 61 16 32 180
10th	Marshall Peoria* Putnam Stark Tazewell Circuit Total	0 21 0 0 3 24	1 13 1 0 5 20	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 30 0 3 3 33	6 89 1 0 133 229	1 16 1 0 10 28	5 116 2 2 93 218	0 11 0 0 4 15	13 296 5 2 251 <sup>b</sup> 567	0 196 0 1 228 425	12 498 3 1 98 612
11th	Ford Livingston Logan McLean Woodford Circuit Total	0 1 3 1 6	5 10 0 7 6 28	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 1 2 24 0 27	8 16 12 59 13 108	3 4 2 8 1 18	6 16 7 16 4 49	0 1 0 2 0 3	22 49 26 119 25 241	12 69 23 216 28 348	20 36 25 143 29 253
12th	Iroquois Kankakee Will Circuit Total	0 8 19 27	11 10 13 34	0 0 0 0	2 3 10 15	32 52 141 225	4 6 8 18	29 31 66 126	0 2 2 4	78 <sup>b</sup> 112 259 449	18 22 35 75	69 97 212 358

\*Indicates the County operates a juvenile detention home. Statewide there are 13 detention homes operated by county governments. The following information gives a personnel count and total intake (juveniles held in secure detention) for each of the 13 facilities.

\*\*Includes placement with individual, private agency, or private institution.

\*\*\*Includes 30 day dispositional detention orders.

<sup>a</sup>County did not supply data. Information received from other sources. <sup>b</sup>Includes numerous cases closed as a result of termination of wardship.

1984 SELECT CHARACTERISTICS ON JUVENILE CASES (Cont'd)

				Type of Dispo	sitional Order Impos	ed After Adjudicat	ion					
Circuit	County	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Children & Family Services	Commitment to the Illinois Department of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities	*** Commitment to a Local Institution	Placed on Probation or Conditional Discharge	** Guardian Appointed	Place Super Sec. 4-7	ed on vision Sec. 5-2	Total	Investigations Completed By Probation Department	Active Juvenile Probation Caseload Dec. 31, 1984
13th	Bureau	2	6	0	6	20	4	19	1	58	48	38
	Grundy	1	3	0	1	22	3	33	0	63	47	51
	LaSalle*	1	5	0	19	53	5	61	3	147	188	80
13th	Circuit Total	4	14	0	26	95	12	113	4	268	283	169
14th	Henry	2	0	0	2	26	2	13	0	45	57	62
	Mercer	0	1	0	0	10	1	9	0	21	48	34
	Rock Island	9	2	1	0	115	7	143	5	282	381	124
	Whiteside	9	1	0	6	37	4	42	2	101	25	81
14th	Circuit Total	20	4	1	8	188	14	207	7	449	511	301
15th	Carroll	1	9	0	2	9	1	9	0	31	6	24
	Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	6	1	12
	Lee	1	10	1	3	26	3	31	1	76	106	92
	Ogle	6	13	0	3	14	2	17	0	55	90	55
	Stephenson	4	18	0	0	24	4	40	2	92 <sup>b</sup>	91	92
15th	Circuit Total	12	50	1	8	75	12	99	3	260	294	275
16th	DeKalb	1	1	0	0	39	4	36	1	82	327	69
	Kane*	9	13	0	17	184	9	165	6	403	1,261	203
	Kendall	0	1	0	0	19	2	19	0	41	5	30
16th	Circuit Total	10	15	0	17	242	15	220	7	526	1,593	302
17th	Boone	0	2	0	0	18	2	13	0	35	36	41
17 11 1.1.1.1	Winnebago*	20	18	0	23	119	11	61	6	258	992	341
17th	Circuit Total	20	20	0	23	137	13	74	6	293	1,028	382
18th	DuPage*	6	15	0	20	151	15	108	3	318	952	290
18th	Circuit Total	6	15	0	20	151	15	108	3	318	952	290
		8	19	1	19	101		63	8	235	247	185
19th	Lake*	8		0	4	69	16 8	36	3	132	167	102
19th	McHenry Circuit Total	9	11 30	1	23	170	24		11	367	414	287
20th	Moriroe	0	2	0	0	13	1	9	0	25	1	19
	Perry	2	2	0	0	7	0	1	0	12	3	11
	Randolph	2	1	0	0	10	0	4	0	17	8	16
	St. Clair*	30	90	0	140	283	63	695	13	1,314 <sup>b</sup>	147	266
201	Washington	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	1	3
20th	Circuit Total	35	95	0	140	316	64	709	13	1,372	160	315
	Downstate Total	297	576	16	460	3,119	399	2,798	107	7,772	8,140	5,787
	Cook County*	763	347	0	1,400	3,023 <sup>d</sup>	1,352	3,235°	19	10,139	11,126	5,134
	State Total	1,060	923	16	1,860	6,142	1,751	6,033	126	17,911	19,266	10,921

\*Indicates the County operates a juvenile detention home. Statewide there are 13 detention homes operated by county governments. The following information gives a personnel count and total intake (juveniles held in secure detention) for each of the 13 facilities.

\*\*Includes placement with individual, private agency, or private institution.

\*\*\* Includes 30 day dispositional detention orders.

\*County did not supply data. Information received from other sources.

<sup>b</sup>Includes numerous cases closed as a result of termination of wardship.

<sup>c</sup>Includes minors placed on supervision: Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision).

<sup>d</sup>Includes some initial sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the Circuit Court of Cook County. As of December 31, 1984 – 41 juveniles received such dispositional orders from Cook County Juvenile Division judges.

		1984 Juveniles Held in			1984 Juveniles Held in			1984 Juveniles Held in
County	Detention Home Employees (December 31, 1984)	Secure Detention (Total Intake)	County	Detention Home Employees (December 31, 1984)	Secure Detention (Total Intake)	County	Detention Home Employees (December 31, 1984)	Secure Detention (Total Intake)
Adams	7	98	Knox	13	83	Peoria	12	492
Champaign	11	160	Lake	20	179	St. Clair	18	648
Cook	299	8,882	LaSalle	11	131	Sangamon	21	350
DuPage	20	356	Madison	21	477	Winnebago	14	1,202
Kane	24	366						

				A	dult					Juv	venile		
		Violations	Reported		action — I Violation		ction — se Violation	Violations	Reported		Action — I Violation		ction — se Violation
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	No Violation	Finding of Violation	No Violation	Finding of Violation	Technical	New Offense	No Violation	Finding of Violation	No Violation	Finding of Violation
1st	Alexander	17	9	0	17	4	5	2	3	1	2	0	3
	Jackson*	58	40	9	13	4	7	2	2	0	2	2	0
	Johnson	8	8	3	5	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Massac	16	5	1	6	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Pope	5	5	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	1	5	1	0	1	5	2	2	Ĩ	1	1 1	1
	Saline*	80	49	24	29	9	28	õ	2	0	o i	2	0
	Union	17	10	3	14	3	3	1	0	2	0	0	0
		78	26	29	15	7	4	1	2	1	0	2	0
1.4	Williamson* Circuit Total	280	157	70	102	31	61	8	13	5	5	7	6
1st		200	157	70	102	51	01	0	15	5	5	/	0
2nd	Crawford <sup>a</sup>	3	6	2	1	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	2
	Edwards	12	2	0	12	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	0
	Franklin	23	17	7	4	4	14	2	4	0	1	0	1
	Gallatin	11	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Hamilton	13	5	5	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	17	28	5	4	6	12	0	5	0	0	0	2
	Lawrence	2	2	1	1	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
	Richland	11	5	4	5	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0
	Wabash	34	13	12	3	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	16	5	1	10	0	4	1	3	0	1	0	3
	White	11	1	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	155	87	41	51	21	46	9	19	2	6	1	10
3rd	Bond	4	2	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Madison*	160	87	58	61	21	48	24	59	14	8	15	21
3rd	Circuit Total	164	89	58	65	21	50	24	60	14	8	15	22
4th	Christian	16	18	5	6	4	13	2	9	0	1	1	8
	Clay	14	11	11	1	0	10	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Clinton	15	33	0	8	5	13	2	2	1	1	0	2
	Effingham	2	5	2	0	1	4	0	3	0	0	0	2
	Fayette	2	18	2	0	1	14	4	1	4	0	0	1
	Jasper <sup>a</sup>	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
	Marion	105	63	6	19	5	20	9	11	0	4	0	7
	Montgomery	10	6	1	3	1	4	0	2	0	0	0	2
		14	3	3	5	0	3	1	4	Ĭ	0	0	3
4th	Shelby Circuit Total	180	159	32	43	18	82	19	35	6	6	2	27
								0		0	0		
5th	Clark	6	5	2	3	1	4	0	2	0	0	2	0
	Coles	55	31	11	30	5	17	20	12	4	13	1	10
	Cumberland	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
	Edgar	21	31	6	13	3	30	14	20	6	7	5	18
	Vermilion	54	9	4	30	2	7	15	17	1	9	2	14
5th	Circuit Total	138	77	23	78	12	58	50	52	11	30	11	42

#### 1984 ADULT & JUVENILE PROBATION & CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE VIOLATION SUMMARY

<sup>a</sup>County did not supply all necessary data. Information received from other sources.

NOTE: A finding of violation could result in the following sentence imposed: commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections, imprisonment in a local correctional center, re-commitment to probation or conditional discharge, extension of term of probation or conditional discharge, intensive probation supervision, etc.

\*Includes some sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences initially or otherwise from judges throughout Illinois.

#### 1984 ADULT & JUVENILE PROBATION & CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE VIOLATION SUMMARY

				Adult								Juvenile			
		Violations	Reported		action — I Violation		action — Ise Violation	Violations	Reported		action — I Violation		ction — se Violation		
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	No Violation	Finding of Violation	No Violation	Finding of Violation	Technical	New Offense	No Violation	Finding of Violation	No Violation	Finding of Violation		
6th	Champaign*	62	21	21	16	5	15	51	54	2	25	1	43		
	DeWitt	7	3	0	6	0	3	3	4	2	1	0	4		
	Douglas	18	11	15	8	3	9	3	0	1	1	0	0		
	Macon*	42	78	6	25	19	64	40	56	5	31	10	37		
	Moultrie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
	Piatt	3	5	0	2	0	5	2	1	1	0	0	1		
6th	Circuit Total	132	118	42	57	27	96	99	116	11	58	12	85		
7th	Greene	15	5	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
/ 111	Jersey	2	4	0	1	0	4	1	4	0	1	0	3		
		61	41	9	17	8	13	4	1	1	2	0	1		
	Macoupin	36	16	6	20	0	16	7	2	1	6	Ĩ	1		
	Morgan	102	58	7	45	6	30	34	65	1	18	4	42		
	Sangamon	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
7th	Scott Circuit Total	216	124	24	84	15	64	46	72	3	27	5	47		
8th		58	96	14	20	10	29	15	15	8	18	7	19		
8th	Adams	5	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Brown	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Calhoun		4	3	3	1	3	2	0	2	0	0	2		
	Cass	10			-	2	5	~	4	0	0	1	0		
	Mason	1	2	0	1		0	0		0	2		0		
	Menard	10	4	0	10	0	4	2	0			1	0		
	Pike	25	22	5	18	0	12	0	2	0	0		2		
	Schuyler	3	2	1	3	0	1	3	3	0	2	1			
8th	Circuit Total	113	130	25	57	13	49	22	25	10	22	10	23		
9th	Fulton	19	10	7	7	2	5	0	5	0	0	1	4		
	Hancock	13	6	7	3	0	4	4	6	0	2	0	6		
	Henderson	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Knox	25	29	9	8	5	22	1	41	0	1	1	38		
	McDonough	28	9	16	4	1	8	1	2	1	0	0	1		
	Warren	10	6	0	4	0	3	2	3	0	2	0	3		
9th	Circuit Total	96	63	40	26	8	43	8	57	1	5	3	52		
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Peoria*	181	156	1	34	2	32	27	62	8	19	13	49		
	Putnam	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Stark	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Tazewell	136	45	29	125	15	24	6	14	2	5	4	9		
10th	Circuit Total	318	202	31	159	17	57	33	76	10	24	17	58		
11th	Ford	12	14	0	12	0	14	0	4	0	0	0	4		
	Livingston	94	22	46	53	12	10	20	4	2	13	1	2		
	Logan	99	33	34	24	4	23	8	3	3	5	0	2		
	McLean*	148	122	14	30	1	19	78	58	11	48	16	35		
	Woodford	25	11	14	11	4	7	7	22	2	3	0	18		
11th	Circuit Total	378	202	108	130	21	73	113	91	18	69	17	61		
i i ui sass	circuit rotal	570	202	100	150		15					1			

\*County did not supply all necessary data. Information received from other sources.

NOTE: A finding of violation could result in the following sentence imposed: commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections, imprisonment in a local correctional center, re-commitment to probation or conditional discharge, extension of term of probation or conditional discharge, intensive probation supervision, etc.

\*Includes some sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences initially or otherwise from judges throughout Illinois.

	1				obilition		ITOTALE D	is crin tire of		ION JOIN			
				А	dult		Juvenile						
		Violations	Reported		Action — I Violation		Action — nse Violation	Violations	Reported		Action — I Violation		Action — use Violation
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	No Violation	Finding of Violation	No Violation	Finding of Violation	Technical	New Offense	No Violation	Finding of Violation	No Violation	Finding of Violation
12th	Iroquois	2	14	2	0	0	14	4	7	2	1	0	7
	Kankakee	.50	3	9	41	0	3	21	29	7	14	3	26
	Will	29	14	20	10	11	4	64	118	25	30	61	64
12th	Circuit Total	81	31	31	51	11	21	89	154	34	45	64	97
13th	Bureau	5	7	2	2	0	4	9	17	1	13	0	9
	Grundy	7	4	4	2	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	4
	LaSalle	27	21	6	18	3	16	51	11	2	49	0	13
13th	Circuit Total	39	32	12	22	3	22	60	32	3	62	0	26
14th	Henry	60	56	12	35	4	29	14	23	1	13	2	12
	Mercer	5	5	0	5	1	4	0	3	0	0	1	2
	Rock Island	140	54	57	47	13	22	26	40	7	13	3	19
	Whiteside	65	39	27	25	8	27	9	23	0	7	0	13
14th	Circuit Total	270	154	96	112	26	82	49	89	8	33	6	46
15th	Carroll	22	18	6	9	1	12	3	6	1	2	0	6
15th	Jo Daviess	37	23	12	7	5	10	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Lee	66	19	16	15	6	10	19	24	3	13	0	19
	Ogle	52	47	18	14	22	12	4	3	1	0	3	3
	Stephenson	155	14	67	45	0	3	40	15	6	25	2	13
15th	Circuit Total	332	121	119	90	34	47	67	48	12	40	5	41
16th	DeKalb	44	17	27	11	15	5	17	16	6	8	4	5
	Kane*	187	175	45	64	14	50	68	120	10	32	9	68
	Kendall	12	4	9	7	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	4
16th	Circuit Total	243	196	81	82	29	58	85	140	16	40	13	77
17th	Boone	41	19	11	19	5	13	4	5	1	3	2	2
	Winnebago	171	112	20	56	9	31	90	70	2	34	3	40
17th	Circuit Total	212	131	31	75	14	44	94	75	3	37	5	42
18th	DuPage	524	499	36	77	95	113	83	63	11	67	15	52
18th	Circuit Total	524	499	36	77	95	113	83	63	11	67	15	52
19th	Lake*	426	362	17	45	5	77	8	11	2	2	7	5
	McHenry	107	79	38	26	22	39	32	53	10	22	12	41
19th	Circuit Total	533	441	55	71	27	116	40	64	12	24	19	46
20th	Monroe	8	11	0	3	1	6	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Perry	21	14	0	9	1	11	3	4	0	2	0	3
	Randolph	39	2	8	25	0	1	4	7	0	3	0	8
	St. Clair*	70	116	14	29	22	27	5	105	1	0	13	59
	Washington	5	4	1	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	1
20th	Circuit Total	143	147	23	68	24	47	12	119	1	5	14	72
	Downstate Total	4,547	3,160	978	1,500	467	1,229	1,010	1,400	191	613	241	932
	Cook County*	11,5	53	No Violati	on — 7,673	Finding	— 3,083	1,08	88	No Violation – 417		Finding	- 801
	State Total	19,2	60	No Violati	on — 9,118	Finding	- 5,812	3,49	98	No Viola	tion — 849	Finding	- 2,346

#### 1984 ADULT & JUVENILE PROBATION & CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE VIOLATION SUMMARY

\*County did not supply all necessary data. Information received from other sources.

NOTE: A finding of violation could result in the following sentence imposed: commitment to the Illinois Department of Corrections, imprisonment in a local correctional center, re-commitment to probation or conditional discharge, extension of term of probation or conditional discharge, intensive probation supervision, etc.

\*Includes some sentences to the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) program as operated by the circuit courts identified. As of December 31, 1984 – 158 defendants have received such sentences initially or otherwise from judges throughout Illinois.

# CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY



## STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR 1984

TREND OF CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

	COUNTY DEPARTMENT		Pending				Total	Disposed	Pending	Inventory Increase (+)
Division	Type of Cas	e	At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Transferred	Added	Of	At End	Decrease (-
	Ad Damnum Jury		56,295	5,042	2,031	+14,044	21,117	19,300	58,112ª	+ 1,817
	Over \$15,000	Non-Jury	16,454	21,364	1,563	-14,044	8,883	8,138	17,188 <sup>b</sup>	+734
L	Tax <sup>e</sup>		1,800	618	264	0	882	760	At End 58,112ª	+ 122
Ŵ	Condemnation		358	158	49	0	207	· 274 <sup>z</sup>		- 67
**	Miscellaneous Remedy <sup>e</sup>		5,096	3,056 <sup>t</sup>	855	0	3,911	6,155 <sup>z</sup>	2,852 <sup>a</sup>	- 2,244
	Sub-Total		80,003	30,238	4,762	0	35,000	34,627	At End 58,112 <sup>a</sup> 17,188 <sup>b</sup> 1,922 291 2,852 <sup>a</sup> 80,365 <sup>a</sup> 13,229 <sup>d</sup> 12,873 5,692 <sup>g</sup> 18,565 43,503 <sup>h</sup> 206 953 274 44,936 <sup>h</sup> 21,867 6,277 3,144 50 9,471 8,138 196,571 13,408 <sup>l</sup> 57,131 <sup>m</sup> 16,165 <sup>n</sup> 55,479 <sup>q</sup> 8444 1,635 13,452 <sup>w</sup> 18,774 15,755 <sup>u</sup> 120,376 <sup>w</sup> 313,019	+ 362
Chancery	Chancery		11,746	11,708 <sup>t</sup>	1,509	0	13,217	11,791°	13,229 <sup>d</sup>	+1,483
D r	Domestic Relations		12,592	25,822	3,096	0	28,918	28,637	At End \$\overline{s},112^a 17,188^b 1,922 291 2,852^a 80,365^a 13,229^d 12,873 5,692 <sup>g</sup> 18,565 43,503^h 206 953 274 44,936^h 21,867 6,277 3,144 50 9,471 8,138 196,571 13,408^l 57,131 <sup>m</sup> 16,165 <sup>n</sup> 55,479 <sup>q</sup> 844 1,635 13,452 <sup>w</sup> 18,774 15,755 <sup>u</sup> 120,376 <sup>w</sup> 313,019	+ 281
Domestic Relations	Reciprocal Non-Support, etc."		9,638	3,262	17,942	0	21,204	22,095		- 3,946
Relations	Sub-Total	22,230	29,084	21,038	0	50,122	50,732	18,565	- 3,665	
С	Tax	42,902	12,791	0	0	12,791	13,195	43,503 <sup>h</sup>	+ 601	
0	Mental Health		111	6,066	0	0	6,066	5,971	At End 58,112 <sup>a</sup> 17,188 <sup>b</sup> 1,922 291 2,852 <sup>a</sup> 80,365 <sup>a</sup> 13,229 <sup>d</sup> 12,873 5,692 <sup>g</sup> 18,565 43,503 <sup>h</sup> 206 953 274 44,936 <sup>h</sup> 21,867 6,277 3,144 50 9,471 8,138 196,571 13,408 <sup>l</sup> 57,131 <sup>m</sup> 16,165 <sup>n</sup> 55,479 <sup>q</sup> 8444 1,635 13,452 <sup>w</sup> 18,774 15,755 <sup>u</sup> 120,376 <sup>w</sup> 313,019	+ 95
U	Adoptions, Marriages of Minors, & Orde	974	2,289	0	0	2,289	2,310	953	- 21	
N	Municipal Corporations and Election M	277	38	0	0	38	41	274	- 3	
Y	Sub-Total		44,264	21,184	0	0	21,184	21,517	44,936 <sup>h</sup>	+ 672
Probate	Estates, Guardianships, & Disabled Mat	ters	20,618	11,001	371	0	11,372	10,123	21,867	+ 1,249
	Delinquency		5,686	13,601	110	0	13,711	13,120	6,277	+ 591
	Dependency/Neglected	2,073	4,182	6	0	4,188	3,117	3,144	+1,071	
Juvenile	Minors in Need of Authoritative Interve		51'	99	0	0	99	100	At End 58,112* 17,188 <sup>b</sup> 1,922 291 2,852* 80,365* 13,229 <sup>d</sup> 12,873 5,692* 18,565 43,503 <sup>h</sup> 206 953 274 44,936 <sup>h</sup> 21,867 6,277 3,144 50 9,471 8,138 196,571 * 13,408 <sup>l</sup> 57,131 <sup>m</sup> 16,165° 55,479° 844 1,635 13,452* 18,774 15,755° 120,376* 313,019	-1
	Sub-Total		7,810	17,882	116	0	17,998	16,337		+1,661
Criminal	Felony (Indictment & Information)		7,315	14,565'	3350 <sup>k</sup>	0	17,915	17,092 <sup>i</sup>	8,138	+ 823
County Dep			193,986	135,662	31,146	0	166,808	162,219	196,571	+ 2,585
	MUNICIPAL DEPARTMEN									
D	Law Ad Damnum	Jury	12,590	4.679	1.080	+ 5,002	10,761	10.224	13.408 <sup>1</sup>	+ 818
I	\$15,000 or Less	Non-Jury	50,318	104,905	3,848	- 3,878	104,875	97,989	57.131 <sup>m</sup>	+ 6,813
S	Small Claims		14,158	96,855	2,471	-1,124	98,202	95,935		+ 2007
Т	Тах		61,260	0	2.594°	0	2,594	8,375		
ĸ	Auto Forfeitures		498	1,255	55 <sup>p</sup>	0	1,310			-5,781 + 346
C	Felony (Indictment & Information)		1,361	5,553	1,573'	0	7,126	6,852 <sup>s</sup>		+ 274
T	Felony (Preliminary Hearings)		28,943	37,115	5,479°	0	42,594	42,984		- 15,491
S		Housing			0	0	9,385	10.974 <sup>t</sup>		-1.589
ONE		Paternity			2.975 <sup>aa</sup>	0	25,268	25,166		+ 299
THRU	Misdemeanors, Ordinance Violations &		15,456 68,858	22,293 324,668	44,040 <sup>x</sup>	0	368,708	345,061		+ 51,518
SIX	Traffic		,	5,373,691	0	0	5,373,691	3,439,390 <sup>v</sup>	760         1,922           274'         291           6,155'         2,852 <sup>a</sup> 4,627         80,365 <sup>a</sup> 1,791'         13,229 <sup>d</sup> 8,637         12,873           2,095         5,692 <sup>s</sup> 0,732         18,565           3,195         43,503 <sup>h</sup> 5,971         206           2,310         953           41         274           1,517         44,936 <sup>h</sup> 0,123         21,867           3,120         6,277           3,117         3,144           100         50           6,337         9,471           7,092 <sup>i</sup> 8,138           2,219         196,571           0,224         13,408 <sup>l</sup> 7,989         57,131 <sup>m</sup> 5,935         16,165 <sup>n</sup> 8,375         55,479 <sup>q</sup> 964         844           6,852 <sup>s</sup> 1,635           2,984         13,452 <sup>w</sup> 0,974 <sup>t</sup> 18,774           5,166         15,755 <sup>w</sup> 5,061         120,376 <sup>w</sup>	, 5 10
Municipal [	Department Sub-Totals		273,805	5,980,399	64,115	0	6,044,514	4,083,914	313.019	+ 39,214
	al			3,000,000	.,		0,0.1,0.1	1,000,011	0.0,0.0	

FOOTNOTES: (a) Does not include 569 law jury cases, 371 law non-jury cases, and 1 miscellaneous remedy case on special calendars (military, appeal, bankruptcy, and insurance liquidation); (b) Adjustment of -11 cases as a result of a case inventory; (c) Includes the dispositions entered as a result of the annual Chancery Calendar Call held in March and April; (d) Adjustment of +57 cases as a result of a case inventory; (e) Amended General Order No. 1.2.1, effective September 1984 combined the former Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Sections into the Tax & Miscellaneous Remedy Section of the Law Division and restructured the former Support Division into a sub-section of the Domestic Relations Division; (f) Amended General Order No. 3.1.2, effective September 1984, reclassified administrative review cases and declaratory judgments as chancery cases rather than miscellaneous remedies actions; (g) Adjustment of -3,055 cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of +1,005 cases (tax objections) as a result of a case inventory; (i) Indicates figures are now available to separate and indicate numbers by petition type; (j) Includes 1,127 indictments filed and which were transferred during the year to Districts Two through Six; (k) Includes 235 cases transferred from Districts One through Six to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.; (I) Adjustments of +121 cases in District One, +208 cases in District Two, -9 cases in District Three, -59 cases in District Four, +34 cases in District Five, and -14 cases in District Six as results of case inventories; (m) Adjustments of -23 cases in District Two, -80 cases in District Four, and +20 cases in District Five as results of case inventories; (n) Adjustments of -121 cases in District One, +5 cases in District Two, -114 cases in District Four, and -30 cases in District Five as results of case inventories; (o) Includes cases reinstated as a result of case inventories in Districts Two, Five, and Six; (p) Includes District One cases involving "messenger" services, reinstated and assigned to the Surety Section of the Office of the Chief Judge; (q) Indicates an effort is underway to inventory 1980 cases in District One; (r) Includes 1,127 indictments transferred from the Criminal Division; (s) Includes 264 cases transferred from one district to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.; (t) Includes the results of a progress call held during the year in District One; (u) Adjustments + 260 cases in District One, + 29 cases in District Two, -61 cases in District Three, -129 cases in District Four, and +98 in District Six as results of case inventories; (v) Includes cases reinstated as a result of computer case inventories in Districts Two, Three, and Six; (w) Indicates computer adjustments as results of continuous inventories taken on pending felony preliminary hearings, misdemeanors, ordinance violations, and conservation violations; (x) Includes cases reinstated as a result of computer case inventories in Districts One through Six; (v) Includes results of special calls and procedural changes in Districts One through Six; (z) Includes the results of a progress call held during the vear; and (aa) Includes nearly 1,600 body attachments recalled and guashed resulting in reinstated cases in District One.

## TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1984

		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein- stated	Trans- ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+ Decrease (-
	DIST, 1	11,458	4,523	994	+ 3,934	9,451	8,974		+ 598
LAW	DIST. 1	129	4,525	8	+ 3,334	112	160		+ 160
JURY	DIST. 3	218	24	1	+ 291	321	266		+ 46
CASES	DIST. 4	336	31	6	+ 291	286	270		- 43
\$15,000	DIST. 4 DIST. 5	143	36	30	+ 249	200	270		+ 24
OR LESS	DIST. 6	306			+ 142	383	336		+ 24
	DIST. 8	48,652	36	41 3,454	- 2,998	101,123	94,729		+ 6,394
LAW									
NON-JURY	DIST. 2	448	676	43	-65	654	464		+167
CASES	DIST. 3	284	825	219'	- 223	821	757		+ 64
\$15,000	DIST. 4	344	759	29	- 212	576	526		
OR LESS	DIST. 5	255	774	45	-134	685	601		+ 104
	DIST. 6	335	1,204	58	- 246	1,016	912		+ 104
	DIST. 1	9,810	79,005	1,207	- 753	79,459	77,635	11,513°	+1,703
	DIST. 1 PRO SE	1,086	4,074	414	- 183	4,305	4,518	873	- 213
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST. 2	753	2,061	31	-15	2,077	1,473		+ 609
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST. 3	319	2,024	364'	- 68	2,320	2,197		+123
	DIST. 4	517	2,383	72	- 37	2,418	2,364		- 60
	DIST. 5	512	1,684	100	- 8	1,776	1,945	At End 12,056 <sup>®</sup> 289 <sup>i</sup> 264 <sup>i</sup> 293 <sup>k</sup> 167 <sup>i</sup> 339 <sup>m</sup> 55,046 615 <sup>i</sup> 348 324 <sup>k</sup> 359 <sup>i</sup> 439 11,513 <sup>®</sup>	- 199
	DIST. 6	1,161	5,624	283	- 60	5,847	5,803	1,205	+ 44
	DIST. 1	57,406	0	363	0	363	3,146	54,623	- 2,783
	DIST. 2	1,324	0	1,311'	0	1,311	2,345	290	-1,034
TAX***	DIST. 3	338	0	157	0	157	284	At End         12,056*         289'         264'         293*         167'         339"         55,046         615'         348         324*         359'         439         11,513*         873         1,362'         442         457*         313'         1,205         54,623         290         211         195         60         100         844         55         339         274         356         123         538         8,236*         1,931*         1,726*         384*         18,61         *         18,761         *         18,761         *         13         14,566'         61'         66'         395'	-127
TAX	DIST. 4	1,254	0	0	0	0	1,059		- 1,059
	DIST. 5	171	0	125'	0	125	236		- 111
	DIST. 6	767	0	638'	0	638	1,305		- 667
AUTO FORFEITURES	DIST. 1	498	1,255	55	0	1,310	964	844	+ 346
	DIST. 1	4	2,627	0	0	2,627	2,626	195 60 100 844 5	+1
	DIST. 2	250	674	459	0	1,133	1,044	339	+ 89
FELONY	DIST. 3	235	592	142	0	734	695	At         End         12,0568         289'         264'         293k         167'         339"         55,046         615'         348         324k         359'         439         11,513k         873         1,362'         442         457k         313'         1,205         54,623         290         211         195         60         100         844         5         339         274         356         123         538         8,236*         1,931*         1,726*         384*         18,761         *         *         *         13         14,566'         61'         66'         395'         13         14,566'         61'         66'         395' <td>+ 39</td>	+ 39
(INDICTMENT &	DIST. 4	358	485	472	0	957	959		-1
INFORMATION	DIST. 5	47	462	202	0	664	588		+ 76
	DIST. 6	467	713	298	0	1,011	940		+ 71
	DIST. 1	26,129	28,889	0	0	28,889	33,555		- 17,893
	DIST. 2	539	1,648	1,526°	0	3,174	1,975		+1,392
FELONY	DIST. 3	824	1,839	1,701°	0	3,540	1,742		+ 902
(PRELIMINARY	DIST. 4	546	1,447	0	0	1,447	2,266		- 162
HEARINGS	DIST. 4 DIST. 5	278	1,363	0	0	1,363	1,497		- 92
		627		2,252°	0	4,181	1,949		+ 362
	DIST. 6		1,929						
	DIST. 1	20,327	9,362	0	0	9,362	10,928	-	-1,566
	DIST. 2	*	*	*	*	*	*		-
HOUSING	DIST. 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	At         End         12,056 <sup>8</sup> 289 <sup>1</sup> 264 <sup>1</sup> 293 <sup>8</sup> 167 <sup>1</sup> 339 <sup>m</sup> 55,046         615 <sup>1</sup> 348         324 <sup>k</sup> 359 <sup>1</sup> 439         11,513 <sup>8</sup> 873         1,362 <sup>1</sup> 442         457 <sup>k</sup> 313 <sup>1</sup> 1,205         54,623         290         211         195         60         100         844         5         339         274         356         123         538         8,236 <sup>a</sup> 1,931 <sup>a</sup> 1,726 <sup>a</sup> 384 <sup>a</sup> 18,761         *         *         13         14,566 <sup>c</sup> 61 <sup>d</sup> 66 <sup>e</sup> 395 <sup>t</sup>	
	DIST. 4					*			
	DIST. 5	*	*	*	*		*		-
	DIST. 6	36	23	0	0	23	46		- 23
	DIST. 1	14,077	20,474	2,655°	0	23,129	22,900	-	+ 489
	DIST. 2	61	106	0	0	106	135	61 <sup>d</sup>	-
PATERNITY	DIST. 3	181	70	0	0	70	124	At End         12,056%         289'         264'         293k         167'         339"         55,046         615'         348         324k         359'         439         11,513k         873         1,362'         442         457k         313'         1,205         54,623         290         211         195         60         100         844         55         339         274         356         123         538         8,236*         1,931*         1,726*         384*         18,761         *         18,761         *         13         14,566'         61'         64'         395'         18,761	-115
	DIST. 4	352	516	153	0	669	497		+ 43
	DIST. 5	**	**	**	**	**	**		-
	DIST. 6	785	1,127	167	0	1,294	1,510		- 118

TREND OF	CASES IN	THE M	UNICIPAL	DEPART	AENT,	
CIRCUIT C	OURT OF	COOK	COUNTY	DURING	1984	
Pending						

		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein- stated	Trans- ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (-
	DIST. 1	56,226	278,485	22,489 <sup>p</sup>	0	300,974	289,511	92,540ª	+ 36,314
MISDEMEANORS,	DIST. 2	1,518	6,324	2,500 <sup>p</sup>	0	8,824	7,115	3,428ª	+1,910
ORDINANCE	DIST. 3	3,237	9,573	6,128 <sup>p</sup>	0	15,701	13,010	5,494ª	+ 2,257
VIOLATIONS, &	DIST. 4	1,898	7,718	4,188 <sup>p</sup>	0	11,906	9,113	5,150ª	+ 3,252
CONSERVATION	DIST. 5	2,027	9,315	4,062 <sup>p</sup>	0	13,377	11,573	5,387ª	+ 3,360
VIOLATIONS	DIST. 6	3,952	13,253	4,673 <sup>p</sup>	0	17,926	14,739	8,377ª	+ 4,425
	DIST. 1		766,005	0	0	766,005	855,761 <sup>q</sup>		
	DIST. 1 HANG-ON		3,835,393	0	0	3,835,393	1,702,530		
	DIST. 2		145,140	0	0	145,140	163,041		
<b>TRAFFIC</b> <sup>b</sup>	DIST. 3		189,423	0	0	189,423	209,886		
	DIST. 4		133,618	0	0	133,618	168,364		
	DIST. 5		150,327	0	0	150,327	176,436		
	DIST. 6		153,785	0	0	153,785	163,372		
	DIST. 1	245,673	5,130,759	31,631	0	5,162,390	3,107,777	269,063	+ 23,390
	DIST. 2	5,022	156,653	5,878	0         0         3,835,393         1,702,530           0         0         145,140         163,041           0         0         189,423         209,886           0         0         133,618         168,364           0         0         150,327         176,436           0         0         153,785         163,372           31         0         5,162,390         3,107,777         269,063           78         0         162,531         177,752         8,315           12         0         213,087         228,961         8,825           200         0         151,877         185,418         7,554	8,315	+ 3,293		
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST. 3	5,636	204,375	0         0         153,785         163,372           31,631         0         5,162,390         3,107,777         269,063           5,878         0         162,531         177,752         8,313           8,712         0         213,087         228,961         8,823	8,825	+ 3,189			
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST. 4	5,605	146,957	4,920	0	151,877	185,418	8,315 8,825 7,554	+1,949
	DIST. 5	3,433	163,961	4,564	0	168,525	193,094	6,595	+ 3,162
	DIST. 6	8,436	177,694	8,410	0	186,104	190,912	At End 92,540 <sup>a</sup> 3,428 <sup>a</sup> 5,494 <sup>a</sup> 5,150 <sup>a</sup> 5,387 <sup>a</sup> 8,377 <sup>a</sup> 	+ 4,231
GRAND TOTALS		273,805	5,980,399	64,115	0	6,044,514	4,083,914	313,019	+ 39,214

FOOTNOTES: (\*) Housing matters are filed and disposed of as general law cases in Districts Two through Five; (\*\*) All paternity matters in District Five are filed and disposed of in District Four; (\*\*\*) Indicates after December 31, 1980 personal property tax cases in the Municipal Department will no longer be filed; (a) Indicates computer adjustments as results of continuous inventories taken on pending felony preliminary hearings, misdemeanors, ordinance violations, and conservation violations; (b) Includes both moving and parking violations; (c) Adjustment of +260 cases as a result of a case inventory; (d) Adjustment of +29 cases as a result of a case inventory; (e) Adjustment of -129 cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of -129 cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of -129 cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of -129 cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of -129 cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of -91 kaw jury cases as a result of a case inventory; (h) Adjustment of -91 kaw jury cases, and -121 small claims cases as results of case inventories; (j) Adjustment of -91 kaw jury cases and numerous law non-jury cases and small claims cases reinstated as results of case inventories; (k) Adjustments of -591 kaw jury cases, -80 law non-jury cases, and -114 small claims cases as results of case inventories; (h) Adjustment of -141 kaw jury cases as a result of a case inventories; (h) Adjustment of -30 small claims cases results of case inventories; (h) Adjustment of cases inventories; (h) Adjustment of cases inventories; (h) Adjustment of a case inventories; (h) Adjustment of a case inventories; (h) Adjustment of -141 kaw jury cases as a result of a case inventories; (h) Adjustment of results of case inventories; (h) Adjustment of a case inventories; (h) Adjustment of -341 kaw jury cases, -201 kaw non-jury cases, -30 small claims cases as results of case inventories; (m) Adjustment of -141
#### LAW

# IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY** STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES DURING 1984

			1979 & Earlier	During 190	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Totals
hury	Number Pending	10,825	6,050	4,279	8,105	14,158	14,695	58,112*	
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	18.6%	10.4%	7.4%	13.9%	24.4%	25.3%	100.0%
OVER \$15,000	Name and an	Number Pending	560	591	2,260	2,150	3,047	8,580	17,188*
\$15,000	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	3.3%	3.4%	13.2%	12.5%	17.7%		100.0%

## AGE OF PENDING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1984

\*Does not include 569 law jury cases and 371 law non-jury cases on special calendars.

#### AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

	Lav	v Jury Cases Termin	ated by Verdict	
	Number of Verdicts		Elapsed Between D g and Date of Verd	
Calendar	Reached During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Standard	595****	97	1	43.0
Special	4**	71	45	56.8
Total	599***	97	1	43.1

\*Reflects time case is handled in the Jury Trial Section and does not include time on special calendars. \*\*Indicates cases which were at one time on a special calendar.

\*\*\*Does not include 3 verdicts on condemnation suits and 8 verdicts on miscellaneous remedy cases heard and terminated by judges in the Jury Trial Section and Tax & Miscellaneous Remedy Section. \*\*\*\*Includes 5 verdicts on cases originally filed as chancery cases.

	Law Jury Case	s Disposed of by Ar	y Means Including	Verdict
Calendar	Total Number of Cases Disposed		Elapsed Between D and Date of Dispos	
	of During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average
Standard	19,143	139	1	33.4
Special	157*	112	10	36.2
Total	19,300	139	1	33.4**

\*Indicates cases placed on special calendars and does not include jury verdicts reached during the month which were at one time on a special calendar.

\*\*Does not reflect time on special calendars.

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, LAW JURY CASES DURING 1984

# AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

			Law Jury Cases Termina	ated by Verdict*			
		Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period	Verdicts Filing and Date of Verdict				
			Maximum	Minimum	Average		
District One	Personal Injury	108	89.5	1.8	43.5		
	Torts, Contracts, etc.	321	87.6	1.3	32.8		
	Sub-total	429	89.5	1.3	35.5		
District Two	-	6	16.9	7.1	11.5		
District Three	-	17	50.9	2.9	17.1		
District Four	-	11	45.7	6.0	22.6		
District Five	-	11	24.9	1.3	15.4		
District Six	-	19	34.8	4.0	17.0		
TOTAL		493	89.5	1.3	33.1		

\*Includes all small claims jury cases.

		Law Jury C	ases Disposed of by An	y Means Including Vero	lict*	
		Total Number of Cases Disposed	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition			
		of During the Period	Maximum	Minimum	Average	
District One	Personal Injury	3,810	93.1	1.0	32.1	
	Torts, Contracts, etc.	5,164	99.1	0.9	23.5	
	Sub-total	8,974	99.1	0.9	27.2	
District Two	-	160	72.1	0.9	11.7	
District Three	-	266	90.7	1.0	11.6	
District Four	-	270	66.6	1.1	16.3	
District Five	-	218	42.6	0.5	12.3	
District Six	-	336	57.8	0.3	12.0	
TOTAL		10,224	99.1	0.3	25.4	

\*Includes all small claims jury cases.







AVERAGE AGE OF LAW JURY CASES (IN MONTHS) DISPOSED OF EACH MONTH FROM JANUARY 1971 THROUGH DECEMBER 1984

Top line: Disposed of by verdict Lower line: Disposed of by any means

183

## LAW

# IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED DURING 1979-1984

		Type of Action									
	T . 1	Personal Injury		Breach	Dram	Property	General				
Year	Total Cases	With or Without Motor Vehicle	Medical	Legal	Total	of Contract	Shop Suit	Damage Suit	Law*		
1979	26,692	20,877	948	53	1,001	2,594	472	250	1,498		
1980	28,193	20,981	1,084	65	1,149	3,014	494	270	2,285		
1981	26,989	20,441	1,208	112	1,320	3,10 <mark>6</mark>	462	312	1,348		
1982	23,370	15,944	1,413	77	1,490	3,494	413	286	1,743		
1983	25,246	17,851	1,641	103	1,744	3,473	461	268	1,449		
1984	26,406	18,981	1,710	122	1,832	3,683	409	284	1,217		

#### TABLE OF LAW CASES FILED (OVER \$15,000) JURY AND NON-JURY

\*Includes such actions as: confessions of judgment, civil suits for false arrest and assault, suits for wrongful death, etc.

\*\*Included here are only suits for medical and legal malpractice. Other types of malpractice are included under general law.

#### TAX, CONDEMNATION, MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY

# IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED DURING 1	STATISTICAL	REPORT	ON	CASES	FILED	DURING	1979-1984
--	-------------	--------	----	-------	-------	--------	-----------

Year	Number of Tax Cases Filed	
1979	14,814	
1980	16,147	
1981*	865	
1982	562	
1983	871	
1984	618	

Year	Number of Condemnation Cases Filed
1979	149
1980	156
1981	190
1982	112
1983	107
1984	158

Year	Number of Miscellaneous Remedy Cases Filed*
1979	2,028
1980	2,429
1981	2,398
1982	2,825
1983	3,318
1984	3,056

\*Includes such actions as matters of administrative review, declaratory judgments, writs of certiorari, mandamus, revivals of judgment over \$15,000, registrations of foreign judgment over \$15,000, forcible entry and detainer actions with damages over \$15,000, worker's compensation cases, etc. Effective September 1984, General Order No. 3.1.2 reclassified administrative review cases and declaratory judgments as chancery cases.

\*Effective January 1, 1981, personal property tax cases no longer are filed in the Law Division.

#### LAW

# IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY** STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES **DURING JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 1984**

	Average Number of Judges	Number of Dispositions		Number of Dispositions Per Judge		Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition	
Dispositions Credited	Sitting	Jury	Non-Jury <sup>a</sup>	Jury	Non-Jury <sup>a</sup>	Jury	Non-Jury <sup>a</sup>
Assignment Judges	2	3,706	2,399	1,853	1,200	34.2	22.0
Pre-Trial Judges	4	2,445	86	611	22	31.8	18.5
Pre-Trial Mediation Judges • Full-Time*	5	4,234	67	847	13	24.0	24.0
Part-Time**	12****	788	13	66	1	24.0	24.0
Motion Judges	5	1,377	1,340	275	268	15.6	6.8
Jury Trial Judges • Full-Time*	42	5,492 <sup>b</sup>	2,171 <sup>b</sup>	128	52	51.3	16.5
Part-Time**	5****	45	31	9	6	31.0	15.2
Progress Call Judge	1	1,037	1,879	1,037	1,879	6.8	6.6
Total***	76	19,124	7,986	252	105	33.3	14.3

## LAW CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

\*Includes only judges who spent 75% or more of their time during the period hearing law cases assigned. \*\*Includes only judges who spent less than 75% of their time hearing law cases assigned. \*\*\*Does not include 45 law cases disposed of by the judges in the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Section and 283 law cases placed on special calendars.

\*\*\*\*Includes 5 Downstate judges assigned during the period. \*\*\*\*Includes 5 Downstate judges assigned during the period.

aIncludes cases where no jury demand has been filed and recorded.

<sup>b</sup>Includes cases disposed of and heard by judges in the Jury Trial Section who assisted with the Assignment, Motion, and Progress Calls.

# CASES DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE JURY TRIAL SECTION DURING THE PERIOD

			Cases* Ass	igned for Trial or P	re-Trial		
			Method of E	Disposition			
Law Jury		Dism	Dismissed		Judgment	Total Cases Returned to	Total
Trial Section	Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement	Judgment on Finding	on Verdict	Assignment Judge**	Cases Assigned
Full-Time Trial Judges	7,896***	1,046	5,008	1,240	602ª	6,704	9,376
Part-Time Trial Judges	81	0	49	26	6	33	38
Total	7,977***	1,046	5,057	1,266	608ª	6,737	9,414

\*Includes law, tax, condemnation, and miscellaneous remedy suits heard and disposed of by the judges in the Jury Trial Section. \*\*Includes mistrials.

\*\*\*Includes cases disposed of and heard by the judges in the Jury Trial Section who assisted with the Assignment, Motion, and Progress Calls.

"Includes 1 verdict entered May 13, 1983 which was not reported until January 1984.

## TAX, CONDEMNATION, MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY

# IN THE LAW DIVISION — TAX & MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY SECTION\*, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, 1984 STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1981 THRU 1984

#### TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

			Method of	Disposition				
		Dism	issed	Bench	n Trial	- Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing & Date of Disposition		
Year	Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement	Finding for Plaintiff	Finding for Defendant			
1981	2,101	476	96	1,319	210	19.9		
1982	2,042	113	125	1,626	178	32.3		
1983	1,558	191	100	1,134	133	30.5		
1984	760	100	43	558	59	31.0		

\*Amended General Order 1.2.1 — effective September 1984 combined the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Sections into the Tax & Miscellaneous Remedy Section and two of the four former miscellaneous remedy judges have now been reassigned to the Chancery Division.

## CONDEMNATION CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

			Method of D	isposition		
		Dism	issed			
Year	Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement	Bench Trial	Jury Verdict	Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing & Date of Disposition
1981	98	40	28	28	2	18.7
1982	137	29	68	35	5	24.6
1983	158	33	82	40	3	24.1
1984	274**	103	121	47	3***	28.2

\*Amended General Order 1.2.1 — effective September 1984 combined the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Sections into the Tax & Miscellaneous Remedy Section and two of the four former miscellaneous remedy judges have now been reassigned to the Chancery Division.

\*\*Indicates a progress call was held during the year.

\*\*\*During 1984, 26 jury demands were made on condemnation cases.

#### MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

			Method of D	Disposition			
		Dismi	ssed*			Aurora Marsha Flavord	
Year	Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement	Bench Trial	Jury Verdict	Average Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing & Date of Disposition	
1981	2,121	653	654	812	2	20.5	
1982	2,064	522	1,042	495	5	15.8	
1983	3,299**	917	1,774	602	6	21.2	
1984	6,155**	3,061	2,333	753	8***	25.5	

\*Amended General Order 1.2.1 — effective September 1984 combined the Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Sections into the Tax & Miscellaneous Remedy Section and two of the four former miscellaneous remedy judges have now been reassigned to the Chancery Division.

\*\*Indicates a progress call was held during the year.

\*\*\*During 1984, 226 jury demands were made on miscellaneous remedy cases.

NOTE: MANY JUDGES WITHIN OTHER SECTIONS OF THE LAW DIVISION ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR SOME OF THESE TAX, CONDEMNATION, AND MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY CASE DISPOSITIONS.

# LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED (\$15,000 OR LESS) JURY AND NON-JURY DURING 1979-1984

						Type of Action											
Year	Total Cases	Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle	Breach of Contract	Tort Action	Forcible Entry & Detainer	Pro Se Small Claims**	Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count)	Replevin	Distress For Rent	General Law or Small Claims*							
1979	201,892	7,207	90,950	20,770	48,669	6,342	19,797	1,050	59	7,048							
1980	198,618	6,293	91,776	22,444	43,479	5,240	22,125	1,293	44	5,924							
1981	193,602	5,532	94,723	20,087	40,211	5,921	23,332	1,428	47	2,321							
1982	178,990	4,937	89,197	16,851	35,624	5,459	23,366	1,515	57	1,984							
1983	173,943	4,602	87,139	15,918	34,488	4,904	24,006	1,342	31	1,513							
1984	188,269	4,427	90,814	15,596	41,184	4,074	30,015	1,106	24	1,029							

#### DISTRICT ONE

\*Includes such actions as registrations of foreign judgment, confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

\*\*Established a pro se court in District One in 1972.

#### **DISTRICT TWO**

			Type of Action										
Year	Total Cases	Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle	Breach of Contract	Tort Action	Forcible Entry & Detainer	Pro Se Small Claims	Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count)	Replevin	Distress For Rent	General Law or Small Claims*			
1979	1,563	628	320	163	365		57	5	0	25			
1980	1,551	601	294	228	342		61	4	0	21			
1981	2,109	902	331	194	548		83	11	0	40			
1982	2,135	783	408	277	523		89	6	0	49			
1983	2,760	1,063	616	300	632		95	10	1	43			
1984	2,761	1,005	620	311	650		104	7	1	63			

\*Includes such actions as registrations of foreign judgment, confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

□ Indicates no pro se court is operational in District Two.

						Type of Action	on			
Year	Total Cases	Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle	Breach of Contract	Tort Action	Forcible Entry & Detainer	Pro Se Small Claims**	Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count)	Replevin	Distress For Rent	General Law or Small Claims*
1979	2,606	1,005	350	263	600	183	151	7	0	47
1980	3,039	1,200	386	311	582	302	202	10	1	45
1981	3,557	1,113	502	426	816	371	230	13	2	84
1982	3,163	926	450	402	767	340	213	5	1	59
1983	2,822	830	398	343	670	297	227	6	1	50
1984	2,878	951	418	362	636	260	192	8	2	49

#### DISTRICT THREE

\*Includes such actions as registrations of foreign judgment, confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

\*\*Established a pro se court in District Three in 1979.

NOTE: SUPREME COURT AMENDED RULE 281 ON JANUARY 5, 1981, RAISING THE UPPER LIMIT OF SMALL CLAIMS CASES FROM \$1,000 TO \$2,500. THE NEW RULE 281 BECAME EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 1981.

# LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX**

# STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED (\$15,000 OR LESS) (cont.) JURY AND NON-JURY DURING 1979-1984

						Type of Actio	on			
Year	Total Cases	Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle	Breach of Contract	Tort Action	Forcible Entry & Detainer	Pro Se Small Claims	Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count)	Replevin	Distress For Rent	General Law or Small Claims*
1979	2,220	915	362	183	568		151	4	0	37
1980	2,410	1,022	391	190	585		175	6	0	41
1981	2,607	953	418	244	739		202	10	1	40
1982	2,679	890	501	401	650		183	7	0	47
1983	2,514	853	446	339	631		201	5	1	38
1984	3,173	1,067	593	406	780		250	8	1	68

#### **DISTRICT FOUR**

\*Includes such actions as registrations of foreign judgment, confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

□ Indicates no pro se court is operational in District Four.

#### DISTRICT FIVE

						Type of Action	on			
Year	Total Cases	Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle	Breach of Contract	Tort Action	Forcible Entry & Detainer	Pro Se Small Claims	Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count)	Replevin	Distress For Rent	General Law or Small Claims*
1979	2,145	787	277	260	658		122	6	0	35
1980	2,495	950	404	255	700		140	7	0	39
1981	2,627	902	346	283	869		165	11	1	50
1982	2,198	764	335	300	614		137	6	1	41
1983	2,520	863	415	310	720		170	7	0	35
1984	2,494	871	420	303	695		171	6	0	28

\*Includes such actions as registrations of foreign judgment, confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc.

□ Indicates no pro se court is operational in District Five.

**DISTRICT SIX** 

			Type of Action										
Year	Total Cases	Personal Injury With or Without Motor Vehicle	Breach of Contract	Tort Action	Forcible Entry & Detainer	Pro Se Small Claims**	Joint Action (Forcible With Money Count)	Replevin	Distress For Rent	General Law or Small Claims*			
1979	5,148	1,324	548	400	2,323		496	10	2	45			
1980	6,083	1,600	714	499	2,610		591	14	4	51			
1981	6,711	1,902	954	431	2,627		710	24	6	57			
1982	6,198	1,671	703	454	2,553	33	702	19	3	60			
1983	6,472	1,615	803	382	2,462	381	759	15	3	52			
1984	6,864	1,702	912	480	2,503	372	804	18	4	69			

\*Includes such actions as registrations of foreign judgment, confessions of judgment, revivals of judgment, etc. \*\*Established a pro se court in District Six in 1982.

NOTE: SUPREME COURT AMENDED RULE 281 ON JANUARY 5, 1981, RAISING THE UPPER LIMIT OF SMALL CLAIMS CASES FROM \$1,000 TO \$2,500. THE NEW RULE 281 BECAME EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 1981.

# LAW

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1984\*

DISTRICT 1			1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
	l	Number Pending	52	97	178	2,369	4,494	4,866	12,056
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.4%	0.8%	1.5%	19.6%	37.3%	40.4%	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS	N	Number Pending	119	125	982	1,467	6,101	46,252	55,046
ON LEDD	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.2%	0.2%	1.8%	2.7%	11.1%	84.0%	100.0%

# AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 2			1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
	1	Number Pending	6	13	5	33	90	142	289
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	2.1%	4.5%	1.7%	11.4%	31.2%	1984	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	13	12	8	37	178	367	615
OK LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	2.1%	2.0%	1.3%	6.0%	28.9%	59.7%	100.0%

DISTRICT 3			1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
	1	Number Pending	0	0	3	12	73	176	264
LAW CASES	Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0	0	1.1%	4.5%	27.7%	66.7%	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	1	1	4	7	29	306	348
OK LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.3%	0.3%	1.2%	2.0%	8.3%	87.9%	100.0%

DISTRICT 4			1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
		Number Pending	2	0	13	36	92	150	293
LAW CASES		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.7%	0	4.4%	12.3%	31.4%	51.2%	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	1	6	10	17	82	208	324
OREESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.3%	1.8%	3.1%	5.3%	25.3%	64.2%	100.0%

DISTRICT 5			1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
		Number Pending	0	0	0	7	22	138	167
LAW		% of Total Pending Inventory	0	0	0	4.2%	13.2%	82.6%	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	0	0	1	1	16	341	359
OK LL33	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0	0	0.3%	0.3%	4.4%	95.0%	100.0%

# LAW

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1984\* — continued

DISTRICT 6			1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
	L	Number Pending	0	3	4	9	81	242	339
LAW CASES	LAW Jury CASES	% of Total Pending Inventory	0	0.9%	1.2%	2.6%	23.9%	71.4%	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	0	0	4	6	37	392	439
ON LLJJ	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0	0	0.9%	1.4%	8.4%	89.3%	100.0%

# AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT TO	TALS		1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
	l	Number Pending	60	113	203	2,466	4,852	5,714	13,408
LAW	\$15,000	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.5%	0.8%	1.5%	18.4%	36.2%	42.6%	100.0%
\$15,000 OR LESS		Number Pending	134	144	1,009	1,535	6,443	47,866	57,131
ON LESS	Non-Jury	% of Total Pending Inventory	0.2%	0.2%	1.8%	2.7%	11.3%	83.8%	100.0%

\*No special calendars are operational in the Municipal Department.



NUMBER OF LAW JURY CASES PENDING IN THE COOK COUNTY MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

191

## LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

# IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX**

## STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES (\$15,000 or less) DURING JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 1984

r.	Dispositions Credite	d	Num Cases I of Du	otal ber of Disposed ring the riod	Nun	erage nber of s Sitting	Dispo	ber of ositions Judge	Months Betwee Filing a	erage 5 Elapsed n Date of and Date position
	1	1	Jury	Non- Jury*	Jury	Non- Jury*	Jury	Non- Jury*	Jury	Non- Jury*
		Assignment Judge	1,193	1,212		1	1,193	1,212	30.3	13.3
		Pre-Trial Judge	962	38		1	962	38	26.5	9.0
D	Personal Injury	Full-Time Trial Judges**	1,486	1,271	5	1	297	1,271	37.1	14.0
1		Part-Time Trial Judges***	169	62	22°	2°	8	31	32.5	12.6
S		Sub-Total	3,810	2,583		32	131	517	32.1	13.6
Т		Motion Judge	911	6,787	1	1	911	6,787	16.2	
R	Torts,	Full-Time Trial Judges**	3,565	34,089	2	12ª	1,783	7,007	27.4	
I C	Contracts, Etc.	Part-Time Trial Judges***	133	1,270	6°	9°	22	141	21.0	
		Sub-Total	4,609	92,146		31	121	3,413	25.0	
Т	Forcible Entry	Full-Time Trial Judges**	504	75,468		5	504	15,094	11.3	
ONE	& Detainers, Joint Actions, Etc.	Part-Time Trial Judges***	51	2,167	7 <sup>c</sup>	8 <sup>c</sup>	7	271	11.5	
		Sub-Total	555	77,635		20	69	5,972	11.3	
	Pro Se Small Claims	Full-Time Trial Judges***	— <sup>b</sup>	4,518		1		4,518	-	
	Sub-To	otal	8,974	176,882		84	195	4,422	27.2	
District Two	-	Full-Time Judges**	160	1,937		1	160	1,937	11.7	
District Three	-	Full-Time Judges**	266	2,954	1	1	266	2,954	11.6	
District Four	-	Full-Time Judges**	270	2,890		2	135	1,445	16.3	
District Five	-	Full-Time Judges**	218	2,546		2	109	1,273	12.3	
District Six	_	Full-Time Judges**	336	6,715		2	168	3,358	12.0	
Total			10,224	193,924		93	183	3,958	25.4	

# CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

\*Indicates cases in which no jury demand has been filed and recorded. \*\*Includes only judges who spent 75% or more of their time hearing law or small claims cases assigned. \*\*\*Includes only judges who spent less than 75% of their time hearing law or small claims cases assigned.

\*Includes the judges in 3 of the 12 courtrooms who are judges from Downstate judicial circuits. In fact these three judicial circuits supply manpower year round.

<sup>b</sup>All pro se small claims cases with a jury demand have been transferred to full-time jury courtrooms.

<sup>c</sup>Includes 53 additional Downstate judges assigned throughout District One's law and small claims courtrooms.

□ Indicates data not yet available.

#### LAW & SMALL CLAIMS

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

#### STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES (\$15,000 or less) DURING JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 1984

#### JURY CASES (\$15,000 or less)<sup>a</sup> DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT DURING THE PERIOD

				METH	OD OF DISPOS	TION	
			DISM	ISSED	Judgment	Judgment	Transfer to Other
		Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution**	By Agreement***	on Finding	on Verdict	Divisions, Other Districts, etc.*
	Personal Injury	3,810	456	2,401	642	108	203
District	Torts, Contracts, Etc.	4,609	830	2,337	850	310	282
One	Forcible Entry & Detainers, Joint Actions, Etc.	555	113	217	191	11	23
	Sub-Total	8,974	1,399	4,955	1,683	429	508
District Two	-	160	15	113	17	6	9
District Three	-	266	16	193	27	17	13
District Four	-	270	35	192	20	11	12
District Five	-	218	22	152	17	11	16
District Six	-	336	26	247	30	19	14
Total		10,224	1,513	5,852	1,794	493	572

\*These cases are reported as disposed of at the point of transfer and include cases transferred out of county.

\*\*Includes cases non-suited.

\*\*\*Includes cases dismissed by stipulation, dismissed upon motion of either party, dismissed prior to the filing of the appearance, etc.

aIncludes all small claims cases with jury demands.

#### NON-JURY<sup>a</sup> CASES (\$15,000 or less) DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT DURING THE PERIOD

				METHOD	O OF DISPOSITION	
			DISMISSED		Judgment	Transfer to Other
		Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution**	By Agreement***	on Finding****	Divisions, Other Districts, etc.*
	Personal Injury	2,583	835	724	935	89
	Torts, Contracts, Etc.	92,146	4,306	14,218	73,019	603
District One <sup>b</sup>	Forcible Entry & Detainers, Joint Actions, Etc.	77,635	2,928	23,767	50,627	313
	Pro Se Small Claims	4,518	920	622	2,903	73
	Sub-Total	176,882	8,989	39,331	127,484	1,078
District Two	-	1,937	302	855	750	30
District Three <sup>b</sup>	-	2,954	280	1,220	1,420	34
District Four	-	2,890	250	1,112	1,500	28
District Five	-	2,546	210	997	1,301	38
District Six <sup>b</sup>	-	6,715	488	1,455	4,707	65
Total		193,924	10,519	44,970	137,162	1,273

\*These cases are reported as disposed of at the point of transfer and include cases transferred out of county.

\*\*Includes cases non-suited.

\*\*\*Includes cases dismissed by stipulation, dismissed upon motion of either party, dismissed prior to the filing of the appearance, etc.

\*\*\*\*Includes judgments for DPS (Defaults on Personal Service).

<sup>a</sup>Includes cases where no jury demand has been filed and recorded.

bIndicates these Municipal Districts have an operational pro se small claims courtroom. These dispositional figures are included in the totals.

## **CHANCERY**

#### IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1980-1984

#### TABLE OF CHANCERY DIVISION CASES\* FILED

		TYPE OF ACTION										
Year	Total Cases	Change of Name	Mortgage Foreclosure	General Chancery**	Class Action Suit	Temporary Restraining Order/ Injunction	Mechanics Lien Suit**	Administrative Review***	Declaratory Judgment***			
1980	9,209	1,549	3,541	2,818	51	1,250	_		_			
1981	10,789	1,561	4,739	3,135	44	1,310	-	-	-			
1982	11,177	1,257	5,443	2,284	58	1,437	698	_	_			
1983	10,986	1,352	5,838	1,985	41	1,329	441	-	_			
1984	11,708	1,424	5,932	1,971	44	1,393	434	183	327			

\*As of January 4, 1982, the former Land Title Section of the Law Division became the Mechanics Lien Section of the Chancery Division.

\*\*These suits were previously filed under the "General Chancery" category.

\*\*\*These suits were previously filed in the Law Division. Effective September 1984, Amended General Order No. 3.1.2. reclassified these cases as chancery.

#### DISPOSITION OF CHANCERY DIVISION CASES\* - 1980 THRU 1984

	Method of Disposition							
Year	Total Cases Disposed of**	Dismissal	Judgment	Transferred to Other Division, District, etc.				
1980	10,004	6,814	2,438	752***				
1981	11,425	7,876	2,809	740***				
1982****	8,951	5,361	3,501	89				
1983	12,241	7,672	4,327	242				
1984	11,791	6,565	4,899 <sup>b</sup>	327				

\*As of January 2, 1982, the former Land Title Section of the Law Division became the Mechanics Lien Section of the Chancery Division.

\*\*Commencing January 4, 1982, all mortgage foreclosure cases which are simultaneously the subject of a bankruptcy proceeding in Federal Court shall be placed on a dormant calendar of the Chancery Division. Although the cases on this calendar — 1,310 as of December 31, 1984, are still reported as active, these matters are not included on the Annual Chancery Calendar Call.

\*\*\*Includes cases sent to the former Land Title Section of the Law Division.

\*\*\*\*No Annual Chancery Calendar Call was held in 1982.

<sup>a</sup>These cases are reported as disposed of at the point of transfer and include cases transferred out of county. <sup>b</sup>Does not include 5 cases which resulted in jury verdicts and were heard and terminated by judges in the Jury Trial Section of the Law Division. In fact, 37 jury demands were made on chancery cases in 1984.

#### ANALYSIS OF CHANCERY CASES PENDING

#### FOR ANNUAL CALENDAR CALL AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS - 1973 THRU 1984\*

						)	AGE OF PEN	DING CASE	S				
Pending			YEARS MORE		R-FIVE ARS		-FOUR ARS		THREE ARS		-TWO ARS		THAN YEAR
Calendar as of June 30	Total Cases Pending	Number	% of Calendar Total	Number	% of Calendar Total	Number	% of Calendar Total	Number	% of Calendar Total	Number	% of Calendar Total	Number	% of Calendar Total
1973 1974 1975 1976** 1977** 1978** 1979** 1980***	5,383 6,329 6,711 7,142 7,744 6,968 6,364 6,948	97 80 48 48 66 83 97 100	1.8% 1.2% 0.7% 0.9% 1.2% 1.5%	63 59 49 66 57 75 100 49	1.2% 0.9% 0.7% 0.9% 0.7% 1.1% 1.6% 0.7%	136 138 149 140 182 231 167 141	2.5% 2.2% 2.0% 2.3% 3.3% 2.6% 2.0%	255 385 376 374 485 454 404 442	4.7% 6.1% 5.6% 6.3% 6.5% 6.4%	1,067 1,004 996 1,246 1,449 1,238 1,261 1,329	19.8% 15.9% 14.9% 17.5% 18.7% 17.8% 19.8% 19.1%	3,765 4,663 5,093 5,268 5,505 4,887 4,335 4,887	70.0% 73.7% 75.9% 71.1% 70.1% 68.1% 70.3%
1980 1981*** 1982*** 1983*** 1984****	0,948 7,773 9,846 9,966 10,463	96 140 133 153	1.3% 1.2% 1.4% 1.3% 1.5%	49 74 143 139 214	1.0% 1.4% 1.4% 2.0%	214 330 372 407	2.0% 2.8% 3.4% 3.7% 3.9%	442 516 833 883 1,053	6.4% 6.6% 8.5% 8.9% 10.1%	1,329 1,413 2,449 2,116 2,138	19.1% 18.2% 24.9% 21.2% 20.4%	4,687 5,460 5,951 6,323 6,498	70.3% 70.2% 60.4% 63.5% 62.1%

\*Presiding Judge in 1982 revised the dates of the pending Calendar Call and the date on which the pending count is taken. All pending cases are as of December 31 and no longer June 30 and do not include cases on the dormant calendar, those pending before the judges of the Mechanics Lien Section, and "change of name" actions.

\*\*As of May 1, 1976, nine separate judicial chancery calendars are in effect. \*\*\*As of June 1, 1980, ten separate judicial chancery calendars are in effect.

\*\*\*\*As of September 4, 1984, twelve separate judicial chancery calendars are in effect.

# HOUSING

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX **DURING 1984**

#### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF HOUSING CASES\*

		Method of Disposition	
	Dismissal	Judgment**	Total
District One	10,078	850	10,928***
District Six	30	16	46
Grand Total	10,108	866	10,974

\*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two through Five. \*\*Judgments include decrees for demolition, permanent injunctions, etc. \*\*\*Includes the work of 10 Downstate judges assigned to hear housing cases in District One and the results of a progress call held during the year.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

#### AGE OF PENDING HOUSING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1984

					Year Filed			
Housing Cases (District One		1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total
& District Six Only)*	Number Pending	2,961	2,559	2,795	2,390	2,663	5,406	18,774
	% of Total Inventory	15.8%	13.6%	14.9%	12.7%	14.2%	28.8%	100.0%

\*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two through Five.

# **DOMESTIC RELATIONS & SUPPORT**

#### IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION\*, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1984**

## CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

Dispositions Credited To	Average Number of Judges Sitting	Number of Dispositions	Number of Dispositions Per Judge
Assignment Judge	1	6,340	6,340
Pre-Trial Conference Judges	2	1,519	760
Pre-Judgment Motion Judges	2	42	21
Custody Visitation Mediation Section Judges	4	626	157
Support Section Judges	4	22,095 <sup>b</sup>	5,524
Full-Time Trial Judges**	12	18,889	1,574
Part-Time Trial Judges***	20 <sup>a</sup>	1,221	61
Total	45	50,732	1,127

\*Amended General Order No. 1.2.1. - effective September 1984 restructured this Division and made the former Support Division a sub-section of the Domestic Relations Division.

\*\*Includes only judges who spent 75% or more of their time hearing cases assigned.

\*\*Includes only judges who spent less than 75% of their time hearing cases assigned.
\*Includes 16 Downstate judges, 3 judges who retired from judicial service and 1 judge no longer in the Division.
<sup>b</sup>Includes the work of 1 Downstate judge assigned to the Support Section.

	DISPUS		UKING			ALL SLC	110113					
			od of osition			Total Assig		-			Moti Count	
Dispositions Credited	Total Cases Disposed of	Dismissed*	Total Judgments Signed & Pay Orders Issued	Total Cases Returned to Assignment Judge**	Total Cases Placed on Dormant Calendar	Uncontested Prove-Ups	Contests	Total Pre-Trial Conferences Held	Total Referrals to Marriage and Family Counseling Services	Total Referrals to Cook County Dept. of Supportive Services	Pre-Trial	Post-Trial****
Assignment Judge	6,340	4,931	1,409	_	1,053	10,189	1,634	0	113	78	16,530	19,109
Pre-Trial Conference Judges	1,519	137	1,382	573	4	1,974	479	3,242	27	-	3,670	108
Pre-Judgment Motion Judges	42	23	19	0	0	32	412	339	271	-	24,399	-
Custody Visitation Mediation Section Judges	626	62	564	107	5	422	2,209	1,963	333	-	3,455	3,364
Support Section Judges	22,095	14,541	7,554	-	0	52,6	507ª	0	0	-	8,916	1,690
Full-Time Trial Judges	18,889	2,182	16,707	904	127	19,720	4,046	1,186	122	-	5,647	5,523
Part-Time Trial Judges	1,221	30	1,191	158	6	2,085	300	111	27	-	2,130	653
Total	50,732	21,906	28,826	1,742	1,195	96,1	109	6,841	893	78	64,747	30,447

#### ANALYSIS OF CASES HEARD AND DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD BY ALL SECTIONS

\*Includes dismissals on uncontested prove-ups and contested cases, body attachments issued, etc.

\*\*Includes cases returned to Assignment Judge/Presiding Judge's Assignment Call from all but the Support Section.

\*\*\*Includes motions granted for case continuances.

\*\*\*\*Effects includes granted in case continuances. \*\*\*\*Effects December 3, 1984, all motions, petitions, and applications made more than thirty days after the entry of a judgment of dissolution of marriage, legal separation, or declaration of invalidity of marriage with the exception of child custody and child visitation rights shall be heard by the judges in the Support Section until further notice. \*Includes total cases heard by the judges in this Section.

# NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF SUPPORT CASES IN THE SUPPORT SECTION

	Method of Disposition								
Dispositions Credited to	Body Attachment Issued for Failure to Appear	Off Call & Initial Summons Unserved*	Dismissal	Other Dispositional Order**	Court Finding & Pay Order Issued	Total			
Supervising Judge	581	2,567	722	647	1,935	6,452			
Full-Time Judges	1,633	7,917	130	240	5,560	15,480			
Part-Time Judge***	20	60	11	13	59	163			
Total	2,234	10,544	863	900	7,554	22,095			

\*Includes cases where the defendants' whereabouts are unknown. \*\*Includes cases non-suited, stricken off with leave to reinstate, etc. \*\*\*Includes the work of 1 Downstate judge assigned to the Support Section.

# NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

1984

PART I	PART II	PART III
Total Domestic Relations Cases Disposed of	Judgments	Cases Dismissed
	TOTAL JUDGMENTS	TOTAL DISMISSALS
28,367	1. Dissolution of Marriage	1. Dissolution of Marriage
20,507	2. Legal Separation	2. Legal Separation
	3. Declaration of Invalidity	3. Declaration of Invalidity

\*Includes cases transferred to other Divisions, Districts, out of County, etc.

# PATERNITY

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984**

#### Method of Disposition Body Attachment Off Call & Other Court Finding & Pay Initial Summons Issued for Dispositional Failure to Appear District Unserved\* Dismissal Order\* Order Issued Total Branch 33 2,393 8,367 920 101 3,387 15,168 Branch 96\*\*\* 19<sup>c</sup> 4,647 1,429 153 1,002 7,250 District One Civil Paternity Call 44 170 78 171 19 482 Sub-Total 2,456 13,092 2,520 273 4,559 22,900 District Two Evanston 18 47 15 11 44 135 District Three Niles 18 17 37 46 6 124 District Four 27 73 497 Maywood 201 14 182 & Five<sup>b</sup> District Six Markham 389 521 86 37 477 1 510 Total 2,711 2.908 13,907 341 5.299 25.166ª

#### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF PATERNITY & NON-SUPPORT CASES

\*Includes cases where the defendants' whereabouts are unknown.

\*\*Includes cases non-suited, stricken off with leave to reinstate, etc. \*\*\*Includes dispositions on "Article X" cases only.

During 1984, 9 jury trials decided the outcomes in paternity cases as heard by civil trial judges in Districts One through Six. In fact, 289 cases were transferred to civil calls in Districts One through Six as a result of a jury demand.

<sup>b</sup>Procedures for paternity cases show all matters in District Four and District Five filed and disposed of in District Four.

<sup>c</sup>Body attachments are no longer issued in Branch 96.

## **MAINTENANCE & CHILD SUPPORT**

#### MONIES HELD & COLLECTIONS MADE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO OTHERS -IN THE SUPPORT SECTION, DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING FY 1981 THRU 1984

Year	Maintenance & Child Support
FY 1981	\$11,947,368
FY 1982	17,347,513
FY 1983	27,237,043
FY 1984	\$33,837,027

\*The fiscal year is measured from December 1st through November 30th.

NOTE: Includes payments deposited and disbursed and personal checks endorsed without recourse and forwarded to obligee.

# COUNTY

#### TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE COUNTY DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY **DURING 1984**

	Тур	e of Case	Pending at Start	Filed	Disposed of	Pending at End
	(4) C	a. Chicago	696	69	42	723
	(1) Special Assessments	b. Suburban	640	5	15	630
	(2) Tax Deeds		at Start         Filed           696         69           640         5           1,788         1,378           1,788         1,378           911         463           1,928         965           198         23           269         0           35,700         9,728           69         1           703         159           42,902         12,791           968         2,123           110         6,046           1         20           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0           0         0	1,378	1,218	1,948
	(3) Scavenger Tax Deeds		911	463	470	904
	(4) Inheritance Tax Petitions		1,928	965	2,516	377
TAX	(5) Inheritance Tax Reassessmen	ts	198	23	9	212
$(\forall)$	(6) Tax Refund Petitions		269	0	0	269
	(7) Tax Objections		35,700	9,728	8,820	37,613*
	(8) Tax Condemnation (in conjune	ction with special assessments)	69	1	0	70
	(9) Other		703	159	105	757
	SUB-TOT	AL	42,902	12,791	13,195	43,503
(B)	ADOPTIONS		968	2,123	2,144	947
		a. Adults	110	6,046	5,951	205
LTH	(1) Commitment Petitions	b. Minors	1	20	20	1
HEAI		a. Adults	0	0	0	0
LAL	(2) Restoration Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
MENTAL HEALTH	(3) Discharge Petitions	a. Adults	0	0	0	0
(C) V	(3) Discharge Petitions	b. Minors	0	0	0	0
	SUB-TOT	AL	111	6,066	5,971	206
(D)	MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS & EL	ECTION MATTERS	277	38	41	274
(E)	MARRIAGE OF MINORS		6	87	87	6
(F)	ORDER FOR PROTECTION**		0	79	79	0
	GRAND	FOTAL	44,264	21,184	21,517	44,936

\*Adjustment of +1,005 cases as a result of a case inventory taken in May 1984.

\*\*Does not include those orders for protection filed as criminal proceedings.

#### **MENTAL HEALTH**

#### MENTAL HEALTH CASES (ADULT COMMITMENT PETITIONS ONLY) DISPOSED OF IN THE COUNTY DIVISION DURING 1981 THRU 1984

			Method of Dis	position		
Total Cases Year Disposed of	Dismissed			Commitment		
		Voluntary	Court Ordered	Total	Other*	
1981	5,286	1,905	3,017	308	3,325	56
1982	5,409	1,789	3,310	245	3,555	65
1983	5,486	1,858	3,316	247	3,563	65
1984	5,951**	2,378	3,271	209	3,480	93

\*Includes commitment transfer orders, etc. \*\*During 1984, 19 jury trials decided the outcomes in mental health cases. In fact, 113 jury demands were made on mental health cases in 1984.

#### PROBATE

#### IN THE PROBATE DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, **CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1984**

## ANALYSIS OF PROBATE CASES AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS - 1973 THRU 1984

Year	Cases Filed	Disposed of
1973	10,523	9,777
1974	10,261	8,800
1975	10,258	8,779
1976	10,426	8,494
1977	10,236	8,066
1978	9,780	7,934
1979	8,934	14,579*
1980	9,199	14,153*
1981	9,870	25,649*
1982	10,048	12,099
1983	10,925	10,023
1984	11,001	10,123

\*Includes results of an extensive physical inventory which began in February of 1979.

		Inventories Filed			Wills			
Year	Personal	Real Estate	Total	Filed	Probated	% Probated		
1973	7,121	2,379	9,500	13,124	5,236	39.9%		
1974	7,112	2,470	9,582	13,086	5,043	38.5%		
1975	6,726	2,282	9,008	12,662	4,688	37.0%		
1976	6,486	2,060	8,546	13,053	4,746	36.4%		
1977	6,610	2,230	8,840	12,852	4,636	36.1%		
1978	7,125	2,027	9,152	13,061	4,491	34.4%		
1979	7,007	1,406	8,413	12,512	4,477	35.8%		
1980	5,533	687	6,220	13,072	4,905	37.5%		
1981	5,282	736	6,018	13,149	4,812	36.7%		
1982	5,526	688	6,214	12,437	4,635	37.3%		
1983	5,031	611	5,642	12,115	4,852	40.1%		
1984	4,136	871	5,007	11,792	4,966	42.1%		

#### **MOVEMENT OF CASES IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1984**

	Decedent Estates	Guardianships	Disabled Adults	Total
Number of Cases Filed	6,959*	2,480	1,562	11,001
Number of Cases Disposed of	6,485*	2,126	1,512	10,123**

\*Does not include "petitions for supplemental proceedings": 115 filed and 23 disposed of. *Petitions for Supplemental Proceedings* are proceedings concerning contracts to make a will, construction of wills, and the appointment of testamentary trustees during the period of administration. \*\*Does not include actions in cases where a jury trial decided the outcome. During 1984, 23 jury demands were made and 3 jury verdicts were entered in probate cases involving petitions for supplemental proceedings (will contests).

#### **INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF** IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1984

## INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF

	Inv	rentories
Kind of Property	Number	Value
Personal	4,136	\$607,218,582
Real Estate	871	42,595,085
Total	5,007	\$649,813,667

# TAX

## IN THE LAW DIVISION - TAX SECTION, COUNTY DIVISION - TAX SECTION, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

#### STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1984

#### TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

Dispositions Credited	Average Number of Judges Sitting	Number of Dispositions	Number of Dispositions Per Judge
Law Division — Tax Section	2	760*	380
County Division — Tax Section	1	13,195*	13,195
Municipal Department — District One	1	3,146	3,146
— District Two	1	2,345	2,345
<ul> <li>District Three</li> </ul>	1	284	284
— District Four	1	1,059	1,059
— District Five	1	236	236
— District Six	1	1,305	1,305
— Sub-Total	6	8,375**	8,375
TOTAL	9	22,330	2,481

\*Includes personal property tax cases, inheritance tax petitions, inheritance tax reassessments, tax objections, certificates of error, tax refund petitions, tax deeds, etc. \*\*Includes personal property tax cases only.

	Year Filed								
-	During 1979 & Earlier	During 1980	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	Total		
Law Division — Tax Section	818	409	72	65	170	388	1,922*		
County Division — Tax Section	1,646	705	2,953	12,507	13,766	11,926	43,503*		
Municipal Deaprtment*** — District One	27,668	26,955					54,623		
— District Two	149	141					290		
- District Three	38	173					211		
— District Four	64	131					195		
- District Five	33	27					60		
- District Six	61	39					100		
- Sub-Total	28,013	27,466					55,479**		
TOTAL	30,477	28,580	3,025	12,572	13,936	12,314	100,904		

## AGE OF PENDING TAX CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1984

\*Includes personal property tax cases, inheritance tax petitions, inheritance tax reassessments, tax objections, certificates of error, tax refund petitions, tax deeds, etc. \*\*Includes personal property tax cases only.

## BOND CERTIFICATE AUTHORIZATIONS

## IN THE SURETY SECTION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY\* DURING 1984

#### Number of Filings\*\*

	Bond Certificate Authorizations	
Surety Section	75	

\*Cases are reported as heard in District One.

\*\*In registering the bonding company, both a filing and a disposition is counted.

#### CIVIL FORFEITURES (AUTO & CURRENCY)

#### IN THE SURETY SECTION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY\* DURING 1984

Nature and Number of Dispositions of Civil Forfeitures

	Method of Disposition				
	Dismissal**	Judgment***	Total		
Surety Section	403	486	889		

\*Cases are reported as heard in District One.

\*\*Includes cases non-suited.

\*\*\*Includes cases in which the title to the automobile is awarded to the Illinois Department of Law Enforcement.

#### IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES DURING 1984

#### INITIAL ACTION ON CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Adjusted*	Petition Recommended	Total
2,297	17,882	20,179

\*Includes cases placed on "informal" probation for 90 days, cases adjusted and disposed of before a petition is filed, etc.

#### CASES ADJUSTED\* IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

	Delinquent	Dependent/Victim of Neglect	Minor in Need of Authoritative Intervention	Other	Total
By the Complaint Unit Staff	2,146	39	112	0	2,297

\*Includes cases placed on "informal" probation for 90 days, cases adjusted and disposed of before a petition is filed, etc.

#### PETITION RECOMMENDED CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Delinquent	Dependent/Victim of Neglect	Minor in Need of Authoritative Intervention	Other	Total
13,601	4,182	99	0*	17,882

\*No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2-3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1984.

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1984

	Num	ber of
Charged Offenses	Petitions	Juveniles
MAJOR DELINQUENT CHARGES*:		
Aggravated Arson	15	15
Attempt Aggravated Arson	2	2
Aggravated Battery	804	804
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault***	14	14
Aggravated Incest	1	1
Aggravated Kidnaping	3	3
Aiding a Fugitive	2	2
Armed Robbery	233	233
Attempt Armed Robbery	13	13
Armed Robbery with a Firearm**	14	14
Armed Violence	3	3
Arson	66	66
Attempt Arson	13	13
Bribery****	8	8
Burglary	3,290	3,290
Attempt Burglary	117	117
Conspiracy to Commit Burglary	1	1
Solicitation to Commit Burglary	3	3
Communication with a Witness	6	6
Compelling Organizational Membership under 17 Years		
	123	123
Criminal Sexual Abuse	12	12
Criminal Sexual Assault	16	16
Attempt Criminal Sexual Assault	2	2
Deceptive Practices	6	6
Deviate Sexual Assault***	16	16
Attempt Deviate Sexual Assault	2	2
Endangering the Life of a Child	2	2
Escape	16	16
Forgery	34	34
Heinous Battery	1	1
Home Invasion	26	26
Attempt Home Invasion	2	2
Incest	6	6
Intimidation	104	104
Involuntary Manslaughter	4	4
Kidnaping	4	4
Mob Action	16	16
Murder**	6	6
Attempt Murder	11	11

\*Indicates a charge which could result in a transfer to the Criminal Division for the purpose of trying juvenile as an adult. During 1984, 7 such petitions were "forwarded" to the Criminal Division.

\*\*\*Includes "aggravated criminal sexual abuse". \*\*\*\*Includes "offering a bribe" as well as "accepting a bribe".

<sup>\*\*</sup>Effective September 8, 1982 the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of the offense was at least 15 years old and who is charged with murder, rape (aggravated criminal sexual assault or abuse), deviate sexual assault (aggravated criminal sexual assault or abuse), or armed robbery with a firearm. During 1984, 145 cases resulted in such automatic filings in the Criminal Division.

## IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Num	ber of
Charged Offenses	Petitions	Juveniles
MAJOR DELINQUENT CHARGES*:		
Possession of Burglary Tools	13	13
Possession & Delivery of Controlled Substance (including		
"possession & delivery" of cannabis)***	289	289
Possession of Explosives	6	6
Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe (includes "possession		
of all drug paraphernalia")	11	11
Possession of Stolen Auto	552	552
Attempt Possession of Stolen Auto	3	3
Rape**	10	10
Attempt Rape	3	3
Reckless Homicide	6	6
Retail Theft	333	333
Residential Burglary	97	97
Attempt Residential Burglary	36	36
Robbery	1,304	1,304
Attempt Robbery	115	115
Solicitation to Commit Robbery	6	6
Theft	491	491
Attempt Theft	26	26
Conspiracy to Commit Theft	4	4
Solicitation to Commit Theft	3	3
Unlawful Restraint	5	5
Unlawful Use of Credit Card	18	18
Unlawful Use of Weapons	432	432
Voluntary Manslaughter	2	2
SUB-TOTAL MAJOR DELINQUENT CHARGES	8,782	8,782

\*Indicates a charge which could result in a transfer to the Criminal Division for the purpose of trying juvenile as an adult. During 1984, 7 such petitions were "forwarded" to the Criminal Division.
 \*\*Effective September 8, 1982 the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of the offense was

\*\*Effective September 8, 1982 the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of the offense was at least 15 years old and who is charged with murder, rape (aggravated criminal sexual assault or abuse), deviate sexual assault (aggravated criminal sexual assault or abuse), or armed robbery with a firearm. During 1984, 145 cases resulted in such automatic filings in the Criminal Division.

\*\*\* Includes "possession with intent to deliver".

# IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

# TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Num	ber of
Charged Offenses	Petitions	Juveniles
MINOR DELINQUENT CHARGES:		
Aggravated Assault (includes simple assault)	426	426
Battery	1,063	1,063
Contributing to the Sexual Delinquency of a Child	106	106
Criminal Damage to Property	722	722
Criminal Trespass to Land (includes "criminal trespass		
to State Supported Land")	121	121
Criminal Trespass to Motor Vehicle	136	136
Curfew Violation	4	4
Deceptive Practices	6	6
Attempt Deceptive Practices	2	2
Disorderly Conduct	201	201
False Fire Alarm	6	6
Harrassment by Telephone Call	25	25
Minor in Possession of Alcoholic Beverages	3	3
Obscenity	2	2
Possession of an Air Rifle or Pellet Gun	4	4
Prostitution	23	23
Solicitation to Commit Prostitution	2	2
Public Indecency	3	3
Reckless Conduct	25	25
Resisting a Peace Officer	36	36
Sale & Use of Intoxicating Compounds	38	38
Solicitating Rides on a Public Highway	6	6
Theft	1,814	1,814
Attempt Theft	29	29
Theft of Labor Services	13	13
Attempt Theft of Labor Services	3	3
SUB-TOTAL MINOR DELINQUENT CHARGES	4,819	4,819
TOTAL DELINQUENT CHARGES	13,601	13,601

# IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

# TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Number of		
Charged Offenses	Petitions	Juveniles	
TOTAL DEPENDENT/VICTIM OF NEGLECT CHARGES TOTAL MINORS IN NEED OF AUTHORITATIVE INTERVENTION CHARGES (includes designation as habitual truant, runaway,	4,182	4,182	
ungovernable, etc.)	99	99	
TOTAL ALL PETITIONS	17,882	17,882	

NOTE: No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2-3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1984.

#### IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES **DURING 1984**

#### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF JUVENILE CASES IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION - 1984

				Metho	od of Disposition			
Type of Case		Dismissal			Transfer to Other Court <sup>b</sup>			
	Without Prejudice	With Prejudice	Other <sup>c</sup>	Solª	702 Hearings Granting Transfer to Criminal Division	Other	Court Finding*	Total
Delinquency	27	6	1,456	3,561	7	78	7,985	13,120
Dependency/ Neglected	963	0	12	6		22	2,114	3,117
Minors in Need of Authoritative Intervention	13	0	31	5		11	40	100***
Total	1,003	6	1,499	3,572	7 <sup>d</sup>	111	10,139	16,337**

\*Includes jury verdicts of guilty as habitual offender (5) as well as other guilty adjudications.

\*\*Includes the work of 4 Downstate judges assigned to this Division during 1984.

\*\*\*Includes some cases previously identified as minors in need of supervision.

<sup>a</sup>Stricken off with leave to reinstate and indicates a procedural change took place during 1984. <sup>b</sup>Indicates court approval for such actions as trying juvenile as an adult in a felony case, changes in venue, etc. <sup>c</sup>Includes cases where a court finding or jury verdict (2) of "not delinquent", "not dependent", "not neglected", etc. was entered and the minor discharged; cases non-suited; etc. <sup>d</sup>Effective September 8, 1982, the "minor" definition no longer applies to a minor who at the time of the offense was at least 15 years old and who is charged with murder, rape (aggravated criminal sexual assault), deviate sexual assault (aggravated criminal sexual assault) or armed robbery with a firearm. During 1984 - 145 cases resulted in such automatic filings in the Criminal Division.

#### TYPE OF COURT FINDING IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS - 1984

Finding of Delinquency	Finding of M.R.A.I.	Finding of Dependency	Finding of Neglect	Finding of Supervision* Under Sec. 4-7	Total
5,315	32	272	1,285	3,235	10,139

\*Includes minors placed on supervision Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision).

#### TYPE OF DISPOSITIONAL ORDER IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS - 1984

	1	nstitutional Commit	ment				Regular		Place	d on Super	vision	
III. Dept. of Corr.	III. Dept. of Children & Family Services	III. Dept. of Mental Health & Developmental Disabilities	Sub-Total	Local	Sub-Total	Intensive Probation Supervision Program	Probation or Conditional Discharge	Guardian Appointed	Sec. 4-7**	Sec. 5-2	Sub-Total	Total
763	347	0*	1,110	1,400	2,510	41	2,982***	1,352	3,235	19	3,254	10,139

\*No petitions were filed on "addicted minors" per Sec. 2-3.1 of the Juvenile Court Act during 1984.

\*\*Includes minors placed on supervision Sec. 5-4 (protective supervision).

\*\*\*Includes conditions of probation or conditional discharge such as payment of restitution, etc. In fact, \$67,913 in restitution was collected during 1984.

#### NATURE OF ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION - 1984

Cases	Continued	Wardships
Disposed of	Generally*	Closed
16,337	103,845	7,223

\*Includes multiple continuances granted on the same petition.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984

#### **NATURE OF DISPOSITION OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS\***

		1		Meth	od of Dispos	ition		1	1	
DISTRICT	Transferred to Criminal Division or Superseded by Information or Indictment or Probable Cause Finding	No Probable Cause	Bond Forfeiture W/ or W/O Warrant	Dismissed for Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off — Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Off Call and Other Dismissal	Total
District 1	18,966	3,619	1,689	9	4,762	34	4,436	4**	36	33,555
District 2	1,430	154	127	0	4	0	259	1	0	1,974
District 3	1,179	41	128	0	105	5	262	0	22	1,742
District 4	1,089	281	70	0	688	0	138	0	0	2,266
District 5	967	46	39	0	359	9	73	1	3	1,497
District 6	1,316	112	150	0	136	2	228	0	5	1,949
TOTAL	24,947	4,253	2,203	9	6,054	50	5,396	6	66	42,984

\*Indicates the disposition of felony preliminary hearings on felony charges and not cases.

\*\* Effective December 3, 1984, the Acting Presiding Judge of District One entered an order prohibiting the use of the motion for leave to file denied (LFD) as a way of disposing of felony preliminary hearings.

#### IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

#### TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE CIRMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984

Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
7,315	14,565*	3,350**	17,092***	8,138

\*Includes 1,127 cases filed which were transferred during 1984 to Districts Two through Six.

\*\*Includes 1,127 cases transferred from Districts One through Six to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes the work of 7 Downstate judges assigned to this Division during 1984 and indicates that 1,157 cases were transferred to Districts Two through Six for trial.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

#### TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984

District	Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed/ Transferred*	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of**	Cases Pending at End
District One	4	2,627	0	2,626	5
District Two	250	674 362	97	1,044	339
District Three	235	592 58	84	695	274
District Four	358	485 388	84	959	356
District Five	47	462 173	29	588	123
District Six	467	713 205	93	940	538
Total	1,361	5,553 1,136	387	6,852	1,635

\*Includes 1,157 cases transferred from the Criminal Division and 29 cases transferred from one district to another district.

\*\*Includes 264 cases transferred from one district to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

NOTE: 20,118 FELONY CASES WERE FILED ON 23,924 DEFENDANTS AS A RESULT OF 24,947 FINDINGS OF PROBABLE CAUSE OR DIRECT INDICTMENTS.

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

## AGE OF PENDING CASES -DECEMBER 31, 1984 (Does Not Include Post-Trial Proceedings)

			Number	of Felony Case	s Pending			
			Indic	tments & Inforn	nations			
		Year Case Filed						
	Prior to 1980	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Total	
Criminal Division*	53	32	45	121	975	6,912	8,138	
Municipal District 1**	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	
Municipal District 2	0	0	0	2	10	327	339	
Municipal District 3	0	0	0	0	18	256	274	
Municipal District 4	0	0	0	3	9	344	356	
Municipal District 5	0	0	0	0	0	123	123	
Municipal District 6	1	3	1	10	41	482	538	
Totals	54	35	46	136	1,053	8,449	9,773	

\*Pre-defined automated procedures in the Criminal Division show cases no longer pending once a conviction order has been reported and a pre-sentence investigation has been ordered.

\*\*A procedural change in the 1st Municipal District now allows for pending cases.

# IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT\* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984

	Nun	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Abandonment of Hazardous Waste	2	4
Aggravated Arson	13	13
Aggravated Arson, etc.	56	69
Attempt Aggravated Arson	7	7
Attempt Aggravated Arson, etc.	11	15
Aggravated Battery	85	112
Aggravated Battery, etc.	146	161
Attempt Aggravated Battery	1	1
Conspiracy to Commit Aggravated Battery	1	5
Aggravated Battery of a Child	3	3
Aggravated Battery of a Child, etc.	32	41
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Abuse**	11	11
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Abuse <sup>**</sup> etc.	48	60
	48	5
Attempt Aggravated Criminal Sexual Abuse, etc.		
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault**	15	18
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault,** etc.	109	121
Attempt Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault, etc.	8	11
Aggravated Incest	3	3
Aggravated Incest, etc.	1	1
Aggravated Indecent Liberties with a Child	8	8
Aggravated Indecent Liberties with a Child, etc.	63	63
Aggravated Kidnaping	2	4
Aggravated Kidnaping, etc.	43	51
Aiding a Fugitive, etc.	4	4
Armed Robbery**	96	109
Armed Robbery,** etc.	852	1,207
Attempt Armed Robbery	21	28
Attempt Armed Robbery, etc.	20	25
Armed Violence	3	3
Armed Violence, etc.	181	214
Arson	15	15
Arson, etc.	10	10
Attempt Arson, etc.	5	5
Bribery	30	33
Bribery, etc.	28	29
Bringing Contraband into Penal Institution	16	19
Bringing Contraband into Penal Institution, etc.	3	3
5 5	861	1,172
Burglary		
Burglary, etc.	402	537
Attempt Burglary	49	62
Attempt Burglary, etc.	51	70
Calculated Criminal Drug Conspiracy	14	29
Calculated Criminal Drug Conspiracy, etc.	4	13
Child Abandonment	3	3
Child Abduction	3	3

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 1,127 such cases with 1,447 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1984.

\*\*Includes some of the 145 cases which were classified as "automatic transfers" to the Criminal Division under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

# TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT\* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Num	ber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Child Pornography	26	26
Child Pornography, etc.	10	10
Communicating with a Witness	2	2
Communicating with a Witness, etc.	6	10
Compelling Organizational Membership under 17 Years	8	8
Compelling Organizational Membership under 17 Years, etc.	4	4
Concealing/Aiding a Fugitive, etc.	1	1
Concealing a Homicidal Death, etc.	1	1
Criminal Damage to Property	18	20
Criminal Damage to Property, etc.	5	7
Criminal Sexual Abuse, etc.	12	12
Criminal Sexual Assault, etc.	15	17
Attempt Criminal Sexual Assault, etc.	14	14
Cruelty to Children	9	12
Cruelty to Children, etc.	5	5
Deceptive Practices	10	10
Deceptive Practices, etc.	28	33
Delivery of Cannabis	90	99
Delivery of Cannabis, etc.	15	22
Delivery of Controlled Substance	815	980
Delivery of Controlled Substance, etc.	119	196
Attempt Delivery of Controlled Substance.	1	1
Attempt Delivery of Controlled Substance, etc.	4	6
Delivery of Substance Represented as Controlled Substance	3	3
Deviate Sexual Assault**	6	6
Deviate Sexual Assault <sup>**</sup> etc.	29	32
Attempt Deviate Sexual Assault, etc.	4	4
Disposal of Hazardous Waste	1	1
Distribution of a Look-a-Like Drug, etc.	19	30
Endangering the Life of a Child	2	2
Escape	18	18
Escape, etc.	7	7
Attempt Escape	1	1
Failure to File Illinois Retailers' Occupational Tax Return	38	68
Failure to Return to Work Release	23	23
Failure to Surrender Title of a Motor Vehicle, etc.	6	6
False Application for Title, etc.	2	3
False Statement of Automobile Registration	4	1
		4
		_
False Statement on Certificate of Title, etc. False Statement on License as Supplier of Special Fuel Filing a Fraudulent Illinois Retailers' Occupational Tax Return Filing a Fraudulent Illinois Sales Tax Return	2 1 127 23	3 2 226 27

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 1,127 such cases with 1,447 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1984.

\*\*Includes some of the 145 cases which were classified as "automatic transfers" to the Criminal Division under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

# IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

# TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT\* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Number of			
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants		
Forgery	186	190		
Forgery, etc.	303	313		
Harassment of a Witness	2	2		
Heinous Battery, etc.	1	1		
Home Invasion	3	3		
Home Invation, etc.	56	74		
Illegal Distribution of Fuel without a License	2	2		
Indecent Liberties with a Child	70	70		
Indecent Liberties with a Child, etc.	94	99		
Intimidation	24	28		
Intimidation etc.	39	48		
Insurance Fraud	8	9		
Insurance Fraud, etc.	26	40		
	5	12		
Involuntary Manslaughter	6	12		
Involuntary Manslaughter, etc.		595		
Jumping Bail Bond	595			
Juvenile Pimping	2	2		
Juvenile Pimping, etc.	5	5		
Kidnaping, etc.	6	11		
Looting, etc.	4	12		
Manufacturing of Fuel without a License	1	1		
Murder**	23	29		
Murder,** etc.	503	638		
Attempt Murder	6	6		
Attempt Murder, etc.	580	716		
Solicitation to Commit Murder	2	2		
Solicitation to Commit Murder, etc.	2	3		
Narcotics Racketeering, etc.	2	2		
Obstructing Justice	4	4		
Obstructing Justice, etc.	1	1		
Official Misconduct, etc.	9	9		
Pandering	30	30		
Perjury	33	33		
Perjury, etc.	46	49		
Possession of Burglary Tools	15	23		
Possession of Burglary Tools, etc.	8	11		
Possession of Cannabis***	346	392		
Possession of Cannabis,*** etc.	14	18		
Possession of Controlled Substance***	1,018	1,232		
Possession of Controlled Substance,*** etc.	185	206		
Attempt Possession of Controlled Substance	3	3		
Possession of Counterfeit Motor Vehicle Registration Card	2	2		

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 1,127 such cases with 1,447 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1984.

\*\*Includes some of the 145 cases which were classified as "automatic transfers" to the Criminal Division under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.

\*\*\*Includes "possession with intent to deliver".

# IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT\* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Number of			
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants		
Possession of Explosives	5	7		
Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe, etc.	2	2		
Possession of a Look-a-Like Drug	2	2		
Possession of Motor Vehicle w/False				
Vehicle Identification Number	35	39		
Possession of Motor Vehicle w/False				
Vehicle Identification Number, etc.	52	60		
Possession of Stolen Auto	20	24		
Possession of Stolen Auto, etc.	1.002	1,293		
Possession of Stolen Auto Component Parts	9	12		
Possession of Stolen Auto Component Parts, etc.	68	85		
Public Aid Fraud, etc.	11	18		
Rape**	2	2		
Rape,** etc.	258	301		
Attempt Rape	2	3		
Attempt Rape, etc.	20	21		
Reckless Conduct	20	6		
Reckless Homicide	6	6		
Reckless Homicide, etc.	30	33		
Residential Burglary	902			
Residential Burglary, etc.	307	1,161		
Attempt Residential Burglary	63	70		
Attempt Residential Burglary, etc.	35	35		
Retail Theft	190	203		
Retail Theft, etc.	130	151		
Robbery	296	353		
Robbery, etc.	382	461		
Attempt Robbery	51	52		
Attempt Robbery, etc.	15	17		
Sexual Abuse of a Child by a Family Member, etc.	5	5		
Solicitation for a Juvenile Prostitute, etc.	4	4		
Syndicated Gambling	37	48		
Syndicated Gambling, etc.	4	4		
Theft.	683	845		
Theft, etc.	216	296		
Attempt Theft, etc.	1	1		
Theft of Labor Services, etc.	2	2		
Theft of Motor Vehicle Services	7	7		
Theft of Motor Vehicle Services, etc.	1	1		
Unlawful Restraint	6	6		
Unlawful Restraint, etc.	11	15		
Unlawful Use of Credit Card	19	19		
Unlawful Use of Credit Card, etc.	29	30		

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 1,127 such cases with 1,447 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1984.

\*\*Includes some of the 145 cases which were classified as "automatic transfers" to the Criminal Division under the Juvenile Court Act of 1983.
## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INDICTMENT\* AND INFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984 (Continued)

endor Fraud, etc. ation of Illinois Lottery Act untary Manslaughter oluntary Manslaughter, etc.	Number of				
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants			
Unlawful Use of Weapons	380	420			
	83	90			
Vendor Fraud	3	6			
Vendor Fraud, etc.	4	8			
	2	2			
Voluntary Manslaughter	6	8			
Voluntary Manslaughter, etc.	17	17			
TOTAL	14,565	17,898			

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and are then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. 1,127 such cases with 1,447 defendants were transferred to Districts Two through Six during 1984.

### IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DURING 1984**

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS'

No. Constant

			INOT	Convicted								
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed Tried But Not Convicted												
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted⁵ By Court	Acquitted <sup>c</sup> By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted			
1,524	304 1,657	1,367	509	258	5,619	2,108	130	2,238	7,857			

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from the Criminal Division to a Municipal District for trial, case consolidations, etc.

\*\* Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>6</sup>Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

#### CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

					Convicted				
	Con	ivicted			Convicted B	ut Mentally III		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
9,264	2,138	356	11,758	43	22	2	67	319	20,001

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants

<sup>b</sup>Includes defendants transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings from the Municipal Department.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1984 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sente	ences								
	State Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment		Probation						ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Intensive Probation Supervision Program	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
8	26	6,171	3	51	1	55	3	2,207	1,504	1,756	5,470	76	17	93	1	1	11,825

\*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

\*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (114) and do include sentences (4) imposed in absentia.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1984 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

#### Sentences Local Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment Probation Conditional Discharge Supervision With\*\* With\*\* With\*\* With\*\* Only Conditions Total Only Conditions Only Only Other\* Total Conditions Total Conditions Total Total 152 171 3 174 37 2 60 115 29 31 80 140 12 509

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### NUMBER OF WRITS & PETITIONS FILED BY TYPE (ADDITIONAL MATTERS HANDLED IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION) DURING 1984

	Contempt of Court	Habeas Corpus	Post Conviction	Total
Number	76*	156	250	482

\*Does not include 6 petitions for contempt of court filed in the Municipal Department — Districts One through Six during 1984.

#### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* ON FELONY CASES IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1984

	Total Dollar	Total Dollar	Average Dollar	Average Dollar
	Value of	Value of	Value of	Value of
	Fines Imposed**	Restitution Ordered**	Fine Imposed	Restitution Ordered
Criminal Division	\$827,935	\$1,816,650	\$821	\$2,566

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

\*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook County Department of Social Services.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on both felony and misdemeanor convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DURING 1984**

# GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Ser	ntences <sup>b</sup>								
		State Imprison			Local Impri	sonment			Pre	obation		Cone	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	53												0	1	54
Class X		0	857												0	0	857
Class One			692	0	3	0	3	179	117	96	392	4	0	4	0	0	1,091
Class Two			1,061	0	9	0	9	458	419	305	1,182	9	2	11	0	0	2,263
Class Three			1,385	0	25	0	25	703	646	913	2,262	29	8	37	0	0	3,709
Class Four			458	2	8	0	10	413	120	299	832	25	7	32	1	0	1,333
Total Pleas	0	0	4,506	2	45	0	47	1,753	1,302	1,613	4,668	67	17	84	1	1	9, <mark>307</mark>

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (46) reported with a condition to pay a fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill"

Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

								Sent	ences <sup>b</sup>									
		State Imprison			Local Impriso	nment				Prob	oation		Condit	tional Dis	charge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Condi- tions	Sub- Total	Intensive Probation Supervision Program	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Condi- tions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Condi- tions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	3	1	58													0	0	62
Class X		1	344													0	0	345
Class One			179	0	0	0	0	0	65	28	15	108	0	0	0	0	0	287
Class Two			332	0	2	0	2	2	93	54	18	165	3	0	3	0	0	504
Class Three			353	0	3	0	3	1	180	90	86	356	2	0	2	0	0	715
Class Four			107	1	1	0	2	0	97	17	20	134	4	0	4	0	0	247
Total Bench Trials	3	2	1,373	1	6	0	7	3	435	189	139	763	9	0	9	0	0	2,160

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (63) reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include sentences (4) imposed in absentia.

Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill". Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences <sup>b</sup>								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	5	17	100												0	0	122
Class X		7	85											8	0	0	92
Class One			41	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	50
Class Two			32	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	38
Class Three			20	0	0	1	1	8	8	2	18	0	0	0	0	0	39
Class Four			14	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	17
Total Jury Trials	5	24	292	0	0	1	1	19	13	4	36	0	0	0	0	0	358

\*Not necessarily different defendants. \*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. "Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (5) reported with a condition to pay a fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill". <sup>c</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

DURING 1984

	Nun	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Aggravated Battery	51	51
Attempt Aggravated Battery	1	1
Aggravated Battery of a Child	1	1
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Abuse	20	20
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault	1	1
Aggravated Incest	5	5
Aggravated Kidnaping	1	1
Armed Robbery	10	10
Attempt Armed Robbery	22	22
Arson	19	19
Bigamy .	2	2
Bribery	10	10
Bringing Contraband into a Penal Institution	2	2
Burglary	606	606
Attempt Burglary	44	44
Child Abandonment	1	1
Communication with a Witness	1	1
Criminal Damage to Property	6	6
Criminal Sexual Assault	6	6
Cruelty to Children	2	2
Deceptive Practice	8	8
Delivery of Cannabis	14	14
Delivery of Controlled Substance	24	24
Escape	1	1
Forgery	129	129
Home Invasion	129	
Incest		1
Indecent Liberties with a Child	1	1
Insurance Fraud	45	45
ntimidation	2	2
	3	3
uice Racketeering	1	1
Dbstructing Justice	2	2
Pandering	2	2
Perjury	2	2
Possession of Burglary Tools	3	3
Possession of Cannabis	44	44
Possession of Controlled Substance	183	183
Possession of Stolen Auto	366	366

\*Includes only those cases where defendants pled guilty at the time of their preliminary hearing.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\* DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Nur	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Possession of Substance Represented as Controlled Substance	2	2
Rape	1	1
Attempt Rape	1	1
Residential Burglary	44	44
Attempt Residential Burglary	8	8
Retail Theft	67	67
Robbery	203	203
Attempt Robbery	18	18
Sexual Abuse of a Child by a Family Member	9	9
Solicitation for a Juvenile Prostitute	1	1
Syndicated Gambling	2	2
Theft	539	539
Attempt Theft	9	9
Theft by Deception	14	14
Unlawful Restraint	4	4
Unlawful Use of Credit Card	6	6
Unlawful Use of Weapons	51	51
Violation of Bail Bond	6	6
TOTAL	2,627	2,627

\*Includes only those cases where defendants pled guilty at the time of their preliminary hearing.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DISTRICT ONE DURING 1984**

#### **GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS)** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

						Sent	ences						
			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Cor	nditional Discha	arge	
Type of Felony Class X	State Imprisonment Onlyª	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	*** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	*** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	*** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Total
Class X	19												19
Class One	26	0	0	0	0	41	19	34	94	0	0	0	120
Class Two	89	0	0	0	0	466	123	195	784	0	0	0	873
Class Three	94	0	0	0	0	569	95	514	1,178	2	0	2	1,274
Class Four	38	0	1	0	1	150	69	77	296	5	0	5	340
Total Pleas	266	0	1	0	1	1,226	306	820	2,352	7	0	7	2,626**

\*Not necessarily different defendants. \*\*Varies from table showing total informations commenced in 1984 as a result of procedural changes.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine. In fact, 11 defendants who were committed to the Illinois Department of Corrections received a fine as a condition of their sentence.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE DURING 1984

### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* ON FELONY CASES IN DISTRICT ONE DURING 1984

	Total Dollar Value of Fines Imposed**	Total Dollar Value of Restitution Ordered**	Average Dollar Value of Fine Imposed	Average Dollar Value of Restitution Ordered
District One	\$230,346	\$124,950	\$382	\$850

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

\*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook County Department of Social Services.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on felony convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

**DURING 1984** 

	Nun	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Aggravated Battery	54	54
Aggravated Battery of a Child	1	1
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Abuse	1	1
Aggravated Kidnaping	1	1
Armed Robbery	17	21
Arson	1	1
Bribery	3	3
Burglary	96	116
Attempt Burglary	7	10
Child Pornography	1	1
Concealing a Homicidal Death	1	1
Criminal Damage to Property	4	5
Criminal Sexual Assault	2	2
Criminal Trespass to Motor Vehicle	5	7
Deceptive Practice	24	24
Delivery of Controlled Substance	1	1
False Statement of Automobile Registration	1	1
Forgery	42	44
Home Invasion	3	3
Indecent Liberties with a Child	8	8
Intimidation	3	3
Kidnaping	1	1
Manufacture of Cannabis	15	22
Manufacture of Controlled Substance	10	14
Murder	1	1
Attempt Murder	1	1
Obstructing Justice	2	2
Official Misconduct	-	1
Possession of Burglary Tools	1	1
Possession of Cannabis	10	11
Possession of Controlled Substance	69	77
Possession of Hypodermic Needle/Syringe	1	1
Possession of Stolen Auto	32	43
Rape	4	5
Attempt Rape	1	1
Residential Burglary	72	89
Attempt Residential Burglary	1	2
Retail Theft	60	74

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Two received 348 indictments on 458 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\* DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Nur	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Robbery	11	13
Sexual Abuse of a Child by a Family Member	1	1
Theft	95	105
Unlawful Restraint	2	2
Unlawful Use of Credit Card	1	1
Unlawful Use of Weapons	3	3
Violation of Bail Bond	3	3
TOTAL	674	785

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Two received 348 indictments on 458 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1984

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS

			Not	t Convicted					
	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted <sup>b</sup> By Court	Acquitted <sup>c</sup> By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
20	79 162	7	92	5	365	53	6	59	424

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Two to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Includes 8 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

#### CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

					Convicted				
	Con	victed			Convicted B	But Mentally III		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
757	61	13	831	5	3	0	8		1,263

\*Includes pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage.

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1984 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

	Stat	e						Sentences								
	Imprison	ment <sup>a</sup>		Local Imp	orisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	harge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	318	0	0	0	0	236	133	105	474	35	12	47	0	0	839

\*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

\*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (3) and do include sentences (5) imposed in absentia.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1984 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

						Sen	tences						
	ocal Imprisonme riodic Imprisonm			Probation		Co	nditional Discha	irge		Supervision			
Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other*	Total
15	0	15	41	13	54	2	3	5	9	7	16	2	92

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* ON FELONY CASES IN DISTRICT TWO DURING 1984

	Total Dollar	Total Dollar	Average Dollar	Average Dollar
	Value of	Value of	Value of	Value of
	Fines Imposed**	Restitution Ordered**	Fine Imposed	Restitution Ordered
District Two	\$30,319	\$68,856	\$427	\$1,112

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

\*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook County Department of Social Services.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on both felony and misdemeanor convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## FELONY

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1984

### GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

						Sente	ences						
			Local Impri	sonment			Prob	oation		Cor	nditional Disch	arge	
Type of Felony	State Imprisonment Only	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Total
Class X	2												2
Class One	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	11
Class Two	5	0	0	0	0	8	3	1	12	2	1	3	20
Class Three	12	0	0	0	0	23	9	13	45	3	2	5	62
Class Four	4	0	0	0	0	12	11	1	24	1	0	1	29
Total Pleas	31	0	0	0	0	45	23	16	84	6	3	9	124

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO **DURING 1984**

#### **GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences <sup>b</sup>								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	1												0	0	1
Class X		0	9												0	0	9
Class One			82	0	0	0	0	4	12	6	22	4	1	5	0	0	109
Class Two			61	0	0	0	0	51	31	15	97	5	3	8	0	0	166
Class Three			67	0	0	0	0	93	46	45	184	13	4	17	0	0	268
Class Four			21	0	0	0	0	35	11	14	60	3	1	4	0	0	85
Total Pleas	0	0	241	0	0	0	0	183	100	80	363	25	9	34	0	0	638

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (1) reported with a condition to pay a fine. <sup>b</sup>Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill". <sup>c</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

								Sei	ntences <sup>b</sup>								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	6												0	0	6
Class One			9	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	14
Class Two			8	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	1	0	1	0	0	13
Class Three			13	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	8	2	0	2	0	0	23
Class Four			4	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	8
Total Bench Trials	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	7	7	6	20	4	0	4	0	0	64

#### **CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS)** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. \*\*Includes sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (2) reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include sentences (5) imposed in absentia. <sup>b</sup>Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill". <sup>c</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences								
		Stat Imprisor			Local Impr	isonment			Pro	obation		Con	ditional Disch	arge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	2												0	0	2
Class X		0	1												0	0	1
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Class Three			1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Jury Trials	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	13

\*Not necessarily different defendants. \*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. aIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

DURING 1984

	Nun	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Aggravated Arson	1	1
Aggravated Battery	40	42
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault	3	3
Aggravated Kidnaping	1	1
Attempt Aggravated Kidnaping	1	1
Armed Robbery	7	11
Armed Violence	2	3
Arson	3	3
Attempt Deviate Sexual Assault	4	4
Burglary	55	66
Attempt Burglary	4	4
Child Pornography	1	1
Communication with a Witness	1	1
Criminal Damage to Property	5	
Cruelty to Children	5	0
Deceptive Practice		-
Delivery of a Look-a-Like Substance	7	/
	1	1
Delivery of Cannabis	8	12
Delivery of Controlled Substance	77	92
Eavesdropping	1	1
Forgery	52	54
Home Invasion	1	1
Indecent Liberties with a Child	13	13
Murder	3	6
Attempt Murder	5	5
Obstructing Justice	2	2
Possession of Cannabis	18	21
Possession of Controlled Substance	88	102
Possession of Stolen Auto	12	14
Attempt Possession of Stolen Auto	1	1
Possession of Stolen Property	1	1
Possession of Auto with Removed Vehicle Identification Number	2	2
Rape	8	8
Attempt Rape	2	2
Reckless Homicide	8	8
Residential Burglary	44	48
Attempt Residential Burglary	2	2
Retail Theft	17	20

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Three received 47 indictments on 53 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

## **DURING 1984 (Continued)**

	Nur	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Robbery	5	5
Syndicated Gambling	2	2
Theft	78	97
Unlawful Restraint	3	3
Unlawful Use of Weapons	2	2
TOTAL	592	680

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Three received 47 indictments on 53 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE **DURING 1984**

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS'

	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted <sup>b</sup> By Court	Acquitted <sup>c</sup> By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
24	37 32	30	45	7	175	30	4	34	209

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

"Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Includes 4 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

#### CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

					Convicted				
	Con	victed			Convicted B	ut Mentally III		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
608	35	12	655	2	1	0	3		867

\*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1984 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	prisonment			Prol	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	204	0	2	0	2	103	76	176	355	34	63	97	0	0	658

\*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

<sup>a</sup>Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1984 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

						Sen	tences						
	ocal Imprisonme riodic Imprisonm			Probation		Co	nditional Discha	irge		Supervision			
Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other*	Total
11	0	11	3	7	10	5	3	8	3	11	14	2	45

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* **ON FELONY CASES IN DISTRICT THREE DURING 1984**

	Total Dollar	Total Dollar	Average Dollar	Average Dollar
	Value of	Value of	Value of	Value of
	Fines Imposed**	Restitution Ordered**	Fine Imposed	Restitution Ordered
District Three	\$43,785	\$83,500	\$461	\$1,518

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

\*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook County Department of Social Services.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on both felony and misdemeanor convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE **DURING 1984**

#### **GUILTY PLEAS\* (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences <sup>c</sup>								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	*** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	13												0	0	13
Class One			31	0	0	0	0	6	7	7	20	2	1	3	0	0	54
Class Two			32	0	0	0	0	9	30	21	60	6	13	19	0	0	111
Class Three			74	0	0	0	0	44	24	110	178	14	40	54	0	0	306
Class Four			29	0	0	0	0	41	10	28	79	11	7	18	0	0	126
Total Pleas	0	0	179	0	0	0	0	100	71	166	337	33	61	94	0	0	610

\*Procedures in this district do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

\*\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

<sup>a</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc. <sup>b</sup>Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (2).

Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill"

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1984

## CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Sei	ntences <sup>c</sup>								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	3												0	0	3
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Class Two			6	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	8
Class Three			5	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	8	0	1	1	0	0	14
Class Four			2	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	7
Total Bench Trials	0	0	18	0	1	0	1	3	3	8	14	1	2	3	0	0	36

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

"Not necessarily different defendants.
\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.
"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (4).
<sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.
cIncludes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill".

								Se	ntences								
		Stat Imprisor			Local Impri	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	2												0	0	2
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Class Four			1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Jury Trials	0	0	7	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	12

#### CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. \*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

**DURING 1984** 

	Nun	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Aggravated Arson	1	1
Aggravated Battery	58	73
Aggravated Battery of a Child	1	1
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault	1	1
Aggravated Incest	1	1
Aggravated Kidnaping	1	2
Armed Robbery	11	12
Attempt Armed Robbery	2	3
Arson	1	1
Bribery	1	1
Burglary	67	86
Attempt Burglary	4	5
Child Abduction	1	1
Criminal Damage to Property	7	8
Criminal Sexual Assault	2	2
Deceptive Practice	2	2
Delivery of Controlled Substance	2	3
Forgery	10	10
Home Invasion	2	4
Indecent Liberties with a Child	-	1
Intimidation	4	4
Murder	1	1
Attempt Murder	7	7
Possession of Auto with Removed Vehicle Identification Number	1	1
Possession of Burglary Tools	1	1
Possession of Cannabis	14	15
Possession of Controlled Substance	43	46
Possession of Stolen Auto	14	15
Rape	4	5
Residential Burglary	35	42
Attempt Residential Burglary	2	2
Retail Theft	21	22
Robbery	27	32
Attempt Robbery	1	1
Theft	120	130
Attempt Theft	120	1
Unlawful Restraint	1	1
Unlawful Use of Weapons	12	13
TOTAL	485	557

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Four received 377 indictments on 489 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1984

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS'

Not Convicted

			1401	. convicted					
	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted <sup>b</sup> By Court	Acquitted <sup>c</sup> By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
18	87 103	42	96	5	351	39	5	44	395

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Four to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch.

91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants. <sup>b</sup>Includes 8 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

#### CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

					Convicted				
	Con	victed			Convicted E	ut Mentally III		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
767	41	13	821	2	1	0	3		1,219

\*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1984 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	orisonment			Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	361	0	1	0	1	310	72	61	443	18	1	19	0	0	824

\*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

\*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (5).

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1984 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

						Sen	tences						
	ocal Imprisonme riodic Imprisonm			Probation		со	nditional Discha	rge		Supervision			
Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other*	Total
7	2	9	48	5	53	12	1	13	18	3	21	0	96

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* ON FELONY CASES IN DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1984

	Total Dollar	Total Dollar	Average Dollar	Average Dollar
	Value of	Value of	Value of	Value of
	Fines Imposed**	Restitution Ordered**	Fine Imposed	Restitution Ordered
District Four	\$21,797	\$48,115	\$395	\$894

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

\*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook County Department of Social Services.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on both felony and misdemeanor convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR DURING 1984

#### GUILTY PLEAS\* (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*\*

								Sei	ntences								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	*** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	2												0	0	2
Class X		0	23												0	0	23
Class One			61	0	0	0	0	16	2	15	33	0	0	0	0	0	94
Class Two			71	0	0	0	0	85	15	12	112	1	0	1	0	0	184
Class Three			128	0	1	0	1	138	41	25	204	10	0	10	0	0	343
Class Four			48	0	0	0	0	58	6	5	69	6	0	6	0	0	123
Total Pleas	0	0	333	0	1	0	1	297	64	57	418	17	0	17	0	0	769

\*Procedures in this district do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage.

\*\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

"Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

<sup>b</sup>Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (2).

"Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill".

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR **DURING 1984**

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences								
		State Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>b</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	1												0	0	1
Class X		0	5												0	0	5
Class One			5	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
Class Two			3	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	7
Class Three			6	0	0	0	0	6	2	1	9	1	0	1	0	0	16
Class Four			2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	6
Total Bench Trials	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	10	6	2	18	1	1	2	0	0	42

\*Not necessarily different defendants. \*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. \*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (3). <sup>b</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc. CIncludes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill".

								Se	ntences								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	1												0	0	1
Class X		0	1												0	0	1
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	5
Class Three			1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	13

#### CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. alncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\* DURING 1984

	Nun	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Aggravated Arson	1	1
Aggravated Battery	16	17
Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault	2	2
Aggravated Incest	1	1
Arson	1	1
Armed Robbery	3	4
Bribery	1	1
Burglary	91	109
Attempt Burglary	3	4
Communication with a Witness	1	1
Criminal Damage to Property	4	4
Criminal Sexual Assault	3	3
Deceptive Practice	8	8
Delivery of Cannabis	6	7
Delivery of Controlled Substance	2	2
Disorderly Conduct	1	1
Forgery	27	27
Home Invasion	1	1
Indecent Liberties with a Child	2	2
Leaving Scene of an Accident	1	1
Manufacture of Cannabis	2	3
Murder	2	3
Attempt Murder	4	4
Obstructing Justice	1	1
Possession of Cannabis	12	14
Possession of Controlled Substance	73	77
Possession of Stolen Auto	19	22
Rape	1	1
Reckless Homicide	2	2
Residential Burglary	18	26
Retail Theft	73	81
Robbery	10	10
	65	82
Theft	1	02
Attempt Theft		1
Theft by Deception	1	1
Unlawful Restraint	1	1
Unlawful Use of Credit Card	1	1
Unlawful Use of Weapons		1
TOTAL	462	528

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Five received 162 indictments on 204 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE **DURING 1984**

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS'

Not Convicted

					convicted	1401			
	d	But Not Convicte	Tried E			Dismissed	d, Reduced or	Transferre	
Total No I Convicte	Total	Acquitted By Jury	Acquitted By Court	Total	Other** Discharge	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Nolle Prosequi	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Transferred For Trial, Etc.*
419	2	0	2	417	6	13	16	8 90	284

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Five to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants.

"Commencing February 15, 1984, a felony courtroom was opened to handle bench and jury trials for those defendants released on bond. Previously these defendants were transferred to the Criminal Division or to other Municipal Districts. NOTE: During 1984, only one jury trial was held resulting in a mistrial declared.

#### CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

					Convicted				
	Conv	victed**			Convicted Bu	it Mentally III**		Found Unfit To <sup>b</sup>	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
307	4	0	311	0	0	0	0		730

\*Includes pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage.

\*\*Commencing February 15, 1984, a felony courtroom was opened to handle bench and jury trials for those defendants released on bond. Previously these defendants were transferred to the Criminal Division or to other Municipal Districts.

Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

NOTE: During 1984, only one jury trial was held resulting in a mistrial declared.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1984 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

								Sentences								
	1010010	State Imprisonment Local Imprisonment						Pro	bation		Con	ditional Disch	harge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	60	0	0	0	0	100	98	49	247	3	1	4	0	0	311

\*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1984 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

						Sen	tences						
	ocal Imprisonme riodic Imprisonm			Probation		Co	nditional Discha	irge		Supervision			
Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other*	Total
3	0	3	4	3	7	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	13

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* **ON FELONY CASES IN DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1984**

	Total Dollar	Total Dollar	Average Dollar	Average Dollar
	Value of	Value of	Value of	Value of
	Fines Imposed**	Restitution Ordered**	Fine Imposed	Restitution Ordered
District Five	\$25,581	53,425	\$405	\$1,015

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

Department of Social Services.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on both felony and misdemeanor convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## FELONY

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE **DURING 1984**

#### **GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Sent	ences**	*							
		Stat Imprisor			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	0												0	0	0
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Class Two			25	0	0	0	0	30	35	5	70	0	0	0	0	0	95
Class Three			19	0	0	0	0	55	53	26	134	1	1	2	0	0	155
Class Four			13	0	0	0	0	13	7	18	38	2	0	2	0	0	53
Total Pleas	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	99	96	49	244	3	1	4	0	0	307

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences imposed upon pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage. \*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR **DURING 1984**

## CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences								
		Stat Imprisor			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	0												0	0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Bench Trials	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4

\*Not necessarily different defendants. \*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. aIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

NOTE: During 1984, only one jury trial was held resulting in a mistrial declared.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

**DURING 1984** Number of Defendants Charged Offenses Cases Aggravated Arson Aggravated Battery Aggravated Battery of a Child Aggravated Criminal Sexual Abuse Aggravated Criminal Sexual Assault Armed Robbery Armed Violence Arson Burglary Attempt Burglary Communication with a Witness Criminal Damage to Property Criminal Sexual Assault Deceptive Practice Delivery of a Look-a-Like Substance Delivery of Cannabis Delivery of Controlled Substance Deviate Sexual Assault Forgery Harassment of a Juror Heinous Battery Home Invasion Indecent Liberties with a Child Intimidation ..... Looting Murder Attempt Murder Possession of Cannabis Possession of Controlled Substance Possession of Stolen Auto Possession of Stolen Property Rape ..... Residential Burglary

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Six received 193 indictments on 243 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

Attempt Residential Burglary

Retail Theft

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX

## TABLE OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES COMMENCED BY INFORMATION\*

## DURING 1984 (Continued)

	Nur	nber of
Charged Offenses	Cases	Defendants
Theft	63	77
Unlawful Use of Credit Card	3	3
Unlawful Use of Weapons	6	8
Violation of Bail Bond	3	3
Violation of Illinois Vehicle Act	1	1
Voluntary Manslaughter	1	1
TOTAL	713	849

\*Indicates a procedure in effect where some indictments are filed in the Criminal Division and then transferred to a suburban municipal district for trial. District Six received 193 indictments on 243 defendants during 1984. The charges on these cases are listed under the "charged offenses" table for the Criminal Division.

### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX DURING 1984

#### METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS'

Not	Convicted	
-----	-----------	--

			1401	. convicted					
	Transferre	d, Reduced or	Dismissed			Tried	But Not Convicte	ed	
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted <sup>b</sup> By Court	Acquitted <sup>c</sup> By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
17	10 79	41	25	7	179	93	17	110	289

\*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Six to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc. \*\*Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch.

91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc. "Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Includes 9 defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity.

<sup>c</sup>Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty.

#### CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

					Convicted				
	Con	nvicted			Convicted B	ut Mentally III		Found Unfit Tob	
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted	Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
739	98	31	868	2	4	0	6		1,163

\*Includes pleas of guilty accepted at the preliminary hearing stage.

<sup>a</sup>Not necessarily different defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED\* IN 1984 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

			_					Sentences								
	Stat Imprison			Local Imp	orisonment			Prol	bation		Con	ditional Disch	narge			
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprison- ment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	443	1	2	0	3	96	266	49	411	6	11	17	0	0	874

\*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

\*\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

\*Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (4) and do include sentences (3) imposed in absentia.

#### TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED IN 1984 ON MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS ON FELONY CASES

						Sen	tences						
	ocal Imprisonme riodic Imprisonm			Probation		Co	nditional Discha	irge		Supervision			
Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Only	With** Conditions	Total	Other*	Total
4	0	4	9	4	13	3	1	4	3	1	4	0	25

\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, restitution only, etc.

\*\*Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* **ON FELONY CASES IN DISTRICT SIX DURING 1984**

	Total Dollar	Total Dollar	Average Dollar	Average Dollar
	Value of	Value of	Value of	Value of
	Fines Imposed**	Restitution Ordered**	Fine Imposed	Restitution Ordered
District Six	\$340,514***	\$61,503	\$428***	\$1,165

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal surcharge fund, and driver education fund. \*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook

County Department of Social Services. \*\*\*Indicates one defendant received a fine of \$306,000 and dollars were not included to develop average.

NOTE: The dollars identified here are those levied on both felony and misdemeanor convictions and do not include normal court costs.

## FELONY

## IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, **DISTRICT SIX DURING 1984**

#### **GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS)** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

						Sent	ences						
			Local Impr	isonment			Prob	pation		Cor	nditional Disch	arge	
Type of Felony	State Imprisonment Only	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	** With Conditions	Sub- Total	Total
Class X	1												1
Class One	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Class Two	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
Class Three	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	1	1	4
Class Four	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Total Pleas	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	3	3	14

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX **DURING 1984**

#### **GUILTY PLEAS (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) ACCEPTED** BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

								Se	ntences <sup>b</sup>								
		Stat Imprison			Local Impr	isonment			Pr	obation		Con	ditional Discł	narge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	1												0	0	1
Class X		0	42										*		0	0	42
Class One			69	0	0	0	0	4	8	3	15	1	1	2	0	0	86
Class Two			58	0	1	0	1	26	83	11	120	2	0	2	0	0	181
Class Three			129	0	1	0	1	30	97	23	150	1	3	4	0	0	284
Class Four			49	1	0	0	1	26	46	8	80	1	2	3	0	0	133
Total Pleas	0	0	348	1	2	0	3	86	234	45	365	5	6	11	0	0	727

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

"Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (2) reported with a condition to pay a fine.

<sup>b</sup>Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill"

Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

		Sentences <sup>b</sup>															
	Death	State Imprisonment <sup>a</sup>		t <sup>a</sup> Local Imprisonment					Probation				Conditional Discharge				
Type of Felony		Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>c</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	1												0	0	1
Class X		0	29												0	0	29
Class One			10	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	13
Class Two			5	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	13
Class Three			22	0	0	0	0	4	8	1	13	0	1	1	0	0	36
Class Four			3	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	6	1	0	1	0	0	10
Total Bench Trials	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	8	19	2	29	1	2	3	0	0	102

#### CONVICTIONS BY COURT (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

\*Not necessarily different defendants.

\*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. "Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders (2) reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include sentences (3) imposed in absentia.

<sup>b</sup>Includes sentences imposed upon defendants found to be "guilty but mentally ill". <sup>c</sup>Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

# CONVICTIONS BY JURY (INDICTMENTS & INFORMATIONS) BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS\*

		Sentences															
	Death	State Imprisonment		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge					
Type of Felony		Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	Only	With <sup>a</sup> Conditions	Sub- Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
Murder	0	0	0												0	0	0
Class X		0	14												0	0	14
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Two			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			5	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	8
Class Four			1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total Jury Trials	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	31

\*Not necessarily different defendants. \*\*Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc. aIncludes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

## **MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS**

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984

		1	New Charges Filed								
District	Complaint (Long Form) Numbers Issued (Cases Filed)	Felony (Preliminary Hearing)	Misdemeanor and Ordinance Violations	Total	Ratio of New Charges to New "Cases"						
District One	264,489	28,889	278,485	307,374	1.2						
District Two	5,968	1,648	6,324	7,972	1.3						
District Three	7,846	1,839	9,573	11,412	1.5						
District Four	7,503	1,447	7,718	9,165	1.2						
District Five	7,413	1,363	9,315	10,678	1.4						
District Six	11,907	1,929	13,253	15,182	1.3						
TOTAL	305,126	37,115	324,668	361,783	1.2						

## COMPARISON OF NEW CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED WITH NEW CHARGES FILED

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984

#### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS\*

								Method	of Disp	osition**								1	
	Not Convicted										Convicted								
District	Bond Forfeiture With or Without Warrant	Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Other Dismissal	Discharge/ Speedy Trial Statute	Found Not Guilty	Sub-Total	Per	onment/ iodic	Probation	Conditional Discharge	Supervision	Fine Only and Ordered to Pay	Sub-Total	Total****	
District One	47,447	7,681	4,719	66,149	89,090	*** 15,939	4,522	0	4,931	240,478	33	12,755	2,841	1,950	20,484	10,970	49,033	289,511	
District Two	873	7	5	150	2,114	1	13	0	321	3,484	3	245	170	148	1,907	1,158	3,631	7,115	
District Three	1,105	17	99	305	2,991	4	147	0	273	4,941	8	463	231	108	3,104	4,155	8,069	13,010	
District Four	1,531	53	212	240	2,985	10	26	0	595	5,652	0	272	209	165	1,470	1,345	3,461	9,113	
District Five	1,126	35	312	388	2,992	7	22	0	555	5,437	2	451	285	138	3,228	2,032	6,136	11,573	
District Six	1,999	39	82	732	4,615	7	173	0	552	8,199	6	798	44	932	3,607	1,153	6,540	14,739	
TOTAL	54,081	7,832	5,429	67,964	104,787	15,968	4,903	0	7227	268,191	52	14,984	3,780	3,441	33,800	20,813	76,870	345,061	

\*Indicates the disposition of misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violation charges and not cases.

\*\*Does not include the charges on those defendants found unfit to stand trial. During 1984, there were 31 defendants found unfit to stand trial in Districts One through Six. \*\*\*Effective December 3, 1984, the Acting Presiding Judge of District One entered an order prohibiting the use of the motion for leave to file denied (LFD) as a way of disposing of criminal and quasi-criminal cases.

\*\*\*\* Includes the actions on charges for defendants whose cases were disposed of as a result of a jury trial. During 1984, 150 jury verdicts were entered on misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violations in Districts One through Six.

## MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984

District	Total Dollar Value of Fines Imposed**	Total Dollar Value of Restitution Ordered**	Average Dollar Value of Fine Imposed	Average Dollar Value of Restitution Ordered	Average Number of Weeks Given to Pay Fine	Percentage of Fine Payment Made by 1st Due Date	Percentage of Restitution Made by 1st Due Date
District One	\$1,009,240	\$210,697	\$ 92	\$208	30	71%	30%
District Two	159,804	14,837	138	303	26	74%	41%
District Three	756,210	23,798	182	345	47	78%	43%
District Four	131,810	20,534	98	289	30	80%	34%
District Five	199,136	31,653	98	333	22	74%	39%
District Six	171,797	8,640	149	298	15	74%	46%
TOTAL	\$2,427,997	\$310,159	\$117	\$234	31	71%	32%

### SUMMARY OF FINES IMPOSED\* AND RESTITUTION ORDERED\* WITH COLLECTION RATES

\*Does not include surcharges for juvenile drug abuse fund, drug traffic prevention fund, violent crimes victims' assistance fund, traffic and criminal conviction surcharge fund, and driver education fund.

\*\*Includes all monies to be collected through the Circuit Clerk's Office, Adult Probation Department, and the Cook County Department of Social Services. \*Does not include those felony cases reduced to misdemeanors where a fine or restitution was ordered as a condition of the sentence.

NOTE: Normal court costs levied are not included in the above fine and restitution amounts.

### TRAFFIC

#### IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1984

#### NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF TRAFFIC VIOLATION CASES\*

								Method	d of Disposition						
				No	ot Convicted						Convicte	d			
		D:			Stricken	Leave	r		Local	Probation and	Fine On	ly and Orde	Sub-Total	**** Total	
DISTRICT		Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Off With Leave to Reinstate	to File Denied	Found Not Guilty**	Sub-Total	Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment	Conditional Discharge	Pre-Paid	Paid In Court			Suspended
District One***	Personal Service	16,594	478	19,896	32,065 <sup>d</sup>	164 <sup>d</sup>	503,820	573,017	973	2,180	148,154	44,304 <sup>e</sup>	87,133 <sup>e</sup>	282,744	855,761
District One	Hang-On	1,837	0	239,996	60,629 <sup>d</sup>	0	117,472 <sup>d</sup>	419,934	0	0	1,278,154	4,442	0	1,282,596	1,702,530
D' L' LT b	Personal Service	147	16	6,248	23,551	242	44,473	74,677	247	262	17,477	51,073	2,816	71,875	146,552
District Two <sup>b</sup>	Hang-On	11	3	9,815	808	32	2,162	12,831	0	1	2,304	1,317	36	3,658	16,489
Sub-Total <sup>a</sup>		158	19	16,063	24,359	274	46,635	87,508	247	263	19,781	52,390	2,852	75,533	163,041
District Three	Personal Service	678	152	3,824	37,824	1,744	43,623	87,845	298	278	27,202	76,772	3,284	107,834	195,679
	Hang-On	21	2	4,797	3,450	136	1,214	9,620	0	0	3,154	1,379	54	4,587	14,207
Sub-Total <sup>a</sup>		699	154	8621	41,274	1,880	44,837	97,465	298	278	30,356	78,151	3,338	112,421	209,886
	Personal Service	697	63	8,619	16,437	5	38,043	63,864	118	167	11,136	37,456	1,347	50,224	114,088
District Four <sup>c</sup>	Hang-On	3	0	46,734 <sup>i</sup>	216	2	605	47,560	0	0	4,640	2,048	28	6,716	54,276
Sub-Total <sup>a</sup>		700	63	55,353	16,653	7	38,648	111,424	118	167	15,776	39,504	1,375	56,940	168,364
D' + ' + 5'	Personal Service	150	48	3,671	23,469	541	51,255	79,134	242	442	16,719	53,969	1,786	73,158	152,292
District Five	Hang-On	10	0	13,997	2,733	145	2,539	19,424	1	0	2,634	2,070	15	4,720	24,144
Sub-Total <sup>a</sup>		160	48	17,668	26,202	686	53,794	98,558	243	442	19,353	56,039	1,801	77,878	176,436
Diving	Personal Service	540	131	21,717	11,373	558	36,704	71,023	726	590 <sup>8</sup>	20,310	42,978	3,168	67,772	138,795
District Six	Hang-On	611	1	16,378	38	1	1,745	18,774	15	0	3,718	2,034	36	5,803	24,577
Sub-Total <sup>a</sup>		1,151	132	38,095	11,411	559	38,449	89,797	741	590	24,028	45,012	3,204	73,575	163,372
Total		21,299	894	395,692	212,593	3,570	843,655	1,477,703	2,620	3,920	1,535,602	319,842	99,703	1,961,687	3,439,390

\*Does not include the "placement of supervision" (case is still pending) as a final order but does include the dispositions on all DWI/DUI cases. DWI referring to driving while intoxicated and DUI to driving under the influence. \*\*Includes viewing a "movie" on traffic safety as a not guilty finding and includes termination of terms of supervision as ordered by the Court.

\*\*\*Includes the work of 85 Downstate judges assigned to District One during 1984.

\*\*\*\*Includes the actions on cases for defendants where a jury trial decided the outcome. During 1984, 251 jury verdicts were entered on traffic cases in Districts One through Six.

\*Indicates the separation of personal service and hang-on violations in Districts Two through Six is done by estimation. Efforts are being made to verify these figures.

<sup>b</sup>Includes the work of 2 Downstate judges assigned to District Two during 1984.

Includes the work of 6 Downstate judges assigned to District Four during 1984.

<sup>d</sup>Indicates results of procedural changes made by the Acting Presiding Judge of District One.

"Includes defendants who signed the citation as a promise to appear in court and later paid the fine or had the fine suspended.

fIncludes results of special calls held during the year.

<sup>8</sup>Includes nearly 500 sentences to conditional discharge.

NOTE: "PERSONAL SERVICE" REFERS TO ALL MOVING VIOLATIONS.

"HANG-ON" REFERS TO ALL PARKING VIOLATIONS.

## APPENDIX A CONSTITUTION OF 1970 ARTICLE VI — THE JUDICIARY

## Section 1. Courts

The judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, an Appellate Court and Circuit Courts.

## Section 2. Judicial Districts

The State is divided into five Judicial Districts for the selection of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges. The First Judicial District consists of Cook County. The remainder of the State shall be divided by law into four Judicial Districts of substantially equal population, each of which shall be compact and composed of contiguous counties.

## Section 3. Supreme Court-Organization

The Supreme Court shall consist of seven Judges. Three shall be selected from the First Judicial District and one from each of the other Judicial Districts. Four Judges constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Supreme Court Judges shall select a Chief Justice from their number to serve for a term of three years.

## Section 4. Supreme Court-Jurisdiction

(a) The Supreme Court may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review.

(b) Appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death shall be directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for direct appeal in other cases.

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases.

## Section 5. Appellate Court-Organization

The number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each Judicial District shall be provided by law. The Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of Appellate divisions in each Judicial District. Each Appellate division shall have at least three judges. Assignments to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court. A majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision. There shall be at least one division in each Judicial District and each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court.

## Section 6. Appellate Court-Jurisdiction

Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court are a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court and except that after a trial on the merits in a criminal case, there shall be no appeal from a judgment of acquittal. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals to the Appellate Court from other than final judgments of Circuit Courts. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. The Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law.

## Section 7. Judicial Circuits

(a) The State shall be divided into Judicial Circuits consisting of one or more counties. The First Judicial District shall constitute a Judicial Circuit. The Judicial Circuits within the other Judicial Districts shall be as provided by law. Circuits composed of more than one county shall be compact and of contiguous counties. The General Assembly by law may provide for the division of a circuit for the purpose of selection of Circuit Judges and for the selection of Circuit Judges from the circuit at large.

(b) Each Judicial Circuit shall have one Circuit Court with such number of Circuit Judges as provided by law. Unless otherwise provided by law, there shall be at least one Circuit Judge from each county. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, Cook County, Chicago, and the area outside of Chicago shall be separate units for the selection of Circuit Judges, with at least twelve chosen at large from the area outside Chicago and at least thirty-six chosen at large from Chicago. (c) Circuit Judges in each circuit shall select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the Chief Judge shall have general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court.

## Section 8. Associate Judges

Each Circuit Court shall have such number of Associate Judges as provided by law. Associate Judges shall be appointed by the Circuit Judges in each circuit as the Supreme Court shall provide by rule. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, at least one-fourth of the Associate Judges shall be appointed from, and reside, outside Chicago. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges.

## Section 9. Circuit Courts-Jurisdiction

Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters except when the Supreme Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. Circuit Courts shall have such power to review administrative action as provided by law.

## Section 10. Terms of Office

The terms of office of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges shall be ten years; of Circuit Judges, six years; and of Associate Judges, four years.

#### Section 11. Eligibility For Office

No person shall be eligible to be a Judge or Associate Judge unless he is a United States citizen, a licensed attorney-at-law of this State, and a resident of the unit which selects him. No change in the boundaries of a unit shall affect the tenure in office of a Judge or Associate Judge incumbent at the time of such change.

#### Section 12. Election And Retention

(a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. A person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions. (b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, removal, or upon the conclusion of his term without retention in office. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office.

(c) A vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointment.

(d) Not less than six months before the general election preceding the expiration of his term of office, a Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge who has been elected to that office may file in the office of the Secretary of State a declaration of candidacy to succeed himself. The Secretary of State, not less than 63 days before the election, shall certify the Judge's candidacy to the proper election officials. The names of Judges seeking retention shall be submitted to the electors, separately and without party designation, on the sole question whether each Judge shall be retained in office for another term. The retention elections shall be conducted at general elections in the appropriate Judicial District, for Supreme and Appellate Judges, and in the circuit for Circuit Judges. The affirmative vote of three-fifths of the electors voting on the question shall elect the Judge to the office for a term commencing on the first Monday in December following his election.

(e) A law reducing the number of Appellate or Circuit Judges shall be without prejudice to the right of the Judges affected to seek retention in office. A reduction shall become effective when a vacancy occurs in the affected unit.

#### Section 13. Prohibited Activities

(a) The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for Judges and Associate Judges.

(b) Judges and Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or in a political party. Service in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not disqualify a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge.

## Section 14. Judicial Salaries And Expenses — Fee Officers Eliminated

Judges shall receive salaries provided by law which shall not be diminished to take effect during their terms of office. All salaries and such expenses as may be provided by law shall be paid by the State, except that Appellate, Circuit and Associate Judges shall receive such additional compensation from counties within their district or circuit as may be provided by law. There shall be no fee officers in the judicial system.

## Section 15. Retirement - Discipline

(a) The General Assembly may provide by law for the retirement of Judges and Associate Judges at a prescribed age. Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge.

(b) A Judicial Inquiry Board is created. The Supreme Court shall select two Circuit Judges as members and the Governor shall appoint four persons who are not lawyers and three lawyers as members of the Board. No more than two of the lawyers and two of the non-lawyers appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The terms of Board members shall be four years. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled for a full term in the manner the original appointment was made. No member may serve on the Board more than eight years.

(c) The Board shall be convened permanently, with authority to conduct investigations, receive or initiate complaints concerning a Judge or Associate Judge, and file complaints with the Courts Commission. The Board shall not file a complaint unless five members believe that a reasonable basis exists (1) to charge the Judge or Associate Judge with willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to charge that the Judge or Associate Judge is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties. All proceedings of the Board shall be confidential except the filing of a complaint with the Courts Commission. The Board shall prosecute the complaint.

(d) The Board shall adopt rules governing its procedures. It shall have subpoena power and authority to appoint and direct its staff. Members of the Board who are not Judges shall receive per diem compensation and necessary expenses; members who are Judges shall receive necessary expenses only. The General Assembly by law shall appropriate funds for the operation of the Board.

(e) A Courts Commission is created consisting of one Supreme Court Judge selected by that Court, who shall be its chairman, two Appellate Court Judges selected by that Court, and two Circuit Judges selected by the Supreme Court. The Commission shall be convened permanently to hear complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Commission shall have authority after notice and public hearing, (1) to remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a Judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties.

(f) The concurrence of three members of the Commission shall be necessary for a decision. The decision of the Commission shall be final.

(g) The Commission shall adopt rules governing its procedures and shall have power to issue subpoenas. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the expenses of the Commission.

### Section 16. Administration

General administrative and supervisory authority over all courts is vested in the Supreme Court and shall be exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with its rules. The Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. The Supreme Court may assign a Judge temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to serve temporarily as an Associate Judge on any Circuit Court. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for expeditious and inexpensive appeals.

#### Section 17. Judicial Conference

The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31.

## Section 18. Clerks Of Courts

(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District.

(b) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election, or for the appointment by Circuit Judges, of clerks and other non-judicial officers of the Circuit Courts and for their terms of office and removal for cause.

(c) The salaries of clerks and other non-judicial officers shall be as provided by law.

## Section 19. State's Attorneys-Selection, Salary

A State's Attorney shall be elected in each county in 1972 and every fourth year thereafter for a four year term. One State's Attorney may be elected to serve two or more counties if the governing boards of such counties so provide and a majority of the electors of each county voting on the issue approve. A person shall not be eligible for the office of State's Attorney unless he is a United States citizen and a licensed attorney-at-law of this State. His salary shall be provided by law.

## APPENDIX B ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS Historical Development

The predecessor of the present Administrative Office of the Illinois courts was a statutory creature into which the General Assembly breathed life in 1959. The entity was known as the Court Administrators Office, and it so existed until 1964. The office in those past years was chiefly concerned with studying caseloads to determine the needs of particular courts for assistance and to provide a statistical background for further studies.

The 1964 Judicial Article directed that the "Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties." That provision was retained, virtually intact, by Section 16, Article VI of the 1970 Constitution. Thus, the fledgling administrator's office of 1959 was continued and conferred with constitutional dignity in 1964 and 1970. Two Illinois constitutional commentators, Messrs. Braden and Cohn, in analyzing this section have stated that "only five (states) have a constitutional office similar to the administrative director provided by Illinois ...", and the authors noted that the constitutional grant of administrative power to the Supreme Court as exercised by the Chief Justice through the Administrative Director is an excellent "mechanism for a coordinated and efficient administration of

the judicial system." Braden and Cohn. The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis, on page 333.

During the years that it has been in existence, the Administrative Office has matured from infancy to adulthood, and correspondingly it has taken on and has been assigned, by the Supreme Court, greater duties and responsibilities. The growth of the office has been carefully nurtured by a succession of highly qualified and distinguished lawyers: Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the federal court system; Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; Hon. John C. Fitzgerald, a retired Circuit Judge and former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University, Chicago; John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad. The present Director is Roy O. Gulley, former Chief Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit.

Today, the Administrative Office has more than 40 employees who serve the Supreme Court and supervise the activities of all the courts in the State and court-related personnel. In addition to the Director, the office employs six persons (four of whom are lawyers) on a managerial or supervisory level, with the balance of employees serving in various supporting capacities.

## APPENDIX C JUDICIAL SALARY STRUCTURE

Supreme Court Justices — \$75,000 Appellate Court Judges — \$70,000 Circuit Court Judges — \$65,500 Associate Judges — \$60,500

## **APPENDIX D**

## GENEALOGY OF JUDGES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT

