

**From:** [marguerite cimms](#)  
**To:** [RulesCommittee](#)  
**Subject:** Proposed Rule 207 Change Public Hearing  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 6:38:06 PM

---

Good evening,

I am writing in regards to the Proposed Rule 207 change. I will be submitting my comments by email to the court reporters that will be attending the hearing, but I wanted to voice it with you as well.

By giving our transcripts away to the witnesses at no cost to read and sign, this would effectively take away all of our profit on depositions. If the witness has a copy, why would their attorney pay us for a copy? They would simply get it from their client.

This is our proprietary work product, and we work extremely hard to get these transcripts to clients. For the time that we put in on a transcript, even the original and copy doesn't compensate us very well. We work all hours of the night and weekends around the clock to get all our transcripts done. If we aren't going to be compensated for it, then it is my opinion that the rates for the originals will have to skyrocket to our clients in order to make us whole. I don't think anyone wants to see that happen.

Please keep in mind this is our proprietary work that would be given away for free. We would simply not be able to afford to stay in business if this goes through.

I vehemently oppose this proposal.

Thank you for your time,

Marguerite Cimms, CSR, CLR  
Advocate Court Reporters  
33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 1505  
Chicago, IL 60602

--

*Bonne journee,*

**Marguerite**